

Council Chamber
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, April 3, 2000
at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Mayor Dayday, in the Chair;
Councillors Atchison, Birkmaier, Harding, Heidt, Langford, Maddin,
McCann, Roe, Steernberg and Waygood;
City Manager Richards;
General Manager Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager Infrastructure Services Uzelman;
General Manager Corporate Services Veltkamp;
General Manager Utility Services Munch;
City Solicitor Dust;
City Clerk Mann;
A/City Councillors' Assistant Martens.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on March 20, 2000 and the minutes of special meeting of City Council held on March 24, 2000 be approved.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

- 2a) Discretionary Use Application**
Applicant: Edward Fulford
Intended Use: Residential Care Home - Type II
Legal Description: Lot A, Block 358, Plan 99S04429
Civic Address: 102 Fairbrother Crescent
(File No. CK. 4355-23)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The above matter is being reported on under Clause 3, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

The Community Services Department, Development Services Branch, has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 2**

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

Attached are copies of the following communications:

- Letter dated March 17, 2000 from Norman and Bertha Hain, 110 Fairbrother Crescent; and
- Letter dated April 3, 2000 from Robert Fulford, Cedar Garden Personal Care Home, requesting to speak.”

His Worship Mayor Dayday opened the hearing.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Clause 3, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

“REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

- 3. Discretionary Use Application
Residential Care Home - Type II
Lot A, Block 358, Plan 99S04429
102 Fairbrother Crescent
Silerspring Neighbourhood
Applicant: Edward Fulford
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the application submitted by Edward Fulford requesting permission to use Lot A, Block 358, Plan 99S04429 (102 Fairbrother Crescent) for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II be approved, subject to the following:

- a) that the number of persons under care be limited to 10;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 3**

- b) the provision of final building, landscaping and site development plans to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department; and
- c) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II.

Your Commission has reviewed and supports the recommendation outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated February 29, 2000, with respect to the above Discretionary Use Application.”

Tim Steuart, Senior Planner, Business License & Zoning Compliance Section, Community Services Department, indicated that his department supports the proposed use.

Gregory Kitz, Chair of the Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Municipal Planning Commission's support of the recommendation.

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was anyone in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Bob Fulford, Cedar Garden Personal Care Home, indicated that he contacted the surrounding homeowners and any negative comments have been dealt with.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 4**

THAT the application submitted by Edward Fulford requesting permission to use Lot A, Block 358, Plan 99S04429 (102 Fairbrother Crescent) for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II be approved, subject to the following:

- a) *that the number of persons under care be limited to 10;*
- b) *the provision of final building, landscaping and site development plans to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department; and*
- c) *the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II.*

CARRIED.

2b) Hearings

Discretionary Use Application

Applicant: Wayne Reddekopp

Intended Use: Restaurant

Legal Description: Lot A, Block 605A, Plan 68S12548

Civic Address: #6 - 270 Acadia Drive

(File No. CK. 4355-1)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The above matter is being reported on under Clause 4, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

The Community Services Department, Development Services Branch, has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated March 14, 2000 from Lois and Ken Elder, 113 Dalhousie Crescent, with respect to the matter.”

His Worship Mayor Dayday opened the hearing.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 5**

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Clause 4, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

“REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

- 4. Discretionary Use Application - Restaurant
Lot A, Block 605A, Plan 68S12548
#6 - 270 Acadia Drive - College Park Neighbourhood
Applicant: Wayne Reddekopp
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the application submitted by Wayne Reddekopp requesting permission to use Lot A, Block 605A, Plan 68S12548 (#6 - 270 Acadia Drive) for the purpose of a restaurant be approved subject to the following:

- a) the proposed restaurant having a maximum gross floor area of 98 m²; and
- b) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the operation of a restaurant.

Your Commission has considered and concurs with the recommendation outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated February 29, 2000, regarding the above Discretionary Use Application.”

Tim Steuart, Senior Planner, Business License & Zoning Compliance Section, Community Services Department, indicated that his department supports the proposed use.

Gregory Kitz, Chair of the Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Municipal Planning Commission’s support of the recommendation.

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 6**

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Harding,
THAT the hearing be closed.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Maddin, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,
THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Harding, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the application submitted by Wayne Reddekopp requesting permission to use Lot A, Block 605A, Plan 68S12548 (#6 - 270 Acadia Drive) for the purpose of a restaurant be approved subject to the following:

- a) the proposed restaurant having a maximum gross floor area of 98 m²; and*
- b) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the operation of a restaurant.*

CARRIED.

2c) Hearings

Discretionary Use Application:

Applicant: Richard and Dorothy Gerbrandt
Intended Use: Residential Care Home - Type II
Legal Description: Lot 22, Block 977, Plan 79S16566
Civic Address: 262 Wedge Road
(File No. CK. 4355-1)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The above matter is being reported on under Clause 2, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 7**

The Community Services Department, Development Services Branch, has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

Also attached are copies of the following communications:

- Letter dated March 26, 2000 from Allan Hallikainen, 266 Wedge Road;
- Letter dated March 28, 2000 from Kim and Rose Edelman, 246 Wedge Road;
- Letter dated March 28, 2000 from N. Lee Cutforth, Bodnar, Wanhella, Cutforth & Black Barristers & Solicitors;
- Letter dated March 29, 2000 from Dale Denham, 258 Wedge Road; and
- Letter dated March 30, 2000 from Dale Schneider, Dundonald Pharmacy, 9-110 Wedge Road.”

His Worship Mayor Dayday opened the hearing.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT Clause 2, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 8**

“REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

- 2. Discretionary Use Application
Residential Care Home - Type II
Lot 22, Block 977, Plan 79S16566
262 Wedge Road - Dundonald Neighbourhood
Applicant: Richard and Dorothy Gerbrandt
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the application submitted by Richard and Dorothy Gerbrandt requesting permission to use Lot 22, Block 977, Plan 79S16566 (262 Wedge Road) for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II, with a maximum of ten residents under care, be approved, subject to the following:

- a) the provision of final building, landscaping and site development plans to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department; and
- b) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II.

Your Commission has considered and concurs with the recommendation outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated February 29, 2000, with respect to the above Discretionary Use Application.”

Tim Stewart, Senior Planner, Business License & Zoning Compliance Section, Community Services Department, indicated that his department supports the proposed use.

Gregory Kitz, Chair of the Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Municipal Planning Commission’s support of the recommendation.

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was anyone in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Lee Cutforth, Solicitor, Bodnar, Wanhella, Cutforth & Black, stated that the proposed development fulfills a need for seniors’ accommodations and it allows integration of seniors into the community. Mr. Cutforth indicated that the proposed landscaping in the front yard will enhance the appearance of the property. The proposed addition will occupy the space presently filled by an

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 9**

attached garage which will be demolished to provide four bedrooms thereby increasing the number of seniors in the home to nine. Mr. Cutforth stated the service provided by the Gerbrandts is valuable to the city and community and they have made very effort to ensure as much as possible that the quality and aesthetics of the original structure are maintained in the addition.

John Thomson, Dundonald Community Association, stated there are issues with respect to parking and requested Council to disregard the letter previously submitted by the Dundonald Community Association which spoke in support of the application.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the application submitted by Richard and Dorothy Gerbrandt requesting permission to use Lot 22, Block 977, Plan 79S16566 (262 Wedge Road) for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II, with a maximum of ten residents under care, be approved, subject to the following:

- a) the provision of final building, landscaping and site development plans to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department; and*
- b) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Residential Care Home - Type II.*

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 10**

2d) Hearings

Discretionary Use Application

Applicant: Kindrachuk Agrey Architects Ltd.

Intended Use: Addition to Shopping Centre

**Legal Description: Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Block 380, Plan 59S01096 and
Lot 12A, Block 380, Plan 81S05771**

Civic Address: 810 Circle Drive East

(File No. CK. 4355-1)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The above matter is being reported on under Clause 1, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

The Community Services Department, Development Services Branch, has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.”

His Worship Mayor Dayday opened the hearing.

Moved by Councillor Maddin, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Clause 1, Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 11**

“REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

- 1. Discretionary Use Application
Proposed Addition to Shopping Centre in the IL1 District
Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Block 380, Plan 59S01096,
and Lot 12A, Block 380, Plan 81S05771
810 Circle Drive East - North Industrial Area
Applicant: Kindrachuk Agrey Architects Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the application submitted by Kindrachuk Agrey Architects on behalf of River City Centre Inc. requesting permission to use Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 15, Block 380, Plan 59S01096, and Lot 12A, Block 380, Plan 81S05771 (810 Circle Drive East) for the purpose of a shopping centre with a gross leasable floor area of more than 5,000 square metres be approved subject to:

- a) the shopping centre having a maximum gross leasable floor area of 16,235 square metres;
- b) final landscaping and site development plans being provided to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department;
- c) the owner being responsible for all site development costs, including any necessary relocation or reconstruction of water and sewer connections; and
- d) the applicant obtaining a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the expansion of the shopping centre.

Your Commission has reviewed and supports the recommendation outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated February 28, 2000, with respect to the above Discretionary Use Application.”

Tim Stewart, Senior Planner, Business License & Zoning Compliance Section, Community Services Department, indicated that his department supports the proposed use.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 12**

Gregory Kitz, Chair of the Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Municipal Planning Commission's support of the recommendation.

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Harding,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Maddin, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the application submitted by Kindrachuk Agrey Architects on behalf of River City Centre Inc. requesting permission to use Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 15, Block 380, Plan 59S01096, and Lot 12A, Block 380, Plan 81S05771 (810 Circle Drive East) for the purpose of a shopping centre with a gross leasable floor area of more than 5,000 square metres be approved subject to:

- a) the shopping centre having a maximum gross leasable floor area of 16,235 square metres;*
- b) final landscaping and site development plans being provided to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department;*
- c) the owner being responsible for all site development costs, including any necessary relocation or reconstruction of water and sewer connections; and*
- d) the applicant obtaining a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the expansion of the shopping centre.*

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

**1) Ruth Pepin and Lyle Hislop, Co-Chairs
Leave-A-Legacy Saskatoon, dated March 21**

Requesting permission to address Council in order to share information and request Council's endorsement of the Leave-A-Legacy Program. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Ruth Pepin and Lyle Hislop be heard.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Maddin,

THAT Ruth Pepin and Lyle Hislop be heard.

CARRIED.

Ken Howland, Honorary Chair, Leave-A-Legacy Saskatoon, spoke on behalf of Ruth Pepin and Lyle Hislop, stating the program encourages charitable giving through bequests and other planned gifts and does not seek funds for its own purposes but for existing charities.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received and the week of April 10 to 14, 2000 be proclaimed as Leave-A-Legacy Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

**2) Ed Moyer, Paul McIntyre and Joseph Hnatiw
429 Ruth Street, dated March 29**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to property at 1202 19th Street West. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Ed Moyer, Paul McIntyre and Joseph Hnatiw be heard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 14**

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Ed Moyer, Paul McIntyre and Joseph Hnatiw be heard.

CARRIED.

Paul McIntyre spoke on behalf of Ed Moyer current owner of the property located at 1202 - 19th Street West. Mr. McIntyre spoke with respect to the current tax arrears and stated that if an agreement can be reached where Mr. Moyer will be able to retain title of his property and continue to invest further dollars into the building, he would be able to make it a viable business and thereby enhance the Riversdale community. Mr. McIntyre provided City Council with a copy of his presentation.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**3) Melody Asher Anderson, Welcome Wagon Hostess
Welcome Wagon Ltd., dated March 24**

Requesting permission to address Council in order to present His Worship the Mayor with a Certificate of Appreciation for supporting all of Welcome Wagon endeavors and to request that Council proclaim the week of April 17 to 23, 2000 as Welcome Wagon Week in Saskatoon.

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Melody Asher Anderson be heard;
 - 2) that Council proclaim the week of April 17 to 23, 2000 as Welcome Wagon Week in Saskatoon; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 15**

- 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation on behalf of City Council.

It was noted that Melody Asher Anderson was not in attendance but had requested that City Council proclaim April 17 to 23, 2000 as Welcome Wagon Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

- 1) *that Council proclaim the week of April 17 to 23, 2000 as Welcome Wagon Week in Saskatoon; and*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

4) John Thomson, dated April 3, 2000

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to park lighting. (File No. CK 4205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 7-2000 be brought forward and considered and that John Thomson be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 7-2000 be brought forward and considered and John Thomson be heard.

CARRIED.

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2000

**A3) Lighting in Parks
(Files CK. 1870-1 and 4205-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the current method of funding park lighting projects be maintained; and,
 - 2) that the level of funding be referred to budget committee.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 16**

At the November 1, 1999 meeting of City Council, Councilor Heidt made the following inquiry:

“The community association appreciates our lighting program of matching money. However, this process takes many years for a project to be completed. There is a great need for lights in our parks for safety of our children walking through the parks to attend school and community events. Would the administration look at how the City of Saskatoon could assist by having the work done and have the payments spread over a 5 or 10 year amortization.”

The current situation for funding of pathway lighting in neighborhood parks is as follows:

1. In existing parks, the Community Associations may apply through the park enhancement program of the Community Services Department to have pathway lighting installed in their parks and may also apply for funding assistance to provide this program. The park enhancement program has an annual budget of \$20,000 and incorporates an application and adjudication process for the Community Associations. The Community Association is required to make a minimum contribution of 10 percent of the total project cost. The balance of the funding request is allocated based upon the existing program criteria. These criteria include:
 - priority is given to projects that add a new program component over projects that enhance an existing program. For example, priority would be given to a request for pathway lighting in a park that had none over a request for additional lighting.
 - Community Associations that have received funding for park development in the previous three years have a lower priority.
 - Community Associations that have benefited from a park upgrade within the last three years will be considered last.

The Community Association is required to pay half of their estimated contribution upon approval of the project and the remaining balance is due upon completion. The timing from approval to completion is approximately 18 months. The cost of these pathway lighting projects vary; however, it has been our experience that the minimum cost is usually in excess of \$10,000 depending on the size of the pathway (there is an approximate cost of \$2,000 - \$3,000 per light standard). It should be noted that the park enhancement program is not targeted exclusively for park lighting, but includes any enhancement the community wishes to propose in a neighborhood park, such as play apparatus, benches, trees, picnic areas, etc.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 17**

In cases where there is a specific safety concern that is supported by the police, the Utility Services – Electrical System will provide \$300 per light for the pathway lighting.

2. In new park construction and in park upgrades, the inclusion of pathway lighting is determined by the priorities set at the public input meeting regarding the park program. If the community indicates a high priority for pathway lighting, it is included in the park program and funded through the capital project.

The inquiry asks the Administration to consider allowing Community Associations to borrow the funding and have them pay it back over a five to ten year period. This is not an option that the Administration would recommend. It is difficult for the current executive of an association to make a long-term funding commitment on behalf of future volunteers. There is a risk that an association could default on the loan or that the association's ability to function and provide future programming could be hampered by this financial commitment. It is generally easier to generate support to raise funds for a future project than it is to raise funds to pay off a loan.

There is increasing pressure from Community Associations to improve their existing parks with paths and pathway lighting. The Community Associations have also raised the concern that the costs associated with these projects are such that they may have difficulty in raising the appropriate funds to meet their requirements under the current funding system. The following are options to address this concern:

Option #1

One option would be to consider adding funds to the existing park enhancement program of the Community Services Department to allow for a greater City contribution toward park pathway lighting projects and, therefore, lessen the impact on Community Associations.

Option #2

Another option would be to consider creating an annual capital project and to allow Community Associations to apply for park pathway lighting in their neighborhood based on criteria developed by the Community Services Department.

The current system of funding pathway lighting in existing neighborhood parks places the emphasis for funding of these projects predominantly with the Community Associations and may be limited by their ability to raise the appropriate funds in a reasonable time frame. The options presented explore ways to address these concerns.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 18**

John Thomson, Dundonald Community Association, stated he would like the City to expedite the process with respect to lighting in the park and to come up with a creative solution to this problem.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

- 1) *that the matter be referred back to the Administration and Finance Committee; and*
- 2) *that the level of funding be referred to the Budget Committee.*

CARRIED.

REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL - CONTINUED

**5) Roy Tyler, Member
SPCA Interim Board of Directors, dated April 3, 2000**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to provision of animal control and bylaw enforcement services. (File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Clause B3, Administrative Report No. 7-2000 be brought forward and considered and that Roy Tyler be heard.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Clause B3, Administrative Report No. 7-2000 be brought forward and considered and that Roy Tyler be heard.

CARRIED.

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2000

**B3) Saskatoon SPCA
Provision of Animal Control and Bylaw Enforcement Services
(File No. 151-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council accept the extension to the current arrangement between the City and the Saskatoon SPCA for

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 19**

animal control/bylaw enforcement services to March 31, 2001; and,

- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the Poundkeeper Contract with the Saskatoon SPCA.

With the introduction of the new Animal Control Bylaw at the beginning of this year, the Administration and the Saskatoon SPCA met to update the Poundkeeper Contract. Attachment 1 provides the draft agreement for City Council to consider. Key provisions of the draft agreement include:

- a three-year term, with annual extensions by agreement of both parties;
- a split of the existing annual payment to the SPCA (\$293,900) into a fee-for-service for poundkeeping, and the contribution/grant towards other SPCA programs and initiatives;
- annual poundkeeping payment (fee-for-service) totaling \$257,380;
- hours of operation for pound services, which provide for the acceptance of impounded animals 24 hours per day/7 days per week;
- the services the SPCA will provide, including compliance with the impound provisions of the bylaw, the requirement to issue Notices of Violation (and attendance in court) where appropriate, the redemption process for impounded animals, the sale of animal licenses, and the requirement to accept impounded animals from enforcement officers;
- confirmation that ownership of all impounded animals passes to the Humane Society after the required holding period in the bylaw;
- development of a complaints procedure by the SPCA, with a report on all written complaints (including the result of the investigation) to the City;
- provisions addressing the type and frequency of reports the City will require;
- provisions addressing the annual evaluation process the City will conduct; and,
- provisions addressing default, termination, and insurance requirements.

As these poundkeeper discussions progressed, the issue of animal control/bylaw enforcement was raised a number of times, because since 1998, when the Saskatoon SPCA assumed animal control responsibilities, the two services have been provided on an integrated basis. As a result, the SPCA has submitted a request (Attachment 2) to continue the current arrangement between the City and the Saskatoon SPCA for animal control/bylaw enforcement services to March 31, 2001. This request can be summarized as follows:

- the current arrangement (which is basically a verbal agreement between the City and the SPCA) will continue to March 31, 2001 (a ten-month extension);

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 20**

- the SPCA will enforce the new bylaw, phasing in parts of the implementation as it emphasizes public education and awareness;
- the experiences of this first year of enforcement of the new bylaw will be used to better enable both parties to develop a formal contract and operating guidelines prior to March 31, 2001; and,
- bylaw amendments would be deferred until after one full year of experience with the new bylaw.

The Administration recommends that both agreements be adopted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Memorandum of Agreement with the Saskatoon SPCA regarding poundkeeping services.
2. Letter from the Saskatoon SPCA regarding animal control/bylaw enforcement services dated March 13, 2000.”

Roy Tyler advised Council that he is Chair of the interim SPCA Board of Directors and requested City Council to postpone signing of the contract with the defunct Board and to wait until the new Board is in place.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) *that City Council accept the extension to the current arrangement between the City and the Saskatoon SPCA for animal control/bylaw enforcement services to March 31, 2001; and*
- 2) *that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the Poundkeeper Contract with the Saskatoon SPCA.*

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 21**

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL - CONTINUED

AA. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

**1) Ron Pollock, Regional Director
Saskatoon Social Services, dated March 21**

Requesting that Council appoint Mr. John Nicholson as the Social Services representative on the Social Housing Advisory Committee to replace Mr. Neil McDonald. (File No. CK. 225-41)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. John Nicholson be appointed to the Social Housing Advisory Committee as the representative of the Department of Social Services to the end of 2000.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Mr. John Nicholson be appointed to the Social Housing Advisory Committee as the representative of the Department of Social Services to the end of 2000.

CARRIED.

**2) Lyle Mallett
43 Tucker Crescent, dated March 21**

Suggesting changes to the utility billing system. (A floppy disk is available.) (File No. CK. 261-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Harding, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 22**

**3) Dr. James F. Nolan
102B Dunlop Street, dated March 29**

Requesting Council to extend the hours under the Noise Bylaw for a wedding to be held in the gardens of the Delta Bessborough Hotel to 12:30 a.m. on Sunday, June 11, 2000. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to Dr. James F. Nolan and Ms. B. Cooper to extend the time during which the wedding to be held in the gardens of the Delta Bessborough Hotel may be conducted to 12:30 a.m. on Sunday, June 11, 2000.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT permission be granted to Dr. James F. Nolan and Ms. B. Cooper to extend the time during which the wedding to be held in the gardens of the Delta Bessborough Hotel may be conducted to 12:30 a.m. on Sunday, June 11, 2000.

CARRIED.

**4) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Development Appeals Board, dated March 16, 2000**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding property at 1702 Saskatchewan Avenue. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**5) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Development Appeals Board, dated March 24, 2000**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding property at 318 - 5th Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Harding,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 23**

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

**1) Deneen Gudjonson, Executive Director
Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated March 16**

Forwarding the Riversdale Business Improvement District Budget for 2000. (File No. CK. 1711-14) **Referred to the Budget Committee.**

**2) Dale Farrell, Secretary
Saskatoon Professional Fire Fighters Union Local 80**

Advising Council of the Saskatoon Professional Fire Fighters Union Local 80's wish to refer a dispute with respect to the bargaining of the collective agreement to a Board of Arbitration, and nominating Mr. Terry Ritchie as their representative on the Board. (File No. CK. 4720-7) **Referred to the Administration.**

**3) Sherry Young
213 Dickey Crescent, dated March 28**

Requesting reimbursement for charges incurred due to her dog running at large. (File No. CK. 152-1) **Referred to the Administration.**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Maddin, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

C. PROCLAMATIONS

**1) Mark Keller, Branch Manager
Water Treatment and Meters, dated March 21**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of May 7 to 13, 2000 as Drinking Water Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 24**

**2) Shelagh Lewchuk, Paramedic, Community Development Coordinator
M.D. Ambulance Care Ltd., dated March 22**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of May 21 to 27, 2000 as EMS Week in Saskatoon.
(File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Maddin,

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and*
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

REPORTS

Mr. Gregory Kitz, Chair, presented Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

General Manager Community Services Gauthier presented Section A, Administrative Report No. 7-2000;

General Manager Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Administrative Report No. 7-2000;

General Manager Infrastructure Services Uzelman presented Section D, Administrative Report No. 7-2000;

City Manager Richards presented Section F, Administrative Report No. 7-2000;

City Clerk Mann presented Section A, Legislative Report No. 5-2000;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 25**

City Solicitor Dust presented Section B, Legislative Report No. 5-2000;

Councillor Harding, Member, presented Report No. 4-2000 of the Administration and Finance Committee;

Councillor Steernberg, Chair, presented Report No. 1-2000 of the Boxing and Wrestling Commission; and

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chair, presented Report No. 6-2000 of the Executive Committee.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 6-2000 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Administrative Report No. 7-2000;*
- d) Legislative Report No. 5-2000;*
- e) Report No. 4-2000 of the Administration and Finance Committee;*
- f) Report No. 1-2000 of the Boxing and Wrestling Commission; and*
- g) Report No. 6-2000 of the Executive Committee.*

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Atchison as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 26**

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

Composition of Committee

Mr. Gregory Kitz, Chair
Ms. Georgia Bell Woodard, Vice-Chair
Mr. Dieter André
Ms. Leslie Belloc-Pinder
Mr. Paul Kawcuniak
Mr. Don Lloyd
Mr. Ron Mantyka
Ms. Ann March
Mr. Ken Rauch
Ms. Tamara Ross
Mr. Nelson Wagner
Councillor K. Waygood
Ms. Colleen Yates

- 1. Discretionary Use Application
Proposed Addition to Shopping Centre in the IL1 District
Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15, Block 380, Plan 59S01096,
and Lot 12A, Block 380, Plan 81S05771
810 Circle Drive East - North Industrial Area
Applicant: Kindrachuk Agrey Architects Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 10.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 27**

- 2. Discretionary Use Application
Residential Care Home - Type II
Lot 22, Block 977, Plan 79S16566
262 Wedge Road - Dundonald Neighbourhood
Applicant: Richard and Dorothy Gerbrandt
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.

- 3. Discretionary Use Application
Residential Care Home - Type II
Lot A, Block 358, Plan 99S04429
102 Fairbrother Crescent
Silverspring Neighbourhood
Applicant: Edward Fulford
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

- 4. Discretionary Use Application - Restaurant
Lot A, Block 605A, Plan 68S12548
#6 - 270 Acadia Drive - College Park Neighbourhood
Applicant: Wayne Reddekopp
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 4.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2000

Section A - COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Enquiry - Councillor D.L. Birkmaier (May 10, 1999)
Placement of Air Conditioning Units on Private Properties
(File No. CK. 375-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Councillor Birkmaier made the following inquiry at the meeting of Council on May 10, 1999:

“Would the Administration please review and report on the placing of air conditioners on residential property with respect to the adjacent properties, and the appropriateness of a controlling bylaw.”

REPORT

1. Introduction

Saskatoon currently contains some 53,000 one and two-unit dwellings. While there is no record as to how many of these dwellings have air conditioning units, it is safe to assume that there are at least several thousand in the city, with hundreds of new units added each year.

The main difficulty associated with the placement of residential air conditioning units is the noise generated by the condensing unit or heat exchanger. The noise itself originates with the condensing unit motor and the air exhaust fan. There are many variables associated with the actual or perceived noise level of air conditioning units, including:

- proximity of the unit to a neighbouring property;
- proximity of the unit to an openable window or door on a neighbouring property, and the use of the room associated with the opening;
- perception and noise tolerance of nearby residents;
- personal choice associated with the frequency and duration of air conditioner use;
- size of the air conditioning system in relation to the size of the house being cooled;
- quality, age, and maintenance of the condensing or heat exchanger unit;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 29**

- optional use of devices to reduce noise emissions such as baffles or quieter fans;
- weather patterns;
- placement of the unit in relation to building side walls and the potential for further vibration and reverberation; and
- whether the neighbours themselves have air conditioning units.

Because of the many variables associated with the perception of air conditioning unit noise, there are a wide variety of potential neighbour reactions. Interestingly, the Community Services Department has historically received very few formal complaints related to air conditioner noise in low density residential areas, with an average of only one or two per year.

2. Experience in Other Centres

The Community Services Department contacted several Canadian cities for their policy on air conditioning unit noise. These included Calgary, Edmonton, Regina, Winnipeg, Ottawa, and Toronto.

Regina and Winnipeg regulate the location of air conditioning units in their Zoning Bylaws. Regina requires that they be placed at least 3.0 m from a window or door of a dwelling on an adjacent lot. Winnipeg requires a separation distance of 5.0 m. These Municipal offices have indicated that these regulations are difficult to enforce as no building permit is required, and it is up to the installers to be aware of the regulations. It appears that the regulations have not significantly reduced neighbourhood disputes and few persons found in violation of the Zoning Bylaw are willing to move their units. The cost to move a unit ranges from \$50 to \$100 per metre, depending on the complexity of the installation.

Calgary, Edmonton, Ottawa, and Toronto deal with the situation through their Noise Bylaws by providing that a continuous sound is not to exceed a certain decibel level. Sound measuring equipment must be purchased and staff trained to measure the sound levels. It was noted that this process does not work very well for intermittent sounds. Staff also have difficulty being on site at the time the units are working, as they may be turned off during the day, or only operating at certain times.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 30**

3. Policy Options

There are three basic options for addressing air conditioning unit noise. These include establishing a separation distance in the Zoning Bylaw, placing a maximum sound level in the Noise Bylaw, and finally, facilitating the voluntary use of sound reduction techniques.

a) Zoning Bylaw Separation Distance

The Zoning Bylaw could be amended to keep the units a prescribed minimum distance from property lines or adjacent homes, or from doors and windows on adjacent homes. The main benefits to such a regulation would be clarity and the provision of a tool for the City Administration to help resolve complaints. If this option was implemented, it is recommended that the Administration respond on a complaint-driven basis only.

There are a number of challenges to implement such a regulation. These include the resources required for a City-sponsored education process for installers, as it is not likely that a building permit would be required for air conditioning units. People would also be reluctant to move the air conditioning units if requested, as the cost can be significant.

Legal issues would also arise in terms of the thousands of non-conformities that would be created for existing air conditioning units. Home owners could find themselves in the situation where a new house or addition to a house may be located within the separation distance of an existing air conditioning unit on the neighbouring lot. In this case, there would be some question as to whether the new home owner has a right to object to air conditioner noise.

b) Decibel Level in the Noise Bylaw

The Noise Bylaw could be amended to contain a maximum continuous decibel level for air conditioning units in residential areas. This would involve the purchasing of sound measuring equipment and training of inspectors. There is also the question of what noise standard would be adopted, whether that standard is reasonably attainable given existing air conditioning technology and whether that standard would actually reduce the number of neighbourhood disputes.

Decisions would also have to be made in terms of how to treat existing air conditioning units that don't meet the new noise standard, and whether replacement units would have to comply. It should be noted that the Noise Bylaw is enforced by

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 31**

Saskatoon Police Services, and thus, any amendments to this Bylaw would require their input, especially if any amendment required staff to be trained to use sound measuring equipment.

c) Facilitating Voluntary Noise Reduction

The third option involves the City Administration attempting to encourage voluntary noise reduction related to air conditioning units. Staff could encourage residents to use sound blankets, sound baffles or quieter fans, to relocate the offending unit, or perhaps alter the pattern or frequency of air conditioning usage.

4. Financial Implications

Each of the policy options identified have implications upon staff resources and budget; the full extent of which will depend upon the option selected, as well as the number of complaints received.

5. Conclusion

This report contains a number of options for dealing with the issue of air conditioning unit noise. Regulatory options through the Zoning or Noise Bylaws are not without significant *challenges. Given the very small number of complaints received by the Community Services Department on this issue, it is recommended that the number of complaints received during the summer of 2000 be closely monitored, including the referral of all complaints received by members of City Council to the Community Services Department. If a significant number of complaints are received, the Department will report back to Council on this issue early in 2001.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 32**

**A2) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between March 9 to March 23, 2000
(For Information Only)
(File Nos. PL4350, 4355D)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the following report be received as information.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Development Plan

- Amendment No: DPA13/00: (no civic address)
Applicant: City of Saskatoon, City Planning Branch
Legal Description: Northeast Annexed Lands
Current Land Use Designation: n/a
Proposed Land Use Designation: Residential
Neighbourhood: University Heights Suburban Development Area
Date Received: March 13, 2000

Rezoning

- Application No. Z14/00: (no civic address)
Applicant: City of Saskatoon, City Planning Branch
Legal Description: Northeast Annexed Lands
Current Zoning: n/a
Proposed Zoning: FUD - Future Urban Development District
Neighbourhood: University Heights Suburban Development Area
Date Received: March 13, 2000

Discretionary Use

- Application No. D8/00: P#2-606 Victoria Avenue
Applicant: Dr. Sydney Fogel
Legal Description: Lot 17 except for road, Plan 64S11680 and 18 R & S
Block BB, Plan Q25 and Q5
Current Zoning: M3
Proposed Use: Home Based Business - Type II
Neighbourhood: Nutana
Date Received: March 21, 2000

ATTACHMENTS

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 33**

1. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z14/00
2. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D8/00

**A3) Lighting in Parks
(File CK.. 1870-1 and 4205-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 15.

Section B - CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Schedule of Accounts Paid
(File No. CK. 1530-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the following information be received.

ADOPTED.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
March 13 to 15, 2000	549,002.66
March 15 to 20, 2000	5,352,647.00
March 20 to 22, 2000	523,302.21
March 23 to 27, 2000	602,611.28

**B2) Investments
(File No. 1790-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the attached purchases and sales.

ADOPTED.

With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached list indicates purchases and sales for the City's various funds.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 34**

ATTACHMENT

1. Schedule of Securities Transactions (March 1st - 17th, 2000).

**B3) Saskatoon SPCA
Provision of Animal Control and Bylaw Enforcement Services
(File No. 151-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 18.

**B4) City of Saskatoon - 2000 Operating Budget
(File No. 1704-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2000 Operating Budget be referred to the Budget Committee for review at its meetings of April 10 and 11, 2000.

ADOPTED.

Attached, for City Council's approval, is a copy of the City of Saskatoon's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget. The document reveals the following proposed property tax increases:

1. Increase required to maintain existing services	0.27%
2. Increase required to fund service level increases	0.44%
3. Increase required to fund the City's contribution to the Canadian Light Source Project	<u>1.08%</u>
Total	1.79%

For a homeowner, whose home has a fair value assessment of \$100,000, an additional \$16.28 would be added to their 2000 property tax bill.

The attached budget document contains a letter of transmittal which provides, in greater detail, the issues which were addressed, and identifies the major changes which were required and their impact on the City's budgetary needs.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2000 Operating Budget (limited distribution).
2. Proposed Review Schedule.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 35**

**B5) Provincial Budget
Capital Project 1520 (Circle Drive/Attridge Grade Separation)
Capital Project 1521 (Circle Drive/22nd Street Grade Separation)
(File Nos. 1700-3 and 1702-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that this report be received as information; and,
2) that Capital Project 1520 (Circle Drive/Attridge Grade Separation) and Project 1521 (Circle Drive/22nd Street Grade Separation) be approved to proceed without the conditions set out in the 2000 Capital Budget/2001-2004 Capital Plan.

ADOPTED.

On March 29, 2000, the Provincial Government released its 2000-01 budget which identified a number of changes which directly impact the City of Saskatoon. The purpose of this report is to highlight those changes in the context of the City's 2000 Operating and Capital Budgets.

Operating Budget

- Revenue Sharing - the Provincial Revenue Sharing pool remains unchanged from 1999 - an assumption which has been included in the Preliminary Operating Budget.
- The budget includes a 33% increase towards the payment of grants-in-lieu of taxes, confirming the commitment to phase in such grants on Provincially-owned properties over a three-year period.
- Transit Assistance for the Disabled - the Provincial budget for the provision of these services has remained at the same level as last year. Until additional funding details are received, we are unclear whether the Province will adjust the inequities which currently exist in the distribution of these funds.
- Meewasin Valley Authority - the Province's contribution towards the operation of the MVA remains unchanged. This assumption was used in the preparation of the Preliminary Budget for determining the required City contribution.
- Provincial Sales Tax - while the expanded base for the application of the provincial sales tax will impact the City's 2000 operating budget, it is difficult at this time to determine the financial impact this may have. Departments will be advised to make the necessary adjustments during the year as they arise.
- Because the 2000/01 Provincial Budget contains information which either matches our assumptions or for which your Administration has expressly excluded its impact, the 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget, as presented to City Council for review by its Budget Committee on April 10th and 11th, remains unchanged.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 36**

Capital Budget

- Provincial Sales Tax - the expanded base to which this tax will apply could result in substantial cost increases to some of the projects which have been identified in the City's 2000 Capital Budget. Effective July 1, 2000, the base will be expanded to include architectural, consulting and engineering services. Until we receive further clarification regarding the details of this base expansion, we will not be able to provide financial details. Unlike operating costs (which can be reduced by amending services), capital projects cannot be compromised as a result of this additional cost. Unless such costs can be absorbed within the contingencies of each project, it may become necessary to make additional funding contributions to some projects which include components of the service now subject to Provincial sales taxes. None of the projects identified in the City's 2000 Capital Budget are deemed to be in jeopardy because of this additional cost.
- Provincial Municipal Infrastructure Program - this budget continues (at the same level of funding) the capital funding program introduced by the Province last year. While no commitments were available at the time of writing this report that the distribution will, at a minimum, equal the contribution which the City received in 1999 (\$1,800,000), we believe that the Province's recognition of local government needs for capital funding will result in an equitable distribution in 2000.
- Centenary Capital Fund - this annual \$30,000,000 program (scheduled for a four-year period) is intended to help address specific infrastructure needs and will supplement the Provincial-Municipal Infrastructure Program referenced above. Of the total program funding, \$5,000,000 has been reserved to address municipal infrastructure (with other components being highways/environmental, post-secondary education, schools, social housing and parks/heritage projects). Other than determining that one-half of the municipal component has been reserved for northern water and sewer projects, the distribution of the balance of the funds is unknown at this time. We have also not received any further information regarding how the other components of the program, especially environmental, social housing and parks/heritage, might provide opportunities for the City of Saskatoon.

As City Council is aware, Project 1520 (Circle Drive/Attridge Grade Separation) was approved by City Council subject to the confirmation of senior government funding. This motion was made to ensure that this project would not place Project 1521 (Circle Drive/22nd Street Grade Separation) in jeopardy should such funding not materialize. Until the Federal Government has had discussions with the Province regarding the allocation of its new Infrastructure Program funding, and we receive final confirmation from the Province regarding the distribution of the funding they have included in their most recent budget, we cannot provide City Council with a definitive answer on how those decisions might impact the aforementioned capital projects.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 37**

However, based on our projections, the capital programs announced by both the Federal and Provincial governments, if distributed equitably, should meet or exceed the assumptions which were made in the City's 2000 Capital Budget/2001-4 Capital Plan. As such, we are asking City Council to commit to both projects at this time, without reservation to senior government grants. Should, for some unforeseen reason, these grants not be forthcoming to the City, the risk inherent in this decision would be the need to increase the borrowing which had already been identified for these projects. Future financing costs for this incremental debt could increase future property taxes by approximately 1.5%.

Section D - INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**D1) Turf Maintenance Contract - 2000
File No: 4150-3**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that funding for contract services identified in the Parks Branch Turf Management Program be reassigned to provide for contracted mowing on road side turf along Circle Drive; and,
 - 2) that turf maintenance of parks in the contract area revert to civic staff in the Parks Branch, Infrastructure Services Department upon expiration of the existing contract.

ADOPTED.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report recommends reassigning contract funds from park maintenance to roadside maintenance. By doing so, the Parks Branch can eliminate the need to replace expensive mowing equipment and will maximize the cost savings of contracting out a service where competitive bidding will be very active.

The report further recommends reassigning park turf maintenance in the neighbourhoods of Montgomery Place, Fairhaven and Parkridge to City staff. Although the bidding process seemed to indicate a small annual advantage in outsourcing turf maintenance in parks it also created several problems and raised some questions:

1. There is uncertainty about distinguishing between avoidable costs and unavoidable costs. There is no clarity about which costs are to be considered part of a City bid which can without doubt be achieved over the life of the contract, and those costs which are unavoidable.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 38**

2. The provision of a uniform level of service to all neighbourhood parks across the City has been questioned. Parks staff are required to spend more time when addressing individual community needs in parks in the contract area. There is subsequently a perception in the communities where turf maintenance is carried out by a contractor, that services by City staff would be desirable and comparable to other neighbourhoods.
3. The out-sourcing of the turf maintenance in parks caused considerable disruption among civic employees who are concerned about loss of employment and a lack of commitment to civic staff. Reassignment of turf maintenance in parks would address this concern.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held May 26, 1997 City Council awarded Turf Maintenance Contract No. 7-0032 to Custom Lawn Care Ltd. for maintenance of turf in parks, road rights-of way, buffer strips and vacant City owned land south of 22nd Street/Highway 14 and west of Circle Drive, at a total cost of \$189,812.65 including G.S.T. for a period to begin June 1, 1997 to May 31, 2000. In view of the contract expiring this year the Parks Branch considers this an opportunity to reassess the use of contractual services in maintenance of open green spaces.

DISCUSSION

A review of the history surrounding the use of contractors in the Parks Branch since 1994 can be summarized in the following points:

1. The City received relatively few bids from the private sector in response to our tendering process. The first three year contract was awarded in 1994 to Custom Lawn Care Ltd. who was the only bidder other than the City's bid. In 1997 two contractors submitted bids in addition to the City's bid. In 1997 the contract was awarded to Custom Lawn Care Ltd. again. In 1994 the City's bid was within 5% of the successful bid. In 1997 the City bid was nearly 20% higher than the successful bid, however the other bid submitted by a contractor was nearly 400% higher than the successful bid. This demonstrates the effects of tendering in a marketplace with a very limited number of potential bidders.
2. The initial three year contract was considered a pilot. During this period several complaints were received from the public pointing out that the contractor was not able to respond to specific concerns identified by members of the community. Subsequently during the second contract the contractor worked closely with the Parks Branch to provide better response to public complaints. Expressions of public concern were reduced.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 39**

3. Parks Branch staff collaborate with community associations and sportsfield user groups to address specific park needs or provide park services through cost sharing initiatives. This work may consist of additional fertilizer applications, aerating high use turf areas, tree planting or installations of sports features such as home-run fences funded by sportsfield user groups. Event specific duties required of Parks staff may involve controlling irrigation operation, adjusting mowing schedules, providing additional lawn mowing, irrigation operation, litter pick-up and assistance with locating event specific facilities such as tents. When this specialized work occurs in parks maintained by the contractor, Parks Branch staff are required to spend an inordinate amount of time in coordinating our staff with contracted staff to avoid confusion and maintain acceptable levels of service delivery.

These observations led the Parks Branch to review the application of contract services. Contractors can provide an acceptable means of service delivery however, there is a need to review the function best achieved by out-sourcing. The various programs within the Parks Branch carry out different functions in the City's park system. Most of these require coordination among the programs and our own staff. Through our experience with contract tree pruning it has become clear that contracting out relatively simple functions in definable units of work results in greater success with contract services. Roadside mowing is considered to be a relatively simple function presently carried out by Parks staff. This function does not require unusually specialized equipment, and there are a greater number of potential contractors in the marketplace who could deliver this service. These circumstances would result in greater competitive bidding and ultimately better prices for the City.

JUSTIFICATION

Over the last ten years the Parks Equipment Inventory has been, and still is, in a position of limited funding. Several years ago establishment of an Equipment Replacement Reserve provided the ability to level out annual peaks in expenditures by managing and controlling the pieces of equipment being replaced. This has resulted in a structured equipment replacement plan, however, the Reserve balance fails to grow because of a backlog of old equipment that requires replacement. This replacement demand uses up the annual contribution to the Reserve. The present plan forecasts replacement of several large turf mowing units within the next one to three years. These units consist of expensive mowers powered by large tractors which are also very expensive. One tractor-mower unit may cost an estimated \$75,000 to \$100,000. Our Equipment Replacement Reserve will not be adequate to provide for projected replacement while maintaining our fleet of smaller, more specialized, park maintenance equipment such as top dressers, thatch sweepers, aerators, tractors, rotary tillers and the more versatile mid-sized self propelled mowers.

By reassigning the funding for contract services from park maintenance to roadside mowing the Parks Branch staff plan to eliminate the need to replace three self propelled tractors and two self

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 40**

propelled mowers in the year 2000. This will address the immediate funding shortfall in the Equipment Replacement Reserve and help solve the same problem over the next two to three years. Existing staff will be reassigned from roadside mowing to park maintenance in the Fairhaven, Parkridge and Montgomery neighbourhoods. This change will not require any budget adjustments and will not result in job losses.

The past contract provided for turf mowing, trimming, litter control, irrigation system operation maintenance and repair, fertilization and aeration on a total of 58.62 hectares of park and non-park turf. Funding for this contract will be applied to the mowing, trimming, and litter control on a total of 203.72 hectares of roadside turf along Circle Drive from 11th Street to south of Taylor Street. The area will also include 22nd Street west of Circle Drive, Idylwyld Drive north of 39th Street, College Drive from Preston Avenue to McKercher Drive and intersections of Circle Drive with the following roadways: Warman Road, Attridge Drive, 14th Street, 8th Street, Taylor Street, Preston Avenue, Clarence Avenue and Lorne Avenue. The Parks Branch will divide this area into two approximately equal contracts. Parks staff and the remaining equipment formerly assigned to this roadside mowing function will be reassigned to park maintenance duties in the areas previously carried out by a contractor.

Re-assigning contract services to roadside turf mowing will provide the following benefits:

1. The Parks Branch will be able to eliminate the need to replace expensive mowing equipment required for roadside turf care. This in turn will help address the short and long term funding short fall in the Parks Equipment Replacement Reserve.
2. The nature of the work being tendered will attract more contractors and result in competitive bidding. We anticipate this will result in better pricing for service delivery.
3. The two contracts will have identical specifications regarding level of service delivery which will insure uniform maintenance standards across the City.
4. Staff reassignment will provide continued employment for all seasonal staff and will not result in any staff layoffs.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 41**

OPTIONS

Without reassignment of contract funding the Parks Branch anticipates a shortfall in funding in the Parks Equipment Replacement Reserve. This will require the Branch to extend the use of some equipment beyond its optimum service life. Ultimately we would be required to request an increase in funding contributions to the Replacement Reserve.

Re-tendering the present contract in addition to out-sourcing roadside mowing is an option. As stated in this report the Parks Branch would not be interested in bidding on the contract for roadside mowing because we feel the very competitive bidding environment for that type of service would eliminate us as a viable candidate. The Parks Branch would submit a bid for maintenance of the parks currently under contract. However, the lack of competitive bidding in this area of service has been demonstrated in the past. Also the City's bids have received a large degree of public scrutiny and we have a limited ability to adjust our costs and subsequently our bids from year to year. This creates an unfair advantage in favour of competing bidders. In the event the Parks Branch was not successful in securing the contract for park maintenance, and contracted our roadside mowing, three seasonal positions would be lost from the Turf Maintenance Program.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Parks Equipment Replacement Reserve will be better able to address the replacement needs in the equipment inventory without an immediate requirement to increase the annual contribution. Although we have no assurance of what bids will be submitted we are cautiously optimistic that the Parks Branch will achieve a modest savings. It would be prudent to apply this saving toward the annual contribution to the Park's Equipment Replacement Reserve.

There will be no financial impact on the operating budget. Staff will be retained and reassigned to former contract work. Reports from our Maintenance Management System indicate that the City's cost to maintain 203.72 hectares for a five month period is \$57,367.55. This includes, three seasonal staff of labour, as well as materials and equipment costs. Our proposal recommends these total resources be applied to the maintenance of parks in the presently contracted area for the period of June, to the end of October. The existing contract provides for care during the month of May when the contractor will re-energize the irrigation systems in the parks. The sum of \$57,367.55 can be compared to the City's 1999 bid price of \$74,777.60 less \$11,327.71 which was our proposed cost to re-energize the irrigation systems. Therefore it is evident that whereas in the bidding process the Parks Branch proposed the cost would be \$63,449.89 we are now proposing our staff

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 42**

will be able to maintain this area for an amount of \$57,367.55. The Parks Branch feels confident that we can reduce the original bid cost by \$6,082.34 through efficiencies, such as use of current mowing equipment, use of the exiting roving crews and exclusion of unavoidable costs from cost considerations.

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

No communication plan is needed.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

There will be no environmental impact.

**D2) Proposed Removal of S.G.I. Restricted Parking
(File No. 6120-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the Saskatchewan Government Insurance restricted parking area, located on the 700 block of 1st Avenue North, be removed.

ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services has received a request from the owner of 702-1st Avenue North to remove the parking restriction, dedicating curb space to SGI, located on the east block face of 700-1st Avenue North. S.G.I. conducts driver examinations for school buses and large trucks from this location.

Recently S.G.I. has acquired space within the repair garage of the Saskatchewan Transportation Company where driver examinations currently take place free from the affects of the weather. The Infrastructure Services Department met with a representative of S.G.I. to discuss this matter and no objection was raised concerning the removal of the S.G.I. parking restriction on 1st Avenue.

The Infrastructure Services Department has reviewed this request and has no problem with the removal of the restriction, as shown on Plan No. G6-14B (Attachment 1).

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan No. G6-14B

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 43**

Section F - CITY MANAGER

F1) Communications to Council

**From: Lorna Gibson
Silverspring School Steering Committee
Date: February 14, 2000
Subject: Lack of school facilities in Silverspring neighbourhood.
(File Nos. CC 4131-7 and 100-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting held on February 21, 2000, heard from Ms. Lorna Gibson of the Silverspring School Steering Committee, as well as Greg Rathgeber and Kevin McCaig, regarding the lack of school facilities in Silverspring. The information was received and referred to the Administration to contact the Board of Education and report back.

As requested by City Council, we have asked both the School Boards, as well as the Deputy Minister of Education to proceed as soon as possible with the Silverspring schools. A copy of the return correspondence from the School Boards and the Deputy Minister of Education is attached. These replies have been forwarded to Ms. Lorna Gibson.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter dated February 29, 2000, from the Director of Education, Saskatoon Catholic Schools.
2. Letter dated March 1, 2000, from the Director of Education, Saskatoon Public School Division.
3. Letter dated March 13, 2000, from the Deputy Minister of Education.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2000

**A1) Council Meeting Schedule
(File No. CK. 255-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the inauguration of newly-elected Council members take place on Monday, October 30, 2000 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 44**

- 2) that City Council's regular meeting schedule be amended to provide for meetings on the following days:

Monday, October 16, 2000;
Monday, November 6, 2000;
Monday, November 20, 2000;
Monday, December 4, 2000; and
Monday, December 18, 2000.

ADOPTED.

The existing schedule of City Council meetings provides for meetings on the following dates:

Monday, October 16, 2000;
Monday, October 30, 2000;
Tuesday, November 13, 2000;
Monday, November 27, 2000; and
Monday, December 11, 2000.

This schedule does not allow for a separate inaugural meeting, and only provides for one meeting in December.

It is proposed that the inaugural meeting of City Council be held on October 30, 2000, with the regular meeting schedule (i.e. every two weeks) re-commencing on November 6, 2000.

Attached is a calendar showing the proposed meeting dates.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Calendar

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 45**

Section B - OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) Changes to The City of Saskatoon Building Bylaw
(File No. CK. 185-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7918.

ADOPTED.

Bylaw No. 7863 was passed by City Council at its meeting held July 12, 1999. *The Uniform Building and Accessibility Standards Act* requires any building bylaws passed by Council to be approved by the Provincial Government. The *Act* requires that bylaws be submitted to the Provincial Government within 30 days of their passing. Bylaw No. 7863 was not forwarded to the Provincial Government within the 30-day time limit. As this bylaw was not forwarded to them in this time frame, the Building Standards Branch of Municipal Government is refusing to approve the bylaw. However, they have informed us that if we pass the same bylaw again and submit it within 30 days of its passing, they will approve the bylaw.

In order to make the numbering in this bylaw correct, it was necessary to incorporate some of the amendments just approved by Council on March 6, 2000, in Bylaw No. 7911 with respect to the increase of building permit fees.

Attached please find Bylaw No. 7918. This bylaw replicates exactly the amendments approved by City Council in Bylaw No. 7863 passed on July 12, 1999.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 7918.

**B2) Communications to Council
From: Stu Irvine, President & Chief Executive Officer
Mitchell's Gourmet Foods Inc.
Date: February 1, 2000
Subject: Fred Mitchell Memorial Garden
(File No. CK. 4000-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7921.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 46**

City Council at its meeting on February 22, 1999 resolved that a full tax abatement be granted for five years for the property known as Labatt's Gardens on Saskatchewan Crescent West. At the time Council granted the exemption, the property was jointly owned by 623183 Saskatchewan Ltd. and Willowridge Developments Ltd. The property was subsequently sold to Mitchell's Gourmet Foods Inc. ("Mitchell's") and has been renamed the Fred Mitchell Memorial Garden. Accordingly this Office has negotiated the terms of the Tax Exemption Agreement with the solicitors for Mitchell's and the proposed Agreement is between the City and Mitchell's.

Under the terms of the original Development and Servicing Agreement between the City and 623183 Saskatchewan Ltd. and Willowridge Developments Ltd., it was agreed that the public would have an unrestricted right of access to the Labatt's Gardens site. It was further agreed that the property had to be maintained by the developers as a "picture park" for the benefit of the general public. The developers were required to provide the City with an irrevocable letter of credit for a term of 20 years to secure the performance of their obligations to maintain a property. The initial amount of the letter of credit was for the sum of \$65,551.00, which amount was to be reduced by 5% per year over a term of 20 years.

Mitchell's wrote to City Council on February 21, 2000 requesting that the requirement to supply the letter of credit be waived by the City. Mitchell's acknowledged its responsibility for all costs related to the upkeep of the property and confirmed its commitment to maintain the property as one of the most picturesque parks in Saskatoon.

City Council at its meeting on March 20, 2000 received a report from the Infrastructure Services Department recommending, in part, that the requirement to provide a letter of credit not be included in the proposed Tax Exemption Agreement between the City and Mitchell's. City Council at that meeting adopted this recommendation.

We are therefore pleased to submit for Council's consideration Bylaw No. 7921. The Bylaw authorizes the execution of the proposed Tax Exemption Agreement between the City and Mitchell's. The proposed Agreement is attached as Schedule "A" to the Bylaw. The terms of the proposed Agreement have been discussed with the solicitors for Mitchell's and are acceptable as drafted. The Agreement grants Mitchell's a five year exemption from taxation for the property for the years 1999 to 2003 inclusive.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 7921.

REPORT NO. 4-2000 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor D. L. Birkmaier
Councillor H. Harding
Councillor A. Langford
Councillor P. Roe

**1. Business License Program
(File No. CK. 300-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare an amendment to The Business License Bylaw to reduce the new Business License and change of location fees from \$100 to \$95; the Business License renewal fee from \$75 to \$70; and; to eliminate the fees for change of ownership and change in floor area effective May 1, 2000; and,
 - 2) that the General Manager of Community Services provide a further report to the Administration and Finance Committee on the partnership proposal from the Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2000 forwarding information and recommendations to reduce various Business License charges, and to eliminate the fee for change of ownership and change in floor area, effective May 1, 2000. Your Committee has reviewed this report with the General Manager, Community Services Department, and supports the changes as outlined in Recommendation No. 1) above. The Committee also heard a verbal report from the Executive Director, Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce regarding the partnership proposal outlined in the report, and looks forward to receiving further information in this regard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 48**

**2. Proposed West Bank Redevelopment at the Weir
(File No. CK. 7840-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the proposed West Bank Redevelopment at the Weir project be approved in principle, and that funding requirements be considered during the 2001 Capital Budget process.

City Council considered Clause B4, Administrative Report No. 3-2000 on the above matter, at its meeting held on February 7, 2000, at which time the matter was referred to the Administration and Finance Committee. Your Committee subsequently met with the Chief Executive Officer of the Meewasin Valley Authority and the matter was referred to the Administration for a report on the funding implications. Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated March 16, 2000 which was received in response to the Committee's referral.

Your Committee has met with the General Manager, Corporate Services Department and the Chief Executive Officer of the Meewasin Valley Authority to review this report, and has determined that Phase I of this project would involve a funding commitment in the amount of \$90,000 from the City, and that the Meewasin Valley Authority will be launching a fundraising campaign. In order to secure funding, they need a commitment of support for this project from the City. A motion made at the Committee meeting to recommend to City Council that funding be approved for Phase I of this project in the amount of \$90,000 from the 2000 Capital Budget, was lost in a tie vote, however, the Committee does wish to obtain Council's approval of the project, in principle, in order to assist the Meewasin Valley Authority in launching its fundraising campaign.

IT WAS RESOLVED:

- 1) that the proposed West Bank Redevelopment at the Weir project be approved in principle; and*
- 2) that funding requirements be considered during the 2001 Capital Budget process.*

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Heidt, Waygood, Harding,
McCann, and Atchison* 6

NAYS: Councillors Birkmaier, Langford, Steernberg, Maddin and Roe 5

REPORT NO. 1-2000 OF THE BOXING AND WRESTLING COMMISSION

Composition of Committee

Councillor R. Steernberg, Chair
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor D.L. Birkmaier

**1. Application to Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission
to Hold a Professional Boxing Event at the Prairieland
Exhibition Trade Centre on May 27, 2000
(File No. CK. 205-23)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

City Council, as per Bylaw No. 5890, has provided for the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission to control and regulate sparring exhibitions, boxing matches and wrestling matches in the City of Saskatoon.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated January 19, 2000 from Mr. Warren J. Rutherford, President, Fight Night Multimedia, submitting an application on behalf of Mannpower Entertainment Ltd. to conduct a 5 bout professional boxing event at the Prairieland Exhibition Trade Centre in Saskatoon on May 27, 2000. Also attached is a copy of further documentation dated February 16, 2000, amending the name on the application from Fight Night Multimedia to MannPower Entertainment Ltd.

The Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission has reviewed the above application and has approved the application upon the condition that:

- a) such professional boxing event be sanctioned, supervised and conducted under the auspices of the Edmonton Boxing and Wrestling Commission, and that the applicant, Mannpower Entertainment Ltd., assume any and all costs and expenses in this regard;
- b) the applicant, Mannpower Entertainment Ltd., indemnify and save harmless The City of Saskatoon, the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission, the Edmonton Boxing and Wrestling Commission and the Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition Corporation, and their respective officials, officers, appointees, employees and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 50**

agents, from and against any and all claims, damages, costs and expenses of whatsoever nature arising either directly or indirectly from the conduct of such professional boxing event, and execute a form of Indemnification Agreement in this regard as prepared by the City Solicitor of The City of Saskatoon; and

- c) the applicant, Mannpower Entertainment Ltd., provide the Commission with evidence of the due placement of public liability insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000 relating to the conduct of such professional boxing event, which insurance shall identify The City of Saskatoon, the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission, the Edmonton Boxing and Wrestling Commission and the Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition Corporation, and their respective officials, officers, appointees, employees and agents as additional named insureds.

The Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission has instructed the City Solicitor to formally request the Edmonton Boxing and Wrestling Commission to sanction, supervise and conduct the noted professional boxing event on behalf of the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 51**

REPORT NO. 6-2000 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chair
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor D. L. Birkmaier
Councillor H. Harding
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor A. Langford
Councillor J. Maddin
Councillor P. McCann
Councillor P. Roe
Councillor R. Sternberg
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Council Representation
Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 225-58)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Councillor Patricia Roe be City Council's representative on the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee to the end of 2000.

ADOPTED.

The composition of the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee provides for one member of City Council. This position is currently shared by Councillors Roe and Atchison.

Your Committee has discussed whether the membership on the Committee should be expanded to provide for two members of Council, and supports a recommendation of the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee that membership remain as it is for this year, and be reviewed at the end of the year. Your Committee has further determined that Councillor Roe should be the sole Council representative.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 52**

**2) Request for Tax Incentive
direcTEL
(File No. CK. 3500-13)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the tax incentive request from direcTEL be approved at the following rates:

1 st year	50%	
2 nd year		40%
3 rd year	30%	
4 th year	20%	
5 th year	10%	

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports an application by direcTEL for a tax incentive for the addition of a call center in Saskatoon. Attached is a copy of direcTEL's application form and supporting information."

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7918

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7918, being "The Building Amendment Bylaw, 2000 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 53**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,
THAT Bylaw No. 7918 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Maddin,
THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7918.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7918 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7918 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 54**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Waygood

THAT Bylaw No. 7918 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7921

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7921, being “The Fred Mitchell Memorial Garden Tax Exemption Bylaw, 2000” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 7921 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Maddin,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7921.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 55**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7921 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7921 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Waygood

THAT Bylaw No. 7921 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2000
PAGE 56**

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk