

Council Chambers  
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.  
Monday, March 25, 2002  
at 7:00 p.m.

## **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**PRESENT:** Councillor Paulsen, in the Chair;  
Councillors Atchison, Fortosky, Heidt, Roe, Steernberg, Swystun  
and Waygood;  
City Manager Richards;  
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;  
General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp;  
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman;  
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Hewitt;  
General Manager, Utility Services Munch;  
City Solicitor Dust;  
City Clerk Mann;  
A/Councillors' Assistant Long.

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

*THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on March 11, 2002 be approved.*

*CARRIED.*

### **HEARINGS**

- 2a) Proposed Redesignation of Part of Municipal Buffer Strip  
Arbor Creek Neighbourhood  
Municipal Buffer Strip MB57, Plan No. 96S28728  
Proposed Bylaw No. 8104  
(File No. CK. 4000-1)**
- 

#### **REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

“Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed redesignation prior to its consideration of Bylaw No. 8104, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a copy of Clause A5) of Administrative Report No. 1-2002 which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on January 7, 2002.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 2**

The following is a report of the City Solicitor dated March 20, 2002 with respect to the matter:

‘City Council, at its meeting on January 7, 2002, instructed the City Solicitor to take all necessary steps to bring the redesignation and exchange forward. I have enclosed Bylaw No. 8104 for Council's consideration.

The utility companies have been consulted and they have no objection to this proposed redesignation and exchange.

The civic departments who will be providing services to the site anticipate no difficulties and have no objections to the exchange and redesignation.

We are also enclosing a copy of the Title to the property for Council's information.’”

*Councillor Paulsen opened the hearing and ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.*

*Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8104.*

*CARRIED.*

**2b) Hearings  
Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment  
Part of Parcel J, Plan No. 96S28728  
Kenderdine Road – Arbor Creek Neighbourhood  
R1A to RMTN – Proposed Bylaw No. 8102  
(File No. CK. 4350-1)**

**REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

“Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendments prior to its consideration of Bylaw No. 8102, copy attached.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 3**

Attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated February 4, 2002, recommending that the application of Dundee Development Corporation to rezone a portion of Registered Plan 96S28728, as shown on Attachment 2 of the report of the Community Services Department dated January 15, 2002, from an R1A District to an RMTN District be approved..

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of March 2 and 9, 2002 is also attached.”

*Councillor Paulsen opened the hearing.*

*Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department’s support of the proposed rezoning.*

*Ms. Colleen Yates, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission’s support of the Zoning Bylaw Amendment.*

*Mr. Terry Boucher, 4-1910 Main Street, spoke in support of the Zoning Bylaw Amendment.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8102.*

*CARRIED.*

- c) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment  
Text Amendment – Revised Fees for Rezoning, Discretionary Uses and  
Development Permits  
Proposed Bylaw No. 8105  
(File No. CK. 4350-1)**
- 

**REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

“The purpose of this hearing is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendment prior to its consideration of proposed Bylaw No. 8105.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**

**PAGE 4**

Attached is a copy of Clause 7, Report No. 2-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on February 11, 2002, as well as a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated March 20, 2002 recommending that the Zoning Bylaw be amended to include the rezoning, discretionary use and development permit fee schedules as outlined in proposed Bylaw No. 8105, copy attached.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of March 2 and 9, 2002 is also attached.

Attached is a copy of a letter sent by the Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce to the Development Services Branch, as well as a copy of the response of the Development Services Branch.”

*Councillor Paulsen opened the hearing.*

*Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment. He provided Council with a handout outlining the proposed fee structure which is being proposed in Bylaw No. 8105, as well as Bylaw No. 8106, which is being reported on under Clause B1) of Legislative Report No. 5-2002 and deals with application fees for subdivisions. He explained that the two Bylaws are connected and requested that Council consider both Bylaws.*

*Ms. Colleen Yates, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.*

*Mr. Terry Boucher, 4-1910 Main Street, spoke against the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.*

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 5**

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8105.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT Clause B1), Legislative Report No. 5-2002 be brought forward and considered.*

*CARRIED.*

**“LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2002**

**B1) Development Services Branch 2002 Operating Budget  
Cost Recovery Proposal  
(File No. CK. 1704-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council consider Bylaw No. 8106.

City Council at its meeting held on February 11, 2002, resolved, in part, that The Subdivision Bylaw be amended to revise the fee schedule for subdivision applications to \$20.00 for the balance of the year 2002 and to \$400.00 commencing in 2003.

We enclose proposed Bylaw No. 8106, The Subdivision Amendment Bylaw, 2002, which amends the Subdivision Regulations in accordance with Council’s resolution.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8106.”

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8106.*

*CARRIED.*

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

**A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL**

**1) John Maxin  
326 Avenue D South, dated March 11**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Riversdale neighbourhood. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that John Maxin be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT John Maxin be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. John Maxin, 326 Avenue D South, spoke with respect to the future of the Riversdale neighbourhood. He provided Council with a copy of his presentation.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Anne E. Campbell, Executive Director  
YWCA of Saskatoon, dated March 19, 2002**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the tax abatement request from Saskatoon Community Service Village for 2001 to 2002. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clause 1, Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee and Items A18), AA76) and AA77) of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that Bryan Salte, President, Saskatoon Community Service Village Board of Directors, be heard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 7**

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT Clause 1, Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee and Items A18), AA76) and AA77) of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that Bryan Salte, President, Saskatoon Community Service Village Board of Directors, be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE**

**1. 2002 Property Tax Abatements  
(File No. CK. 1965-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the abatement of taxes for 2002 be approved for all former applications as outlined in Sections A to L of the attached report;
  - 2) that the application from The Native Alcohol Centre be approved; and
  - 3) that the new application from Saskatoon Community Village Inc. be denied; and
  - 4) that the question of tax abatements and tax incentives be referred to the Committee on Economic Growth and the Social Policy Development Round Table for discussion in terms of appropriate funding programs for both businesses and charities.

Attached are copies of the reports of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated January 14, 2002, (Attachment A), and March 11, 2002, (Attachment B), with respect to the 2002 Property Tax Abatement Program. Your Committee has met with representatives of the Saskatoon Community Service Village and The Native Alcohol Centre, and submits separate recommendations regarding these applications based on the information obtained from the Administration. The report dated March 11, 2002 addresses the application from the Saskatoon Community Service Village, and the Committee believes that this is a new application, many of the occupant groups have not been exempt from taxation in their previous location, and this application should be denied. With respect to The Native Alcohol Centre, the Administration has indicated that this organization has been receiving a property tax exemption for approximately 30 years, although it has never been part of the annual application process, and the Administration was unable to provide further details on the initial decision regarding this tax exemption. The

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 8**

Committee believes that since this is not a new application, and involves only a change in ownership, that the application be approved.

The Committee recognizes that the Council policy to deny all new applications raises issues of unfairness and inequality, and therefore believes that the entire process of tax abatements and tax incentives needs to be reviewed for both businesses and charities, and therefore is recommending referral to the Committee on Economic Growth and the Social Policy Development Round Table.

A question arose at the Committee meeting regarding the Boy Scouts building (Application I.1), and why this building was included in the 2002 Property Tax Exemptions list, as the building has been sold. The General Manager, Corporate Services Department has confirmed that the building was sold in January, 2002. It correctly continues on the exemption list, and once the sale has been recorded, the new owners would be issued a supplementary tax notice for the period from the date of sale to December 31 2002. Effectively, the exemption that will be given to the Boy Scouts will only be for the period for January 1 to the sale date.

**A18) Bryan Salte, President  
Saskatoon Community Service Village, dated March 25,**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the request for tax abatement from the Saskatoon Community Service Village, and submitting a copy of his presentation. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be considered with Item A2 of Communications.

**AA76) Donna L. Driedger, Solicitor for Camponi Housing Corp.  
Wardell Driedger & Associates, dated March 22**

Submitting information with respect to the request for the 2002 property tax abatement for the Native Alcohol Centre property. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**AA77) Klaus Gruber, MSW RSW (SK), Executive Director  
Family Service Saskatoon, dated March 21**

Submitting information with respect to the request for tax abatement for the Saskatoon Community Service Village. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 1), Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee.”



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**

**PAGE 9**

*Mr. Bryan Salte, President, Saskatoon Community Service Village Board of Director, explained the background of the Community Service Village and outlined the presentation he provided to Council with his letter. He asked that Council support their request for a tax abatement for 2001 to 2002.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

- 1) that the abatement of taxes for 2002 be approved for all former applications as outlined in Sections A to L of the report of General Manager, Corporate Services Department, dated January 14, 2002;*
- 2) that the application from The Native Alcohol Centre be approved; and*
- 3) that the question of tax abatements and tax incentives be referred to the Committee on Economic Growth and the Social Policy Development Round Table for discussion in terms of appropriate funding programs for both businesses and charities.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT the new application from Saskatoon Community Service Village Inc. be denied.*

*YEAS: Councillors Atchison, Heidt, Paulsen and Steernberg, 4*

*NAYS: Councillors Fortosky, Roe, Swystun and Waygood 4*

*THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST ON A TIE VOTE.*

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky*

*THAT the new application from Saskatoon Community Service Village be approved.*

*YEAS: Councillors Fortosky, Roe, Swystun and Waygood 4*

*NAYS: Councillors Atchison, Heidt, Paulsen and Steernberg. 4*

*THE MOTION WAS LOST ON A TIE VOTE.*

**REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL - CONTINUED**

3) **Remesh D. Mahabir, President**  
**Saskatoon Farmers' Market, dated March 19**

Requesting permission for a representative of the Saskatoon Farmers' Market to address Council with respect to a location for the Farmers' Market for the winter. (File No. CK. 205-7)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a representative of the Saskatoon Farmers' Market be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT a representative from the Saskatoon Farmers' Market be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Remesh Mahabir, Saskatoon Farmers' Market, outlined the benefits of the Saskatoon Farmers' Market to the City. He explained that they will not be able to use the Sturdy Stone Building next winter, and that they have also learned that their Tuesday location is no longer available. He asked for Council's help in finding a new location for the Saturday market for the winter, and for the use of Lakewood Civic Centre parking lot for their Tuesday market.*

*Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

4) **Stefan Franko**  
**16 Morton Place, dated March 20**

Requesting permission for a representative from the neighbourhood to address Council with respect to the redevelopment of the Sherwood Chevrolet Site on 8<sup>th</sup> Street.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a representative from the neighbourhood be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT a representative from the neighbourhood be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 11**

*Mr. Stefan Franko, 15 Morton Place, spoke with respect to traffic concerns at the location of the redevelopment of the Sherwood Chevrolet site on 8<sup>th</sup> Street.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT the information be received and referred to the Planning and Operations Committee, and that the Administration report to the Planning and Operations Committee on the matter.*

*CARRIED.*

**5) Bruce Siemens, President  
Saskatoon Professional Fire Fighters Union, Local 80, dated March 19**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clause C1, Administrative Report No. 6-2002, and Items A6) to A17), AA13) to AA71), AA74) and AA75) of Communications be brought forward and considered and that the speakers be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT Clause C1, Administrative Report No. 6-2002, and Items A6) to A17), AA13) to AA71), AA74) and AA75) of Communications be brought forward and considered and that the speakers be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2002**

**C1) 2001 Capital Budget – 2002-2005 Capital Plan  
Project 1902 - New Fire Station - Erindale  
(File No. CK. 630-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve:

1) either:

a) Alternative #1 in this report – to close Fire Station No. 5 in Sutherland,

or

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 12**

- b) Alternative #2 in this report – to temporarily retain Fire Station No. 5 in Sutherland until a new fire station can be located that will maintain a 4-minute average initial response time into the Sutherland, East College Park, and Briarwood communities and recommend to the Budget Committee that an additional \$241,593 be added to the 2003 Fire and Protective Services Department operating budget to hire 10 additional firefighters; and,
- 2) that a report on the location(s) of a new fire station and associated costs be provided to City Council if Alternative #2 is selected.

**BACKGROUND**

At its meeting held on January 8, 2001, City Council resolved the following:

- “1) that City Council adopt Alternative #4 for the construction and financing of the Erindale Fire Station;
- 2) that the Infrastructure Services Department be directed to arrange for the preparation of design and tender documents for the construction of the Erindale Fire Station to begin in September 2001; and
- 3) that the Administration report on the impact on the Sutherland Fire Hall.”

Items 1) and 2) have been completed, or are in the process of being completed as directed by Council, and it is anticipated that the New Erindale fire station will open in late June 2002. This report deals with item 3) only.

**REPORT**

Over the past year, your Administration has undertaken an analysis of the emergency responses and non-emergency activities relative to Fire Station No. 5 in Sutherland and issues that impact on the potential closure of this station. The following projects have been undertaken and completed:

1. The fire risk analysis for the City has been updated.
2. Emergency response times to each neighbourhood in the City for the year 2000 have been documented and compared to the NFPA deployment standard.
3. Emergency response times to each neighbourhood in the City for the fourth quarter of 2001 have been documented and compared to the NFPA deployment standard.

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002

PAGE 13

4. The number of responses from each fire station in the City has been documented for 2001.
5. Various alternatives for closing/retaining Fire Station No. 5 have been developed – two of those alternatives are presented for Council's consideration in this report. The others have been discounted as not being feasible.

## Fire Apparatus Deployment

Emergency response deployment is based on the National Fire Protection Association Standard - 1710. This Standard specifies safe and effective emergency response standards for fire, rescue, hazardous material and pre-hospital medical emergencies in urban areas. The Standard establishes a benchmark response time of **4 minutes** for the "first-in" unit and **8 minutes** for all apparatus dispatched to a full first alarm assignment.

The actual response times by neighbourhood for the year 2000 are contained in Attachment 1. Attachments 4 to 7 provide a graphic illustration of the areas where the profile for single engine response is outside the 4-minute standard and the neighbourhoods that are outside the 8-minute standard for full first alarm response. They also show the actual response time variances for those areas.

## Emergency Responses By Fire Station in 2001

Total apparatus emergency responses in 2001 are broken down as follows:

|   |               |
|---|---------------|
| Station Number 1 – 21 <sup>st</sup> Street and Idylwyld Drive | 4603          |
| Station Number 2 – Diefenbaker Drive                          | 2558          |
| Station Number 3 – York Avenue and Taylor Street              | 1195          |
| Station Number 4 – Faithfull Avenue and Circle Drive          | 1907          |
| Station Number 5 - Central Avenue in Sutherland               | 761           |
| Station Number 6 – Taylor Street and Circle Drive             | 1775          |
| Station Number 7 – Wanuskewin Road North                      | 546           |
| Station Number 8 – Airport Drive                              | <u>212</u>    |
| <b>Sub Total</b>  | 13,566        |
| Relocated and Reserve apparatus response from all halls       | 1,009         |
| <b>Total</b>  | <u>14,566</u> |

These response numbers have remained relatively constant over the past two years.

**Alternatives and Potential Impacts For Fire Station No. 5 (Sutherland)**

**Alternative #1 – Close Fire Station No. 5**

If Station No. 5 in Sutherland were closed, apparatus from Station No. 9 in Erindale would provide the *first-in* emergency response to this area and Fire Station No. 6 in Lakeview would provide *first-in* emergency response in the College Park and East College Park areas. This alternative will have no impact on the operating budget.

Impact on Emergency Response:

- Increased response times in the neighbourhoods of Sutherland (especially southern portions of Sutherland), Sutherland Industrial, Muskeg Lake Urban Reserve, College Park and East College Park for the first-in engine.
- The CP Rail Central Avenue level crossing will separate the Sutherland community from the new Fire Station No. 9.
- The response times for the first-in apparatus to Erindale, Arbor Creek, Forest Grove and Silverspring will be reduced to fall within the 4-minute standard set out in NFPA 1710. Currently the average response for the first-in unit in those neighborhoods is 5:55. It should be noted that full first alarm response to these neighbourhoods would continue to exceed the 8-minute standard required to meet the NFPA Standard.
- Emergency response times to neighbourhoods on the north side of the response district will be higher when fire crews are conducting inspections on the south side of the CP Rail level crossing – particularly in the Sutherland Industrial, Muskeg Lake Urban Reserve and East College Park areas.

Fire Prevention Branch personnel conduct the majority of fire inspections, however, firefighters also conduct a significant number. Fire crews stationed at Station No. 5 currently conduct 3652 inspections annually (368 fire inspections and 3284 Bylaw 7400 inspections). On the average, each battalion conducts 913 annually. These figures include the areas of Erindale, Arbor Creek, Forest Grove and Silverspring.

Inspection numbers and emergency responses in the northeast area of the City will continue to increase in proportion to the growth in that area of the City.

**Alternative #2 – Keep Fire Station No. 5 open as an interim measure until a new station can be built which will provide an effective response into the Sutherland, Briarwood, Lakeridge, East College Park and South East Development areas of the City.**

Maintaining operations at Station No. 5 over the long term is not feasible in the opinion of Administration. It would require a capital expenditure of approximately \$100,000 to provide facilities to accommodate female employees in addition to an annual operating cost of \$28,000 for utilities and ongoing maintenance. Your Administration has not identified funds to renovate the current Sutherland fire station at this time. This expenditure has been held in abeyance for

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 15**

the past four years. If the station was retained in the short term, this expenditure can be avoided; however, if it is to remain operational in the long term, this upgrading will be required.

Your Administration has identified a number of scenarios under which apparatus and personnel could be hired and/or relocated to allow operations to continue at Station No. 5. In each case, the retention of this station will (both short term and long term) negatively impact, to varying degrees, emergency response, ongoing training requirements and the inspection programs in the areas left with fewer apparatus and personnel. It is therefore the belief of your Administration that, if Council selects this alternative, the following must take place:

1. An additional 10 firefighters need to be hired. If the new station is opened July 1, 2002, the operating budget impact in 2002 would be \$241,593 (i.e. \$202,860 for staff and \$38,733 for equipment and uniforms). The impact on the 2003 operating budget will be \$422,577.
2. One apparatus would be converted from an aerial to an engine that will require 10 additional firefighters. This unit will be moved from the Lakeview Fire Station (Taylor Street and Circle Drive) to the new Fire Station No. 9 in Erindale.
3. A new fire station would need to be constructed in a location that would improve the response times to East College Park, Briarwood, and the southeast portion of the City, while maintaining an effective 4-minute response time into Sutherland for the first arriving apparatus.

The positive impact of this option would be:

- Provides additional staffing to ensure all stations operate at the current level of effectiveness.
- Improved emergency response to the University, South Sutherland, College Park, East College Park, and the Erindale/Silverspring areas. The NFPA response standard would be achieved in those areas, plus the Greystone Heights, Grosvenor Park, Varsity View and Nutana areas of the City when the transition to the new station is complete.
- The impact of out-of-commission apparatus would be reduced. (Attachment 8)
- The neighbourhoods of East College Park, Briarwood, South East Development Area, Lakeridge, will achieve the NFPA deployment standard.

The negative impact of this option would be:

- It may impact the inspection program in the Station No. 6 response district by reducing the staff complement in this area. This station currently undertakes 8,335 inspections (497 fire inspections and 7,838 Bylaw 7400 inspections). Administration can make some changes with the additional staffing level to compensate for this to a large extent.

**CONCLUSION**

In closing, strategic placement of Fire Stations is essential in delivering an acceptable standard of service to residents in all areas of the City. Long-term planning must address a number of factors, including growth and development trends, changing neighbourhood demographics, and increased demand for emergency response services. At some time in the near future, additional staffing and stations will be required to maintain a reasonable response standard throughout the City regardless of how the Sutherland station issue is dealt with.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Response Percentage/Neighbourhood Response Times - Year 2000
2. Average Response Times Outside NFPA Standard – Year 2000
3. Neighbourhood Response Time Map – Year 2000
4. 1<sup>st</sup> Alarm Structure Fire Code Red Response Map – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2001
5. 1<sup>st</sup> Alarm Structure Fire Code Red Response Map - Neighbourhoods outside the NFPA Standard – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2001
6. Single Engine Code Red Response Map – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2001
7. Single Engine Code Red Response Map - Neighbourhoods outside the NFPA Standard – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2001
8. Apparatus Out of Commission Report Due to Lack of Staffing – Year 2001
9. Projected Growth Map.

**A6) Dale Matthews  
Modern Billiards, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Dale Matthews be heard.

**A7) Norbert Wasylenko  
Rayner Agencies Ltd., dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Norbert Wasylenko be heard.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 17**

**A8) Martin Arndt  
Mid-North Safety, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Martin Arndt be heard.

**A9) Jack E. Adams  
319 – 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Jack E. Adams be heard.

**A10) Kay Elmer, President  
Sutherland Forest Grove Community Association, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Kay Elmer be heard.

**A11) Clinton Weese  
2 – 1104 Central Avenue, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clinton Weese be heard.

**A12) Eldon Norum  
108 – 105<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Eldon Norum be heard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 18**

**A13) Arlene Long, President  
Sutherland Curling Club, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Arlene Long be heard.

**A14) Joe Frketic and Shelley Arthur  
1642 Thompson Avenue and 211 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Joe Frketic or Shelly Arthur be heard.

**A15) Raymond Verbeke  
1100C Central Avenue, dated March 13**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Raymond Verbeke be heard.

**A16) Lucille Lafreniere  
109 Boyd Street, undated**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Lucille Lafreniere be heard.

**A17) Elaine and Sid Farkas  
R.R. 6, Saskatoon, undated**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to No. 5 Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Elaine and Sid Farkas be heard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 19**

**AA13) P.A. Munro and K.G. Munroe  
104F 141 – 105<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 11**

Expressing concerns with respect to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA14) Mr. and Mrs. A. R. Carney and Arco Equipment Sales Ltd.  
47 O'Neil Crescent and 339 – 103<sup>rd</sup> Street, dated March 11**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA15) Alvin Buettner  
219 Egbert Avenue, dated March 11**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA16) Sheila and Keith Hawkins  
310 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 11**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA17) Michael and Sara Stone  
214 Egbert Avenue, dated March 11**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA18) Marg Penner  
314 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 11**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA19) Elaine Shoemaker  
1333 Bryans Avenue, dated March 8**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA20) Jack E. Adams, B. Comm., CA, CMA**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 20**

**Adams Chartered Accountant, dated March 13**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA21) Steven Blanchard**

**207-B Dunlop Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA22) Judith Hunter**

**200 B 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA23) George G. Otoko**

**414 – 1524 Rayner Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA24) Cathy Waage**

**211 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA25) Bernie Yosinowski**

**402 Egbert Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA26) Jennifer Wildeman**

**103 Dalhausie Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 21**

**AA27) Diane Beaudry  
428 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA28) A. Bahrani  
314- 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA29) Irene Floch  
63 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA30) Joanne Leffries  
1605 Greig Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA31) Charman Thesen  
208 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA32) Ernest and Edyth Yathon  
123 113<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA33) Wally and Norma Douglas  
128A 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 22**

**AA34) Wendy Fosse  
102 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA35) Randy Fedyk  
26 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA36) Susan Douglas  
130 – 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA37) Janet Jean  
1646 Thompson Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA38) Cecile Prevost  
106 Delaven Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA39) Carolyn Bayda  
107 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA40) Gary C. Jones  
174 Rita Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 23**

**AA41) Christine Gierde  
203 – 101 – 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA42) Trina Taylor  
28 – 24 Central Place, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA43) Shawna Doucette  
42 – 219 Grant Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA44) Carla Peters  
3641 Diefenbaker Drive, dated March 12**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA45) Lillian M. Toy  
12 – 102 Elm Street, dated March 12**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA46) Vi Vlarley  
411 – 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 12**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA47) Diane and Kent Vick  
105 – 113<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 24**

**AA48) Theresa Antonio**  
**127B 106<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA49) Brenda Clauson**  
**112 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA50) Armette Wagg**  
**113 108<sup>th</sup> Street , dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA51) Linda Lai**  
**316 – 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA52) I. Don Clarke**  
**309 Brock Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA53) Sandra Dubé**  
**119 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA54) Adeane Webb**  
**329 Reid Road, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 25**

**AA55) Verna Hucul**

**157 Rita Crescent, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA56) Bob and Tammy Ammazzini**

**416 – 1524 Rayner Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA57) Toni Berthelot**

**434B Gardiner Place, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA58) Charlene Dyck**

**304 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA59) Cynthia Kay**

**414 A Gardiner Place, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA60) Christine Pederson**

**402 101 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA61) Ben Benson**

**209A 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 26**

**AA62) Bill Morris  
Central Dry Cleaners, dated February 2**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA63) W.W. and Lorraine Reiter  
122 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 12**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA64) Jeanne C. Noonan  
317 – 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA65) Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence Dion  
404 – 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 19**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA66) Albert Landry  
414 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA67) John Meckelborg  
113 112<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA68) Everett J. Kearley, P. Eng, President, Calvin Properties Ltd., MacKenzie Properties  
Ltd., Cabot Properties Ltd. and Blake Management Ltd., dated March 6**

Four letters expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall (File No. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 27**

**AA69) Dario Hernandez and Others  
24 – 409 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

Fifty-three letters expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA70) Shirley and Charles Accleton and Others  
15 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 11**

Two hundred and nineteen letters expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA71) Jim Howard and Others  
303 Budz Terrace, undated**

Petition with approximately 233 signatures expressing objection to the closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause C1, Administrative Report No. 6-2002.

**AA74) Audrey Bayduza  
405 – 113<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

Expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**AA75) Dean Willeborg and Others  
110 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 11**

Twenty-nine letters expressing opposition to the possible closure of Sutherland Fire Hall. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause C1, Administrative Report No. 6-2002.”

*Mr. Bruce Siemens, President, Saskatoon Professional Fire Fighters Union, Local 80, spoke with respect to the value of Fire Hall No. 5. He asked that Council keep Fire Hall No. 5 open and properly staffed.*

*Mr. Dale Matthews, Modern Billiards, was not in attendance.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 28**

*Mr. Norbert Wasylenko, Rayner Agencies Ltd., spoke in opposition to the closure of Fire Hall No. 5. He indicated their support for Alternative No. 2 in the Administrative report, but asked that, if a new fire hall is built, the response in Sutherland be within the 4 minute response time.*

*Mr. Martin Arndt, Mid-North Safety, spoke in support of Alternative No. 2 of the Administrative report, but asked that if a new fire hall is built, the response time in Sutherland be within the 4 minute timeline.*

*Mr. Jack E. Adams, 319 – 108<sup>th</sup> Street, requested that Council not close the Sutherland Fire Hall. He pointed out that the City's agreement with Sutherland is a Legislative Act.*

*Ms. Kay Elmer, President, Sutherland Forest Grove Community Association, requested that Council not close Fire Hall No. 5. She indicated that they support Alternative No. 2 of the Administrative Report, if that is their only choice. She provided Council with a copy of her presentation.*

*Mr. Clinton Weese, 2 – 1104 Central Avenue, requested that Council not close Fire Hall No. 5.*

*Mr. Eldon Norum, 108 – 105<sup>th</sup> Street West, requested that Fire Hall No. 5 not be closed. He pointed out that many people in the area are elderly and response time is very important. He provided Council with a copy of his presentation.*

*Ms. Arlene Long, President, Sutherland Curling Club, indicated their support of Alternative No. 2 of the Administrative Report. She pointed out that the Curling Club deals with people of all ages, and the potential for heart attacks, broken bones and other injuries is always a concern, and response time is very important. In the past these have been responded to by Fire Hall No. 5.*

*Mr. Joe Frketic and Ms. Shelley Arthur, 1642 Thompson Avenue and 211 109<sup>th</sup> Street, were not in attendance.*

*Mr. Raymond Verbeke, 1100C Central Avenue, pointed out the importance in keeping the Sutherland Fire Hall open to ensure that there is more than one area covered at a time.*

*Ms. Lucille Lafreniere, 109 Boyd Street, was not in attendance.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 29**

*Elaine and Sid Farkas, R.R. 6, were not in attendance.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

- 1) *that Fire Station No. 5 in Sutherland be temporarily retained until a new fire station can be located that will maintain a 4-minute average initial response time into the Sutherland, East College Park, and Briarwood communities and a recommendation be submitted to the Budget Committee that an additional \$241,593 be added to the 2003 Fire and Protective Services Department operating budget to hire 10 additional firefighters; and,*
- 2) *that a report on the location(s) of a new fire station and associated costs be provided to City Council*

*CARRIED.*

**REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL - CONTINUED**

- 6) **Dale Matthews**  
**Modern Billiards, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 7) **Norbert Wasylenko**  
**Rayner Agencies Ltd., dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 8) **Martin Arndt**  
**Mid-North Safety, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 9) **Jack E. Adams**  
**319 – 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 10) **Kay Elmer, President**

**Sutherland Forest Grove Community Association, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 11) **Clinton Weese**  
**2 – 1104 Central Avenue, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 12) **Eldon Norum**  
**108 – 105<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 13) **Arlene Long, President**  
**Sutherland Curling Club, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 14) **Joe Frketic and Shelley Arthur**  
**1642 Thompson Avenue and 211 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 15) **Raymond Verbeke**  
**1100C Central Avenue, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 16) **Lucille Lafreniere**  
**109 Boyd Street, undated**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 31**

- 17) **Elaine and Sid Farkas**  
**R.R. 6, Saskatoon, undated**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 18) **Bryan Salte, President**  
**Saskatoon Community Service Village, dated March 25,**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.*

**AA. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

- 1) **Amanda Trenchard, Chair**  
**2002 Teddy Bears' B\*A\*S\*H\* Committee, dated March 6**

Requesting permission for temporary closure of St. Henry Avenue from Ruth Street to the Diefenbaker Park entrance from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 2, 2002 in connection with the 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Saskatoon Teddy Bears' B\*A\*S\*H\*.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

*THAT the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

- 2) **Susan Lukiwski**  
**254 J.J. Thiessen Crescent, undated**

Submitting a request for a covered speed skating oval in the city. (File No. CK. 611-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

- 3) **Barbara Byers, President**

**Saskatchewan Federation of Labour, dated March 6**

Submitting the Codes of Corporate Citizenship, which were debated on and endorsed at the Saskatchewan Federation of Labour Annual Convention, and requesting that Council adopt them. (File No. CK. 3500-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**4) Arnold Grambo, President  
Hudson Bay Route Association, dated March 8**

Submitting an invoice for the 2002 Hudson Bay Route Association Membership Fees. (File No. CK. 155-7)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the 2002 Membership Fee of \$200 for the Hudson Bay Route Association be paid.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the 2002 Membership Fee of \$200 for the Hudson Bay Route Association be paid.*

*CARRIED.*

**5) Janice Mann, Secretary  
The Board of Police Commissioners, dated March 13**

Advising that the Board of Police Commissioners has approved funding from the Police Facilities Renovations Reserve to fund Capital Project 2026 (Police Facilities Master Plan), and requesting the final approval from Council.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council approve the funding of Capital Project 2026 (Police Facilities Master Plan) from the Police Facilities Renovations Reserve.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 33**

*THAT Council approve the funding of Capital Project 2026 (Police Facilities Master Plan) from the Police Facilities Renovations Reserve.*

*CARRIED.*

**6) Janice Mann, Secretary  
The Board of Police Commissioners, dated March 13**

Submitting a copy of a progress report prepared by Cst. Larry Lockwood on the McNab Park Youth Project. (File No. CK. 5500-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**7) Dan Brisbin  
303 LaRonge Road, dated March 6**

Expressing concerns with respect to a proposed skateboard facility in Kinsmen Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.*

*CARRIED.*

**8) Doreen and Randy Chapman  
1050 King Crescent, dated March 19**

Expressing concerns with respect to a proposed skateboard facility in Kinsmen Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.*

*CARRIED.*

**9) Robert Seidlitz, General Manager  
Friends of the Broadway Theatre, dated March 15**

Requesting permission for temporary closure of the parking lane and right-hand north-bound lane of the 700 block of Broadway Avenue from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. on Saturday, April 27, 2002, in connection with the special fundraising performance and grand re-lighting of the new marquee. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the requests be approved subject to administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the requests be approved subject to administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

**10) Janice Mann, Secretary  
The Board of Police Commissioners, dated March 19**

Requesting that Council approve an annual remuneration to all citizen members of the Board of Police Commissioners. (File No. CK. 175-23)

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that, pursuant to section 27(13) of *The Police Act, 1990*, City Council approve the payment of remuneration to all citizen members of the Board of Police Commissioners; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 35**

- 2) that the Budget Committee, during its review of the 2002 Operating Budget, be requested to approve a total of \$5,000 for remuneration to citizen members of the Board of Police Commissioners, with \$2,000 being paid annually to the Chair and \$1,000 annually to each citizen member.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

- 1) *that, pursuant to section 27(13) of The Police Act, 1990, City Council approve the payment of remuneration to all citizen members of the Board of Police Commissioners; and*
- 2) *that the Budget Committee, during its review of the 2002 Operating Budget, be requested to approve a total of \$5,000 for remuneration to citizen members of the Board of Police Commissioners, with \$2,000 being paid annually to the Chair and \$1,000 annually to each citizen member.*

*THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.*

**11) Jack Layton, President  
Federation of Canadian Municipalities, dated March 18**

Requesting Council's endorsement of a ratification of the Kyoto Protocol. (File No. CK. 375-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee for a report.*

*CARRIED.*

**12) T.J.'s Pizza and Others, dated March 15, 2002**

Six letters requesting that Council oppose the resolution being put forward at the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association (SUMA) Convention which calls for new municipal revenue streams, over and above property taxes and user fees. (File No. CK. 1910-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 36**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**13) P.A. Munro and K.G. Munroe  
104F 141 – 105<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**14) Mr. and Mrs. A. R. Carney and Arco Equipment Sales Ltd.  
47 O'Neil Crescent and 339 – 103<sup>rd</sup> Street, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**15) Alvin Buettner  
219 Egbert Avenue, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**16) Sheila and Keith Hawkins  
310 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**17) Michael and Sara Stone  
214 Egbert Avenue, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**18) Marg Penner  
314 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 37**

- 19) **Elaine Shoemaker**  
**1333 Bryans Avenue, dated March 8**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 20) **Jack E. Adams, B. Comm., CA, CMA**  
**Adams Chartered Accountant, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 21) **Steven Blanchard**  
**207-B Dunlop Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 22) **Judith Hunter**  
**200 B 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 23) **George G. Otoko**  
**414 – 1524 Rayner Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 24) **Cathy Waage**  
**211 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 25) **Bernie Yosinowski**  
**402 Egbert Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 38**

- 26) Jennifer Wildeman  
103 Dalhausie Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 27) Diane Beaudry  
428 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 28) A. Bahrani  
314- 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 29) Irene Floch  
63 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 30) Joanne Leffries  
1605 Greig Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 31) Charman Thesen  
208 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 32) Ernest and Edyth Yathon  
123 113<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 39**

- 33) Wally and Norma Douglas  
128A 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 34) Wendy Fosse  
102 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 35) Randy Fedyk  
26 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 36) Susan Douglas  
130 – 108<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 37) Janet Jean  
1646 Thompson Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 38) Cecile Prevost  
106 Delayen Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 39) Carolyn Bayda  
107 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 40**

- 40) Gary C. Jones  
174 Rita Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 41) Christine Gierde  
203 – 101 – 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 42) Trina Taylor  
28 – 24 Central Place, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 43) Shawna Doucette  
42 – 219 Grant Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 44) Carla Peters  
3641 Diefenbaker Drive, dated March 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 45) Lillian M. Toy  
12 – 102 Elm Street, dated March 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 46) Vi Vlarley  
411 – 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 41**

- 47) Diane and Kent Vick  
105 – 113<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 48) Theresa Antonio  
127B 106<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 49) Brenda Clauson  
112 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 50) Armette Wagg  
113 108<sup>th</sup> Street , dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 51) Linda Lai  
316 – 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 52) I. Don Clarke  
309 Brock Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 53) Sandra Dubé  
119 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 42**

- 54) Adeane Webb  
329 Reid Road, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 55) Verna Hucul  
157 Rita Crescent, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 56) Bob and Tammy Ammazzini  
416 – 1524 Rayner Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 57) Toni Berthelot  
434B Gardiner Place, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 58) Charlene Dyck  
304 Gardiner Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 59) Cynthia Kay  
414 A Gardiner Place, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 60) Christine Pederson  
402 101 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 43**

- 61) Ben Benson**  
**209A 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 62) Bill Morris**  
**Central Dry Cleaners, dated February 2**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 63) W.W. and Lorraine Reiter**  
**122 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 64) Jeanne C. Noonan**  
**317 – 110<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 13**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 65) Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence Dion**  
**404 – 111<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 19**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 66) Albert Landry**  
**414 109<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 67) John Meckelborg**  
**113 112<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 68) **Everett J. Kearley, P. Eng, President, Calvin Properties Ltd., MacKenzie Properties Ltd., Cabot Properties Ltd. and Blake Management Ltd., dated March 6**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 69) **Dario Hernandez and Others  
24 – 409 Central Avenue, dated March 5**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 70) **Shirley and Charles Accleton and Others  
15 O'Neil Crescent, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 71) **Jim Howard and Others  
303 Budz Terrace, undated**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 72) **Joanne Sproule, Secretary  
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated March 8**

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 1238 – 13<sup>th</sup> Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

- 73) **Joanne Sproule, Secretary  
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated March 13**

Advising of the withdrawal of the application for the Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 1238 – 13<sup>th</sup> Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 45**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

- 74) **Audrey Bayduza**  
**405 – 113<sup>th</sup> Street, dated March 18**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 75) **Dean Willeborg and Others**  
**110 – 107<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated March 11**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

- 76) **Donna L. Driedger, Solicitor for Camponi Housing Corp.**  
**Wardell Driedger & Associates, dated March 22**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.*

- 77) **Klaus Gruber, MSW RSW (SK), Executive Director**  
**Family Service Saskatoon, dated March 21**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.*

- 78) **Nayda Veeman, Fund-Raising Committee Chair**  
**Friends of the Broadway Theatre, Inc., dated March 21**

Submitting a copy of the fundraising plan which the Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc., will be launching on April 27, 2002.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 2), Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee.

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,*

*THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 2) Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION**

**1) Margaret A. Hendry, President  
Saskatoon Heritage Society, dated March 5**

Submitting suggestions for the re-use of the Gathercole Centre which were submitted by participants of a meeting of the Saskatoon Heritage Society. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11) **(Referred to the Administration.)**

**2) Marie Schmidt  
Woodlawn Memorial (Veterans) Committee, dated February 25**

Submitting comments with respect to the increases in military grave sites, perpetual care charges and their request for a \$50,000 grant for a monument at Woodlawn Cemetery. (File No. CK. 1870-1) **(Referred to the Budget Committee.)**

**3) Board of Directors  
Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated January 15**

Submitting the final budget for 2002. (File No. CK. 1711-14) **(Referred to the Budget Committee.)**

**4) F. Jackson and Linda M. Vindeg  
The Gardens of Avalon Owners' Condominium Association, dated March 12**

Submitting concerns with respect to commercial water rates being charged to one of the water lines servicing their condominium project. (File No. CK. 1905-2) **(Referred to the Administration for a report.)**

**5) Clive Doucet, Councillor, Capital Ward**

**City of Ottawa, dated March 12**

Submitting information with respect to a Canadian Cities for Social Inclusion Caucus. (File No. CK. 247-1) **(Referred to the Executive Committee.)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**C. PROCLAMATIONS**

**1) Elizabeth Freire Benson, Executive Director  
Saskatoon Sexual Assault & Information Centre, dated March 11**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of April 8 to 12, 2002 as Sexual Assault Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**2) Donna C. Scott, Chief Commissioner  
Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission, dated March 13**

Requesting that Council proclaim March 21, 2002 as International Day for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**3) Paul Gauthier, General Manager  
Community Services Department, dated March 13**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of April 21 to 27, 2002 as National Volunteer Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**4) Noreen Agrey  
Saskatchewan Coalition on Bicycle Safety, dated March 12**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of May 19 to 25, 2002 as Bicycle Safety Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**5) Del Windrum, Executive Director  
Saskatchewan Society of Medical Laboratory Technologists, dated March 15**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 48**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of April 15 to 19, 2002 as National Medical Laboratory Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**6) Ron and Jan Gitlin, Co-Chairs  
Holocaust Committee, dated March 13**

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of April 8 to 14, 2002 as Holocaust Memorial Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
  - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and*
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

*CARRIED.*

**REPORTS**

Councillor Swystun, member, Municipal Planning Commission, presented Report No. 2-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Administrative Report No. 6-2002;

General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Hewitt presented Section C, Administrative Report No. 6-2002;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman presented Section D, Administrative Report No. 6-2002;

General Manager, Utility Services Munch presented Section E, Administrative Report No. 6-2002;



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 49**

City Clerk Mann presented Section A, Legislative Report No. 5-2002;

City Solicitor Dust presented Section B, Legislative Report No. 5-2002;

Councillor Atchison, Member, presented Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee;

Councillor Steernberg, Chair, presented Report No. 2-2002 of the Audit Committee;

Councillor Atchison, member, presented Report No. 4-2002 of the Land Bank Committee; and

Councillor Paulsen, member, presented Report No. 4-2002 of the Executive Committee.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:*

- a) Report No. 2-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Administrative Report No. 6-2002;*
- c) Legislative Report No. 5-2002;*
- d) Report No. 4-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee;*
- e) Report No. 2-2002 of the Audit Committee;*
- f) Report No. 4-2002 of the Land Bank Committee; and*
- g) Report No. 4-2002 of the Executive Committee*

*Councillor Paulsen appointed Councillor Roe as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.*

*Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Roe in the Chair.*

*Committee arose.*

*Councillor Roe Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:*

*THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:*

**“REPORT NO. 2-2002 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”**

Composition of Commission

Ms. Colleen Yates, Chair  
Mr. John Waddington, Vice-Chair  
Councillor L. Swystun  
Mr. Dieter André  
Mr. Jack Billinton  
Mr. Michael Chyzowski  
Mr. Lloyd Hedemann  
Mr. Don Lloyd  
Ms. Jo-Anne Richter  
Mr. Terry Smith  
Mr. Nelson Wagner  
Mr. Vern Waldherr  
Mr. Jim Zimmer

**1. Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment  
Permitting Area Identification Signs  
in Signage Groups 2, 3, 4 and 5  
Applicant: City of Saskatoon  
(File No. CK. 4350-13)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the Zoning Bylaw to permit “area identification signs” in Signage Groups 2, 3, 4 and 5, including the definition and development standards outlined in the March 6, 2002 report of the Community Services Department;
  - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
  - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
  - 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Commission’s recommendation that the Zoning Bylaw amendments be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 51**

Your Commission has considered and supports the recommendations outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated March 6, 2002, regarding the above proposed Zoning Bylaw Text Amendments to permit “area identification signs” in Signage Groups 2, 3, 4 and 5.

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2002**

**Section B - CORPORATE SERVICES**

**B1) 2002 Preliminary Operating Budget  
(File No. CK. 1704-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the Preliminary 2002 Operating Budget be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration at its scheduled meetings of April 9 and 10, 2002.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the Preliminary 2002 Operating Budget for the City of Saskatoon. The Budget Committee, comprising all members of City Council, has scheduled review meetings for April 9 and 10, commencing at 5:00 p.m. We would direct City Council’s attention to the Letter of Transmittal included in the budget document that summarizes the major changes that have been incorporated, and the impact of those changes on the City’s mill rate. There are also a number of referrals from City Council that will accompany the agenda for the Budget Committee, several of which have been highlighted in the Letter of Transmittal.

**B2) Ten Year Capital Funding (2003-2012)  
(File No. CK. 1701-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 52**

Attached, for City Council's information, is a copy of an updated Ten Year Capital Funding Discussion Paper for the period 2003 to 2012. This paper was first issued in 1998, and formed the basis for a number of City Council decisions that dealt with the broad issue of capital funding shortfalls. The report is intended to raise the awareness of capital funding needs, not to create an expectation for the approval of projects that are defined in the report.

The principal observation that can be made from the report is the very major impact that City Council has made in addressing the funding requirements that were identified in 1998. During that period of time, City Council has approved increases in the operating budget, for capital purposes, totaling in excess of \$7,000,000. These decisions were made during periods of difficult operating budget choices, yet reflected Council's acknowledgement of the need to protect its investment in capital infrastructure. While the report reveals that the average annual capital deficiency has only been reduced by \$4,430,000, the difference is primarily the result of revised cost estimates (e.g. the estimated cost of a south bridge/roadway projects has increased by \$35,000,000) and additions of new projects within the revised ten-year period covered by the report.

One of the obvious questions that arise from a report such as this is the absence of any recommendations on a borrowing strategy. As Council is aware, your Administration has advocated a pay-as-you go policy, but recognizes that certain projects simply are not conducive to such a philosophy. While the attached report uses averages to reach its conclusions, it is acknowledged that some projects will only occur once during the reporting period and are of such a material nature that borrowing, combined with support from senior levels of government, will be the only option available should Council direct that the projects proceed. The primary example is the construction of a new river crossing.

The pay-as-you go philosophy was adopted in recognition of the fact that the City's funding requirements are, on an annual basis, very consistent. If borrowing becomes the funding method of choice, the incremental tax increases required to fund that program, through debt repayments, would conclude in the 8<sup>th</sup> year with a tax supported base that would equal what is currently required to meet a full pay-as-you go program. Your Administration would conclude that if this or future Council's feel that our citizens are willing to include these debt repayments into the tax base, then financially it would be more prudent to adopt a strategy that provides for continual increases to the tax base directly to capital. While this may preclude some capital from proceeding in the early years of the program, it will ensure the sustainability of capital programs in the future.

As the report identifies on page 5, in excess of 50% of the funding added to the operating budget between 1999 and 2001 to finance capital came from the conversion of debt charge reductions as a result of debt retirement. City Council could have used those decreases to reduce the mill rate or diverted those funds to other programs, but accepted its Administration's recommendations to dedicate the funds to capital. These opportunities will not be available in the ten-year period of this report because, prior to the debenture issue for the new interchanges, the City was effectively debt free (i.e. excluding Utilities). The only option for any further major impact on the current annual shortfall will be increases in property taxes or access to other revenue (funding) opportunities.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 53**

Should there be no growth in Provincial Revenue Sharing grants, those decisions will be very difficult to make.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Ten Year Capital Funding Discussion Paper (2003 – 2013)

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received and referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.*

**B3) Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal (CAMA) - Vendor Selection  
(File No. CK. 1615-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve the selection of Novalis/Valcura as the Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal (CAMA) solution provider for the City of Saskatoon, as outlined in this report.

*ADOPTED.*

On January 7, 2002, City Council approved the release of capital funds to allow the City Assessor to move forward in technology and assessment reform. Since that time, the Administration has been reviewing demonstrations and proposals from various CAMA (Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal) Vendors, primarily the teams of Novalis/Valcura and Govern/SDI. Over the course of the last year, many CAMA vendors' Requests for Information (RFI's) were reviewed as part of the work the Cities and SAMA had done in regard to a provincial Saskatchewan Assessment System Project (SASP). After reviewing numerous documents, Saskatoon narrowed its choices to the two vendors mentioned above. It was determined that these two vendors offered the best solutions for the City of Saskatoon. Subsequently, both vendors were asked to come to Saskatoon to demonstrate their product and discuss our requirements. This occurred in mid-February, with a series of information exchanges both prior to and after these meetings.

In addition, a team from Saskatoon visited sites where each vendor's software was recently installed. The information garnered from these interviews was used as part of the rating process. It was determined that both vendor's actual software met the requirements of the City of Saskatoon. Therefore, a detailed rating system was used to make the final recommendation to City Council.

The grading matrix developed included points being attributed for a variety of selection criteria. The rating process was a comparative approach, with the two vendors being compared to each other both from the demonstrations and other available information. The selection criteria included the following items:

- Proposed solution including approach

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 54**

- Implementation plan and timing of implementation
- Understanding of City requirements
- Proposed resources
- Clarity of proposal
- Cost of software and system integration
- Options for add-ons
- Annual support costs
- Technology Architecture
- Integration with external systems
- Innovations
- Experience in Similar Projects
- Reference checks
- Overall trust and comfort level with vendor ability to deliver

The rating was completed independently by five Assessment staff and technical staff from the Corporate Information Services Branch. Individual ratings were discussed by the group and average point ratings determined for each of the sections above. This process helped ensure any individual biases would not affect the outcome and that there was consensus for the final result.

In the final rating, Novalis/Valcura received 431-points and Govern/SDI/CGI received 323-points of a possible 500. The higher rating for Novalis/Valcura was obtained for the following reasons:

- better price quoted for software and system integration
- experience in similar projects
- the timing of implementation
- understanding of the City's requirements through their previous work with the Saskatchewan Assessment System Project
- the inclusion of the business process re-engineering and best practices from Calgary
- the comfort level to deliver a completed package on time (came out of the reference checks and discussions with prior clients)
- their familiarity with other City of Saskatoon systems (GIS and Parcel Editor – Planning) and their ability to interface with these other systems
- less customization required

From an information technology perspective, Corporate Information Services staff attended both demonstrations and agreed that the technology used by both were comparable and met the City of Saskatoon's requirements. However, the relationship between this CAMA system and our Enterprise GIS system creates added benefits.

On the business side, the Novalis/Valcura system has used and incorporated the best practices of other jurisdictions to modify their software. We wish to take advantage of these best practices, the Market Valuation (Direct Comparison) Tool, the Alberta Income Model, and the experience of the vendor in Calgary.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 55**

As a budgetary consideration, the Novalis/Valcura quote meets the capital budget approved for this project.

Based on all of these factors, your Administration recommends Novalis/Valcura as the CAMA/System Integrator for the City of Saskatoon project.

**B4) Debenture Issuance  
(File No. CK. 1750-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

As City Council is aware, your Administration has been in the process of completing the necessary steps to complete the borrowing of funds for the Circle Drive/Attridge and Circle Drive/22<sup>nd</sup> Street interchanges. To that end, City Council approved the selection of RBC Capital Markets Inc. and CIBC World Markets Inc. as its Fiscal Agents. In addition, on February 11, 2002, City Council authorized the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, to proceed with a debenture issue of \$17,000,000 and accept the terms that ultimately result from that issue (subject to final approval by City Council of the Debenture Bylaw).

The Investment Committee met on several occasions to discuss strategies pertaining to the debenture issue. In light of potential savings of \$100,000 in sales commissions, internal borrowing was one of the options that needed to be considered. However, in anticipation of increases in interest rates in 2002, the Committee considered it prudent to sell the debentures externally at the rate currently available, and defer any decisions on the investment of its funds in its long term investment portfolio until increased earnings potential had been identified. Effectively, the Committee saw an opportunity to borrow low, and invest high.

This debenture sale (at an all in cost of 5.45%) was intended to proceed the week of February 18 with the knowledge that the only outstanding administrative matters that needed to be dealt with was to have the City registered with the Canadian Depository for Securities Ltd. (CDS). CDS is a central agency that acts as a clearing house for the purchase/sale of debentures, as well as the ongoing administration of interest/principal payments/receipts. This agency was created in order to automate the debenture process (i.e. book entry sales) and is the vehicle now used by fiscal agents and issuers in Canada.

As part of our registration with CDS, we were asked to sign a letter of representation. As part of our internal practice, this letter was forwarded to the City Solicitor's Office for review. That review identified several clauses that needed to be amended including some that, in the mind of the reviewing solicitor, were nothing less than offensive. In part, the Letter of Representation required the City of Saskatoon to effectively hold CDS blameless for any negligence other than what might

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**  
**PAGE 56**

be found to be gross negligence. Even in the latter, the exposure to CDS would be limited to whatever insurance provisions they might have in place (without disclosing to the City what that coverage might be). Another clause would allow CDS to unilaterally change the provisions of the Letter of Understanding, including fees payable, without agreement by the City. The letter also does not include CDS as a signatory, whereby they would acknowledge the terms and provisions of the letter.

The City Solicitor's Office has provided CDS with a number of amendments (including those that address the issues identified in the previous paragraph) for their consideration and approval. Many of these amendments mirror those that were agreed to between CDS and the City of Toronto as indicated in their agreement dated July 25, 2001. Several discussions have taken place between your Administration and CDS, including a conference call that included the Investment Committee, our Solicitor's Office, and our lead fiscal agent. CDS was unwilling to move from their position, explaining on one hand that eight different letters of representation existed, but suggesting that all local governments sign the letter forwarded to us. To date they have been unwilling to acknowledge that a very different letter exists with the City of Toronto, so a copy of that letter (including the signature of their vice-president) has been mailed to them for their files.

Because CDS is in a monopolistic position, it appeared that they intended to conclude our discussions by asking what the City would do if CDS refused to address our concerns. It was only when our response was that we were not in a position of needing to borrow (i.e. we had the ability to self finance), that they asked for additional time to consider our request. Obviously, their decision impacts RBC Capital Markets Inc. who, along with other banks, etc. are the owners of CDS. We have expressed our willingness to withdraw our requested amendments if the City of Toronto Letter of Representation is substituted.

We are continuing our negotiations with CDS. In the mean time, however, we have lost the window of opportunity that we felt had been in our control and which formed the basis of our previous recommendation to City Council. Because of the unwillingness of CDS to adopt our recommended changes, and their hesitation to this date to replicate the Toronto agreement, we were unable to issue a debenture at 5.45%. As of the date of writing this report, markets have adjusted upward and our cost is now 5.84%. Every ten basis points results in an increased interest cost (over the ten-year life of the debenture) of \$100,000. Effectively, our total borrowing costs have increased by \$400,000 during this period.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 57**

Your Administration is reviewing its options at this time in light of the recent events. While the rate of 5.84% is still a highly favorable rate, it has taken away the urgency of borrowing. Keeping in mind that the City of Saskatoon is in the envious position of having to balance its borrowing decisions with its investment decisions, it is considering the following options in order to not only mitigate the opportunity cost resulting from the previously mentioned delay, but potentially enhance the opportunity:

1. Continue to negotiate with CDS. Ultimately, the City of Saskatoon may be in a position where it has no alternative but to issue debentures publicly.
2. Continue to monitor borrowing rates between now and the completion of the Circle Drive/22<sup>nd</sup> Street grade separation. Should rates fall to acceptable levels, and subject to an agreement with CDS, proceed with the external sale of the debenture.
3. Consider the use of our money market portfolio (i.e. short-term investments) to buy City of Saskatoon debentures (long-term investment). This would be considered a low risk method to enhance our investment earnings (more than offsetting increased debt charge costs).

While this has been a frustrating experience for your Administration, we believe that the decision making process is correct and we will continue our negotiations and lobbying (through our fiscal agents) for an appropriate arrangement between ourselves and CDS.

*Pursuant to motion by Councillor Waygood, and carried by a majority of members of Council, the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.*

**B5) Electronic Information  
Saskatoon Real Estate Board and  
The City of Saskatoon  
(Files CK. 261-1 and 1720-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, an agreement with the Saskatoon Real Estate Board for the sharing of electronic information.

*ADOPTED.*

The Administration has been in discussions with the Saskatoon Real Estate Board (SREB) regarding a sharing of information between the two parties. A prior agreement was in place (signed November 4, 1988) whereby the City of Saskatoon supplied assessment and tax information to SREB and, in return, SREB provided their information on housing sales to the City Assessor (hard copy). This agreement has continued in force since that time and remains in force today.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 58**

With the advances in technology, the Administration would like access to this information in electronic format. As such, a new agreement has been negotiated.

The future of assessment in Saskatchewan is moving towards a market value system and the information provided by SREB to the City of Saskatoon Assessors Office will prove invaluable in this transition.

In addition, Community Services has expressed an interest in the information to assist in developing neighbourhood profiles, and in determining affordability of housing.

The new agreement, if executed by the City, will result in the Saskatoon Real Estate Board continuing to receive the information they are currently receiving. The City will also continue to receive current information, but in an electronic format that will be easier to use for a variety of civic purposes.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Agreement

**Section C - FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES**

**C1) 2001 Capital Budget – 2002-2005 Capital Plan  
Project 1902 - New Fire Station - Erindale  
(File No. CK. 630-1)**

---

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 11.*

**Section D - INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES**

**D1) School Signing Revisions  
(File No. CK. 6280-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the proposed school signing revisions, as set out in the following report, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Infrastructure Services has received a request to review the signing at Roland Michener School. Consultations with the Principal, a representative of the School Board, and a member of Infrastructure Services have resulted in the preparation of a new school signing plan (using the School Signing Guidelines) to address the needs of this facility. The following changes have been

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 59**

reviewed and approved by Infrastructure Services, the School Board and the Principal:

The recommended signing changes along the north side of Degeer Street east of Trent Crescent are described below and shown on attached Plan No. 212-0020-001 (Attachment 1):

- Reduce the length of the existing 'SCHOOL BUS LOADING ZONE, 08:00-17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' (RB-58L) to 16 metres and position the new zone near the Trent Crescent intersection.
- Install a 'PARKING, 5 MINUTES, 08:00-17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' (RB-53B) zone along the School's remaining frontage to this street.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Plan No. 212-0020-001

**D2) Follow-Up Audit, Parking Enforcement Program  
(File No. CK. 1600-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the goal and objective, service level objectives and effectiveness criteria for the Parking Enforcement Program, as presented in the Summary section of the document entitled "Parking Enforcement Program" (Attachment 1), dated March 18, 2002, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

The 1999 Parking Enforcement value-for-money audit recommended that Infrastructure Services seek City Council approval of specific outcome objectives and effectiveness criteria, and that goals and service level objectives be established for the Parking Enforcement Program. The Audit also recommended that management measure actual performance relative to the objectives and criteria developed and use this information for programming decisions, and that a report on the performance of the Parking Enforcement Program be submitted to City Council on an annual basis.

At its meeting held January 22, 2001, the Audit Committee considered the Parking Enforcement Program Audit and resolved:

"that the Administration be requested to provide a status report on all outstanding recommendations from the Audit of the Parking Enforcement Program in September 2001."

Detailed information respecting the Parking Enforcement Program is attached (Attachment 1). Included as part of the Attachment is a Summary which outlines the goal and objectives of the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 60**

Program. The information provided is intended to establish the Program goal and objectives as well as the service level objectives by which the Parking Enforcement Program is to be measured. The Administration will then report on an annual basis to City Council regarding the performance of the Parking Enforcement Program and whether the service level objectives are being met.

It should be noted that the collection of statistical data pertaining to the Parking Enforcement Program is in its infancy. As time progresses, refinement of the data will take place. It is also pointed out that the true cost associated with issuing a ticket is being reviewed as part of the Central Business District Parking Study, and will be included as part of a separate report.

The Parking Committee and the Business Improvement Districts have endorsed the information contained within the Attachment to this report.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Parking Enforcement Program – March 18, 2002

**D3) Capital Project No. 1522 – Traffic Noise Attenuation**

**AND**

**Enquiry – Councillor Roe (March 6, 2000)**

**Noise Due to Development of Light Industry South of Circle Drive**

**AND**

**Enquiry – Councillor Maddin (September 5, 2000)**

**Noise Concerns – Robin Hood Mill**

**AND**

**Enquiry – Councillor Roe (December 18, 2000)**

**Sound Attenuation**

**AND**

**Enquiry - Councillor Fortosky (March 5, 2001)**

**Sound Abatement – Montgomery Place**

**CN Railway Tracks**

**AND**

**Enquiry - Councillor Heidt (April 2, 2001)**

**Funding for Sound Attenuation**

**(File No. CK. 357-2)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report and recommendations be forwarded to the Budget Committee:

- 1) that City Council approve an additional annual contribution of \$250,000 to the Traffic Noise Attenuation Reserve from the Operating Budget;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**

**PAGE 61**

- 2) that the Traffic Noise Attenuation Reserve be the dedicated source of funding for noise barrier retrofit construction for projects identified within Capital Project No. 1522 – Noise Attenuation, which will not be addressed in conjunction with other major roadway rehabilitation projects; and
- 3) that the Administration report further to City Council once a list of priority locations has been determined and an implementation strategy devised.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

City Council, at its meeting held on April 23, 2001, adopted, in part, the following recommendations of the Budget Committee:

- “6) that a new reserve be created, The Traffic Noise Attenuation Capital Reserve, to be dedicated to construction of traffic noise attenuation devices as contemplated under Capital Project 1522 - Traffic Noise Attenuation;
- 7) that \$250,000 be placed into The Traffic Noise Attenuation Capital Reserve in 2001;”

A number of Councillor enquiries respecting traffic and other noise are currently outstanding before the Administration. These enquiries range from direct requests for sound attenuation measures to considering the use of alternate construction materials for noise attenuation construction. The following report will respond to the outstanding enquiries, update City Council on the status of Capital Project No. 1522 – Traffic Noise Attenuation, and inform City Council of the direction the Administration will be taking over the next year to address the issue of traffic noise attenuation.

**REPORT**

The issue of traffic noise and its attenuation has been before City Council and the Administration for a substantial number of years. Citizen concerns over traffic-generated noise impacting their quality of life is growing, and will continue to grow as the City expands and traffic volumes increase. During the 2001 Budget deliberations, City Council approved \$250,000 to be placed into The Traffic Noise Attenuation Capital Reserve.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 62**

At the meeting of City Council held on March 6, 2000, Councillor Roe made the following enquiry:

“There is potential for a considerable increase in noise as we develop more light industry south of Circle Drive.

Would the Administration report on what measures are being considered to reduce the noise, resulting from these industries, for residents living in the immediate area and across Circle Drive in the Eastview, South Nutana Park, Avalon and Exhibition neighbourhoods.

I get weekly calls from residents complaining about the noise from the cement plant in the area and I want to be able to reassure residents that no additional disruptive noise will result from any new development.”

At the meeting of City Council held on September 5, 2000, former Councillor Maddin made the following enquiry:

“In reference to an ongoing number of complaints from residents of City Park regarding levels of noise emitted by the Robin Hood Mill, and in view of the fact that City Administration addressed this matter several months ago, would the Administration please report on current efforts being made to address and resolve this matter.”

The issue of noise generated by specific land uses is not governed by the current City policy regarding traffic noise attenuation; rather, such matters are addressed either through Bylaw No. 6052 – The Noise Bylaw or through Bylaw No. 7800 – the Zoning Bylaw. As such, the issues raised in the above two enquiries are beyond the mandate of Infrastructure Services. The City Solicitor’s Office is currently undertaking a review of The Noise Bylaw, and these two enquiries have been forwarded to that Office for consideration during their review process.

At the meeting of City Council held on December 18, 2000, Councillor Roe made the following enquiry:

“Would the Administration report on alternative materials that could be used for sound attenuation including straw bales and shredded rubber. Could you also report on the difference in cost for these methods vs. concrete walls.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 63**

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has recently investigated the use of alternative materials for sound attenuation in the United States. To date, the only verifiably proven alternative material relates to the use of recycled rubber as a component of a sound barrier, usually as a cover material on a concrete sound wall. Recycled or shredded rubber has little structural strength, and requires a structural wall made of concrete, masonry block or metal. The attached report entitled Noise Barrier Design Fundamentals (Attachment 1) is a condensed version of the FHWA's most recent findings and experience respecting materials for sound wall construction. Based upon this research, and that of other Western Canadian cities that currently construct sound walls, the Administration will continue to focus its design and implementation of sound walls using the traditional material of reinforced precast concrete, masonry block and/or metals.

At the meeting of City Council held on March 5, 2001, Councillor Fortosky made the following enquiry:

“Lack of sound abatement has been a concern for Montgomery residents for many years due to rail traffic and the noise that accompanies that traffic.

Would the Administration please report on the history of sound problems along the south part of Montgomery Place along the CN railway tracks as well as possible solutions.”

The Administration is unaware of any specific complaints that have been lodged by residents of Montgomery Place relating to noise generated by activities at the Canadian National (CN) Rail Yards. Rail-related noise is intermittent and is not covered by the current City policy regarding noise attenuation. Only noise originating from vehicular traffic is addressed by the existing policy. As such, the monitoring of noise originating from sources other than vehicular traffic is outside the mandate of Infrastructure Services. A similar situation exists near the Canadian Pacific Rail Yards in Sutherland. In both cases, there is very little Infrastructure Services can do to reduce the noise originating from railway activities. The only true solution is the relocation of either yard away from residential areas. Based on historic precedent, this is an unlikely event. On a proactive basis, it is incumbent upon the Administration to ensure that adequate zoning regulations are in place to make certain that nuisance-noise generators are located well away from residential development and/or adequately buffered in some fashion to protect residential dwellings. It must be made perfectly clear that the current Noise Attenuation Capital Project does not address the abatement of railway or other adjacent land use noise generators.

The Administration contacted Mr. Jim Feeny, Director, Public Affairs Prairie Division of Canadian National regarding the history of sound problems in Saskatoon. In a faxed response received from Mr. Feeny on March 6, 2002 (Attachment 2), it was indicated that CN has received no noise complaints from residents in the past six months. This includes Chappell Yards and the Warman subdivision tracks, which run parallel to the Montgomery and Meadowgreen neighbourhoods. The majority of noise complaints received by the railways are directly related to the train whistle. Under *The Railway Safety Act*, whistling may be eliminated

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 64**

at certain crossings, dependant on specific circumstances and regulations developed by Transport Canada. The City of Saskatoon has met the conditions specified by Transport Canada to eliminate whistling at all crossings within City limits.

Mr. Feeny's response also included a page from CN's website which describes the Responsible Care Program (Attachment 3), an initiative launched to address public concerns regarding the use, manufacture and distribution of dangerous goods.

In the Montgomery neighbourhood, vehicular traffic noise has been monitored along Dundonald Avenue. Noise levels near the 11<sup>th</sup> Street intersection range between 50 – 55 dBA L<sub>dn</sub>. Current policy stipulates 65 dBA L<sub>dn</sub> as the threshold at which noise attenuation measures are considered. As such, no action is being considered under the current policy guidelines. If traffic conditions change in the area, resulting vehicular noise levels will be monitored and appropriate abatement measures recommended if noise levels are shown to increase.

At the meeting of City Council held on April 2, 2001, Councillor Heidt made the following enquiry:

“Councillor McCann made an interesting comment at Budget. Would the Administration look at the feasibility of adding a fee for each square foot of land sold (i.e. 10¢ per square foot) which could be applied to the reserve, or any other suggestion on a price they could come up with which would add to the reserve to meet the heavy demand.”

The issue of securing funding for the construction of traffic noise barriers in neighbourhoods built prior to 1968 does not have an easy solution. Prior to 1968, prepaid service rates were not applied to these areas. Since 1968, prepaid service fees have been applied, through the Buffer Strip Levy, for the construction of two-metre high earth berms along arterial roads. This levy only applies to arterial roads in subdivisions built since 1968 and does not apply to freeways or expressways. In essence, Councillor McCann's suggestion is already being implemented in areas of new development at a rate of \$18.95 per front metre of residential property. It must be recognized that the funds collected via this method must be used within the collection area and are not intended to be applied on a Citywide basis for a retrofit type of program.

To date, the majority of noise related issues and complaints are in neighbourhoods that abut freeways, expressways or arterials, which were built prior to 1968. The current funding source for these areas is for construction of sound attenuation barriers from the tax base. The exceptions to this will be in areas where major roadwork is planned over the next five years. For locations where noise levels exceed 65 dBA L<sub>dn</sub> and the City has plans to widen or increase the number of lanes, or change traffic patterns in such a manner as to potentially increase noise levels, sound attenuation will be included as part of the capital cost of the project.

The formulation of the City's comprehensive Traffic Noise Attenuation Program is still very much a work-in-progress. It is Infrastructure Services' intention to complete all review, data



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 65**

collection, location identification, and an implementation strategy in 2002. Depending upon the availability of funding, there is a possibility that construction could begin at one location in 2002.

The remainder of this report will briefly outline what is being planned.

In 1991, the former Engineering Department conducted a Citywide Traffic Noise Study. The major goals of the study were to:

1. establish traffic noise level policy guidelines for existing and proposed development areas; and
2. identify areas within the City that would warrant the installation of noise attenuation devices based on the policy guidelines.

The 1991 Study recommended the adoption of a traffic noise level guideline of 65 dBA  $L_{dn}$ . The  $L_{dn}$  suffix represents a 24-hour noise level that places a higher weighting of the nighttime noise over the daytime noise. A threshold level of 65 dBA  $L_{dn}$  was selected after weighing the pros and cons of selecting either a higher or lower standard. Most Canadian and U.S. cities have adopted a similar traffic noise level as a policy guideline. As part of the 2001 review process, the Administration reviewed the existing standards and policy. The Administration is of the opinion that the policy adopted in 1991 is still valid and provides a workable framework for the analysis and mitigation of traffic noise in Saskatoon. The policy will continue to evolve as the work to address traffic noise progresses.

In addition to reviewing the existing policy guidelines, Infrastructure Services has undertaken additional detailed monitoring at locations reviewed in 1991 and subsequent years, in addition to a number of new locations. Due to equipment problems, four weeks of monitoring were lost in 2001. In spite of the equipment problems, 34 sites were monitored over a 24-hour period. Approximately 25 sites remain to be monitored in 2002. Once monitoring is completed, Infrastructure Services will have a comprehensive set of noise data encompassing all areas of the City where traffic noise levels are either known to be excessive or are suspected to be causing some concern.

A key recommendation from the 1991 Study was the evaluation and selection of a noise prediction model. The recommendation in the report was to purchase a three-dimensional computer program, STAMINA/OPTIMA, developed by the U.S. FHWA for the detailed design of noise barriers in Saskatoon. The City has now purchased the FHWA successor to this program, namely the Traffic Noise Model (TNM). Staff training will be completed in March, 2002 and it is anticipated that modeling work will begin in April.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 66**

Once data collection has been completed, the results will be compared to the work completed in 1991 to see if there have been any changes with respect to levels recorded along the City's major roadways. Locations where current noise levels exceed the policy threshold level will then be validated and prioritized with respect to an implementation strategy to begin to abate the noise levels. This will be the subject of a further report later this year and will provide City Council with a comprehensive listing of priority locations and an implementation strategy, complete with budgeted costings.

During 2002, Infrastructure Services will also be moving forward with several other initiatives within the overall Traffic Noise Attenuation Program. Since receiving funding in 2001, Infrastructure Services has undertaken a number of studies and projects directly related to sound attenuation. An earth berm was constructed along the north side of Highway 16 East as part of the twinning undertaken by Saskatchewan Highways and Transportation. Although the construction will have to be funded from the Noise Attenuation Reserve, the twinning project produced the necessary earth fill that was required to construct the berm. In addition, a number of smaller projects have been undertaken. In conjunction with the interchange construction at both Circle Drive/Attridge Drive and Circle Drive/22<sup>nd</sup> Street, berms have been constructed where sufficient right-of-way permits. The berm on Circle Drive north of 22<sup>nd</sup> Street will be extended further north along Circle Drive as material becomes available. In general, there are limited opportunities to construct earth berms in the City due to the availability of adequate right-of-way in which to construct. Infrastructure Services will continue to take advantage of opportunities to construct small-scale localized earth berms over the next five years by coordinating with the Earth Fill-Site Operating Program managed by the Public Works Branch.

In addition, Infrastructure Services has also made preliminary application for funding of \$1,266,000 through the Canada/Saskatchewan Infrastructure Program for 2005/06 for the construction of noise attenuation devices throughout the City. Exact locations for this construction will be developed as part of this year's review. Of further note is that Capital Project No. 893 – Reconstruction of Warman Road – Assiniboine Drive to Primrose Drive includes within its scope the construction of a noise barrier wall along the east side of Warman Road to protect the existing residential dwelling units.

In order to provide City Council with an understanding of the funding requirements involved with this program, the following is offered. Based on construction costs of \$200 per square metre, and assuming that the 6.6 kilometres of sound attenuation wall identified in the 1991 study is still warranted, the estimated cost to begin to address priority locations is approximately \$4,600,000. If reserve funding were to be maintained at the current level of \$250,000 per year, construction of sound attenuation walls would take approximately 18 years to complete. It is expected that new locations for sound attenuation will be identified during that time period, and that existing locations that meet the criteria will continue to experience increased levels of sound above the policy level.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**

**PAGE 67**

In order to address the existing demand in a more timely fashion, Infrastructure Services is proposing that funding to the Noise Attenuation Reserve be increased to \$500,000 annually, beginning with the 2002 Operating Budget. Based upon this funding level, we expect to complete construction of sound attenuation at the locations identified in 1991 in approximately nine years. Should Federal and Provincial funding be approved in 2005, the City would be able to complete construction at the locations identified in 1991 by approximately 2008. It is important to recognize that the work completed in 1991 will have to be validated this year and is subject to change depending on the results of the data review and analysis.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Noise Barrier Design Fundamentals (excerpts from the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Noise Barrier Design Handbook)
2. Faxed response dated March 6, 2002 from Mr. Jim Feeny, Director, Public Affairs, Canadian National
3. Page from CN's website which describes the Responsible Care Program

**D4) Enquiry – Councillor G. Penner (January 21, 2002)  
Speed Limit – Cartwright Road  
(File No. 5300-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the speed limit on Cartwright Road between Clarence Avenue and Lorne Avenue be changed to 60 km/h; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Bylaw amendment.

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Penner at the meeting of City Council held on January 21, 2002:

“The section of Cartwright Road from Clarence Avenue to Lorne Avenue has a speed limit of 50 km/h. Cartwright Road west from Lorne is posted at 60 km/h, as well Lorne Avenue and Clarence Avenue have posted speeds of 60 km/h.

Could I have a report indicating whether it would make sense to post Cartwright Road from Clarence to Lorne at 60 km/h to make it similar to other roads in the area.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 68**

Although outside the City's corporate limits, Cartwright Road is within the jurisdiction and maintenance responsibility of the City of Saskatoon, as per the Rural Road Maintenance Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Rural Municipality of Corman Park. The speed limit on Cartwright Road between Clarence Avenue and Lorne Avenue is currently posted at 50 km/h, while all other adjoining streets (Cartwright Road to the west of Lorne Avenue, Clarence Avenue and Lorne Avenue) have speed limits of 60 km/h. The intersections of Cartwright Road with Lorne Avenue and Clarence Avenue are controlled by stop signs. No collisions on Cartwright Road between Lorne Avenue and Clarence Avenue have been reported to the Police in the past five years.

Following an inspection of existing roadway conditions, Infrastructure Services has determined that it is reasonable to increase the speed limit on Cartwright Road from Clarence Avenue to Lorne Avenue to 60 km/h from the existing 50 km/h. Raising the speed limit will also ensure uniformity with neighbouring roads and better driver compliance with the speed limit.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that a request be put forward to the R.M. of Corman Park to change the speed limit on Cartwright Road between Clarence Avenue and Lorne Avenue to 60 km/h.*

**Section E - UTILITY SERVICES**

**E1) Capital Project # 1218  
Water Meter Test Facility Upgrade  
Contract #2-0146  
(File No. CK. 7900-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the deferral of \$150,000 spending on Capital Project #1055 to serve as additional funding for Capital Project #1218;
  - 2) that City Council accept the low bid from Mars Company (Ocala, Florida) for Water Meter Testing Equipment, Contract #2-0146 at a total tender price of \$256,462.27, including P.S.T. and G.S.T. (7%); and
  - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract as prepared by the City Solicitor.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002**

**PAGE 69**

The Water Utility Capital Budget includes a total of \$260,000 for Project #1218 – Water Meter Test Facility Upgrade. The Project will be handled in two phases. The first phase will involve the purchasing of specialized meter test equipment followed by a construction project including building modifications and equipment installation. The purpose of the project is to facilitate the testing of water meters in the size range of 5/8 to 6 inch within the Water Meter Shop facility. Testing of water meters ensures that proper water cost recovery continues. The existing meter test equipment is approximately 35 years old and beyond its useful life.

The completion of this project will allow us to position ourselves to acquire possible future certification of meter test facilities through Measurement Canada in accordance with the anticipated changes to the Weights and Measures Act. In addition, and as a means of cost recovery, is expected that the provision of testing services for many of the surrounding communities would be pursued.

The tendering of the Water Meter Testing Equipment is complete and a single bid was received from Mars Company for \$256,462.27. Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd. carried out a commercial and technical bid evaluation, and the bid from Mars Company, was recommended for the contract award.

The net cost to the City for the bid submitted by Mars Company is as follows:

|                                  |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Base Price                       | \$226,975.76        |
| P.S.T @6%                        | 13,618.55           |
| G.S.T. @ 7%                      | <u>15,888.30</u>    |
| Contract Amount                  | \$256,482.61        |
| G.S.T. Rebate @ 4% of Base Price | <u>9,079.03</u>     |
| <b>Net Cost to the City</b>      | <b>\$247,403.58</b> |

The above contract amount, plus the cost of the installation contract and engineering consulting fees of approximately \$120,000 and \$40,000 respectively, exceeds the remaining project funding by approximately \$150,000.

A number of factors have contributed to the need for additional funding which include the following:

- Changes to the test bench rated flow requirements was necessary to allow for measurement of 6” meters at the high flow test ranges
- The inclusion of a personal based control and inventory software which allows for ease of interface to the existing utility billing system to record meter test data associated with a service address
- Costs for CSA certification of the electrical equipment, requiring re-engineering of control panels to Canadian Standards. Any electrical equipment installed in Canada must have CSA certification or equivalent

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002

PAGE 70

- Changes to standard shop drawings as a result of CSA certification requirements
- A market shortage of skilled mechanical trades in relation to high levels of construction activity
- Building modifications are much more extensive than originally anticipated in order to provide adequate structural support and positive drainage of the supporting floor slab and to allow for rerouting of service piping

A review of alternate sources of funding was conducted and consideration to defer spending on Capital Project #1055, Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) Conversion, was considered the most appropriate option.

The AMR Project #1055 is a 20-year change-out program to upgrade all civic water meters and provide for remote reading capabilities. In view of the close relation of the AMR Conversion project and the Meter Test Facility Upgrade, in order to ensure a smooth transition from the focus of meter change-outs to the routine of meter testing, timing of the Meter Test Facility Upgrade project over the next year will be critical. Impact on the AMR Conversion project would be restricted to a reduced meter inventory level and would not result in any changes to daily meter change-outs and remote/insitu meters testing activities.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter from Associated Engineering Ltd. – City of Saskatoon Meter Testing Equipment Contract 2-0146

## LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2002

### Section A - OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

- A1) Saskatchewan Assessment Management Agency  
2002 Annual Meeting  
(File No. CK. 180-11)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor, or his designate, be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's voting delegate to the 2002 Saskatchewan Assessment Management Agency Annual Meeting to be held April 11, 2002.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of registration information for the Saskatchewan Assessment Management Agency (SAMA) 2002 Annual Meeting to be held on April 11, 2002, at the Saskatoon

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 71**

Centennial Auditorium. Also attached are the Resolutions which have been submitted by municipalities and school divisions for consideration by local government delegates at the meeting.

A voting delegate must be appointed by Council, and the name submitted with the registration form. In the past, Council has appointed the Mayor or his designate, as the delegate.

**Section B - OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR**

**B1) Development Services Branch 2002 Operating Budget  
Cost Recovery Proposal  
(File No. CK. 1704-1)**

---

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 3.*

**B2) Communications to Council  
From: Lorne Larson  
McKercher, McKercher & Whitmore  
Date: February 19, 2002  
Subject: Hudson's Bay Property and  
HFT Parkade  
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that upon transfer of the Hudson's Bay Property and the HFT Parkade to 101025225 Saskatchewan Limited:
    - a) Council consent to the assignment of the following Agreements by Hudson's Bay Real Estate Limited and HFT Parkades Limited to 101025225 Saskatchewan Ltd. on the conditions outlined in this report:
      - i) Encroachment Agreement dated May 5, 1988
      - ii) Overpass Agreement dated February 27, 1967;
      - iii) Service Tunnel Agreement dated February 27, 1967;
      - iv) Encroachment Agreement dated February 27, 1967;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 72**

v) Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated December 31, 1987 re: Encroachment Agreement;

vi) Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated December 31, 1987 re: Overpass Agreement; and

vii) Amending Agreement dated December 31, 1987 re: Service Tunnel Agreement;

b) the Overpass Agreement be amended to run with the Bay property rather than the Parkade property; and

c) upon assignment of the Overpass Agreement, HFT Parkades Limited be released from its obligations under the Agreement.

2) the City Solicitor prepare and/or review the necessary agreements; and

3) His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary agreements.

*ADOPTED.*

By way of letter dated February 19, 2002, Mr. Lorne Larson, solicitor for Mr. Henry Feldkamp, advised that Mr. Feldkamp had entered into agreements to purchase the former Bay department store and the Parkade property which is connected to the store by a pedestrian overpass running over 2nd Avenue and a service tunnel running under the street. Mr. Larson requested that the City consent to the assignment of certain Agreements relating to these properties to a company known as 101025225 Saskatchewan Ltd. which was formed for the purpose of the purchases.

The Agreements in question are the following:

1. Encroachment Agreement dated May 5, 1988 - this is an Agreement permitting the encroachment of four columns and four brick fascias of the Parkade onto 24th Street. The encroachments in total are less than 1.0 square metre.
2. Encroachment Agreement dated February 27, 1967 - this is an Agreement permitting the encroachment of a portion of the exit silo to the Parkade onto the north-south lane between 23rd Street and 24th Street.



## MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002

PAGE 73

3. Assignment and Assumption Agreement re: Encroachment Agreement dated December 31, 1987 - this is an Agreement whereby the above Encroachment Agreement was assigned to the current registered owner of the Parkade.
4. Overpass Agreement dated February 27, 1967 - this is an Agreement which permits the construction and maintenance of the pedestrian overpass which crosses 2nd Avenue between the store building and the Parkade. The Agreement contains provisions for the maintenance of the overpass, inspection by the City, closure of the overpass in the event closure is necessary and indemnification of the City.
5. Assignment and Assumption Agreement re: Overpass Agreement - this is an Agreement whereby the Overpass Agreement was assigned to the current registered owner of the Parkade.
6. Service Tunnel Agreement dated February 27, 1967 - this is an Agreement which permits the construction and maintenance of the service tunnel between the store building and the Parkade. It contains similar provisions to the Overpass Agreement.
7. Amending Agreement re: Service Tunnel Agreement - this is an Agreement which amended the Service Tunnel Agreement to run with the store lands rather than the Parkade lands and also assigned the Agreement to the current registered owner of the store.

Mr. Feldkamp and his solicitor met with representatives of the Infrastructure Services Department and this Office to review the terms upon which the Administration would recommend that the City consent to the assignment of the Agreements. In that the Encroachment Agreements referred to in #1 and #2 above are relatively standard agreements, the discussions were with respect to the Service Tunnel and Overpass Agreements.

The purchaser requested that the City agree to a term of 29 years for each Agreement which is the same term as was originally granted to The Bay. The Administration's concern was with respect to the maintenance of the structural integrity of the structures over such a term. The purchaser has advised that a visual inspection of the structures has been undertaken and that no signs of structural deficiency currently exist. No independent structural examinations have been undertaken at this time.

As a result of the above discussions, the purchaser has agreed that he would be prepared to agree to the following as conditions of the City's consent to the assignment of the Agreements. The term of each of the Service Tunnel and Overpass Agreements would be 29 years from the date of acquisition of the properties by the purchaser. However, each Agreement would be amended to provide that not later than 6 months prior to the expiry of each of the first and second ten years of the term, the owner would, at its sole cost, provide engineering reports with respect to the structural integrity of the overpass and tunnel. In the event that the report indicates that structural integrity is of concern, the report would also be required to provide recommendations in respect to remedying the problem.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 74**

In the event that the City, acting reasonably and based on such report, considers that remedial action is necessary, it will so advise the owner and will provide the owner a reasonable period of time in which to remedy the problem. In the event the owner does not undertake the necessary action, the City may, at its option, upon three months' notice terminate the particular Agreement under consideration. The City would in such event be entitled to rely upon its remedies in respect of the obligations of the owner as presently contained in the Agreements.

The foregoing amendments to be in addition to the obligations of the owner and the remedies of the City which currently exist under the Agreements. The Agreements currently provide that the City can inspect the structures at any time and can require repairs to be undertaken. If repairs are not undertaken by the owner the City can complete the necessary repairs at the expense of the owner. Should it be expedient for public safety, the City can on one day's notice require that the owner discontinue the use of the structure in question for such time as indicated in the notice or until the City again permits such use.

The purchaser has also requested that the Overpass Agreement be amended to run with the store lands rather than the Parkade lands. As indicated above, the Service Tunnel Agreement was previously amended in this fashion. Lastly, the purchaser has indicated that the current Parkade owner, HFT Parkade Limited, has asked that, on transfer of the property, it be released from any obligations under the Overpass Agreement. These obligations would be assumed by the purchaser.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Letter dated February 19, 2002 to His Worship the Mayor & Council from McKercher, McKercher & Whitmore.

**REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. McCann, Chair  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor R. Steernberg

**1. 2002 Property Tax Abatements  
(File No. CK. 1965-1)**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.*

**2. Communications to Council**  
**From: Nayda Veeman, Fund-Raising Committee Chair**  
**Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc.**  
**Date: March 1, 2002**  
**Subject: Request for Support and Financial Assistance**  
**Renovations at the Broadway Theatre**  
**(File No. CK. 1870-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

Your Committee considered the above-noted communication at its meeting held on March 18, 2002 and heard a presentation from Nayda Veeman, Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc., indicating their request is for a funding guarantee of \$500,000 or a one-time grant of \$50,000 for the final phase of the renovations at the Broadway Theatre. Your Committee determined that the City does not have the jurisdiction to undertake funding guarantees, and therefore the Committee focused on the request for a \$50,000 grant and subsequently resolved:

that the request for funding from Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc. be referred to the Administration for a report to the next meeting of City Council, with respect to options for providing \$50,000 in funding and include information on various sources of funding (e.g. heritage fund).

Attached is a copy of a memo dated March 20, 2002, from the General Manager, Corporate Services Department in response to the Committee's resolution (Attachment A). Also attached, as background information, is a copy of the above-noted communication from Nayda Veeman, Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc (Attachment B).

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 76**

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item AA77 of Communications was brought forward and considered.*

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the request of the Friends of the Broadway Theatre Inc. for a grant of \$50,000 be referred to the Budget Committee.*

**3. Communications to Council**

**From: Gilles Hébert, Director  
The Mendel Art Gallery  
Date: February 4, 2002  
Subject: Long-Term Facility Development Plan  
(File No. CK. 620-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has met with representatives of the Mendel Art Gallery to review their long-term Facility Development Plan. This long-term capital development plan, and will be used to identify and give priority to a logical sequence of incremental projects of upgrading and expansion to the existing facility. The attached Facility Development Plan Synopsis summarizes the main findings of the long-term capital development plan. This is provided to City Council as information only at this time.

**4. Travel Assistant Grant  
Users of Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre  
(File No. CK. 1870-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that a grant of \$3,184 (subject to actual receipts) be approved for the Goldfins Swim Club under the Sports Participation Grant for travel assistance; and
- 2) that a grant of \$883 (subject to actual receipts) be approved for the Lasers Swim Club under the Sports Participation Grant for travel assistance.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 77**

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 7, 2002 with respect to travel grants for the Goldfins Swim Club and the Lasers Swim Club. Your Committee has reviewed this report and supports the proposed grants.

**5. 2001 Operating Revenues Year-End Report  
(File No. CK. 1704-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 5, 2002 forwarding the 2001 Operating Revenues Year-End Report. Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration and is pleased with the outcome.

**6. Communications to Council**  
**From: Dale Botting, CEO**  
**Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority**  
**Date: March 5, 2002**  
**Subject: Changes to the City's Business Development Incentive Policy**  
**(File No. CK. 3500-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the proposed minor changes to the City of Saskatoon's Business Development Incentives Policy C09-014 be approved as outlined in Attachment 2 of the letter dated March 5, 2002 from the Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority;
- 2) that the proposed new temporary incentive policy be approved effective January 1, 2002, as outlined on Attachment 1 of the letter dated March 5, 2002 from the Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority, and
- 3) that the Administration be requested to take the appropriate action for processing these policy changes.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the above-noted communication from Mr. Dale Botting, CEO, Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority. Your Committee has reviewed the proposed policy

changes outlined in this letter with Mr. Botting, and supports these changes. The new temporary incentive policy will assist the Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority to encourage construction activity in the key industrial sectors.

**REPORT NO. 2-2002 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor R. Steernberg, Chair  
Councillor P. McCann  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor L. Swystun

**1. Audit Report – Housing Programs  
(File No. CK. 1600-32)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Attached, for members of City Council only, is a copy of the Audit Report – Housing Programs, which has been reviewed by the Audit Committee with the Administration and with representatives of the Social Housing Advisory Committee and the Saskatchewan Housing Corporation regarding the Rent Supplement Program. The Committee is satisfied that all information provided in this Audit Report is accurate and timely. (A copy of the Audit Report will be available for viewing at the City Clerk's Office).

*IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the information be received; and*

*2) that Recommendations 8, 9, 18, 21, 25 and 26 from the Audit Report – Housing Programs be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee for further consideration.*

**REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor R. Steernberg  
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Request to Purchase City-Owned Land  
Pt. of SW ¼ Section 23-36-6-3  
Ventures West Processing Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Land Manager be authorized to sell to Ventures West Processing Ltd. 5.232 acres of unserviced industrial land, being part of the SW ¼ Section 23-36-6-3, under the following terms:
    - a) Purchase Price: \$5,800.00 plus GST;
    - b) Down Payment: Purchaser to provide 10% of purchase price plus GST;
    - c) Sale Conditions: Property sold “as is”;
    - d) Costs: City to undertake costs of subdivision to create Plan of Survey and costs of obtaining Title for transfer;
    - e) Possession: Upon payment in full of the balance of the purchase price;
    - f) Closing Date: Upon the registration and transfer of Title to the Purchaser; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 28, 2002, with the Land Branch Manager and supports the sale of the noted property to Ventures West Processing Ltd.

**2. Property Offered to the City**

**Saskatoon Stock Car Racing Association  
Pt. NW ¼ 7-37-4 W3rd  
(File No. CK. 4020-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into an agreement to purchase Parcel A being Part of the NW ¼ 7-37-4 W3rd from the Saskatoon Stock Car Racing Association having the following terms and conditions:
    - a) Purchase Price: \$1,051,905.00 plus GST;
    - b) Payment of 80% of the purchase price upon execution of formal agreement;
    - c) Payment of balance of purchase price without interest upon vacant possession of the property and transfer of title to the City;
    - d) Possession Date to be the earlier of November 1, 2007, or as may be determined by the Vendor;
    - e) Vendor to provide Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Report indicating that the property will satisfy the CCME Guidelines for use as residential property prior to possession and in the event of required remediation, the Vendor at its cost to complete all remediation prior to possession;
    - f) Vendor to remove all buildings, stands, race track and other improvements prior to vacant possession;
    - g) Vendor to save harmless the City from any liability in connection with the continued use of the property during the life of the agreement to purchase;
  - 2) that the purchase be funded from the Property Realized Reserve; and
  - 3) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 1, 2002 with the Land Branch Manager, and supports the purchase of this property under the terms and conditions outlined in the report.



**3. Request to Purchase City-owned Land  
Lots 8 and 9, Block 843, Plan 79S38425  
325 Fairmont Drive and 316 Camponi Crescent  
Fairfield Senior Citizens Corporation  
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City enter into an option to purchase agreement with the Fairfield Senior Citizens Corporation for their intended purchase of Lots 8 and 9, Block 843, Plan 79S38425 (325 Fairmont Drive and 316 Camponi Crescent) having the basic terms and conditions as noted in this report;
  - 2) that the twelve remaining City-owned properties in the Camponi Crescent area be sold by public tender with a reserve bid price of \$165,000.00 per acre;
  - 3) that should no qualified bids be received, the properties be placed for sale over-the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis; and
  - 4) that the distribution of funds from the proceeds of sale, as noted in the attached report, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 4, 2002, with the Land Branch Manager and supports the sale of this property to Fairfield Senior Citizens Corporation under the conditions outlined in the report.

**4. Offer to Purchase Between  
F.H. Dawes and City of Saskatoon  
Part of SE ¼ 21-37-5-3  
800 – 60<sup>th</sup> Street  
(File No. CK. 4020-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City enter into a agreement to purchase land described as Part of the SE 1/4-21-37-5-3 (800 - 60<sup>th</sup> Street) from Frederick Harry Dawes having the following basic terms and conditions:
    - a) Purchase Price: \$102,000.00;
    - b) Closing and Possession Date: April 4, 2002;

- c) Real Estate Fees: Payable by the City;
- 2) that the purchase be funded from the Property Realized Reserve; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 4, 2002, with the Land Branch Manager and supports the proposal to purchase this property under the terms and conditions outlined in the report.

**5. Request to Purchase City-Owned Property  
Parcel W, Plan G746  
South of 33<sup>rd</sup> Street, Adjacent to SIAST  
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Land Manager be authorized to sell Parcel W, Plan G746, by inviting bids from Centurion Properties Ltd. and CSP Foods;
  - 2) that the minimum reserve bid for the property be in the amount of \$18,000.00 plus GST;
  - 3) that the Land Manager award the property to the highest bidder over the reserve bid; and,
  - 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate documents and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the documents under the Corporate Seal.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 28, 2002 with the Land Branch Manager and supports the sale of this property by bid process as outlined in the report.

**REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor J. Maddin, Chair  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor O. Fortosky  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor P. McCann  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor R. Steernberg  
Councillor L. Swystun  
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Resolution for Submission to Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM)  
Maintaining Social and Economic Ethics and Value  
Systems in Terms of Trade Agreements  
(File No. CK. 277-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that Saskatoon City Council urge the Government of Saskatchewan to convene a legislative committee for the purpose of holding public hearings on the impact of the General Agreement on Trades in Service (GATS), the Free Trade Area of the Americas Agreement (FTAA) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) on municipalities, and that this committee invite Federal GATS, FTAA and WTO negotiators along with other experts on the Agreements to provide information and to answer questions directly related to the text of the Agreements; and

2) that the following resolution be submitted to Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM), to be considered as an additional component to the resolution previously submitted

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 84**

by City Council: (that the FCM) establish a panel of experts in the areas of international trade, municipal works and services, and municipal law to consult with the Government of Canada and other parties and to prepare a report for the FCM, available to each of its local government members, that definitively identifies and explains the practical implications for local government of the international trade agreements to which Canada is a party, and suggesting ethical guidelines and principals for trade negotiations.

*ADOPTED.*

City Council, at its meeting held on November 5, 2001, approved submission of a resolution to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities regarding the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) and The Agreement on Government Procurement (AGP). A copy of the resolution is attached.

City Council also resolved, at its meeting held on December 3, 2001, that the matter of the development of a resolution for submission to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities regarding maintaining social and economic ethics and value systems in terms of trade agreements be referred to the Social Policy Development Round Table, for the Administration to facilitate, in the month of February, a one-time public session with stakeholders to develop an appropriate resolution and report back to the Executive Committee.

The Social Policy Development Round Table, at its meeting held on February 27, 2002, met with Tim Quigley, Saskatoon Chapter, Council of Canadians, and Kent Smith-Windsor, Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce. The above recommendations were put forward by the Social Policy Development Round Table, and your committee supports same.

*Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the Committee of the Whole be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**ENQUIRIES**

**Councillor L. Swystun  
Community Bonds  
(File No. CK. 1750-1)**

Given the discussion surrounding the borrowing of funds and strategies regarding the debenture issue, would the Administration report on the feasibility of creating a community bond, perhaps starting with a basic bond for a specific project (or some variation on examples that may be found in existing municipalities) and report on this. This may be something that could be considered as part of alternative streams of revenue and worthy in such a regard.

**Councillor L. Swystun  
Pollution – West Central Industrial  
(File No. CK. 375-1)**

Would the Administration please report on the steps and procedures that we may be able to take in regards to (administratively, legislatively) dealing with noise and other types of pollution generated in areas such as around the Robin Hood Mill and West Central Industrial area that border on residential and affect one's quality of life greatly.

**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw No. 8102**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8102, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2002 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8102 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 86**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8102.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Roe in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Roe, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8102 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8102 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Bylaw No. 8102 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 87**

**Bylaw No. 8104**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8104, being "The Buffer Strip Exchange Bylaw, 2002 (No. 1)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8104 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8104.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Roe in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Roe, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8104 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 88**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8104 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Bylaw No. 8104 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 8105**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8105, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2002 (No. 3)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8105 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 89**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8105.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Roe in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Roe, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8105 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8105 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Bylaw No. 8105 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 90**

**Bylaw No. 8106**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8106, being "The Subdivision Amendment Bylaw, 2002" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8106 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8106.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Roe in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Roe, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8106 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MARCH 25, 2002  
PAGE 91**

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8106 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Bylaw No. 8106 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

*Moved by Councillor Roe,*

*THAT the meeting stand adjourned.*

*CARRIED.*

The meeting adjourned at 11:20 p.m.

---

Mayor

---

City Clerk