

Council Chambers  
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.  
Monday, February 27, 2006  
at 6:00 p.m.

## **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;  
Councillors Alm, Birkmaier, Dubois, Fortosky, Heidt, Hnatyshyn,  
Neault, Paulsen, Penner, and Wyant;  
City Manager Richards;  
City Solicitor Dust;  
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;  
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;  
A/General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Kobussen;  
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman;  
General Manager, Utility Services Totland;  
City Clerk Mann; and  
Council Assistant Mitchener.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on February 13, 2006, be approved.*

**CARRIED.**

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Alm,*

*THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.*

**CARRIED.**

*His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Neault as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.*

*Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.*

*Committee arose.*

*Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 2**

*THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:*

**“REPORT NO. 2-2006 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”**

**1. Revised Marquis Industrial Sector Plan  
(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 25, 2005 with respect to proposed revisions to the Marquis Industrial Sector Plan.

Your Commission has reviewed this matter with the Administration and supports the proposed revisions.

This matter is also being reported on under Clause 1, Report No. 3-2006 of the Planning and Operations Committee.

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2006**

**Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

**A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department  
For the Period Between February 3, 2006 to February 16, 2006  
(For Information Only)  
(File No. PL. 4300; CK. 4000-5)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 3**

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

**Subdivision**

- Application No. 6/06: Lynd Crescent/Court/Place, Bolton and Borlase  
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dundee Development Corp.  
Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan 101390655 and  
Parcel B, Plan 91S02194  
Current Zoning: R1A  
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge  
Date Received: February 10, 2006
  
- Application No. 7/06: 114 Sherry Crescent and 635 Sherry Way  
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Linda Leedahl and  
Brent & Collette Sharanowski  
Legal Description: Lots 25 & A, Block 879, Plan 84S17837 and  
Lots 55 & B, Block 879, Plan 85S23011  
Current Zoning: R1A  
Neighbourhood: Parkridge  
Date Received: February 10, 2006
  
- Application No. 8/06 : Denham Crescent/Rise & East Hampton Boulevard  
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dundee Realty Corporation  
Legal Description: N.E. ½ Section 6-37-5-W3M  
Current Zoning: R1A  
Neighbourhood: Hampton Village  
Date Received: February 15, 2006

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 6/06
2. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 7/06
3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 8/06

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 4**

**A2) Marquis Industrial Sector Plan – Supplementary Report  
(File No.: PL 4134-3; CK. 4110-24)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed Marquis Industrial Sector Plan dated October 6, 2005, be amended to exclude frontage access to Marquis Drive, and
  - 2) that the Marquis Industrial Sector Plan be adopted as amended.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

On January 17, 2006, the Planning and Operations Committee received a report from the Community Services Department recommending that City Council adopt the proposed Marquis Industrial Sector Plan dated October 6, 2005. Contained in the Marquis Industrial Sector Plan report is a proposal to allow light industrial frontage access to Marquis Drive.

The Planning and Operations Committee adopted the recommendation.

**REPORT**

Further consideration of the proposal to allow frontage access to Marquis Drive has taken place within the City Administration. Although several roadway designs were considered, which would separate through traffic from traffic patronizing adjacent businesses, it has been decided within the Administration that the proposed Marquis Industrial Sector should be amended to remove the provision of frontage access along Marquis Drive. This is based on the need to establish Marquis Drive as an access controlled arterial roadway.

Attachment 1 shows a revised alignment of light industrial lots, which will now back onto Marquis Drive and be served by new local roadways located north and south of Marquis Drive.

Furthermore, the Infrastructure Services Department wishes to include the following comments as part of the adoption of the Revised Marquis Sector Plan:

1. Traffic and Roadway Comments

Traffic control signals (eight) will be required at the locations marked on the attached plan. Of these eight locations within the Marquis Industrial Sector, prepaid services will only fund two traffic control devices. A private developer is paying for one of these signals. The other five signals must be funded by sales of city property within the area.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**

**PAGE 5**

The Developer will be responsible for all costs associated with the installation of a new at grade rail crossing with the Canadian National Railway (Warman sub line) at the proposed extension of Marquis Drive. Costs will include such items as railway gates, constant warning flashers, railway labour and materials, railway flagging, signal pre-emption, communication with traffic signals, all city traffic control material and labour, and all electronic shop labour and materials, as well as engineering and drafting design time, surveying, and expenses.

2. Water and Sewer Comments

Water and sewer trunks are planned to be extended to Millar Avenue in 2006.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Map Showing Revised Marquis Drive Design

**Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES**

**B1) Preliminary Statement of Revenues and Expenditures  
Year Ended December 31, 2005  
(File No. 1704-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the surplus of \$166,200 relating to the Access Transit program be set aside specifically for future one time expenditures associated with this program;
  - 2) that the surplus of \$239,700 relating to the Landfill program be transferred to the Landfill Replacement Reserve;
  - 3) that \$500,000 be dedicated to the Municipal Enterprise Zone;
  - 4) that \$500,000 be used to fund the City's share of the Urban Development Agreement for 2006; and,
  - 5) that the Administration report further on the allocation of the remaining operating surplus, totalling \$1,300,100.

## **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**

**PAGE 6**

### **REPORT**

Attached for City Council's information, is a copy of the Preliminary Statement of Revenue and Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2005. This statement, still subject to external audit, reveals a surplus of \$2,706,000 before any recommended allocations. Enclosed with the statement is a letter of transmittal highlighting the major variances contributing to this surplus.

While the audit has not yet confirmed the surplus, your Administration is recommending that a portion of this surplus be allocated. The total of these allocations are \$1,405,900 and include the following items:

- Access Transit has recorded a surplus that traditionally has been reserved for future application to Access Transit services. The Administration is recommending that the total surplus of \$166,200 be reserved for this purpose.
- The Landfill Replacement Reserve – In 2005, a project to expand the landfill was approved and funded through this reserve. When City Council approved the 2005 Capital Budget, it did so with the understanding that it would result in the Landfill Replacement Reserve being drawn into a deficit position. Council further agreed to award the tender for construction of “Cell H” with the caveat that the Administration take action to eliminate the deficit by the end of 2006. At its meeting on February 13, 2006, City Council received a report and passed a resolution to increase the Landfill rates to assist in reducing this reserve deficit. The report also discussed applying the 2005 surplus from the Landfill program to this reserve. The Administration is therefore recommending to Council that the surplus of \$239,700 be allocated to the Landfill Replacement Reserve.
- Municipal Enterprise Zone – In 2002, City Council approved a new administrative policy to create a Municipal Enterprise Zone to provide financial incentives to eligible uses that encourage growth and development in seven core neighbourhoods and two core industrial areas. City Council allocated \$500,000 to the Municipal Enterprise Zone to fund the incentive program at that time. At its meeting on September 19, 2005, City Council received a detailed status report from the Administration noting that the funding for the program was nearing its end. Further at its meeting on December 12, 2005, Council resolved that the Administration report back concerning funding options. The Administration recommends that \$500,000 of the 2005 surplus, be allocated to continue the Enterprise Zone program as an interim funding option.
- Urban Development Agreement – The City of Saskatoon, together with the federal and provincial governments signed the Urban Development Agreement to provide a collaborative approach to identifying priorities within the city and develop sustainable strategies to focus on infrastructure, economic development, building sustainable neighbourhoods and development of a healthy and innovative environment. The annual funding requirements include \$500,000 from the City of Saskatoon, and \$500,000 and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**

**PAGE 7**

\$1,000,000 respectively from each of the provincial and federal governments. The City's share of the funding is required for the second year of the agreement.

While the above listed items being recommended for approval, there are a number of other items being reviewed that may require consideration upon the final approval of the 2005 Financial Statements following the external audit including the Interest Stabilization Reserve, the Snow and Ice Management Contingency Reserve, the Insurance Reserve, and the Revenue Stabilization Reserve.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Preliminary Statement of Revenue and Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2005.

*IT WAS RESOLVED:*

- 1) *that the surplus of \$166,200 relating to the Access Transit program be set aside specifically for future one time expenditures associated with this program;*
- 2) *that the surplus of \$239,700 relating to the Landfill program be transferred to the Landfill Replacement Reserve;*
- 3) *that \$500,000 be dedicated to the Municipal Enterprise Zone;*
- 4) *that \$500,000 be used to fund the City's share of the Urban Development Agreement for 2006; and,*
- 5) *that the Administration report to the Executive Committee on the allocation of the remaining operating surplus, totalling \$1,300,100.*

**Section C – FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES**

**C1) 2006 Capital Budget/2007-2010 Capital Plan  
Project No. 0582 -- Fire Apparatus - Refurb/Repl/Add'l  
Award of Tender -- Four 7-Passenger Mini Vans  
(File No. 1703-FR)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Auto Clearing (1982) Ltd. for the supply of four 7-passenger mini vans, at a total cost of \$100,297.20, be accepted; and,
  - 2) that City Council authorize the City Manager to sign the Purchase Order.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT**

Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services issued a tender for the supply of four 7-passenger Mini Vans. Five tenders were received as follows:

<b>COMPANY NAME</b>	<b>TOTAL TENDER PRICE</b>
Merlin Ford Lincoln	\$23,842.45
Jubilee Ford	\$24,015.64
Auto Clearing	\$25,074.30
Dodge City	\$25,628.83
Saskatoon Motor Products	\$26,660.53

These vans are used for various functions and need to be adaptable to Departmental needs. Therefore, the tender required “Stow and Go” seating. This allows the vehicles to be used for transportation of passengers or, when the seats are stowed away, used for transportation of equipment, tools, supplies, etc. The tender also called for a full-size spare tire and consideration of fuel economy.

One of the vans will be issued to the Fire Prevention and Investigation Division and will also serve as a back-up fire investigation unit, one van will be issued to the Community Relations Division and also used as a back-up unit for the Battalion Chiefs. The other two vans will be issued to the Training Division.

The Chrysler Grand Caravan is the only unit that meets all the Department’s requirements in accordance with the specifications.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**Section D – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES**

**D1) Proposed Stop and Yield Sign Installations  
Stonebridge Subdivision  
(File No. 6280-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that stop and yield signs be installed in Stonebridge Subdivision as shown on Plan No. 211-0074-001r001.

*ADOPTED.*

Construction of the initial stage of the Stonebridge subdivision has progressed to the point that stop and yield signs are now required. The entire subdivision's stop and yield signing plan shown on Plan 211-0074-001r001 (Attachment 1) is being presented for approval. These proposed installations would properly assign right-of-way at intersections.

The proposed installation conforms to City Policy C0-007- 'Traffic Control – Use of Stop and Yield Signs' for the installation of stop and yield signs, and will ensure that proper right-of-way is assigned along collector and arterial roadways.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Plan No. 211-0074-001r001

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
 PAGE 10**

**D2) 2006 Capital Budget  
 Land Development – Arterial Roadway  
 Marquis Industrial – Marquis Drive  
 (File No. 4111-43; CK. 4110-24)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve funding for the construction of Marquis Drive, from Idylwyld Drive to Siemens Avenue, and for other prepaid services required along Siemens Avenue all within the Marquis Industrial Area for a total of \$873,000.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT**

Flying J Inc. has requested that the City of Saskatoon enter into a Development and Servicing Agreement within the Marquis Industrial Area.

The Agreement has identified construction that will require funding for a number of municipal services. The services will include the design, construction engineering, and construction of two lanes of the Marquis Drive arterial roadway from the Idylwyld Drive service road to Siemens Avenue funded from the arterial road reserve. Ultimately, the long term plans are for this roadway to be redeveloped as part of the Marquis Drive Interchange. Other prepaid services include street lighting, traffic controls, and inspection along the newly created Siemens Avenue north of Marquis Drive. The purpose of this item is to request funding from the arterial road and prepaid service reserves for standard services required for construction within the area.

The cost details and source of funding for these projects are as follows:

Description:	Project No.	Amount
<u>Gross Cost Details:</u>		
Arterial Road – Marquis Drive – Marquis Industrial Subdivision	New	\$ 718,000
Land Development – Marquis Industrial Area – Siemens Avenue	1769-3	<u>155,000</u>
Total		\$ 873,000
<u>Financing Details:</u>		
Arterial Road Reserve		\$ 718,000
General Prepaid Service Reserves - Engineering		125,000
General Prepaid Service Reserves – Elec City		<u>30,000</u>
Total		<u>\$ 873,000</u>

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 11**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D3) Circle Drive Widening: Attridge Drive to Millar Avenue  
Award of Detailed Design Work  
Capital Project No. 2009  
(File No. 6005-43; 6050-104-10; CK. 6001-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the scope of the Engineering Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Ltd., for the preliminary design of the Circle Drive Widening Project: Attridge Drive to Millar Avenue, be revised to include detailed design of a new pedestrian walkway and detailed widening design of the Circle Drive Bridge;
  - 2) that the Engineering Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the preliminary design of the Circle Drive Widening Project: Attridge Drive to Millar Avenue be revised to a total upset cost of \$542,860.00 including G.S.T. and P.S.T.; and
  - 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to revise the existing Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

At its meeting on June 13, 2005, City Council considered the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services, and resolved in part:

“that the proposal for services submitted by Stantec Consulting Ltd. For the preliminary design of the widening of Circle Drive between Attridge Drive and Millar Avenue, and the detailed design and tender preparation for the supply of bridge girders, for a total cost of \$215,315 including GST and PST be accepted.”

## **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**

**PAGE 12**

In the above report, the Administration advised that only enough funding was available to proceed with the preliminary design (\$215,315), and that the intent was to complete the balance of detailed design work in 2006 (\$194,785) with funding included in the 2006 Capital Project No. 2009 Budget Submission.

The scope of this services agreement was to widen the roadway from Attridge Drive to Millar Avenue, and to widen the bridge in accordance with the original design intent, which involved procurement of a new full length Girder, river work, and 'filling' in the existing gap between the twin structures with useable bridge deck.

The cost estimate for widening the Circle Drive Bridge in accordance with the design intent was higher than desired, and alternate methods of widening the bridge were explored. A more economical alternate method was examined in which the existing sidewalk areas of the bridge would be converted to vehicular traffic and a new pedestrian corridor would be created.

On January 23, 2006, the 2006 Capital Budget was approved including Project 2009 "Circle Drive Bridge Widening", subject to confirmation of eligibility under the Gas Tax program and a Public Notice Hearing for borrowing. The Administration is currently filing an investment plan with the Province to meet the project eligibility requirements. This project is included in the plan. If the plan is not approved, or if borrowing isn't approved after public notice, an alternative source of funding would be required.

Furthermore, at its meeting on January 23, 2006, City Council adopted the recommendation:

"that a new pedestrian and cyclist walkway structure be created below and between the existing Circle Drive Bridge deck as outlined in Option 2, and illustrated on Attachment 7 to the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated January 3, 2006, with input from the Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Committee."

### **REPORT**

The scope of Engineering Services to proceed with complete detailed design of the 'sidewalk conversion' and new pedestrian crossing option involves more work than the original agreement, which was for \$380,000 plus taxes (\$410,000). The incremental work is essentially the design and engineering of the new Pedestrian Crossing which has been quoted at a cost \$123,000 plus taxes. The incremental design costs are anticipated to be more than made up in anticipated savings of up to \$2 million in construction costs.

The final cost of the work to be performed in the Engineering Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Ltd is as follows:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 13**

Original Engineering Services for Preliminary Design	\$	200,000.00
Original Engineering Services for Detailed Design	\$	180,000.00
<u>Additional Engineering Services for Pedestrian Crossing</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>123,000.00</u>
Subtotal	\$	503,000.00
P.S.T.	\$	4,650.00
G.S.T.	\$	<u>35,210.00</u>
Total Fees	\$	542,860.00
G.S.T. Rebate	\$	<u>(35,210.00)</u>
<u>Total Revised Engineering Services Agreement Net Cost</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>507,650.00</u>

Capital Project No. 2009 was approved in the 2006 Capital Budget, and as such, sufficient funding is in place to allow for the detailed design of the project to be completed.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D4) Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue Grade Separation  
(File No. 6330-01; CK. 6001-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A3 of Communications to Council.*

**D5) Servicing Agreement  
Saskashop Centre Inc.  
Stonegate Regional Retail Area – Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive  
(File No. 4111-32; CK. 4125-13)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1) with Saskashop Centre Inc., for a portion of the Stonegate Regional Retail area, to cover Parcel H Registered Plan No. 85-S-20153 and part of S.W. ¼ Section 15 Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd meridian, be approved; and
  - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A3 of Communications to Council.*

**D6) 2006 Capital Budget  
Capital Project No. 2018  
Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue Grade Separation  
Engineering Services Agreement  
(File No. 6005-44; CK. 6001-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal for services submitted by ND LEA Engineers & Planners Inc. for the detailed design and selected services during construction of the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue overpass structure for a total cost of \$455,178 including G.S.T. and P.S.T. be accepted; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered after Item 4b of Hearings.*

**Section F – CITY MANAGER**

**F1) River Landing Phase II Riverfront  
Design Report  
(File No. SPR. 4129-5)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

As part of the South Downtown Concept Plan, the City committed to developing a publicly accessible riverfront, from the top of the bank to the river's edge stretching from the Traffic Bridge, under the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge, and ending near Avenue C. This area, containing approximately eight acres, is to be a connection between the downtown and the river by enhancing access to the riverbank and establishing a focal point for riverbank activities.

Construction for Phase I (between the Buckwold and Traffic Bridges) began in the spring of 2004, with rough landscape, hard edges, overlooks on the riverbank, and underground utilities. In 2005, work continued on the landscaping and pathways including the installation of guardrails, sun shelters, streetscape furniture, irrigation, and lighting. Riverfront features, including the pavilion, waterplay area, amphitheatre, and boat dock are scheduled for construction in 2006. The pedestrian bridge across the new "lagoon" and garden will also be completed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 15**

In December of 2004, the City participated with the federal and provincial governments in announcing funding for Phase II, including a \$9 million redevelopment of the riverfront (between the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge and Avenue C). At its March 21, 2005 meeting, City Council approved the services of Crosby Hanna & Associates (the consultant that led the process for the design and construction of the riverfront on Phase I) for the planning and design of the riverfront portion of River Landing Phase II. The project objectives were:

- to create a connection along the river, and between backshore lands and the river by enhancing access to the riverbank, and establishing a focal point for riverbank activities in the City of Saskatoon and the Village of Riversdale; and,
- to integrate with, and provide an identity complementary to, Phase I, which firmly establishes a sense of place along the River Landing riverfront.

The project was directed by a Steering Committee that included:

Jacqui Barclay, Riversdale Community Association  
Phyllis Lodoen, Riversdale BID  
Terry Scaddan, The Partnership  
Susan Lamb, Meewasin Valley Authority  
Rose Turner/David James, Western Economic Diversification  
Russ Krywulak, Provincial/Municipal Relations  
Chris Dekker, COS Special Projects Manager  
Wayne Briant, COS Park Branch Manager

Additional expertise was provided by a Technical Committee that included:

Peter Kingsmill, Boating Association of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan  
Lloyd Isaak, Meewasin Valley Authority  
Jeff Jorgenson, COS Municipal Engineering  
Alan Wallace, COS Planning Branch  
Jeanna South, COS Urban Design  
Del Ehlert, COS Facilities Branch  
Ted Kutz/Roxanne Melnyk, COS Community Services Branch  
Alan Otterbein, COS Parks Branch

With a narrow footprint and steep topography, the site presented many unique design challenges. However, Administration believes the design team has developed an innovative and attractive proposal and is pleased to present the Design Report to City Council (Attachment 1).

The general public and stakeholders were consulted before, during, and after the development of the Design Report. A complete listing of meetings, forums, and open houses is listed in Section 3, while the level of community support for specific development components is included in Section 5.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 16**

Administration is negotiating with the Meewasin Valley Authority regarding project management services, and is recommending Crosby Hanna & Associates be awarded the detailed design contract following a request for proposal process (see City Manager's report, entitled River Landing Phase II Riverfront - Award of Detailed Design Contract). Barring unforeseen circumstances, initial construction could begin this spring or early summer.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Design Report – Phase 2 Riverfront, dated February 20, 2006, prepared by Crosby Hanna & Associates.

**F2) River Landing Phase II Riverfront  
Award of Detailed Design Contract  
(File No. SPR. 4129-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by Crosby Hanna & Associates for detailed design and administration services for a fee structure of 15% of construction value for the riverfront on River Landing Phase II as outlined in the Design Report be approved; and,
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk under corporate seal.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

In December of 2004, the City participated with the federal and provincial governments in announcing funding for River Landing Phase II, including a \$9 million redevelopment of the riverfront (between the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge and Avenue C). At its March 21, 2005 meeting, City Council approved the services of Crosby Hanna & Associates (the consultant that led the process for the design and construction of the riverfront on Phase I) for the planning and design of the riverfront portion of River Landing Phase II. The Design Report is the subject of a separate report to City Council.

For the detailed design and construction consultation component of the project, the City sent a request for proposal to landscape architects with a February 21, 2006, deadline. One submission

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 17**

was received from Crosby Hanna & Associates, while three firms indicated that they were not in a position to bid on this project. The Crosby Hanna submission was evaluated based on relevant experience of the firm, the personnel who will undertake this work, the extent to which the firm understood and embraced the vision, the methodology, deliverables, schedule for the work, and proposed fees and expenses.

**REPORT**

Administration is recommending Crosby Hanna & Associates, whose proposal exhibited a solid understanding of the concept along with evidence of creativity and experience in designing public spaces (Attachment 1). Their intimate knowledge of the project and extensive experience in regulatory processes will help to ensure a timely delivery date. Their fee is within the industry standard for such services and is consistent with the fee structure for Phase I riverfront.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposal – Phase 2 Riverfront – Consulting Services for Construction, dated February 21, 2006, prepared by Crosby Hanna & Associates.

**F3) Stonegate Retail Proposal  
First Pro Shopping Centre  
(File No. CC 4125-1; CK. 4125-13)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve funding of the overpass at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive as outlined in this report.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A3 of Communications to Council.*

**LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2006**

**Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR**

**B1) The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2006  
(File No. CK. 7830-4)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8489.

*ADOPTED.*

City Council at its meeting of February 13, 2006, resolved to increase the landfill tipping fees and commercial collection fees for the City's landfill. The purpose of this Bylaw is to amend The Waste Bylaw, 2004, to provide for the increased fees.

Attached is Bylaw No. 8489 which reflects the necessary changes to The Waste Bylaw, 2004, for Council's consideration.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8489, The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2006.

**B2) Servicing Agreement  
The City of Saskatoon and Saskashop Centre Inc.  
(File No. CK. 4300-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that this report be received as information.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A3 of Communications to Council.*

**REPORT NO. 3-2006 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor D. L. Birkmaier, Chair  
Councillor O. Fortosky  
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Revised Marquis Industrial Sector Plan  
(File No. CK. 4110-24)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the revised Marquis Industrial Sector Plan dated October 6, 2005, be adopted.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 3, 2006, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the proposed revisions with the Administration and supports the recommendation for approval of the revised Marquis Industrial Sector Plan dated October 6, 2005.

This matter is also being reported on under Clause 1, Report No. 2-2006 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

*City Council noted that this matter was dealt with previously under Clause A2, Administrative Report No. 2-2006.*

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.*

**2. 2005 Annual Report  
Development Services Branch (Development Review Section)  
(File No. CK. 430-34)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 7, 2006, providing the 2005 Annual Report of the Development Review

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 20**

Section, Development Services Branch. The report is being forwarded to City Council as information.

**3. Development and Servicing Agreement  
Flying J Inc.  
Subdivision No. 28/05  
(File No. CK. 4300-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed Development and Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1 to the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 6, 2006) with Flying J Inc. for a portion of the Marquis Industrial Area to cover Parcel F in NW1/4 Section 21, Township 37, Range 5, West of the 3<sup>rd</sup> meridian, be approved; and
  - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 6, 2006, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports approval of the proposed Development and Servicing Agreement.

**4. Perimeter Highway Functional Planning Study Report  
(File No. CK. 6000-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the East Perimeter Highway Functional Planning Study Report (Attachment 3 to the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 6, 2006) be received; and
  - 2) that the alignment of the east perimeter highway, including the location of the new north bridge, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 6, 2006, providing the East Perimeter Highway Functional Planning Study Report.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 21**

Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and supports the recommended alignment of the east perimeter highway, including the location of the new north bridge, as set out in Attachment 2 to the Administrative report.

City Council members have already been provided with copies of the East Perimeter Highway Functional Planning Study Report and the proposed alignment of the east perimeter highway. A copy is available for review in the City Clerk's Office.

**REPORT NO. 3-2006 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair  
Councillor T. Alm  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor T. Paulsen

**1. Additional Street Lights – 200 Block Avenue D North  
Proposed Warehouse Conversion  
211 Avenue D North – T. Eaton Warehouse  
(File No. CK. 3500-15)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

City Council considered Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 22-2005 on the proposed warehouse conversion at 211 Avenue D North, at its meeting held on November 28, 2005, and resolved in part:

that Saskatoon Light & Power investigate the need for additional street lights along the 200 block of Avenue D North, and install street lights if lighting levels are below residential standards.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated January 23, 2006, indicating that the lighting level on Avenue D North meets the standards required for that block, and recommending that no additional street lighting be installed on this block at the present time.

Your Committee has reviewed this report, and accepts the recommendation contained therein.

**2. Wheelchair Accessible Taxi Plates**

**(File No. CK. 7305-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that two additional wheelchair accessible taxi plates be issued (one to The United Group and one to Saskatoon Radio Cabs Ltd.); and
  - 2) that Bylaw No. 8488 be considered.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated February 20, 2006, recommending approval of two additional wheelchair accessible taxi plates; one for The United Group and one to Saskatoon Radio Cabs Ltd. in response to their request, by letter dated January 4, 2006, from Saskatoon Radio Cabs Ltd., and by personal request from The United Group at a Committee meeting held on January 16, 2006.

Your Committee has reviewed this report and has determined that the two taxicab companies agree with the recommendation. An amendment to The License Bylaw is required to authorize this request, and attached is Bylaw No. 8488 in this regard.

Your Committee supports this proposal to provide two additional wheelchair accessible taxi plates to cover the shortfall in service.

**3. Animal Services Program Update**  
**(File No. CK. 151-18)**

- RECOMMENDATION:** that the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated January 16, 2006, be submitted to the Budget Committee for consideration.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated January 16, 2006, containing an update on the funding requirements for the Animal Services Program in order to pursue the 2006 Objectives as outlined in the report.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration, and supports submission to the Budget Committee for further consideration during the Operating Budget debate.

**4. Discounted Monthly Bus Passes – Provincial Pilot Project**  
**(File No. CK. 1905-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Administration continue negotiations with the Province to try and obtain the same funding for a discounted monthly bus pass program as provided to Regina; and
  - 2) that in the meantime, the Administration be authorized to sell a minimum of \$366,000 in bus passes to the Department of Employment and Community Resources (DCRE) for use in their program to offer discounted monthly bus passes to their clients in Saskatoon.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A6 of Communications to Council.*

**REPORT NO. 2-2006 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Wyant, Chair  
Councillor D.L. Birkmaier  
Councillor T. Alm  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor M. Neault

**1. Audit Report – Occupational Health and Safety Program**  
**(File No. CK. 1600-13)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the Implementation Plan for the recommendations contained in the Audit Report for the Occupational Health and Safety Program.

Your Committee has reviewed the Audit Report with the Administration, and is satisfied with the outcome of this Audit recommendations.

A copy of the complete Audit Report was previously provided to all members of City Council, and a copy of the Audit Report will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

**2. Audit Report – The Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium**  
**Governance and Operations**  
**(File No. CK. 1600-5)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the Implementation Plan for the Audit Report - Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium Governance and Operations.

Your Committee has reviewed the Audit Report with the Administration of the Auditorium, and is satisfied with the outcome of this Audit recommendations.

A copy of the complete Audit Report was previously provided to all members of City Council, and a copy of this Audit Report will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

**REPORT NO. 1-2006 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair  
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor G. Wyant

- 1. Land Bank Operations – Land Development  
Annual Report for Year Ending December 31, 2005  
(File No. CK. 430-33)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 27, 2006, forwarding the Annual Report for the Land Bank Operations – Land Development, to December 31, 2005.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration, and provides this report to City Council as information.

- 2. Front-Facing Garage Location for Single-Family Dwellings  
New Residential City-Owned Land Development  
(File No. CK. 431-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the Land Branch be authorized to regulate the location of front-facing garages for single-family residential lots developed by the City of Saskatoon.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 13, 2006, regarding a proposal for the Land Branch to control the location of front-facing garages in new construction on residential lots developed by the City, in order to 'pair up' garages on adjoining lots as much as possible. The result is a better streetscape appearance for the neighbourhood.

Your Committee has reviewed this proposal with the Administration, and supports the control on location of front-facing garages as outlined in the report.

**3. Multi-Family Site Architectural Controls for Hampton Village, Lakewood Suburban Centre, and Hughes Drive  
(File No. CK. 4131-26)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that approval be granted to institute architectural controls for Hampton Village, Lakewood Suburban Centre and Hughes Drive, as outlined in the attached report; and
- 2) that approval be granted for a change from a maximum allowable development density of 9 units per acre to a maximum allowable development density of 12 units per acre for the Hughes Drive multi-family site.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 27, 2006, regarding architectural controls for Hampton Village, Lakewood Suburban Centre and Hughes Drive. Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration, and supports the institution of architectural controls, as outlined in the report.

The bound documents to the report have not been copied and will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

**REPORT NO. 4-2006 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair  
Councillor T. Alm  
Councillor D.L. Birkmaier  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor O. Fortosky  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Cultural Capitals of Canada Application**  
**(File No. CK. 1860-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council approve the revised proposal to the Cultural Capitals of Canada program as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 7, 2006.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 7, 2006.

**2. Victoria Bridge**  
**(File No. CK. 6050-8)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Council approve the 20-year interim rehabilitation of the Victoria Bridge as outlined in Option 3 of the Consultant's report at an estimated project cost of \$798,000, to be funded from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures;
- 2) that the Administration be requested to report on the possibility of receiving Provincial funding assistance; and
- 3) that the Administration be requested to report further on the matter of a complete deck replacement, at an estimated cost of approximately \$1.3 million.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 15, 2006 and puts forward the above recommendation for the consideration of Council.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 27**

The Consultant's reports were made public with the Executive Committee's agenda of February 20, and are therefore not included with this report.

*Item B13 of Communications to Council was brought forward.*

**"B13) Jonathon Page and Goya Ngan, dated February 16**

Submitting comments with respect to the Victoria Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8)"

<i>IT WAS RESOLVED:</i>	<i>1) that Council approve the 20-year interim rehabilitation of the Victoria Bridge as outlined in Option 3 of the Consultant's report at an estimated project cost of \$798,000, to be funded from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures;</i>	
	<i>2) that the Administration be requested to report on the possibility of receiving Provincial funding assistance; and</i>	
	<i>3) that the Administration be requested to report further on the matter of a complete deck replacement, at an estimated cost of approximately \$1.3 million.</i>	
<i>YEAS:</i>	<i>His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Alm, Fortosky, Heidt, Hnatyshyn, Neault, Paulsen, Penner and Wyant</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>NAYS:</i>	<i>Councillors Birkmaier and Dubois</i>	<i>2</i>

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

*THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.*

**CARRIED.**

*His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.*

**PRESENTATION**

*Councillor Dubois, member of the Library Board, congratulated the Saskatoon Public Library for being consistent in ranking as one of the top three urban libraries in Canada in terms of circulation statistics.*

**HEARINGS**

**4a) Discretionary Use Application**  
**Legalizing an Existing Secondary Suite (Type II) In a One-Unit Dwelling**  
**Lot E, Block 115A, Plan G531**  
**802 – 14<sup>th</sup> Street East**  
**Applicant: Terry Cebryk**  
**(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

---

**REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted Discretionary Use Application.

The City Planner has advised that the required notification posters have been placed on site and letters have been sent to all adjacent landowners within 75 metres of the site.

Attached is a copy of a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 10, 2006 recommending that the application submitted by Terry Cebryk requesting permission to use Lot E, Block 115A, Plan G531 (802 - 14<sup>th</sup> Street East) for the purpose of a one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite - type II be approved subject to the following:

- a) the final plans submitted for the proposed one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite - type II being substantially in accordance with those plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application;
- b) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite;
- c) the provision of two hard surfaced parking space (2.7 m x 6.7 m) in the rear yard of site; and
- d) the applicant meeting the Development Services guidelines for legalizing an existing suite.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports approval of the application but is recommending that it be approved for a 24-month period, subject to a review at that time.

Attached are the following letters submitting comments on the above matter:

- Donna Clarke, dated February 17, 2006, requesting permission to address Council;
- Patricia and Dale Miquelon, dated February 21, 2006;
- Robert and Patricia Rogers, dated February 26, 2006, requesting permission to

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 29**

address Council;

- Logan Hawryluk and Colleen McPhedran; dated February 26, 2006;
- Leslie C. Coleman, dated February 26, 2006;
- Lorne Warrington, dated February 27, 2006;
- Ursula Acton, dated February 27, 2006;
- Sarah Williams, dated February 27, 2006;
- Brad Acton, dated February 27, 2006; and
- Paul and Julie Bidwell, dated February 27, 2006.”

*His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.*

*Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, expressed the Department’s support of the Discretionary Use Application.*

*Mr. Vern Waldherr, Member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of a 24-month trial period, subject to a review at that time, of the Discretionary Use Application.*

*Ms. Donna Clarke expressed concerns including property maintenance, parking and noise issues with respect to the above-noted Discretionary Use Application. She asked that Council consider implementing a bylaw that holds the absentee owners of such rental properties accountable for their tenants. She presented Council with a petition containing approximately 41 signatures.*

*Mr. Dale Miquelon expressed opposition to the above-noted Discretionary Use Application.*

*Mr. Michael Cebryk, on behalf of the applicant, provided background information on the property and requested that Council approve the Discretionary Use Application.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 30**

*Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Neault,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the application submitted by Terry Cebryk requesting permission to use Lot E, Block 115A, Plan G531 (802 - 14<sup>th</sup> Street East) for the purpose of a one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite - type II be approved subject to the following:*

- a) the final plans submitted for the proposed one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite - type II being substantially in accordance with those plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application;*
- b) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a one-unit dwelling with a secondary suite;*
- c) the provision of two hard surfaced parking space (2.7 m x 6.7 m) in the rear yard of site; and*
- d) the applicant meeting the Development Services guidelines for legalizing an existing suite.*

*DEFEATED.*

*The regular Order of Business was suspended and Item 5a of Matters Requiring Public Notice and Items A1 and A2 of Communications to Council were brought forward.*

**MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE**

**5a) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way  
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to  
114 – 118 Sherry Crescent and 635 – 703 Sherry Way  
(File No. CK. 6295-1)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 17, 2006:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council consider Bylaw No.8486;
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closing forward and to complete the closing; and
  - 3) that upon closing the portion of right-of-way as described in Plan of Proposed Consolidation (attachment 1) prepared by Webb Surveys, Lot “A” to be sold to Linda Nella Leedahll of 114 Sherry Crescent for \$1,000, and Lot “B” to be sold to Brent and Colette Sharanowski of 635 Sherry Way for \$1,000.

The portion of Right-of-Way, adjacent to 114-118 Sherry Crescent and 635-703 Sherry Way, has been utilized as a walkway joining Sherry Way to Sherry Crescent in the Parkridge Community and has served as a route to St. Marguerite School. The closure of this walkway was brought forward in September 2004 by the property owners living adjacent to the walkway. The property owners wanted the walkway closed due to illegal activity going on in the walkway. The property owners took the initiative to survey residents in a catchment area, which they thought would be affected by the walkway closure. Thirty-nine homes were canvassed, and 85% were in support of the closure. A copy of the letter and survey results is attached (Attachment 2).

At its meeting held on August 15, 2005, City Council adopted Policy Number C07-017 – Walkway Closure Fee Assistance. This policy is used as a guideline in proceeding with the closure of the walkway, and states that walkways that serve as a route to school should not be considered for closure unless there is 80% support for the closure by the property owners within the catchment area. In September

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 32**

2005, Infrastructure Services conducted a survey of the walkways catchment area (Attachment 3) to determine the support of the closure. The majority of residents living in the area either supported the closure or did not respond. Only 8% of the residents opposed the closure. A pedestrian count was completed on November 3, 2005 to determine pedestrian usage. The result of the count is outlined below.

**Table 1 – Peak hour pedestrian study**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Pedestrians</b>			
	<b>Public School</b>	<b>High School</b>	<b>Adult</b>	<b>Total</b>
8:00-9:00	13	3	0	<b>16</b>
11:30-1:30	14	0	2	<b>16</b>
3:00-5:00	42	0	5	<b>47</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>79</b>

The majority of pedestrians were elementary school children using the walkway as a route from school.

Due to the support for closure from the property owners within the walkway catchment area, Infrastructure Services is in support of the closure and has proceeded with the application process according to City Council Policy Number C07-017 – Walkway Closure Fee Assistance.

As outlined in Plan No. 242-0060-002r001 (Attachment 4), Lot “A” will be sold to Linda Nella Leedahl of 114 Sherry Crescent for \$1,000, and Parcel “B” will be sold to Brent and Colette Sharanowski of 635 Sherry Way for \$1,000. Infrastructure Services and Sask Energy have facilities within the proposed closure and have granted permission for closure, subject to easements being granted.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in the *Star Phoenix* and *Sun* on the weekends of February 18/19 and 25/26, 2006. (Attachment 4)
- Posted on City Hall Notice Board on Friday, February 18, 2006.
- Posted on City Website on Friday, February 18, 2006.
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on February 18, 2006.

**ATTACHMENTS**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 33**

1. Plan of Proposed Consolidation dated November 23, 2005
2. Letter and Survey results from property owners
3. Plan of Proposed Walkway Closure showing pedestrian catchment area
4. Plan No. 242-0060-002r001 – Walkway Closure Sherry Way to Sherry Crescent
5. Proposed Bylaw No. 8486
6. Copy of Public Notice: Permanent Right-of-way Closure – Walkway adjacent to 114-118 Sherry Crescent and 635-703 Sherry Way’

Attached are the following letters submitting comments with respect to the above proposed closure of right-of-way:

- Diane Sarkas, undated;
- Terry Bell, Chairperson, St. Marguerite Home and School, dated February 27, 2006; and
- Sandra Rediger, dated February 27, 2006.”

*Mr. Brent Sharanowski spoke in favour of the proposed closure of right-of-way. He submitted a copy of a survey of results of the catchment area.*

*Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

- 1) *that City Council consider Bylaw No.8486;*
- 2) *that the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closing forward and to complete the closing; and*
- 3) *that upon closing the portion of right-of-way as described in Plan of Proposed Consolidation (attachment 1) prepared by Webb Surveys, Lot “A” to be sold to Linda Nella Leedah of 114 Sherry Crescent for \$1,000, and Lot “B” to be sold to Brent and Colette Sharanowski of 635 Sherry Way for \$1,000.*

*CARRIED.*

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

**A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL**

**1) Jamie McKenzie, dated February 12**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to Access Transit and road conditions. (File No. CK. 6290-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Jamie McKenzie be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,*

*THAT Jamie McKenzie be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*The City Clerk distributed a copy of an additional letter from Mr. McKenzie dated February 27, 2006.*

*Mr. Jamie McKenzie expressed concerns with respect to snow removal on sidewalks indicating that people in wheelchairs are not able to use the sidewalks in the winter.*

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Sue Barrett, Chairperson  
Marr Residence Management Board, dated February 18**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect the Marr Management Board's annual report. (File No. CK. 430-60)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Sue Barrett be heard.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 35**

*Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,*

*THAT Sue Barrett be heard.*

**CARRIED.**

*Ms. Sue Barrett, Chairperson, Marr Residence Management Board, spoke with respect to the Marr Residence Management Board's annual report.*

*Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

*THAT the information be received.*

**CARRIED.**

*His Worship the Mayor noted that before consideration of Item 4b of Hearings, that Clauses D4, F3, and D5 of Administrative Report No. 4-2006, Clause B2 of Legislative Report No. 4-2006, and Items A3 – A5, and B5 of Communications to Council should be brought forward and that Jordan Miller, Sarah Binnie, and Michael Gilman should be heard.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT Clauses D4, F3, and D5 of Administrative Report No. 4-2006, Clause B2 of Legislative Report No. 4-2006, and Items A3 – A5, and B5 – B9 of Communications to Council be brought forward and that Jordan Miller, Sarah Binnie, and Michael Gilman be heard.*

**CARRIED.**

**“A3) Jordan Miller  
ShEEP, dated February 21**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

**A4) Sarah Binnie  
ShEEP, dated February 21, 2006**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 36**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

**A5) Michael Gilman  
First Pro Shopping Centres, dated February 26**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Bylaw 8462 to remove the Holding "H" designation for the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

**B5) Mary Jean Hande  
ShEEP, dated February 20**

Submitting a DVD copy of the documentary "Wal-Mart: The High Cost of Low Price". (A copy of the DVD is available in the City Clerk's Office.) (File No. CK. 4125-13)

**B6) John Johnstone, dated February 26**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13).

**B7) D'Arcy Hande, dated February 22**

Submitting copy of a letter addressed to David Anderson, Chair, Library Board, submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13).

**B8) Tim Quigley, dated February 27**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

**B9) Betsy and John Bury, dated February 27**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 37**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13)”

*Mr. Jordan Miller, member, Should Exploitative Economics Persist? (ShEEP?), expressed concerns with respect to the Stonegate retail development and urged Council not to adopt the proposed funding strategy for the interchange at Clarence and Circle and also to maintain the holding (H) designation on the Stonegate site, until a regional retail development policy plan has been reported on. He provided Council with a copy of his presentation.*

*Ms. Sarah Binnie, member, ShEEP?, expressed concerns with respect to the Stonegate retail development and asked that Council have a regional retail policy in place. She provided Council with a copy of the DVD entitled “WAL MART, the high cost of low price”.*

*Mr. Michael Gilman, First Pro Shopping Centres, spoke in favour of the Stonegate retail development expressing the investment First Pro is making in Saskatoon. He asked that Council approve removal of the holding (H) designation on the Stonegate site.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received as information.*

*CARRIED.*

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2006**

**D4) Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue Grade Separation  
(File No. 6330-01; CK. 6001-1)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

**REPORT**

This report provides an update on the proposed interchange at the intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue. The need for the interchange and its current timing, among other issues, are discussed in the report.

Until recently, the City’s Development Plan identified the lands south of Circle Drive, east of Clarence Avenue as residential lands. The transportation network needed to serve this land was based on that premise. Under this scenario, the requirement for a grade separation was tied to the completion of Circle Drive and the new south bridge. The intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue was therefore scheduled to be grade separated as part of the new south bridge between 2009 and 2011. The proposed 40 acre commercial site in the southeast corner of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue has accelerated the need and timing of the grade separation.

## MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006

PAGE 38

### Role of Infrastructure Services

As with any other development proposal in the City, Infrastructure Services has the following obligations:

1. To ensure that the proposed development can be accommodated without negatively impacting the operation of Circle Drive and surrounding roadways; and
2. To facilitate the implementation of appropriate mitigating measures in order to allow Circle Drive to operate at a reasonable level of service, at the least cost to the City after the proposed development is completed.

### Need for an Interchange

The proposed commercial development has much higher traffic generation rates than the proposed residential development it is replacing. According to traffic studies conducted by the proponents, at full build-out the commercial site would generate 1400 vehicles per hour in the afternoon weekday peak hour and 2500 vehicles in the Saturday peak hour. This represents a contribution of approximately 21% of the total traffic volumes at the intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue. This is all new traffic, the majority of which would use the intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue to access the site. When new traffic is added on top of the existing traffic plus the natural growth, the intersection would operate at an unacceptable level of service. While it is true that in the long-term, the grade separation at this location is inevitable, it is also true that the impact of the proposed development will accelerate the timing and need for the interchange. Based on the expected traffic volumes, it is the recommendation of Infrastructure Services that the intersection be grade separated prior to the opening of the first business at this site. (Note: the traffic impact study by UMA for the Stonebridge neighbourhood and the traffic impact study by NDLea for the proposed 40 acre commercial development, along with the review of these reports prepared by Infrastructure Services, are available from Infrastructure Services.)

### Circle Drive Freeway

Circle Drive is currently classified as an expressway with future designation as a freeway. When driving on an expressway, motorists have certain expectations of the way traffic should move. A diversion from this expectation is inherently unsafe. The addition of a large amount of traffic to the intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue without a grade separation would alter Circle Drive from an expressway to an arterial roadway. An at-grade intersection would be insufficient for the new conditions; the only way to accommodate the proposed traffic is to grade separate the intersection.

### Construction Schedule

Weather permitting, it is anticipated that the interchange can be completed within two construction seasons. It now seems likely that the construction schedule of the proposed Wal-Mart store will be ahead of the interchange. In the past, the Administration has insisted that the interchange had to be operational before the store could open. Under the circumstances, it may be able to allow the store to open before the interchange is completed. This is because the Administration is assured that this situation would be temporary for one year at most. The only condition would be that a temporary

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 39**

intersection at Circle Drive and Brand Court be constructed to provide access to the lands south of Circle Drive while the interchange is under construction.

Temporary Intersection at Circle Drive and Brand Court

A temporary, signalized intersection at Circle Drive and Brand Court – just west of the existing intersection is required for two reasons:

1. It will facilitate the construction of an interchange at this location. Without such a temporary intersection, scheduling construction activities while maintaining access south of the intersection would be extremely difficult. This would have an effect of delaying the rate at which the interchange can be constructed.
2. It will provide a temporary access to all lands south of Circle Drive including the Auto Mall, CN Industrial Park, and even the new Wal-Mart if it opens before the completion of the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive.

Transportation Expansion Reserve

Apparently, there have been some questions regarding the use of the Transportation Infrastructure Expansion Reserve as a source of funding for the interchange construction at Clarence Avenue/Circle Drive.

The Transportation Infrastructure Expansion Reserve is funded from the mill rate, and its purpose is to fund new infrastructure expansion. In 2005, the commitment was made to apply the 2006 allocation to the College Drive/Circle Drive interchange construction, in order to fund the work done prior to the project being designated under the Gas Tax program (April 1, 2005).

Similarly, the Administration is proposing to apply the 2007 reserve allocation as part of the funding source for the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue interchange.

Prior years' use of the reserve funds included construction of interchanges at Circle Drive/8<sup>th</sup> Street, Circle Drive/Attridge Drive, Circle Drive/22<sup>nd</sup> Street, widening of Warman Road, and widening of Preston Avenue. Future planned uses include the College Drive/Central Avenue grade separation, and the extension of 25<sup>th</sup> Street.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**F3) Stonegate Retail Proposal  
First Pro Shopping Centre  
(File No. CC 4125-1; CK. 4125-13)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve funding of the overpass at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive as outlined in this report.

On January 9, 2006, City Council resolved:

“that the Administration provide a further report on a potential financing alternative for the potential overpass at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive”.

As identified in the attached report of the City Manager, presented to City Council on January 9, 2006, (Attachment 1), the shopping complex development at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive (proposed by First Pro) cannot proceed until the financing of a grade separation is approved. Your Administration reported that it was planning a review of an alternative design of the interchange, involving an underpass.

While the geotechnical report on underground soil conditions is still outstanding, it appears that either option (overpass or underpass) can be constructed for approximately \$14M. Your Administration has prepared a financing strategy based on this estimate, which consists of the following:

- First Pro Shopping Centre has agreed to contribute 20% of the cost of the project. This totals \$2.8M. First Pro has agreed to guarantee this funding through a letter of credit.
- The Transportation Capital Expansion Reserve currently exists to fund transportation related expenditures resulting from city growth. The 2007 contribution to this reserve has not been allocated through the 2006 Capital Budget/2007-2010 Capital Plan. There is a total of \$1.4M available from this reserve.
- Dedication of incremental municipal and library property taxes on the property for 15 years – annual municipal and library property taxes upon full build-out are estimated at \$540,000. This will generate approximately \$5.6M over 15 years. There are two issues with this financing source:
  - This project will be built over a period of time; therefore, the full amount of taxes will not be available until the build-out is complete. Although your Administration attempted to have First Pro guarantee payment of these taxes, they have told us they are unable to do so. They indicated that part of the property may be leased, and part may be sold, depending on the tenant. The City

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**

**PAGE 41**

Solicitor's Office has indicated that we have no method to force the construction of a building on a piece of privately owned property. First Pro has given us assurance that they will use their best efforts to ensure a full build-out, but cannot guarantee that it will occur. The risk to this method of financing is that full build-out does not occur for an extended period of time. To mitigate this risk, Council could finance this funding shortfall, by either a mill rate increase at the time, or use part of our discretionary capital funding over a period of time to reduce the borrowing requirements, thus reducing the loan and the annual payment required.

- Previously, the Library Board approved a seven-year incremental tax program, so we need to obtain Library Board approval to extend the term to 15 years.
- At its meeting of April 5, 2004, City Council, under Clause 5, Report No. 6-2004 of the Administration and Finance Committee, approved in principle, the creation of an interchange levy. A subsequent report was tabled at the Administration and Finance Committee meeting held on June 20, 2005 (Attachment 2). At the City Council Meeting of February 13, 2006, approval was given to create the infrastructure levy. As City Council has approved the levy, annual funds generated from that levy would be approximately \$560,000. If City Council committed \$400,000 of this amount for a 15 year period it would generate approximately \$4.2M.

The above financing is summarized as follows:

Developer Contribution	\$ 2.8M
2007 Transportation Expansion Reserve	1.4
Loan (to be repaid through incremental municipal/library taxes)	5.6
Loan (to be repaid through new interchange levy)	<u>4.2</u>
Total	\$14.0M

City Council has stated that the holding zone (H) can only be removed if the opening of the first store coincides with the opening of the overpass. The General Manager of Infrastructure Services has indicated that if the financing is in place, and the overpass can be completed in 2007, he has no objection to First Pro commencing construction as soon as possible. Although not the best scenario, temporary accesses should accommodate the opening of the first store until the overpass is complete. (A companion report from the General Manager, Infrastructure Services, is included elsewhere in the Council agenda.)

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENTS**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 42**

1. Clause F1, Administrative Report No. 1-2006, from January 9, 2006, City Council meeting (Stonegate Retail Proposal – First Pro Shopping Centre).
2. Excerpt from the minutes of the Administration and Finance Committee Meeting of June 20, 2005 (Interchange Levy).

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

- 1) *that City Council approve funding of the overpass at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive as outlined in this report; and*
- 2) *that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Library Board.*

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Alm, Birkmaier, Dubois, Heidt, Hnatyshyn, Neault, and Wyant* 8

*NAYS: Councillors Fortosky, Paulsen, and Penner* 3

*CARRIED.*

**D5) Servicing Agreement  
Saskashop Centre Inc.  
Stonegate Regional Retail Area – Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive  
(File No. 4111-32; CK. 4125-13)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1) with Saskashop Centre Inc., for a portion of the Stonegate Regional Retail area, to cover Parcel H Registered Plan No. 85-S-20153 and part of S.W. ¼ Section 15 Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd meridian, be approved; and
  - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

**BACKGROUND**

Saskashop Centre Inc. has previously submitted an application to the City of Saskatoon to develop a portion of the Stonegate Regional Retail Area. The area is controlled within the Development Plan as a direct control zoning district (DCD5) that includes the development of commercial property. The lands are situated adjacent to Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive.

**REPORT**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006**  
**PAGE 43**

The Developer has requested that the City of Saskatoon enter into a Servicing Agreement. The Agreement will identify and assign responsibility for the construction and payment of various services. Infrastructure Services recommends that an agreement be entered into to cover development of the property listed below and as outlined on the plans included in the proposed Servicing Agreement:

Parcel H Registered Plan No. 85-S-20153 and part of S.W. ¼ Section 15 Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd meridian.

The agreement has been prepared and previously formalized within the City Solicitor's Office and includes a number of non-standard clauses that have been agreed upon between the private developer and Infrastructure Services. The non-standard clauses are necessary due to the unique nature of the development. Infrastructure Services proposes that the Servicing Agreement be approved subject to the following items:

A. Standard Items:

1. That the prepaid service rates be such rates as the Council of the City of Saskatoon may have in general force and effect for the 2006 season.
2. A standard letter of credit provided to the City of Saskatoon.
3. Adequate liability insurance provided by the Developer to the City.
4. All design and construction of municipal services to City standards.

B. Non-Standard Items:

1. The 2006 offsite service rates for this development have been capped at a maximum increase of 20% over and above the 2005 service rates.
2. The City will be constructing the Clarence Avenue interchange and the Developer has agreed to pay for 20% of the total cost secured by a letter of credit.
3. The Developer has requested and agreed to pay for the relocation of the existing storm pond from the current location along Clarence Avenue to the most easterly portion of the Development Area. Relocation costs include excavation and compaction of material, incremental outlet piping costs extending from the new pond and reconstruction of existing inlet piping from the Calder Crescent Area.
4. Various services that are external to the Development Area will be added or upgraded as a result of this development including the Lorne Avenue lift station, force mains and traffic control devices that the Developer has agreed to pay their proportionate share of.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 44**

5. Improvements adjacent to the Development Area including water and sewer mains as well as roadways will be constructed by the City or Developer and mechanisms within the Agreement depending on the circumstances allow for reimbursement of the expenditures.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Servicing Agreement

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

- 1) *that the proposed Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1) with Saskashop Centre Inc., for a portion of the Stonegate Regional Retail area, to cover Parcel H Registered Plan No. 85-S-20153 and part of S.W. ¼ Section 15 Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd meridian, be approved;*
- 2) *that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal; and*
- 3) *for greater certainty, that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary Transform Authorization after the Transform Approval Certificate has been issued.*

*CARRIED.*

**LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2006**

**B2) Servicing Agreement  
The City of Saskatoon and Saskashop Centre Inc.  
(File No. CK. 4300-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that this report be received as information.

As City Council will recall, at Council's meeting of June 27, 2005 when the DCD5(H) was zoned, Council passed the following resolution:

“That the Administration be instructed to bring forward the necessary bylaw to remove the (H) designation when all funding for the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive is in place and when the City has received assurances that an interchange of an acceptable design will be completed by the time the first store opens.”

This resolution was in response to the Administration's advice that a grade separated interchange was necessary prior to development of the site.

Council has before it a number of reports related to this resolution. The first is a report from the City Manager respecting the City's 80% portion of funding for the interchange; the second is a report from the Infrastructure Services Department respecting the Servicing Agreement which includes provisions for the developer's (Saskashop Centre Inc.) 20% portion of the funding of the interchange; and, the third is a report from the Infrastructure Services Department respecting the building of the interchange. Also, Council will consider a report and bylaw to lift the Holding designation from the DCD5.

As mentioned, the reason for the Holding designation is the concern that the interchange should be in place in order for the DCD5 site to be developed. The construction of the interchange is dependent on the funding for the interchange from the City and the developer. Saskashop Centre Inc.'s commitment to fund its portion of the interchange is found within the Servicing Agreement, therefore your Administration required that the Servicing Agreement be executed by Saskashop Centre Inc. and the Interchange Letter of Credit be in place prior to Council deciding upon removal of the Holding designation.

Although South Saskatoon Holdings Ltd. is the subdivision applicant and registered owner of the relevant property, the City has been dealing with Saskashop Centre Inc. with respect to the subdivision as it is our understanding that it is purchasing and developing the property. With this as the premise, the Servicing Agreement was drafted with Saskashop Centre Inc. as the developer and contains Saskashop Centre Inc.'s funding commitments for the interchange.

On February 20, 2006, we were made aware that there is outstanding litigation between Saskashop Centre Inc. and South Saskatoon Holdings Ltd. regarding the sale. Also, we have been advised by

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 46**

Saskashop Centre Inc. that in order for the sale between it and the vendor to proceed the Holding designation must be removed. If the subdivision and sale do not proceed, there will be no interchange funding commitments by the developer because there will be no Servicing Agreement. On the other hand, if the subdivision does not proceed, we do not foresee there being any development on the DCD5.

So Council is aware, if the subdivision and sale do not proceed, your Administration will be bringing a further report to Council requesting that the DCD5 be redesignated with the Holding provision. This is because without the subdivision and sale proceeding the developer's portion of the funding for the interchange will not be in place. As this is a condition for the lifting of the Holding designation, it is the Administration's position that it would be appropriate to redesignate the DCD5 with a Holding provision.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**HEARINGS - CONTINUED**

- 4b) Proposed Rezoning from DCD5(H) to DCD5  
Removal of holding Symbol "H"  
DCD5 Zoning District  
Stonegate Shopping Centre  
Proposed Bylaw No. 8462  
(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

**REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8462, a copy of which is attached.

The following is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 15, 2006:

**'RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of City Council issue respecting the consideration of Bylaw 8462 to remove the H holding provision on the DCD5 zoning district.

**BACKGROUND**

Bylaw 8462 is intended to remove the H holding provision from the DCD5 regional retail site known as the proposed Stonegate Shopping Centre property at the corner of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue.

If the H holding provision is removed, the subject property may be subdivided and developed for large format retail purposes, subject to the DCD5 regulations. A future approval of the site development plan by City Council is also required before actual development permits may be issued by the Development Officer, however, this process is routine in nature and would proceed in due course once the H holding provision is removed by bylaw.

During its meeting held on June 27, 2005, City Council passed a number of amendments to the Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw to designate the subject property for regional retail use and apply direct control district DCD5 regulations to the property with the H holding provision. (See Attachment 1 - report of the General Manager of Community Services, dated June 8, 2005.) The H holding provision was applied due to uncertainties over the funding and timing of construction of the proposed overpass structure at the intersection of Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue. The Administration had advised City Council that a grade separated interchange was necessary at this location prior to the opening of the Stonegate Shopping Centre.

At that time, City Council resolved:

“That the Administration be instructed to bring forward the necessary bylaw to remove the (H) designation when all funding for the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive is in place and when the City has received assurances that an interchange of an acceptable design will be completed by the time the first store opens.”

City Council may now consider Bylaw 8462 to remove the H holding provision, subject to satisfactory solutions to the funding and timing of construction of the grade-separated interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive.

**JUSTIFICATION**

It is our understanding that the following reports will be presented for City Council's consideration:

- 1) A report from the City Manager regarding the funding of City of Saskatoon's portion of the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive;
- 2) A report from the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, regarding the servicing and development agreement; and
- 3) A report from the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, regarding the timing of the completion of the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive.

If City Council is satisfied with these reports, it would be appropriate to consider Bylaw 8462 to remove the Holding provision from the subject property.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

*The Planning and Development Act* contains no notice requirements for a bylaw to remove an H holding provision. The key issues related to land use and transportation were previously dealt with by City Council on June 27, 2005, during consideration of the bylaws to amend the Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw to facilitate the DCD5. Appropriate consultation and notice procedures were undertaken prior to that time, therefore, no specific notice procedures were undertaken for the consideration of Bylaw 8462.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated June 8, 2005''

*His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.*

*Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, provided background information on the proposed rezoning from DCD5(H) to DCD5.*

*Mr. Rusty Chartier expressed opposition to the Stonegate retail development. He provided Council with a copy of a transcript entitled "Will Wal-Mart Eat Britain?".*

*Mr. John Johnstone, member, ShEEP?, expressed opposition to the Stonegate retail development.*

*Ms. Mary-Jean Hande, member, ShEEP?, expressed concerns to the speed that the process is going for the Stonegate retail development.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 49**

*Ms. Lenore Swystun expressed opposition to the Stonegate retail development.*

*Mr. Kevin Singe expressed opposition to the Stonegate retail development.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8462.*

*CARRIED.*

*Councillor Penner did not vote as he was not present during the entire hearing.*

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2006 – CONTINUED**

**D6) 2006 Capital Budget  
Capital Project No. 2018  
Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue Grade Separation  
Engineering Services Agreement  
(File No. 6005-44; CK. 6001-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal for services submitted by ND LEA Engineers & Planners Inc. for the detailed design and selected services during construction of the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue overpass structure for a total cost of \$455,178 including G.S.T. and P.S.T. be accepted; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

**REPORT**

As part of the Stonegate development project south of Circle Drive and east of Clarence Avenue, the requirement for an interchange at the existing intersection of Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive is mandated.

On June 8, 2005, City Council approved a Holding Provision (H) on the rezoning of the lands related to this development. The Administration was instructed to bring forward a bylaw to remove the (H) designation, when all funding for the interchange at Clarence Avenue and Circle Drive is in place and when the City has received assurances that an interchange of an acceptable design will be completed by the time the first store opens.

In order to meet the schedule of the project and also fulfill one of the requirements in the process of removing the (H) designation as instructed, Infrastructure Services requested an engineering services proposal from ND LEA. The firm of ND LEA is intimately knowledgeable of the project, as they were retained by the developer to examine the options for the interchange at Circle Drive and Clarence Avenue.

The proposal included the following services:

1. Preliminary Engineering
2. Structural Overpass Pre Design
3. Detailed Bridge (Overpass) Design
4. Partial Engineering Services through Construction

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 51**

Infrastructure Services proposes to provide the following services from within the Municipal Engineering Branch:

1. Functional Interchange Design
2. Detailed Roadway Design
3. Tender and Construction Engineering of Roadways & Structures Work
4. Project Management & Coordination

Infrastructure Services is recommending that the design services and partial engineering services through construction of the overpass structure that is part of the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue Interchange be sole sourced to ND LEA.

The costs of the proposal from ND LEA are in-line with the City's previous experience on similar projects.

The proposal received was based on a 2006 completion, items which occur in 2007 will be subject to mutually acceptable escalation. The net cost to the City for the proposal from ND LEA Engineers and Planners Inc. based on 2006 completion is as follows:

Design Related Items Base Fees	\$ 268,000.00
Construction Related Items Base Fees	\$ 157,400.00
Subtotal	\$ 425,400.00
G.S.T.	\$ 29,778.00
Total Fees	\$ 455,178.00
G.S.T. Rebate	\$ (29,778.00)
Net Cost to City	\$ 425,400.00

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required

*Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

- 1) *that the proposal for services submitted by ND LEA Engineers & Planners Inc. for the detailed design and selected services during construction of the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue overpass structure for a total cost of \$455,178 including G.S.T. and P.S.T. be accepted; and*
- 2) *that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.*

*THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST ON A TIE VOTE.*

**MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE**

- 5a) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way  
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to  
114 – 118 Sherry Crescent and 635 – 703 Sherry Way  
(File No. CK. 6295-1)**
- 

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 31.*

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

**A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL**

**1) Jamie McKenzie, dated February 12**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to Access Transit and road conditions. (File No. CK. 6290-1)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 34.*

**2) Sue Barrett, Chairperson  
Marr Residence Management Board, dated February 18**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect the Marr Management Board's annual report. (File No. CK. 430-60)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 34.*

**3) Jordan Miller  
ShEEP?, dated February 21**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 53**

**4) Sarah Binnie  
ShEEP?, dated February 21, 2006**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**5) Michael Gilman  
First Pro Shopping Centres, dated February 26**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Bylaw 8462 to remove the Holding “H” designation for the Stonegate retail proposal. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**6) Ruth Robinson, dated February 27**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Items B3, B10 – B12 of Communications to Council, Item 9a of Unfinished Business, and Clause 4, Report No. 3-2006 of the Administration and Finance Committee be brought forward and that Ruth Robinson be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT Items B3, B10 – B12 of Communications to Council, Item 9a of Unfinished Business, and Clause 4, Report No. 3-2006 of the Administration and Finance Committee be brought forward and that Ruth Robinson be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“B3) Saskatoon Housing Coalition, various dates**

Submitting three letters in support of a \$15 discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1)

**B10) Nancy E. George, dated February 22**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 54**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

**B11) Adele Smillie, dated February 22**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

**B12) Kevin Singe, dated February 9**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**9a) Communications to Council**

**From: Ruth Robinson  
Get on the Bus Coalition  
Date: December 6, 2005  
Subject: Request for a Discounted Bus Pass  
(File No. CK. 7312-1)**

Attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on February 13, 2006, at which time Council deferred consideration of the following motion from Councillor Dubois until after the Administration and Finance Committee has dealt with the matter of an agreement with the Provincial government:

“THAT a task force be established consisting of two City Councillors and Administration to discuss funding opportunities with the Provincial government and that the findings be reported to the Executive Committee.”

Discounted Monthly Bus Passes – Provincial Pilot Project is being reported under Clause 4, Report No. 3-2006 of the Administration and Finance Committee.

**REPORT NO. 3-2006 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**4. Discounted Monthly Bus Passes – Provincial Pilot Project  
(File No. CK. 1905-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Administration continue negotiations with the Province to try and obtain the same funding for a discounted monthly bus pass program as provided to Regina; and
  - 2) that in the meantime, the Administration be authorized to sell a minimum of \$366,000 in bus passes to the Department of Employment and Community Resources (DCRE) for use in their program to offer discounted monthly bus passes to their clients in Saskatoon.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated February 9, 2006, in follow-up to the negotiations held between the Transit Administration and the Department of Employment and Community Resources (DCRE), which contains three options to offer discounted monthly bus passes to their clients in Saskatoon.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration and does not support the options outlined therein, as the subsidy of \$366,000 offered by the Province would not allow for a substantially reduced cost for monthly bus passes over a one-year period, and the Committee is not interested in recommending that the City administer a subsidy program that would result in a deficit that would need to be covered by the taxpayers. The Committee wishes to note that Options 2 and 3 would still result in a significant cost for the monthly bus pass and has determined that at \$49, the cost of a bus pass for income-assisted clients would be 24% of their monthly income assistance and at \$32 it would be 16%. The Committee believes that unless the subsidy for monthly bus passes will cover the cost to provide them at \$15, this Provincial program would only result in a higher charge for the monthly bus passes or the subsidy funding running out before the year is up. Cancellation of the program mid year or when the subsidy funding runs out, would create further hardships for these clients, and being this is a Provincial program, the Department should hear the feedback from their clients, rather than the City. The Committee would only be interested in recommending that the City administer a discounted monthly bus pass program and cover the administration cost of approximately \$5,000, if sufficient subsidy funding is available from the Province to allow the passes to be sold for \$15.

It is with this in mind that the Committee recommends that the Administration be authorized to continue negotiations with the Province to obtain at least the same funding for this program as has been provided to Regina, so that the monthly bus passes can be offered to DCRE clients at a cost of \$15, and in the meantime, that the City offer to sell the Province \$366,000 in bus passes at the regular price, and they can then establish their own rate of subsidization for these bus passes, and administer the program.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 56**

*Ms. Ruth Robinson, Get on the Bus Coalition, spoke in favour of a \$15 discounted bus pass and asked that the City continue working with the Province on this matter.*

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

- 1) that the Administration continue negotiations with the Province to try and obtain the same funding for a discounted monthly bus pass program as provided to Regina; and*
- 2) that in the meantime, the Administration be authorized to sell a minimum of \$366,000 in bus passes to the Department of Employment and Community Resources (DCRE) for use in their program to offer discounted monthly bus passes to their clients in Saskatoon.*

*CARRIED.*

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED**

**B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

**1) Carole-Anne Wilson-Hough, dated February 15**

Expressing concerns with respect to sewer flooding in the Dundonald area. (File No. CK. 7820-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the City Solicitor.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Anne Leis, President  
la Fédération des francophones de Saskatoon, dated February 6**

Requesting permission to raise the Fransaskois flag on March 7, 2006 at 12:15 p.m. in connection with the Semaines de la francophonie. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that permission be granted to la Fédération des francophones de Saskatoon to raise the Fransaskois flag at City Hall on March 7, 2006, subject to administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,*

*THAT permission be granted to la Fédération des francophones de Saskatoon to raise the Fransaskois flag at City Hall on March 7, 2006, subject to administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

**3) Saskatoon Housing Coalition, various dates**

Submitting three letters in support of a \$15 discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 53.*

**4) Murray Cooney, Chief Executive Officer  
Saskatchewan Assessment Management Agency (SAMA), dated February 16**

Responding to City Council's request for a provision in the SAMA manual to accommodate not-for-profit housing cooperatives. (File No. CK. 1920-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and a copy of the letter be forwarded to the Hector Trout Manor.

*Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

- 1) that the information be received and a copy of the letter be forwarded to the Hector Trout Manor; and*
- 2) that the Administration be requested to write to the Department of Government Relations requesting a change in legislation so as to provide for the creation of a property sub-class with respect to cooperative housing.*

*CARRIED.*

**5) Mary Jean Hande**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 58**

**ShEEP, dated February 20**

Submitting a DVD copy of the documentary “Wal-Mart: The High Cost of Low Price”. (A copy of the DVD is available in the City Clerk’s Office.) (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**6) John Johnstone, dated February 26**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13).

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**7) D’Arcy Hande, dated February 22**

Submitting copy of a letter addressed to David Anderson, Chair, Library Board, submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13).

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**8) Tim Quigley, dated February 27**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**9) Betsy and John Bury, dated February 27**

Submitting comments with respect to the proposed Stonegate commercial development. (File No. CK. 4125-13)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 35.*

**10) Nancy E. George, dated February 22**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 59**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 53.*

**11) Adele Smillie, dated February 22**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 53.*

**12) Kevin Singe, dated February 9**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 1905-4)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 53.*

**13) Jonathon Page and Goya Ngan, dated February 16**

Submitting comments with respect to the Victoria Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8)

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 26.*

**C. INFORMATION ITEMS**

**1) Premier Lorne Calvert, dated February 7**

Responding to the City of Saskatoon's support for increased revenue sharing for Saskatchewan communities. (File No. CK. 1860-1)

**2) V. Romancia, dated February 8**

Submitting comments with respect to various issues including the Victoria Bridge, police ties, and snow removal. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**3) Tanya Wakefield  
Ottawa Chiropractic & Natural Health Centre, dated February 10**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 60**

Submitting information with respect to “National Health Day”. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**4) Marvin McNabb, dated February 13**

Submitting comments with respect to water meters. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**5) Rob Jones, Executive Director  
TCU Place, dated February 14**

Submitting information with respect to Councillor Hnatyshyn’s enquiry on the TCU Place expansion. (File No. CK. 620-3)

**6) John Kornylo, Treasurer  
St. George’s Senior Citizens Club, dated February 21**

Submitting comments with respect to taxes on the St. George’s Senior Citizens Club. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT Item C6 be referred to the Administration to report to the Administration and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION**

**1) Carol Lewis, dated February 9**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 61**

Submitting comments with respect to crime in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 5000-1) **(Referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.)**

**2) Catherine Yamniuk, dated February 9**

Submitting comments with respect to garbage cans on 8<sup>th</sup> Street. (File No. CK. 7830-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

**3) Brian Sutherland, dated February 12**

Expressing concerns with respect to the level of taxation on annexed farm land. (File No. CK. 1920-1) **(Referred to the Administration for a report.)**

**4) Myra Kleiner, dated February 2**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**5) Valerie Dawson, Administrator  
Crocus Co-operative, undated**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**6) Heather Kliener, February 7**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**7) Diane Bignell, February 9, 2006**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**8) Saskatoon Housing Coalition, various dates**

Submitting approximately 12 letters in favour of a \$15 discounted bus pass. A copy of one of the letters is attached. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 62**

**9) Charlene Gibson, February 10**

Submitting comments with respect to a discounted bus pass. (File No. CK. 7312-1) **(Referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.)**

**10) Bruno Konecsni  
Draggins Car Club, dated January 30**

Submitting a request for naming a park in recognition of the club's activities over the past 49 years. (File No. CK. 4205-1) **(Referred to the Naming Advisory Committee.)**

**11) Christa MacBride, Band Director  
Walter Murray Collegiate, dated February 10**

Requesting transit services for "Music Monday." (File No. CK. 1870-1) **(Referred to the Administration for appropriate action.)**

**12) Cindy Paquette, dated February 14**

Submitting her letter of resignation from the Library Board. (File No. CK. 175-19) **(Referred to the Executive Committee.)**

**13) Max Abraham, Manager  
Partners FOR the Saskatchewan River Basin, dated February 13**

Submitting a membership renewal for the Partners FOR the Saskatchewan River Basin. (File No. CK. 225-64) **(Referred to the Administration.)**

**14) Dick Nieman, Chair  
Meewasin Valley Authority, dated February 20**

Submitting a request to name a park and/or natural area after Peter Prebble. (File No. CK. 4205-1) **(Referred to the Naming Advisory Committee.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 63**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**E. PROCLAMATIONS**

**1) Chief Russell Sabo, Saskatoon Police Service  
Chief Brian Bentley, Saskatoon Fire & Protective Services, dated February 9**

Requesting Council proclaim May 23 and 25, 2006 as Badge and Shield Week in Saskatoon. Also requesting temporary street closures of the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue D south side of Star Egg (209 Avenue D South) to the south side of 20<sup>th</sup> Street, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., and that the parking meters bagged on the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West on both sides, from midnight to 3:00 p.m. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**2) Leigh Pickford, Vice President  
Saskatchewan Young Professionals and Entrepreneurs, dated February 15**

Requesting Council proclaim the week of March 13 to 18, 2006 as Young Professionals and Entrepreneurs Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**3) Bonnie Wilken, Public Affairs Coordinator  
Canadian Red Cross, dated February 15**

Requesting Council proclaim the month of March 2006 as Red Cross Month in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;
  - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council; and
  - 3) that the request from the Saskatoon Police Service and Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services for temporary street closures of the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue D south side of Star Egg (209 Avenue D South) to the south

side of 20<sup>th</sup> Street, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., and that the parking meters bagged on the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West on both sides, from midnight to 3:00 p.m., be approved subject to administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council; and*
- 3) *that the request from the Saskatoon Police Service and Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services for temporary street closures of the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue D south side of Star Egg (209 Avenue D South) to the south side of 20<sup>th</sup> Street, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., and that the parking meters bagged on the 300 block of 20<sup>th</sup> Street West on both sides, from midnight to 3:00 p.m., be approved subject to administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

**REPORT NO. 4-2006 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - CONTINUED**

**3. 2006 Civic Elections  
Candidate Profiles  
(File No. CK. 265-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the Returning Officer be authorized to include candidate profiles on the City's election website and in booklets available at the City's libraries and civic facilities.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Clerk dated February 13, 2006:

“A concern expressed by voters during every municipal election, is that they are unable to obtain information regarding candidates. The *StarPhoenix* does publish a profile on candidates, but it doesn't appear until after Advance Poll voting has started.

In order to help voters to get information, and candidates to get their information out to voters, it is recommended that candidates be given the opportunity to provide a profile of no more than 150 words, together with a photograph and contact information, to be included on the City's election website and in a booklet available at the City's libraries and civic facilities. The information would be published as presented, and would not be verified,

edited or corrected in any way by the Returning Officer. If a candidate did not want to participate, the words “profile not available” would be placed beside their name.

The City of Vancouver, in its November 2005 election, provided this service to their electors and candidates, and has advised that their experience was very positive. All of the 36 candidates for Councillor participated, and out of 20 candidates for Mayor, 3 chose not to participate. (Refer to <http://vancouver.ca/ctyclerk/election2005/profiles.htm> for more information on how the process worked in Vancouver.)

The Returning Officer will work with the City Solicitor’s Office to ensure that the appropriate disclaimers are in place regarding the City’s role in publishing the information, and to determine appropriate rules for the candidates to follow.

Publishing the information in this fashion will increase voter awareness, and may lead to increased voter turnout.”

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

*THAT the recommendation be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**4. Proposed Changes to Policy C01-005  
Civic Hospitality to Conventions  
(File No. CK. 1870-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Council approve the proposed changes to the process for adjudicating convention funding requests, as outlined in the attached proposal of Conventions Saskatoon!;
  - 2) that Policy C01-005 *The Civic Hospitality to Conventions Policy*, be amended to reflect the changes to the adjudication process; and
  - 3) that the matter of the amount of funding to the Civic Hospitality Fund, together with the creation of a reserve in order to provide for the carry over of unspent funds from year to year, be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration.

Attached is a copy of the existing policy regarding civic hospitality to conventions. The practice has been that the Mayor as received, reviewed and approved all applications for hospitality.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 66**

The requests for hospitality to conventions have become increasingly difficult to manage and adjudicate. Conventions Saskatoon! was therefore requested to consider becoming the adjudicating body for convention funding requests made to the Mayor. Attached is a proposal put forward by Conventions Saskatoon! in that regard.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the proposed change to the process for adjudicating convention hospitality requests.

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

*THAT the recommendation be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**5. Appointment to the Citizens' Centennial Committee  
(File No. CK. 225-68)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Communications Manager Jill Cope be appointed to the Citizens' Centennial Committee.

Your Committee is pleased to put forward the above recommendation, in order to formalize the role of the Communications Manager in the planning for the City's centennial celebrations.

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the recommendation be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**6. Appointment to the Property Maintenance Appeal Board  
(File No. CK. 225-54)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Ms. Gabrielle Battiste be appointed to the Property Maintenance Appeal Board to the end of 2008.

Your Committee is pleased to put forward the above recommendation, which is required in order to replace a member who is not eligible for reappointment.

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,*

*THAT the recommendation be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**7. Nominations to the Saskatoon Airport Authority  
(File No. CK. 175-43)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Nancy Hopkins, Q.C., and Peter MacKinnon, Q.C., be nominated to be Members of the Saskatoon Airport Authority, throughout a term expiring at the conclusion of the 2009 Public Annual Meeting of the Corporation, which will be held prior to May 15, 2009.

The City of Saskatoon is a nominator to the Saskatoon Airport Authority, and is pleased to put forward the above recommendation to reappoint Ms. Hopkins and Mr. MacKinnon as Members of the Saskatoon Airport Authority.”

*Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the recommendation be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

- 9a) Communications to Council**  
**From: Ruth Robinson**  
**Get on the Bus Coalition**  
**Date: December 6, 2005**  
**Subject: Request for a Discounted Bus Pass**  
**(File No. CK. 7312-1)**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 53.*

- 9b) Stonegate Retail Proposal**  
**First Pro Shopping Centre**  
**(File No. CK. 4125-1)**

**REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:**

“Attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on February 13, 2006, at which time Council deferred consideration of the following recommendation of the City Manager until the February 27, 2006 meeting of City Council, in order to allow Council to consider and vote on the issue of a proposed infrastructure levy:

‘THAT should City Council agree in principle with the funding strategy, the Administration be instructed to negotiate an agreement with First Pro which ensures the City has the funding to pay for the \$5.6M financing of the interchange regardless of the build-out schedule.’

*The City Manager noted that this matter was replaced with Clause F3, Administrative Report No. 4-2006, which was dealt with on Page No. 17.*

**ENQUIRIES**

- Councillor D. L. Birkmaier**  
**Renting Vehicles**  
**(File No. CK. 1390-1)**

Would the Administration please review and report on the feasibility of renting vehicle equipment for various departments versus purchasing.

**Councillor D. L. Birkmaier**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 69**

**Review of Legalizing Secondary Suites  
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

---

Would the Administration please review the Bylaw regarding Discretionary Use of legalizing an existing suite (Type II) in a one-unit dwelling to require the residence be owner-occupied.

**Councillor E. Hnatyshyn (February 27, 2006)  
Councillor T. Paulsen a(February 27, 2006)  
Property Maintenance Bylaw  
(File No. CK. 4400-1)**

---

Would the Administration please explore expanding the Property Maintenance Bylaw to include remedies against the landlord for damage to neighbouring properties due to property neglect and improper maintenance.

Could the Administration please report on the creation of a bylaw that addresses the possibility of prosecuting the property owners with problematic tenants who cause repeated complaints and concerns within the community.

**Councillor E. Hnatyshyn  
Exclusive Pouring Rights  
(File No. CK. 175-1)**

---

Would the Administration obtain information from our outside boards (Credit Union Centre and TCU Place) as to how they secure exclusive pouring agreements, how often these agreements are renewed and what benefit they derive from these contracts.

**Councillor T. Alm  
Right-Turn Lane  
Circle Drive and Millar Avenue  
(File No. CK. 6000-1)**

---

Would the Administration please report on the possibility of adding a high speed right turn lane off of Circle Drive heading north which merges onto Millar Avenue. High speed means no traffic lights.

**Councillor G. Wyant  
Lighting of Walkway**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 70**

**Quill Court/Laloche Road  
(File No. CK. 63000-1)**

Would the Administration please report on installing motion activated lighting in the walkway connecting Quill Court and Laloche Road between 214 - 218 Quill Court and 307 - 311 Laloche Road. There is evidence of vandalism and graffiti in this walkway.

**MOTIONS**

Councillor Fortosky gave the following Notice of Motion at the meeting of City Council held on February 13, 2006:

“TAKE NOTICE that at the next regular meeting of City Council, I will move the following motion:

‘THAT the City of Saskatoon enter into negotiations to place the runner-up of the destination landmark “Rivercraft” by Jill Anholt and Doug Shearer for placement on phase two of River Landing to be paid for by the contribution agreement between the City, Province and Federal Governments.’”

*Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the City of Saskatoon enter into negotiations to place the runner-up of the destination landmark “Rivercraft” by Jill Anholt and Doug Shearer for placement on phase two of River Landing to be paid for by the contribution agreement between the City, Province and Federal Governments.*

**IN REFERRAL**

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.*

***THE REFERRAL MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.***

**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw 8486**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 71**

Moved by Councillor Neault, seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8486, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2006 (No. 3)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT Bylaw No. 8486 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8486.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8486 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 72**

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8486 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8486 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**Bylaw 8488**

Moved by Councillor Neault, seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8488, being "The License Amendment Bylaw, 2006", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT Bylaw No. 8488 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8488.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 73**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8488 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8488 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8488 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**Bylaw 8489**

Moved by Councillor Neault, seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8489, being "The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2006", and to give same its first reading.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 74**

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT Bylaw No. 8489 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8489.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8489 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8489 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 75**

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8489 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**Bylaw 8462**

Moved by Councillor Neault, seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8462, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2006 (No. 4)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT Bylaw No. 8462 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8462.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8462 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006  
PAGE 76**

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8462 read a third time at this meeting.

NOT CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Councillor Penner did not vote, as he was not present during the entire hearing.

*Moved by Councillor Neault,*

*THAT the meeting stand adjourned.*

*CARRIED.*

*The meeting adjourned at 10:50 p.m.*

---

*Mayor*

---

*City Clerk*