

Council Chamber  
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.  
Monday, October 7, 1991,  
at 7:00 p.m.

## **MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**PRESENT:** His Worship Mayor Dayday in the Chair;  
Aldermen Mann, Robertson, Thompson, Waygood, Dyck,  
Cherneskey, Penner, Hawthorne and Mostoway;  
City Commissioner Irwin;  
A/Director of Planning and Development Fairlie;  
Director of Works and Utilities Gustafson;  
Director of Finance Richards;  
City Solicitor Dust;  
A/City Clerk MacKeigan;  
Secretary Sproule

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,*

*THAT the minutes of the regular meeting held on September 23, 1991, be approved.*

*CARRIED.*

### **COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

#### **A. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

- 1) **Ralph D. Fram**  
**1301 Elliott Street, dated September 23**

Commenting re fine received. (File No. CK. 5200-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 2**

**2) Deirdre Watt, Public Affairs Assistant  
CGA Canada, dated September 16**

Requesting an extension of time under the City's Noise Bylaw on Thursday, June 25, 1992, in conjunction with the CGA-Canada National Conference. (File No. CK. 375-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the provisions of the Noise Bylaw be waived to allow an extension of time from 9:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. on the evening of Thursday, June 25, 1992, to permit music in the gardens of the Delta Bessborough Hotel for the CGA-Canada Conference.

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the provisions of the Noise Bylaw be waived to allow an extension of time from 9:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. on the evening of Thursday, June 25, 1992, to permit music in the gardens of the Delta Bessborough Hotel for the CGA-Canada Conference.*

*CARRIED.*

**3) Fred Heal, Executive Director  
Meewasin Valley Authority, dated September 24**

Responding to communication re future of the weir. (Background information attached) (File No. CK. 5520-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received.

*Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,*

*THAT the letter be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**4) Mrs. E. Diane Berg, President  
Saskatoon Lions Speed Skating Club, dated September 23**

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of January 27 to February 2, 1992, as "Saskatoon Lions Speed Skating Club 50th Anniversary Week". (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 3**

January 27 to February 2, 1992, as "Saskatoon Lions Speed Skating Club 50th Anniversary Week".

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,*

*THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of January 27 to February 2, 1992, as "Saskatoon Lions Speed Skating Club 50th Anniversary Week".*

*CARRIED.*

**5) Kate Azure, Communications Committee  
UNICEF Saskatoon, dated September 30**

Requesting Council to proclaim Thursday, October 31, 1991 as National UNICEF Day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim Thursday, October 31, 1991 as National UNICEF Day.

*Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Hawthorne,*

*THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim Thursday, October 31, 1991 as National UNICEF Day.*

*CARRIED.*

**6) Cameron Sinkiewicz  
Amnesty International Week 1991, dated September 30**

Requesting Council to proclaim October 21 to October 28, 1991 as Amnesty International Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim October 21-28, 1991, as Amnesty International Week.

*Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,*

*THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim October 21-28, 1991, as Amnesty International Week.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 4**

*CARRIED.*

**7) Minister, Supply and Services, undated**

Submitting copy of "Shaping Canada's Future Together - Proposals". (Copy available from the City Clerk) (File No. CK. 277-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Alderman Hawthorne, Seconded by Alderman Mann,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**8) Peter McCann, Chairman  
Economic Development Committee  
Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce, dated October 3**

Commenting re Economic Incentive Program. (Files CK. 3500-1 & 1860-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Clause 7, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee.

*Moved by Alderman Robertson, Seconded by Alderman Mann,*

*THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 7, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**9) Robert R. Buckley  
78 Brown Crescent, dated October 4**

Requesting permission to address Council re funding of replacement of watermains - Local Improvement Program. (File No. CK. 4140-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 10-1991 of A Committee of the Whole Council.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 5**

*Moved by Alderman Hawthorne, Seconded by Alderman Penner,*

*THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended and Council go into Committee of the Whole, with His Worship the Mayor in the Chair, to consider Clause 1, Report No. 10-1991 of A Committee of the Whole Council.*

*CARRIED.*

Council went into Committee of the Whole with His Worship Mayor Dayday in the Chair to consider the following report:

**"REPORT NO. 10-1991 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL**

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman  
Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C.  
Alderman B. Dyck  
Alderman M. Hawthorne  
Alderman P. Lorje  
Alderman O. Mann  
Alderman P. Mostoway  
Alderman G. Penner  
Alderman P. Robertson  
Alderman M. Thompson  
Alderman K. Waygood

**1. Funding of Infrastructure Replacements**  
**(File No. CK. 4140-3)**

On September 30, 1991, A Committee of the Whole Council met with Messrs. Buckley, Cuming and Gorecki on behalf of the Saskatoon Citizens Opposed to Unfair Taxation (SCOUT), to discuss the possibility of Council reversing its previous decision with respect to providing no redress to SCOUT members as a result of the policy change from funding sewer and water replacements as a Local Improvement Program to funding by the infrastructure levy.

The Committee of the Whole reviewed various proposals to redress the alleged inequity described by SCOUT. Members of the Committee expressed their concern that the nature and extent of

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 6**

foreseeable city-wide infrastructure repairs and replacement went beyond the immediate problems of the recent policy change and impact on SCOUT members. Past and future financing methods need to be examined so that there is equity and responsibility in addressing issues arising out of the funding of infrastructure replacements. Members of the Committee believe that an external consultant should examine the history of infrastructure replacements, examine systems used by other municipalities, and recommend appropriate financing strategies.

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City's External Auditor be contracted to prepare a proposal which would review the implications of policy changes in funding infrastructure replacements, considering both past and future strategies, and if in the review there appears to be some inequity, particularly in the 1990 policy change, the External Auditor make appropriate observations or comments; and
  - 2) that the Administration report on the appropriate funding source.

*Moved by Alderman Penner,*

*THAT Mr. Robert Buckley be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Robert Buckley, Chairman of SCOUT, tabled a copy of his brief with the Acting City Clerk and commented on recent developments and on the report of the Committee of the Whole. Mr. Buckley stated that SCOUT has agreed to accede to the recommendations of the Committee of the Whole, with the understanding that:*

- A. SCOUT be allowed to provide input to the actual terms of reference.*
- B. SCOUT will make a presentation to the external auditor and expects there will be a full exchange of information and rationale.*
- C. SCOUT will have the opportunity to consider the preliminary findings before they are published and then have the opportunity to seek clarification of the rationale.*
- D. The external auditor will return his proposal within the next six weeks to two months.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 7**

*Moved by Alderman Hawthorne,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) that the City's External Auditor be contracted to prepare a proposal which would review the implications of policy changes in funding infrastructure replacements, considering both past and future strategies, and if in the review there appears to be some inequity, particularly in the 1990 policy change, the External Auditor make appropriate observations or comments;*
  - 2) that the Administration report on the appropriate funding source of the study;*
  - 3) that SCOUT be allowed to provide input into the Terms of Reference;*
  - 4) that SCOUT be allowed to make a presentation to the External Auditor and to have a full exchange of non-confidential information;*
  - 5) that SCOUT be allowed to review the preliminary findings of the External Auditor and have the opportunity to seek clarification; and*
  - 6) that an interim report be requested within 60 days."*

*Committee arose.*

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 8**

*THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole dealing with Clause 1, Report No. 10-1991 of A Committee of the Whole Council be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

Council resumed consideration of the "Communications".

**10) Dawn Martin, General Manager  
Nightcap Productions, dated October 3**

Requesting permission to address Council re progress report on Nightcap Productions and the Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan Festival. (File No. CK. 205-19)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Ms. Martin be heard.

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT a spokesperson be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Jack Lazariuk, Chairman of the Board of Directors of Nightcap Productions tabled a copy of his brief with Council. Mr. Lazariuk expressed appreciation for all of the assistance received during the last production and presented His Worship the Mayor with a cheque in the amount of \$15,000, as payment of the first half of the City's interest-free loan.*

*Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Hawthorne,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**11) Councillor Mark Thompson, dated October 4**

Submitting information re South Downtown development and requesting permission for the agents of a proposal to be heard. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and that the proponents be heard.



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 9**

*Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,*

*THAT the information be received; that the agents be heard; and that Items A.12), A.15) and A.16) of "Communications" be brought forward and considered.*

*CARRIED.*

**12) R. Neil MacKay  
MacPherson, Leslie & Tyerman, dated October 7**

Commenting re South Downtown Development proposal. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Item A.11) of "Communications".

**15) Neil McMillan, President  
Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce, dated October 7**

Commenting re South Downtown Development proposal. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Item A.11) of "Communications".

**16) James Shafer, Chairman of the Board  
The Partnership, dated October 4**

Commenting re South Downtown Development proposal. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Item A.11) of "Communications".

*Mr. Neil MacKay of McPherson, Leslie & Tyerman tabled a copy of the proposal for the South Downtown. He asked Council to immediately refer the matter to A Committee of the Whole Council and that A Committee of the Whole Council establish a fast-track process to deal with the matter on an expeditious basis.*

*Mr. Harley Greening of McClocklin Real Estate Corp. reviewed his correspondence*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 10**

*contained in the proposal tabled by Mr. MacKay of McPherson, Leslie & Tyerman. Mr. Greening made reference to the sketch plans displayed in the Council Chamber.*

*Mr. Greening requested that the matter be referred to A Committee of the Whole, that the matter be dealt with expeditiously and that a working committee be established at an early date. Mr. Greening stated that he hoped that the Partnership and the Chamber of Commerce would be invited to take part in the process.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Development Committee and that the Administration provide a report at the next meeting of the Planning and Development Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 11**

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mann,*

*THAT all communications submitted in respect of the matter be referred to the Planning and Development Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**13) Kathy Durbin, Chairperson  
1992 National Broomball Committee, dated October 7**

Commenting re request for financial assistance for the 1992 Senior Broomball Nationals. (File No. CK. 1871-9)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Clause 3, Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee.

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 3, Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**14) Carole Sutherland, President  
Riversdale Community and School Association, dated October 7**

Requesting permission to address Council re proposal for a park in the Riversdale neighbourhood. (Files CK. 4020-1 and 4205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be received and considered with Clause 6, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee.

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 6, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 12**

**1) Mary Giesbrecht  
Creative Ceramics & Gifts, undated**

Expressing concern re Ceramic Classes offered through the City. Referred to Director of Planning and Development. (File No. CK. 5500-1)

**2) Mrs. E. E. Sweet, Chairperson  
MS Conference 91, dated September 25, 1991**

Requesting that the fee for use of City Transit Bus during the MS Conference 91, be waived and reimbursed. Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee. (File No. CK. 1871-9)

**3) David Sandy  
Regina, Sask., undated**

Submitting questionnaire re recreational land use and potential along the Saskatchewan River. Referred to the Meewasin Valley Authority. (File No. CK. 5520-1)

**4) Byron Traynor, Executive Director  
Boys and Girls Clubs of Saskatoon, dated October 2**

Requesting exemption from the purchase of a transient traders license for the 13th Annual Saskatchewan Artists Show and Sale on November 1, 2 and 3, 1991 at the Western Development Museum. Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee. (File No. CK. 316-1)

**5) Ken Redekopp, Civics Director  
Riversdale Community and School Association Inc., dated September 26**

Submitting list of streets in the Riversdale area requiring additional illumination. Referred to the Planning and Development Committee. (File No. CK. 6300-1)

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 13**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**REPORTS**

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman, presented Report No.18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 28-1991 of the City Commissioner;

Alderman Robertson, Chairman, presented Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman Cherneskey, Chairman, presented Report No. 35-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee (Dealing with Audit Matters);

Alderman Cherneskey, Chairman, presented Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;

Alderman Dyck, Chairman, presented Report No. 27-1991 of the Works and Utilities Committee.

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Penner,*

*THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:.*

- a) Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Report No. 28-1991 of the City Commissioner;*
- c) Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee;*
- d) Report No. 35-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee (Dealing with Audit Matters);*
- e) Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee; and*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 14**

*f) Report No. 27-1991 of the Works and Utilities Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

His Worship Mayor Dayday appointed Alderman Mann as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

**"REPORT NO. 18-1991 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION"**

Composition of Committee

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman  
Mr. Jim Kozmyk  
Alderman K. Waygood  
Mr. J. Wolfe  
Mr. Al Selinger  
Mr. Fred Sutter  
Mr. Bill Delainey  
Ms. Fran Alexson  
Mr. Victor Pizzey  
Dr. H.O. Langlois  
Mr. Brian Noonan

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 15**

**1. Discretionary Use Application  
Proposed Parking Lot  
140 Idylwyld Drive South  
Lots 26-31 Inclusive, Plan No. Q7  
B.4A Zoning District  
Early Seed and Feed Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4355-1) \_\_\_\_\_**

An application was received from Early Seed & Feed Ltd. requesting City Council's approval to use Lots 26-31 inclusive, Plan No. Q7 (140 Idylwyld Drive South) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot. This property is zoned B.4A District in the Zoning Bylaw and as a consequence, a commercial parking lot may only be permitted by City Council at its discretion.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Planning Department dated August 20, 1991, with respect to this Discretionary Use Application, which contains the following recommendation:

"that the application by Early Seed & Feed Ltd. requesting permission to use Lots 26-31 inclusive, Plan No. Q7 (140 Idylwyld Drive South) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be recommended for approval, subject to:

- a) The provision of landscaping as shown on the attached Plan D10.91 dated August 1, 1991."

The Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed this application and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- 1) The proposal is in conformance with the applicable provisions of the Zoning Bylaw;
- 2) The proposed parking lot use is consistent with the Central Business District land use designation for this area within the Development Plan, and the parking lot is conveniently located on the edge of the downtown, adjacent to major shopping destinations;
- 3) The proposed use will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity or injurious to property, improvements, or potential developments in the vicinity; and
- 4) The public hearing will provide an opportunity to hear from anyone who opposes this proposed land use.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be brought forward for

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 16**

consideration under Item 4a) of Unfinished Business:

"that the application from Early Seed & Feed Ltd. requesting permission to use Lots 26 to 31 inclusive, Plan No. Q7 (140 Idylwyld Drive South) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be APPROVED subject to the provision of landscaping as shown on Plan No. D10.91 dated August 1, 1991."

*ADOPTED.*

**2. Discretionary Use Application  
Proposed Commercial Parking Lot  
Part of Lots 13 & 14, Block 171, Plan No. Q3  
816 Spadina Crescent East - M.3 Zoning District  
St. John's Cathedral  
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

An application has been received from St. John's Cathedral requesting City Council's approval to use part of Lots 13 and 14, Block 171, Plan No. Q3 (816 Spadina Crescent East) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot. This property is zoned M.3 District in the Zoning Bylaw and, as a consequence, a commercial parking lot may only be permitted by City Council at its discretion.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Planning Department dated August 20, 1991, with respect to this Discretionary Use Application, which contains the following recommendation:

"that the application by St. John's Cathedral requesting permission to use part of Lots 13 and 14, Block 171, Plan No. Q3 (816 Spadina Crescent East) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be recommended for Approval."

The Municipal Planning Commission has considered this Application and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- 1) The proposal is in conformance with the applicable provisions of the Zoning Bylaw;
- 2) The proposed parking lot use is consistent with the Central Business District land use designation for this area within the Development Plan;
- 3) This proposal will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity or injurious to property, improvements or potential developments in the vicinity; and



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 17**

- 4) The public hearing will provide an opportunity to hear from anyone who opposes this parking lot proposal.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be brought forward for consideration under Item No. 4b) of Unfinished Business:

"that the application from St. John's Cathedral requesting permission to use part of Lots 13 and 14, Block 171, Plan Q.3 (816 Spadina Crescent East) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be APPROVED."

*ADOPTED.*

**3. Discretionary Use Application  
Proposed Day Care Centre  
Part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 & 40, Block 15, Plan CE  
202 Avenue C South  
Saskatoon Food Bank Inc.  
I.D.2 Zoning District  
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

An application has been received from the Saskatoon Food Bank Inc. requesting City Council's approval to use part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, Block 15, Plan No. CE (202 Avenue C South) for the purpose of a day care centre. This property is zoned I.D.2 District in the Zoning Bylaw and as a consequence, a day care centre may only be permitted by City Council at its discretion.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Planning Department dated September 12, 1991 providing the background information on this Discretionary Use Application and which contains the following recommendation:

"that the application by the Saskatoon Food Bank Inc. requesting permission to use part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, Block 15, Plan No. CE (202 Avenue C South) for the purpose of a Day Care Centre be recommended for Approval."

The Municipal Planning Commission has considered this matter and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- 1) it conforms with the applicable provisions of the Zoning Bylaw;

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 18**

- 2) it is generally consistent with the "Guidelines for the Location and Development of Day Care Centres, Family Day Care Homes and Pre-Schools" approved by City Council in 1985, in that:
  - a) the applicants have demonstrated a need for the service in the area;
  - b) the centre is located within 300 metres of a bus stop;
  - c) a loading zone will be set aside at the front of the building for the drop-off and pick-up of children at the centre;
  - d) the proposed centre is not within 300 metres (1,000 feet) of a park or playground, nor will the centre provide an enclosed on-site outdoor play area, which is another factor that demonstrates the need for more park space in this area, however, the centre will provide an indoor play area of 130 square metres (1,400 square feet) as part of the day care space, and there will be an enclosed outdoor play area of 111 square metres (1,200 square feet) provided across 21st Street (50 metres from the day care) at 136 Avenue C South;
  - e) this proposal will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity or injurious to property, improvements or potential developments in the vicinity;
- 3) the public hearing will provide an opportunity to hear from anyone who opposes this proposed land use;
- 4) this operation will require a license from the Provincial Department of Social Services, and the license requires prior approval from the Fire Department and the Community Health Unit; and
- 5) this operation will also require the issuance of a Municipal License which requires prior approval of the Fire Department, the Community Health Unit and the Planning Department.

The Commission wishes to note that the applicant intends to have the appropriate plans of the day care area prepared for review by all departments concerned, upon approval of the Discretionary Use Application.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be brought forward for consideration under Item No. 4c) of Unfinished Business:

"that the application from the Saskatoon Food Bank Inc. requesting permission to use part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, Block 15, Plan No. CE (202 Avenue C South) for the purpose of a Day Care Centre be APPROVED."

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 19**

*ADOPTED.*

**4. Sketch Plan - Southridge East  
and West Neighbourhoods  
South of Circle Drive between  
Highway No. 11 and Clarence Avenue  
Cairns Developers  
(File No. CK. 4131-1)**

During three separate meetings, the Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed the attached August 16, 1991, report of the Planning Department concerning the proposed sketch plan for the Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods. As well, the Commission reviewed the detailed proposal from Cairns Developers which is titled "Southridge: A Master Planned Community". **This document was provided to all members of Council and the Administration through recent agendas for the three standing committees - a copy will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.** The Municipal Planning Commission wishes to point out that the issue of timing of this development is of concern in that there are at least six neighbourhoods that are still incomplete in the City which means that there is a large inventory of residential lots at the current time. The Municipal Planning Commission believes that the Southridge neighbourhood should not be opened up too early, in order to allow time for these other neighbourhoods to develop fully and in order to avoid significant cost to the taxpayers and significant pressure on the school boards and the utility agencies. There is concern that once this plan is approved, the desire to develop would accelerate, and the Commission believes it is much too early for this development to begin as intended in 1993.

As part of its deliberations, the Commission requested additional information and clarification of certain issues from the City's Administration and from Cairns Developers. As a result of these questions, specifically with respect to the neighbourhood parks and school sites, civic and school officials met with Cairns and agreed to amend the originally-proposed sketch plan. As a result, the Commission is now recommending approval, in principle, of the August 2, 1991 sketch plan, plus the September 9, 1991, amendments.

For the information of City Council, during the Commission's review of the Southridge Sketch Plan, the following specific concerns were identified and addressed to the satisfaction of the members of the Commission:

- a) The size of neighbourhood parks and the location and configuration of schools' sites -- As already noted, following a meeting involving officials of various civic departments, the school boards, and Cairns Developers Ltd., an acceptable revision to the sketch plan was

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 20**

incorporated and was subsequently endorsed by the Commission.

- b) The timing of this development, given that there are at least six neighbourhoods that are still incomplete -- Even though it is understood that the purpose of the current review is to consider the design of the neighbourhood and not the timing when the development will commence, members of the Commission continue to be concerned about the number of neighbourhoods which are proposed to be under active development at the same time. The Commission felt that consideration of an additional neighbourhood could make it difficult for the school boards to make plans for the development of new schools. The Commission has been assured by the City's Administration that the timing issue will be brought forward for consideration by the Technical Planning Commission and subsequently by City Council as part of the processing of a new Five-year Land Development Program for the 1992-96 period.
- c) The possible existence of high tension power lines running through the area -- The Commission has been advised that there will be no overhead power lines near schools and parks and that Cairns will seek to have other power lines placed underground where it is financially viable to do so.
- d) The apparent small size of commercial sites for this area -- The Commission has concluded that commercial sites within the proposed Southridge Neighbourhoods will enhance and complement the existing commercial developments within the entire Nutana Suburban Development Area and that the proposed commercial sites within these two new neighbourhoods will satisfy this principle, while at the same time providing the necessary day-to-day commercial services for the future residents.
- e) The topography of the school sites and potential grading problems -- The Commission has been assured by Cairns Developers Ltd. that school-site drainage requirements will be taken into account during the preparation of a master grading plan for the area.
- f) Previous use of the land and whether there are any potential dangers in terms of old dump sites or whether there are areas of historical significance -- The Commission has received information on the investigations undertaken by Cairns, including a review of historical land use, geotechnical soil tests, and discussions with previous owners. The Commission is satisfied that Cairns has fully addressed this issue. It also notes that City Council's previous decision to preserve the Moose Jaw Trail has been taken into account in the proposed sketch plan.
- g) Concern has been expressed about the lack of any proposed church sites, and the Commission believes this concern should be passed on to Cairns Developers for further evaluation.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 21**

The Commission, having completed its review of the Sketch Plan for the Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods, supports the proposed development scheme which is envisaged for this area. While further discussions between Cairns, civic departments, and the utility agencies will be required to resolve certain outstanding design, technical, and financial details, the current version of the sketch plan satisfies the applicable neighbourhood design principles and requirements and it should complement and enhance the existing development within the Nutana Suburban Development Area.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clause No. 1, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee, Clause 2, Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee and Clause 1, Report No. 27-1991 of the Works and Utilities Committee be brought forward during consideration of the following recommendation:

that approval, in principle, be given to the Southridge Sketch Plan which is dated August 2, 1991, and which was further revised on September 9, 1991.

*Moved by His Worship the Mayor,*

*THAT Clause 1, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee, Clause 2, Report No. 36-1991 of the Legislation and Finance Committee and Clause 1, Report No. 27-1991 of the Works and Utilities Committee be brought forward and considered.*

*CARRIED.*

**REPORT NO. 31-1991 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

**1. Sketch Plan -- Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4131-11)**

The Planning and Development Committee has reviewed the Sketch Plan for the Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods as presented in the Planning Department's report dated August 16, 1991 (which is contained in the agenda under Clause 4, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission). A concern was raised regarding the low number of multiple unit dwellings in this subdivision, and the fact that this subdivision would not be taking a fair share of this type of use in comparison with the rest of the City. The Committee also questioned what would happen with the proposed golf course space should there be no demand for this type of facility when development of

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 22**

the neighbourhood begins. It was determined that this area would have to be provided as public open space (green space) and maintenance of such area would be addressed in the Development Agreement with the City.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be considered in conjunction with Clause 4, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission:

that City Council approve, in principle, the Southridge Sketch Plan which is dated August 2, 1991 and which was further revised by Cairns Developers on September 9, 1991.

**REPORT NO. 36-1991 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**2. Sketch Plan -- Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4131-11)** \_\_\_\_\_

Your Committee has considered the report of the City Planner on the Southridge Sketch Plan dated September 12, 1991, which is being submitted to City Council under Clause 4, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be considered in conjunction with Clause 4, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission:

that approval, in principle, be given to the Southridge Sketch Plan which is dated August 2, 1991, and which was further revised on September 9, 1991.

**REPORT NO. 27-1991 OF THE WORKS AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE**

**1. Sketch Plan - Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4313-11)** \_\_\_\_\_

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 23**

The Works and Utilities Committee has reviewed the report of the Planning Department dated August 16, 1991 on the above matter, together with the document prepared by Cairns Developers Ltd. entitled "Southridge: A Master Planned Community", (as referenced under Clause 4, Report No. 18 of the Municipal Planning Commission) and concern was expressed about whether there has been any consideration given to prevent the stagnation of water in the storm water ponds which are proposed throughout these neighbourhoods and whether there will be a need for a replotting scheme in future (which could affect a minority of private landowners, whether or not they are in agreement with the plan of proposed subdivision.) The Committee is satisfied that the developer is aware of the possible problems that could develop with respect to the storm water ponds and the Engineering Department is currently looking at ways to address these problems. With respect to the requirement for a replotting scheme, this matter is not an issue at the present time, but may require a decision of Council at a later date.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following recommendation be considered in conjunction with Clause 4, Report No. 18 of the Municipal Planning Commission:

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 24**

"that approval, in principle, be given to the Southridge Sketch Plan which is dated August 2, 1991 and which was further revised on September 9, 1991."

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that approval, in principle, be given to the Southridge Sketch Plan which is dated August 2, 1991, and which was further revised on September 9, 1991; and*
  - 2) *that the reports of the Municipal Planning Commission, Works and Utilities Committee, Planning and Development Committee, and Legislation and Finance Committee, dealing with the matter, be brought forward at the time that the Development Plan Amendment is considered by City Council.*

**REPORT NO. 28-1991 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER**

**Section A - Works and Utilities**

- A1) School Bus Loading Zone  
Saskatoon Christian School  
2410 Haultain Avenue  
(File No. CC 6145-1)**

Report of the City Engineer, September 17, 1991:

"The Engineering Department has received a request from the principal of the Saskatoon Christian School for a 'School Bus Loading Zone' in front of their property at 2410 Haultain Avenue. The reason for the request is that the school bus service has difficulty parking near the entrance to the school. There are no existing parking restrictions on this block and there are frequently vehicles parked for long durations near the entrance to the school. These parkers are normally people doing business at the School, the adjacent church or residents of the neighbourhood. This building (formerly Churchill School) is privately owned by the Churchill Park Full Gospel Fellowship and space is rented to the Saskatoon Christian School. The School has students attending from across the city and has a curriculum approved by the Department of Education.

This school is outside of the jurisdiction of the Public and Catholic School Systems. Considering



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 25**

that the enrolment of this school is small in comparison to other schools, that all of the students are transported to the School (i.e. no students walk to school), and that the space for this school is rented, it has been agreed between the School and the Engineering Department that standard school signing is not applicable at this location. Instead it is proposed that a 15 metre 'School Bus Loading Zone' be installed at the main school entrance. This would serve two purposes:

- 1) to provide a loading space for the school bus when it is at the School; and,
- 2) to provide better visibility to the curb at other times.

There is no charge to the applicant for this installation."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a "School Bus Loading Zone" be installed on Haultain Avenue as shown on Plan No. J12-7C (S.P.).

*ADOPTED.*

**A2) Amendment to Traffic Bylaw No. 7200  
(File No. CC 185-1)**

Report of the City Solicitor, September 25, 1991:

"The Highway Traffic Board is presently reviewing the City's new Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 which was enacted by City Council on June 17, 1991. The Board has requested a change from the present maximum permissible weight of 37,500 kilograms to 53,500 kilograms on that portion of Lorne Avenue south of Circle Drive (Highway No. 219). The City's Engineering Department agrees with that change and the map attached to Bylaw No. 7248 reflects that change.

We have also taken this opportunity to make the following minor changes:

1. We have added Section 11 to Schedule 2 to provide an explicit clarifying statement that there should be no stopping where prohibited by a traffic sign.
2. We have amended the Traffic Bylaw to provide that it would also be illegal to park in a parking meter stall if the parking meter displays the violation flag. Presently it is illegal to park in a metered stall only if the 'expired' flag is shown."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council consider Bylaw No. 7248 at this meeting.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 26**

*ADOPTED.*

**A3) Request for a Disabled Person's Loading Zone  
114 Allegretto Crescent  
(File No. CC 6145-1)**

Report of the City Engineer, September 20, 1991:

"The Engineering Department has received a request from Harold and Helen Smith for a 'Disabled Person's Loading Zone' in front of their home at 114 Allegretto Crescent. The Abilities Council bus service serves this home and parking is not always available. Often vehicles parked on the street prevent the bus from parking at the curb, and as a result considerable inconvenience is experienced.

The Engineering Department has reviewed this request and proposes that a 'Disabled Person's Loading Zone' be installed on the street in front of 114 Allegretto Crescent as shown on Plan No. J1-1A (S.P.). The loading zone conforms to City guidelines with respect to 'Disabled Person's Loading Zones' in which case no fee is assessed for its installation."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a "Disabled Person's Loading Zone" be installed on Allegretto Crescent as shown on Plan No. J1-1A (S.P.).

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 27**

**A4) Sludge Storage Cell Expansion - 1991  
Project #718 - 11  
(File No. CC 7800-4-2)**

Report of the Manager, Water & Pollution Control Department, October 2, 1991:

"On June 17, 1991, City Council approved an addition of \$800,000 to the 1991 Capital Budget to fund an expansion of the sewage treatment plant sludge dewatering facilities. The expansion includes design and construction of one storage cell and two drying beds, approximately 24,800 m<sup>3</sup> and 14,800 m<sup>3</sup> storage capacity, respectively. Other related work includes influent piping extension, decant collection system modification, site drainage and general perimeter landscaping.

Tenders for the construction of the sludge discharge facility were received and opened on September 24, 1991. The bids are summarized as follows:

Contractor	Base Bid (including GST & PST)	Contract Net Cost (including GST Rebate)
Central Asphalt & Paving Inc.	\$685,339.52	\$660,163.70
Acadia Armstrong Construction Ltd.	\$703,760.19	\$677,767.57
Brodsky Earthmoving Inc.	\$754,382.00	\$726,620.97
Lux Construction Ltd.	\$815,614.51	\$785,417.36
SBW Wright Construction Inc.	\$837,826.05	\$806,507.02

The consulting firm of UMA Engineering Ltd. has completed a commercial and technical bid evaluation. Central Asphalt & Paving, the low bidder, was found to meet all qualifications necessary to carry out the required work."

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council accept the bid by Central Asphalt & Paving Inc. for the construction of the Sludge Storage Cell Expansion for a total estimated cost of \$660,163.70, including GST, PST, and GST rebate; and,
  - 2) that the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents under the Corporate Seal as prepared by the City Solicitor.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 28**

**A5) School Signing Replacement - St. Philip School  
(File No. CC 6280-1)**

Report of the City Engineer, September 17, 1991:

"The Engineering Department has received a request from Saskatoon Catholic Schools to review the signing at St. Philip School. The review has been completed, and it is proposed that the signing be upgraded as it is deteriorated and outdated with respect to the School Signing Guidelines.

The investigation procedure for this school included:

- the preparation of a plan of the existing signing;
- inspection of the condition of the existing signing;
- a site meeting between representatives of the Engineering Department, the School Board, and the principal of the school involved; and,
- consultation with the Traffic Section of the City Police.

Based on the results of this investigation, a new school signing plan was formulated using the School Signing Guidelines and considering the needs of this particular school.

The signing changes required to upgrade the signing at this school are indicated on Plan No. J11-5A (S.S.) and are described briefly below. The signing changes will only affect the east side of Haultain Avenue between Taylor Street and Isabella Avenue.

There is no existing signing on Haultain Avenue. The entire frontage of the school is unrestricted parking. It is proposed that the following be installed:

- a 'No Stopping' zone at the main school entrance;
- a 'No Parking' zone from the 'No Stopping' zone, north to Taylor Street; and,
- a 'Parking 5-Minutes, 0900 - 1700, Monday to Friday' restriction from the 'No Stopping' zone south to a point where the fencing of the school yard begins.

The signing on Taylor Street consists of a bus stop, a parking restriction during school hours, and pedestrian signing in conjunction with the pedestrian corridor. Any deteriorated signing will be replaced.

The remainder of the school/church property has no parking restrictions and it is proposed that this

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 29**

remain unchanged.

No residential frontage will be affected by the signing changes. All of the above changes have been reviewed and agreed to by the City Police and the Separate School Board and conform to present City policy with respect to school signing."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the signing changes at St. Philip School as shown on Plan No. J11-5A (S.S.) be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

**A6) Development and Servicing (Extension)  
Agreement - The City of Saskatoon and  
Preston Developments Inc. - Bronson  
Crescent area of the Lakeridge Neighborhood  
(Lots 113 and 114, Block 247)  
(File No. CC 297-1)**

Report of the City Solicitor, October 3, 1991:

"In accordance with the direction of the City Engineer, and the instruction of the Works and Utilities Committee at its meeting held on September 25, 1991, I have prepared and forward herewith proposed form of Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement as between The City of Saskatoon and Preston Developments Inc. The Agreement pertains to the Bronson Crescent area of the Lakeridge neighborhood, and has been drawn in the form of an extension to an earlier-concluded master agreement between the parties pertaining to this area. In addition to imposing all standard development conditions and requirements, the Agreement provides:

1. That the Developer shall perform certain buffer strip construction in lieu of the City, and, accordingly, goes on to provide that the City shall reimburse the Developer with respect to affected buffer strip fees already remitted by the Developer to the City.
2. In that: servicing of this area is essentially complete pursuant to previous agreements; all fees and levies being remitted in one initial installment; together with the small size of the proposed development - there is no requirement for a Letter of Credit.

It should be noted that while City Council is in a position to consider approval of the within form of Agreement, there are ongoing buffer strip redesignation proceedings affecting the lots to be created pursuant to this Agreement. Accordingly, the Agreement may not be signed, or the Certificate of

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 30**

Approval relating to the subdivision released, until such redesignation proceedings are complete."

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed form of Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement between The City of Saskatoon and Preston Developments Inc. be approved; and,
  - 2) that upon completion of the noted buffer strip redesignation proceedings, His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute such Agreement on behalf of The City of Saskatoon.

*ADOPTED.*

**A7) Lining Sanitary Sewer Mains  
Project Nos. 785.7 & 785.11  
Award of Contract No. 1-0017  
(File No. CC 7820-3)**

Report of the City Engineer, October 2, 1991:

"Tenders were received and opened publicly on October 1, 1991, for the lining of sanitary sewer mains. The contract consists of the rehabilitation of 1130 metres of small diameter concrete pipes in three residential locations.

Lane East of King Crescent, Duke Street to CP Railway

Avenue I, 11th Street to Dudley Street

Avenue M, 11th Street to Wellington Street

Required work includes the bypassing, cleaning and inspection of the existing pipe; the design, supply and installation of the new liner; and the reconnection of all services.

The following tenders were received:

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Tender Price</u>
IGL Canada Limited Edmonton, Alberta	\$268,043.00

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 31**

Samuel Pipe Liners Inc.  
Surrey, B.C. \$399,170.00

Attached is the tabulation sheet showing the bid unit prices.

The low bid meets all the tender requirements. IGL Canada Limited has the capability to carry out the work. The firm holds the western Canada franchise for installing the 'Insituform' liners, and in 1990 they successfully completed the rehabilitation of the 11th Street sanitary sewer for the City of Saskatoon using this method.

The current amounts allocated under the Capital Budget from the Infrastructure Reserve for the two projects which comprise this lining contract are:

Project No. 785.7 (Lane at King Cres., Ave. I.)	\$313,500
Project No. 785.11 (Avenue M)	<u>\$198,000</u>
Total	\$511,500

The net cost to the City for this tender is as follows:

Tender	\$268,043.00
GST	18,763.01
PST	4,800.00
GST Rebate	<u>(10,721.18)</u>
Net Cost	\$280,884.83"

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council accept the unit prices bid by IGL Canada Limited for the lining of sanitary sewer mains for a total estimated cost of \$280,884.83 including GST, PST and GST rebate; and,
  - 2) that the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor under the Corporate Seal.

*ADOPTED.*

**Section B - Planning and Development**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 32**

**B1) Community Bond Corporation Application  
QCC Community Bond Corporation  
(File No. CC 3500-12)**

Report of the Economic Development Manager, October 3, 1991:

"A community bond corporation is being established to raise funds and to invest those funds in securities of QCC Communications Corporation. QCC Communications is a communications engineering company that specializes in product development and in projects involving data communications and computer programming. A main focus of the company is on 'integrated services digital networks' which is a new technology that will upgrade existing telephone systems."

Should Council support the application by QCC Community Bond Corporation, the following would be the appropriate resolution:

WHEREAS Dwight D. Percy, Joseph A. Anton, Gerard O. Gardiner, Keith Schneider, Shawn D. Exner, Allan Didur, William T. Blyth, and others resident in the City of Saskatoon desire to incorporate a Community Bond Corporation under The Community Bonds Act (the "Act") with its head office located in the City of Saskatoon;

AND WHEREAS the Act requires that the incorporation be approved by the council of the municipality in which the corporation's head office is to be located;

AND WHEREAS it is necessary that the Mayor or reeve or other designate of such municipality be authorized by such council to be a director of the corporation;

AND WHEREAS Council deems it desirable that the incorporation of the proposed community bond corporation proceed;

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that approval be and the same is hereby given to the application for incorporation by Dwight D. Percy, Joseph A. Anton, Gerard O. Gardiner, Keith Schneider, Shawn D. Exner, Allan Didur, William T. Blyth, and others of QCC Community Bond Corporation and that the representative of this municipality on the board of directors of such corporation shall be Dwight Percy and,

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that approval by the City of Saskatoon of the incorporation of QCC Community Bond Corporation is not, and shall not in any manner be construed as a representation or warranty as to the feasibility or economic



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 33**

viability of the corporation or of the projects or investments contemplated by the corporation, and Council disclaims any liability or responsibility with respect to same.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Alderman Cherneskey,*

*WHEREAS Dwight D. Percy, Joseph A. Anton, Gerard O. Gardiner, Keith Schneider, Shawn D. Exner, Allan Didur, William T. Blyth, and others resident in the City of Saskatoon desire to incorporate a Community Bond Corporation under The Community Bonds Act (the "Act") with its head office located in the City of Saskatoon;*

*AND WHEREAS the Act requires that the incorporation be approved by the council of the municipality in which the corporation's head office is to be located;*

*AND WHEREAS it is necessary that the Mayor or reeve or other designate of such municipality be authorized by such council to be a director of the corporation;*

*AND WHEREAS Council deems it desirable that the incorporation of the proposed community bond corporation proceed;*

*IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that approval be and the same is hereby given to the application for incorporation by Dwight D. Percy, Joseph A. Anton, Gerard O. Gardiner, Keith Schneider, Shawn D. Exner, Allan Didur, William T. Blyth, and others of QCC Community Bond Corporation and that the representative of this municipality on the board of directors of such corporation shall be Dwight Percy and,*

*IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that approval by the City of Saskatoon of the incorporation of QCC Community Bond Corporation is not, and shall not in any manner be construed as a representation or warranty as to the feasibility or economic viability of the corporation or of the projects or investments contemplated by the corporation, and Council disclaims any liability or responsibility with respect to same.*

*CARRIED.*

**B2) Demolition - 1628 - 19th Street West**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 34**

**(File Nos. CC 530-2 & 4353-2-3)**

Report of the City Planner, October 1, 1991:

"During its September 23, 1991, meeting, City Council held a public hearing concerning a proposed order for demolition of the house and detached garage at 1628 - 19th Street West. The owner of the property, Mr. Nick Kinar, appeared before Council and as a result of his presentation (as well as assurances he would take certain actions with respect to resolving the concerns over the condition of this property), the following motions were approved:

- 1) **'that City Council not proceed with the Order of Demolition of 1628 - 19th Street West.'**
- 2) **'that the matter be referred to the Administration to work with Mr. Kinar and report further in two weeks.'**

Staff of the Planning Department held an on-site meeting with Mr. Kinar at the above-noted property on September 30, 1991. Mr. Kinar was made aware of the concerns that led to the Administration's recommendation for the order of demolition and was requested to complete the following work (as an initial step to improving the condition of the property) by October 8, 1991:

- a) secure the house and garage,
- b) remove the stairs to the upper floor, and
- c) clean up the broken glass in the front yard.

Mr. Kinar was also asked to review his intentions for improving the condition of this property, considering the amount of work that would be required to bring this home back up to a minimum acceptable condition.

A further site inspection will be undertaken after October 8, 1991, to see if the work requested has been completed. Following this inspection, a report will be prepared for City Council's consideration."

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received; and,
  - 2) that a further report on the condition of this property be prepared by the Administration for consideration by City Council during its October 15, 1991, meeting.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 35**

*ADOPTED.*

**B3) Subdivision Application #19/91  
31/33 Delaronde Hill  
(File No. CC 4300-2)**

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #19/91  
Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lot 52, Block 182, Plan No. 80-S-28007  
Location: 31/33 Delaronde Hill

The September 23, 1991, report of the City Planner concerning this application is attached.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #19/91 be denied.

*ADOPTED.*

**B4) Subdivision Application #21/91  
612 - 11th Street East  
(File No. CC 4300-2-2)**

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #21/91  
Applicant: Land Manager, City of Saskatoon  
Legal Description: Part of Lot 14, Block 83, Plan No. Q1  
Location: 612 - 11th Street East

The September 19, 1991, report of the City Planner concerning this application is attached.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #21/91 be approved, subject to:

- a) the payment of \$962.65, being the required area development charges; and,

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 36**

- b) the consolidation of the most easterly 1.8288 m. in perpendicular width throughout of Lot 14, Block 83, Plan Q1, with Lot 15, Block 83, Plan Q1.

*ADOPTED.*

**B5) Easement Requirement  
SaskPower Electrical  
SE ¼ Sec. 30-35-5-W3M  
(File No. CC 4090-3)**

---

Report of the City Planner, October 3, 1991:

"Mr. Bill Anderson of SaskPower has submitted a request for approval from the City of Saskatoon for an easement over part of SE ¼ Sec. 30-35-4-W3M. (See the attached plan.) The purpose of this easement is to provide service to a new residential dwelling which will be located on SW ¼ Sec. 29-35-5-W3M. The cable will be trenched in at a depth of 1 metre and the trench's width will be approximately 4 inches. The proposed easement will be located on land which is owned by the City of Saskatoon, but is not within the City Limits.

The Planning and Land Departments have no objections to this request. The Technical Planning Commission reviewed this proposal on October 2, 1991, and is recommending approval of the request."

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that an easement to SaskPower, as outlined in the attached draft easement agreement, be granted; and,
  - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute an easement agreement, in a form which is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon through the application of their respective signatures and the Corporate Seal to such an agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

**B6) A. L. Cole Site  
435 Avenue A South  
(File No. CC 4132-3)**

---

Report of the City Planner, October 2, 1991:

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 37**

"During its April 15, 1991, meeting, City Council authorized the City's Administration to take various actions with respect to securing the A. L. Cole site in order to ensure public safety. In addition, Council resolved, in part:

**'that the matter be referred to the Administration for a review in light of Section 124 of The Urban Municipality Act to determine whether or not this site constitutes a nuisance.'**

Staff of the Planning Department completed the work which was authorized by City Council by installing a fence around the property and by securing various openings in the exterior walls of the building. 'No trespassing' signs were placed on the fence to warn people of the dangerous conditions on the site.

While this action has greatly alleviated the concerns with respect to the safety of the public, on-going inspections have clearly shown that these measures have not been adequate to prevent entry onto the site. Staff of the Planning Department have monitored this activity and have taken corrective measures where the owner has failed to do so.

On-site inspections have been carried out by staff of the Planning, Fire, and Engineering Departments and of the Saskatoon Community Health Unit. Reports of the Planning, Fire, Engineering, and Police Departments, as well as the Saskatoon Community Health Unit, are attached for City Council's consideration.

It is the consensus of staff within the Planning and Fire Departments, as well as the Saskatoon Community Health Unit, that this site is a nuisance as defined by Section 124 of The Urban Municipality Act because of its ruinous and dilapidated state and because the buildings:

- a) are dangerous to the health and safety of the public and the occupants of the buildings, and
- b) substantially depreciate the value of other land or improvements in the vicinity.

Table 1 provides estimates for the work that is considered essential, regardless of whether the buildings are ultimately demolished. While staff of the Planning Department and the Saskatoon Community Health Unit are recommending that the buildings should be demolished, other options are presented in Table 3 for City Council's consideration.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
 PAGE 38**

**TABLE 1**

		Estimate	
		Initial Cost	Annual Cost
1.	Secure all exterior windows, doors, and other openings within 15 feet of grade. [See Note (a) below.]	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
2.	Secure all exterior wall and roof openings above 15 feet with 'chicken wire' to prevent the entry of vermin. [See Note (b) below.]	50,000.00	10,000.00
3.	Make repairs to the fence.		
4.	Secure metal floor plates.	500.00	1,000.00
5.	Install guard rails around large openings in floors.	10,000.00	
6.	Cover over various small openings.	8,000.00	
7.	Carry out the pest control program, as outlined in the report of the Saskatoon Community Health Unit.	2,000.00	
8.	Clean up PCB's from site. [See note (c) below.]	30,000.00	14,000.00
9.	Pump water out of the basement. This cannot be done until item (8) has been completed and approved by the Department of the Environment and Public Safety. (The estimate does not include the costs for cleaning contaminates from the water, if necessary.)	(UNKNOWN) 5,000.00	5,000.00
10.	Remove all loose asbestos from the site and dispose of it in an acceptable manner. This also must be acceptable to the Department of the Environment and Public Safety. [See note (c) below.]	(UNKNOWN)	
11.	Clean up debris from site filling in an open foundation and trenches.	10,000.00	
12.	Cover manhole adjacent to the building's west wall.	<u>500.00</u>	
	Subtotal (Not including item 2)		
	Minimum estimate for Items 8 and 10	\$ 78,000.00	\$ 22,000.00
	Contingency Fund	30,000.00	
		<u>10,000.00</u>	<u>3,000.00</u>
	<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 118,000.00</u>	<u>\$ 25,000.00</u>

**NOTES:**

- a) The current owner and the City have not been able to prevent access to the A. L. Cole and pump-house buildings with the measures that have been taken to date. Once the pest control program is started, it becomes even more important that adequate measures are taken to prevent unauthorized entry by people. If the measures which are taken do not prove to be adequate, it may be necessary to hire commissionaires to police the site. The additional costs would be substantial, starting at \$10,000.00 per year for monitoring the site and increasing to \$65,000.00 per year for 24-hour site security.
- b) To secure the building in order to prevent the entry of vermin requires covering numerous wall and roof openings. Although this would assist in pest control, it is felt that the installation and maintenance costs would be prohibitive. This cost is, therefore, not added into the totals which are shown in Table 1.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
 PAGE 39**

- c) Staff of the Planning Department have not been able to inspect the basement. The amount of loose asbestos and PCB's cannot be determined without further inspections by persons who are qualified to test such materials. The figures used in this report are believed to be a minimum amount that will be involved; an upper limit cannot be defined.

A study was conducted in 1985 to develop an estimate for the removal of the building and the equipment from the present site. The terms of reference included leaving the site with the excavation enclosed by the building's foundations and the filling of the excavation to the surrounding surface elevations. The following table illustrates these 1985 estimates, along with adjustments for inflation. Items 1 and 4 have further been adjusted to reflect the removal of asbestos and scrap material from the site during the period since the 1985 study was prepared.

**TABLE 2**

	1985 Estimate	Adjusted Cost
1. Asbestos removal	\$ 355,000.00	\$ 225,000.00
2. Building demolition (includes salvage)	623,000.00	700,000.00
3. Foundation demolition	337,000.00	420,000.00
4. Scrap value	(96,000.00)	0.00
5. Pump-house	43,000.00	50,000.00
6. Restoration of site	<u>Not submitted</u>	<u>250,000.00</u>
Total - Demolition Costs	<u>\$1,262,000.00</u>	<u>\$1,645,000.00</u>

Using the resources that are available to the City's Administration, the following table has been prepared to identify options for courses of action that City Council may consider taking with respect to this property:

**TABLE 3**

**Option A (Clean up property - The building is secured but no monitoring of the site is provided)**

	(5 year cost)
1. Initial costs	\$ 118,000.00
2. Annual costs (\$25,000.00)	<u>125,000.00</u>
Total	<u>\$ 243,000.00</u>

**Option B (Clean up property, secure buildings and provide minimum site security)**

	(5 year cost)
1. Initial costs	\$ 118,000.00
2. Annual costs (\$35,000.00)	<u>175,000.00</u>

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
 PAGE 40**

Total		<u>\$ 293,000.00</u>
<b>Option C</b>	<b>(Clean up property, secure buildings and provide 24 hour site security)</b>	
		(5 year cost)
1.	Initial costs	\$ 118,000.00
2.	Annual costs (\$90,000.00)	<u>450,000.00</u>
Total		<u>\$ 568,000.00</u>
<b>Option D</b>	<b>(Clean up property and demolish)</b>	
		(Total Cost)
1.	Clean up and maintenance costs (including minimum security for one year)	\$ 153,000.00
2.	Demolition costs	<u>1,645,000.00</u>
Total		<u>\$1,798,000.00</u>

Attempts to develop this site into a residential condominium complex have failed. Considering the state of the economy, it is likely that if action is not taken by City Council, this site will remain a health and safety concern for some time to come. It is the opinion held within the Planning Department and the Saskatoon Community Health Unit that Council should consider making an order to demolish for all the buildings on the A. L. Cole and pump-house sites.

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the property known as the A. L. Cole (Parcel K, Plan No. 63-S-01249) and pump-house (Parcel Q, Plan No. 63-S-01249) sites be declared a nuisance because, in Council's opinion, the buildings in their ruinous and dilapidated state:
    - a) are dangerous to the health and safety of the public and the occupants of the buildings, and
    - b) substantially depreciate the value of other land or improvements in the vicinity; and,
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be directed to advise the owner and persons having an interest in the A. L. Cole and Pump-house sites of the date wherein Council will consider the making of an order to demolish all buildings on both sites.



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 41**

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the property known as the A. L. Cole (Parcel K, Plan No. 63-S-01249) and pump-house (Parcel Q, Plan No. 63-S-01249) sites be declared a nuisance because, in Council's opinion, the buildings in their ruinous and dilapidated state:
    - a) *are dangerous to the health and safety of the public and the occupants of the buildings, and*
    - b) *substantially depreciate the value of other land or improvements in the vicinity;**
  - 2) *that the City Solicitor be directed to advise the owner and persons having an interest in the A. L. Cole and Pump-house sites of the date wherein Council will consider the making of an order to demolish all buildings on both sites;*
  - 3) *that the Saskatchewan Power Corporation be requested to involve itself more fully in successfully resolving this situation; and*
  - 4) *that the matter be referred to the Planning and Development Committee and to the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee for submission of any comments at the time of the public hearing.*

**Section C - Finance**

**C1) Investments  
(File No. CC 1790-3)**

Report of the Investment Services Manager, September 20, 1991:

"With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached list indicates purchases and sales for

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 42**

the City's various funds."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve the above purchases and sales.

*ADOPTED.*

**C2) Soliciting on City Streets  
Bylaw No. 5734  
(File No. CC 205-1)**

Report of the City Treasurer, September 25, 1991:

"An application for solicitation upon the public streets of the City of Saskatoon has been received from The Salvation Army.

The nature of the solicitation will be The Salvation Army Christmas Cheer Bubbles at various locations during the period November 14, 1991 to December 24, 1991.

Proceeds collected will be used for Christmas hampers for the disadvantaged."

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council grant permission to The Salvation Army to use the City streets for the placement of Christmas Cheer Bubbles at various locations during the period November 14, 1991 to December 24, 1991.

*ADOPTED.*

**C3) Property Assessment Notification Bylaw  
Bylaw No. 7249  
(File No. CC 1620-1)**

Report of the City Solicitor, September 30, 1991:

"We have prepared Bylaw No. 7249 which provides for the dispensing of mailing assessment notices except in cases where the assessed value is new or altered. City Council has followed this practice for the last several years and Bylaw No. 7249 accomplishes this for the taxation year 1992."

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 43**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7249, at this meeting.

*ADOPTED.*

**Section D - Services**

**D1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council**

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
Business Tax - General License (copy attached) (File No. CC 435-13)	August 1, 1991	August 31, 1991
Property Tax Collections (copy attached) (File No. CC 435-8)	August 1, 1991	August 31, 1991
Statement of Residential & Miscellaneous Lot Sales (copy attached) (File No. CC 435-2)	September 1, 1991	September 30, 1991
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$1,067,751.43 (copy attached)	September 17, 1991	September 19, 1991
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$6,734,917.37 (File No. CC 1530-2)	September 17, 1991	September 24, 1991
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$3,217,861.20 (File No. CC 1530-2)	September 24, 1991	September 26, 1991
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$5,033,669.75 (File No. CC 1530-2)	September 24, 1991	October 1, 1991

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 44**

Schedule of Accounts Paid  
\$462,613.21  
(File No. CC 1530-2)

October 1, 1991

October 3, 1991

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

**D2) River Centre  
(File No. CC 4130-7)**

The Administration has been meeting with administrative representatives of the Meewasin Valley Authority, the Saskatoon Public School Board, and representatives of The Partnership to discuss the concept of a River Centre. Such a River Centre would have the objective of enhancing public interest in global water resource management using our river basin as a case in point. It could be a tourism generator, and provide a focus for the continuation of events such as water conferences, expositions, and visitor tours.

The River Centre would be a ticketed interpretative facility that would house water-related exhibits, theatres, models, and displays. The interpretive themes would cover the entire Saskatchewan River Basin from its origins in the Rockies to its destination in Hudson Bay.

The concept of a River Centre is obviously in its infancy. However, it has sufficient merit to pursue a more detailed investigation of its feasibility and therefore, the consultant firm of Ernst and Young has been retained to do an examination of the concept including the financial and local aspects of feasibility. Funding for the project will come from Western Diversification and the four local sponsors being, The City of Saskatoon, The Meewasin Valley Authority, The Saskatoon Public School Board, and The Partnership.

The study will be done in two phases. At the end of the first phase, a decision will be made as to whether the project has sufficient merit to move to phase two. The total financial contribution of The City of Saskatoon will be \$5,742.00. Stage one of the project requires a City contribution of \$1,416.00. The balance of \$4,326.00 will only be incurred if the project moves to phase two.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council authorize the expenditure of \$1,416.00 from the operating provision for the South Downtown Development; and,
- 2) that the Administration report to City Council as to the findings

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 45**

and recommendations at the conclusion of phase one of the River Centre Project Feasibility Study.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT NO. 31-1991 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Alderman P. Robertson, Chairman  
Alderman G. Penner  
Alderman K. Waygood

- 1. Sketch Plan -- Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4131-11)** \_\_\_\_\_

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 19.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 46**

**2. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing  
2521 - 8th Street East  
(File No. CK. 4352-1)** \_\_\_\_\_

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated September 10, 1991, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board DENIED the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated September 19, 1991, together with a report of the City Planner dated September 19, 1991.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**                   that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

**3. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing  
1624 33rd Street West  
(File No. CK. 4352-1)** \_\_\_\_\_

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated September 10, 1991, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board DENIED the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated September 19, 1991, together with a report of the City Planner dated September 19, 1991.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**                   that the information be received.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 47**

*ADOPTED.*

**4. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing  
810 Saskatchewan Crescent East  
(File No. CK. 4352-1)**

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated September 10, 1991, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board GRANTED the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated September 18, 1991, together with a report of the City Planner dated September 18, 1991.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:** that the above decision of the Development Appeals Board not be appealed to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board.

*ADOPTED.*

**5. Rental of City-Owned Property  
363 - 2nd Avenue South  
Junior Achievement Proposal  
(File No. CK. 600-3)**

Report of General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, September 16, 1991:

"Ms. Brynn Boback, Executive Director of Junior Achievement of Northern Saskatchewan, has requested to use the above-referenced property as the headquarters for the Junior Achievement Program. Attached for the Committee's information is a copy of her September 5, 1991, request.

This building was originally purchased to provide land for the South Downtown

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 48**

redevelopment project. Most recently, the building had been leased to the Saskatoon Food Bank which vacated the premises in September, 1990. For the past eight months, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has been attempting to find a new tenant; however, the month-to-month rental requirement (in order to maintain flexibility to accommodate redevelopment options in the South Downtown area) has discouraged several potential tenants who are reluctant to invest in leasehold improvements for a short-term period. As a result of the building being empty, the City has had to absorb in excess of \$3,400 for utilities consumed during the past year.

Junior Achievement's request made no mention of the organization's financial expectations with respect to renting this property. However, in subsequent conversations with the Executive Director, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has determined that this organization cannot afford to pay rent, but would be able to pay the utility costs. As a means of generating revenue, a suggestion was made that Junior Achievement could rent out, on a monthly basis, parking stalls on the site. Fifty percent of all money collected would be forwarded to the City as a rent contribution, while the balance would be retained by the organization for its purposes. Ms. Boback was receptive to the idea because it will give her Program's participants with experience in another area of business, as well as generating some additional funding to offset operating costs."

Report of the Director of Planning and Development, September 16, 1991:

"It is not anticipated that the proposed rental arrangement will generate considerable revenue for the City. However, it will cover the expenses which are now being incurred for the utilities and will generate a small cash inflow which would not otherwise be realized. Since there do not appear to be other potential tenants for this property, the proposal is financially beneficial to the City and at the same time, supports the goals of a worthwhile community organization.

City of Saskatoon Policy C03-024 (Leasing Civic Buildings to Outside Organizations) requires that City-owned buildings must be leased to outside organizations at the prevailing market rate (plus occupancy costs). Any subsidization should be accommodated through the provisions of the Community Initiatives Program, as outlined in City of Saskatoon Policy C03-018 (Financial Assistance to Community Groups). In light of the City's inability to find a tenant for this property and recognizing the implications of the month-to-month rental condition, I have concluded that the proposed financing arrangements for the Junior Achievement organization are consistent with the City's leasing policy."

Your Committee has met with Ms. Brynn Boback to discuss this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**

- 1) that the rental of the property at 363 - 2nd Avenue South to Junior Achievement of Northern Saskatchewan be approved, subject to the following conditions:



- a) that the occupancy be on a month-to-month basis;
  - b) that the tenant pay all utility costs;
  - c) that the City be responsible for the property taxes; and
  - d) that the rental rate be 50% of funds collected by the organization through renting out monthly parking stalls on the site; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

**6. Property for Municipal Reserve  
Options to Purchase Property for Additional Park Space  
Riversdale Neighbourhood  
(Files CK. 4020-1 and 4205-1)**

Report of General Manager, Leisure Services Department, September 25, 1991:

"Background

During the development of the (proposed) administrative open-space guidelines, the Leisure Services Department identified a number of neighbourhoods which are deemed to be deficient in open-space amenities. The neighbourhoods with such deficiencies have been identified and prioritized on the basis of the following four criteria:

- a) the expressed need by the community for additional neighbourhood park space,
- b) the size of the deficiency relative to the size of the existing open space,
- c) the access to existing open space in proximity to the neighbourhood, and
- d) the proximity to neighbourhood park components.

On several occasions, the Riversdale community has asked the Leisure Services Department to address the shortage of park space in this neighbourhood. Riversdale is second, after the Holiday Park Neighbourhood, on the Leisure Services Department's priority list of neighbourhoods with open-space deficiencies which should be remedied. Holiday Park's neighbourhood-park needs will hopefully be met as soon as the issues pertaining to the redevelopment of the former Sanatorium site have been resolved, primarily with the Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 50**

Current Open-Space Deficiencies in the Riversdale Neighbourhood

Based upon on-going discussions within the Planning and Development Division, the proposed administrative open-space guidelines suggest that approximately 15 acres of park space should adequately serve a population of 5,000 persons (i.e. 12 acres for a central park and three acres dispersed as pocket parks). With a population density of 2,267 people, Riversdale's current population would be served by approximately 2.7 ha. or 6.8 acres (2,267 / 5,000 x 15 acres) of open space. Presently, Riversdale is served by Steve Patola Park at 0.37 ha. (0.93 acres) and Optimist Park at 2.9 ha. (7 acres).

Steve Patola Park is leased to a senior citizen's club for 25 years and is not available for public use. Therefore, it is not considered to be a part of the Riversdale Neighbourhood's available open space.

Optimist Park, while used as a neighbourhood park, is actually designated as a district park, with the district-oriented facilities comprising approximately 75% of the area. Therefore, 0.7 ha. (25% of 7 acres = 1.75 acres) are considered to be available to serve this Neighbourhood's needs. On this basis, the Riversdale Neighbourhood is deemed to be deficient in neighbourhood-park space by 2.0 ha. (5.05 acres).

Proposed Solution

The Leisure Services Department has studied the Riversdale Neighbourhood very closely and has concluded that the only area that is not served by some form of open space, either through the river valley parks system or by Optimist Park, is the residential area to the east of Princess Alexandra School. As a result of this conclusion, the Leisure Services Department requested the Land Manager to provide estimates on the cost of purchasing one to two acres of land in this area (i.e. the equivalent of approximately 22 lots [25 ft. x 127.5 ft.]).

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 51**

The Land Manager determined that there is approximately 1.7 acres of land available for purchase east of Princess Alexandra School:

- (a) the following three lots which currently contain houses and which together represent 0.07 acres:

Lot 23, Block 6, Plan (CE) E 5618 (including house)

Lot 22, Block 6, Plan (CE) E 5618 (including house)

Lot 21, Block 6, Plan (CE) E 5618 (including house)

- (b) the following vacant lots containing approximately 1.6 acres:

Lot 10, Part of 11, all of 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and Lots 24 - 31 inclusive and Lot 41 MTO 63-S-14584 and south 30 feet of Lot 40 MTO FJ 4466, all in Block 6, Plan (CE) E 5618.

There is also a lane, containing 0.04 acres, located behind Lots 21 - 23 that can be closed and consolidated with the land being purchased. This will bring the total available land for the park to 1.71 acres.

The Leisure Services Department has recommended the purchase and conversion of Lots 10 through 31, the south 30 feet of Lot 40 MTO FJ 4466 and Lot 41 MTO 63-S-14584 all in Block 6, Plan (CE) E 5618 (representing 1.71 acres) into a park. This involves removing the three old houses that are presently for sale. Because the quality of these homes is quite poor, there is no guarantee that this property will remain in a residential use if it is purchased by some other party. The Department believes that building a park at this location will do more to revitalize this portion of the Riversdale Neighbourhood and will have a greater positive impact than will the retention of the three houses.

Negotiations to Purchase the Desired Property

Negotiations for purchasing these properties have been on-going during the period since the Planning and Development Committee's June 10, 1991, authorization of such action. The negotiated acquisition price for 1.71 acres, (1.67 acres purchased plus 0.04 acres of lane) is \$452,375.00. All of the land, including the three houses and the large vacant parcel, has been optioned for a six-month period for a total option fee of \$20,000.00. The option fee is applicable to the purchase price, if exercised within the six-month period. Following the acquisition of the properties, another \$85,000 to \$100,000 will be required to develop the new park. The proposed funding for the purchase of these lands and the subsequent park development in Riversdale is the Dedicated Lands Account. At present, there is a balance of \$1,040,000 in this Account.

During the option period, the City of Saskatoon has negotiated the right to access the properties in order to conduct certain tests on the properties' soil and to inspect the on-site

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 52**

buildings. The Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety will be requested to evaluate the soil samples. As a condition of the option agreements, the City may terminate its option to purchase these properties, with full recovery of the option fee, if the Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety 'does not approve the site for residential use before the time limited for the exercise of this option'.

Comments from the Stakeholders

Through the Chairman of the Civics Committee for the Riversdale Community and School Association, the Leisure Services Department is aware that the property located east of Princess Alexandra School, between Avenues F and G, has been identified as a desirable location for a new park within the Riversdale Community. Because the City's Administration wished to keep the City's interest in these properties confidential until the options had been obtained, the Community Association's views on this land will now have to be confirmed.

In addition, during its June 10, 1991, meeting, the Planning and Development Committee resolved, in part:

- 4) that the Administration be requested to report on the zoning (land use) adjacent to this property; and**
- 5) that the Administration be requested to comment on the feasibility of closing a portion of Avenue G in order to facilitate the connection of the subject property and the adjacent school property into one parcel of land'.**

In response to the above-noted resolution 4, the following July 3, 1991, report has been submitted by the Acting City Planner:

'The Planning Department supports the principle of obtaining land within the Core Neighbourhoods for the purpose of developing more park space. The Core Neighbourhood Study Review, 1990, states that "it is a priority of the Administration to develop more park space within the Core Neighbourhoods, so that ultimately all inner city neighbourhoods meet established City of Saskatoon standards for open space."

With regard to Riversdale, you may recall that on Monday, June 3, 1991, City Council considered the Core Neighbourhood Study Review, 1990. During this meeting, City Council defeated Bylaw No. 7214 which pertained to the Riversdale Neighbourhood. Subsequently, the Planning Department has initiated a review of the land-use policies for the Riversdale Neighbourhood. We are committed to returning to the Community Association in the early fall of 1991 to initiate public

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 53**

input into the land-use review.

From a land-use policy standpoint, the area which you have identified in Riversdale for possible purchase is designated within a Medium Density Policy District. This district is intended to allow walk-up apartments. Given this fact, the Planning Department envisages no conflicts between the proposed park development and future apartment construction. In fact, a higher residential density may increase the number of people who use the proposed park space in the future. Furthermore, the Planning Department supports any efforts to encourage the Public School Board to undertake improvements to public schools' grounds within the Core Neighbourhoods.'

In response to the above-noted resolution 5, the Transportation Engineer in the Engineering Department has submitted the following July 9, 1991, comments:

'The Engineering Department's only concern regarding the creation of a park on Lots 10-31, Block 6, Plan CE is that it may increase the number of pedestrian crossings on 22nd Street between Avenues G and F. Children living north of 22nd Street may be attracted to the park and will have to cross this major arterial roadway. At present, there are protected pedestrian crossings only at Avenue H and at Avenue C. The Engineering Department does not wish to install additional crossings, if they can be prevented. 22nd Street currently carries an average daily traffic volume of 32,000 vehicles and the Engineering Department must ensure that this roadway's ability to service this and future traffic volumes is maintained.

If the acquisition of Lots 10-31 is pursued, the Engineering Department would suggest that the possibility of closing Avenue G between 21st Street and the C.P. tracks be investigated. The existing right-of-way could be incorporated into the proposed park and would connect the park with Princess Alexandra School's grounds. The Engineering Department would support this closure.'

Conclusion

The redevelopment of existing or the development of additional open space is one of a number of mechanisms that can be used to start to revitalize a neighbourhood. The major impact of these activities is that they are a visible and direct demonstration that action is taking place to stabilize and strengthen a neighbourhood. People will see the results of the City's actions which could, hopefully, cause them to consider improving their own (private) property. Therefore, while this approach does not solve the total open-space deficiency of the Riversdale Neighbourhood, it does indicate a commitment on behalf of the City to improve the quality and quantity of the open space in this Neighbourhood.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 54**

As complementary to the City's action to develop a new park for the Riversdale Neighbourhood, the Leisure Services Department recommends that City Council should ask the Public School Board to undertake improvements to Princess Alexandra School's grounds in a way that is harmonious with the City's proposed park development. This Board has publicly stated that the problems of Saskatoon's inner core must be addressed if these neighbourhoods are to remain viable. By undertaking work on its property, the School Board would also show a commitment to revitalizing the Riversdale Neighbourhood."

Your Committee has thoroughly reviewed this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**

- 1) that Lots 10 to 31, the south 30 feet of Lot 40 MTO FJ 4466, and Lot 41 MTO 63-S-14584 all in Block 6, Plan CE E 5618, which are located east of Princess Alexandra School, be purchased at a price of \$452,375, subject to:
  - a) a favourable response from the Riversdale Community and School Association concerning the suitability of the properties in addressing the Neighbourhood's open-space needs; and
  - b) receiving, before the time limited for the exercise of the options, approval from the Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety that the lands are suitable for residential use;
- 2) that, if the conditions of the option are met and the purchase proceeds, the Administration take the appropriate action to dedicate these lands as Municipal Reserve;
- 3) that the source of financing for the option fees and the purchase of these properties be the Dedicated Lands Account;
- 4) that, if the conditions of the options are met and the purchase proceeds, the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the appropriate land purchase documents under the Corporate Seal, as approved by the City Solicitor; and
- 5) that City Council advise the Public School Board of the action which it is taking to acquire land for a park development project adjacent to Princess Alexandra School, and that the Board be

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 55**

urged to participate in this project by upgrading the School grounds in a manner which is harmonious with the proposed park design.

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.14) of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.*

*Moved by His Worship the Mayor,*

*THAT Ms. Sutherland be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Ms. Carole Sutherland, President of the Riversdale Community and School Association, indicated that additional park space in the Riversdale Neighbourhood is welcomed and encouraged Council to support the recommendations.*

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) that Lots 10 to 31, the south 30 feet of Lot 40 MTO FJ 4466, and Lot 41 MTO 63-S-14584 all in Block 6, Plan CE E 5618, which are located east of Princess Alexandra School, be purchased at a price of \$452,375, subject to:*
    - a) a favourable response from the Riversdale Community and School Association concerning the suitability of the properties in addressing the Neighbourhood's open-space needs; and*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991**  
**PAGE 56**

- b) receiving, before the time limited for the exercise of the options, approval from the Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety that the lands are suitable for residential use;*
- 2) that, if the conditions of the option are met and the purchase proceeds, the Administration take the appropriate action to dedicate these lands as Municipal Reserve;*
- 3) that the source of financing for the option fees and the purchase of these properties be the Dedicated Lands Account;*
- 4) that, if the conditions of the options are met and the purchase proceeds, the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the appropriate land purchase documents under the Corporate Seal, as approved by the City Solicitor; and*
- 5) that City Council advise the Public School Board of the action which it is taking to acquire land for a park development project adjacent to Princess Alexandra School, and that the Board be urged to participate in this project by upgrading the School grounds in a manner which is harmonious with the proposed park design.*

**7. Economic Incentives Program**  
**(Files CK. 3500-1 and 1860-1)**

City Council considered Clause B1, Report No. 22-1991 of the City Commissioner on the above matter at its meeting held on July 15, 1991 and

- "IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that Council adopt the attached draft policy statement on Business Development Incentives;
- 2) that the Audit Services Department be requested to provide a post-approval opinion on the document;
  - 3) that Council receive a further report on the total cost of the program and the source of financing; and



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 57**

4) that the following issues, as identified in Clause B1, Report No. 22-1991 of the City Commissioner be referred to the Planning and Development Committee for discussion with the Economic Development Board:

- extending the incentives to businesses locating beyond the City's geographical limits (i.e. within Saskatoon's 'immediate region');
- providing incentives as part of a program which will be funded either on a one-time or a yearly basis;
- earmarking a source of funding for the incentives program;
- clarifying the City's intention in reducing the selling price or providing special financing incentives on City-owned industrial land;
- eliminating the reference to the creation of a minimum number of new jobs as an eligibility requirement; and
- assigning responsibility and accountability to an arm's-length body (i.e. not City Council) which will adjudicate and decide on the allocation of the City's incentives."

Council subsequently considered Clause B5, Report No. 24-1991 of the City Commissioner in response to Resolution No. 2) above at its meeting held on August 12, 1991 and

"IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the Planning and Development Committee be requested to consider and to provide recommendations on each of the issues which have been identified by the Audit Services Department with respect to the draft policy statement on business development incentives which was approved by City Council on July 15, 1991; and

2) that the Planning and Development Committee review the appropriateness of dealing with Economic Development as a department rather than as an authority."

Your Committee subsequently forwarded this matter to the Saskatoon Economic Development Board for a report. Attached is a copy of a memo dated September 24, 1991 from the Saskatoon Economic Development Board forwarding a report in response to the issues outlined in the above-noted reports. Your Committee has met with representatives of the Saskatoon Economic Development Board, the Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce and the North Saskatoon Business Association to review the proposals contained in this report. Your Committee notes that there was general agreement by all three parties to the proposals in this report, with the exception of matters relating to an Economic Development Authority. **This item will be the subject of a further report to Council in due course.**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 58**

Attached, as background information, is a copy of Clause B5, Report No. 24-1991 of the City Commissioner as referenced above.

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposals outlined in the attached report of the Saskatoon Economic Development Board be approved and incorporated as a revision to the approved Business Development Incentives Policy; and
  - 2) that the Audit Services Department be requested to take the necessary steps to process the revised Business Development Incentives Policy in the appropriate manner.

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.8) of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.*

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the proposals outlined in the attached report of the Saskatoon Economic Development Board be approved and incorporated as a revision to the approved Business Development Incentives Policy; and*
  - 2) *that the Audit Services Department be requested to take the necessary steps to process the revised Business Development Incentives Policy in the appropriate manner.*

**8. Youth Sports Subsidy Program  
(File No. CK. 1720-3)**

Report of Director of Planning and Development, September 18, 1991:

"On September 9, 1991, City Council approved the implementation, effective on January 1, 1992, of the new Youth Sports Subsidy Program. In addition to several resolutions pertaining to the implementation, Council resolved:

**'that the Administration proceed to develop, for City Council's approval, a formal policy statement (for inclusion in the City's Policy Manual) on the Youth Sports Subsidy Program'**

and

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 59**

**`that the Youth Sports Subsidy Policy be reviewed by the Planning and Development Committee in one year's time, including the question of subsidization of all levels of sport (i.e. beginner, novice, and high performance)'.**

Because of the need to have the formal policy available for distribution to currently- and potentially-eligible sports groups, the Leisure Services Department (in consultation with the Audit Services Department) has given considerable priority to preparing the policy statement on this Program for the earliest possible confirmation by City Council. Therefore, attached is a copy of the proposed policy statement (Youth Sports Subsidy Program -- Allocation Criteria) which has been prepared in accordance with City Council's September 9, 1991, resolutions. The Planning and Development Committee should review the proposed policy and confirm for City Council that it accurately and adequately reflects the intent of these resolutions.

In addition, the Committee should note that the following September 18, 1991, opinion on the proposed policy statement has been submitted by the Manager of the Audit Services Department:

'The proposed Policy on "Youth Sports Subsidy Program -- Allocation Criteria" was referred to this office for review and an Audit Opinion. In my opinion, the Policy Purpose (i.e. objectives) is clear and the policy statements are consistent with this objective.

A couple of issues that should be examined when the Policy is brought forward for review in one year's time are:

- **The relationship of this Policy to the sport component of Policy No. C03-018 on Assistance to Community Groups.** The first involves provision of conditional financial support in the sense that the funds are earmarked for facility rentals only. The latter policy involves unconditional financial support to some of the same organizations that also receive rental assistance. The relationship of these two policies should be carefully examined, perhaps with a view to somehow integrating the sport component of Policy C03-018 into the proposed policy on the Youth Sports Subsidy Program - Allocation Criteria. Integration would provide greater assurance of overall equity and fairness in total subsidy packages.
- **Youth Sports Subsidy Program under-expenditures.** It is entirely possible that, for one reason or another (eg. declining registration in sport activity), the total Program Budget may be

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 60**

underspent. Under current Policy No. 03-001 on the Budget Process, the unexpended funds could be reallocated to other programs by the Department Head, Director, City Commissioner, or City Council in accordance with the authorization limits set out in Policy 03-001.

I would suggest that future consideration be given to the possibility of having the unexpended funds set aside as contingency funds for eligible sport organizations whose actual number of registrants exceed the number used to calculate their subsidy points. Under the proposed Policy, the number used in calculating an organization's subsidy points is the total number of eligible registered participants on December 31 of the previous year. If the actual number of registrants falls below this figure, the organization will receive less funds under the program. If the actual number of participants is greater than the figure used in calculating the subsidy points, the organization and all participants must finance all additional related costs -- i.e. the Youth Sports Subsidy Program would not provide additional funds to support the additional participants who register.'

I would suggest that the appropriate steps be taken to have the attached Policy formally approved by City Council, and that the above issues (which may or may not result in future policy amendments) be examined over the next year.

The Leisure Services Department is to be commended for having developed an allocation policy and formula that is both rational (i.e. in the sense of ensuring equitable and fair treatment of all sport organizations) and simple to administer."

Your Committee has considered this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**

- 1) that the attached policy statement (Youth Sports Subsidy Program -- Allocation Criteria) be approved for inclusion in the City's Policy Manual; and
- 2) that, as part of its review of this Policy in one year's time, the Planning and Development Committee be requested to consider (and to provide recommendations to City Council) on:
  - a) the relationship, if any, of the Youth Sports Subsidy Program to funding which the City provides to sports organizations under the Community Initiatives Program; and

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 61**

- b) the treatment of unexpended subsidization funds which might be realized in any year by specific eligible groups through the implementation of the Youth Sports Subsidy Program.

*ADOPTED.*

**9. Project 857: Umea Vast -- Sports Field Irrigation  
(Files CK. 4205-1 and 1703)**

Report of General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, September 18, 1991:

"On February 19, 1990, City Council approved the inclusion of Project 857 (Umea Vast -- Sports Field Irrigation) in the 1990 Capital Budget. This project involves a \$180,000 provision (funded from certain prepaid service reserves) for the installation of underground irrigation in ballfields which had been relocated to the west end of Umea Vast Park. The relocation resulted from the development in this Park of the women's field hockey pitch. Subsequent to installing the irrigation, a local fastball league paid for the installation of chain-link homerun fences at each of the relocated diamonds to facilitate the games played by its participants.

Due to a very competitive market, the installation contract for the irrigation project was awarded at significantly less than the budgeted amount, thereby leaving a surplus of \$92,400. The original requirements for this project have been satisfied and, to date, both the Leisure Services and the Civic Buildings and Grounds Departments consider it to be complete.

Following consultations with the Leisure Services Department, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department would like to purchase and install new plant material at Umea Vast Park in order to soften the visual impact of the chainlink homerun fences along the four ball diamonds. The Department proposes to plant a climbing vine at the base of the homerun fencing which will provide a solid wall of plant material. In addition, the Department plans to plant uniformly-spaced deciduous trees along the outside of each of the four homerun fences. The total cost of this additional planting is estimated at \$22,000.

The Leisure Services and the Civic Buildings and Grounds Departments consider the new plant material to be a worthwhile addition to the recreation facilities in this Park. The reasons for this conclusion include:

- a) improving the aesthetics of a high-profile facility by visually breaking up the

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 62**

concentration of chainlink fencing,

- b) improving the ball diamonds by reducing the wind factor for the users, and
- c) minimizing the negative impact of windy, dry conditions on the irrigated turf and thereby, improving the overall quality of the turf."

Your Committee has considered this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:** that the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department be given approval to expend \$22,000 from the available funds in Project 857 (Umea Vast -- Sports Field Irrigation) to install additional plant material adjacent to the sports fields in Umea Vast Park.

*ADOPTED.*

**10. Paddling Pools  
Impact of Provincial Regulations  
AND  
Parks and Recreation Levy  
(Files CK. 613-1 and 1815-8)**

Report of Director of Planning and Development, September 23, 1991:

"During its April 15, 1991, meeting, City Council considered a status report on the development and operation of the City's paddling pools in light of the new Provincial Health Regulations. The major issue which was under consideration at that time involved the City's moratorium on the further development of these facilities following the Provincial Government's decision in 1981 to regulate paddling pools under the same standards as apply to swimming pools. During the period since 1981, the City has implemented hand-chlorination and water treatment procedures which have allowed the existing pools to continue to operate. In addition, spray pools have been developed and tested in the Pleasant Hill and Holliston Neighbourhoods and have proven to be a design option to paddling pools fitted with chlorination and filtration systems. Taking into consideration the experience of the past ten years, the Provincial Government's decision to continue designating these facilities as swimming pools under The Public Health Act, and the impact of the City's moratorium on existing paddling pools and on parks which have been developed since 1981, City Council resolved on April 15, 1991:

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 63**

**`that the moratorium on the design and construction of additional outdoor water features be rescinded and that this action be taken on the understanding that:**

- (a) the water quality in the existing paddling pools can continue to be maintained through a hand-chlorination approach; and,**
- (b) filtration and chlorination systems will only be required for newly constructed paddling pools.'**

City Council's decision has raised several financial questions which will have to be addressed in the near future. These questions include:

- (a) financing the cost of major repairs and of replacing existing paddling pools in older neighbourhoods (including the installation of chlorination and filtration systems or the use of the alternative spray-pool option),
- (b) constructing paddling pools in parks which have already been developed, but which do not contain an outdoor water feature because of the moratorium, and
- (c) ensuring that the Parks and Recreation Levy is adequate to finance the construction of paddling pools as part of the park development in future subdivisions.

This report will deal specifically with the adequacy of the Parks and Recreation Levy, thereby putting in place the financing which will be necessary for the construction of paddling pools in yet-to-be-developed neighbourhoods. Separate reports and financial analyses will be provided at a future date on the other issues which pertain to neighbourhoods that are completely developed or are currently being developed.

Report of the General Manager, Leisure Services Department, September 23, 1991:

Current Situation

The City of Saskatoon's moratorium on the construction of paddling pools was implemented in 1981. At that time, the Parks and Recreation Levy's rate for providing outdoor neighbourhood water features (i.e. paddling pools) was based on a construction cost of \$30,000. The cost-allocation remained at that level until 1990 when the Levy was adjusted to reflect an estimated construction cost of \$60,000. This adjustment was made to ensure that the rate for the neighbourhood component of the Levy more realistically reflected the costs of designing and constructing these neighbourhood outdoor water features. However, it did not take into account the ramifications of the new Health Regulations which, at that time, were still pending approval by the Provincial Government. Consequently, the current prepaid

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 64**

collections for these features remain at \$60,000.

The new Provincial Health Regulations, which came into effect in 1991, require that all future neighbourhood outdoor water features must be designed and constructed to include mechanical equipment to recirculate, chlorinate, and filter the water within the pool. (With respect to the existing facilities, these can continue to operate through the implementation of hand-chlorination, water treatment, and security procedures which are acceptable to the Saskatoon Community Health Unit.)

A recent analysis of the mechanical equipment, design, site preparation, and tank and apron construction requirements have established the overall capital cost of a paddling pool at \$155,000, or \$95,000 higher than the cost estimate which is currently being used to determine the Parks and Recreation Levy's rate. In order to ensure the sufficiency of the Levy to provide for these outdoor water features, the Levy's neighbourhood portion must be increased accordingly.

An average neighbourhood has 21,000 front metres available for sale. The current Levy's neighbourhood parks and recreation rate is \$20.53 per front metre. With an increase of \$95,000 in the cost of providing the outdoor water features, the neighbourhood component of the Levy should increase by \$4.52 per front metre, to \$25.05 per front metre.

The Parks and Recreation Levy is calculated to ensure that the rate is sufficient to meet the neighbourhoods' established priorities. At this time, these priorities include the provision of outdoor water features within neighbourhood parks. However, the current rate does not financially satisfy this requirement and must, therefore, be adjusted to address the shortfall. The Parks and Recreation Prepaid Levy has always reflected a bias and priority towards the development of neighbourhood parks and recreation amenities. These amenities have the greatest and most direct impact (when compared with the district and multi-district parks and facilities) on the people who are paying the prepaid service levies. Therefore, in recognition of the costs of providing neighbourhood outdoor water features, the Leisure Services Department is recommending that the current rate structure for the Parks and Recreation Levy should be adjusted to reflect the priority that has been placed on neighbourhood amenities, as well as to address the financial shortfall with respect to the provision of paddling pools which conform with the Provincial Health Regulations.

Proposed Restructuring of the Parks and Recreation Levy

Given Saskatoon's current economic situation and the market for residential lots, the Leisure Services Department is not recommending an increase, at this time, to the current rate for the Parks and Recreation Levy (and hence, to lot prices). Instead,



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
 PAGE 65**

the Department is recommending a change to the existing policy to accommodate an increase in the neighbourhood portion of the Levy through an offsetting decrease in the Levy's multi-district rate. The implications of this action on the current Parks and Recreation Levy are as follows:

	<u>Current Levy</u>	<u>Proposed Levy</u>
Neighbourhood	\$ 20.53	\$ 25.05
District	27.48	27.48
Multi-District	<u>96.02</u>	<u>91.50</u>
Total Residential	<u>\$144.03</u>	<u>\$144.03</u>
 Industrial Park and Boulevard	 <u>\$ 40.38</u>	 <u>\$ 40.38</u>

In addition, section 3.3 (b) of City of Saskatoon Policy C03-011 (Parks and Recreation Levy) states that:

**"the Multi-District Parks and Recreation Levy shall be twice (2x) the Neighbourhood Local/District Parks and Recreation Levy rate."**

The proposal in this report will change the determination of the multi-district rate from two times to 1.742 times the combined neighbourhood and district rates."

Your Committee has considered this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:**

- 1) that the parks and recreation levy be restructured to accommodate the cost of designing and constructing outdoor water features for neighbourhood parks and that the current total rate for the residential levy (\$144.03) be reallocated among its component parts as follows:

Neighbourhood	\$ 25.05
District	27.48
Multi-District	<u>91.50</u>
Total Residential	<u>\$144.03</u>
 Industrial	 <u>\$ 40.38</u> ; and

- 2) that City of Saskatoon Policy C03-011 (Parks and Recreation Levy) be amended to determine the multi-district rate at 1.742

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 66**

times the combined neighbourhood and district rates.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 67**

**11. Original Communications and Petitions**

**From: His Worship Mayor Dayday  
Date: May 17, 1991  
Subject: Submitting copy of report of the Mayor's  
Committee to review the impact festivals have on  
the City of Saskatoon**

**(File No. CK. 1870-2)**

City Council considered the above communication at its meeting held on May 27, 1991 and adopted the following motion:

"That the report be received and referred to the Planning and Development Committee for further action; and that the Legislation and Finance Committee review the funding recommendations."

City Council has already addressed (through recommendations from the Legislation and Finance Committee) the report's financial proposals. The following response deals primarily with those proposals which have not yet been considered by Council and which are of a "non-financial" nature:

Report of General Manager, Leisure Services Department, September 16, 1991 (excerpt):

**Introduction**

The Leisure Services Department has reviewed the report of the Mayor's Committee on the Impact of Festivals on the City of Saskatoon and has researched the support services that are presently available for Saskatoon's festivals. The Committee's report has shown the economic, social, cultural, and public-relations benefits which are provided to citizens, businesses, and visitors by the festivals which operate in this city. In addition, in public meetings concerning the preparation of the Kiwanis/Riverbank Parks Program Plan and in a May 1990 research paper prepared by Anderson/Fast Marketing Solutions for the Meewasin Valley Authority, people clearly stated that they like the events, such as festivals, that have been occurring in Saskatoon's riverbank parks and want to see these types of events continue.

**Definition of a Festival**

The Committee's report recommends that the following definition should be adopted by the City:

'A festival is a recurring event which includes a significant performing arts or demonstrations component. It actively celebrates a theme and provides fun and enjoyment for the

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 68**

public. A festival is primarily non-competitive and lasts two days or more.'

A number of special programs occur in Saskatoon, including festivals, fairs, exhibitions, and tournaments. They vary in length from one-day events such as Louis Riel Day, to weekend events such as Winterfest, to several-day or week-long events such as the Jazz Festival or Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan. The nature of these events also varies. Some are primarily cultural; others are sporting events such as the Bridge City Triathlon; still others, such as the Jeux Canada Games, have both components.

In order to categorize these activities and to determine whether they should receive civic support (eg. cash grants, provision of support services, forgiveness of amusement tax, etc.), definitions of eligibility are required. These must be included in the City's policies which are applicable to the events so that those who administer the policies can clearly determine which organizations are eligible for various support programs.

At present, the only policies that specifically mention festivals (Policy C03-007: 'Special Events' and Policy C03-003: 'Reserves for Future Expenditures') do not define what a festival is. These policies also do not differentiate between festivals and other special events. Policy C03-007 defines a special event as a 'major event or festival of a sporting, cultural, artistic, or recreation nature'. Policy C03-003 provides for the establishment of 'a source of funds for encouraging community groups and organizations to pursue and host major festivals and national and international events'.

The Leisure Services Department is of the opinion that the definition of a festival which has been recommended by the Mayor's Committee on the Impact of Festivals on the City of Saskatoon is a good starting point for differentiating the various special events. The Department recommends that City Council should adopt the definition and instruct the Audit Services Department to insert it into the appropriate policies. In addition, the Leisure Services Department recommends that the Leisure Services Advisory Board should be asked to study and to provide City Council with recommendations on additional definitions that may be required to differentiate between other events such as one-time special events, exhibitions, tournaments, fairs, etc.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 69**

Arts Policy

The Mayor's Committee recommends that the City should develop a municipal arts policy. This has been a long-standing issue within the local arts community and is actively under discussion by the various organizations.

At the November 14, 1990, session of the Burning Issues Forum, a cross-section of Saskatoon's arts groups decided to establish an informal arts committee to examine issues of mutual interest and concern. A subcommittee met several times during the winter and spring of 1991 and decided that its main task will be to work towards developing a global arts policy for the City of Saskatoon. The subcommittee began by studying arts policies from other cities. Additional meetings and discussions will be held this fall.

Saskatoon's arts groups envision the implementation of a global arts policy which includes a definition of the arts, a rationale for supporting the arts, a funding policy, the procedures for adjudicating and administering funding, the policies and procedures for the provision of civic services such as donations-in-kind, and the City's liaison and consultative services to the arts. The City's current Art Placement Policy (C10-007), concerning the adjudication and placement of works of art which are donated to the City, will be incorporated into this global arts policy. Support services for festivals can also be part of such a global arts policy.

The arts subcommittee intends to bring its recommendations for an arts policy back to the Burning Issues Forum for discussion by Saskatoon's arts community. The Leisure Services Department will be represented at future meetings of the arts committee and will act as a liaison for the City. A report on this matter is anticipated to be forthcoming to the Planning and Development Committee before the end of the calendar year.

Permanent Festivals Committee

The Mayor's Committee calls for the establishment of a permanent festivals committee to 'provide liaison among existing and new festivals, to foster a spirit of cooperation, share information, and explore co-marketing opportunities'. Currently, the following committees exist to address the needs and concerns of Saskatoon's festivals:

a) Civic Administration Coordinating Committee

As part of its on-going program of services to festivals, the City's Engineering Department calls together an administrative committee of various agencies on an as required basis and depending on the event. Representation on the committee can include the Transit Department, the Saskatoon City Police, the Civic Buildings and

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 70**

Grounds Department, the Leisure Services Department, and the Saskatoon Community Health Unit. These individuals discuss and coordinate the civic service needs which have been requested by specific festivals.

b) Saskatoon Festivals Committee

Saskatoon's festivals have established their own committee to deal with issues of mutual interest and concern. This committee does not meet regularly, but rather on an ad hoc basis.

Although both of the existing committees can meet some of the expressed needs of Saskatoon's festivals, the Leisure Services Department sees a role for regular, twice-yearly meetings (for example, in October and April) of the Civic Administration Coordinating Committee with representatives from the festivals. Winter festivals can meet with the Committee in October; summer festivals, in April. Such meetings will keep festivals informed of specific municipal rules and regulations, will make them aware of any changes in administrative procedures, will provide a vehicle to address mutual concerns in plenty of time before the festivals occur, and will provide advice to new festivals. It is the opinion of the Leisure Services Department that the Engineering Department is best placed to call together the members of the Civic Administration Coordinating Committee.

The role of Saskatoon's festivals in attracting visitors to the city has been clearly delineated in the report of the Mayor's Committee. The Leisure Services Department thinks that the best organization to deal with marketing issues for festivals is Tourism Saskatoon. This organization has already done some work in this area. However, the Department recommends that City Council should formally ask Tourism Saskatoon to take the lead role in working with Saskatoon's festivals to coordinate co-marketing opportunities.

Festivals Coordinator

The Mayor's Committee has recommended that the City should designate a festivals coordinator. The Committee sees the role of this coordinator as providing training to festivals in such areas as organizational development; providing research, surveys, grants, and other fund-raising information; and coordinating plans and activities with other civic departments and organizations such as Tourism Saskatoon.

Festivals' organizers have asked to have one person designated within the Civic Administration as the contact person to facilitate communication, to improve access to information, and to provide other support services. In some cases, festivals are not aware of the services which are already provided by the City or of the processes through which they can access these services. In addition, they are not always aware of bylaws affecting their

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 71**

activity or of the permits that are required. One-time events, as the Jeux Canada Games and the Figure Skating Championships, also look to the City's personnel for advice, request the donation of civic services, and sometimes ask for grants to help fund their events. Because of the number of people and departments involved in providing such support, festivals organizers can become confused or lose valuable time in trying to find the right person or department to contact.

Although several people and several departments (e.g. Civic Buildings and Grounds, Leisure Services, Transit, Engineering, Fire) within the Civic Administration now deal with festivals, there is no one person designated as the coordinator responsible for festivals, nor are staff presently able to meet all of the needs which have been identified by the Mayor's Committee and the festivals' organizers. For example, the Engineers Assistant within the Engineering Department's Transportation Section presently spends about 15 to 20 per cent of his time assisting special events and festivals through administering the Provision of Civic Services Policy (C03-026) and through the Civic Administration Coordinating Committee's meetings. The Park Program Specialist and the Arts/Multicultural Specialist in the Leisure Services Department spend about 5 to 10 per cent of their time working with festivals or special events. In addition, this Department's Sports Specialist spends approximately 2 per cent of his time helping organizers of special sporting events. The duties for these specialists may include the provision of information about civic policies, referrals to the appropriate departments, and help for networking with other organizations. The Leisure Services Department at present is not able to allocate staff time to additional duties related to festivals because its existing staff complement is already fully committed in all areas.

The Leisure Services Department sees a need for a new staff position, a special events and festivals coordinator, who can play a broader role than just as a municipal liaison with the festivals' organizers. Such a coordinator can deal with the protocol of one-time national and international events, as well as providing information and support on a regular basis to on-going festivals and special events and managing the statistical information collected from the festivals. The Leisure Services Department recommends that City Council should examine the feasibility of providing funds for a special events and festivals coordinator during its deliberations on the City's 1992 operating budget.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991**  
**PAGE 72**

Festivals Handbook

The Mayor's Committee recommends that the City should develop and keep updated a festivals handbook. Such a handbook does not presently exist. Festivals must contact various departments to find the information that they require and a handbook would provide a ready source for such information.

The Leisure Services Department has initiated work on an arts, heritage, and multicultural handbook. Much of the information regarding policies, procedures, bylaws, and permits which are relevant to festivals has been collected as part of this research and a section on festivals will be included in the document. Work is proceeding on the preparation of the handbook; however, its completion and distribution will depend on the availability of resources within the Department.

Festivals Information Resource

The Committee's report recommends that the City of Saskatoon should establish, and keep updated, a data base with information gathered from the festivals. Information which has already been collected through a survey which was undertaken by the Mayor's Committee provides a useful base of statistics for the City's on-going analysis of the economic impact of local festivals (eg. expenditures, audiences, etc.). This information can help the City's granting bodies to determine how they will allocate funds among these festivals. In addition, the City routinely receives requests from other cities and organizations for statistics regarding funding. The statistical information can also be of benefit to festivals in presenting their case for grants to provincial and federal granting-agencies.

The Leisure Services Department has begun collecting statistics from organizations which have applied for assistance under the Community Initiatives Program (cultural, sport, and recreation categories). Along with the information provided by the Mayor's Committee, this will form the basis for the first year of data from local festivals. The Department will send out a festivals questionnaire in January 1992 as part of its annual mail-out of grant applications. The information will be kept on file; however, additional work will be required to make the information easily accessible to those who require it.

The Leisure Services Department is of the opinion that further research is required to determine exactly what additional information may be needed to establish a complete data base on Saskatoon's festivals. For example, the questionnaire issued by the Mayor's Committee did not ask about the resources (such as tents and other equipment) that each of Saskatoon's festivals could shared with other events. An information resource must have sufficient flexibility to serve the needs of all interested parties and to provide practical opportunities to make the data available to everyone who is interested in it.



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 73**

Making statistics easily accessible and researching what additional information may be required will proceed as budgetary resources become available. The responsibility for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating this information could be assigned to the special events and festivals coordinator's position which has been recommended for consideration in the 1992 operating budget.

Funding of Festivals

During its August 12, 1991, meeting, City Council approved the following recommendation:

**'that an amount of \$50,000 be placed in the 1992 Preliminary Operating Budget for the purpose of grants to festivals on a cash basis.'**

If City Council approves this provision, a funding formula with clear criteria is required so that festivals and special events know how they can apply for funds, what information they must provide, and what level of funding they can receive."

Attached, for reference purposes, is a copy of "The Report of the Mayor's Committee on the Impact of Festivals on the City of Saskatoon".

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the Audit Services Department be authorized to insert the following definition of a festival into all current policies which make reference to festivals, including City of Saskatoon Policies C03-007 (Special Events) and C03-003 (Reserves for Future Expenditures):

"A festival is a recurring event which includes a significant performing arts or demonstrations component. It actively celebrates a theme and provides fun and enjoyment for the public. A festival is primarily non-competitive and lasts two days or more";

- 2) that the Leisure Services Advisory Board be requested to review and to provide recommendations on the need for additional definitions for the City's policies and programs that may be required to differentiate between other events such as one-time special events, tournaments, fairs and exhibitions;
- 3) that the Engineering Department be designated as having the responsibility of calling twice-yearly meetings (in October and April), involving representatives of relevant civic departments

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 74**

and agencies and of Saskatoon's festivals, in order to assist with and to coordinate the requests by these festivals for civic support services;

- 4) that City Council request Tourism Saskatoon to take the lead role in working with Saskatoon's festivals to coordinate co-marketing opportunities;
- 5) that, during its 1992 operating budget deliberations, City Council consider the need for making provision to establish a new position (special events and festivals coordinator) within the City's Administration; and
- 6) that a copy of this report be forwarded to the members of the Mayor's Committee on the Impact of Festivals in Saskatoon.

*ADOPTED.*

**12. 1990 Annual Report  
City of Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council  
(File No. CK. 430-19)**

Attached, on a limited distribution basis, is a copy of the 1990 Annual Report of the City of Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council which is very informative and which contains various recommendations for future consideration by Council during future deliberations on identified subjects.

**A copy of this report will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 75**

Representatives of the Environmental Advisory Council will be at the Council meeting to answer any questions that may arise.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the information be received;*
  - 2) *that the matter of the Blue Box Program be referred to the Works and Utilities Committee for further report and update of information;*
  - 3) *that the matter of increased membership on the Environmental Advisory Council be referred to the Committee on Committees; and*
  - 4) *that the report be referred back to the Planning and Development Committee to bring forward any recommendations to be discussed and pursued further by Council.*

**REPORT NO. 35-1991 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
(DEALING WITH AUDIT MATTERS)**

Composition of Committee

Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C., Chairman  
Alderman P. Mostoway  
Alderman M. Thompson

**1. Final Report - Comprehensive Audit -  
Compensation (Job Evaluation/Salary Administration) Program  
(File No. CK. 1600-4)**

Report of the Manager, Audit Services Department, September 17, 1991:

"The City's Long Range Cyclical Comprehensive Audit Plan scheduled the comprehensive audit of the Compensation (Job Evaluation/Salary Administration) Program for 1990-91.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 76**

Attached is a copy of the Detail Report for the Committee's consideration.

**BACKGROUND**

The Compensation (Job Evaluation/Salary Administration) Program primarily:

- analyzes civic jobs in terms of duties, responsibilities and functions;
- confirms, changes or produces, together with department managers, job descriptions;
- evaluates jobs (i.e. determines their relative worth to the City); and
- places jobs in the appropriate salary grade within a salary structure.

The Program deals with exempt management, clerical and secretarial jobs and in-scope jobs. A point factor assessment system is used to evaluate exempt management jobs. It is applied by a committee of 5 managers which assigns points based on the degree to which 6 job factors (education, experience, job complexity, job impact, supervision and contact) are present within a job. Exempt clerical and secretarial jobs and most in-scope jobs are classified by Program staff using whole-job-ranking systems. These involve the comparison of a job description with either a set of standard job descriptions called classification specifications (for C.U.P.E. 59) or with other jobs within a union (for unions other than C.U.P.E. 59). Matching the job description's duties, responsibilities and qualifications results in a classification. Some in-scope jobs (e.g. fire department jobs) are classified using index systems (i.e. jobs are classified relative to one standard job).

The Program's overall objective is to ensure an accurate, fair, uniform and central classification and salary system that is competitive, within civic budgetary limits, in retaining existing staff and attracting other qualified staff.

**OVERVIEW**

The administration of the Compensation (Job Evaluation/Salary Administration) Program is difficult. It involves the determination of job grades which, because they represent salaries, generate vested interests and, at times, strong opinions from corporate staff. Compounding this are conflicts which must be considered in classifying jobs (i.e. internal relativity versus market salaries). We believe that Program staff are doing well in administering a difficult Program. The Program's operation compares favourably with

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 77**

that of other organizations contacted during the audit based on information received from other organizations. In our opinion, however, controls should be introduced to encourage Program staff to be more proactive in identifying jobs which require reassessment. Additionally, we believe Program staff should increase the amount of information managers are provided regarding the operation of the assessment system. Finally, it is our opinion that Program staff should more aggressively challenge the need for exceptional status for jobs (i.e. jobs which are classified at grades which differ from assessment point rating classifications).

The audit's recommendations and the responses of the Board of Administration follow. Asterisks (\*) highlight those that Audit Services considers to be the more significant items.

**AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS - EXEMPT MANAGEMENT**

**APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SYSTEM**

**1. Recommendation - Page 14 - 1.1 a)  
- Criteria for Selection of Assessment Committee Members -**

- That written criteria for the selection of managers to the position assessment committee be developed.
- That the criteria be approved by the City Commissioner.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Criteria for the selection of managers to the exempt position assessment committee will be formally documented.*

**\*2. Recommendation - Page 15 - 1.1 b)  
- Rotation of Assessment Committee Members -**

- That there be position assessment committee member rotation as follows:
  - 2 committee members rotated off every 3 years;
  - the longest serving members be rotated off first;
  - managers not be rotated back onto the committee for a minimum of one complete committee rotation (i.e. 6 years); and
  - new members attend committee meetings before they begin assessing jobs to develop an understanding of the application of the assessment system.

**Board of Administration Response**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 78**

*Management agrees in principle with the recommendation on rotation of membership to the exempt position assessment committee, but we have profound reservations about our ability to rotate off two committee members every three years and being able to fill their vacancies with people who meet the criteria to be established in (1) above. Further consideration must be given this recommendation subsequent to Action Plan above.*

**PROPER APPLICATION OF THE ASSESSMENT SYSTEM**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 26 - 1.3 d)**

**- Cyclical Reassessment and Downgrading -**

- That all exempt jobs be reassessed, using the exempt point factor assessment system, at least every 6 years and classified in accordance with their assessment point ratings unless exceptional status is warranted.
- That senior administration:
  - support the down-grading of positions as required, to the classification determined by a job's assessment point rating; and
  - develop proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents (e.g. freezing salaries through red circling; etc.).
- That the jobs not having been reviewed for the longest period of time be scheduled for reassessment first.

**Board of Administration Response**

*All exempt jobs will be assessed at least every six years and classified in accordance with their assessment point ratings unless exceptional status is warranted. The staff work load as a result of this recommendation will require monitoring. It is likely that this recommendation combined with others in this report will require the addition of one (1) wage and salary administrator.*

*Support downgrading positions and the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 79**

**\*2. Recommendation - Page 28 - 1.3 e)  
- Cyclical Provision of Position Analysis Questionnaires -**

- That, as a matter of policy:
  - managers (divisional directors or City Commissioner for their respective department head jobs) provide to Program staff a Position Analysis Questionnaire for each exempt job within their departments at least every 3 years;
  - the P.A.Q. continue to be completed by the job incumbent (supervisor, if applicable, or manager if there is no incumbent); and
  - the P.A.Q. continue to be reviewed and signed by the supervisor (as applicable) and manager (divisional director or City Commissioner for their respective department head jobs).
- That the degree to which P.A.Q.'s are provided as required be evaluated as part of a manager's annual performance review.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff can only report on the extent to which managers comply with providing P.A.Q.'s to the Personnel Services Department.*

**\*3. Recommendation - Page 23 - 1.3 b)  
- Determination of Exceptional Job Status -**

- That exceptional status for a job be determined using:
  - salary information from the Private or Public Sector, whichever has the lower average sector payline, subject to a job match and the availability of qualified applicants; and
  - data in addition to salary information (such as turnover statistics, the number of qualified applicants available for the job, where employees are hired from, information from exit interviews, etc.).
- That all substantiating data and a recommendation on the need for exceptional status be forwarded to the City Commissioner for a decision on the job's exceptional status.

**Board of Administration Response**

*The process for identifying the exceptional status of an exempt position requiring a market adjustment shall be used. The Director of Personnel Services has, however, been delegated the authority by the City Commissioner to make such a decision. With respect to jobs within the Personnel Services Department, a decision on*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 80**

*exceptional status will continue to be made by the City Commissioner.*

**\*4. Recommendation - Page 24-25 - 1.3 c)  
- Periodic Review of Exceptional Job Status -**

- That all exceptions be reviewed upon vacancy or at a minimum of every three years, by Program staff using substantiating data. Following the review, substantiating data and a recommendation on the need for continued exceptional status be forwarded to the City Commissioner for a decision on the job's exceptional status.
- That, when exceptional status is no longer warranted, the senior administration:
  - support the down-grading of an exception's classification to the level determined by its assessment point rating; and
  - develop proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents (e.g. freezing salaries through red circling; etc.).

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall review all exempt exceptions upon vacancy or at a minimum of every three years. The Director of Personnel Services has been delegated the authority by the City Commissioner to determine exceptional status.*

*Support downgrading positions and the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents.*

**5. Recommendation - Page 21 - 1.3 a)  
- Independent Appeal and Decision Review -**

- That the current appeal process, the rotation of P.A.C. members, the cyclical assessment of all exempt jobs and the provision of information to managers on job factors, all be used as compensating controls for the implementation of an independent appeal and decision review process.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Management shall continue to use the current appeal process, strive to rotate P.A.C. members, cyclically assess all exempt jobs and provide information to managers on job factors. Reservations re: cyclical assessment are expressed under Board of Administration response for Recommendations 1.3 d).*

**6. Recommendation - Page 29 - 1.3 f)  
- Assessment of Affected Jobs -**



**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 81**

- That all jobs which might be affected by the assessment of one job (through the reallocation of duties or responsibilities) continue to be assessed as warranted (i.e. if there is a change which might affect the application of a job factor).

**Board of Administration Response**

*As warranted, all jobs which might be affected by the assessment of one job will continue to be assessed.*

**MAINTENANCE OF THE ASSESSMENT SYSTEM**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 18 - 1.2 b)  
- Confirmation of Assessment System Job Factors -**

- That the applicability of the job factors used in the exempt staff assessment system, including possible changes for potential pay equity legislation, be confirmed using an external consultant.
- That the senior administration, in conjunction with an external consultant, confirm that the point weighting assigned to the factors still reflect the Corporation's priorities.
- That the application of any changed factors be done over the course of an assessment cycle for exempt jobs, discussed later in this section.
- That, if significant future salary savings are identified through the application of any changed factors, the assessment of all exempt jobs be accelerated.

**Board of Administration Response**

*The recommendation on hiring an external consultant to confirm the applicability of the job factors used in the exempt staff assessment system and for pay equity purposes, has real merit. The cost implications, however, of hiring such a consultant are potentially severe and any such decision must be approved by City Council. The Administration will develop a request for proposals to implement this recommendation to determine both a methodology and time frame as well as cost for conducting this review.*

*The entire complex issue of pay equity shall continue to be under review by the Administration.*

**2. Recommendation - Page 16 - 1.2 a)  
- Listing Other System Reviews -**

- That Program staff list the organizations and assessment systems they review, both

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 82**

those in use in other organizations or new systems available in the market.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall list the organizations and assessment systems reviewed.*

**ADEQUATE AND ACCURATE ASSESSMENT SYSTEM INPUTS**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 34 - 1.4 d)  
- Provision of Standard Job Evaluation Information -**

- That Program staff provide to all managers: a standard written definition of the job factors, as provided in the C.C.L.L. study (excluding point values); and a written explanation of how the exempt staff assessment system functions, including details on the appeal process.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff will provide to all department heads a standard written definition of the job factors, as provided in the C.C.L.L. study and a written explanation of how the exempt staff assessment system functions.*

**2. Recommendation - Page 31 - 1.4 a)  
- Use of Position Analysis Questionnaires in Evaluating Jobs -**

- That, as a matter of policy, managers (divisional directors or City Commissioner for their respective department head job descriptions) provide a Position Analysis Questionnaire to Program staff when managers (divisional directors or City Commissioner for their respective department head jobs) are developing, updating or confirming exempt job descriptions to be used for job assessment.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 83**

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff support the recommendation that P.A.Q.'s be updated when exempt job descriptions are being updated. A policy statement will be developed confirming this.*

**3. Recommendation - Page 32 - 1.4 b)  
- Substantiation of Unusual Job Requirements -**

- That, in instances where the Corporation requires unusual minimum job qualifications relative to outside organizations, Program staff provide to the position assessment committee, documentation on the qualification requirements for similar jobs in outside organizations and the Program staff's rationale for differing from the outside organizations.

**Board of Administration Response**

*To the extent that this recommendation is possible and when dealing with "apple and apple" comparisons, Program staff will provide to the assessment committee documentation on the qualification requirements for similar jobs in outside organizations.*

**4. Recommendation - Page 33 - 1.4 c)  
- Job Description Signatures -**

- That Program staff continue to provide the position assessment committee with appropriately signed job descriptions for assessment.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall continue to provide the position assessment committee with appropriately signed job descriptions for assessment.*

**MARKET DEFINITION AND CORPORATE SALARY TARGET**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 36 - 2.0  
- Confirmation of Corporation's Market and Salary Target -**

- That the senior administration confirm a definition of the Corporation's market to include:
  - the use of the lower of Public or Private Sector average paylines for

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 84**

- individual job classifications, subject to job matches and the availability of qualified candidates, to create a composite market (i.e. based on both Public and Private Sector data); and
- the continuation of an adjustment of the market depending on the ability to find job matches, for example Saskatoon - Saskatchewan - western Canada - Canada.
- That the senior administration confirm a corporate exempt salary target.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program management will recommend to the Board of Administration that it confirm the Corporation's market for exempt job wage determinations and that the Board confirm a corporate exempt salary target.*

**\*2. Recommendation - Page 37 - 2.1  
- Salary Data Collection -**

- That Program staff and the Personnel Services Department continue to collect salary data from both the Public and Private Sectors to compare the Corporation's payline and monitor salary levels for individual classifications as required.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall continue to collect both Public and Private Sector salary data.*

**POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

**1. Recommendation - Page 38 - 3.0  
- Documentation of Policies and Procedures -**

- That Program corporate and departmental policies and procedures be documented.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall commit to paper departmental procedures and those policies yet unwritten.*

**AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS - IN-SCOPE**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 40 - 1.1  
- Implementation of a Point Factor Assessment System -**

- That Program staff continue to pursue discussions with C.U.P.E. 59 with a view to agreeing to the implementation of a point factor system.
- That the system take into consideration, to the extent possible, the impact of potential pay equity legislation.

**Board of Administration Response**

*This is a matter subject to negotiations between the parties.*

**\*2. Recommendation - Page 42 - 1.2 a)  
- Classification Specification Review -**

- That Program staff continue to review the classification specifications used for C.U.P.E. 59.
- That the review involve the comparison of the corporate jobs, in terms of the duties, responsibilities and qualifications, with job matches in the Public and Private Sectors.
- That the classification specifications be rewritten accordingly.
- That the senior administration support in negotiations with the union:
  - the continued downgrading of jobs when reassessment due to rewritten job descriptions warrant; and
  - the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents (e.g. freezing salaries through red circling; etc.).

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall continue to review the specifications used for C.U.P.E. Local No. 59 and revise as required.*

*Support downgrading positions and the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 86**

**\*3. Recommendation - Page 45 - 1.2 c)**

**- Cyclical Provision of Position Analysis Questionnaires -**

- That, as a matter of policy:
  - with the exception of classification families (as determined by Program staff) which have individual positions with structured duties and responsibilities that do not vary from year to year, managers provide to Program staff a Position Analysis Questionnaire for each in-scope job within their departments at least every 3 years;
  - the P.A.Q. continue to be completed by the job incumbent (supervisor, if applicable, or manager if there is no incumbent); and
  - the P.A.Q. continue to be reviewed and signed by the supervisor (as applicable) and manager.
- That the degree to which P.A.Q.'s are provided as required be evaluated as part of a manager's annual performance review.
- That senior administration support in negotiations with the unions:
  - the downgrading of jobs when a reassessment resulting from receipt of a P.A.Q. warrants; and
  - the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents (e.g. freezing salaries through red circling; etc.).

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff will attempt to ensure that they have received P.A.Q.'s for all positions at least every three years. Some exceptions, of course, are required as stated in the recommendation. Program staff can only report on the extent to which managers comply.*

*Support downgrading positions and the development of proposals relating to the salaries of any incumbents.*

**4. Recommendation - Page 50 - 1.3 d)**

**- Provision of Assessment System Information -**

- That Program staff provide a written explanation of how the in-scope assessment system for a particular union operates to the relevant managers.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 87**

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall provide a written explanation of how the particular Union assessment system operates to relevant managers.*

**5. Recommendation - Page 49 - 1.3 c)  
- Assessment of Affected Jobs -**

- That all jobs which might be affected by the (re)assessment of one job (through the reallocation of duties or responsibilities) continue to be reassessed as warranted.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Management shall continue to ensure that all jobs which might be affected by the reassessment of one job will be reassessed as warranted.*

**6. Recommendation - Page 47 - 1.3 a)  
- Use of Position Analysis Questionnaire in Job Evaluations -**

- That, as a matter of policy, managers continue to provide a Position Analysis Questionnaire to Program staff when managers are developing, updating or confirming in-scope job descriptions which are to be used for job (re)assessment.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff support the recommendations that P.A.Q.'s continue to be updated for job assessment.*

**7. Recommendation - Page 51 - 2.0  
- Salary Data Collection -**

- That the Personnel Services Department and Program staff continue to collect salary data from both the Public and Private Sectors to compare the Corporation's payline and monitor salary levels for individual classifications as required.

**Board of Administration Response**

*The Personnel Services Department shall continue to collect salary data from both the Public and Private Sector for comparative purposes.*

**8. Recommendation - Page 48 - 1.3 b)  
- Job Description Signatures -**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 88**

- That Program staff continue (re)assessing in-scope jobs only when there are appropriately signed job descriptions.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Management shall continue to assess in-scope jobs only when there are appropriately signed job descriptions.*

**9. Recommendation - Page 44 - 1.2 b)  
- Appeal Process -**

- That the current appeal process for managers (i.e. back to the Program staff member making the original decision) remain in place for C.U.P.E. 59 (re)assessments.
- That management consider cross-training other staff in the application of the current in-scope (re)assessment process.

**Board of Administration Response**

*Appeal process remains in place. Cross-training of other staff in application of assessment process.*

**EXEMPT CLERICAL AND SECRETARIAL**

**1. Recommendation - Page 53 - 1.1  
- Assessment System Use -**

- That Program staff develop classification specifications for the exempt clerical and secretarial jobs.
- That when a point factor assessment system is negotiated for C.U.P.E. 59, consideration be given to grouping the exempt clerical and secretarial jobs with C.U.P.E. 59 clerical and secretarial jobs, keeping separate salary structures, to provide a larger group of jobs for comparing rating results in classifying the exempt jobs.



**Board of Administration Response**

*Program staff shall develop class specifications for exempt clerical and secretarial jobs.*

*The job evaluation process shall be kept separate from that of C.U.P.E. 59.*

**GENERAL OBSERVATION**

**\*1. Recommendation - Page 55**

**- Tracking Changes in Job Duties and Responsibilities -**

- That, as a matter of policy, directors review and sign Position Analysis Questionnaires for jobs where there is a major shift in duties or responsibilities due to a decreased workload or demand in the area of a job's primary duties or responsibilities.
- That, as a matter of policy, consideration be given to requiring all departments implement time accounting systems to track employee activities so that the time spent on activities can be accurately listed on the P.A.Q.'s.

**Board of Administration Response**

*The Board of Administration sees no cost benefit in implementing this recommendation and believes that current systems in place are adequate.*

**PAY EQUITY**

**\*1. Observations - Page 58**

**- Impact on the Corporation -**

- The implementation of negotiated gender-neutral evaluation systems for union jobs in advance of any provincial pay equity legislation would:
  - prevent the Corporation from having to negotiate such systems under a provincially imposed time frame or subject to possible arbitration on final systems; and
  - give the Corporation the opportunity to try to correct inappropriate union job classifications relative to assessment point ratings, which could save salary costs;
- There could be a financial impact on the Corporation in terms of higher salary costs. It is difficult to determine the size of the impact until there are job factor assessment systems in use for union jobs.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 90**

A copy of management's implementation plan can be found on page 59 of the Report. Audit Services would like to acknowledge the cooperation, support and patience of the Director of Personnel Services, the Board of Administration, the Employment and Compensation Manager and the Personnel Officer (Wage and Salary Administrator)."

Your Committee has reviewed with the Director of Personnel Services and representatives of the Audit Services Department the Detail and Final Comprehensive Audit Reports on the Compensation (Job Evaluation/Salary Administration) Program.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT NO. 36-1991 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C., Chairman  
Alderman P. Mostoway  
Alderman M. Thompson

**1. Bed and Breakfast Homes  
License Requirements  
(Files CK. 4350-1 and 300-1)**

At its meeting held on August 28, 1989, City Council approved Bed and Breakfast Homes being discretionary uses in all "R" districts. The question of licensing was referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

City Council, at its meeting held on October 9, 1990, adopted a recommendation of this Committee that no further action be taken with respect to requiring a license for Bed and Breakfast Homes at this time, and that this matter be reviewed by the Legislation and Finance Committee in one year's time.

Your Committee has considered this matter and submits the following

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 91**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that there be no requirement that Bed and Breakfast Homes be licensed.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Legislation and Finance Committee for further investigation.*

**2. Sketch Plan -- Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4131-11)**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 19.*

**3. Communications to Council  
From: Kathy Durbin, Chairperson  
1992 National Broomball Committee  
Date: September 12, 1991  
Subject: Requesting a subsidy or reduction for ice rentals  
during the 1992 Broomball Nationals  
(File No. CK. 1871-9)**

Attached is a copy of the above-noted letter which has been considered by the Committee.

Your Committee would note that Council has previously adopted a policy that rental rates for recreation facilities not be waived.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the request for a subsidy or reduction for ice rentals during the 1992 Broomball Nationals be denied; and
- 2) that the 1992 National Broomball Committee be invited to make application under the 1992 Community Initiatives Program.

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.13) of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.*

*IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the request for a subsidy or reduction for ice rentals during the 1992 Broomball Nationals be denied; and*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 92**

- 2) *that the 1992 National Broomball Committee be invited to make application under the 1992 Community Initiatives Program.*

Pursuant to motion by Alderman Mostoway, carried by a majority of members of Council, the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.

**4. Control or Ban of "Pit Bull" Dogs  
City of Saskatoon  
(File No. CK. 152-4)**

City Council, at its meeting held on July 2, 1991, referred Clause 2, Report No. 1-1991 of the Advisory Committee on Animal Control (copy attached) to the Legislation and Finance Committee for review and further report.

Your Committee has met with the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Animal Control and representatives of the College of Veterinary Medicine to discuss the feasibility of legislation to either control or ban "Pit Bull" dogs in the City. Attached are excerpts from the July 30 and August 13, 1991, Committee minutes outlining these discussions.

The Committee has also reviewed reports considered by the Councils of the Cities of Vancouver, Edmonton and Winnipeg prior to the passage of their bylaws on the control of "Pit Bull" dogs.

The Advisory Committee on Animal Control, in the attached report, states:

"Based upon the statistics in Saskatoon, the Committee does not believe that it can justify a recommendation to ban any specific breed at this time. The Committee will continue to review reported incidents to determine if further legislative action by Council is warranted.

The Committee believes that many incidents could be prevented by strong enforcement of leash laws, and closer supervision of dogs in the presence of children. The Committee also believes that the existing legislation controlling dangerous dogs contained in The Urban Municipality Act, 1984 is working reasonably well and provides an acceptable level of protection for the public."

Your Committee supports the position of the Advisory Committee on Animal Control in this regard, and submits the following

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 93**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that The City of Saskatoon not enact any legislation for the control or ban of "Pit Bull" dogs.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Legislation and Finance Committee for further consideration.*

**REPORT NO. 27-1991 OF THE WORKS AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Alderman B. Dyck, Chairman  
Alderman O. Mann  
Alderman P. Lorje  
Alderman M. Hawthorne

- 1. Sketch Plan - Southridge East and West Neighbourhoods  
Cairns Developers Ltd.  
(File No. CK. 4313-11)** \_\_\_\_\_

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 19.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 94**

**2. Project 785 - Water & Sewer Replacement/Rehabilitation (INFRA)  
(Files CK. 1703 & 7820-1)**

Report of City Engineer, August 28, 1991:

"The following sub-projects under Project 785 require changes in funds allocated due to changes in final cost estimates and the necessity of rehabilitation of the location specified:

1) 785.12 - 1990 (Sewer) 13th St. - Munroe/Wiggins

This project is not required as TV Camera inspection revealed that neither reconstruction nor lining is required at this point. This results in a return of \$55,840.25 to the Infrastructure Reserve.

2) 785.14 - 1990 (Sewer) 4th St. - Eastlake/Broadway

The initial proposal was to line the existing sewer main. Cleaning and camera work has revealed that complete replacement of the sewer and manholes is required. The water main installed two metres above the sewer must also be replaced.

Original Budget	\$61,000	
Revised Cost Estimate		\$136,300
Additional Funding Required		\$75,300

3) 785.16 - 1990 (Sewer) 109th Street - Central/Egbert

This replacement is complete. The estimated costs have been revised and are expected to be \$28,400 below the initial budget.

The net effect of the above changes is a return in the amount of \$8,940.25 to the Infrastructure Reserve."

Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the City Engineer and wishes to note that the above projects form part of a \$1.6 million capital project (No. 785) which is identified in the 1991 Capital Budget.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Project 785.12 (Rehabilitation of 13th Street Sanitary Sewer - Munroe Avenue to Wiggins Avenue) be cancelled and the funds returned to the Infrastructure Reserve; and
- 2) that the scope of Project 785.14 (Rehabilitation of 4th Street Sanitary Sewer - Eastlake to Broadway) be changed to Replacement of Water Main and Sanitary Sewer Main on 4th Street - Eastlake to Broadway and an additional \$75,300 be

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 95**

allocated from the Infrastructure Reserve.

*ADOPTED.*

**3. Application for Water Connection  
Sask Water East Treated Pipeline  
R.M. of Blucher - Water Station  
N.E. 3-35-1-W3M  
(File No. CK. 7781-2)**

Report of Manager, Water and Pollution Control Department, September 9, 1991:

"Attached is an application for connection to the Saskatchewan Water Corporation East treated line which has been received from the Rural Municipality of Blucher. The application is for a metered station which is to be located on a municipal right-of-way just outside the Village of Elstow.

The designated water uses are rural residential. The estimated consumption is 60,000 gallons/month. The Saskatchewan Water Corporation advises that the system is capable of supplying the requested volume and that the municipality will be required to construct a suitable storage reservoir to reduce peaking loads.

Similar applications were approved in 1988 and 1989 for coin-op water stations at the intersection of Warman Road and Highway 11 and Clavet, respectively."

Your Committee has met with representatives of the R.M. of Blucher to discuss this matter and

**RECOMMENDS:** that the application from the R.M. of Blucher, No. 343, for a connection to the Saskatchewan Water Corporation treated line east be approved, and that the Saskatchewan Water Corporation be so advised.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 96**

**REPORT NO. 10-1991 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL**

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman  
Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C.  
Alderman B. Dyck  
Alderman M. Hawthorne  
Alderman P. Lorje  
Alderman O. Mann  
Alderman P. Mostoway  
Alderman G. Penner  
Alderman P. Robertson  
Alderman M. Thompson  
Alderman K. Waygood

**1. Funding of Infrastructure Replacements  
(File No. CK. 4140-3)**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 5."*

*Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,  
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*



**UNEFINISHED BUSINESS**

- 4a) **HEARING**  
**Discretionary Use Application**  
**Proposed Commercial Parking Lot**  
**140 Idylwyld Drive South**  
**Lots 26-31 Inclusive, Plan No. Q7**  
**Early Seed & Feed Ltd.**  
**(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on August 12, 1991, received notice of the above discretionary use application.

The City Planner has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

The matter is also being reported on under Clause 1, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

Attached is a copy of letter dated September 26, 1991, from Rnold H. Smith, 524 2nd Avenue North, supporting the application."

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Clause 1, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission was brought forward and considered.*

*His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 98**

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the application from Early Seed & Feed Ltd. requesting permission to use Lots 26 to 31 inclusive, Plan No. Q7 (140 Idylwyld Drive South) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be APPROVED subject to the provision of landscaping as shown on Plan No. D10.91 dated August 1, 1991.*

*CARRIED.*

**4b) HEARING  
Discretionary Use Application  
Proposed Commercial Parking Lot  
816 Spadina Crescent East  
Part of Lots 13 & 14, Block 171, Plan Q3  
St. John's Cathedral  
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on August 12, 1991, received notice of the above discretionary use application.

The City Planner has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

The matter is also being reported on under Clause 2, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

Attached is a copy of letter dated September 24, 1991, from Morris P. Bodnar, Q.C., Bodnar & Wanhella, Argus Management Ltd., with respect to the matter."

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Clause 2, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 99**

*Commission was brought forward and considered.*

*His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the application from St. John's Cathedral requesting permission to use part of Lots 13 and 14, Block 171, Plan Q.3 (816 Spadina Crescent East) for the purpose of a commercial parking lot be APPROVED.*

*CARRIED.*

- 4c) HEARING**  
**Discretionary Use Application**  
**Proposed Day Care Centre**  
**202 Avenue C South**  
**Part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 & 40, Block 15, Plan No. CE**  
**Saskatoon Food Bank Inc.**  
**(File No. CK. 4355-1)**

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on September 9, 1991, received notice of the above discretionary use application.

The City Planner has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 100**

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

The matter is also being reported on under Clause 3, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

Attached is a copy of letter dated September 25, 1991, from Roland Hanson, Owner, Saskatoon Paint & Body Shop, 135 Avenue B South, objecting to the application."

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Clause 3, Report No. 18-1991 of the Municipal Planning Commission was brought forward and considered.*

*His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.*

*Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the submitted communications be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,*

*THAT the application from the Saskatoon Food Bank Inc. requesting permission to use part of Lots 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, Block 15, Plan No. CE (202 Avenue C South) for the purpose of a Day Care Centre be APPROVED.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 101**

**4d) HEARING  
Rezoning - Lots 13 and 14, Block 911, Plan No. 79-S-43600  
306 and 314 LaRonge Road  
(M.3A to M.3 District)  
Bylaw No. 7242  
(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"Attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on August 26, 1991, with respect to the above-noted matter, together with attachments referred to therein. Council resolved:

- 1) that the information be received;
- 2) that City Council consider an amendment to rezone Lots 13 and 14, Block 911, Plan No. 79-S-43600 (306 and 314 LaRonge Road) from an M.3A to an M.3 District;
- 3) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment; and
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw.'

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of September 14 and 21, 1991 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendment prior to its consideration of proposed Bylaw No. 7242, copy attached.

Also attached are copies of the following:

- Letter dated September 24, 1991, from Jack Smith, Property Manager, McClocklin Real Estate Corp., supporting the proposed bylaw.
- Letter dated September 30, 1991, from Jim McDonough, 128 - 420 Heritage Crescent, supporting the proposed bylaw.
- Letter dated October 2, 1991, from Walter Mah, President, North Ridge Development Corporation, advising that Mr. Lloyd Nestman will address Council."

*His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was anyone present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 102**

*Ms. Susan Delainey, President of the River Heights Community Association, indicated that the Association has no objection to the proposed rezoning from M.3.A to M.3.*

*Mr. Lloyd Nestman of Northridge Development Corporation addressed Council in support of the application.*

*Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Penner,*

*THAT the hearing be closed.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,*

*THAT the submitted correspondence be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mann,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7242.*

*CARRIED.*

**ENQUIRIES**

Alderman Robertson: Would the City Solicitor provide a report on the legal implications of the Store Hours question on the existing City Store Hour Bylaw should the vote be in the affirmative or the negative. I have requested that this report be provided at our next Council meeting. I think it's important that the people of Saskatoon understand the significance of the referendum vote on our present Store Hours Bylaw.

(File No. CK. 265-1 and 184-2)

*The City Solicitor verbally reported that if people vote in favour of the Bylaw, in other words if they vote yes to what is there, and if the Bylaw passes, within four weeks the new Council must then give third reading to the Bylaw that is there. The result is that there would be Sunday shopping for all stores which wanted to be open in the City of Saskatoon. If the Bylaw is defeated, then Council can leave the Bylaw the way it is which is that grocery stores, confectioneries and pharmacies are open, but other stores are closed.*

*Alderman Robertson indicated that the City Solicitor had adequately answered his enquiry*

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 103**

*and a formal report was not necessary.*

**MOTIONS**

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"Alderman Thompson gave the following Notice of Motion at the meeting of City Council held on September 9, 1991:

`As Council is aware, a recent policy amendment with respect to the financing of watermain replacements has created significant dissatisfaction amongst a large group of Saskatoon taxpayers. Indeed so much dissatisfaction that numerous taxpayers have organized to attempt resolution.

One group known as SCOUT has recently organized and has requested an opportunity to meet with a Committee of Council to discuss a potential resolution to what their members consider a great injustice.

The SCOUT organization has indicated a strong desire to discuss this issue and objectively work towards a fair and equitable resolution. Attempts to have the Works and Utilities Committee or the Administration formally meet with SCOUT have been denied by Council, at least initially.

TAKE NOTICE that at the meeting of City Council to be held on October 7, 1991, I will move the following motion:

"THAT the Legislation and Finance Committee meet at the earliest possible date with SCOUT to determine through discussion what if any resolution may exist to resolve this issue and bring forth recommendations to City Council."""

ALDERMAN THOMPSON WITHDREW HIS MOTION IN LIGHT OF THE RECOMMENDATION PUT FORWARD UNDER CLAUSE 1, REPORT NO. 10-1991 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL.

**GIVING NOTICE**

Alderman Dyck gave the following Notice of Motion:

"Whereas the people of Saskatoon have voted in favour of the Ward System for electing members to City Council, a position supported by a resolution of City Council itself, and

Whereas having 54 or 70 candidates seeking election, prevents the voters from making a

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 104**

totally informed choice and places the voting public in an impossible situation, and

Whereas the Ward System holds elected Councillors directly accountable and responsible to the people they represent, and

Whereas with so many candidates seeking election in the at large system, the voters have no appropriate forum in which to specifically and directly question the candidate on their qualifications and the issues, and

Whereas many quality candidates do not have the resources to campaign across the entire City, and

Whereas most cities in Canada, all rural municipalities in Saskatchewan, all MP's and M.L.A.'s are elected in the Ward system in terms of geographical boundaries,

THAT subsequent to the next Civic Election, Saskatoon City Council shall petition the Provincial Government to immediately restore the Ward System for the election of Councillors and that the procedure for such a petition be referred to A Committee of the Whole Council."

*Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Hawthorne,*

*THAT Notice of Motion be waived.*

*NOT CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.*



**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw No. 7242**

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7242, being "*A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'*", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7242 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7242.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7242 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 106**

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7242 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 7242 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 7248**

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7248, being "*A bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 7200 being, "The Traffic Bylaw"*", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 107**

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7248 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7248.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7248 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7248 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 108**

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 7248 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 7249**

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7249, being "*A bylaw of The City of Saskatoon pursuant to Subsection 249(3) of The Urban Municipality Act, 1984*", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7249 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7249.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 109**

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7249 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7249 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 7249 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991  
PAGE 110**

*Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Robertson,*

*THAT the meeting stand adjourned.*

*CARRIED.*

The meeting adjourned at 11:16 p.m.

---

Mayor

---

Acting City Clerk