

PUBLIC NOTES – JOINT MEETING

GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE WITH RM OF CORMAN PARK COUNCIL

Monday, April 18, 2016, 11:00 a.m. Wanuskewin Heritage Park

Governance and Priorities Committee

PRESENT:	His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
	Councillor R. Donauer
	Councillor D. Hill
	Councillor C. Clark
	Councillor A. Iwanchuk
	Councillor M. Loewen
	Councillor Z. Jeffries
	Councillor P. Lorje at 11:11 a.m.

Civic Administration PRESENT:

City Manager M. Totland City Solicitor P. Warwick CFO & General Manager, Asset & Financial Management K. Tarasoff General Manager, Community Services R. Grauer General Manager, Transportation & Utilities J. Jorgenson Project Manager, Regional Plan C. Gutmann Fire Chief M. Hackle City Clerk J. Sproule

RM of Corman Park

PRESENT:

Judy Harwood, Reeve Bas Froese-Kooijenga, Councillor, Division 6 Joanne Janzen , Councillor, Division 7 David Fox, Councillor, Division 3 Ken Beauchemin, Councillor, Division 5 Michelle Chuhaniuk, Councillor, Division 2 Wendy Trask – Councillor, Division 8 Adam Tittemore, Administrator Rebecca Row, Director of Planning and Development Barb Zelinsky, Assistant Administrator GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE WITH RM OF CORMAN PARK COUNCIL - PUBLIC April 18, 2016 Page 2

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 11:05 a.m.

2. CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

The agenda was amended to move the item dealing with P4G update to an In Camera session.

3. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS – MAYOR ATCHISON AND REEVE HARWOOD

Mayor Atchison and Reeve Harwood welcomed both Councils.

4. DISTRICT PLANNING UPDATE / PROPOSED LAND USE MAP AMENDMENTS AND TEXTUAL AMENDMENTS

The RM administration discussed process for making future land use map changes and changes for zoning for development in the area.

A copy of the map was provided in the agenda package.

Mr. Tittemore referred to the dark blue shaded areas of the map, noting:

- Industrial uses are consistent with the use in the area
- RM proposing to change to industrial standard and have corridors set up for roadway
- Corridors for future water/wastewater
- City of Saskatoon has concerns in future regarding service
- Mapping changes and textual changes require approval of RM and City of Saskatoon

Discussion and Questions followed:

- Category in the P4G map for the three locations would fit in the industrial category
- two parcels north and south of Beam Road near the lower parcel RM has suggested the white area be filled in with industrial but there have been concerns by the City that it would be too much industrial; suggestion was that City administration take this matter back and have another look;
- Parcel near the airport would be light industrial;
- Nature of disagreement land use? Future money?

5. UPDATE ON CITY'S GROWTH PLAN TO 500,000

General Manager, Community Services Grauer, provided a presentation, noting the plan was founded on a visioning process carried out in 2010. The plan has integrated land use planning, transportation planning and community services.

That key point – traditionally when the City would plan for growth, 80% of new population would be accommodated in suburban communities on the edges of the city and the target with the new plan is 50/50 (suburban/infill).

Mr. Grauer noted the City will be using new land as efficiently and effectively as it can. In the future, the City of Saskatoon will continue to need boundary adjustments to facilitate growth. When the City requests for boundary adjustment it is required and will use the infill area within the city first.

City Manager Totland discussed trunk sewer installations noting the planning horizon for construction of trunk sewers is 40 years.

6. COMMUNICATIONS – ESTABLISHMENT OF FUTURE WORKING GROUP

Mr. Tittemore used small examples of where it will be important to have a continued relationship with the City, particularly transportation planning (Victor Road example). Another example was connectivity – Boychuk and Highway 16 interchange. The RM wants to ensure it is building good communication as far as land use goes both administratively and with council. Working groups should also be set up administratively.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The public portion of the meeting adjourned at 12:15 and the meeting convened In Camera.