**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

 Date: March 3, 2017

 Location: Council Chambers

 Session: 1 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Asit Sarkar, Panel Chair

 Lois Lamon, Board Member

 June Bold, Board Member

 Joyce Fast, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The appellant was advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 144-2016**

**Civic Address: 339 Palliser Way**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 203109331, Unit 25**

**Roll No. 494210425**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Mr. Rishad Bismullah

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr. Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser

Ms. JoAnn Baraniecki, Assessment Appraiser

Grounds and Issues

As stated in the Notice of Appeal, the Appellant indicated he was not satisfied with the explanation provided by the Assessor regarding the assessed value of the subject property.

## Exhibits

Exhibit A.1 – Notice of Appeal from Mr. Rishad Bismullah, received December 8, 2017

Exhibit A.2 – Letter from Appellant in response to Secretary’s request for facts and grounds, received December 21, 2016

Exhibit B.1 – Letter dated December 13, 2016 to Appellant requesting facts and grounds

Exhibit R.1 – Assessment Report titled “Residential Property Ground Oriented Condominium Appeal Response” submitted by the City Assessor, received February 21, 2017

Supplementary Notations

The Assessor, Ms. JoAnn Baraniecki and Appellant, Mr. Rishad Bismullah were sworn in by the Chair of this Board of Revision.

This section should identify who took an oath or affirmed, i.e. *Mr. Smith affirmed that any evidence given in this hearing would be the truth.* Also record any “unusual” or “significant” happenings in the appeal hearing in this section.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated in the Record of Decision dated April 24, 2017, the Board of Revision found no error on the part of the Assessor. The appeal was denied and the assessment sustained.

The hearings concluded at 2:10 p.m.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearings held on March 3, 2017.

 Joyce Fast, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision