Council Chamber City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, July 6, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: Deputy Mayor McCann in the Chair;

Aldermen Mostoway, Thompson, Waygood, Birkmaier and Dyck;

City Commissioner Irwin;

Director of Planning and Development Pontikes;

Director of Works and Utilities Gustafson;

A/Director of Finance Veltkamp;

City Solicitor Dust;

A/City Clerk MacKeigan; Aldermanic Assistant Sproule

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting held on Monday, June 22, 1992, be approved.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Marlene Hall, Secretary Development Appeals Board, dated June 24

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing one-unit dwelling (with side yard encroachment) and existing detached garage (with rear yard encroachment) - 316 31st Street West. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

2) Marlene Hall, Secretary Development Appeals Board, dated June 24

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing one-unit dwelling (with front yard encroachment) - 435 - 7th Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

3) Marlene Hall, Secretary Development Appeals Board, dated June 24

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing freestanding sign - K & K Glass, 215 Idylwyld Drive North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

4) Marlene Hall, Secretary Development Appeals Board, dated June 24

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing one-unit dwelling (with front yard encroachment) - 1629 Prince of Wales Avenue. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Ed Marleau

Representing Rotarians of Saskatoon, dated June 29

Requesting permission to address Council re Rotary Park. (File No. CK. 4205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. Marleau be heard.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Mr. Marleau be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Ed Marleau, representing the Rotary Clubs of Saskatoon, briefly discussed the upgrading of Rotary Park in its 75th Anniversary year. He indicated that the Rotary's involvement in the project will be formally announced later this fall.

Mr. Marleau introduced his peers from other Rotary Clubs in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

6) Fraser Seely 19 Simpson Crescent, dated June 17

Commenting re snow removal and mosquito control. (Files CK. 4200-6 and 6290-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

7) Don Shkopich, President/General Manager Saskatchewan Storm, dated June 25

Requesting approval of Council to place "Game Day" signs on City property between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. on game days. (NOTE: SIGNS HAVE BEEN RETURNED TO THE STORM PENDING COUNCIL'S DECISION). (File No. CK. 4070-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

CARRIED.

8) R. J. Prankev, Secretary Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council, dated June 22

Commenting re conservation strategy. (File No. CK. 100-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

9) Tyra Boyd, Producer Fringe on Broadway, dated June 24

Requesting permission for an extension of time under the City's Noise Bylaw for the evening of Friday, July 31, 1992, until 2:00 a.m. for the Fringe on Broadway Opening Street Dance. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the Fringe on Broadway to extend the

time during which a street dance may be conducted on the evening of Friday, July 31, 1992, to 2:00 a.m. for their Opening Street Dance to

be held in the cul-de-sac at Broadway and 12th Street East.

Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT permission be granted to the Fringe on Broadway to extend the time during which a street dance may be conducted on the evening of Friday, July 31, 1992, to 2:00 a.m. for their Opening Street Dance to be held in the cul-de-sac at Broadway and 12th Street East.

CARRIED.

10) A. Owen Maguire Chief of Police, dated June 25

Advising Council of representative on the Race Relations Committee. (File No. CK. 225-40)

RECOMMENDATION: that the Chief of Police or his designate be appointed to the Race Relations Committee.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the Chief of Police or his designate be appointed to the Race Relations Committee.

CARRIED.

11) Mrs. Marilyn Johannsen 607 1310 - 20th Street West, dated June 27

Requesting permission to address Council re change of colors of the Special Needs Transportation Buses. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mrs. Johannsen be heard.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Mrs. Johannsen be heard.

CARRIED.

Mrs. Marilyn Johannsen indicated to Council that because of her disability her friend would read her prepared brief.

Concern was expressed with respect to the colours for new special needs transportation buses for the disabled in Saskatoon. It was noted that in January, 1993, the SAC colours will be changed. The City's transit buses are cream and green and therefore SAC buses should also be cream and green.

Mrs. Johannsen asked that she and her friends with disabilities be allowed the dignity and pride to ride on buses the same colors as those of the City of Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Works and Utilities Committee.

CARRIED.

12) Ralph Wushke, Executive Director AIDS Saskatoon, dated June 23

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of October 5 - 11, 1992 as AIDS Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of October 5 - 11, 1992 as AIDS Awareness Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of October 5 - 11, 1992 as AIDS Awareness Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

13) Andrey Federoff, President Community Radio Society of Saskatoon, dated June 19

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of September 21 - 27, 1992 as Community Radio Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of September 21 - 27, 1992 as Community Radio Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of September 21 - 27, 1992 as Community Radio Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

14) Jacob A. Nickel, President Saskatoon Toastmaster, Club #450, dated June 29

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of October 19 - 24, 1992 as Toastmasters Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of October 19 - 24, 1992, as Toastmasters Week.

Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of October 19 - 24, 1992 as Toastmasters Week.

CARRIED.

15) W. J. Hewitt Fire Chief, dated June 30

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of July 12 - 18, 1992 as "Bicycle Helmet Safety Week". (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of July 12 - 18, 1992, as Bicycle Helmet Safety Week.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim the week of July 12 - 18, 1992, as Bicycle Helmet Safety Week.

CARRIED.

16) Rusty Chartier 1245 Avenue O South, dated July 2

Suggesting the City consider implementing a public relations position. (File No. CK. 365-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Personnel and Organization Committee.

CARRIED.

17) Barb Engel, Chairperson National Family Week Planning Committee, dated June 30

Requesting Council to proclaim October 5 - 11, 1992, as National Family Week in Saskatoon. (Covering letter and participation survey was previously referred to the Administration by City Council). (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim October 5 - 11, 1992 as National Family Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim October 5 - 11, 1992, as National Family Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

18) Robert B. Beavis Sherbrooke Equities Ltd., dated July 2

Commenting re down zoning of property located at 126/128 Avenue P South. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered with Clause 6, Report No. 15-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 6, Report No. 15-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

CARRIED.

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) The Honourable Ed Tchorzewski

Deputy Premier/Minister of Finance, dated June 19

Advising Council that the Provincial Government is undertaking the necessary steps to ensure that timely budgeted payments to individuals and third parties can be made in July. Referred to the Director of Finance. (File No. CK. 1860-1)

2) J. A. G. (Jim) Travis 1406 Cairns Avenue, dated June 22

Suggesting that the City take action with respect to the lack of building numbering. Referred to the Administration. (File No. CK. 500-1)

3) Alderman Ted Cholod, President SUMA, dated June 27

Requesting a response re changes to the draft wards Bills. Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee. (Files CK. 155-3 and 265-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Alderman Birkmaier rose on a point of privilege and commended the Saskatchewan Jazz Festival for an outstanding festival put on over the past few days.

REPORTS

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman, submitted Report No. 7-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 16-1992 of the City Commissioner;

Alderman Waygood, Chairman, presented Report No. 15-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman Waygood, Chairman, presented Report No. 16-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman Thompson, Member, presented Report No. 15-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;

Alderman Dyck, Member, presented Report No. 13-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 7-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission;
- *Report No. 16-1992 of the City Commissioner;*
- *c)* Report No. 15-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

- *d)* Report No. 16-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;
- e) Report No. 15-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee; and
- *f)* Report No. 13-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee.

CARRIED.

His Worship Deputy Mayor McCann appointed Alderman Mostoway as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"REPORT NO. 7-1992 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Committee

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman

Mr. Jim Kozmyk

Alderman K. Waygood

Mr. J. Wolfe

Mr. Al Selinger

Mr. Glen Grismer

Mr. Bill Delainey

Ms. Fran Alexson

Mr. Victor Pizzey

D. H.O. I. 1:

Dr. H.O. Langlois

Mr. Brian Noonan

Ms. Lina Eidem

1. Proposed Development Plan Amendment Section 17 D.C.D.2 Guidelines Lots 1-16 incl., Blocks 1-4 incl. Plan G679

Lots 1-14 incl., Block 5, Plan G679
Stanley Place, Minto Place, Aberdeen Place,
Grey Place, Connaught Place
Applicant: City of Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 4110-3)

An application has been made by the City of Saskatoon to amend Direct Control District No. 2 in the City of Saskatoon Development Plan. This amendment would make a provision to allow existing residents to use their property to the full extent provided under normal R.2 Zoning District Provisions.

The way D.C.D.2 is presently worded, any sort of addition or improvement to these existing dwelling units is prohibited. At present, these dwellings are deemed legal non-conforming. Realizing that it may take several years for these culs-de-sac to be redeveloped in a manner which is consistent with the D.C.D.2 guidelines, it is believed to be appropriate to allow the residents full use of their present property as R.2 property.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Planning Department dated June 5, 1992 on this proposed amendment to the Development Plan, which contains the following recommendations:

- "1) That City Council be asked to approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan text in order to allow existing residents to use their property to the fullest extent under R.2 Zoning District provisions;
- 2) That the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) That the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaw; and
- 4) That at the time of the public hearing, Council be asked to consider the Commission's recommendation that the proposed Development Plan Amendment be approved."

The Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed this matter and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- i) Being redevelopment of these culs-de-sac will not take place for several years, it would be appropriate to allow the residents full use of their present property as R.2 property; and
- ii) This proposal will allow for the improvement of these properties, rather than

allowing for further deterioration.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan text in order to allow existing residents to use their property to the fullest extent under R.2 Zoning District provisions;
- 2) that the A/City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaw; and
- 4) that this report be brought forward at the time of the public hearing, and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that this proposed Development Plan Amendment be APPROVED.

ADOPTED.

2. Rezoning Application
I.D.1 & B.6 Districts to M.3(H) District
417, 435 Avenue A South
414 Avenue B South
430, 502 Avenue C South
Applicant: City of Saskatoon
(File No. CK, 3451-1)

An application has been initiated by the City of Saskatoon to rezone the A.L. Cole Power Plant and Pumphouse site, the Apparatus Repair Shop site (south half of Block 31) the Transformer Station, the former City Electrical Yards, and the associated riverbank properties from an I.D.2 & B.6 District to an M.3(H) District.

The subject lands are designated for "Residential" land use in the City's Development Plan, but are zoned I.D.2 Industrial District and B.6 Commercial District in the Zoning Bylaw. This anomaly is to be resolved by rezoning the land to an M.3(H) District, which is compatible with the Development Plan designation.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Planning Department dated June 3, 1992 on this proposed

rezoning application, which contains the following recommendations:

- "1) That City Council be asked to approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone the lands on Map No. Z6.92, dated June 2, 1992 from an I.D.2 and B.6 District to an M.3(H) District;
- 2) That the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) That the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) That at the time of the public hearing Council be asked to consider the Commission's recommendation that Bylaw No. 6912 be rescinded, and that the rezoning be approved."

The Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed this matter and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- i) This proposal will make the Zoning designation for this area compatible with the City's Development Plan;
- ii) The M.3 District permits uses which would be complementary to the area and to the riverbank location; and
- iii) The M.3 District is used along the riverbank throughout most of the downtown area, and this proposal would allow a continuation of this zoning designation.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone the lands outlined on attached Map No. Z6.92, dated June 2, 1992 from an I.D.2 and B.6 District to an M.3(H) District;
- 2) that the A/City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that this report be brought forward at the time of the public hearing, and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that Bylaw No. 6912 be rescinded, and that

the rezoning application be APPROVED.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 16-1992 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER

Section A - Works and Utilities

A1) Enquiry - Alderman Cherneskey (May 11, 1992) Inoperable Vehicles on Private Property (File No. CC 4400-1)

"Would the Administration report with respect to the City's ability to regulate and control storage of inoperable vehicles on private properties in the City. I believe Regina has a bylaw in that regard."

Report of the City Engineer, June 22, 1992:

"At the present time, junked or inoperable vehicles are handled under Bylaw #3531, Untidy & Unsightly Premises, and Section 132 of <u>The Urban Municipality Act</u>, 1984.

The guidelines used by the Bylaw Inspectors to determine whether a vehicle is a junked vehicle are as follows:

- 1) unlicensed
- 2) flat or no tires
- 3) shattered or broken windshields
- 4) excessive body damage or rust.

If a vehicle fits into one or more of the above categories, the Bylaw Inspector may determine the vehicle to be a junked vehicle and begin proceedings for its removal. If the vehicle is covered with a tarp or some other suitable cover, there is generally no action initiated.

The procedure for dealing with junked vehicles is as follows:

- 1) Initial 'Notice of Bylaw Violation' is issued allowing 7 to 10 days for compliance.
- 2) A follow-up letter is sent to the owner/resident allowing a further 10 days for removal.

- The 'Order of the City Engineer' is sent by registered letter notifying the owner/resident that if the situation is not remedied the matter will be referred to City Council.
- 4) A final advisory letter is sent to the owner/resident indicating when the matter will go before City Council and that the owner/resident may advise the City Clerk if he plans to make a presentation before Council on his behalf.
- 5) City Council may authorize that the remedial measures be undertaken by the City and the cost of so doing be added to the owner's taxes.

It should be noted that most cases are resolved in the early stages of the procedure by violators complying with the notice."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that consideration of the matter be deferred until the first meeting in September, 1992.

A2) Untidy and Unsightly Premises at 1307 Alexandra Avenue
Lot 1, Block 27, Plan F21
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
(File No. CC 4400-1)

Report of the City Engineer, June 23, 1992:

"In mid-January 1992, the Engineering Department received a complaint regarding the condition of the property at the above address. The property was found to be littered with junk, debris, broken windows, old furniture, car parts and a junked vehicle. On January 17, 1992, a 'Notice of Bylaw Violation' was issued to the owner/resident of the property, Mr. Walter Swystun, to clean up the property.

The property was reinspected on February 25, 1992, and conditions had not improved. On February 26, 1992, the problem was again drawn to the attention of Mr. Swystun by letter. The conditions had not improved by early April. On April 14, 1992, 'Order of the City Engineer', delivered by registered letter, declared the property described as Lot 1, Block 27, Plan F21 (1307 Alexandra Avenue), in the City of Saskatoon as untidy and unsightly. To date the problem has not been cleared up.

Note: Pictures indicating conditions throughout the above process can be viewed in the City Clerk's office.

It is therefore proposed that the required remedial work be undertaken by the City at the owner's expense in accordance with the provisions of Section 130 of <u>The Urban Municipality Act</u>, 1984."

RECOMMENDATION:

that City Council authorize that the work to remedy the untidy and unsightly condition at 1307 Alexandra Avenue be done by the City of Saskatoon and the cost of so doing be added to, and form part of, the taxes on the land and buildings.

ADOPTED.

A3) Tender for the Design and Supply of Tapered Tubular Steel Structures for 138 kV Transmission Line (File No. CC 1000-2)

Report of the Manager, Electrical Distribution Department, June 30, 1992:

"Central Purchasing and Stores Department called for tenders to design and supply forty-one (41) tapered tubular steel structures for Capital Project #726, Electrical Feeders - 138kV - Conversion of 72kV Loop. The existing overhead 72kV loop around the City is being replaced and converted to 138kV to meet the requirements for electrical power in the City of Saskatoon in a reliable and efficient manner. These structures are for the section along Circle Drive from the Queen Elizabeth Power Plant to the Edmonton Avenue Substation. The remaining sections of the transmission line will be replaced and upgraded over a period extending to 1998. (Note that this is not the relocation of 138kV lines from Queen Elizabeth Power Station to the Avenue C Substation.)

The tenders were opened publicly on June 17, 1992. Four tenders were received. Two of the four tenders did not include a consent of surety as required by the instructions to bidders, and thus were rejected and returned to the bidders. Tabulation of the valid tenders including options is as follows:

| | BASE BID | OPTION | |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|---------------|
| Firm | Forty-one Structures | Mount Permanent Ladders to Structures | Total |
| Bren Maur | \$ 475,145.16 | \$ 14,613.00 | \$ 489,758.16 |

| Valmount | \$ 637,525.00 | \$63,807.70 | \$701,332.70 |
|----------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | |

Note: All prices shown include G.S.T. and P.S.T.

The option noted in the above table is to provide permanent ladders on the structures to facilitate construction and future maintenance. Our current bucket trucks are not long enough to reach the cable hardware on these structures. The low tender, including the optional ladders, is acceptable and is within the Electrical Distribution Department's estimate of \$460,000.

The following tabulates the applicable taxes and tax rebate:

| | Structures | Ladders | Total |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | | | |
| Base Tender | \$ 413,169.70 | \$ 12,707.00 | \$ 425,876.70 |
| E & H Tax (8%) | 33,053.58 | 1,016.56 | 34,070.14 |
| G.S.T. (7%) | _28,921.88 | 889.49 | _29,811.37 |
| Contact Amount | \$ 475,145.16 | \$ 14,613.05 | \$ 489,758.21 |
| Less G.S.T. Tax Credit | _28,921.88 | 889.49 | _29,811.37 |
| Net Estimated Cost to the City | \$ 446,223.28 | \$ 13,723.56 | \$459,946.84 |

The upgrade of the transmission line is being coordinated with the reconstruction of the intersection of Circle Drive and 11th Street. The Electrical Distribution Department had requested an August 15, 1992, delivery on the two structures that are necessary to permit the Engineering Department to complete their roadwork at this location. Bren Maur Power Line Supply Co. Ltd. best delivery for the two structures is August 30, 1992, provided they receive an order by July 9, 1992. This delivery would be acceptable."

RECOMMENDATION:

that City Council accept the tender submitted by Bren Maur Power Line Supply Co. Ltd. for the design and supply of forty-one tapered tubular steel structures at a total contract cost of \$489,758.21, which includes the base tender, optional permanent ladders, G.S.T. and P.S.T.

IT WAS RESOLVED: a) that City Council accept the tender submitted by Bren Maur Power Line Supply Co. Ltd. for the design and supply of forty-one tapered tubular steel structures at a total contract cost of \$489,758.21, which includes the base tender, optional permanent ladders, G.S.T. and P.S.T.; and

b) that the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents under the Corporate Seal as prepared by the City Solicitor.

Section B - Planning and Development

| B1) | Revision of Building Bylaw No. 5198 |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| | (File No. CC 185-1) |
| | , |

Report of the City Solicitor, June 25, 1992:

"The enactment of <u>The Uniform Building and Accessibility Standards Act</u> of the Province of Saskatchewan and the enactment on March 31, 1992 of the Regulations under <u>The Uniform Building and Accessibility Standards Act</u> had the effect that the City's current Building Bylaw was superceded. Municipalities who wish to still have a building bylaw are required to enact a new building bylaw which must be approved by the Province under the provisions of <u>The Uniform Building and Accessibility Standards Act</u>.

The Building Standards Branch [of the Planning Department] still requires the retention of many of the provisions of the current Building Bylaw including those dealing with building permit applications and building permit fees. We therefore have prepared Bylaw No. 7306 as the new Building Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon which still retains the required provisions but does not retain the provisions that have been made redundant by or have been superceded by The Uniform Building and Accessibility Standards Act and Regulations made under that Act."

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No. 7306, at this meeting.

ADOPTED.

B2) Rotary Park
Program Plan
Donation from Five Saskatoon Rotary Clubs
(File No. CC 4200-1)

Report of the Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, June 25, 1992:

"As part of the process of reviewing the redevelopment and enhancement of parks along Saskatoon's riverbank, the Leisure Services Department initiated, in 1992, the preparation of a

program plan for Rotary Park. This Park is located adjacent to Saskatchewan Crescent East, between the Idylwyld and Victoria Bridges. (This program plan is one of several which has been, or is being, prepared for City-wide parks in Saskatoon.)

The Rotary Park Program Plan is being prepared by the Leisure Services Department, with assistance from the Meewasin Valley Authority. The Authority is financing the overall cost of preparing this Plan.

The Leisure Services Department has been advised that a delegation, representing Saskatoon's five Rotary Clubs, will be attending City Council's July 6, 1992, meeting. At that time, these Clubs will announce a commitment to provide financial support towards the development of certain enhancements within Rotary Park. These enhancements will be undertaken in response to the Park's forthcoming program plan. Therefore, this report will update City Council on the current status of the Rotary Park Program Plan and on how the Rotary Clubs' financial contribution relates to the overall process of re-examining the use of, and the amenities within, this Park.

To date, the Leisure Services Department has held a meeting with the general public and with various special-interest groups to obtain their comments on the present and future use(s) of Rotary Park. In addition, the Department has been reviewing previous studies and position papers on this area. Other civic departments have been given an opportunity to identify the physical and programming constraints and limitations which presently exist in Rotary Park and its surrounding area. A draft of the Rotary Park Program Plan will be provided, in early September, to the participating stakeholders and to the general public for their review and comments. If necessary after these comments have been received, revisions will be made to this document. The revised Program Plan, as endorsed by the participants during the consultation process, will be submitted, in October of this year, to City Council (as the landowner of Rotary Park) for approval.

The Rotary Park Program Plan will establish the foundation upon which a site-development plan will be prepared and upon which any future upgrading and enhancement projects will occur in this Park. (The site-development plan will include a conceptual drawing that illustrates the Park's future programming opportunities.) The Meewasin Valley Authority will be the lead agency in preparing the site-development plan. This activity is expected to commence immediately after the program plan has been approved.

Following the approval of the site-development plan, Saskatoon's Rotary Clubs will designate their funding towards specific programming amenities (e.g. picnic sites, benches, etc.) in Rotary Park. The Clubs have informed the Leisure Services Department that they are committed to working within the Department's program-planning process in order to ensure that their financial contribution is directed to those specific amenities and priorities that are supported by the general public."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: a) that the information be received; and

b) that the matter be referred to the Leisure Services Advisory Board for input.

B3) Application For Registration of Condominium Plan 306 - 314 LaRonge Road
Lots 13 and 14, Block 911, Plan 79-S-43600
(File No. CC 4132-1)

Report of the Acting General Manager, Planning Department, June 26, 1992:

"An application for registration of a condominium plan involving a development on Lots 13 and 14, Block 911, Plan 79-S-43600 (306 - 314 LaRonge Road) has been received from Webster Surveys Limited on behalf of the owner, Northridge Development Corporation. The proposal is for a townhouse development which contains 29 dwelling units in 15 buildings.

The proposal complies with <u>The Zoning Bylaw</u> in all respects. Off-street parking, in accordance with the requirements of this Bylaw, has been provided on-site.

The Building Standards Branch of the Planning Department has examined the proposed development and has no objections to this application, providing that the construction is completed in accordance with Building Permit Nos. 127/92 to 141/92, inclusive. The construction plans, together with the requisite survey plans, have been forwarded to the City Clerk's Department for viewing by members of City Council, if required.

The Planning Department therefore advises that:

- a) separate occupancy of the units will not contravene The Zoning Bylaw;
- b) the approval which is required under <u>The Zoning Bylaw</u> has been given in relation to the separate occupancy of the units; and,
- c) the buildings and the division of the buildings into units of separate occupancy, as shown on the plans which have been submitted and as constructed, will not interfere with the existing, or the likely future, amenities of the neighbourhood."

1)

RECOMMENDATION:

- that City Council authorize the issuance of the certificate required under Section 8(1)(b) of <u>The Condominium Property Act</u> to The Summitt Condominium Ltd. (Bay 17 2220 Northridge Drive, Saskatoon, S7L 6X7); and,
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to prepare and to forward the certificate to the applicant.

ADOPTED.

B4) Application For Registration of Condominium Conversion 502 - 506 Tait Crescent RM4 Zoning District (File No. CC 4132-1)

Report of the Acting General Manager, Planning Department, June 26, 1992:

"During its February 3, 1992, meeting, City Council approved, in principle, an application for the registration of a condominium conversion at 502 - 506 Tait Crescent. However, final approval was withheld until certain work was undertaken on the structure to satisfy the requirements of the Building Code.

A report has now been received from Ken Wilson, Architect Ltd. indicating that the deficiencies (which had been identified during the previous inspections) have been corrected. The Building Standards Branch of the Planning Department has reviewed this matter and has no objections to the proposed condominium conversion at 502 - 506 Tait Crescent.

For City Council's information, 502 - 506 Tait Crescent is a three-storey building containing 16 dwelling units (12 two-bedroom units and 4 three-bedroom units). The development complies with the provisions of <u>The Zoning Bylaw</u>. Parking has been provided on-site in accordance with this Bylaw."

RECOMMENDATION:

- that City Council authorize the issuance of the certificate required under Section 8(1)(b) of <u>The Condominium Property Act</u> to Catering Corporation of Canada Ltd. (102 502 Tait Crescent, Saskatoon, S7H 5L2); and,
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to prepare and to forward the certificate to the applicant.

ADOPTED.

B5) Subdivision Application #15/92 408 - 110th Street East For Information Only (File No. CC 4300-2)

Subdivision Application: #15/92

Applicant: Robert Fulford

Legal Description: Lot 5, Block 20, Plan G104 Location: 408 - 110th Street East

Current Zoning: R.2 Date Received: June 22, 1992

The Acting General Manager of the Planning Department has received the above-noted application for subdivision which is being processed pursuant to the Subdivision Regulations and which will subsequently be submitted to City Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B6) Subdivision Application #16/92 Saskatoon Airport For Information Only (File No. CC 4300-2)

Subdivision Application: #16/92

Applicant: Depmar Flight Holdings Inc.

Legal Description: Part Parcel A, Plan 73-S-24023 and Parcel 26, Plan 88-S-27521

Location: Saskatoon Airport

Current Zoning: A.G. Date Received: June 25, 1992

The Acting General Manager of the Planning Department has received the above-noted application for subdivision which is being processed pursuant to the Subdivision Regulations and which will subsequently be submitted to City Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Section C - Finance

C1) Tax Write-Offs
List #2
(File No. CC 1985-4)

Report of the City Treasurer, June 23, 1992:

"Attached is a list of properties indicating tax adjustments totalling \$7,473.22, for which tax write-off approval is requested by City Council."

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve of the write-off for the reasons detailed in

the list prepared by the City Treasurer, dated June 22, 1992.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that City Council approve of the write-off for the reasons detailed in the list prepared by the City Treasurer,

dated June 22, 1992; and

2) that a copy of this report be referred to the Economic Development Board.

Section D - Services

D1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council

| SUBJECT | FROM | TO |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Schedule of Accounts Paid \$1,452,338.57 (File No. CC 1530-2) | June 16, 1992 | June 23, 1992 |
| Schedule of Accounts Paid \$1,936,408.29 (File No. CC 1530-2) | June 23, 1992 | June 25, 1992 |
| Schedule of Accounts Paid \$4,628,662.12 (File No. CC 1530-2) | June 23, 1992 | June 30, 1992 |

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 15-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman K. Waygood, Chairman Alderman G. Penner Alderman P. McCann

1. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing
123 Albert Avenue
Ernie and Sue McCullough
(File No. CK. 4352-1)

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated June 11, 1992, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board granted the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated June 16, 1992, together with a report of the City Planner dated June 16, 1992.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

RECOMMENDS: that the above decision of the Development Appeals Board not be appealed to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board.

ADOPTED.

2. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing Mr. Allan Hjelte of Beerling & Co. 826 - 6th Avenue North (File No. CK. 4352-1)

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated June 11, 1992, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board granted the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated June 17, 1992, together with a report of the City Planner dated June 17, 1992.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

RECOMMENDS: that the above decision of the Development Appeals Board not be appealed to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board.

ADOPTED.

3. Decision - Development Appeals Board Hearing 619 Heritage Lane Mr. Allan Duddridge, Klypak Duddridge Architects (File No. CK. 4352-1)

Attached is a copy of Record of Decision of the Development Appeals Board dated June 11, 1992, respecting the above appeal.

Council will note that the Board granted the appeal.

Pursuant to Council policy in such matters, the Director of Planning and Development is to report to the Planning and Development Committee with recommendations.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Director of Planning and Development dated June 17, 1992, together with a report of the City Planner dated June 17, 1992.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and

RECOMMENDS: that the above decision of the Development Appeals Board not be appealed to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board.

ADOPTED.

4. Enquiry - Alderman Birkmaier (February 3, 1992)
Policy on Innovative Housing Incentives
Re: Amendment Approved June 17, 1991
Financing Through Property Tax Exemptions
(Files CK, 750-1 and 1965-1)

The following enquiry was made by Alderman Birkmaier at the meeting of City Council which was held on February 3, 1992:

"Would the Planning and Development Committee please review the City's policy on Innovative Housing Incentives re: amendment approved June 17, 1991 - financing through property tax exemption."

Your Committee is of the opinion that social housing is a project that City Council should continue to endorse in order to protect and enhance the lives of people in this community needing this kind of housing, and therefore an annual minimum balance should be maintained in the Social Housing Reserve. Your Committee is further of the opinion that the minimum balance should be \$500,000.

The most obvious source of funds for maintaining a Social Housing Reserve is from taxation. However, continuing pressure on the mill rate due to reductions in Provincial funding, reductions in other sources of revenue and increased costs to maintain existing programs, greatly limits the ability to introduce new funding methods for capital programs not previously funded from this source. Therefore, if sources other than taxation are possible and appropriate, those should be considered.

Excerpt from report of the City Comptroller, June 12, 1992:

"As part of its commitment to a policy of land banking, the City has transferred all proceeds from the sale of its property purchased for resale to the Property Realized Reserve. By policy, this reserve is used exclusively for the purchase of other properties for resale or for

the preparation of such properties for sale (other than servicing). In the foreseeable future, this reserve contains sufficient funding for the intended purposes and it may, therefore, not be unreasonable to extend the purpose of that reserve to a related expenditure - Social Housing. Based on an estimate of annual property sales, (residential, commercial and industrial), a distribution of 10% of the land component of such sales could generate \$75,000 annually for the Social Housing Reserve. Because of the relative uncertainty of the demand for withdrawals from the Reserve, an annual contribution of \$75,000 might be an appropriate initial allocation to the Reserve based on the current balance of \$1,377,000 and the desired minimum balance of \$500,000. Although the actual 10% contribution will vary, the anticipation of an average contribution of \$75,000, would certainly defer any short term funding crisis. Annual reviews of the reserve would confirm the adequacy of the contribution."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that 10 percent of the value of the land component from annual sales of residential, commercial and industrial land be transferred to the Social Housing Reserve for the purpose of financing social housing projects in accordance with the City's Innovative Housing Incentives Policy; and
- 2) that the appropriate City policies be amended accordingly.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)

- that 10 percent of the value of the land component from annual sales of residential, commercial and industrial land be transferred to the Social Housing Reserve for the purpose of financing social housing projects in accordance with the City's Innovative Housing Incentives Policy;
- 2) that the appropriate City policies be amended accordingly; and
- *that this policy be reviewed in January, 1994.*
- 5. Appropriate Zoning
 Avenue U Between 21st and 22nd Street
 Core Neighbourhood Study Review
 Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood
 (File No. CK. 4353-1)

On March 30, 1992, City Council approved several bylaws which pertain to the rezoning of various properties within the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood. One of these bylaws (Bylaw No. 7275) involved the rezoning of certain properties from R.4 District to R.2 District. (Map No. 1 is attached and identifies the properties which are affected by this Bylaw.) Even though these changes were approved, City Council also resolved:

"that the matter of Avenue U between 21st and 22nd Streets and the appropriate zoning thereon, be referred to the Planning and Development Committee for an early report."

The reason for this resolution is that, during the public hearing on Bylaw No. 7275, the attached March 26, 1992, letter from E. Rand Burlingham of Burlingham and Company was considered by City Council. This letter expressed the concerns of Mr. Frank Iula who owns the property at 137 Avenue U South and who purchased this property with the intention of constructing, at an unspecified time in the future, a twelve-unit apartment.

The Acting City Planner has submitted the following comments:

- "1. The properties situated on the east side of Avenue U, between 21st and 22nd Streets, consist of apartments (at 102 and 104), single-unit dwellings (at 110, 114, 120, 124, 128, and 130), and an apartment (at 136). The properties at 110 to 130, inclusive, were rezoned under Bylaw No. 7275 from R.4 District to R.2 District, in recognition of the six consecutive one-unit dwellings which are currently located thereon.
- 2. The properties situated on the west side of Avenue U, between 21st and 22nd Streets, consist of a commercial site (at 101), an apartment (at 113), one-unit dwellings (at 117 and 119), apartments (at 125 and 127), and a one-unit dwelling (at 137). The properties at 117, 119, and 137 were rezoned under Bylaw No. 7275 from an R.4 District to an R.2 District, in recognition of the one-unit dwellings which are located thereon.
- 3. The land-use policy amendments for the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood were first set out in the Planning Department's February 19, 1991, report. On March 18, 1991, after reviewing this report, the Planning and Development Committee resolved, in part, that:

'The Planning Department be requested to report on whether or not down zoning in the Pleasant Hill Area (leaving only those R.4 sites currently occupied by apartments) makes sense from a planning perspective.'

The Planning Department, in an April 11, 1991, report, recommended that it would be prudent to down-zone these properties. The reasons for this position are

summarized as follows:

- a) There is a large number of existing apartments in the area and therefore, further apartment zoning is not necessary at the present time.
- b) The blanket apartment zoning discourages owner-occupancy in the existing housing-stock, promotes the development of rental units, and leads to a transient population. This, in turn, creates problems for the maintenance of school and leisure programs.
- c) Social problems in the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood may be aggravated by land-use policies that do not support the needs of the neighbourhood and its residents.
- d) According to the Engineering Department, the existing servicing infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate the densities which are allowable under the R.4 Zoning.

The zoning pattern created by Bylaw No. 7275 has been questioned because several isolated sites, or 'spot zones', have been created. While the zoning pattern is not entirely homogenous in this area, the Planning Department is of the opinion that the current zoning pattern is superior to the blanket R.4 zoning which preceded Bylaw No. 7275.

4. The owner of 137 Avenue U South, or any other owner of an isolated site who wishes to develop the property as a multiple-unit dwelling, may apply for amendments to the Development Plan and Zoning Map to accommodate such an apartment development. Such an application would be considered on its own merits, with the appropriate input from the City's Planning and Engineering Departments and from the Pleasant Hill Community Association. The Planning Department believes that such a process is a reasonable approach to supporting the interests of the development industry and of the residents who are living in the area."

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Pleasant Hill Community Association and to the law firm of Burlingham and Company, who represents the owner of the property at 137 Avenue U South.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

6. Appropriate Zoning

328 Avenue Q South
135 Avenue P South
126/128 Avenue P South
Core Neighbourhood Study Review
Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood
(File No. CK, 4351-1)

On March 30, 1992, City Council approved several bylaws which pertain to the rezoning of various properties within the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood. One of these bylaws (Bylaw No. 7276) involved the rezoning of certain properties from R.4 and RM4A District to R.2 District. (Map No. 2 is attached and identifies the properties which are affected by this Bylaw.)

During the public hearing on this matter, three property-owners submitted letters in which they expressed their opposition to having their properties rezoned to an R.2 District. Attached are copies of the letters from Dennis and Kathy Zlipko (the owners of the property at 328 Avenue Q South), Robert Beavis (on behalf of Sherbrooke Equities Ltd., the owners of the properties at 126 and 128 Avenue P South), and Srini Chary (on behalf of Binma Inc., the owners of the property at 135 Avenue P South). In response to these letters, City Council resolved:

"that the matter of the zoning on the properties described in the submitted correspondence from Dennis and Kathy Zlipko, Robert B. Beavis and Srini Chary be referred to the Planning and Development Committee for an early report."

The Acting City Planner has submitted the following comments:

- "1. The majority of the properties which are situated on both sides of the 300 Block of Avenue Q South, between 19th and 20th Streets, consist of one and two-unit dwellings. The only exceptions are two apartment buildings and one three-unit dwelling. The property at 328 Avenue Q South is situated between one of the apartment buildings and the three-unit dwelling. For this reason, the owner objected to the passing of Bylaw No. 7276. However, this area consists predominantly of one and two-unit dwellings and hence, all properties, other than the apartments, were recommended for rezoning to an R.2 District.
- 2. The properties situated on the west side of the 100 Block of Avenue P South consist of one-unit dwellings (at 115, 117, 125, and 135), a two-unit dwelling (at 121), and apartments (at 131 and 137). The property at 135 is situated between two apartment buildings and for this reason, the owner objected to the passing of Bylaw No. 7276. Under this Bylaw, the five of the seven residential properties in this block's face were rezoned to reflect their use as one- or two-unit dwellings.
- 3. The properties on the east side of the 100 Block of Avenue P South contain apartments (at 116, 118, 124, 132, and 134) and one-unit dwellings (at 126 and 128). The properties at 126 and 128 are located between two apartment buildings. For this reason, the owner objected to the passing of Bylaw No. 7276. These properties were rezoned to an R.2 District because they contain one-unit dwellings.

All such properties in the area which currently do not contain apartments were rezoned in the same way.

4. The land-use policy amendments for the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood were first set out in the Planning Department's February 19, 1991, report. On March 18, 1991, upon reviewing the report, the Planning and Development Committee resolved, in part, that:

'The Planning Department be requested to report on whether or not down zoning in the Pleasant Hill Area (leaving only those R.4 sites currently occupied by apartments) makes sense from a planning perspective.'

The Planning Department, in an April 11, 1991, report, recommended that it would be prudent to down-zone these properties. The reasons for this position are summarized as follows:

- a) There is a large number of existing apartments in the area and therefore, further apartment zoning is not necessary at the present time.
- b) The blanket apartment zoning discourages owner-occupancy in the existing housing-stock, promotes the development of rental units, and leads to a transient population. This, in turn, creates problems for the maintenance of school and leisure programs.
- c) Social problems in the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood may be aggravated by land-use policies that do not support the needs of the neighbourhood and its residents.
- d) According to the Engineering Department, the existing servicing infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate the densities which are allowable under the R.4 Zoning.

The zoning pattern created by Bylaw No. 7276 has been questioned because several isolated sites, or 'spot zones', have been created. Until the passing of this Bylaw, the properties which are located at 135 Avenue P South and 126/128 Avenue P South (both of which currently involve one-unit dwellings that are located between apartment buildings) have been zoned, since at least 1967, to allow apartments. Because of their location, these two properties may be well suited for apartment development when the residential market rebounds. In the meantime, while the zoning pattern is not entirely homogenous in the area that has been affected by the recent amendments to the Zoning Map, the Planning Department is of the opinion that the current zoning pattern is superior to the blanket apartment zoning which preceded Bylaw No. 7276.

5. The owners of 328 Avenue Q South, or of 126, 128, and 135 Avenue P South, or

any other owner of an isolated site who wishes to develop any of these properties as a multiple-unit dwelling, may apply for amendments to the Development Plan and Zoning Map to accommodate a future apartment development. Such an application would be considered on its own merits, with the appropriate input from the City's Planning and Engineering Departments and from the Pleasant Hill Community Association. The Planning Department believes that such a process is a reasonable approach to supporting the interests of both the development industry and of the residents who are living in the area."

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Pleasant Hill Community Association and to the owners of the properties at 328 Avenue Q South, 135 Avenue P South, and 126/128 Avenue P South.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item No. 18 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.

7. Comprehensive Audit
Grounds Maintenance Program
(File No. CK. 1600-1)

Your Committee has considered the following report of the Director of Planning and Development dated June 24, 1992:

"During its March 30, 1992, meeting, City Council considered the February 21, 1992, comprehensive audit report on the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's Grounds Maintenance Program. At that time, Council resolved:

- `1) that City Council endorse the recommendations contained in the Audit Report of the Grounds Maintenance Program;
- 2) that the Administration take appropriate action to implement the recommendations contained in the report;
- 3) that the Administration prepare and table with City Council on or before June 30, 1992, a completed implementation plan; and,
- 4) that the report be referred to the Planning and Development Committee.'

With respect to the third resolution, attached is the May 29, 1992, implementation plan which has been prepared by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department.

The comprehensive audit report contains several recommendations that have affected, or could affect, the Grounds Maintenance Program's services to the general public. For the Committee's information, certain service-level adjustments pertaining to this Program were implemented through the 1992 Operating Budget. All of these changes were not directly contemplated in the comprehensive audit report. The budgetary savings which have been implemented this year are as follows:

| Compressed work season | \$ 97,000 |
|--|-----------|
| Reduced mowing cycles on road right-of-ways | 35,000 |
| Reduced mowing of non-sport, non-irrigated turf in parks, centre medians, etc. | _37,600 |
| Total budgetary savings | \$169,600 |

In the future, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department will be preparing its budget submissions with recognition being given to the recommendations of the comprehensive audit report. As has been explained in a separate report to the Committee, there are at least two recommendations (i.e. a policy on inclement weather and pay adjustments to the operator positions) which are subject to negotiations with C.U.P.E. Local 59 and if an agreement is reached, their implementation could have implications for the Department's future operating budgets.

Finally, it should be noted that several of the report's recommendations involve policy changes -- in particular, possible adjustments to the levels of service which are provided by this Program. While these matters will be analyzed by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, it will be necessary to obtain specific direction from the Committee and from City Council before such adjustments are implemented."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the implementation plan for the comprehensive audit of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's Grounds Maintenance Program be received; and
- 2) that a further status report on this implementation plan be provided to City Council in December 1993.

ADOPTED.

8. Leisure Services Department Additional Post-Budget Service Reductions

| Financing of Recent | Arbitration | Ruling on | Hours of | f Work |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| (Files CK, 610-1 and | 4610-1) | | | |

Report of the General Manager, Leisure Services Department, June 24, 1992:

"As a result of a recent arbitration ruling, the maximum hours of work for all full-time clerical staff at City-owned recreation facilities in Saskatoon is now 36 2/3 hours per week, rather than 40 hours per week as was intended and financed through the Leisure Services Department's 1992 Operating Budget. This decision means higher-than-budgeted operating costs will be incurred (assuming that the approved service levels are maintained) at the Lakewood Civic Centre, Lawson Civic Centre, Cosmo Civic Centre, and the Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre. From July 1 to December 31, 1992, the additional operating costs will be \$4,300, with the full-year impact being \$8,400.

The Planning and Development Division and the Leisure Services Department are not in a position to absorb another over-expenditure in this year's approved operating budget. [For example, the Division is already attempting to absorb the additional costs which have been incurred by the Mosquito Control Program.] Therefore, the only other alternative is to consider service-level reductions to offset the additional cost which has been caused by this specific arbitration ruling.

Service Level Change Options

The Leisure Services Department's staff have analyzed their operations and have identified various options for reducing services at the facilities which have been affected by this ruling. Any such changes will have a negative impact on these facilities' customers. However, the Leisure Services Department is of the opinion that the following options will minimize this impact on the public and could be considered for implementation as longer-term measures (specifically, to accommodate the <u>full-year financial impact</u> of this ruling on the Department's 1993 Operating Budget).

Cosmo Civic Centre

| - | For the June 16 to August 31, period, the hours of operation on weekdays could be reduced from 11 hours per day to 8 hours per day. | Gross Savings | \$1,800 |
|---|--|---------------------|---------|
| _ | For the June 16 to August 31, period, | | |
| | the Centre could close on Sundays. | Gross Savings | 600 |
| | , and the second | Total Gross Savings | \$2,400 |
| | | Revenue Loss | 600 |
| | | Net Savings | \$1,800 |

- Cosmo Civic Centre
- The facility could be totally closed during

| | July and August. | Gross Savings Revenue Loss Net Savings | \$12,700 _8,300 \$4,400 |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| • | Cosmo Civic Centre | | |
| - | "Clerical overlaps" could be reduced. | Net Savings | <u>\$1,800</u> |
| • | Saskatoon Field House | | |
| - | The facility could be closed 1/2 hour earlier on weekdays during the summer months | Net Savings | \$300 |
| • | Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre | | |
| - | The pool could be closed to the public for two weeks in July. The swimming club could still use the facility for training purposes. | Gross Savings Revenue Loss Net Saving | \$14,000 _7,400 \$6,600 |
| • | Lawson Civic Centre | | |
| - | This facility could delay opening until 9:00 a.m. on one day each week (on a year-round basis). | Gross Savings Revenue Loss Net Savings | \$2,200 0 \$2,200 |
| * | It is assumed that existing swimmers will adjust their schedules by swimming at alternate times. If they do not, the potential revenue loss could be \$800 (assuming 50% of the existing estimated usage). | | |
| • | Lakewood Civic Centre | | |
| - | This facility could delay opening until 9:00 a.m. on one day each week (on a year-round basis). | Gross Savings Revenue Loss Net Savings | \$2,800 0 \$2,800 |
| * | It is assumed that existing swimmers will adjust their schedules by swimming at alternate times. If they do not, the potential loss of revenue could be \$2,700 (assuming | | |

50% of the existing estimated usage).

- Other options
- Further reductions in the seasonal hours of operation at the Field House
- Closing the facilities during statutory holidays

Proposal for 1992

Services at the Cosmo Civic Centre have already been reduced to meet City Council's 1992 budgetary guidelines. For example, this facility's weekly hours of operation have been reduced by 18 hours during the winter season and by 16 hours during the summer season. The Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre also has had a service-level reduction for 1992. This facility is now closed to the public from July 12 to September 9, inclusive.

The Lakewood Civic Centre and the Lawson Civic Centre are the two facilities which are most affected by the arbitration ruling on clerical hours of work. Also, these two sites were minimally affected by the service-level reductions which were implemented through the preparation and approval of 1992 Operating Budget.

Therefore, the Leisure Services Department proposes that service-level reductions at the Lawson and the Lakewood Civic Centres would be the most appropriate way to begin addressing the impact of the recent arbitration ruling on the expenditures of these facilities. The Department is recommending that the service changes should come into effect on August 1, 1992. The savings will not be sufficient to cover all of the over-expenditure. Alternative options could be discussed with the suburban program advisory boards later this fall for implementation in the 1993 Operating Budget and programming season. This consultation process is consistent with the Committee's June 15, 1992, resolution to obtain feedback from the relevant advisory boards when the program funding has been determined for the 1993 preliminary Operating Budget."

Your Committee has considered this matter, and submits the following

RECOMMENDATION:

1) that, effective on August 1, 1992, the Lakewood and the Lawson Civic Centres delay opening to the public until 9:00 a.m. on one day each week (on a year-round basis), for a potential saving of \$2,100 in 1992 and \$5,000 each year thereafter; and

2) that the Planning and Development Committee meet with the suburban program advisory boards and report back to City Council on additional or alternative service-level adjustments that can be incorporated in the 1993 Operating Budget.

Moved by Alderman Waygood,

THAT, effective on August 1, 1992, the Lakewood and the Lawson Civic Centres delay opening to the public until 9:00 a.m. on one day each week (on a year-round basis), for a potential saving of \$2,100 in 1992 and \$5,000 each year thereafter.

YEAS: Deputy Mayor McCann, Aldermen Birkmaier, Waygood, Dyck and Mostoway 5

NAYS: Alderman Thompson 1

Moved by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the Planning and Development Committee meet with the suburban program advisory boards and the Leisure Services Advisory Board and report back to City Council on additional or alternative service-level adjustments that can be incorporated in the 1993 Operating Budget.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the matter of the arbitration ruling be referred to the Personnel and Organization Committee.

CARRIED.

9. 1992 Capital Budget/1993-1996 Capital Plan Project 860 - Superintendent's Residence (Files CK. 4205-8 and 1703)

The following report of the Director of Planning and Development dated June 11, 1992, has been considered by your Committee:

"Background

On January 19, 1989, the Administration recommended to the Planning and Development Committee that the two rental houses at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo should be demolished. The Committee recommended, and City Council approved, the demolition of one of the houses (the white stucco house). City Council, subsequently on February 6, 1989, resolved:

`that the Planning and Development Committee be requested to report further to Council with respect to the red brick house at the Forestry Farm Park.'

The 'red brick house' is commonly referred to as the Superintendent's Residence.

On July 17, 1989, City Council designated the building as a heritage property under <u>The Heritage Property Act</u>. Then, on January 14, 1991, City Council was advised by the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada that the house had been declared to be 'of national historic significance.'

Identifying Future Uses for the Building

Since 1985, City Council has considered several reports on the condition and potential uses of the Superintendent's Residence. For example, in 1986, consideration was given to developing a tea-house at this location. This proposal was again considered in 1989. At the same time, the Administration concluded, and City Council agreed, that a tea-house would not be a financially-viable operation in this facility, even if the required renovations to accommodate this use were financed by the City.

In order to confirm the validity of this conclusion about the tea-house proposal and to determine if any other uses might be viable in this facility, the Leisure Services Department issued, in 1990, a public call for proposals on any uses which could take place in this facility. In order to improve the financial position of the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo, the proposal call was made on the understanding that, subject to the City completing and financing certain structural improvements to the building, the successful bidder would have to offer a use which is financially-viable (i.e. would not add, and perhaps would reduce, the current net operating cost of the park). Because the regulations under the Building Code

prevent the public-use of this building until certain renovations are made to the interior, the City anticipated that the bids would include provisions by the bidder to undertake the type of renovations which are appropriate to the uses that are being proposed.

The City received two bids, both of which would have added to the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo's net operating costs. The bids came from Saskatoon People First and the Saskatoon Regional Zoological Society. City Council considered these bids on December 11, 1989, and resolved:

- 1) that the two bids received from Saskatoon People First and the Saskatoon Zoological Society, through the proposal call for the use of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo, be rejected;
- 2) that the upgrading of the exterior of the Superintendent's Residence (at an estimated cost of \$90,000) proceed, if funds are made available in the 1990 Capital Budget; and
- 3) that the program options for the interior be referred to the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo Concept Plan Steering Committee for review and that recommendations be submitted as part of the Forestry Farm Park Concept Plan Document.'

Funding for the exterior improvements was identified, but not approved by City Council in the 1990 and 1991 Capital Budgets.

The status of this building was again considered by the Planning and Development Committee as part of its review of the 1992 Capital Budget. During the Committee's February 17, 1992, meeting, the Committee received a report on the building's condition. In an attempt to find a way of putting the building to a use which is conducive with the surrounding facility, the Leisure Services Department proposed that the building could be renovated to accommodate the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo's administrative offices. According to its February 17, 1992, minutes, the Committee's reaction to this report was as follows:

'Concern was expressed that this building has been declared a heritage building and it does not have a use -- has the use as a proposed office space for staff at the Forestry Farm come out of the need for such space (at a substantial renovation cost) or has it evolved out of a need to make use of this heritage building? If there is no real need for the building, there is always the option for City Council to revoke the heritage designation.'

The Committee then resolved:

'that the Administration be requested to report on the best potential re-use of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm, and bring forward the previous report on the renovations required for this building.'

To address City Council's February 17, 1992, resolution, the following May 21, 1992, report has been submitted by the General Manager of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department:

'In the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo Site Development Plan which was prepared in 1991, the following potential uses were identified for the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo:

- an interpretive centre on botanical information and on the Park's history,
- a heritage information office,
- meeting rooms for horticultural workshops and exhibits, and
- a resource centre for horticulture and/or natural-history information.

However, the Plan cautions that extensive renovations to the building will be required to accommodate any of these uses.

In 1991 and 1992, the Leisure Services Department expressed an interest in relocating the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo's administrative offices to the Superintendent's Residence. This building is considerably larger than the present office-area and could provide additional meeting areas and reception space. Historically, when the Park was a federal forestry station, the Residence was used by the facility's chief administrative officer. Therefore, the Leisure Services Department felt that the use of the building as an administrative headquarters would be consistent with the Park's history. Because of the heritage linkage and because the City has not received any proposals to use the building in a manner which would not add to the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo's overall net operating cost, the Leisure Services Department's recommendation is, in our opinion, the best use of the Superintendent's Residence for the near future.

The difficulty with any re-use proposal for the building has been explained to the Committee in previous reports. A consultant's report which was prepared in 1991 outlined the problems with the building and projected the costs at approximately \$400,000 for restoring the structure and re-developing the interior for administrative purposes. No funding is available in the 1992 Capital Budget to undertake these renovations for this use or for any of the previously-identified uses.

Because a suitable use has not been found and because funding to address, at least,

the major structural problems with the building have not been approved, the building has faced, and continues to face, rapid deterioration. (To assist the Committee in understanding the degree of deterioration which has taken place to date, photographs of the building will be shown when the Committee considers this report.) Unfortunately, the delay in making the structural repairs has increased the amount and the cost of the work that will be required to bring the building to a state which will make it suitable for re-use. At this time, the minimum structural work to maintain the building's form and to control the rate of future deterioration, without making any accommodations within the interior for a potential use, has been estimated as follows:

| • | Remove asphalt shingles and replace with cedar shingles; provide metal- | ridge cap; |
|---|---|------------|
| | replace rotted sheathing, as required | \$ 7,000 |
| • | Repoint existing masonry, walls, and chimney | 16,500 |
| • | Install prefinished fascia | 300 |
| • | Install prefinished gutter and downspouts | 600 |
| • | Repair and paint existing wood soffit | 1,700 |
| • | Replace all windows with prefinished, double-hung units for the main and | |
| | second floors and for the attic; replace the basement windows | 27,000 |
| • | Replace exterior doors | 4,000 |
| • | Rebuild existing verandah | 4,000 |
| • | Replace main-floor beams and columns | 20,000 |
| • | Repair cracked concrete lintel in the interior-bearing wall in the basement | 1,500 |
| • | Replace rotted sill-plate and joists at southwest corner | 3,000 |
| • | Rectify deflection of hip and valley roof rafters | 4,000 |
| • | Replace furnace and hook up to gas | 16,000 |
| • | Contingency (5%) | 5,250 |
| • | Contractor mark-up (7%) | 7,750 |
| • | Goods and Services Tax (7%) | 8,300 |
| • | Design and management fees (12%) | 15,200 |
| • | Prepare 'as-found' drawings | 1,800 |
| | TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | \$143,900 |

While the above-noted expenditures are not sufficient to make the building suitable for occupancy, they will nevertheless place it in a state whereby the City is fulfilling the implied obligation which resulted when City Council decided to designate the building as a municipal heritage property.'

Conclusion

The current situation with respect to the Superintendent's Residence places the Administration in a very difficult situation. First, City Council decided to retain the building and to designate it as a municipal heritage property. At the same time, the building has been allowed to deteriorate because no funding has been allocated to, at least, undertake structural and exterior work which will demonstrate the City's commitment to preserving

the building as a heritage property.

Second, the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo's budget and services have been reduced in the facility's 1992 approved operating provisions. Some members of City Council continue to call for more cuts. The Administration has been attempting to find ways to reduce the net operating costs of this facility; one approach which has already been implemented is the vehicle-parking charge.

Third, through proposal calls, the Administration has attempted to find a private or non-profit organization that is willing to use the building. However, in order to address the above-noted operating-cost considerations, the use by such external organizations cannot result in additional costs to the facility's operating budget. With respect to allocating capital-budget dollars to this building, I cannot justify such action before the serious and urgent deficiency with respect to the animals' quarantine and clinic services has been addressed.

These considerations leave me with no choice but to recommend, reluctantly, that action should be initiated to demolish the Superintendent's Residence. While I personally would have liked the building to have been used eventually as the administrative/interpretative centre for the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo, I cannot see any capital and operating funds being allocated to this purpose in the near future. The major structural problems have not been addressed and will likely not be funded under this year's capital-budget situation. Therefore, I have concluded that the Committee should request that the appropriate procedures should be taken to revoke the municipal heritage designation on the Superintendence's Residence. After the designation has been removed, the Administration can proceed with the appropriate action to demolish the building, with the funding being requested in the 1993 Capital Budget."

Your Committee also heard a presentation from Mrs. Peggy Sarjeant, Past-President of the Saskatoon Heritage Society, on the historic importance of the former Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm. Mrs. Sarjeant encouraged the City to do minimal structural work to stabilize the building. In addition, she suggested that a Task Force of interested groups be established to look into the funding, preservation and use of the Superintendent's Residence, and report back within one year.

Your Committee supports the approach outlined by Mrs. Sarjeant.

The Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has advised that the following is the minimum work required to temporarily protect the structure from further damage from the elements:

1. Rectify deflection of hip and valley roof rafters

| 2. | Remove asphalt shingles and replace with cedar shingles, provide metal ridge cap. Replace rotted sheathing as required. Remove and replace roofing on flat roofed portions. | 7,000 |
|-----|---|----------|
| 3. | Install prefinished fascia | 300 |
| 4. | Install prefinished gutter and downspouts | 600 |
| 5. | Replacement of rotted sill plate and joists at Southwest corner | 3,000 |
| 6. | Miscellaneous blocking at windows and exterior openings to prevent animal entry | 750 |
| 7. | Provide additional temporary heat | 2,000 |
| 8. | Development of "as found" plans | 1,800 |
| 9. | Design and management fees | 1,750 |
| 10. | Taxes | 2,120 |
| 11. | Contingency | 1,680 |
| | | \$25,000 |

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the matter of the preservation, funding for, and use of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm be referred to the Administration to work with a task force of interested municipal groups and report back to the Planning and Development Committee no later than the end of June, 1993;
- 2) that the Director of Finance be requested to report on an appropriate source of funding (e.g. Heritage Fund) for essential restoration work that has been identified by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department in an amount of \$25,000.00; and
- 3) that this report be referred to the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee for any comments.

- IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the matter be referred back to the Planning and Development Committee; and
 - 2) that this report also be referred to the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee for any comments.
- 10. Amendment to Building Bylaw
 Increase in Building Permit Application Fee
 and
 Comprehensive Audit
 Building Standards Branch, Planning Department
 (Files CK. 301-1 and 1600-1)

This Committee recommended to City Council on June 22, 1992, that the application fee for building permits be increased. As a result of a submission from the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association, Council referred the matter back to the Committee.

The Director of Planning and Development has informed your Committee that the Administration will hold technical discussions with the Home Builders' Association on the matters of mandatory building inspections and building permit fee increases. Following these discussions, a report will be submitted to the Planning and Development Committee. The Committee will then meet with the Association and report to City Council.

City Council has previously agreed that plumbing permit and inspection fee increases be implemented. As a result of the concerns expressed by the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association with respect to the building permit fee increases, your Committee is recommending that any Administrative action with respect to the plumbing fee increases be deferred until Council receives the report on the mandatory building inspections and proposed increases to the building permit fees.

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the information be received; and

- 2) that any action on plumbing permit and inspection fee increases be deferred until the Committee reports to City Council on the matters of mandatory building inspections and the proposed increase to the building permit fees.
- *IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)* that the information be received;
 - 2) that any action on plumbing permit and inspection

fee increases be deferred until the Committee reports to City Council on the matters of mandatory building inspections and the proposed increase to the building permit fees; and

3) that Council receive a further report by October 31, 1992.

REPORT NO. 16-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman K. Waygood, Chairman

Alderman G. Penner

Alderman P. McCann

1. Economic Situation Update (File No. CK. 3500-1)

The following is an update on the economic situation in the City, as provided by the Economic Development Department, July 6, 1992:

"In the way of an economic situation update, the Department of Economic Development is very pleased to advise that June, 1992 was a very active month for our community.

Some of the noteworthy developments included the following:

- 1. Two agricultural chemical warehouses are going to be constructed in Agri Place. The value of the projects amounts to \$6 million.
- 2. A high-end contemporary furniture manufacturer was officially opened June 11. The estimated cost for the initial phase is \$1.8 million.
- 3. Westwind Aviation announced the development of a new aero centre which is estimated to cost \$2 million.
- 4. Total Minatco has agreed to relocate its Canadian head office from Calgary to Saskatoon.
- 5. After months of diligent work, approval for the Saskatoon Community Bond Corporation has been received.
- 6. Wanuskewin was opened in the latter part of June. This Heritage Park will truly become an international attraction and will be a major asset for Saskatoon tourism.
- 7. A delegation of senior Chinese business officials visited Saskatoon for almost a week. A number of letters of understanding have been signed with local companies.

There are three firms in Saskatoon that are contemplating major expansions in the second half of 1992. The attitude of the Saskatoon business community can be described as one of cautious optimism."

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 15-1992 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman P. Mostoway, Chairman Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman M. Thompson

1. Communications to Council

From: D.W. (Darren) Ulmer, Project Chairman

POW City Kinsmen

Date: June 4, 1992

Subject: Requesting Council to waive the rental fee for the

Community Stage for the Annual Day in the Park,

held on Sunday, May 31, 1992

(File No. CK. 300-8-0)

Attached is a copy of the above-noted communication which has been referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

City Council has adopted the position that it would not waive rental rates on either the Showmobile or the Community Stage. Your Committee therefore submits the following

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for waiver of the rental fee for the Community Stage

for the POW City Kinsmen's Annual Family "Day in Park" on

Sunday, May 31, 1992, be denied.

ADOPTED.

2. Travel Grant Application U. of S. Judo Club (File No. CK. 1870-1)

The Saskatoon Sports Council reviewed the attached application for travel assistance and recommended to the Legislation and Finance Committee:

"that the U. of S. Judo Club be approved for travel assistance in the amount of \$350.00, which constitutes 50% of the \$700.00, contributed by each athlete."

RECOMMENDATION:

that the U. of S. Judo Club be granted assistance in the amount of \$350.00 for travel to the Canadian National Championships held June 25-26, 1992, in Winnipeg, Manitoba, to be charged to the Sports component of the 1992 Assistance to Community Groups - Cash Grants Program.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 13-1992 OF THE WORKS AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman O. Mann, Chairman Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman D.L. Birkmaier Alderman B. Dyck

1. Process Control System Upgrade Water Treatment Plant (File No. CK. 670-3)

City Council, at its meeting held on June 22, 1992, considered Clause A4, Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner (copy attached). The Administration recommended that the low bidder meeting specifications, Automation Systems Inc., be awarded the above-noted tender. Representation was made by Westburne Amesco to City Council indicating that they felt they could meet the specifications and should be awarded the contract. Council referred the matter to the Works and Utilities Committee for a report back at this meeting.

Your Committee met with representatives of the following companies who placed bids on this project:

Westburne Amesco Septre Controls Westinghouse Canada Automation Systems CB Engineering

The Administration has been requested to provide a written response to the concerns raised by these firms for consideration by the Committee. In addition, the bidders have agreed to an extension of the tender date to August 21, 1992.

The Committee will be reporting further on this matter in due course.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED."

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

MOTIONS

REPORT OF A/CITY CLERK:

"Council is requested to consider the following appointments of Deputy Mayor for the months indicated:

Alderman O. Mann - For the month of August;
Alderman M. Hawthorne - For the month of September;
Alderman B. Dyck - For the month of October."

Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the following be appointed Deputy Mayor for the months indicated:

Alderman O. Mann - For the month of August;
Alderman M. Hawthorne - For the month of September;
Alderman B. Dyck - For the month of October.

CARRIED.

GIVING NOTICE

Alderman Birkmaier gave the following Notice of Motion:

TAKE NOTICE that at the next regular meeting of City Council, I will move the following motion:

"THAT His Worship the Mayor establish a local committee of interested parties to be known as the Yellowhead Highway Advisory Committee."

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7306

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7306, being "The Building Bylaw" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7306 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7306.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7306 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

| Moved by Alderman | Mostoway, | Seconded by | v Alderman | Thompson |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | |

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7306 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7306 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

| The bylaw was then read a third time and passed. |
|--|
| Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Thompson, |
| THAT the meeting stand adjourned. |
| CARRIED. |
| The meeting adjourned at 9:12 p.m. |
| Deputy Mayor A/City Clerk |