Council Chamber City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, June 22, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Mayor Dayday in the Chair;

Aldermen Mostoway, Penner, Thompson, Birkmaier, McCann,

Mann, Dyck and Cherneskey; City Commissioner Irwin;

Director of Planning and Development Pontikes;

Director of Works and Utilities Gustafson;

Director of Finance Richards;

City Solicitor Dust; City Clerk Mann;

Aldermanic Assistant Sproule

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting held on Monday, June 8, 1992 and Special Meeting held on Tuesday, June 16, 1992, be approved.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

2a) Zoning Bylaw Amendment Nutana Neighbourhood - 1990 Core Neighbourhood Study Review Proposed Bylaws 7293, 7294, 7295, 7296, 7297 and 7298 (File No. CK, 4353-4)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on March 16, 1992, considered Clause 2, Report No. 2-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission, copy attached, and resolved:

1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake

zoning amendments for the Nutana Neighbourhood as outlined on Maps 1 and 2;

- 2) that City Council approve, as a separate bylaw, the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake zoning amendments for the Nutana Neighbourhood, as outlined on Map No. 3;
- 3) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws; and
- 5) that, at the time of the public hearing, Council be asked to consider the Commission's recommendation that the zoning amendments be approved.'

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of May 30 and June 6, 1992 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendments prior to its consideration of Bylaws 7293, 7294, 7295, 7296, 7297 and 7298, copies attached.

Attached are the following maps:

- Map No. 1 dealing with Bylaw No. 7293 (RM1 to R2 District)
 - dealing with Bylaw No. 7294 (RM1 to RM4 District)
- Map No. 2 dealing with Bylaw No. 7295 (RM1 to R2 District)
- Map No. 3 dealing with Bylaw No. 7296 (RM4 to R2 District)
 - dealing with Bylaw No. 7297 (R(CON) to R2 District)
 - dealing with Bylaw No. 7298 (R2 to M1 District)

Also attached are copies of the following communications:

- 1) Letter dated June 15, 1992, from William Walker and Patricia Tutty, 219 11th Street East;
- 2) Form letter dated June 8, 1992, from the following:

- Darlene Bracken, 615 Temperance Street (Sample copy attached)
- Eric Nelson, B-652 University Drive
- Phillip and Stephanie Bowman, 650 University Drive
- Bernadette Wilson, 660 University Drive
- Betty Trowell, 665 University Drive
- Karen and Arnold Isbister, 726 13th Street East
- Barbara and Andy McFadden, 619 Temperance Street
- W. A. and A.M. Sargeant, 674 University Drive
- Merry Beazely, 676 University Drive
- 3) Letter from Alice M. Plews, 708 Lansdowne Avenue;
- 4) Letter dated June 17, 1992, from Harry A. VanEyck, 203 9th Street East;
- 5) Letter dated June 17, 1992, from Laurie Stone, President, Nutana Community Association;
- 6) Letter dated June 17, 1992, from John and Nancy Senior, 504 Lansdowne Avenue;
- 7) Letter dated June 8, 1992, from Tony Hoff, 729 13th Street East;
- 8) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Eric Nelson, B-652 University Drive;
- 9) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Susan Gilmer and David Glaze, 314 9th Street East;
- 10) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Susan Wagner, 224 11th Street East;
- 11) Letter dated June 22, 1992, from Councillor Kate Waygood;
- 12) Letter from Linda Nordlun, 218 9th Street East."

Bylaw No. 7293

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT Council consider Bylaw 7293.

CARRIED.

Bylaw No. 7294

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7294.

CARRIED.

Bylaw No. 7295

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was any one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Mr. Darryl Peterson, a resident of 227 9th Street, addressed Council on behalf of the Nutana Community Association in support of the proposed bylaw amendments before Council.

Mr. Fred Chernenkoff, a resident of 1001 McPherson Avenue, requested clarification with respect to proposed Bylaw No. 7295.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7295.

Bylaw No. 7296

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7296.

CARRIED.

Bylaw No. 7297

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7297.

CARRIED.

Bylaw No. 7298

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was anyone present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Ms. Beth Bilson, a resident of 524 8th Street East, indicated that she felt that the character of the block would be altered by the proposed rezoning, and suggested that Council carefully consider the matter.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT all submitted correspondence with respect to the proposed bylaw be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT the hearing be closed.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7298.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended and Item 10 dealing with "Introduction and Consideration of Bylaws" (Bylaws 7293, 7294, 7295, 7296, 7297 and 7298) be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7293

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7293, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7293 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7293.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7293 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7293 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7293 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7294

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7294, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7294 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7294.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7294 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7294 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7294 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7295

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7295, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7295 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7295.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7295 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7295 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7295 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7296

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7296, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7296 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7296.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7296 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7296 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7296 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7297

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7297, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7297 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7297.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7297 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7297 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Bylaw No. 7297 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7298

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7298, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7298 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7298.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7298 was considered clause by clause

and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7298 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT Bylaw No. 7298 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

YEAS: His Worship Mayor Dayday, Aldermen Thompson, Penner, Mostoway, Cherneskey, Mann and McCann 7

NAYS: Aldermen Dyck and Birkmaier

2

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

HEARINGS

2b) Rezoning Application (Subject to Agreement)
R.2 to R.4 District
1202 - 1214 10th Street East

Applicant: St. Peter and Paul Ukrainian Catholic Church

Proposed Bylaw No. 7267

(File No. CK, 4351-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on December 16, 1991, considered Clause 3, Report No. 20-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission, copy attached, and resolved:

- that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone (subject to agreement) Lots 8 to 14 inclusive, Block 7, Plan No. G.18, and Lots 13 and 14 except the most easterly nine feet of Lot 14, Block 9, Plan No. G123 (1202 to 1214 10th Street East) from an R.2 District to an R.4 District;
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the Draft Rezoning Agreement (in accordance with Section E in the attached report of the Planning Department dated November 28, 1991);
- 4) that this report be brought forward for consideration at the time of the public hearing and that Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning of 1202 1214 10th Street East from R.2 District to R.4 District (by zoning agreement) be APPROVED; and
- 5) that, at the time of the public hearing, Council receive a report on the question of taxation of the property.'

With regard to resolution 5) above, City Council, at its meeting held on January 20, 1992, received as information the following report:

'Report of the City Solicitor, January 16, 1992:

"Council at its meeting of December 16, 1991, requested a report on the question of taxation of the above property. The City Solicitor and the City Assessor agree that based on the available information, the property will be taxable."

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of May 30 and June 6, 1992

is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendments prior to its consideration of Bylaw No. 7267, copy attached.

Attached are copies of the following communications:

- 1) Letter dated June 11, 1992, from John V. Cross, 1215 10th Street East;
- 2) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Anna Ring, 1213 Main Street;
- 3) Letter dated June 11, 1992, from Bernie and Ruth Zuk, 1233 10th Street East;
- 4) Letter from Amy and Hilda Ring, 1213 Main Street East;
- 5) Letter dated June 12, 1992, from J. M. Porter, 502 Albert Avenue;
- 6) Letter dated June 7, 1992, from Iris and Orest Buchko, 1317 10th Street East;
- 7) Letter dated June 10, 1992, from Paul Werbowski, 1218 10th Street East;
- 8) Form letter from the following:
 - Mr. and Mrs. Murray Opdahl, 1315 13th Street E. (sample copy attached)
 - David Williams, 1301 12th Street East
 - Allan and Rhonda Flamand, 1212 Main Street
 - K. V. McDowell and Anne Vernon, 1414 12th Street East
 - Dr. Herbert Guenther, 1320 13th Street East
 - May Lui, 1201 Main Street
 - Sally and Ian Cochrane, 1402 10th Street East
 - Mel Prokop, 1326 11th Street East
 - L. G. and Anita Fraleigh, 1309 10th Street East
 - John and Eva Reid, 1322 10th Street East
 - H. L. MacMillan, 1324 10th Street East
 - M. Vibert, 1313 10th Street East
 - Choi Kan Eng. 1321 10th Street East
 - Philip J. Thair, 1228 14th Street East
 - Helen Toporowski, 1213 12th Street East
 - Catherine Hanson, 1410 12th Street East
 - Dennis Sabat, 1326 12th Street East
 - A. Palmer, 1428 13th Street East
 - Kathleen Porteous, 1401 12th Street East

- Ed Schnitzler, 1320 11th Street East
- Ella Mae Hamilton, 1325 10th Street East
- Joyce Brack, 1405 10th Street East
- Jean Joyce, 1314 11th Street East
- Peter Papish, 1308 11th Street East
- Don Roach, 1230 9th Street East
- A. Binnie, 1222 9th Street East
- Mary R. Fyfe, 1214 9th Street East
- Elsie Colenutt, 1210 9th Street East
- Eileen Caudle, 1101 9th Street East
- S. Knezacek, 1128 9th Street East
- M. T. Cranston, 1140 Main Street East
- Magdalene Nazarenko, 1132 Main Street East
- Bill Robb, 1112 Main Street East
- Ray and N. Garrett, 1010 Main Street East
- Eldred L. and Jean S. Bowes, 1120 9th Street East
- D. B. Edney, 1135 9th Street East
- Marilyn Rauw, 1317 9th Street East
- J. H. Slobojan, 1105 9th Street East
- Nicky Dzus, 1214 and 1210 11th Street East
- J. W. Wild, 1125 11th Street East
- 9) Letter dated June 13, 1992, from Shelly Loeffler, Past President, Varsity View Community Association;
- 10) Letter dated June 17, 1992, from Stan Macdonald, 1012 14th Street East;
- 11) Letter dated June 15, 1992, from T. Upton, 1135 Main Street;
- 12) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Lawrence H. Lashyn, 1202 11th Street East;
- 13) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Hugh and Charlene Douglas, 1209 Main Street East;
- 14) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from John V. Cross and Charlotte A. Cross, 1215 10th Street East;
- 15) Letter dated June 12, 1992, from J. M. Porter, 502 Albert Avenue;
- 16) Letter dated June 19, 1992, from Gwynn M. Near, 1401 10th Street East;
- 17) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from John V. Cross, submitting a petition with 206

signatures from the Albert Neighbourhood;

18) Letter dated June 18, 1992, from Ewen Coxworth, 1332 - 10th Street East."

His Worship Mayor Dayday excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter and left the Council Chamber.

Deputy Mayor Mostoway assumed the Chair.

The City Clerk circulated copies of the following correspondence:

- Letter dated June 19, 1992, from Alex Nahachewsky, President, and Paul Machibroda, Technical Advisor, Saints Peter and Paul Ukrainian Catholic Development Committee.
- Letter dated June 22, 1992, from Albert, Marilyn and Maureen Ridgeway, 1039 10th Street East.

Deputy Mayor Mostoway ascertained whether there was anyone present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Mr. Paul Machibroda, Technical Advisor to Saints Peter and Paul Ukrainian Catholic Development Committee, reviewed his submitted brief in support of the proposed bylaw amendment.

Mr. John Cross of 1215 10th Street East, reviewed his submitted brief expressing concern with respect to the proposed zoning bylaw amendment.

Ms. Eileen Gudmundson, representing the Varsity View Community Association, indicated that the Varsity View Community Association is opposed to spot rezoning in the area and requested Council to consider their opinion.

Mr. Wes Robbins, a resident of the neighbourhood for 38 years, expressed his objection to the establishment of a private club in the immediate area. He suggested that the proposed development would detract from the residential area.

Ms. Ruth Zuk, a resident of 1233 - 10th Street East, spoke in opposition to the proposed rezoning.

Ms. Roberta Cross, a resident of Varsity View, spoke against spot rezoning.

Mr. Ralph Katzman, Executor for his brother, who was a resident of 1221 10th Street, spoke against the proposed rezoning.

Mr. Paul Jacoby, a resident 2 1/2 blocks from the site, asked Council to consider opposing the spot rezoning.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the hearing be closed.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the hearing be adjourned until the first regular meeting in September, 1992.

CARRIED.

NOTE: His Worship Mayor Dayday, Aldermen Hawthorne and Waygood were not present at the Council meeting during the hearing.

His Worship Mayor Dayday re-entered the Council Chamber and assumed the Chair.

2c) Proposed Amendment to Zoning Bylaw (Text)
Outdoor Golf Driving Ranges in F.U.D. District
Bylaw No. 7302
(File No. CK. 4350-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on May 25, 1992, considered Clause 2, Report No. 5-

1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission, copy attached, and resolved:

- '1) that the information be received;
- 2) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend zoning Bylaw No. 6772 by inserting "outdoor golf driving ranges" as a permitted use in the F.U.D. District;
- 3) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- that this report be brought forward for consideration at the time of the public hearing and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that this Zoning Bylaw amendment be APPROVED to allow "outdoor golf driving ranges" as a permitted use in the F.U.D. District.'

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of May 30 and June 6, 1992 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendments prior to its consideration of Bylaw No. 7302, copy attached."

His Worship Mayor Dayday ascertained whether there was anyone present in the gallery who wished to be heard with respect to the matter.

Mr. Lloyd Hedemann, the applicant, asked Council to pass third reading of the proposed bylaw in order to proceed with an outdoor golf driving range and provide employment for students for the summer.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7302.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended and Item 10 dealing with "Introduction and Consideration of Bylaws" (Bylaw No. 7302) be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7302

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7302, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7302 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7302.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7302 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7302 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT Bylaw No. 7302 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Marlene Hall, Secretary <u>Development Appeals Board, dated June 1</u>

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing attached garage with side yard encroachment - 1730 Cameron Avenue. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

2) Marlene Hall, Secretary <u>Development Appeals Board, dated June 10</u>

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing one-unit dwelling with side yard encroachment adjacent to addition and attached garage - 1136 - 12th Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) Barry Fry, Secretary Planning Appeals Committee, dated June 5

Submitting Notice of Saskatchewan Municipal Board, Planning Appeals Committee meeting re proposed development (parking) 126 - 136 Avenue R South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

4) Barry Fry, Secretary Planning Appeals Committee, dated June 5

Submitting Notice of Saskatchewan Municipal Board, Planning Appeals Committee meeting re proposed development (parking) 133 - 137 Avenue Q South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Jeremy F. Morgan, Executive Director Wanuskewin Heritage Park, dated June 8

Requesting Council to proclaim June 28 - July 4, 1992 as "Wanuskewin Week". (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim June 28 - July

4, 1992 as "Wanuskewin Week".

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim June 28 - July 4, 1992 as "Wanuskewin Week".

CARRIED.

6) Kristine Magnus, Festival Manager Saskatchewan Jazz Festival, dated June 1

Requesting Council to proclaim June 26 - July 4, 1992 as "Jazz Week". (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim June 26 - July 4, 1992 as "Jazz Week".

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim June 26 - July 4, 1992 as "Jazz Week".

CARRIED.

7) Kristine Magnus, Festival Manager Saskatchewan Jazz Festival, dated June 1

Requesting exclusive use of North Kiwanis Park and permission to close Spadina Crescent between 22nd Street and 23rd Street, on Sunday, June 28, 1992, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:45 p.m. for Jazznic in the Park. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

8) Kristine Magnus, Festival Manager Saskatchewan Jazz Festival, dated June 2

Expressing appreciation for the grant towards the 1992 Festival and also submitting a copy of the program. (Files CK. 1871-2 and 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Dyck, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

9) The Honourable Carol Carson Minister of Community Services, dated June 10

Submitting information re Government allocation for 1992 for operating assistance for transit for the disabled service. (Files CK. 1860-6-2 and 7305-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.

10) The Honourable Carol Carson Minister of Community Services, dated June 5

Submitting information re amount of provincial capital funding to be provided for the 1992-93 provincial fiscal year for transit for the disabled service. (Files CK. 1860-6-2 and 7305-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

11) The Honourable Carol Carson Minister of Community Services, dated June 3

Submitting response re proposals for reassessment. (File No. CK. 1615-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

CARRIED.

12) Morris T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman, dated June 4

Submitting media release re "access award" received by the Saskatoon Public Library. (Files CK. 150-5 and 298-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and City Council express its congratulations to the Library Board.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the information be received and City Council express its congratulations to the Library Board.

CARRIED.

13) Warren Galenzoski 555 Emerald Place, dated June 16

Requesting permission to address Council re budgets and funding, taxes, voting procedures, and other. (Files CK. 1711-1 and 1704-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT Mr. Galenzoski be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Galenzoski commented with respect to voting procedures during budget deliberations.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

14) Economic Development Committee North Saskatoon Business Association, dated June 12

Suggesting that Judy Harwood be added to the transition team for the Economic Development Authority, as a representative of the North Saskatoon Business Association. (File No. CK. 175-37)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the letter be received and referred to the Office of the Mayor for a response.

CARRIED.

15) Morris T. Cherneskey Alderman, dated June 15

Submitting report re attendance at the annual FCM Conference in Montreal, June 5 to 8, 1992. (File No. CK. 155-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

16) Lucy Chuback On Broadway Business Improvement District, dated June 17

Submitting, for Council's approval, new names and change of position to the On Broadway Business Improvement District Board. (File No. CK. 1680-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve appointment of Lucy Chuback as

Chairperson of the On Broadway Business Improvement District to replace Trudi Barlow, who has resigned as Chairperson; and that Kathy Mulligan and Ron Pearson be appointed to the Board to replace Ms. Savelia Curniski and as an additional member to the

Board.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT City Council approve appointment of Lucy Chuback as Chairperson of the On Broadway Business Improvement District to replace Trudi Barlow, who has resigned as Chairperson; and that Kathy Mulligan and Ron Pearson be appointed to the Board to replace Ms. Savelia Curniski and as an additional member to the Board.

CARRIED.

17) Robert R. Buckley, Chairman SCOUT, dated May 30, 1992

Requesting permission to address Council re payment for watermain replacement under L.I.P. 1980 to 1989. (File No. CK. 4140-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee.

CARRIED.

18) Lori Isinger, Chair Saskatoon Public Library Board, dated June 18

Informing Council that the Library Board will be approaching the provincial government to consider a different funding formula for the two municipal libraries. (File No. CK. 1860-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

19) Mike Charters, Westburn Amesco Technical Sales Jim J. Lysyk, Allen-Bradley Saskatoon, dated June 18

Requesting permission to address Council re contract for the control system at the Water Treatment Plant. (File No. CK. 670-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be received and considered with Clause A4, Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause A4, Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner.

CARRIED.

20) Lynn L. Danbrook, Executive Director Saskatoon Home Builders' Association, Inc., dated June 19

Requesting permission to address Council re increase in building permit application fees and on the matter of the Comprehensive Audit Reports. (Files CK. 301-1 and 1600-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be received and considered with Clause 2, Report No.

13-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 2, Report No. 13-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

CARRIED.

21) Alderman Donna L. Birkmaier, dated June 12

Submitting report re 1992 FCM Conference. (File No. CK. 155-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

B. <u>ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION</u>

1) E. Anne Kowalenko 605 - 28th Street West, dated June 10

Commenting re restriction of pit bulls in the City. Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee. (File No. CK. 152-4)

2) Gloria Paulsen, Saskatoon Society for Adlerian Psychology <u>Patricia Zipchen, Saskatoon Community Health Unit, dated May 29</u>

Inviting participation in National Family Week. Referred to the Administration. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) The Honourable Carol Carson

Minister of Environment and Public Safety, dated May 29

Providing copy of Executive Summary of the final report on the Grand Coulee Fire which occurred November 20, 1991. Referred to the Fire Chief and Co-ordinator, Emergency Measures, for information. (File No. CK. 270-1)

4) Timothy Martin c/o The Sands Hotel, dated June 11

Requesting closure of 21st Street from Spadina Crescent to 4th Avenue, use of barricades and bagging of meters, for the 6th Annual Hotel Olympics on August 23, 1992. Referred to the Administration for a report. (File No. CK. 205-1)

5) Al Didur, Chairman Vision 2000, Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce, dated June 3

Commenting re Vision 2000 document and Strategic Planning Process. Referred to A Committee of the Whole Council (Strategic Planning). (File No. CK. 100-14)

6) D. Winkler, Chairperson <u>Committee for Removal of Adult Programming Rental Fee, dated June 4</u>

Expressing concern re Saskatoon Public School Board's \$22.00 per hour rental fee to Community Associations for Adult Programming. Referred to the Administration. (File No. CK. 600-3)

7) D. W. (Darren) Ulmer, Project Chairman POW City Kinsmen, dated June 4

Requesting Council to waive the rental fee for the Community Stage for the Annual Day in the Park, held on Sunday, May 31, 1992. Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee. (File No. CK. 300-8-0)

8) Janet Hnatiw 173 Krivel Crescent, Regina, Sask., dated June 5

Commenting re recycling and environmental issues. Referred to Administration for an appropriate response. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

9) Laura Estes, Program Coordinator Saskatoon Habitat for Humanity, dated June 17

Requesting permission to close 13th Street between Weldon Avenue and Avenue O, Monday through Friday, July 20 - 24, 1992, for Blitz Week. Referred to Administration for a recommendation. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

REPORTS

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner;

Alderman McCann, A/Chairman, presented Report No. 13-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman McCann, A/Chairman, presented Report No. 14-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman Thompson, Member, presented Report No. 14-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;

Alderman Mann, Chairman, presented Report No. 12-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee;

Alderman Mann, Chairman, presented Report No. 3-1992 of the Pension Administration

Board;

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chairman, presented Report No. 7-1992 of the Committee on Committees.

Moved by Alderman Cherneskey, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner;
- *Report No. 13-1992 of the Planning and Developmment Committee;*
- c) Report No. 14-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;
- *d)* Report No. 14-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;
- e) Report No. 12-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee;
- *Report No. 3-1992 of the Pension Administration Board;*
- g) Report No. 7-1992 of the Committee on Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship Mayor Dayday appointed Alderman Mostoway as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"REPORT NO. 15-1992 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER

Section A - Works and Utilities

A1) Communications to Council

From: R.G. Bundon, Office Managing Partner

Deloitte & Touche

Date: April 8, 1992

Subject: Submitting copies of the Final Report on the

Infrastructure Rehabilitation Funding Study

And

Communications to Council From: Robert R. Buckley

SCOUT

Date: April 10, 1992

Subject: Requesting permission to address Council

re report of the "City of Saskatoon Infrastructure

Rehabilitation Funding Study"

(File No. CC 4140-5)

The Works and Utilities Committee at its meeting held on May 20, 1992, resolved in part:

"b) that the Administration undertake a financial analysis of Alderman Thompson's proposal."

Report of the City Engineer, June 2, 1992:

"The proposal brought forward by Alderman Thompson has two considerations:

- 1) value of relief from future Local Improvement payments; and,
- 2) value of reimbursing the outstanding principle to the property owners who paid cash.

The value of the proposal is as follows:

1. Payment relief Present Value

DISCOUNTED AT

Actual 8% 6%

<u>\$2,793,330.52</u> \$1,638,928 \$1,854,571

2. Repayment of the principle outstanding at the time the City would take over payments as proposed

Present Value
DISCOUNTED AT

Actual 8% 6%

<u>\$535,025.33</u> \$449,604.07 \$468,291.11

Therefore the present value of the relief proposed by Alderman Thompson is:

DISCOUNTED AT

8% 6%

Payment Relief \$1,638,928 \$1,854,571

Cash Reimbursement <u>449,604</u> <u>468,291</u>

TOTAL \$2,088,532 \$2,322,862"

Please note that prior to carrying out the above analysis, the Engineering Department undertook a detailed review of the records for Local Improvement water main replacements. The results therefore reflect more complete information than previous reports.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the appropriate Works and Utilities Committee report item.

ADOPTED.

A2) School Signing Replacement - St. Anne School (File No. CC 6280-1)

Report of the City Engineer, June 10, 1992:

"The Engineering Department has received a request from the Saskatoon Catholic School Board to review the signing and to install a 'Disabled Person's Loading Zone' at St. Anne School. The review has been completed, and it is proposed that the signing be replaced and modified as it is not consistent with present standards and that a 'Disabled Person's Loading Zone' be installed.

The investigation procedure for this school included:

- the preparation of a plan of the existing signing; and,
- site meeting with a representative of the Engineering Department, the School Board, the Principal of the school, Hertz Northern Bus Lines and the Traffic Section of the City Police.

Based on the results of this investigation, a new school signing plan was formulated using the school signing guidelines and considering the needs of this particular school.

The signing required to improve pedestrian and traffic safety at this school is indicated on Plan No. K2-1M (S.S.) and is described briefly below. All of the frontage affected is school property.

The recommended signing modifications are as follows:

- installation of a `5-Minute Parking, 0800 1700, Monday to Friday' restriction in place of the existing `No Parking, 0800 1700, Monday to Friday' restriction on the school frontage with the exception of a `Disabled Person's Loading Zone' at the main school entrance, and a `No Parking' restriction across the parking lot entrance; and,
- installation of a 'School Bus Loading Zone' on the east side of the cul-de-sac with the remainder of the bulb posted as 'No Parking, 0800 1700, Monday to Friday' to allow the buses to turn around in this area without backing up.

The 'Disabled Person's Loading Zone' at the main school entrance does not conform to the guidelines for school signing (normally signed as 'No Stopping'); however, this location is unique considering its placement on a cul-de-sac and we do not believe that this will be a safety concern. The cul-de-sac design does not allow for the through movement of vehicles and it constricts the available amount of curb area to be used for parking and loading.

Other signing changes appear on the plan; however, all of these changes involve the replacement of damage or deteriorated signs and posts or the relocation and removal of signs to reduce the visual clutter

All of the above changes have been reviewed and approved by the City Police and the Saskatoon Catholic School Board, and conform to present City policy with respect to school signing (except as noted)."

RECOMMENDATION: that the signing changes at St. Anne School as shown on Plan No. K2-1M (S.S.) be approved.

ADOPTED.

A3) School Signing Replacement and Pedestrian Corridor Installation

Queen Elizabeth School (File No. CC 6280-1)

Report of the City Engineer, June 2, 1992:

"The Engineering Department has received a request from the Saskatoon Board of Education to review the signing and school crossings at Queen Elizabeth School. The review has been completed, and it is proposed that the signing be replaced and modified, as it is not consistent with present standards, and that a pedestrian corridor be installed at the Broadway Avenue and Isabella Street crosswalk.

The investigation procedure for this school included:

- the preparation of a plan of the existing signing;
- counts of pedestrians and vehicles at five crossing locations; and,
- consultation between a representative of the Engineering Department, the School Board, the Principal of the school involved and the Traffic Section of the City Police.

Based on the results of this investigation, a new school signing plan was formulated using the School Signing Guidelines and considering the needs of this particular school. The safety concerns identified during this investigation included:

- the allowance of parking along Taylor Street on unfenced portions of the school property;
- no identified loading zone for the two school buses servicing the school;
- standard single line crosswalk at Taylor Street and Eastlake Avenue (location where a school patrol is in operation); and,
- a large number of children crossing Broadway Avenue at Isabella Street.

The signing required to improve pedestrian and traffic safety at this school is indicated on Plan No. G11-1B (S.S.) and is described briefly below. The signing changes will affect the east side of Eastlake Avenue between Isabella and Taylor Streets, and Taylor Street between Eastlake and Broadway Avenues. All of the frontage affected is school property.

The recommended signing installations are as follows:

- installation of a 'No Parking' restriction on Taylor Street starting at Eastlake Avenue to a point 20 metres east of the gate in the fenced portion of the school property;
- installation of a 'No Parking' restriction on Eastlake Avenue to prohibit parking near the private driveway to the parking lot area and through the intersection of Maple Street;
- installation of a `5-Minute Parking, 0800-1700, Monday to Friday' restriction from Maple Street to 15 metres north of the main school entrance;
- installation of a 'No Stopping' restriction at the main school entrance; and,

- installation of a 30 metre `School Bus Loading Zone' on Eastlake Avenue to accommodate the two buses servicing the school.

Other signing changes appear on the plan; however, all of these changes involve the replacement of damaged or deteriorated signs and posts or the relocation and removal of signs to reduce the visual clutter.

It was further requested by the School Board that consideration be given to installing additional pedestrian protection at the intersection of Broadway Avenue/Isabella Street. A pedestrian/vehicle count was conducted on Monday, January 20, 1992, during the peak periods (0800-0900, 1130-1330 and 1530-1700) at the Broadway Avenue/Isabella Street intersection to determine if additional pedestrian protection is required. The results of the count are summarized in the following table:

Broadway Avenue/Isabella Street Pedestrian/Vehicle Count

	Pedestrians		Vehicles Entering
Time	Туре	Number	Intersection
0800-0900	Elementary	54	304
	High School	0	
	Adult	10	
	Total	64	
1130-1330	Elementary	116	645
	High School	1	
	Adult	12	
	Total	129	
	Pedestrians		Vehicles Entering
Time	Pedestrians Type	Number	Vehicles Entering Intersection
Time 1530-1700		Number 64	_
	Type		Intersection
	Type Elementary High School Adult	64	Intersection
	Type Elementary High School	64 1	Intersection
	Type Elementary High School Adult	64 1 6	Intersection
1530-1700	Type Elementary High School Adult Total Elementary High School	64 1 6 71 234 2	Intersection 668
1530-1700	Type Elementary High School Adult Total Elementary	64 1 6 71 234	Intersection 668

A pedestrian corridor warrant calculation was conducted on the above data. The results of the study

showed that the installation of a pedestrian corridor is now warranted. A pedestrian actuated traffic signal warrant calculation yielded a priority point rating below the 100 points which is used as a guideline to determine if additional investigation is required.

The Engineering Department recommends that the existing pedestrian corridor, including all signs and fixtures, at Clarence Avenue and 4th and 5th Streets be relocated to the north crossing of the Broadway Avenue/Isabella Street intersection. City Council adopted an Engineering Department report dated December 2, 1991, for the removal of the Clarence Avenue corridor when a new corridor location was identified. This relocation will cost approximately \$4,000.00 and be funded by the 1992 Traffic Safety Program.

All of the above changes have been reviewed and agreed to by the City Police and the Public School Board, and conform to present City Policy with respect to school signing."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the signing changes at Queen Elizabeth School as shown on Plan No. G11-1B (S.S.) be approved;
- 2) that the pedestrian corridor at Clarence Avenue and 4th/5th Streets be relocated to Broadway Avenue and Isabella Street as shown on Plan No. G11-1B(S.S.); and,
- 3) that the cost of the corridor relocation be funded by the 1992 Traffic Safety Program.

ADOPTED.

A4) Process Control System Upgrade Water Treatment Plant (File No. CC 670-3)

Report of the Manager, Water and Pollution Control Department, June 12, 1992:

"The 1992 Water Utility Capital Budget includes \$572,000 for the upgrade of the Water Treatment Plant's process control and monitoring system. The purpose of this project is to replace the existing control system which no longer provides the functionality and reliability required by the current water treatment process. The existing system was designed and installed for the water treatment process that existed in 1980. Considerable changes and expansions in the chemical feed, filtering, and pumping systems have occurred since 1980 with minimal improvements in the control system. Increases in the distribution system capacity and expansions at the remote reservoirs have resulted in the need to upgrade the system in order to improve pressure control and thereby minimize main breaks and other disruptions in service. Pumping power costs have escalated to where the pumping

system must be controlled to optimize energy consumption. Changes in water quality guidelines over recent years have increased the need to more closely monitor and control the treatment process in order to meet the water quality guidelines. A system that provides better security at remote storage and pumping sites is required.

The existing system is also presenting problems with respect to maintenance. Expansions or changes to the system's software cannot be made. Critical control boards were designed and built on a one time basis. Many parts required to maintain the system are no longer available.

Following a comprehensive review of state-of-the art systems and the pros and cons of systems used at the water treatment plants in Calgary and Edmonton, the design for the upgrade was undertaken. Specifications were prepared and tenders were called on April 8, 1992, for the supply of a process control system complete with computers, software, and programmable logic controllers that will provide the following functions:

- A means of monitoring and controlling the entire water treatment process and remote storage/pump facilities from a centralized process control computer.
- · A refined pressure and pump control system.
- · An accurate and reliable data logging, reporting and retrieval system.
- · Improved operator response and control through comprehensive system alarm monitoring, a 'user friendly' graphical interface, and event logging.
- · Improved response and control of emergency standby equipment.

The writing of the specifications was governed by the need to ensure:

- A process control system with a high degree of reliability in order to maintain a continuous supply of high quality treated water.
- · A high degree of monitoring and control capability to optimize chemical and power costs.
- Constant pressure control at both local and remote sites to minimize water main breaks.
- Flexibility and ease of configuration in order to meet changing water quality guidelines and subsequent process/operational changes.
- · Ease of configuration and implementation for both maintenance and system enhancements in order to avoid system upsets.

Tenders for the project were received and opened on May 12, 1992. A total of 13 proposals were received. The following table summarizes the base bid of each firm (FOB Saskatoon, taxes extra):

	Company	Office	<u>Price</u>	
	CTH Instruments	Edmonto	on \$88,906 (in	complete)
	ISC Instruments Systems	Calgary	\$ 97,082 (in	complete)
	Westburne Amesco	Saskatoo	n \$176,995	
	Septre Controls	Saskatoo	n \$219,950	
	Westinghouse Canada	Calgary \$	230,313	
	CB Engineering	Calgary	\$231,140	
*	Automation Systems	Edmonto	n \$235,279	
	Satt Control	Scarboro	ugh \$307,560	
*	Honeywell	Calgary	\$315,669	
	Rosemount Instruments	Calgary	\$323,432	
*	Fischer & Porter	Calgary	\$341,258	
	Galvanic Analytical	Calgary	\$361,620	
	Moore Process Systems	Calgary	\$445,954	

A technical/commercial bid evaluation was carried out by project and operational engineers and instrumentation technicians from the Water & Pollution Control Department. A supportive technical evaluation was also carried out by the firm of Hinz Consulting Ltd.. The evaluation indicated that the bid of Automation Systems Inc. was the lowest bid that met the specifications. The bids of CTH Instruments and ISC Instruments Systems were incomplete. The programmable logic controllers (PLC) that were bid by Westburn Amesco, Septre Control, Westinghouse Canada, and CB Engineering did not meet specifications. In each case the PLC was incapable of processing special mathematical functions associated with chemical dosage controls and pressure variation signals/control as required by the specifications. In addition, the person/machine interface software for the systems bid by the four firms did not meet specifications.

The Automation Systems Inc. bid meets all specifications and is recommended for purchase with the following options:

Recommended spare parts	\$6,522
PC lan interface hardware	\$1,275
Optical drive	\$6,755
600 MB hard drive	\$4,390
Upgrade memory to 16MB	\$1,080
	\$20,022

The total system cost will be:

Base system price Options		\$235,279.50 \$20,022.30
	Subtotal	\$255,301.80
PST (8%) GST (7%) Total Contract Cost		\$ 20,424.14 \$ 17,871.13 \$293,597.07
GST Rebate (4%) Total System Cost		\$ 10,212.07 \$283,385.00

The budget estimate for this portion of the project is \$355,700."

RECOMMENDATION:

- that City Council accept the low bid meeting specification from Automation Systems Inc. for the supply of the process control/monitoring system for the Water Treatment Plant for a total contract cost of \$293,597.07, including GST and PST; and,
- 2) that the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents under the Corporate Seal as prepared by the City Solicitor.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.19 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT a representative of Allen-Bradley be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Jim Lysyk, representing Allen-Bradley, briefly reviewed his submitted brief, and indicated that he felt that Allen-Bradley could meet specifications and should be awarded the contract.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Works and Utilities Committee for a report back to Council on July 6, 1992.

Section B - Planning and Development

B1) Discretionary Use Application D3/92 231 Huron Court - Bed and Breakfast For Information Only (File No. CC 4355-1)

Applicant: Hazel Hudson

Legal Description: Lot 116, Block 622, Plan 76-S-02129

Location: 231 Huron Court

Current Zoning: R.1A
Proposed Use: Bed and Breakfast
Date Received: June 3, 1992

The Acting City Planner has received the above-noted discretionary use application which is being processed and will subsequently be submitted to Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B2) Rezoning Application Z6/92
435 Avenue A South - A. L. Cole Site
For Information Only
(File No. CC 4351-1)

Application: Z6/92

Applicant: City of Saskatoon, Planning Department

Legal Description: Parcel K, Plan 63-S-01249

Location: 435 Avenue A South

Current Zoning: I.D.2
Proposed Zoning: M.3(H)
Date Received:June 3, 1992

The Acting City Planner has received the above-noted application for rezoning which is being processed and will subsequently be submitted to City Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B3) 1992 Mosquito Control Program Aerial Larviciding (File No. CC 4200-6)

Report of the General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, June 17, 1992:

"On June 8, 1992, City Council considered a status report from the Planning and Development Committee on the 1992 Mosquito Control Program. In this report, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department indicated that, due to the large area of water-bodies which must be treated in 1992, approximately 70% of this year's budget for the aerial larviciding component of the Mosquito Control Program already had been used. The 1992 budgetary allocation for this component is \$65,000, with the remainder of the Mosquito Control Program's budget (\$183,000) being for ditch and field larviciding and for monitoring.

The status report went on to say that only one additional aerial application of larvicide could be carried out within that approved 1992 budget, at a projected cost of \$28,400. The cost overrun for this second aerial program would be offset by reducing the field larviciding program by approximately \$10,000.

The second aerial application for 1992 was carried out on May 27 to 30 and involved applying larvicide to 1,250 ha. of open water, for a total cost of \$29,714. This application brought the total expenditure for aerial larviciding in 1992 to \$67,561.

In 1991, the four aerial larviciding applications were made over a cumulative area of 2,216 ha. The applications were made on April 19 to 22, May 16 to 18, July 8 to 11, and August 1 and 2. Despite these applications, the nuisance levels for mosquitos were well above the accepted 'industry standard' (i.e. the standard which has been reached by consensus among the various municipalities

that provide mosquito-control services). The effectiveness of the larviciding program in 1991 was hampered by the persistent rainfall in May and June; however, had the larviciding programs not been carried out, the nuisance levels would have been substantially higher than what was actually experienced.

It appears that last winter's snowfall had a greater impact on the amount of open water that must be treated with larvicide than occurred as a result of the record levels of rainfall which we experienced in May and June of 1991. Based on data which was collected over the last four years for the aerial larviciding program, as well as this year's larval monitoring operations, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department anticipates that the larval populations will increase during June and into July, thereby creating potentially-high nuisance levels for adult mosquitos by mid-July.

To prevent a high population of mosquitos for the remainder of 1992, a third application of aerial larvicide should be made between June 26 and July 10, 1992, when mosquito larva are still in an early growth stage. This application would cost an additional \$30,000. If no additional aerial larviciding is carried out in 1992 and if average weather conditions prevail, nuisance levels above the 2 to 3 bite per minute level (i.e. the amount which is generally accepted as being the most that is tolerable) are expected over an extended period this summer. Regardless of whether an additional aerial application occurs during the current budget year, the Department's staff will continue to undertake mosquito-control work with respect to on-going monitoring of mosquito populations, as well as conducting field and ditch larviciding."

The preceding report is being forwarded to City Council at this time for urgent consideration prior to the June 26 to July 10, 1992, time-frame and to take advantage of the effectiveness of the aerial application of larvicide. The Department is asking City Council to approve an over-expenditure to this year's budget for aerial larviciding under the City's Pest Management Program. In the past, the Department has been able to offset over-expenditures in controlling one "pest" by under-expenditures in controlling another "pest". For example, an expanded control program for a higher-than-anticipated population of mosquitos could be financed by reducing the amount of herbiciding that occurs.

This year, as a budget-reduction measure, City Council eliminated the provision for controlling all "pests" except mosquitos (e.g. no funds have been approved for herbiciding to control the growth of dandelions in the City's parks and boulevards). This means that when the budget for the mosquito-control program has been overspent, then the offsetting financing must come from under-expenditures in other programs. Unlike other weather-related services (e.g. snow removal), there is no stabilization reserve available for averaging the year-to-year requirements for the Mosquito Control Program.

As a consequence of the request to undertake another aerial application of larvicide and because it would not be effective to offset this amount by a reduction in the other components of the Mosquito Control Program (i.e. monitoring, ditch and field larviciding), it will be necessary to

finance this over-expenditure by an offsetting service-reduction in another program or through some additional (non-taxation) revenue source. There has not been sufficient time to consider the available financing options. Therefore, it is recommended that City Council should approve the over-expenditure for the aerial larviciding and then should refer the financing of this over-expenditure to the Planning and Development Committee for consideration and for a further report.

RECOMMENDATION:

- that an estimated over-expenditure of \$30,000 in the Pest Management Program of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, be approved to undertake a third application of aerial larvicide to reduce the mosquito population in 1992; and,
- 2) that the financing of this anticipated over-expenditure be referred to the Planning and Development Committee for a report and recommendations.

ADOPTED.

B4) Dutch Elm Disease Control Program Pruning Contract (File No. CC 4200-1)

Report of the General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, June 15, 1992:

"Tenders were requested from private firms for the pruning of City-owned boulevard trees in order to reduce the potential spreading of Dutch Elm Disease. City Council identified the pruning of elm trees as a priority expenditure in the 1992 Operating Budget for the prevention of this Disease. This year, \$135,000 has been approved in the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's Urban Forestry Program for allocation towards the contracting of a private firm to prune the City's boulevard trees. For 1992, the contracted pruning program will concentrate on approximately 1,350 trees which are located in the Westmount and Caswell Hill Neighbourhoods, south from 29th Street to 22nd Street.

Tenders for boulevard tree-pruning were opened on June 10, 1992. The following bids were received:

COMPANY	LOCATION	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL BID PRICE
High Tree Service	Edmonton	\$93.09	\$125,671.50
Cana Management	Saskatoon	\$96.0543	\$129,673.40

The low-tender consisted of the following components:

		TOTAL
	UNIT PRICE	BID PRICE
Base Bid	\$87.00	\$117,450.00
G.S.T.	6.09	8,221.50
P.S.T.	_0.00	0.00
Contract Amount	\$93.09	\$ 125,671.50
G.S.T. Rebate	3.47	4,697.77
Net Cost to City	\$89.62	,
\$120,973.73		

All tenders were accompanied by the required bid bonds and letter of surety.

The lowest bid meets all of the City's specifications and is acceptable to the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department. The second-lowest bid did not meet specifications in a number of areas.

The contract will be structured in a manner such that the average unit price per tree can be extended in order to spend the entire \$135,000 allocation. As a result, another 156 trees can be pruned, at a net cost to the City of \$89.62 per tree."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the unit prices submitted by High Tree Services for the pruning of City-owned boulevard trees be accepted, for a total estimated contract of \$125,671.50 which includes the base tender, G.S.T., and P.S.T.; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

B5) Development Plan Amendment 600 and 700 Blocks Lansdowne Avenue For Information Only (File No. CC 4110-3)

Application: Z7/92

Applicant: City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Various

Location: 600 and 700 Blocks Lansdowne Avenue

Current Land Use Designation: Low Density - Conversions
Proposed Land Use Designation: Low Density - No Conversions

Date Received: June 15, 1992

The Acting City Planner has received the above-noted application for amendment to the Development Plan which is being processed and will subsequently be submitted to City Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B6) Subdivision Application #11/92 1015 and 1105 Central Avenue (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #11/92

Applicant: Larson Surveys Ltd.

Legal Description: Parcels H and K, Plan No. 80-S-23394

Location: 1015 and 1105 Central Avenue

The May 25, 1992, report of the Acting City Planner concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: that Subdivision Application #11/92 be approved, subject to the

payment of \$50.00 which is the required approval fee.

ADOPTED.

B7) Subdivision Application #12/92
Easement Plan - Telephone Transmission Line
Parkridge Neighbourhood
(File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #12/92 Applicant: SaskTel

Legal Description: Buffer Strip B3, Plan No. 77-S-40958 and

Buffer Strip B4, Plan No. 80-S-19252

Location: Parkridge Neighbourhood

The June 9, 1992, report of the Acting City Planner concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized

to execute an agreement with respect to an easement shown on the plan ("Proposed Telephone Cable R/W SaskTel - Saskatoon") which has been attached to this report; and,

2) that Subdivision Application #12/92 be approved, subject to the payment of \$50.00 which is the required approval fee.

ADOPTED.

B8) Subdivision Application #13/92 Braeside View and Braeside Place (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #13/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd.

Legal Description: Pt. of N.W. ¼ Section 19-36-4-W3M Location: Braeside View and Braeside Place

Briarwood Neighbourhood

Phase 3B

The June 3, 1992, report of the Acting City Planner concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

- that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute any agreement with respect to easements which have been identified on the Plan of Proposed Subdivision for Briarwood 3B, as shown in the attachment to this report; and,
- 2) that Subdivision Application #13/92 be approved, subject to:
 - a) the payment of \$1,300.00 which is the required approval fee;
 - b) the owner entering into a servicing agreement with the City of Saskatoon; and,
 - c) the owner granting an easement for berming purposes in favour of the City of Saskatoon as shown on the attached Plan of Proposed Subdivision.

ADOPTED.

B9) Subdivision Application #14/92 Blackthorn Crescent (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #14/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd.

Legal Description: Pt. of W. ½ Section 19-36-4-W3M

Location: Blackthorn Crescent

Briarwood Neighbourhood

Phase 4A

The June 3, 1992, report of the Acting City Planner concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: that Subdivision Application #14/92 be approved, subject to:

- a) the payment of \$1,100.00 which is the required approval fee; and,
- b) the owner entering into a Servicing Agreement with the City of Saskatoon.

ADOPTED.

B10) Sign Permit Application 616 - 10th Street East I.D.2 Zoning District (File No. CC 4315-13)

Report of the Acting City Planner, June 17, 1992:

"A request has been received to paint a sign on an existing structure which is above the roof of the building at 616 - 10th Street East. This building has recently undergone major renovations and includes a restaurant, a number of office uses, and vacant space. The applicant of the sign permit wishes to paint the building's name (Empyreal Building) and the names of its tenants on the existing structure.

The building's roof-structure has, for many years, contained signage -- for example, when it was

occupied by Myers Construction Co. Ltd. A copy of a photograph (which was taken a number of years ago when it was occupied by C. H. Wentz Lumber Company Limited and which shows the building and the signage on its roof at that time) is attached as Schedule A.

Part IV of the Sign Bylaw No. 5138 contains the following clauses which are relevant to this particular application:

- '33. Only the following signs are permitted to be placed or affixed upon the roof of any building in the city:
 - a) non-electric roof signs with less than thirty-two square feet of face area if supported by wooden members; ...'
- 34. For roof signs referred to in paragraphs (a) and (b) of Section (33) above, the top of same shall not be higher than five (5) feet and sixteen (16) feet respectively above the top of the parapet wall or the eave of the building.
- 35. Roof signs exceeding the size and height limitations contained in Section (34) may be erected with special approval of City Council.'

The proposed painted sign will have a face area which exceeds 32 square feet and therefore, the approval of City Council is required. The area of the structure is approximately 980 square feet; however, the painted copy area will cover approximately 50 percent of the total face.

The applicant also has applied for and has received a grant under the Heritage Initiatives Program to assist with the cost of conserving and rehabilitating the old roof-top sign. As part of the City's approval of the sign, design control will be exercised so that the text or graphics are consistent with the original design.

The Planning Department supports this request."

RECOMMENDATION:

that the painting of a sign on the existing roof-structure at 616 - 10th Street East be approved in accordance with the details on Plan 616-10-92.

ADOPTED.

ADDENDUM TO REPORT NO. 15-1992 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER

B11) Appointment of Acting Department Heads (File No. CC 4510-1)

As City Council is aware, the Administration has been attempting to recruit a new City Planner, following the recent retirement of Mr. Ron Cope. The process is not expected to result in the appointment of a new City Planner for another few months. In the meantime, the Planning Department's on-going service responsibilities to its customers, as well as the completion and/or implementation of four separate comprehensive audit studies which affect this Department, have necessitated the re-assignment of existing staff.

Mr. Paul Gauthier who is currently the General Manager of the Leisure Services Department will serve as the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department until such time as a new City Planner is appointed. Ms. Sandi Schultz who is the Manager of the Leisure Services Department's Program Development Branch will become that Department's Acting General Manager.

In addition, Mr. Ray Jones who is the Planning Department's Manager of Development Control has been re-assigned to serve as the Acting Manager of the Department's Building Standards Branch. Mr. Rick Howse will be the Acting Manager of Development Control. Mr. Rob Gilhuly has been re-assigned to serve as the Acting Manager of the Leisure Services Department's Program Development Branch.

These re-assignments have been undertaken to provide greater management direction to the Planning Department and to permit the comprehensive audit process and implementation plans to proceed as expeditiously as possible. No additional staff have been hired for the vacant positions which have resulted from these re-assignments; project responsibilities and workload priorities will be reviewed and adjusted in light of these vacancies.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the information be received; and

2) that the matter be referred to A Committee of the Whole Council.

Section C - Finance

C1) Business Tax Adjustments

May 1992	
(File No. CC 1985-2))

Report of the City Treasurer, June 8, 1992:

"Submitted, copy attached, is a listing of 1992 Business Tax Adjustments in the total of \$75,909.97, which require Council's approval for write-off.

The listing represents businesses which have discontinued business at the premises indicated; these accounts are not uncollectible, but require adjustments in keeping with Business Tax Bylaw #6714 (12) which states:

Where a business is commenced after the 31st day of January or is discontinued before the 1st day of December, the Council, shall, upon written request, adjust the amount levied with respect of that business to correspond with the portion of the year during which the business is, or was, carried on; PROVIDED that such request is made before the expiration of one year following the year in respect of which the amount levied is to be adjusted.'

Business tax adjustments are offset by supplementary assessments on new businesses or businesses that relocate, renovate, or enlarge premises.

The distribution of this write-off will be as follows:

City \$ 32,612.27 School Boards 40,295.41 Business Improvement Districts 3,002.29

\$<u>75,909.97</u>"

RECOMMENDATION: that Council approve of the 1992 Business Tax write-off in the

amount of \$75,909.97, for the reasons detailed on the attached list

for the period May 1, 1992 to May 31, 1992.

ADOPTED.

C2) Investments

(File No. CC 1790-3)

Report of the Investment Services Manager, June 17, 1992:

"With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached list indicates purchases and sales for the City's various funds."

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the above purchases and sales.

ADOPTED.

Section D - Services

D1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council

SUBJECT FROM TO

Schedule of Accounts Paid \$378,481.70 (File No. CC 1530-2) May 30, 1992 June 4, 1992

Schedule of Accounts Paid \$2,086,128.82 (File No. CC 1530-2)	June 2, 1992	June 9, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$137,772.78 (File No. CC 1530-2)	June 4, 1992	June 6, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$311,059.94 (File No. CC 1530-2)	June 8, 1992	June 11, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$9,683,770.25 (File No. CC 1530-2)	June 9, 1992	June 16, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$495,616.42 (File No. CC 1530-2)	June 16, 1992	June 18, 1992

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

D2) Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation Annual Report (File No. CC 600-2)

Report of the City Clerk, June 5, 1992:

"In accordance with the terms of the City's lease agreement with Sutherland Memorial Hall Company, City Council is to receive an annual report, including a summary of the immediately preceding year's operating and rental policies, and a comparative statement.

By letter dated May 30, 1992, the Secretary of the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company has submitted the attached Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 1991, together with the minutes of the May 25, 1992, Annual Meeting and the Hall usage for 1991."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

D3) A. L. Cole Site
Options for Future Use Study
(File No. CC 4132-3)

City Council at its meeting of June 8, 1992, received a report from civic administration (Clause B4, Report No. 13-1992 of the City Commissioner), regarding safety concerns at the A. L. Cole site. At that time, the Administration advised that it would be forwarding a further report to Council with respect to options for future use of the site.

As Council is aware, various meetings have been held between the City of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety, SaskPower, MVA, Riversdale Community Association, and other interested parties concerning the A. L. Cole site. As a result of discussions, a consensus was developed that a formal study be undertaken to determine whether any options other than demolition exist for the A. L. Cole site. Terms of Reference for the study and a request for proposals have been prepared. The parties have agreed that a contribution of 20% of the study costs, to a maximum of \$10,000 be provided by each of SaskPower, Department of Environment and Public Safety, MVA, Security Home Mortgage, and the City of Saskatoon.

Attached is the Terms of Reference for the study. The Terms of Reference include a request to identify options for the City Electrical property, which is adjacent to the A. L. Cole site.

It is hoped that the future use study report will give all parties concerned, a clear indication as to which options should be pursued, and in particular, if there is any option other than demolition of the site.

RECOMMENDATION:

that Council agree to contribute 20% of the cost, to a maximum of \$10,000, to be charged on an interim basis to the General Government Account, for a future use study on the A. L. Cole site, and surrounding land.

ADOPTED.

D4) Transfer of Land
Meewasin Valley Authority to
Wanuskewin Heritage Park
(File Nos. CC 4020-1 and WA4206)

1)

The Meewasin Valley Authority Act provides that prior to the Meewasin Valley Authority transferring land, it must obtain the consent of the three partners in the Meewasin Valley Authority, being the University of Saskatchewan, the Government of Saskatchewan and the City of Saskatoon. A request has been received from the Meewasin Valley Authority to transfer the following land to the Wanuskewin Heritage Park Corporation:

"All those portions of:

The North West Quarter of Section 25, the South East and South West Quarters of Section 35, the North West and South West Quarters of Section 36, Township 37, Range 5, West of the 3rd Meridian, Saskatchewan, shown as Parcel `C', Plan 92-S-11476

MINES AND MINERALS EXCEPTED as to portion formerly, Parcel `A' and MINERALS IN THE CROWN as to the balance."

The above-described land is the land that was acquired by the Meewasin Valley Authority for establishing Wanuskewin, and its transfer is consistent with the understanding between the Meewasin Valley Authority partners and the Wanuskewin Board.

RECOMMENDATION:

that City Council approve the transfer of the following described land from the Meewasin Valley Authority to the Wanuskewin Heritage Park Corporation:

All those portions of:

"The North West Quarter of Section 25, the South East and South West Quarters of Section 35, the North West and South West Quarters of Section 36, Township 37, Range 5, West of the 3rd Meridian, Saskatchewan, shown as Parcel 'C', Plan 92-S-11476

MINES AND MINERALS EXCEPTED as to portion formerly, Parcel 'A' and MINERALS IN THE CROWN as to the balance."

and;

2) that the City Clerk be authorized to advise the Saskatoon Land Titles Office of the above resolution of City Council, and execute all necessary consents under the seal of the City of Saskatoon

ADOPTED.

D5) Saskatoon Firefighters Local No. 80 of International Association of Firefighters and The City of Saskatoon Collective Bargaining Dispute (File No. CC 4720-7)

Report of the Director of Personnel Services, June 12, 1992:

"The last Collective Agreement between the City and the Saskatoon Professional Firefighters Union, Local No. 80, expired on December 31, 1990. In spite of lengthy, albeit very amiable, negotiations, the parties were unable to reach agreement on the issue of wages.

The Fire Department Platoons Act provides that when such an impasse is reached, and the Union has a no-strike provision in its constitution, then the outstanding issues shall be settled through binding arbitration. The Board of Arbitration which is created pursuant to the Act is quite unique in that its job is to decide what will be contained in the new Agreement. This type of a Board is referred to as an 'interest arbitration board' as opposed to a 'rights arbitration board' which deals with the settlement of individual grievances.

A Board of Arbitration was established. The parties agreed to the appointment of Dr. Kurt Wetzel of the College of Commerce, University of Saskatchewan, as Chair. The Union's representative was Mr. Ritchie and the City's representative was Mr. Ronald Neuman, Q.C. The Board began its hearing on April 27, 1992, and heard two and a half (2 1/2) days of testimony and argument on the outstanding issue.

The Award was released on June 4, 1992, and received by the parties on June 8, 1992. It sets out the terms and conditions of a new Collective Agreement covering the period January 1, 1991, to December 31, 1991.

Prior to reaching impasse on the issue of wages, the parties were able to agree to the following issues. All of the changes have been incorporated as part of the Award of the Arbitration Board.

I. <u>ISSUES AGREED UPON PRIOR TO ARBITRATION</u>

1. Article 2 - Term of Agreement

One-year term - January 1, 1991, to December 31, 1991.

2. Article 13 - Working Hours and Conditions

Revision to meal allowance when firefighting for more than three hours during the night.

3. New Article - Dental Plan

To become effective August 1, 1992, with 50:50 cost sharing of premiums. THE EMPLOYER'S COST IS OFFSET BY CUTTING SERVICE PAY - ARTICLE 32.

4. Article 27 - Workers' Compensation

Language change for purpose of clarification.

5. New Article - Parental Leave

No such article had previously existed. One was drafted which is similar to that in our other contracts.

6. Article 30 - Stand-Ins

Article was updated in accordance with operational practice agreed upon in June, 1991 - NO EXTRA COST.

7. Article 32 - Service Pay

As an offset to the Employer's cost share of the Dental Plan, it was agreed that effective July 31, 1992, the Article becomes null and void, however, more senior employees were given 'grandfather' protection of service pay until retirement or termination.

8. Article 33 - Working in Higher Classifications

The payment date was revised from monthly to December 15th each year. This is beneficial to the City's administration.

9. Article 36 - Uniforms and Work Clothing

Article was updated in accordance with operational requirements and as agreed upon in June, 1991 - NO EXTRA COST.

10. Wages and Salaries - Schedule A

Effective December 1, 1991, certain index changes were agreed upon to reflect the substantive change in responsibilities of the following classifications:

Class	Effective December 1, 1991	Previously
Fire Marshall - 2nd year	135	130
- 1st year	130	125
Fire Inspector - 3rd year	115	110
- 2nd year	110	110
- 1st year	105	110
Fire Investigator - 3rd year	115	110
- 2nd year	110	110
- 1st year	105	110
Senior Fire Inspector - 2nd year	122	Did not exist, however,
- 1st year	117	no new position is
		created - only a staff
(New classification)		member upgraded
Communications Supervisor	104	Formerly Senior Fire
-		Dispatcher at 102
Senior Fire Dispatcher (15 years) *	98	Did not exist - Fire
1 (),		Dispatcher 1st Class
		was most senior at 92
Senior Fire Dispatcher (10 years)	94	Did not exist

^{*} No one currently eligible.

II. THE ISSUE AT DISPUTE - WAGES

City Council is aware that in July, 1991, the City of Regina had imposed upon it an arbitration award for its firefighters. Mr. Robert Laing, Q.C., chaired the Arbitration Board which, among many other issues, awarded Regina firefighters 12.54% in two years - 1990 and 1991.

January 1, 1990	- 3.0%
July 1, 1990	- 4.0%
January 1, 1991	- 3.0%
July 1, 1991	- 2.0%

This compares with the 3.0% in 1990 awarded Saskatoon firefighters as the last of a three-year award by the Prosser Arbitration Board (I.A.F.F. Local No. 80 vs. City of Saskatoon, 1988-1990).

The Laing award had the effect of putting Regina firefighters 9.1% ahead of those in Saskatoon for 1991.

Council is also aware that arbitrators in interest arbitrations in Fire and Police in Western Canada have accepted that market comparisons must be made by comparing rates in cities above a population of 100,000.

The City's position has consistently been that we look to the local market place and if any external comparisons are to be made, they would be with other Saskatchewan centres rather than throughout Western Canada.

There has also been a tacit acceptance by some arbitrators of a relativity in wages between Police and Fire. While this is a proposition which has not been supported in the application of formal job evaluation systems, nonetheless it has been one which has impacted greatly on the increases to wages of firefighters.

The Regina Laing Award supported both the proposition that Regina must compare more favourably with Western Canadian Cities average wage rate as well as `narrowing the difference between the wages of Police and Fire.'

The management nominee to the Regina Board, Mr. Ronald Neuman, Q.C., wrote a scathing dissent, and agreed that:

- 1. The comparison with Police is specious.
- 2. It is astonishing that in these difficult economic times, such an extraordinary, generous end lift would be contemplated, let alone granted.
- 3. One of the really troubling aspects of the wage increase is that it largely ignores the one factor which, over time, has been of crucial importance in settling Regina firefighter rates, namely the rates paid to Saskatoon firefighters, and, in order for Saskatoon to catch up to the Regina 1991 end rate of \$43,530 it will have to increase its 1990 rate by \$3,627. That represents an increase of 9.0%. Somehow, Saskatoon will have to convince its ratepayers that it ought to grant its Firefighters a 9.0% increase in 1991. It places the City of Saskatoon in an intolerable position in respect of next year's negotiations.

That was the backdrop against which we were obliged to proceed to binding arbitration for 1991.

III. THE ARBITRATION BOARD HEARING

The Union at the hearing sought an increase of 5.5% above the City's offer of 4.0%. The City settled with all of its Unions in 1991 for 4.0%.

The City's arguments were based upon:

- 1. The state of the Saskatchewan economy.
- 2. The state of the Saskatoon economy.
- 3. The financial position of Saskatchewan.
- 4. The financial position of Saskatoon and, in summary, the ability of the taxpayer to pay what is deemed an outrageous wage increase in these times.

The Union's arguments were based upon:

- 1. Productivity increases in the Fire Department.
- 2. Historical comparison with other firefighters' wages in Western Canada and particularly in Regina.
- 3. Other arbitration awards.
- 4. Comparisons with Fire and Police salaries.

IV. THE ARBITRATION AWARD DECISION

Having heard all of the evidence and argument, the Arbitration Board awarded a wage increase of:

```
4.0% effective January 1, 1991; and, 2.0% effective July 1, 1991.
```

The 1991 cost increase is 5.0%, while end lift increase is 6.08%. The City of Saskatoon has budgeted for an increase of only 4.0%. The Board has also ordered incorporated in its Award those issues tentatively agreed upon between the bargaining committees.

The City and Union representatives have both filed dissents to the Award. Nonetheless, the Award is binding upon the parties, as written."

RECOMMENDATION: that the above information be received and forwarded to the

Personnel and Organization Committee.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 13-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman K. Waygood, Chairman Alderman G. Penner Alderman P. McCann

1. Community Associations Indoor-recreation Programs, Fall 1992 Co-sponsorship Funding (Files CK, 1870-2-3, 155-1 and 5500-1)

Report of General, Manager, Leisure Services Department, June 10, 1992:

"In the 1992 Operating Budget, the City reduced by one-half the amount of its financial assistance to community associations to hire instructors for their indoor-recreation programs. This reduction will take effect this fall.

A short-term administrative strategy has been developed by the Leisure Services Department with respect to implementing the funding reductions. For the Committee's information, the strategy for the 1992 fall season has been outlined in this report.

Later this summer, a proposed longer-term funding strategy for community associations will be presented to the Planning and Development Committee for consideration. This strategy will take into account the lower level of municipal funding which is now being provided to community associations. Following the Committee's consideration of this matter, the Department anticipates that the proposed strategy will be forwarded to the community associations for their comments. These comments will be taken into account in finalizing the long-term funding strategy for implementation during the 1993 fiscal year.

Short-term Strategy for Implementation in the Fall 1992 Season

Current Funding

Currently, the City's funding to community associations for indoor-recreation program-instructors is administered in the following manner:

• Up to eight program instructors are recruited, placed, contracted, and paid by the Leisure Services Department for the nine-week season in the fall and the ten-week winter season. These instructors are paid in accordance with the City's honorarium guidelines for contract instructors.

Community associations that serve more that one neighbourhood receive funding for up to twelve programs in recognition of the larger population which they serve. Five community associations currently receive this recognition (Sutherland/Forest Grove, Silverwood, Varsity View, Adelaide Park/Churchill, and North Park/Richmond Heights). Pleasant Hill has also received funding for up to 12 programs in recognition of the twinning of the St. Mary's Home and School Association and the Pleasant Hill Community Association.

- The average amount of funding which has been received by community associations is \$1,100 per season. This amount varies among the associations and the seasons depending upon the number of programs that are offered. During the 1992 winter season (January to March), the City's funding to all community associations totalled \$45,000.
- Community associations are responsible for all of the costs of any programs which they offer over the eight or twelve programs which receive funding from the City.

Proposed Funding -- Fall 1992 Season

With the reduction in the City's funding for co-sponsorship or for paying honoraria to indoor-recreation instructors, the total amount which is available for allocation to all community associations during the 1992 fall season (October to December) is \$26,000. City Council did not issue any specific direction on allocating this amount among all community associations when the overall funding level was reduced. Therefore, the Leisure Services Department has assumed, in formulating this short-term strategy, that City Council would prefer that the impact of these funding reductions is not applied uniformly among all community associations -- specifically, that the impact is not as great on those community associations in the Inner City area. On this assumption, the Department's short-term funding strategy provides that community associations in the Inner City will receive the same level of funding this fall for their indoor-recreation programs as they received during the 1991 fall season.

Therefore, the short-term funding strategy for community associations' indoor-recreation programs during the 1992 fall season will be as follows:

• Inner City Community Associations

The Inner City Area Recreation Consultant will be allocated \$5,300 of the total funding provision for distribution to the four Inner City community associations. The allocation is based on the co-sponsorship procedures which applied in the fall of 1991 (i.e. 8 to 12 program instructors per community association).

- All Other Community Associations
 - a) Community associations which serve a single neighbourhood will receive a \$500 grant to pay for their instructors; however, to receive this level of funding, the associations must deliver at least 50 hours of indoor-recreation programs over a nine-week period. The amount of financial assistance is based on the available funds in the 1992 Operating Budget and represents approximately one-half of the average amount that the community associations would have received under the previous year's budgetary provision. The requirement for fifty hours of programming is based on an evaluation of the amount of programming that a community association can reasonably deliver over the nine-week fall programming season.

Community Associations serving more than one neighbourhood will receive a \$750 grant to pay for their instructors. To receive this grant, they must deliver 75 hours of indoor-recreation programs over the nine-week period.

- b) Community associations that are unable to deliver the amount of programming hours which has been stipulated for the City's funding will receive a proportion of the grant based on the number of programming hours that they deliver (e.g. if 40 program-hours are delivered, the association will receive 80% of the base grant).
- c) If at the end of the season all of the budgeted funding for an area has not been allocated, community associations will be eligible to receive a funding bonus. The allocation of these remaining funds will be at the discretion of the Area Recreation Consultant who has been assigned to the area with the unexpended funds. However, to be eligible for these additional funds, the community association must demonstrate that:
 - it has delivered programs which exceed the minimum number of hours that are required for the base grant; and,
 - there is an economic need for such assistance (e.g. the programs generate a low level of revenue and have high overhead costs).

Support Services Provided by the Leisure Services Department to Community Associations for Indoor-Recreation Programs

• Instructor Recruitment and Placement

Currently, the Leisure Services Department provides the following instructor recruitment and placement services for community associations:

- a) Community associations can ask the Leisure Services Department to recruit and to fund instructors for 8 to 12 programs in each of the fall and winter programming seasons.
- b) The Leisure Services Department recruits, interviews by telephone, and places only those instructors whom it funds. Any other instructors which are not funded by the City must be recruited by the community associations, although the Department will offer assistance identifying individuals through its Instructors Registry.
- c) The Leisure Services Department provides the following services, on behalf of the community associations, for those instructors which the City funds:
 - a letter to confirm the placement of the instructors,
 - an orientation package for each instructor,
 - a contract for each instructor, and

- pay cheques for instructors funded by the Department (with the community associations being responsible for paying any instructors which are not funded by the City).
- d) A summary report of all program-instructor placements and contracts will be provided to the community associations' indoor-recreation co-ordinators prior to their registration nights.

For the fall 1992 season, the Leisure Services Department will add the following support services for recruiting and placing instructors:

- a) The Leisure Services Department will assist the community associations in the recruiting and placing instructors for every indoor-recreation program that they wish to provide this fall, as opposed to only 8 to 12 programs. The Department will also assist the community associations in recruiting instructors to teach their programs.
- b) Regardless of who recruited them, every instructor who teaches at the neighbourhood level will have an opportunity, if they wish and are suitable, to be placed on the Instructor Registry for future employment. Community associations will be asked to notify the Leisure Services Department of any instructors whom they have recruited so that all instructors can be placed on the Registry.

For those instructors who have been identified by the community associations, the Leisure Services Department will do a background check of their credentials and will conduct a telephone interview. In the longer-term, the Department would prefer to interview all potential instructors in person; however, limited resources do not make it possible for the Department to take such action at this time.

The Department hopes that this service will be of considerable assistance to the community associations' indoor-recreation co-ordinators (who are providing volunteer services). Staff resources within the Department's Community Development Branch will be redirected to accommodate this additional volunteer support and will include co-ordinating the recruitment and placement of all neighbourhood-based program-instructors and allowing for greater consultation with the indoor-recreation co-ordinators in utilizing the Department's Instructor Registry.

c) On behalf of the community associations and based on the information which is provided by the indoor-recreation co-ordinators, the Leisure

Services Department will produce and deliver computerized contracts, confirmation letters, and orientation packages to every program-instructor who is teaching at the neighbourhood level. Previously, this service was only provided for those instructors who were paid directly by the Leisure Services Department.

d) The Leisure Services Department will follow-up with all instructors to ensure that they are paid according to the hours that they have worked and the terms and conditions of their contracts with the community associations.

Volunteer Indoor Co-ordinator Training

The Leisure Services Department will continue to provide volunteer support in the form of:

- a) volunteer training of indoor co-ordinators with respect to their job duties,
- b) one-on-one consultation with volunteer indoor co-ordinators on:
 - program planning, supervision, and evaluation,
 - setting registration fees,
 - setting and conducting registration procedures,
 - evaluating program instructors, and
 - reporting, and
- c) providing seminars and workshops for indoor-recreation co-ordinators on how to determine programming needs and how to set programming schedules

Also, the Department will provide the following additional volunteer support:

- a) additional seminars and workshops for indoor-recreation co-ordinators to assist the volunteers in developing programming schedules and in determining which programs to deliver, based on the needs of their neighbourhoods and the suburban area, and
- b) additional one-on-one consultation with volunteer indoor co-ordinators on:
 - recruiting instructors,
 - evaluating program content and design, and
 - setting programs in consultation with other community associations and the Leisure Service Department's delivery agents within the suburban area.

Advertising Services

All programs which are delivered by community associations will continue to be advertised in the Department's Fall and Winter Leisure Guides.

Community Associations' Responsibilities

The community associations will continue to carry out the following functions:

- a) They will determine the programming activities and will schedule the programs that they wish to deliver.
- b) They will book all facilities that they require for delivering their programs and will pay any associated rental charges.
- c) They will supervise all instructors and will provide a written evaluation of the performance of these instructors for incorporation into the Instructor Registry.
- d) They will provide the Leisure Services Department with statistical information on the programs that they have delivered (e.g. the hours of programming which have been delivered, the number and age-groups of participants, measures of the participants' satisfaction with the programs, etc.)
- e) They currently pay the instructors which are not funded by the Leisure Services Department.

The community associations will be asked to carry out the following additional duties:

- a) Their volunteers will be responsible for personally orientating, supervising, and evaluating every instructor who is teaching a program on their behalf.
- b) They will be given the responsibility of directly paying every instructor for the actual hours which they have worked within the contract which will be provided to them by the Leisure Services Department (on behalf of the community association). It is suggested that the community associations will continue to use the City's honorarium guidelines to set the rate of pay for the instructors.

Conclusion

The Leisure Services Department feels that this short-term strategy is a fair and appropriate response to allocating the remaining funding among community associations who are

providing indoor-recreation programs during the fall of 1992. The Department's expanded volunteer-support services will be accommodated through the redirection of existing staff-resources.

The Department's Leadership Development Consultant will be assigned the additional duties of recruiting and placing instructors and of co-ordinating the Instructor Registry (including contracts, orientation packages, etc.). This person will also continue to co-ordinate the delivery of all centralized instructor- and volunteer-training seminars and workshops, but will no longer provide centralized resource development functions (e.g. volunteer manuals). The Area Recreation Consultants will spend more time assisting the community associations' volunteers with all aspects of delivering their programs and with providing additional support services.

A more global strategy needs to be developed that will look at the annual operating funding and volunteer support that the City will provide to community associations in the longer term. The Leisure Services Department believes that through its improved volunteer-support services, it can continue to encourage the community associations' volunteers to deliver neighbourhood-based recreation programs. Therefore, the Department is developing a proposed long-term funding and volunteer-support strategy for community associations for implementation during the 1993 fiscal year. This long-term strategy will include consultations with the community associations on their views and preferences for operational and program funding and support. It will be presented to the Planning and Development Committee for their approval, in principle, before it is forwarded to the community associations for their comments and reaction."

The Planning and Development Committee endorses the Leisure Services Department's short-term funding and volunteer support strategy for the 1992 fall season of the community associations' indoor-recreation programs.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

2. Amendment to Building Bylaw
Increase in Building Permit Application Fee
and
Comprehensive Audit
Building Standards Branch, Planning Department
(Files CK. 301-1 and 1600-1)

Report of Director of Planning and Development, June 5, 1992:

"As part of its consideration of the comprehensive audit of the Planning Department's Building Standards Branch, City Council resolved the following during its February 17, 1992, meeting:

`that the Administration be directed to bring forward recommendations for permit fee increases in 1992 and subsequent years in order for the program to achieve break-even status by 1994'.

This resolution was the result of City Council's consideration of the following three recommendations which appeared in the Audit Services Department's January 29, 1992, comprehensive-audit report:

- That consideration be given to increasing permit fees in order to achieve break-even status by 1994.
- That consideration be given to increasing the minimum permit fee to \$50.
- That reduction in expenses, including staff savings suggested on page 26, and any other savings identified by management, be implemented to reduce the expected deficit.'

The reference in the preceding recommendations to "page 26" pertains to a proposed reduction in the number of inspectors -- an action which was implemented by City Council in the 1992 Operating Budget.

Background

The current application fee for building-permit applications is as follows:

- for the first \$100,000 of construction value, \$5.25 for every \$1,000 of such construction value or \$25.00, whichever is greater, and
- for all construction value over the first \$100,000, \$4.00 for every \$1,000 of such construction value

This fee schedule was approved by City Council, through an amendment to The Building Bylaw, on April 8, 1991.

Last year's increase was the first since 1984. The change which was made by City Council, at that time, involved raising the ad-valorem rate on construction of less than \$100,000 from \$5.00 to \$5.25. On January 25, 1992, the Administration had proposed retaining the advalorem rates and increasing the minimum fee from \$25.00 to \$50.00. However, City

Council did not agree with this approach because of its financial impact on small construction projects, particularly under the current economic conditions. This resulted in the revised approach which placed the impact of the increase on larger projects.

A copy of the Audit Services Department's analysis (from the January 29, 1992, comprehensive-audit report) of the building-permit fees is attached. The report recommends an 'average' increase to the fee of 19% (along with the savings from the staffing reductions which have been implemented) in order to bring the building-permit program to a break-even level. The report recommends that 'serious consideration' should be given to raising the minimum fee from \$25.00 to \$50.00 because the latter is the approximate cost for processing the permit applications for the small construction projects.

Proposed Fee Increase

According to the comprehensive-audit report, the building-permit program has operated at a deficit during the 1990 and 1991 fiscal years. Without taking into account the staffing reductions which were implemented as a consequence of the audit, the projected deficit for 1992 was over \$200,000. Obviously, the volume and value of construction activity in any year, as well as the ability of the Administration to modify the staffing levels to respond to these changes, will have an impact on the existence and the extent of any deficits that may be realized in any year.

In light of the comments in the comprehensive-audit report and City Council's February 17, 1992, resolution to achieve a break-even position by 1994, the Administration suggests the following adjustments to the fee schedule, as the first step towards decreasing the deficit in this program:

- the minimum fee should be increased from \$25.00 to \$50.00,
- the ad-valorem rate for construction which is valued at up to \$100,000 should be increased from \$5.25 to \$5.50, and
- the ad-valorem rate for construction which is valued from \$100,000 to \$200,000 should be increased from \$4.00 to \$5.50.

If these changes are approved for implementation on July 1, 1992, an additional \$24,000 could be generated for the current fiscal year.

The proposed increase attempts to bring the fee closer to the City's cost of providing the service. For small residential projects, the Planning Department has estimated that the City's average cost of processing the application and inspecting the project is approximately \$77. Therefore, raising the minimum application fee to \$50 still does not cover the cost of the service, but nevertheless significantly reduces the current level of subsidization.

The proposed increase to the ad-valorem rates is designed to spread the cost-impact of the change among a broader range of construction projects. For example, if just the minimum fee is increased, the impact will only be felt by construction projects with a value of less than \$10,000. This report's proposal specifically targets the increases to projects of up to \$200,000 in value, recognizing that the greatest amount of subsidization is occurring over this range. It should be noted that this increase will also affect construction projects with a value of greater than \$200,000 because the fee is calculated in a two-step, cumulative fashion (i.e. the \$5.50 rate applies to the first \$200,000 of value and the \$4.00 rate applies to the portion of the value which exceeds \$200,000).

Comparisons with Other Cities

Attached is a table which summarizes the comparable rates in other cities for building-permit applications. The comparison is based on the rates which were in effect in January 1992.

With specific reference to Regina, the current fee on the construction of a \$100,000 house is \$25 higher in Saskatoon; under the proposed fee, the difference would be \$50 higher. For a \$1,000,000 commercial building, Saskatoon's fee is now \$875 lower than in Regina; the proposed fee is \$700 lower.

Conclusion

The recommendations in this report are based on the direction which has been provided in the comprehensive-audit report for the Building Standards Branch of the Planning Department and which was approved by City Council on February 17, 1992. The proposal has been put forward as the first of a series of increases which will convert the funding of the building-permit program from a tax-subsidized to a user-pay basis.

The Administration anticipates that the next fee increase will be proposed as part of the Planning Department's 1993 Operating Budget submission."

Your Committee has considered this matter and

RECOMMENDS: 1) that the application fee for building permits be increased to the following:

- for the first \$200,000 of construction value, \$5.50 for every \$1,000 of such construction value or \$50.00, whichever is greater, and

- for all construction value over the first \$200,000, \$4.00 for every \$1,000 of such construction value;
- 2) that the new fees become effective on July 1, 1992; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate amendment to The Building Bylaw.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.20 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Mr. Ken McKinley be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Ken McKinley, Executive Director of the Saskatoon Home Builders Association, provided members of Council with a copy of his brief. Mr. McKinley briefly reviewed his submission requesting Council to table the matter until the full program can be reviewed and approved.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Planning and Development Committee.

3. Civic Buildings and Grounds Department Grounds Maintenance Program 1992 Service Level Reductions (Files CK. 1705, 4139-1 and 1600-1)

Report of Director of Planning and Development, June 11, 1992:

"During the preparation and approval of the 1992 Operating Budget, a number of service-level reductions were made to the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's Grounds Maintenance Program. Some of these reductions were the direct result of suggestions and recommendations in the recently-completed comprehensive audit of this Program; others were implemented as a consequence of City Council's actions to minimize the increase in this year's municipal mill rate.

Because the consideration of the various service-level reductions for this Program was not undertaken in a co-ordinated and integrated manner (i.e. the reductions were identified and approved at different stages of this year's budget-review process), the implementation of the final decisions has resulted in different standards of maintenance being applied within and among various park and open-space areas. While the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has attempted to implement these service reductions in the most efficient manner, this has not always been possible in cases where City Council's resolutions have included specific instructions on how the reductions are to be applied.

The Department anticipates that the impact of these decisions will raise various questions by the public. In order to assist the members of the Committee and other members of City Council in responding to these questions, the following report has been prepared as an

information document for future reference on the grounds-maintenance standards that will be in effect for the 1992 season on City-owned parks, sports-fields, boulevards, and road rights-of-way.

Report of the General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, May 12, 1992:

'In the 1992 Operating Budget, the following service-level reductions were made to the Grounds Maintenance Program in order to achieve reduced operating costs:

- 1. A compressed maintenance season was recommended by the Administration and resulted in a \$97,000 operating-cost saving for 1992. This decision was implemented by not hiring any seasonal grounds-maintenance staff until the first of May and by laying them off at an earlier date (i.e. by the end of September). In the past, seasonal staff have been hired for approximately 5.5 to 6.0 months, depending on weather conditions; this year, the maintenance season will be for five months and will not be extended if weather conditions are favourable for a longer season. If, for example, there is a late winter, maintenance services will not again be provided until spring of the subsequent year.
- 2. As a result of a recommendation from the Administration, the mowing frequency on road rights-of-way has been reduced from five to two cycles per year. This reduction was originally suggested by the Audit Services Department as a way of testing the public's reaction to lower maintenance levels. This change resulted in a budgetary saving of \$35,000. To implement the two-mowing maintenance cycle, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department is expected to complete the first cut by the end of June or mid-July. The second cycle will be completed by the middle to the end of August. The impact of these reductions will apply to the dryland grass (and weeds) on road rights-of-way and buffer strips along Circle Drive, Idylwyld Drive, and the highways entering the City.
- 3. Through a resolution which was initiated and approved by City Council, the mowing frequency on dryland non-sport turf (which includes parks and boulevards) has been reduced from nine to seven mowing-cycles per year. This decision resulted in budgetary saving of \$38,000.

This service-level reduction was originally suggested by the Audit Services Department and was the consequence of attempting to calculate the cost of various maintenance activities which are, for the most part, delivered in an integrated manner. Some parks are not fully irrigated and therefore, contain a combination of dryland non-sport turf which is adjacent to an irrigated area

and to a sportsfield area. In these situations, a single park area could now contain as many as three different mowing standards.

City Council's decision to specify a reduced cutting frequency on dryland non-sport turf will constrain the Administration's ability to effect the most efficient use of its equipment and staff in order to achieve the approved budget savings, with the smallest impact on the Program's overall service-level. In order to achieve the savings which were directed by City Council, the Department has reviewed its standards for all non-irrigated open-space areas. As a consequence and so as to use its resources in a more efficient manner, some specific sportsfields will be mowed less frequently than others and some non-sports turf will be cut more frequently than the standard which has been approved by City Council. This is being done on the assumption that City Council is more interested in realizing the cost-savings, than in adhering to a particular mowing cycle which results in the inefficient use of staff and equipment and therefore, which will require further service reductions to avoid over-expending the approved budget.

In order to clarify the effects of implementing different mowing cycles in accordance with City Council's decision on non-sport turf (and incorporating certain administrative adjustments in order to retain some efficiencies when applying the different standards), a table has been attached which explains the cycles for the specific parks, medians, and other open-spaces which are affected by this decision."

Your Committee has reviewed this report with representatives of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department and

RECOMMENDS: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

4. Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations (File No. CK. 4200-1)

Report of General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, June 12, 1992:

"Under <u>The Pest Control Act</u>, the Provincial Government can make regulations for the control of specific pests. In April 1992, the Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations were approved under the authority of this Act. For the Committee's information, attached is a copy of <u>The Pest Control Act</u> and the recently-approved regulations on controlling Dutch Elm Disease.

The new regulations have several implications for municipalities in Saskatchewan. The following are some of the more significant implications for the City of Saskatoon:

- A council of a municipality may appoint a Pest Control Officer to enforce this Act.
- Infected or hazardous elm trees must be removed by the property owner.
- Property owners with elm trees are responsible for keeping their trees pruned of dead and dying wood.
- All elm-parts (firewood, limbs, and brush) which have been removed from these trees must be either burned or buried at a designated disposal site. It is the responsibility of the municipality to designate such a site.
- Elm trees are not to be pruned between April 13 and July 31, unless written authorization has been received from the municipality's Pest Control Officer.
- It is illegal to store, use, exchange, or transport elm firewood within Saskatchewan.

As a result of these regulations, the City is required to take certain actions and responsibilities in controlling Dutch Elm Disease. The following is a proposed plan of action which has been developed to respond to these requirements:

- 1. Dutch Elm Disease (D.E.D.) has the potential to devastate the entire population of elm trees in Saskatoon. Since these trees are a valuable part of our community, a Pest Control Officer should be appointed to enforce the Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations within Saskatoon's city limits.
- 2. As the owner of boulevard trees, the City is obligated to remove hazardous and infected trees, as well as to keep trees pruned of dead and dying wood. This issue has been partially addressed by City Council in the 1992 budget, with the addition of

a \$100,000 provision to expand the City's tree-pruning program.

- 3. Under these regulations, a municipality is required to establish a designated disposal site for the burning or burying of parts of elm trees. Therefore, the Works and Utilities Committee should be requested to initiate and to facilitate a process for identifying and establishing such a disposal site.
- 4. The Pest Control Officer may enter private property to investigate the existence of hazardous or diseased trees and to investigate firewood piles. The Officer is able to issue an order requiring the property owner to remove the trees and/or elm firewood. If the owner is unwilling to do so, the tree and/or firewood may be removed by the City and charged to the owner's property taxes. Circumstances may require the City's Pest Control Officer to exercise his/her authority under The Pest Control Act in a manner which is similar to that which is presently being exercised by the City's Weed Inspector.
- 5. Under the new regulations, elm trees cannot be pruned between April 13 and July 31, without the written authorization of the Pest Control Officer. Research has shown that fresh wounds on elm trees (eg. pruning-cuts) will attract Elm Bark Beetles which are vectors of Dutch Elm Disease. In an area or region where the Disease is present, the attraction of these pruning-cuts to infected beetles would most certainly spread the inoculum and would more rapidly kill the remaining elm-tree population.

Since there have been no confirmed cases of D.E.D. in Saskatoon or in the immediate area around Saskatoon, it is more important to continue pruning the dead and dying wood out of the elm trees than to adhere to the specific prohibition (during certain periods) on pruning which is essential in areas where the Disease is known to exist. However, it is important to ensure that if the Disease is found in Saskatoon, the pruning activity (within the specified time period) can be rapidly suspended.

Therefore, as soon as City Council appoints a Pest Control Officer, civic departments and any other members of the public will be given written authorization to prune elm trees. When the first case of D.E.D. is confirmed within or near the city, this written authorization will be revoked and the April 13 to July 31 period during which pruning is prohibited will be strictly enforced."

Your Committee has reviewed this proposal with representatives of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department and wishes to advise the Works and Utilities Committee that the Planning and Development Committee is willing to participate in the identification of a disposal site for waste from infected elm trees in the City, if it would be helpful.

RECOMMENDATION:

- that Mr. Dave Domke, Supervisor of Arboriculture in the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's Pest Control Officer for enforcing the Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations under The Pest Control Act;
- 2) that the City Clerk inform the Provincial Minister of Agriculture and Food of the appointment of the City's Pest Control Officer; and
- 3) that the Works and Utilities Committee facilitate the identification and establishment of a site for the disposal of waste from elm trees in Saskatoon.

ADOPTED.

Pursuant to motion by Alderman Birkmaier, and carried by a majority of members of Council, the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.

5. Impact of Revised Program Scheduling Cosmo Civic Centre (Files CK. 1705 and 610-2)

This item is to be considered in conjunction with Item No. 6a) of Unfinished Business.

The Planning and Development Committee requested a report from the Confederation Park Suburban Program Advisory Board providing their comments about the revised program scheduling at the Cosmo Civic Centre.

Report of General Manager, Leisure Services Department, June 10, 1992:

"During its January 28, 1992, meeting, the Board of Directors of the Confederation Suburban Program Advisory Board considered the issue of `cutbacks' to leisure services within their suburban area. At that time, the Board did not express any concerns with respect to the `cutbacks'. Instead, they were somewhat dissatisfied with how the decisions were made, specifically the lack of communications between the Board and City Council. They felt that they should have been asked by City Council for input and comments on the

cutting of services before the decisions were made. This concern was expressed in a March 24, 1992, letter to the Planning and Development Committee from Shannon Elliot, Chairperson of the Confederation Suburban Program Advisory Board. (See Appendix A.)

The Confederation Suburban Program Advisory Board will not meet again until late June. Consequently, in order to respond to the Committee's May 19, 1992, resolution prior to the next meeting of City Council, the Leisure Services Department sent a letter (dated May 28, 1992) to the Board requesting further comments on this issue (on behalf of the residents of its suburban area). (See Appendix B.)

To date, representatives of the community associations who are represented on the Confederation Suburban Program Advisory Board have not identified any new concerns. One representative indicated that a resident who is enrolled in a class at the Cosmo Civic Centre finds the new afternoon time for an aerobics class not as convenient as the previously-held morning class. Two other representatives reiterated the earlier-expressed concern about the importance of obtaining the Confederation Suburban Program Advisory Board's input into these types of matters before City Council makes a decision."

Report of the Director of Planning and Development, June 10, 1992 (excerpt):

"Programming at the Cosmo Civic Centre is continually under review. The recently-completed needs assessment for this suburban area will provide a useful base upon which to evaluate programming changes and opportunities. The suburban program advisory boards and their associated community associations will continue to be the primary point of contact in evaluating the public's response and concerns to programming changes."

Your Committee reviewed this matter with a representative of the Leisure Services Department and has agreed to a change in procedure with respect to funding for programs in that the Committee will be obtaining feedback from the Suburban Program Advisory Boards once the preliminary 1993 Operating Budget has been released.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Item 6a) of "Unfinished Business be brought forward and considered, and that Ms. Shelly Smith be heard.

CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

6a) Communications to Council

From: Shelly Smith

911 Avenue J North

Date: March 9, 1992

Subject: Requesting permission to address Council

re cutbacks to City's leisure facilities

(File No. CK. 1705)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"Attached is a copy of Clause 7, Report No. 11-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee which was DEALT WITH AS STATED by City Council at its meeting held on May 25, 1992:

'Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.21 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

Moved by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Ms. Smith be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Smith requested Council to defer consideration of the matter in order that she have time to prepare a response.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that consideration of the matter be deferred for four weeks.'

A report on the matter is also being submitted under Clause 5, Report No. 13-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

Also attached is a copy of letter dated June 17, 1992 from Ms. Shelly Smith, requesting permission to present her report of June 15, 1992."

Ms. Shelly Smith, reviewed her submitted brief dated June 15, 1992, and noted that the report of the Planning and Development Committee addresses some of her concerns. She indicated that she believed cost effectiveness can be achieved but not in the manner that is currently being done.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.

REPORT NO. 14-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman K. Waygood, Chairman Alderman G. Penner Alderman P. McCann

1. 1990 Annual Report
City of Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council
(File No. CK, 430-19)

City Council considered the 1990 Annual Report of the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council at its meeting held on October 7, 1991 under Clause 12, Report No. 31-1991 of the Planning and Development Committee and

"IT WAS RESOLVED (in part):

4) that the report be referred back to the Planning and Development Committee to bring forward any recommendations to be discussed and pursued further by Council."

Report of Director of Planning and Development, June 10, 1992(excerpt):

"Solid Waste Management

Report of the Director of Works and Utilities, June 1, 1992:

The Environmental Advisory Council recommended that the City of Saskatoon and other interested parties should undertake a jointly-sponsored educational initiative on solid-waste management (i.e. reduction, reuse, recycling, recovery, and disposal). The Advisory Council requested City Council to provide additional funding that would enable the Engineering Department to educate the public in municipal solid-waste issues.

I am supportive of an initiative to educate the public on the various aspects of modern solid-waste management. I believe that such an initiative would be more effective than a "blue-box program", particularly when the costs are taken into account. We currently support other initiatives, such as Cosmo's recycling of old newspapers, on the basis that they make economic sense to the City. However, funding for an educational initiative has not been included in the City's 1992 Operating Budget.'

Sewage Treatment

Report of the Director of Works and Utilities, June 1, 1992:

Further initiatives to satisfy the Saskatchewan Department of Environment and Public Safety (permit to operate) require additional improvements which must be completed by December 1995 and include a \$38-million addition to the plant to deal with the biological treatment of sewage. The Environmental Advisory Council strongly advocates getting secondary sewage treatment in place by the projected date.

The 1992 Capital Budget and Five-year Plan, and the current water and sewer rates are predicated on the basis that secondary sewage treatment will be in place in 1995. In terms of progress, the consultant for Phase I of upgrading the sewage treatment plant has been selected and is proceeding.'

Banning of Halons and Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)

Report of the Manager of Building Operations and Maintenance, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, May 11, 1992:

In September 1988 and January 1989, the City of Toronto asked the City of Saskatoon to follow the lead of Toronto's City Council in taking legislative action to restrict the distribution and use of CFCs and halons. On July 1990, the Planning and Development Committee provided City Council with a report on this matter. Council was advised that the Province had recently passed The Ozone Depleting Substances Act and that this legislation appears to address the concerns which were

identified by the City of Toronto. In addition, the Committee noted that the City of Saskatoon does not have the jurisdiction to pass bylaws banning the sale or distribution of CFCs and halons.

In November, 1991, the matter of the overall use of CFCs was considered by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department. This Department reviewed this situation within the context of the so-called Montreal Accord and addressed the implications for the refrigerants which are currently being used in chillers at City Hall, the Police Station, the Centennial Auditorium, and the main branch of the Public Library. In particular, the Department reviewed the feasibility of replacing the chillers in these buildings that use CFC refrigerants.

The Department has determined that conversion units, which will allow for the use of a more environmentally-friendly refrigerant, can be purchased for the chillers at all of these buildings, except the one at the Main Public Library. Therefore, the Library's chiller will have to be replaced. At current prices, the conversion units will each cost between \$60,000 and \$80,000; a new chiller for the Library will cost between \$130,000 and \$160,000."

A copy of the 1990 Annual Report of the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Council will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

RECOMMENDATION:

that the proposals outlined in the above report be identified as environmental initiatives and included in the 1993 Capital Budget accordingly.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 14-1992 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman P. Mostoway, Chairman Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman M. Thompson

1. Communications to Council

From: Ernest C. Boychuk, Chairman

Advisory Committee on Store Hours

Date: March 31, 1992

Subject: Submitting Report of the

Advisory Committee on Store Hours

(File No. CK. 184-1)

The Report of the Advisory Committee on Store Hours dated March 1992 was forwarded to the Legislation and Finance Committee for further handling. (The complete report is attached for City Council members only. A copy will be available in the City Clerk's Office for review.)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of City Council issue.

Alderman Thompson excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter and left the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.

Alderman Thompson re-entered the Council Chamber.

2. Application for Travel Assistance Strathfleet Pipes and Drums (File No. CK, 1870-1)

Report of the Arts/Heritage/Multicultural Consultant, June 10, 1992:

"The attached Travel Assistance application was reviewed by the Cultural Advisory Subcommittee on June 5, 1992. The following recommendation was passed:

11. that Strathfleet Pipes & Drums' application be approved for travel assistance in the amount of \$500 for travel to Alberta to participate in two nationally-recognized pipe band competitions to be held on June 27 and 28, 1992.'"

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that a travel grant to the Strathfleet Pipes and Drums in the amount of \$500, be approved; and
- 2) that the grant be funded from the Contingency Component of the 1992 Assistance to Community Groups Cash Grants Program.

ADOPTED.

3. Communication to Council

From: G. Nicholaichuk, Program Director

The Mental Health Association

Date: May 7, 1992

Subject: Requesting a rebate on property taxes

for 1301 Avenue P North

(File No. CK. 1965-1)

The above-noted communication was referred to the Director of Finance for consideration.

Report of the City Assessor, June 9, 1992:

"Section 2.3a) of policy number 03-015 reads as follows (formerly section 2.2a):

Sale of Taxable Property

a) The City shall not abate any tax levies against a property whose assessment in the year of purchase formed part of the official assessment roll that was confirmed by the Minister of Urban Affairs, (now SAMA) not withstanding the fact that by virtue of an applicable Act, the assessment for said property will be placed on the exempt roll for the following year.'

I am attaching a copy of the Legislation and Finance Committee reports No. 35-1985 and No. 25-1986, which resulted in City Council adopting the above section.

The 1992 property assessments for 1301 Ave P North are as follows:

Land	27,980
Building	26,070
Total	54,050

The transfer date of the property in question has been verified as March 27, 1992.

The rebate request for 1992, if granted, could be calculated as follows:

$$54,050 \text{ (Assm't)} \times 127.19 \text{ mills } \times 279 \text{ (days)} = $5,240.49$$

For the 1991 taxation year the property was assessed to The Saskatoon West School Unit No. 42 and placed on the exempt assessment by virtue of Section 275(1)(e) of <u>The Urban Municipality Act</u>. The property was sold to George-Howard Associates Limited on August 1, 1991. The property, through supplementary action, was taxed for a five month period in 1991 by virtue of the following section of <u>The Urban Municipality Act</u>:

'269(6) If any land improvement or business exempt from taxation pursuant to section 275 cease to be so exempt on or before December 1 in any year, the assessor shall assess the person liable to assessment and enter on the assessment roll the information required by section 244.'

It should be noted that <u>The Urban Municipality Act</u> provides for an assessment in the current year if a non-taxable property becomes taxable but does not provide for the reverse situation when a taxable property becomes non-taxable in the current year.

I have contacted the cities of Regina, Moose Jaw and Prince Albert and in all cases they would rebate the tax (pro-rated) when a taxable property becomes non-taxable in the current year.

The property in question has been placed on the 1993 property exempt assessment roll by Section 275 of The Urban Municipality Act:

`275(1) The following is exempt from taxation:

(o) the buildings with land attached owned by a branch of The Royal Canadian Legion Saskatchewan Command, the Army, Navy and Air Force Veterans in Canada, the Disabled Veterans' Association of Saskatchewan and Canadian Mental Health Association (Saskatchewan Division), so long as the buildings and grounds are actually used and occupied by a branch thereof but not if otherwise occupied;'

Based on the purpose of Policy number 03-015 that tax write-offs be handled in a `fair and consistent manner' I would recommend the request for rebate be granted and that the policy in question be amended to reflect this position."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that The Mental Health Association be granted a tax rebate for 1301 Ave P North in the amount of \$5,240.49 for the 1992 taxation year; and,
- 2) that Policy number 03-015 be amended to reflect this decision.

ADOPTED.

4. Communication to Council

From: Hamid A. Javed, Chairperson, Saskatoon-Dundurn

Ben C. Valkenburg, Chairperson, Saskatoon-Humboldt Local Organizing Committee, "Voyageur Canada '92"

Date: May 15, 1992

Subject: Inviting the City to become a local sponsor

of the Voyageur Canada '92 Exchange Program

by providing free access to City leisure facilities and use of local bus service

(File No. CK. 1871-9)

Attached is a copy of the above-noted letter which City Council at its meeting held on June 8, 1992, referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.

Your Committee is of the opinion that to grant the requests for free access to City leisure facilities and free use of local bus service could establish a precedent.

RECOMMENDATION: that The City of Saskatoon decline the invitation to become a local sponsor of the Voyageur Canada '92 Exchange Program.

ADOPTED.

5. Request for Exemption from Amusement Tax Player's Ltd. Powerboat Championships July 10 - 12, 1992
(File No. CK. 1910-2)

Attached is a copy of a letter dated June 11, 1992, from Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Chair, Host Committee, Player's Ltd. Powerboat Championships, requesting an exemption from paying amusement tax for the event.

Council will note the expectation is that the event will operate at a deficit. Your Committee therefore

RECOMMENDS: that the payment of amusement tax be waived insofar as is required to

reduce any deficit as determined by an audited financial statement of the Player's Ltd. Powerboat Championships to be held July 10 - 12, 1992.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 12-1992 OF THE WORKS AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman O. Mann, Chairman Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman D.L. Birkmaier Alderman B. Dyck

1. Communications to Council

From: R.G. Bundon, Office Managing Partner

Deloitte & Touche

Date: April 8, 1992

Subject: Submitting copies of the Final Report on the

Infrastructure Rehabilitation Funding Study

AND

Communications to Council From: Robert R. Buckley

SCOUT

Date: April 10, 1992

Subject: Requesting permission to address Council re

report of the "City of Saskatoon Infrastructure

Rehabilitation Funding Study"

(File No. CK. 4140-5)

City Council at its meeting held on April 13, 1992, received and referred to the Works and Utilities Committee the Final Report on the Infrastructure Rehabilitation Funding Study prepared by Deloitte and Touche. Council, at the same meeting, referred the above-noted letter from Mr. Robert Buckley to the Committee.

Your Committee requested the Administration to report on the financial implications of the recommendations contained in the Final Report. Quoted below is a report of the Director of Works and Utilities dated May 14, 1992:

"The final report of the Infrastructure Rehabilitation Funding Study undertaken by the City's

External Auditor, Deloitte & Touche, contains a number of conclusions and recommendations with respect to funding infrastructure rehabilitation. In this report to the Works and Utilities Committee, the Administration is addressing only those conclusions and recommendations related to the concerns expressed by SCOUT.

In their conclusions, Deloitte & Touche state:

'V. CONCLUSIONS ASSESSMENT OF CURRENT SITUATION

- We believe that there has been an inequity created as a result of the 1990 policy change, or more specifically, the lack of appropriate transitional rules around the change for citizens still paying for work done under LIP.
- In our view the options available to deal with the situation include:
 - reversing the 1990 policy change whereby use of LIP for water and sanitary sewer main rehabilitation be re-established; and,
 - developing appropriate transition measures to eliminate the present inequities.
- Based on the superiority of a volumetric utility surcharge over LIP given the benefits received principle, as discussed earlier, we conclude that reverting to LIP funding would be a regressive step.
- On transitional measures to be taken in the event of policy change, we note that current taxation theory does not provide specific guidance in this area. We suggest that the norm generally has been, however, that when governments have effected policy changes and introduced transitional measures accordingly, transitional measures have been 'prospective' rather than 'retroactive' in nature. That is, phase-in policies have typically been designed to impact future payment streams as opposed to adjust past payments retroactively.
- In our opinion, development of transition rules for individuals presently making payments under LIP should consider relief of future payments for rehabilitation until current LIP obligations are paid out.
- We note, however, that the future payment stream that is relevant to this key issue is not the amount of the current infrastructure levy. Rather, the relevant payment stream is that resulting from the assumption that the

infrastructure levy is replaced with a volumetric surcharge.

• We believe that the surcharge should be established such that the proceeds from application of the surcharge will be sufficient to support the rehabilitation project activity suggested as necessary in the engineering studies performed previously by the City.'

Deloitte & Touche recommend:

`VI. RECOMMENDATIONS APPROPRIATENESS OF CURRENT FUNDING POLICIES

- We recommend that the City continue the use of current funding mechanisms for infrastructure rehabilitation with the exceptions that:
 - the infrastructure levy be discontinued; and,
 - the (volumetric) water surcharge be increased to offset the impact of the removal of the infrastructure levy.
- We recommend continuation of the 'pay-as-you-go' strategy utilizing the infrastructure reserve to fund rehabilitation projects.
- Given the apparent insufficiency of current funding levels, we recommend review of the rates of funding mechanisms with a view to ensuring the adequacy of funding to meet future infrastructure rehabilitation requirements.
- From a longer-term perspective, we recommend further investigation of the storm water utility and fuel tax concepts as potential future means to fund storm sewer and street/main arterial route rehabilitation respectively.

CURRENT SITUATION

- To eliminate the apparent inequities caused by the 1990 policy change, we recommend development and implementation of transitional rules prospectively from the effective date of the policy change. We suggest that the transitional rules be based on the following principles:
 - existing LIP obligations be continued;

- individuals currently making payments under a LIP, or that have prepaid their obligation under a LIP, be relieved of paying their proportionate share of water and sanitary sewer main rehabilitation funding under the new policy (currently, the infrastructure levy of \$4.00 per month for most residential utility customers plus the \$.06/100 ft³ water surcharge) for the remaining term of their LIP obligations;
- individuals' 'proportionate share' be determined on the basis of the original cost sharing (i.e., between the property owners and the city-at-large) formula under their LIP;
- the formula for determining the amount of payment relief for individuals under LIP be structured such that the absolute amounts of the relief provided be adjusted as and when the infrastructure rehabilitation funding rate is adjusted; and,
- the 'individuals' referred to in the principles above be defined as the current owners of the properties under LIP, or future owners, in the event of property sales prior to the expiration of the LIPs.'

The Administration has analyzed the financial implications of the implementation of the External Auditor's using the following assumptions:

- 1. That the effective date for the recommended relief from the infrastructure levy for those property owners affected by the LIP funding for water main replacement would be January 1, 1990, the effective date of the policy change;
- 2. That the amount of relief from the infrastructure levy for a given affected property owner would be their proportionate share of the cost of their water main replacement times the amount of infrastructure levy (including that part of the water rates collected for infrastructure rehabilitation; currently \$0.06 per 100 ft³) collected for water main replacement or rehabilitation;
- 3. That the infrastructure levy will double for 1993, and will further increase by 50% for 1995 to meet the current estimates for rehabilitation of the water and sanitary sewer mains over the long term; and,
- 4. That the infrastructure levy will continue under its current format. (The issue of moving to a variable rate for infrastructure levy based on consumption will be addressed in a future report).

Report of the City Engineer, May 12, 1992:

'This report estimates the financial implications of the external auditors' recommendations from two points of view, the city-at-large, and individual properties affected by the LIP water main program.

First, a sample of 65 properties over the 17 LIP bylaws for water main replacement was used to estimate an average annual relief. At 1992 rates, the average annual relief for residential properties is estimated to be \$12.21/year, and for commercial properties is estimated to be \$140/year. This based on the proportion of the project costs borne by the abutting property owner, and the proportion of the infrastructure levy used for water mail replacement (projected to be 55% over the long term).

Table I shows an estimate of the financial implications to the city-at-large. The estimates are based on the sample of 65 properties. Using the external auditors' criteria and the assumptions noted above, the estimated costs would be approximately \$791,000 for the 1839 properties affected.

As an example of the financial implications to individual properties, Table II shows an estimate of the recommended relief to property owners on Weir Crescent, where the water main was replaced under Local Improvement in 1989. In this case, the proportion of the total project cost borne by the abutting property owners was 45%. The total relief varies from a low of \$58.49, where the water consumption is very low, to a high of \$330.52."

At the time the Infrastructure Rehabilitation Funding Study was received, Council requested that all members be advised when the Committee would be considering the matter. This was done, and during the Committee's review, Alderman Thompson put forward a proposal. It is understood that a financial analysis of this proposal will be submitted through the City Commissioner's report.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council adopt the recommendations of the External Auditor as described under CURRENT SITUATION as noted in this report;
- 2) that the Administration be instructed to carry out the necessary steps to implement the recommendations; and
- that the Administration be instructed to report further on the increase in the infrastructure levy required to offset the reduced funding from LIP water main replacement.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.17 of "Communications" and Clause A1, Report No. 15-1992 of the City Commissioner were brought forward and considered.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Mr. Buckley be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Robert Buckley, representing SCOUT, reviewed his letter dated May 30, 1992, requesting a deferral of the matter until August, 1992.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that consideration of the matter be deferred until the August 17, 1992, meeting of City Council.

2. Capital Project Closures (File No. CK. 1703)

Report of the Manager, Electrical Distribution System, June 2, 1992:

"Out of a total of twenty-four electrical capital projects that are being closed out, four projects require City Council approval for closure under Policy No. 03-001, 3.7 c)i), which states:

The City Commissioner may approve over-expenditures of capital projects up to a maximum of 10 percent of the project cost estimate approved in the Capital Budget or 10 percent of one mill (whichever is the lesser), subject to identifying an appropriate source of funding for the over-expenditure from other than current year's general revenues. All other over-expenditures require Council approval.'

The projects requiring additional funding are as follows:

PROJECT #	APPROVED BUDGET		OVER EXPENDITURE	
735-4	Electrical Industrial Commercial, Institutional Replacements	\$ 30,000	\$ 16,656	

760-6	Electrical Feeders 14.4 kV General	\$ 50,000	\$ 21,834
825-2	Street Lighting - New Upgrade 8th Street Broadway to Lorne	\$ 23,000	\$ 7,066
933-2	Electrical Feeders - 4.16 kV Extension from J.M. Taylor S/S	\$ 42,000	\$ 6,369

Project 735-4 involves the replacement of deteriorated lines and transformers associated with commercial, industrial and institutional customer services. A general provision of \$30,000 in the budget was based on an average of previous years' experience. The main item causing the over expenditure was the replacement of service to the Western Development Museum necessitated by service line failures. The costs of replacement were substantial because of the exceptionally long service lines which are high voltage and underground.

Project 760-6 involves the general upgrading and replacement of overhead and underground 14.4 kV system. A general provision of \$50,000 was included in the Capital Budget. In 1991, a 14.4 kV overhead line on 19th Street from Avenue P to Avenue W was to have the conductor upgraded and some poles replaced. The line was approximately 38 years old. As the project progressed, it was found that more poles than originally anticipated needed to be replaced.

Project 825-2 involves the upgrading of existing street lighting on 8th Street between Broadway Avenue and Lorne Avenue by the replacement conversion of mercury vapour with high pressure sodium fixtures and installation of additional fixtures. Additional costs were incurred as a result of having to do considerable tree pruning work which was originally to have been done by others. The actual cost of obtaining special adapter arms for mounting new fixtures on the existing poles was approximately 45 percent over estimate.

Project 933-2 involves the extension of 4.16 kV Feeder #7 from J.M. Taylor Substation with the installation of underground cable and a switching cubicle. Problems were encountered with the excavation around existing facilities which resulted in additional costs for contractor work as well as additional labour by department staff.

As a result of other capital projects being closed out that were under expended, funds exist in the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve (EDER) and Electrical Distribution Replacement Reserve (EDRR) and Reserve for Capital Expenditures (RCE) to cover the over-expenditures in the above mentioned four projects. The closure of twenty-four electrical capital projects will result in a net amount of \$577,000 being returned to Electrical Distribution Capital Reserves and \$6,200 to the Reserve for Capital Expenditures after

providing the remaining funding for these four projects."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the over-expenditures for Capital Projects 735-4 and part of 760-6 for a total of \$27,573 be funded from the Electrical Distribution Replacement Reserve;
- 2) that the over-expenditures for Capital Projects 825-2, 933-2 and part of 760-6 for a total of \$18,515 be funded from the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve; and,
- 3) that the over-expenditure part of Capital Project 825-2 for a total of \$5,837 be funded from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures.

ADOPTED.

3. Communications to Council

From: Mike Lozowchuk

132 Avenue Q South and others

Date: Undated

Subject: Submitting petition from residents of the

100 block of Avenue Q South re parking in

front of residences by St. Paul's Hospital employees

(File No. CK. 6120-1)

Attached is a copy of the above-noted letter which was referred to the Works and Utilities Committee for consideration.

Report of the City Engineer, June 1, 1992:

"The Engineering Department has conducted an on-street parking study on the residential roadways north of St. Paul's Hospital. The roadways examined included Avenues R and Q between 21st and 22nd Streets. Both streets are local undivided residential roadways with unrestricted parking presently allowed on both sides of the roadways.

The number of vehicles parked on Avenues R and Q were recorded between the hours of 0900 and 1700 on Tuesday, March 24, 1992, (weekday) and on Saturday, March 28, 1992 (weekend). The following table summarizes the data recorded during the two days.

Parking Characteristics on Avenues R and O (21st to 22nd Streets)

	Avenue Q			Avenue R			
	West	East Both	West	East Both			
	<u>SideSide</u>	<u>Sides</u>	SideSide	<u>Sides</u>			
# of Stalls	18 19	37 6	21 27				
Tuesday Count							
% Acc.(0900-1700)	75.0	75.0	75.0	54.2	69.0	65.7	
% Acc.(1000-1400)	82.0	78.8	80.4	58.5	73.5	70.4	
Max. % Acc.	88.9	84.2	86.5	66.7	81.0	74.1	
Avg. Duration(hrs)	4.4	3.9	4.1	3.2	3.7	3.6	
Avg. Turnover	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	
Saturday Count							
% Acc.(0900-1700)	31.9	40.1	36.1	52.1	48.8	49.5	
% Acc.(1000-1400)	29.0	38.3		33.8	54.3	47.8	49.1
Max. % Acc.	44.4	52.6	48.6	66.7	61.9	55.6	
Avg. Duration(hrs)	2.4	2.4	2.4		4.5	3.7	3.8
Avg. Turnover	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.9	

Acc.= Accumulation

The above table shows, especially during Tuesday (weekdays), that long-term parking is occurring on both Avenues resulting in higher accumulation rates than would be expected on a typical residential street. Of the 48 vehicles recorded on Avenue Q, 26 (54.2%) were parked for longer than 4 hours. Of the 35 vehicles recorded on Avenue R, 18 (51.4%) were parked for longer than 4 hours. The long term parking is due to St. Paul's Hospital employees parking their vehicles on the street as opposed to the hospital parkade at 21st Street and Avenue R.

The St. Paul's Hospital administration was contacted to obtain information regarding the parkade. Presently, the administration is reviewing the utilization rate and alternatives to entice more employees to use the parkade. The parkade presently has 485 stalls of which all are reserved for employee parking only. Visitors to the hospital may use the surface lot off of 20th Street south of the hospital.

The number of vehicles parked in the parkade was recently recorded six times throughout the day and are summarized in the following table.

St. Paul's Hospital Accumulation Rates

Time of Day	No. of Vehicles	Accumulation Rate(%)
0600	64	13.2
0900	283	58.4
1300	291	60.0
1500	308	63.5
1700	116	23.9
2300	56	11.5

The monthly parking fees (\$27/month) are presently under review, and it is anticipated that they will be reduced in the near future in attempts to increase the low accumulation rates. The amount of the reduction in parking fees will be determined by the number of additional employees that can be enticed to use the parkade. The administration is also looking at putting in garage doors as opposed to the gates and also closing all openings on the ground floor to eliminate access to vandals. These changes will alleviate perceptions of any security problem they may be having in the parkade and therefore increase the utilization rate.

As a result of the recorded long-term parking (high accumulation rates) on the streets, the Engineering Department sent a letter to all the residents on Avenues R and Q between 21st and 22nd Streets to determine if they would be in favour of the following proposal:

'Do you support the implementation of a "Two Hour Parking, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday" parking restriction on Avenue Q/R?'

The results of the survey are summarized in the following table:

Parking Study Survey Results

	Avenue Q		Avenue R	
	#	%	#	
Questionnaires Distributed	18		7	
Questionnaires Returned	10	56	5	71
Returned In Favour	8	80	3	60
Returned Not In Favour	2	20	2	40

The results of the survey showed that, of the ten questionnaires returned by the residents of Avenue Q eight responses were in favour of the timed parking restriction. Of the five questionnaires returned by the residents of Avenue R, three responses were in favour of the timed parking restriction.

The petition originally submitted to City Council in February shows that residents representing 15 residences signed the petition stating that they were dissatisfied with the lack of available on-street parking due to long term employee parking; however, the Engineering Department did not get responses from seven of these residences. Two of the residents who signed the petition were not in favour of the proposed timed parking restriction.

The St. Paul's Hospital administration is in favour of the timed parking restriction as some of the employees presently parking on Avenues R and Q between 21st and 22nd Streets will use the parkade as an alternative.

The YWCA owns approximately 10, presently vacant, parcels of the land on Avenues Q and R between 21st and 22nd Streets. Multi-unit dwellings are to be constructed this year on these properties (Pleasant Hill Family Housing Project). They are in favour of the timed parking restriction as adequate off-street parking will be available for the renters and visitors.

Due to the high parking accumulation rates on Avenues Q and R, the majority of returned responses in favour of the timed parking restriction and the number of residents who are dissatisfied with the present on-street parking supply as indicated by the petition, the Engineering Department recommends that a 'Two Hour, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday' parking restriction be implemented on a six month trial basis. After that time, a second survey of the residents on Avenues Q and R would be taken to determine if the majority of residents are still in favour of the timed restriction. It is anticipated the two hour parking restriction will decrease the accumulation rates on the streets as long-term parking will be eliminated.

The parking restriction would have little detrimental effect on residential parking, as all but one of the properties on both Avenues have driveways from the street or lane. The owner of the one property that does not have a driveway is in favour of the timed parking restriction. All of the multi-unit dwellings have adequate off-street parking.

The City Police have been contacted regarding the problem of parked vehicles partially blocking the driveways. It is anticipated that enforcement of Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 which states 'No parking within one metre of an entrance or exit of any private driveway unless otherwise indicated by sign or marking' will alleviate the problem."

RECOMMENDATION:

1) that a "Two Hour Parking, 0900 to 1600, Monday to Friday" parking restriction be installed on both sides of Avenues Q and R between 21st and 22nd Streets on a six month trial

basis; and

2) that the residents be surveyed again in six months to determine if the timed parking restriction is still wanted.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 3-1992 OF THE PENSION ADMINISTRATION BOARD

Composition of Committee

Alderman O. Mann, Chairman

Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C.

Mr. A. Froess

Alderman M. Hawthorne

Alderman P. McCann

Mr. J. Beveridge

Mr. W. Robbins

Mrs. J. Llewellyn

Prof. W. Wallace

Dr. K. Lal

Mr. R. Walker

Mr. M. West

Mr. L. Thiessen

Mr. D. Bushey

Mr. W. Furrer

Mr. C. Isaacson

Ms. T. Robarts

Mr. T. Graham

Mr. R. Balezantis

Mr. P. Jaspar

1. Buy Back of Pension Benefits -Approved Leaves of Absence (File No. CK. 4731-1)

City Council (by adoption of Clause 1, Report No. 5-1991 of the Pension Administration Board, copy attached) has previously agreed that members of the City's General Superannuation Plan be permitted to buy back the first year of past service.

The Pension Administration Board has now considered the following report of the Employee Benefits Manager dated April 15, 1992, on the matter of buy back of benefits for approved leaves of absence:

"If the opportunity to buy back approved period of leave is structured using the same cost basis as the recently implemented first-year buy back, there will be no additional cost to the plan. However, the tax treatment of this type of buy back will vary and will depend upon when the absence occurred.

For leaves of absence occurring prior to 1990, the individual will be able to deduct all or a portion of the cost of the buy back as the difference between their current service cost in the year the buy back is made and \$3,500.00. Any unused portion would be carried forward and deducted within the limits of the \$3,500.00 less the current service contribution made in the tax year.

Where a leave period occurs after 1990, the purchase of an approved leave of absence will also create a 'Past Service Pension Adjustment' (P.S.P.A.). This condition exists when the individual's Pension Adjustment (P.A.), which determines the R.R.S.P. room, is modified based on the inclusion of additional service which has the effect of producing a higher P.A. and correspondingly lower R.R.S.P. limit. Depending upon whether an individual has maximized their allowable contribution to R.R.S.P.'s, a P.S.P.A. can have the effect of creating negative R.R.S.P. room.

Should the Board wish to proceed with allowing the members to buy back approved periods of leave, as credited service, an amendment to Bylaw 6321 will be required to provide for this arrangement."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that members of the City's General Superannuation Plan be permitted to buy back approved leaves of absence on the same cost basis as the first-year buy back;
- 2) that the option of buy back be available for a period of ten years following the date of return from the approved leave;

and

3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary bylaw amendment.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Pension Administration Board.

REPORT NO. 7-1992 OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman Alderman D. L. Birkmaier Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman B. Dyck Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman O. Mann Alderman P. McCann Alderman P. Mostoway Alderman G. Penner Alderman M. Thompson

Alderman K. Waygood

1. District Planning Commission (File No. CK. 175-10)

Historically, the City Planner has been one of the City's representatives on the District Planning Commission. Mr. Ron Cope was first appointed in 1987 and was reappointed this year to serve in this capacity.

Mr. Cope has now retired as City Planner, and the Committee is recommending that the appointment of his replacement reside in the function rather than the person.

RECOMMENDATION: that the City Planner or his/her designate be appointed to the District Planning Commission as a representative of the City of Saskatoon.

ADOPTED.

2. Appointments to Race Relations Committee (File No. CK. 225-40)

There are five vacancies on the Race Relations Committee.

There are six people who have allowed their names to stand for appointment to the Committee, and after reviewing the backgrounds of each of them, it is felt that all are excellent candidates. Accordingly, your Committee is recommending that membership on the Race Relations Committee be increased from 17 to 18 in order that the City can utilize the services of all six people.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that membership on the Race Relations Committee be increased from 17 to 18; and
- 2) that the following be appointed to the Race Relations Committee for the terms indicated:

Helen Cote Quewezance (Indian Native Community) for the years 1992 and 1993;

Georgette Djan-Draper (Public at Large) for the year 1992;

Monohar Singh Ahluwalia (Public at Large) for the year 1992;

Cheryl Ogram (Public at Large) for the year 1992;

James Tsannie (Public at Large) for the year 1992; and

Darlene F. Lanceley (Public at Large) for the year 1992.

ADOPTED."

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted with the exception of Clause 1, Report No. 14-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee.

CARRIED.

Alderman Thompson excused himself from discussion and voting on the report of the Committee of the Whole dealing with Clause 1, Report No. 14-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole dealing with Clause 1, Report No. 14-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

6a) Communications to Council

From: Shelly Smith

911 Avenue J North

Date: March 9, 1992

Subject: Requesting permission to address Council

re cutbacks to City's leisure facilities

(File No. CK. 1705)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 87.

6b) Rezoning - NE 1/4 24-36-5-W3rd, excepting that portion shown as Parcel A, Plan No. 65-S-25489

Wildwood Golf Course R.2 District to A.G. District Bylaw No. 7291 (Third Reading) (File No. CK, 4351-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on May 25, 1992, held its hearing with respect to the above-noted Zoning Bylaw amendment. Unanimous permission was not granted to give Bylaw No. 7291 third reading and a motion was passed that third reading of Bylaw No. 7291 be deferred until the June 22, 1992, meeting of City Council.

Council is therefore requested to consider third reading of Bylaw No. 7291 (copy attached) at this meeting. It should be noted that Aldermen Mostoway, Dyck and Hawthorne were not present during the May 25th Council meeting when this matter was considered."

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the information be received and the regular Order of Business be suspended to consider Item No. 10 "Introduction and Consideration of Bylaws" dealing with third reading of Bylaw No. 7291.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7291

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT Bylaw No. 7291, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon', be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

6c) Local Improvement Procedure Bylaw Amendment
Establishment of Uniform Rates for the
1992 Local Improvement Program
Proposed Bylaw No. 7304
(File No. CK. 4140-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on June 8, 1992, considered Clause A6, Report No. 13-1992 of the City Commissioner, copy attached, and adopted the following recommendation:

'that Council give notice that Bylaw No. 7204 will be considered at the next regular meeting of City Council.'

Following the Council meeting of June 8, 1992, it was noted that the reference to Bylaw No. `7204' was incorrect. The City Solicitor has provided the following memorandum dated June 17, 1992 (in part):

`Further to our letter to the Director of Works and Utilities dated June 8, 1992, forwarding the above-noted Bylaw for City Council's meeting on that date, we understand that the Bylaw was `tabled' at the said meeting and is now scheduled to be considered by Council at its meeting to be held on June 22, 1992.

In that regard, we are enclosing herewith **replacement copies** of the above-noted Bylaw for submission with your report to Council on that date. As a result of a clerical error, the original Bylaw was numbered as "7204" instead of "7304". Since the number "7204" was previously assigned to a Bylaw which was passed some time ago, and since the same Bylaw number cannot be used twice, it was essential that this error be corrected. The form and content of the Bylaw have not been changed in any way."

Moved by Alderman McCann, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended to consider Item 10 "Introduction and Consideration of Bylaws" dealing with Bylaw No. 7304.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7304

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7304, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 5257, entitled, 'A bylaw of The City of Saskatoon respecting certain local improvements, the establishment of uniform rates and special assessments" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT Bylaw No. 7304 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7304.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mostoway in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mostoway, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7304 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7304 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Cherneskey,

THAT Bylaw No. 7304 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded	by Alderman Penner,
THAT the meeting stand adjourned.	
	CARRIED.
The meeting adjourned at 11:10 p.m.	
Mayor	City Clerk