Council Chamber City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, August 31, 1992, at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Mayor Dayday in the Chair;

Aldermen Mann, Penner, Thompson, Waygood, Birkmaier,

Mostoway, McCann, Hawthorne and Dyck;

City Commissioner Irwin;

Director of Planning and Development Pontikes; A/Director of Works and Utilities Mikytyshyn;

Director of Finance Richards;

City Solicitor Dust; City Clerk Mann;

Aldermanic Assistant Sproule

Alderman Hawthorne excused himself from the meeting at 9:07 p.m. during consideration of Clause D2, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting held on August 17, 1992, be approved.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. <u>ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL</u>

1) Marlene Hall, Secretary

<u>Development Appeals Board, dated August 19</u>

Submitting notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re existing one-unit dwelling (with front yard encroachment), existing detached garage (with rear yard encroachment) - 217 Elm Street. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Kristine Magnus, Festival Manager Saskatchewan Jazz Festival, dated July 29

Expressing appreciation to the people and organizations who contributed to the success of the 1992 du Maurier Ltd. Saskatchewan Jazz Festival. (Copy of Media Book available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.) (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman McCann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) E. W. (Ed) Sikorski, General Manager Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition, dated August 11

Requesting Council to proclaim September 28 - October 4, 1992, as Rodeo Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim September 28 - October 4, 1992, as Rodeo Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman Mann,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim September 28 - October 4, 1992, as Rodeo Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

4) Linda M. Walker, Director of Administration Yellowhead Highway Association, dated August 13

Submitting copy of the 1992 Resolutions and Responses. (File No. CK. 155-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Birkmaier, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Marlene Hall, Secretary <u>Development Appeals Board, dated August 18</u>

Submitting notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re proposed addition to St. Francis Xavier Church (overextension of lot coverage) - 222 Willow Street. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

6) The Honourable Carol Carson Minister of Environment and Public Safety, dated August 13

Expressing appreciation to the City for inviting the Department of Environment and Public Safety to participate in the City of Saskatoon's first Storage/Warehousing of Dangerous Goods seminar held on July 29 - 31, 1992. (Files CK. 247-1 and 375-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

7) Susan Lamb, Executive Director Tourism Saskatoon, dated August 4

Requesting permission to show a video birthday card to be sent to Edmonton in recognition of its 100th birthday on August 28. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Tourism Saskatoon be given permission to show the video to Council

Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Tourism Saskatoon be given permission to show the video to City Council.

CARRIED.

Ms. Susan Lamb, Executive Director of Tourism Saskatoon, advised Council that a video Birthday Card for the City of Edmonton has been produced by CFQC Television. The Birthday Card video was presented to the City of Edmonton on August 28, 1992, to mark its 100th Birthday and the opening of the new City Hall. Ms. Lamb introduced Mr. Bruce Atkin and Ms. Donna Rodway of CFQC. Council members viewed the video.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the video be received with thanks and congratulations to Tourism Saskatoon and CFQC Television.

CARRIED.

8) Ken Redekopp, Civics Director Riversdale Community and School Association Inc., dated August 17

Requesting permission to address Council re substandard revenue properties in the Riversdale area. (File No. CK. 750-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that a representative of the Riversdale Community and School Association be heard.

Moved by Alderman Waygood, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT a representative of the Riversdale Community and School Association be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Ken Redekopp, Civics Director of the Riversdale Community and School Association Inc., indicated that the Association would like to work with the various departments in City Hall and other agencies to come up with standards to satisfy the needs of people who live in substandard revenue properties and to come up with a method of policing so there would be a means of encouraging landlords to keep homes up to standard.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Planning and Development Committee and the Social Housing Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

9) Craig Peterson, Promotions Director The Star Phoenix, dated August 21

Requesting permission for the Bargain Zone merchants to hang banners on light standards in the area of 33rd Street to Circle Drive and Warman Road to Idylwyld Drive, for a promotional sale on September 10, 11 and 12, 1992. (File No. CK. 312-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the request for installation of banners in

the area of 33rd Street to Circle Drive, and Warman Road to Idylwyld Drive for a promotional sale on September 10, 11 and 12,

1992, and that The Star Phoenix be charged for the work.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT City Council approve the request for installation of banners in the area of 33rd Street to Circle Drive, and Warman Road to Idylwyld Drive for a promotional sale on September 10, 11 and 12, 1992, and that The Star Phoenix be charged for the work.

CARRIED.

10) Jan Anweiler, Executive Secretary Saskatoon Community Health Unit, dated August 19

Submitting copy of the 1991 Annual Report of the Saskatoon Community Health Unit. (File No. CK. 430-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Hawthorne,

THAT the information be received.

IN AMENDMENT

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

AND THAT the report be referred to the Planning and Development Committee.

THE AMENDMENT WAS PUT AND CARRIED. THE MOTION AS AMENDED WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

11) W. Witzaney 315 Cochin Crescent, dated August 1992

Commenting re work of people in park. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman McCann, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

12) Roanne Selin 15 Brown Crescent, dated August 26

Commenting re proposal for a memorial bench for Diane Heidt. (File No. CK. 4070-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the file.

Moved by Alderman Hawthorne, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received and referred to the file.

CARRIED.

13) Marlene Hall, Secretary Development Appeals Board, dated August 26

Submitting notice of Development Appeals Board hearing re proposed addition to roofed patio at front of dwelling - 129 Spruce Drive. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

His Worship Mayor Dayday excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter and left the Council Chamber.

Alderman Mann assumed the Chair.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

His Worship Mayor Dayday re-entered the Council Chamber and assumed the Chair.

14) Pat Melnychuk, Branch Administrator Canadian Diabetes Association, dated August 24

Requesting Council to proclaim November as "Diabetes Month" in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim November as "Diabetes Month" in Saskatoon.

Moved by Alderman Mostoway, Seconded by Alderman Dyck,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim November as "Diabetes Month" in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

15) Daryl LaRose 737 Confederation Drive, dated August 18

Commenting re responsibility of cleaning of debris after a traffic accident. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. LaRose be advised that while it is the City's responsibility

(Engineering Works) to clean up after an accident, it generally is done by the towing company, and that in this case it must have been overlooked, and thanking him and his wife for cleaning the street.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Hawthorne,

THAT Mr. LaRose be advised that while it is the City's responsibility (Engineering Works) to clean up after an accident, it generally is done by the towing company, and that in this case it must have been overlooked, and thanking him and his wife for cleaning the street.

CARRIED.

16) Rusty Chartier 1245 Avenue O South, dated August 28

Requesting permission to address Council re implementation of a public relations position. (File No. CK. 365-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be received and considered with Clause 2, Report No. 4-1992 of the Personnel and Organization Committee.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause 2, Report No. 4-1992 of the Personnel and Organization Committee.

CARRIED.

17) Henry Feldkamp 427 Lakeshore Bay, dated August 26

Requesting permission to address Council re South Downtown Development. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be received and considered with Clause B8, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner.

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the letter be received and considered with Clause B8, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner.

CARRIED.

B. <u>ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION</u>

1) Margaret Delisle, President Affordability and Choice Today Program, dated August 6

Submitting updated package re application for funding under the A-C-T Program. Referred to the Social Housing Advisory Committee. (File No. CK. 155-1)

2) Margaret Morris Apt. 163, 320 - 5th Avenue North, dated August 13

Commenting re removal of entry sign to the City on 22nd Street. Referred to the Director of Works and Utilities. (File No. CK. 6280-1)

3) Colleen Gordon Knudtson Calgary, Alta., undated

Requesting a subsidy (for disabled citizens) for transportation to the University of Saskatchewan from the Lutheran Theological Seminary. Referred to the Transit Manager for a response. (File No. CK. 1870-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

REPORTS

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman, submitted Report No. 10-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner;

Alderman McCann, Chairman, presented Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Alderman Thompson, A/Chairman, presented Report No. 17-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;

Alderman Dyck, Chairman, presented Report No. 17-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee;

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chairman, presented Report No. 8-1992 of A Committee of the Whole Council;

Alderman Dyck, Member, presented Report No. 2-1992 of the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission;

Alderman Thompson, Chairman, presented Report No. 4-1992 of the Personnel and Organization Committee;

Alderman Thompson, Chairman, presented Report No. 6-1992 of the Audit Committee;

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman, presented Report No. 1-1992 of the Transition Team Re Ecomomic Development Authority;

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman, presented Report No. 8-1992 of the Committee on Committees;

Ms. Trudi Barlow, Chairperson, presented Report No. 1-1992 of the Municipal Arts Placement Jury;

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chairman, presented Report No. 9-1992 of A Committee of the Whole Council

Moved by Alderman Penner, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 10-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission;
- *Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner;*
- *c)* Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee;
- *d)* Report No. 17-1992 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;
- e) Report No. 17-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee;
- f) Report No. 8-1992 of A Committee of the Whole Council;
- g) Report No. 2-1992 of the Boxing and Wrestling Commission;
- *Report No. 4-1992 of the Personnel and Organization Committee;*
- i) Report No. 1-1992 of the Transition Team re Economic Development Authority;
- *j)* Report No. 8-1992 of the Committee on Committees;
- h) Report No. 1-1992 of the Municipal Arts Placement Jury; and
- *Report No. 9-1992 of A Committee of the Whole Council.*

CARRIED.

His Worship Mayor Dayday appointed Alderman Mann as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"REPORT NO. 10-1992 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Committee

Mr. R. Tennent, Chairman

Mr. Jim Kozmyk

Alderman K. Waygood

Mr. J. Wolfe

Mr. Al Selinger

Mr. Glen Grismer

Mr. Bill Delainey

Ms. Fran Alexson

Mr. Victor Pizzey

Dr. H.O. Langlois

Mr. Brian Noonan

Ms. Lina Eidem

1. Development Proposal

115 - 19th Street East (Former City Arena Property)

D.C.D.1 District

Senior Housing Project

Applicant: Melvyn Malkin Architects Inc. for

Sask Community Services Housing Division

(File No. CK. 4130-1)

This report is to be considered in conjunction with Clause 8, Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

The Municipal Planning Commission has considered the Planning Department's report dated August 19, 1992 on the above development proposal and wishes to extend the date of its expected recommendations to City Council in order to consider the project within the context of the report of the Mayor's Task Force - "South Downtown Development". Members of the Commission have been provided with copies of this report for review and will hold a special meeting on Tuesday, September 1, 1992 to discuss the project once again, in terms of how it would fit in with the concept plan developed by the Mayor's Task Force which was approved by Council. Specific questions raised by the Commission relate to the design continuity, theme, does this project set the standard for future projects, will it provide limitations for future projects in this area, etc.

RECOMMENDATION:

that a decision on the drawings provided in the August 19, 1992 report of the Planning Department respecting a proposal for an 11 storey, 84 unit senior citizen's apartment building situated on Part of Parcel E, Registered Plan No. 91-S-03511 (former Arena site on 19th Street) be deferred until the next meeting of City Council.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the report be considered with Clause B8, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner.

2. Zoning Map Amendment
Brunskill Neighbourhood
Core Neighbourhood Study
West Side of Cumberland Avenue
From 406 Cumberland Avenue North to 14th Street
R.2 District to R(CON) District
(File No. CK. 4351-1)

The City of Saskatoon is proposing to rezone the west side of Cumberland Avenue from 406 Cumberland Avenue North to 14th Street from an R.2 District to an R(CON) District.

The 1990 Core Neighbourhood Study Review was initiated to examine the relevance of existing land use policies in the City's core area neighbourhoods. This review resulted in proposed amendments to the City's Development Plan which were adopted by City Council on June 17, 1991. A Low Density - Conversions land use policy district was approved in the Brunskill neighbourhood along the west side of Cumberland Avenue from 406 Cumberland Avenue North to 14th Street. The proposed rezoning is required to bring the Zoning map into conformance with the City of Saskatoon's Development Plan.

Attached is a copy of the Planning Department's report dated August 11, 1992 on this proposed zoning map amendment which contains the following recommendations:

- "1) that City Council be asked to approve the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake the zoning amendment for the Brunskill Neighbourhood as outlined on Map No. 1 attached;
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing Council be asked to consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning be approved."

The Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed this matter and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- a) it will bring the Zoning Map into conformance with the City of Saskatoon's Development Plan;
- b) it will permit all the buildings and uses permitted in an R.2 District, as well as converted dwellings containing not more than four (4) dwelling units, (i.e. increase

density slightly, while maintaining the residential character of the property);

- c) only those dwellings with a minimum site frontage of 7.5 metres can be converted into a two unit dwelling, (with an additional 3.75 metres required for each additional dwelling unit) and the required front yard, side yard, and rear yard setbacks, and the building height limitations of the R.2 District must be provided;
- d) one off-street parking space is to be provided for each dwelling unit in a converted dwelling;
- e) each dwelling unit within a converted dwelling is required to have a minimum gross floor area of 42 square metres;
- f) the permitted discretionary uses in the R(CON) District are the same as those in the existing R.2 District; and
- g) the public hearing will provide an opportunity to hear from anyone who opposes this proposed zoning map amendment.

RECOMMENDATION:

- that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake the zoning amendment for the Brunskill Neighbourhood, as outlined on Map No. 1 attached;
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that this report be brought forward at the time of the public hearing, and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that this rezoning be APPROVED.

ADOPTED.

3. Zoning Map Amendment
Caswell Hill Neighbourhood
Core Neighbourhood Study
East Side of 1100 Block Avenue B North

R.4 District to RM1 Di	istrict
(File No. CK. 4353-2-2)

The City of Saskatoon is proposing to rezone the east side of the 1100 Block Avenue B North from R.4 District to RM1 District.

The 1990 Core Neighbourhood Study Review was initiated to examine the relevance of existing land use policies in the City's core area neighbourhoods. This review has resulted in proposed amendments to the City's Development Plan which were adopted by City Council on June 17, 1991. A Low Density - Conversions land use policy District was approved in the Caswell Hill neighbourhood along the east side of the 1100 Block of Avenue B North. The proposed rezoning is required to bring the Zoning Map into conformance with the City of Saskatoon's Development Plan.

Attached is a copy of the Planning Department's report dated August 11, 1992 on this proposed zoning map amendment which contains the following recommendations:

- "1) that City Council be asked to approve the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake the zoning amendment for the Caswell Hill Neighbourhood as outlined on Map No. 1 attached;
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing Council be asked to consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning be approved."

The Municipal Planning Commission has reviewed this matter and supports the proposal for the following reasons:

- a) the proposed rezoning is required to bring the Zoning Map into conformance with the City of Saskatoon's Development Plan;
- b) the RM1 District will permit all the buildings and uses permitted in an R.2 District;
- c) in general, the permitted discretionary uses in the RM1 District are the same as those in the R.2 District, as well as converted dwellings, multiple unit dwellings and street townhouses up to a maximum of four dwelling units;
- d) the proposed rezoning will result in the same zoning pattern as the area to the west

of this block;

- e) the density potential is lower in that the R.4 District could generate an estimated eight dwelling units for each 15 metres of lot frontage, while the RM1 District could generate an estimated four dwelling units for each 15 metres of lot frontage, at the discretion of Council;
- f) the public hearing will provide an opportunity to hear from anyone who opposes this proposed rezoning.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to undertake the zoning amendment for the Caswell Hill Neighbourhood as outlined on Map No. 1 attached;
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that this report be brought forward at the time of the public hearing, and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that this rezoning be APPROVED.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 20-1992 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER

Section A - Works and Utilities

A1) 1992 Capital Budget Project #684 Automated Garbage Collection Container Tender (File No: CC 1000-6)

The Works and Utilities Committee, at its meeting held on August 19, 1992, upon consideration of this matter, resolved in part:

"b) that the Administration submit a report to City Council on the matter."

Report of the City Engineer, August 4, 1992:

"As per the 1992 Capital Budget (Project #684), City Council approved the expenditure of \$200,000 on 560 - 100 US gallon and 700 - 300 US gallon garbage containers for the front street and lane automated garbage collection systems.

Tenders were called for the 560 - 100 US gallon containers and the 700 - 300 US gallon containers. The following companies submitted bids. Three bidders did not meet the 100 US gallon capacity specification.

FOR 100 US GALLON CONTAINERS	UNIT COST	EXTENDED COST
*Premier Plastics Limited (B. C.) 91.2 Gallon Containers	@ \$77.32	\$ 43,299.20
*Premier Plastics Limited (Full 10-Year Warranty) 91.2 Gallon Containers	@ \$91.34	\$ 51,150.40
Acrylon Plastics (MB) 1983 Inc. (Winnipeg, Manitoba)	@ \$82.00	\$ 45,920.00
Fer-Marc Equipment Limited (Regina, Sask.)	@ \$83.96	\$ 47,017.60
Free Form Plastic Products Inc.	@ \$89.49	\$ 50,114.40

(St. Brieux, Sask.)

The GST and the PST are not included.

In 1991 the lowest unit price for the 100 US gallon containers was \$91.10 each including the FST.

The lowest bidder for the 100 US gallon containers, meeting the specifications, is Acrylon Plastics (MB) 1983 Inc. Acrylon bought out Bonar Plastics Western Limited in 1992.

FOR 300 US GALLON CONTAINERS	UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED COST
Acrylon Plastics (MB) 1983 Inc. (Winnipeg, Manitoba)	@ \$182.00	\$127,400.00
Fer-Marc Equipment Limited (Regina, Sask.)	@ \$184.98	\$129,486.00
Free Form Plastic Products Inc. (St. Brieux, Sask.)	@ \$187.98	\$131,586.00
D & M Concrete Products Ltd. (Lacombe, Alberta)	@ \$207.68	\$145,376.00

The last bid price on the 300 US gallon containers was in 1991 at \$188.40 by Bonar Plastics Western Limited. The lowest bidder was Acrylon Plastics (MB) 1983 Inc.

^{*} Did not meet specifications

1)

The total cost for the containers is:

Base Tender	\$173,320.00
7% GST	12,132.40
8% PST	_13,865.60
Total Including Taxes	\$199,318.00
Less 4% GST Rebate	<u>6,932.80</u>
Net Cost to City	\$192,385.20"

RECOMMENDATION:

- that the tender for 560 100 US gallon high density polyethylene containers for front street garbage collection and 700 300 US gallon high density polyethylene containers for lane garbage collection be awarded to Acrylon Plastics (MB) 1983 Inc. for a total value of \$199,318.00, GST and PST included; and,
- 2) that the City Commissioner and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

A2) Communications to Council

From: Michael J. Gunning

Saskatoon Soap Box Derby Committee

Date: July 16

Subject: Requesting provision of limited civic services

for 1st Annual Saskatoon Soap Box Derby

(File No: CC 205-1)

City Council at its meeting held on August 4, 1992, referred the above-noted matter to the Administration for a report.

Report of the City Engineer, August 26, 1992:

"Organizational meetings have been held recently between Soap Box Derby representatives and officials from pertinent civic departments.

It was determined that event organizers will require the closure of Avenue H from 22nd Street to

28th Street beginning Saturday, September 12, 1992 at 6:00 P.M. and concluding at approximately 8:00 P.M. on Sunday, September 13, 1992. NOTE: The intersection at 22nd Street is not affected by the event.

The erection and dismantling of necessary traffic controls for the event will be handled by event organizers under the direction of the City Engineering Department.

Crowd control snow fencing will be supplied by the City and erected (approximately 2,000 feet) by event organizers. It will be erected Saturday evening and will be located on both sides of Avenue H (boulevard area) between 23rd Street and Rusholme Road.

The Derby Pit area will be located on Avenue H, north of Rusholme Road, with the start line located just south of Rusholme Road and the finish line to be located approximately at 23rd Street. Hay bales will be positioned between 23rd Street and 22nd Street to stop runaways if needed. All safety aspects of this event have been dealt with to the satisfaction of the Engineering and Police Departments.

The actual Soap Box Derby Races will be run on Sunday afternoon from 12:00 (noon) until approximately 4:30 P.M."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Soap Box Derby organizers be permitted to close Avenue H between 22nd Street and 28th Street from Saturday, September 12, 1992 at 6:00 P.M. until Sunday, September 13, 1992 at 8:00 P.M.;
- 2) that the Engineering Department supply the organizers with the necessary signs, barricades, snow fencing and posts to facilitate the event; and,
- 3) that there be no direct cost to the City to facilitate this event.

ADOPTED.

A3) Request for Transportation Assistance Shinerama Saskatoon September 17, 1992 (File No: CC 205-1)

Report of the Transit Manager, August 26, 1992:

"Attached is a letter from Valerie Sklaruk requesting that students participating in Shinerama be permitted to ride Saskatoon Transit free of charge going to and from their shining location.

A similar request has been received for the last three years and was approved by City Council. Permission was granted on the condition that each volunteer was provided with a means of identification when boarding the bus. This is acceptable to the organizing committee.

We estimate that the net loss in revenue would be less than \$500.00 considering that the use would be primarily to and from the shining location. There would also be a significant number who will have an adult pass or have paid a fare to travel to their campus to pick up their kit and identification."

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for free transportation for Shinerama volunteers on September 17, 1992, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Section B - Planning and Development

B1) Rental of City-Owned Property
Sutherland Memorial Hall Company
1112 Central Avenue
(File No. CC 600-2)

The Sutherland Memorial Hall was built by the City of Saskatoon in 1958 and was financed by surplus funds of the former Town of Sutherland. Since that time and through various lease agreements with the City, the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company has been operating the building.

A member of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department represents the City during Company's annual meetings. As a consequence of the most recent meeting, the following August 17, 1992, report has been submitted by the General Manager of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department:

"Following the annual meeting of the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company in June 1992, the Company's Management Board forwarded a request to our Department for an amendment to the existing lease agreement. Clause 4 of the agreement currently states:

The Company further agrees to make the facility available without charge for programs operated by or for the Parks and Recreation Department of the City at such times as the said Parks and Recreation Department may request, provided that such use of the facility does not prejudice:

- (a) any currently existing use; or,
- (b) any revenue-producing use.'

The original intent of this clause was to ensure that the programs operated by the City within this facility would be provided at no charge to the residents of Sutherland. However, residents from the Erindale and Silverspring Neighbourhoods have also been using the Hall.

Under the terms of the lease, the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company is responsible for maintaining the building and for providing a reserve for future repairs. At present, the Company must absorb clean-up and maintenance costs associated with no-charge usage. Since the existing wording of Clause 4 implies that City-sponsored programs for residents from all areas of Saskatoon can use this facility without charge, there is potential for increased usage and maintenance costs, along with reduced revenues and no subsidization from the City.

The Board has, therefore, requested that Clause 4 be amended to read as follows:

The Company further agrees to make the facility available without charge for programs operated for Sutherland/Forest Grove residents by the Leisure Services Department of the City at such times as the Leisure Services Department may request. For programs operated by the Leisure Services Department for residents from all other areas of the City, the facility will be made available at 50% of the usual rental fee. Use of the facility will be granted in the above situations provided that such use does not prejudice:

- (a) any currently existing use; or,
- (b) any revenue-producing use which would be at 100% of the regular rental rate."

The Administration is supporting the Management Board's request because this facility operates without any direct cost to the City of Saskatoon. If City Council decides that this facility should be providing free services to a wider geographical area, this decision would erode the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company's revenue base and would likely initiate a request for subsidization by the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the existing agreement with the Sutherland Memorial Hall Company be amended in the manner which was requested by the Company's Management Board and as has been outlined in this report;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement to incorporate this amendment; and,
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the amended agreement on behalf of the City and under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

B2) Easement Requirement
SaskTel
Fibre Optic Transmission Line
Parcel E, Plan No. 83-S-15509
(File No. CC 4090-1)

Report of the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department, August 17, 1992:

"A request has been received from Kim Liebrecht, Land Negotiator for SaskTel, for an easement over property on Parcel E, Plan No. 83-S-15509 which is located in the SE 1/4 of 21 and SW 1/4 of 22, both in TWP.36 Rge.6 W3M. (Refer to the attached plans.)

The proposed easement will be located on City-owned land which is not within the City limits. The purpose of the easement is to provide a diverse Fibre Optic Transmission System (FOTS) route between Saskatoon and Regina. This diverse route will provide SaskTel with the ability to maintain communications between these two centres, if the existing cable is damaged. Also, this proposal is an extension of the easement plan (Subdivision No. 12/92) which was approved by City Council on June 22, 1992.

The City's Land Manager has no objection to the proposal as long as the following condition is included in the Agreement:

'that when the subject land is subdivided, SaskTel must relocate the cable line, at no cost to the City of Saskatoon, and in conformance with the street pattern.'

The Planning Department has no objection to the easement, providing that the Land Manager's condition is incorporated in the Agreement."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that an easement on Parcel E, Plan 83-S-15509, be granted to SaskTel, as outlined in the attached easement agreement;
- 2) that this agreement include the condition that when the subject land is subdivided, SaskTel must relocate the cable line, at no cost to the City of Saskatoon and in conformance with the street pattern; and,
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, under the Corporate Seal and on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, an easement agreement in a form which is satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

B3) Subdivision Application #21/92 815 Brabant Crescent (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #21/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Northridge Development Corporation

Legal Description: Pt. Lot 4, Block 134, Plan 92-S-03616

Location: 815 Brabant Crescent

The August 19, 1992, report of the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

that Subdivision #21/92 be approved, subject to the consolidation of the most southerly 0.5 meters in perpendicular width throughout of Lot 4, Block 134, Plan No. 92-S-03616 with Lot 3, Block 134, Plan No. 92-S-03616.

ADOPTED.

B4) Subdivision Application #18/92

3111 - 11th Street West (File No. CC 4300-2-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #18/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for the City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Part of Lot 28, Block 1, Plan G792

Location: 3111 - 11th Street West

The July 28, 1992, report of the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: that Subdivision #18/92 be approved.

ADOPTED.

B5) Subdivision Application #20/92 300 Block Ontario Avenue North (File No. CC 4300-2-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #20/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for the City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Part of Registered Plan G3042
Location: 300 Block Ontario Avenue North

The August 11, 1992, report of the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: that Subdivision #20/92 be approved.

ADOPTED.

B6) Subdivision Application #24/92 115 - 19th Street East For Information Only (File No. CC 4300-2)

Subdivision Application: #24/92

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd.

Legal Description: Part Parcel E, Plan 91-S-03511

Location: 115 - 19th Street East

Current Zoning: D.C.D.1
Date Received: August 19, 1992

The Acting General Manager of the Planning Department has received the above-noted application for subdivision which is being processed pursuant to the Subdivision Regulations and will subsequently be submitted to Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B7) Discretionary Use Application 1222 Alberta Avenue For Information Only (File No. CC 4355-1)

Applicant: Academy of Learning
Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan 61-S-41780
Location: 1222 Alberta Avenue

Current Zoning: B.2
Proposed Use: Private School
Date Received: August 21, 1992

The Acting General Manager of the Planning Department has received the above-noted discretionary use application which is being processed and will subsequently be submitted to Council for its consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the above information be received.

ADOPTED.

B8) 84 Unit Senior Citizens Housing Project Proposed for the Saskatoon South Downtown (File Nos. CC 800-1 and 4130-2)

Background

Subject to the approval of City Council, agreement has been reached between the City of Saskatoon, the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, and the Saskatchewan Department of Community Services (Saskatchewan Housing Corporation) to fund the construction of an 84-unit senior citizens' social-housing project in Saskatoon. This project has been proposed for City-owned land that is located south of 19th Street (on the most westerly portion of the site of the former Arena Rink) and within the South Downtown area.

Upon completion, the project will be owned by the Province of Saskatchewan and will be managed by the Saskatoon Housing Authority. It will consist of 7 two-bedroom units and 77 one-bedroom units.

The project will be funded in accordance with the provisions of Section 95 of <u>The National Housing Act</u> and has been approved through the Federal Government's 1992 non-profit housing

program. Under this program, the operating and capital costs of the project will be shared in accordance with a formula whereby the Government of Canada pays 75%, the Province pays 20%, and the City pays 5%.

Timing Considerations

The sponsors of the project are attempting to start constructing the building by the middle of October, 1992. Because of the job-creation implications of an early start to the construction and of proceeding with such work during the winter months, the City's Administration has given considerable priority to addressing the various issues and to facilitating, wherever possible, the consideration of this project by the appropriate approval authorities. Administrative officials of the City have met with representatives of the Provincial Government to discuss various technical matters which are pertinent to the project. Civic officials are also working with the project's architect to discuss and to resolve various issues pertaining to the D.C.D.1 guidelines and to the immediate site requirements. While the City has no authority to control architectural factors associated with the building, a representative of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department is participating in a committee which is working with the project's architect to consider design alternatives and options which can be achieved within the constraints of the project's funding. The City has engaged a surveyor to create a registered plan for the proposed site, has begun to reroute an overhead power line, and has issued tenders for the necessary underground servicing.

The approval processes will require the co-operation of officials and authorities other than the City's Administration. Therefore, it is not within the City's capacity and control to ensure that all of the approvals can be obtained in sufficient time to meet the targeted date for construction.

For example, this project will be constructed within the Meewasin Valley Authority's conservation zone and therefore, is within this agency's jurisdiction for consideration and approval. As the developer of the project, the Provincial Government has submitted the required application for this project to the Authority for consideration. The City's Administration understands that the proposal will be considered by the Authority's Board of Directors on September 4, 1992.

Also, pursuant to Section 51 of <u>The Meewasin Valley Authority Act</u>, the City is required to provide the Authority and its other participating parties (i.e. the Province and the University) with an opportunity to acquire the land for this project before attempting to dispose of it. Both the University and the Province (generally, rather than in its capacity as the developer of the proposed housing project) have decided that they are not interested in acquiring this land. The Meewasin Valley Authority's Board will consider this offer during its September 4, 1992, meeting.

With respect to the City's approval requirements, this project is subject to the Direct Control District (D.C.D.1) guidelines. City Council is required to review this project within the context of these specific guidelines, to decide whether it conforms with their intent, and if it conforms, to

approve the plans. The City's Administration has made its recommendations on this matter to the Planning and Development Committee and to the Municipal Planning Commission. The Committee has concluded its review and will submit its recommendations to City Council's August 31, 1992, meeting.

The Municipal Planning Commission considered the matter on August 25, 1992, but has deferred providing City Council with its recommendations until the Commission's members have given the matter further consideration. The Commission has agreed to meet again on September 1, 1992. At this time, it is not known whether the Commission will be in a position to provide a report to City Council after the September 1, 1992, meeting. Nevertheless, the City's Administration is concerned about the implications on the project's proposed construction schedule of delaying the consideration of the Commission's recommendations until City Council's next meeting on September 14, 1992. Therefore, in consultation with His Worship the Mayor and in anticipation that the Municipal Planning Commission will be ready to provide its recommendations, City Council is being asked to hold a special meeting on September 2, 1992 to consider the plans for the senior-citizens' housing complex.

City Council should note that the land-use issue which it is being asked to consider is simply whether the proposed project conforms with the requirements of the D.C.D.1 guidelines. If it conforms with these guidelines, the project should be approved by City Council. If it does not meet one or more of the guidelines, the project can be rejected and/or sent back to the developer for reconsideration. Under the provisions of The Planning and Development Act, City Council's consideration of all projects which are being proposed in a direct control district must occur within a specific timeframe (i.e. approval within 60 days and entering into a development agreement within 90 days of the date when the plans are initially submitted to the municipality). Otherwise, the application can be forwarded to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board for adjudication.

City Council should also note that in order to commence construction of the housing project in October, the City must now proceed with installing certain underground services to the site. It is estimated that the underground work will take between four and six weeks and must be substantially completed before the construction of the building can commence. The City's Administration has already initiated the call for tenders on this portion of the servicing. These tenders will close on August 31, 1992, and it is anticipated that a recommendation on the awarding of the contract will be ready for consideration by City Council during the special meeting that is being proposed for September 2, 1992.

It is anticipated that the cost of the underground servicing which will accommodate this project is approximately \$80,000. In awarding this contract, City Council will be assuming that the social-housing project will proceed; if approval of the project is not forthcoming or if it does not proceed this winter, the City will have constructed services which will not be immediately required, but which will benefit this parcel when it is developed in the future, as well as adjacent properties.

(City Council should note that the surface improvements that will service this project will not be tendered or constructed until the summer of 1993.)

Matters which Require City Council's Immediate Consideration

In order to accommodate the proposed October 15 start of construction, City Council should consider the following issues at this time:

- the sale of the property which is required to accommodate the project,
- the leasing of City-owned land to accommodate additional surface parking for the project, and
- the approval of the City's participation in funding the capital and operating costs of the project.

City Council's decisions on these matters will be subject to a determination that the project conforms with the D.C.D.1 guidelines and to the approval of the project by the Meewasin Valley Authority.

Financing of the Project

The capital cost of the housing project is estimated at \$6,607,360.00. The City of Saskatoon's 5% share of this cost is, therefore, estimated at \$330,368.00 and can be financed from the City's Social Housing Reserve. The City is required to pay its share after committing to the project and prior to the start of construction.

Under Section 95 of <u>The National Housing Act</u>, each partner must pay a proportionate share of the project's annual operating losses. (The City is already contributing such funding to 24 similar social-housing projects in Saskatoon. These projects include Shepherd Apartments, Fifth Avenue Place, King Edward Place, and McNaughton Place. The City became involved in these projects between 1961 to 1984 and currently pays an annual amount of \$57,165.00 for its share of their net losses. This contribution is financed through the City's annual operating budget.) For the project which has been proposed for the South Downtown area, the Province has estimated the City's share of the losses to be approximately \$5,000.00 a year. These losses can be funded through the City's operating budgets.

Site Services

The proposed site contains some existing underground and surface utilities which must be moved to accommodate the footprint of the new building. These include:

• Replacing a 15-inch storm sewer with a new 42-inch sewer,

- Re-routing an existing 10-inch watermain to allow the proposed building to be placed as far to the west as possible, thereby maximizing the usage of the site and maintaining the maximum flexibility for the rest of the land south of 19th Street,
- Re-routing an existing overhead power line along 19th Street, and
- Building an access road along the southern and western boundaries of the site from the intersection of 19th Street and Second Avenue, in order provide access in accordance with the proposed overall development of the South Downtown area and therefore, to allow the proposed building to be sited with its front facing toward the River.

The total cost of providing all underground and surface services for the proposed social-housing project is estimated at \$359,000.00. As has been previously indicated in this report, the work on rerouting the power line has commenced and the tenders for the underground services will close on August 31, 1992.

Plan Registration

The proposed parcel has been surveyed. A registerable transfer should be ready by mid-October. The plan will not be registered until the Province has approved commencing with the construction of the housing project and has requested title to the land.

Land Price and Payment

Today's value of the site of the former Arena Rink is most likely in the \$30.00 to \$35.00 a square-foot range. The western portion of the site is estimated to be of a lesser value than the eastern portion because of the former's proximity to the Idylwyld Freeway's ramp. Accordingly, the western portion is estimated in the \$25.00 square-foot range.

During a February 17, 1992, meeting of the Committee of the Whole Council, consideration was given to whether a pricing incentive should be offered in order to encourage the first development within the South Downtown area. The Administration was given instructions by the Committee on a negotiating strategy for the pricing of this land.

For the proposed housing project, the Province has agreed to pay \$509,868.00, or \$18.00 a square-foot, for the 28,326 square-foot parcel. Payment in full is to be made to the City when the title for this property is transferred. The negotiated price is consistent with the strategy that was authorized by the Committee of the Whole Council. City Council is now requested to approve the sale of the property and the negotiated price.

Sales Agreement

The sales agreement will be prepared by the City Solicitor. It shall include a clause that requires the project to pay \$5,500.00 a year for ten years to the City in order to cover the cost of constructing the access road which will be located to the south and west of the proposed parcel. The Province has requested a clause in the agreement that will require the City to be responsible for cleaning up any surface or subsurface contaminants which might be found on the parcel.

Lease Agreement and Surface Parking

The project will contain twenty-one underground parking stalls (i.e. one for every four units). In addition, the Province has requested to lease property from the City to provide additional surface parking for the project. These additional stalls will be located on City-owned land between the project's site and extending under the Idylwyld Freeway's ramp. Approximately 14 off-site stalls will be constructed by the City on the leased land; in addition, the City will construct a traffic turn-around on City-owned property. In both cases, the cost of construction will be charged to the housing project.

The lease agreement for the use of City-owned land associated with the traffic and parking requirements for the housing project will be prepared by the City Solicitor and will include landscaping and maintenance considerations. The recommended lease rate is \$1.00 for the life of the housing project. The lease will also contain references to snow removal on the parking lot and to maintaining the landscaping around the parking lot, both of which will be the responsibility of the housing project.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that subject to the Meewasin Valley Authority not wishing to purchase the site of the former Arena Rink, City Council agree:
 - a) to sell, at a price of \$509,868.00, the most westerly 28,326 square feet of the site of the former Arena Rink to the Saskatchewan Department of Community Services for the purposes of constructing a senior citizens' social-housing project;
 - b) to the terms of sale for the property and to the terms of the lease of the adjacent City-owned property, as outlined in this report; and,

- c) to participate in the social-housing project by paying 5% of the capital cost (estimated at \$330,368.00 and financed from the Social Housing Reserve) and by funding 5% of the project's annual operating losses (estimated at \$5,000.00 a year and financed through the City's annual Operating Budget);
- 2) that subject to the project receiving all of the required approvals by the City and by the Meewasin Valley Authority to proceed, the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare the necessary agreements and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute these agreements on behalf of the City; and,
- 3) that a special meeting of City Council be held at noon on Wednesday, September 2, 1992, to consider the following matters pertaining to the proposed social-housing project for the South Downtown area:
 - a) whether the project conforms to the provisions of the Direct Control District (D.C.D.1) Guidelines (assuming that the Municipal Planning Commission has completed its review and is able to provide its recommendations on this matter to City Council); and,
 - b) consideration of the tenders for the underground services that will be constructed to accommodate this project.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.17 of "Communications", Clause 1, Report No. 10-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission and Clause 8, Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee were brought forward and considered.

REPORT NO. 10-1992 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Development Proposal
 115 - 19th Street East (Former City Arena Property)
 D.C.D.1 District

Senior Housing Project

Applicant: Melvyn Malkin Architects Inc. for

Sask Community Services Housing Division

(File No. CK. 4130-1)

This report is to be considered in conjunction with Clause 8, Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee.

The Municipal Planning Commission has considered the Planning Department's report dated August 19, 1992 on the above development proposal and wishes to extend the date of its expected recommendations to City Council in order to consider the project within the context of the report of the Mayor's Task Force - "South Downtown Development". Members of the Commission have been provided with copies of this report for review and will hold a special meeting on Tuesday, September 1, 1992 to discuss the project once again, in terms of how it would fit in with the concept plan developed by the Mayor's Task Force which was approved by Council. Specific questions raised by the Commission relate to the design continuity, theme, does this project set the standard for future projects, will it provide limitations for future projects in this area, etc.

RECOMMENDATION:

that a decision on the drawings provided in the August 19, 1992 report of the Planning Department respecting a proposal for an 11 storey, 84 unit senior citizen's apartment building situated on Part of Parcel E, Registered Plan No. 91-S-03511 (former Arena site on 19th Street) be deferred until the next meeting of City Council.

REPORT NO. 20-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

8. South Downtown Redevelopment Senior-citizens Housing Complex (Files CK. 800-1 and 4130-2)

Attached is a copy of an August 19, 1992 report of the Planning Department which pertains to the senior-citizens housing complex which has been proposed for the former Arena site.

Your Committee has reviewed this report in view of the Committee's overall responsibility for reviewing all marketing and development issues and proposals in the South Downtown area, and notes that it has also been reviewed by the Municipal Planning Commission. The intent of the Administration was that each group would report to this meeting of Council with its recommendations. The Committee therefore submits the following comments:

The Planning and Development Committee strongly supports the proposal to locate the 84-unit, 11-storey senior citizens housing complex on part of the site of the old Arena site on 19th Street.

The project conforms in every way with the D.C.D.1 Guidelines. It is also consistent with recommendations contained in the Report of the Mayor's Task Force entitled "South Downtown Development". The project will occupy approximately 4% of the South Downtown land area.

Your Committee notes that a Building Design Advisory Committee has been formed to ensure input is received from such interested groups as the Saskatchewan Housing Corporation, Senior Citizens Associations, City of Saskatoon, The Partnership, Saskatoon Housing Authority, etc. The Advisory Committee will cover such issues as design of individual units, landscaping, external appearance of the building, noise levels and the possible provision of a convenience store, hairdressing shop and other services for residents.

The senior citizens housing complex, at a construction cost in excess of \$6 million, will be the first new building to be erected in the South Downtown, and as such, will provide a focus for future growth.

Moved by Alderman Penner,

THAT Mr. Feldkamp be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Feldkamp expressed disagreement with the social housing project proposed for the South Downtown.

Moved by Alderman Waygood,

THAT the information set out under Clause 1, Report No. 10-1992 of the Municipal Planning Commission and Clause 8, Report No. 20-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Thompson,

THAT consideration of the recommendations set out under Clause B8, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner be deferred until Council's Special Meeting to be held on Wednesday, September 2, 1992, and that Council receive a report of the Municipal Planning Commission at that time.

YEAS: Aldermen Thompson, Waygood and Birkmaier	3
NAYS: His Worship Mayor Dayday, Aldermen Penner, Mostoway, McCann,	
Hawthorne, Dyck and Mann	7

Moved by Alderman Penner,

THAT City Council adopt the following recommendations, as set out under Clause B8, Report No. 20-1992 of the City Commissioner:

- 1) that subject to the Meewasin Valley Authority not wishing to purchase the site of the former Arena Rink, City Council agree:
 - a) to sell, at a price of \$509,868.00, the most westerly 28,326 square feet of the site of the former Arena Rink to the Saskatchewan Department of Community Services for the purposes of constructing a senior citizens' social-housing project;
 - b) to the terms of sale for the property and to the terms of the lease of the adjacent City-owned property, as outlined in this report; and,
 - c) to participate in the social-housing project by paying 5% of the capital cost (estimated at \$330,368.00 and financed from the Social Housing Reserve) and by funding 5% of the project's annual operating losses (estimated at \$5,000.00 a year and financed through the City's annual Operating Budget);
- 2) that subject to the project receiving all of the required approvals by the City and by the Meewasin Valley Authority to proceed, the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare the necessary agreements and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute these agreements on behalf of the City; and,
- 3) that a special meeting of City Council be held at noon on Wednesday, September 2, 1992, to consider the following matters pertaining to the proposed social-housing project for the South Downtown area:
 - a) whether the project conforms to the provisions of the Direct Control District (D.C.D.1) Guidelines (assuming that the Municipal Planning Commission has completed its review and is able to provide its recommendations on this matter to City Council); and,

b) consideration of the tenders for the underground services that will be constructed to accommodate this project.

YEAS: His Worship Mayor Dayday, Aldermen Penner, Mostoway, McCann,

Hawthorne, Dyck and Mann 7

NAYS: Aldermen Thompson, Waygood and Birkmaier 3

B9) Subdivision Application #23/92

7 Norman Crescent (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #23/92

Applicant: Emanuel Sonnenschein

Legal Description: Part of Lot 26, Block 236, Plan G867

Location: 7 Norman Crescent

The August 25, 1992, report of the Acting General Manager of the Planning Department concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION: that Subdivision #23/92 be approved, subject to the consolidation of

the most southerly 0.4572 metres (1.5 feet) in perpendicular width throughout of Lot 26, Block 236, Plan No. G867, with Lot 25, Block

236, Plan No. G867.

ADOPTED.

B10) Lot Pricing
Lot Y, Block 154, Plan 88-S-33781
Multi-Family Parcel
Russell Road, Silverwood
(File No. CC 4215-1)

Report of the Land Manager, August 26, 1992:

"On July 17, 1989, City Council approved a selling price for Lot Y, Block 154, Plan 88-S-33781. The pertinent information on this lot is as follows:

Frontage: 23.02 metres (75.53 ft.)

Depth: 33.50 metres (109.91 ft.)

Area: 0.0771 hectares (0.19 ac.)

Zoning: R.4 District Selling Price: \$39,546.06

The lot was created by the re-subdivision of a larger B.1 (commercial) zoned parcel. The resubdivision created a small B.1A (commercial) parcel, four single-family lots, and Lot Y. The commercial parcel and the single-family lots have been sold, but to date, there has been little expression of interest in Lot Y.

The Land Department recommends that the selling price of Lot Y should be reduced to \$34,000.00 to make the lot more attractive for a smaller project."

On July 27, 1992, the Land Bank Committee considered and supported reducing the price of this lot to \$34,000. Through an oversight, the Committee did not forward this matter to City Council for approval. Therefore, the proposal is now being submitted, through the Administration, for Council's consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: that the selling price of \$34,000.00 for Lot Y, Block 154, Plan 88-S-

33781 be approved.

ADOPTED.

Section C - Finance

C1) Investments (File No. CC 1790-3)

Report of the Investment Services Manager, August 14, 1992:

"With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached lists indicate purchases and sales for the City's various funds."

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the above purchases and sales.

ADOPTED.

Section D - Services

D1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council

SUBJECT	FROM	TO	
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$6,873,587.66 (File No. CC 1530-2)	August 11, 1992		August 18, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$1,305,816.99 (File No. CC 1530-2)	August 18, 1992		August 20, 1992
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$2,906,631.85 (File No. CC 1530-2)	August 18, 1992		August 25, 1992

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

D2) Proposed Development and Servicing Agreement

- The City of Saskatoon and Aspen Developments Inc. (The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375) - Packham Avenue Area of the Sutherland Industrial Subdivision (File No. CC 4110-1)

Report of the City Solicitor, August 25, 1992:

"City Council at its meeting held on March 2, 1992, resolved that:

- that the proposed development and servicing agreement between The City of Saskatoon and Aspen Developments Inc. ("Aspen") provide for a deferral of payment of off-site charges by Aspen for a period of seven (7) years, and the assumption of such charges by the Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 102 throughout the deferral period;
- that The City of Saskatoon undertake to provide such legal access to Parcel "D", Plan No. 87-S-40101 as may in the discretion of the Council of The City of Saskatoon seem appropriate, and at such time as development conditions may warrant. It being understood that in agreeing to provide such access, The City of Saskatoon has not assumed the cost of any roadway improvements. Responsibility for roadway costs depends upon the nature and type of roadway, and will be the subject of further discussion; and,
- 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare and bring forward the required development agreement for consideration.'

In keeping with such resolutions, we have met on various occasions with representatives of Aspen Developments Inc., The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375, together with their legal counsel, and forward herewith for consideration proposed form of Development and Servicing Agreement as between The City of Saskatoon and Aspen Developments Inc.

The proposed Agreement, so far as is possible, is in our standard City-developed format, and includes the various specific provisions instructed by City Council at its meeting of March 2, 1992. Payment of off-site charges by the Developer, Aspen Developments Inc., has been deferred for a period of seven years, with all such charges being paid directly by The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375 during the deferral period. In this regard, we also enclose for consideration form of Agreement as between The City of Saskatoon and The Muskeg Lake Indian Band documenting the Band's undertakings with respect to the payment of off-site levies throughout the seven-year deferral period.

Inasmuch as the original 1988 Agreement between The City of Saskatoon, Her Majesty the Queen, and The Muskeg Lake Indian Band relating to these lands had appended thereto a form of

development and servicing agreement, it has been necessary to amend such Agreement by the deletion of the development agreement appended thereto, and the insertion in its stead of the form of Development Agreement submitted herewith. Such amending Agreement is similarly included for consideration at this time."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the proposed form of Agreements as between:
 - a) The City of Saskatoon and Aspen Developments Inc.; and,
 - b) The City of Saskatoon and The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375; and,
 - c) The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375, Her Majesty the Queen, and The City of Saskatoon;

are hereby approved; and,

2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute such Agreements on behalf of The City of Saskatoon and affix the corporate seal thereto.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the proposed form of Agreements as between:

- a) The City of Saskatoon and Aspen Developments Inc.; and,
- b) The City of Saskatoon and The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375; and,
- c) The Muskeg Lake Indian Band No. 375, Her Majesty the Queen, and The City of Saskatoon;

be approved; and,

2) that, subject to approval by the Federal Department of Justice, His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk

be authorized to execute such Agreements on behalf of The City of Saskatoon and affix the Corporate Seal thereto

D3) Noise Complaints (File No. CC 5000-2)

Report of the City Solicitor, August 26, 1992:

"Councillor Mostoway asked our Office to provide basic information, for Council and the public, on how to make a complaint regarding noise.

Most noise complaints arise out of noisy stereos, and most often, if charges are laid, they are under the City's Noise Bylaw. There are, of course, other kinds of noise problems and other powers which the Police have under the Criminal Code of Canada, but for the purposes of this report, we are assuming a 'standard' complaint. The following information has been prepared with the assistance of Superintendent Norm Doell of the Saskatoon Police Service.

Anyone wanting to complain about noise should phone the Police at 975-8200. This is the regular complaints number. Please do not phone the emergency number, 911.

If you phone in a noise complaint, you will be asked to give your name. The reason for this, is so that the file has the name of someone who could potentially be a witness in subsequent court action. You do not have to give your name. The Police will dispatch a car, even though the complaint is anonymous. However, you should be aware that if you do not give your name, the Police can only act on what they see and hear themselves when they arrive at the scene. In other words, if the stereo is temporarily turned down when they arrive, they will not lay a charge because they cannot use what you have told them anonymously as evidence.

If you do give your name, it will be kept confidential by the Police. They will not tell the people having the party who it was that complained about them.

Noise complaints are not treated as emergencies. This means that it may take a little time after you phone before a Police car arrives. The length of time depends on what else is happening in your district when you phone. However, the Police do respond to all complaints, and a car will be sent as soon as one is available.

When the Police arrive, they exercise some discretion as to what to do, depending on what the situation is. In some circumstances, for instance, the Police may 'warn' the people involved that a complaint has been made and that they should turn the stereo down or whatever. If the Police receive cooperation, they may not lay a charge at that time. However, if the party 'starts again' later,

the Police should be called again, and at that time they can lay the appropriate charges.

The most common response is for the Police to lay a charge under the Noise Bylaw, which will subsequently be prosecuted by our Office. If the people charged are found guilty, they will generally be fined, with the amount of the fine being set by the Court, depending on the circumstances. Fines range from \$50.00-\$500.00. Anyone wanting to know the 'outcome' of a particular complaint, can call our Office.

As mentioned above, the Police do have other powers under the Criminal Code and <u>The Liquor Act</u>, and in more serious situations, they can proceed under these powers rather than the Noise Bylaw. Also, all attendances at noisy parties are documented by the Police by way of an incident report. Every report is assigned to a Sergeant for follow-up to ensure that the proper action has been taken."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

D4) Subdivision Application No. 16/92

Applicant: George, Nicholson, Franko, & Associates Ltd.

on behalf of Depmar Flight Holdings Inc.

Legal Description: Part of Parcel A, Plan 73-S-24023 and

Part of Parcel 26, Plan 88-S-27521

Location: 2625 Airport Drive

(File No. CC 4300-2)

City Council at its meeting of Monday, July 20, 1992, when considering Subdivision Application No. 16/92 involving Depmar Flights Holdings Inc. resolved, in part:

- "3) that the amount of \$53,246.10 be charged for area development charges;
- 4) that the City agree to pay the area development charges subject to the development proceeding as described in the Council Chamber tonight, including a minimum \$1.7 million investment on this site;
- 5) that resolution 4) above be deferred and referred to the Incentives Review Committee of the Economic Development Board;"

In accordance with Council's request, the Incentives Review Committee of the Economic

Development Board considered the referral and reported to Council on August 4, 1992. In that report, the Review Committee supported the decision of City Council and recommended that the City agree to pay the area development charges, subject to the development proceeding as described in City Council Chambers (July 20, 1992), including a minimum \$1.7 million investment.

Council then referred the matter to the Administration for a report.

The Administration has now met with the Airport Manager and staff and has discussed at some length, the policies concerning direct and indirect servicing, and the requirement for all developed properties in Saskatoon to pay off-site levies. It is the policy of the Airport to collect any development charges through lease charges. They agreed that they would take this matter under consideration and requested further information from the City on details of future City plans and the current amount of development charges.

The City Commissioner has discussed this matter with Mr. Donald Maranda, Director of Depmar Flight Holdings Inc. Mr. Maranda advises that the capital budget and financing for the project was established long before they became aware of the requirement of payment of development fees. Because of the financing arrangements between Depmar, The Bank of Montreal, and Shell Canada Products Limited, it would be virtually impossible to renegotiate financing to accommodate these fees. Mr. Maranda has confirmed that the project will proceed and will meet the projected \$1.7 million investment for a 27,000 square foot building. The facility will be used to provide:

- 1) An executive flight centre for office space, computerized/non-computerized flight planning rooms, meeting rooms, work stations, executive lounges, recreation and exercise activities, quiet room and showers.
- 2) A refuelling facility for distribution and sale of aviation fuel.
- 3) A hangar for aircraft parking, aircraft maintenance and repairs.

Therefore, there is no reasonable expectation that the City will receive payment of the area development charges. Initially, the Administration had recommended that the charges simply not be levied. Because of Council's resolution of July 20, 1992, to pay the area development charges, it will now be necessary to designate a source of funding or provide for a write-off.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that due to the fact that off-site levies have not been charged on airport land previously, these charges be waived in this instance only; and,
- 2) that the City Commissioner be instructed to notify Airport Management that for any future development on the airport

site, off-site levies will be charged.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 20-1992 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman P. McCann, Chairman Alderman G. Penner Alderman K. Waygood

1. Saskatoon Hilltops Football Club License Agreement - Gordon Howe Bowl (Files CK, 290-1, 4205-7-2 and 1720-3)

Report of Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, August 12, 1992:

"The agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Hilltop Football Club Incorporated, pertaining to the latter's use of the Gordon Howe Football Bowl, has expired. (A copy of this agreement has been attached to this report.) The Club, in discussions with the Leisure Services Department, has indicated a desire to renew the current agreement for a five-year period.

Background

On October 24, 1988, City Council adopted the following recommendations with respect to the Saskatoon Hilltop Football Club's use of the Gordon Howe Bowl:

- that in recognition of the Club's willingness to provide financial support to making capital improvements to the Gordon Howe Bowl, the City of Saskatoon enter into a four-year agreement (1988 to 1991) with the Saskatoon Hilltops Football Club for the use of the Bowl under the following terms:
 - (a) that a rental rate of \$700 per game be charged to the Saskatoon Hilltops Football Club, effective on August 1, 1988, and terminating on November 30,

1991;

- (b) that a capital development improvement plan for the Gordon Howe Bowl be developed by the Parks and Recreation (Leisure Services) Department for 1989, with joint co-operation and funding from the Saskatoon Hilltops and The City of Saskatoon, and
- (c) that the Parks and Recreation (Leisure Services)
 Department meet annually with the Saskatoon
 Hilltops Football Club to review the operating
 budget and to identify cost-shared capital
 improvements to the Gordon Howe Bowl; and,
- 2) that the Administration identify a capital project in the 1989 Capital Budget which, as a productivity improvement, will make renovations to the playing surface (eg. automatic irrigation) and therefore, will reduce the future maintenance and operating costs of the Gordon Howe Bowl.'

Over the past four years, the Leisure Services Department and the Saskatoon Hilltop Football Club have worked closely together in a joint effort to improve the operations of the Gordon Howe Bowl. The following is a summary of the initiatives which have been undertaken since 1989 in this regard:

- a) An automatic irrigation system was installed in 1989. This project was funded through a productivity improvement loan and will be fully paid on December 31, 1994, thereby reducing this facility's annual operating costs by \$6,800.
- b) A new football scoreboard was purchased in 1989. The Saskatoon Hilltops Football Club contributed \$3,554.70 towards the total purchase price (\$9,554.70) of the scoreboard.
- c) A capital improvement program for the Gordon Howe Bowl has been developed. The following projects (listed in order of priority) have been identified within this program:

Project Cost Estimate

• expanding/upgrading of the press box

• replacing/upgrading of the sound system

\$27,000

• upgrading/replacing the field's lighting

\$100,000 to \$150,000

- other considerations:
 - artificial turf
 - improved washroom/concession/changeroom facilities
 - expanded bleacher-seating

Before proceeding with any capital improvements to the Gordon Howe Bowl, the Leisure Services Department feels that a review of the entire Gordon Howe Complex is required in order to plan for the future development of this particular site. Project 614 (Gordon Howe Complex - Inventory Study and Facilities Assessment) was identified in the 1992 Capital Budget. However, due to limited funding resources, this project was deferred and will be considered again for inclusion in the 1993 Capital Budget.

- d) In conjunction with a local promoter, the Saskatoon Hilltops sponsored an outdoor rock-concert which was held at the Gordon Howe Bowl on June 3, 1990. This event generated an additional \$1,600 in operating revenue for the facility.
- e) During the 1991 football season, excessive amounts of snowfall were experienced in October and November, resulting in abnormal field conditions. The Saskatoon Hilltops were very co-operative in assisting the City's staff with placing and removing the tarps that were used to cover the field for added protection.
- f) The purpose of the Gordon Howe Football Bowl is to provide an outdoor football facility to serve the local high-school and junior football programs, as well as other special events. In 1991, 39 high-school league games were played at the facility, along with eight Hilltop games. The season started on August 4, 1991, and culminated (on November 9, 1991) with the Saskatoon Hilltops winning the Canadian Junior Football Championship at the Gordon Howe Bowl.

Current Situation

1. Rental Rates

The Saskatoon Hilltops would like to renew the current agreement concerning their use of Gordon Howe Football Bowl for a five-year period (August 15, 1992, to November 30, 1996). The Hilltops are prepared to pay a 7% rental increase which would raise the per-game rental fee by \$50, from \$700 per game to \$750 per game.

For 1992, the School Board's rental rate is \$165 per game. This rate was established as part of the conditions under which the City acquired the Albert Community

Centre.

2. Operating Costs

The 1992 recoverable operating cost (i.e. total operating budget less concession revenues) to operate the Gordon Howe Football Bowl is estimated at \$61,000. Based on the targeted usage of 50 games per season, the recoverable operating cost is \$1,220 per game.

3. Justification

Based on a five-game schedule, the proposed rental rate of \$750 per game results in an annual subsidy of \$2,350 (\$1,220 operating cost per game - \$750 per game rate x five games). The Leisure Services Department supports this level of subsidization to the Saskatoon Hilltops because the Club has demonstrated, financially and through in-kind support, an on-going commitment to the Gordon Howe Bowl.

For the 1992 season, the Saskatoon Hilltops have committed \$1,600 towards upgrading the headphones system. The Club has also undertaken to paint the bleachers at a nominal fee. The value of this contribution to Bowl's maintenance is estimated at \$2,000. These contributions more than offset the City's subsidy to the Club for this year.

The Leisure Services Department and the Saskatoon Hilltops will continue to review and to identify cost-shared improvements to the Gordon Howe Bowl. They will also be looking for alternative uses that will generate additional revenue for the facility.

Proposed Revisions

The following revisions are recommended to the existing agreement:

- a) that the Agreement cover the period from August 15, 1992, to November 30, 1996; and.
- b) that a rental rate of \$750 per game (plus G.S.T.) be charged to the Saskatoon Hilltop Football Club for the period from August 15, 1992, to November 30, 1996."

Your Committee has reviewed this report with representatives of the Leisure Services Department and

RECOMMENDS:

- 1) that the changes to the Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Hilltop Football Club for use of the Gordon Howe Bowl be approved, as outlined in the above report;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare a revised Agreement; and
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the revised Agreement on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

2. 1991 Capital Budget -- Project 939 BMX Facility -- William A. Reid Park (Files No. CK. 4206 & 5500-1)

Report of Director of Planning and Development, July 17, 1991 (excerpts):

"In the 1991 Capital Budget, City Council approved the City's share of funding for the construction of a new BMX ('bicycle moto-cross') facility at William A. Reid Park. The total cost of the project was \$45,000, of which \$23,000 was funded by a donation (involving cash, volunteer labour, and materials) from the Bridge City BMX Club. This Club's involvement in this project occurred because this facility was developed, in part, to replace its track at the Exhibition Grounds.

The BMX track is now complete and has been fully operational since early June, 1991. The track has been constructed in the northeast corner of the William A. Reid Park, adjacent to the ball diamonds, and includes an announcing tower and finishing-line booth, a service building for storing equipment and for race registration, and the track itself.

The Bridge City BMX Club is very pleased with its new location. The Club feels that the physical layout of the new track is superior to the previous site at the Exhibition Grounds.

Throughout the development of this project, the Bridge City BMX Club and the Leisure Services Department have agreed, in principle, that the Club will be responsible for the ongoing programming and maintenance of this facility. This does not, however, indemnify the City of Saskatoon from being liable for public injury during the non-programmed times of this facility. The BMX track is designed to accommodate public access and is available for unsupervised use for five days of the week, with the exception of Wednesdays and Sundays

when the Bridge City BMX Club runs its program."

A letter of understanding was forwarded to the Bridge City BMX Club with respect to the facility's operations during the 1991 season, and in the meantime, your Committee requested that the City Solicitor prepare an operating agreement (to replace the letter of understanding) between the City and the Bridge City BMX Club concerning the new BMX track at William A. Reid Park.

Attached is a copy of the Operating Agreement, as prepared by the City Solicitor's Office.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the attached Operating Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Bridge City BMX Club Inc. be approved; and
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

3. Request to Purchase Used Ice-cleaning Equipment Saskatchewan Economic Development Corporation (Files CK. 1250-1 and 500-8)

Report of Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, July 29, 1992:

"Introduction

The Leisure Services Department has received an offer from the Saskatchewan Economic Development Corporation (SEDCO) to purchase one used Zamboni ice resurfacer (Model 116) from the City of Saskatoon. SEDCO currently operates the AgriPlace Twin Arenas and would like to buy the Zamboni directly from the City, subject to Council's approval to waive Policy C02-009 (Disposal of Obsolete/Surplus Materials and Equipment) for this purchase.

Background

Over the past three years, new ice-cleaning machines have been purchased by the City to replace older equipment at Lions, Archibald, Cosmo, Kinsmen, and ACT Arenas. In disposing of some of this equipment, City Council, at its meeting on November 27, 1989, authorized the sale of the following ice-cleaning units to the Saskatoon Zone A Hockey

Association for the reserve bid price indicated:

Zamboni - Model 116 - \$11,000 reserve bid Ford Tractor 3400 c/w attached ice-cleaning equipment - \$4,400 reserve bid

Current Situation

The ice-cleaning machine (Zamboni - Model 116) located at the Wheatland Arena was scheduled to be replaced in 1992. However, with the decision to operate the Wheatland Building as a soccer facility for the start of the 1992/93 season, a new ice-cleaning unit is not required at this time. The Leisure Services Department now has one Zamboni, Model 116, ice resurfacer available as surplus equipment.

Proposal

SEDCO has offered to purchase a used Zamboni, Model 116, from the City of Saskatoon for a purchase price of \$5,000. The purchase price offered by SEDCO represents approximately 10% of the replacement value of a new machine. Given the age (13 years old) and current condition of the ice-cleaning machine, the Leisure Services Department considers this to be a reasonable offer. The machine in question has been used to flood two ice-surfaces throughout the years and, as a consequence, has accumulated twice as many hours during its life-span as compared to other machines operating at single sheet arenas.

With the use of the Wheatland Arena as a soccer facility, Agriplace Twin Arenas has increased the time committed to the Saskatoon Minor Hockey Association from 21.5 hours per week in 1991-1992 to in excess of 64.5 hours per week for the 1992-1993 season. SEDCO have indicated that they are prepared to continue this commitment for as long as they control the Agriplace facility.

The Leisure Services Department supports the request put forward by the Saskatchewan Economic Development Corporation to purchase used ice equipment from the City, which will assist in maintaining the ice conditions at the AgriPlace facility."

Upon review of this report, your Committee questioned whether this machine should be kept as a spare. It has subsequently been determined that the Leisure Services Department previously retained a Zamboni (Model 116) at the A.C.T. Arena to act as a spare machine. The proposed sale involves surplus equipment which has arisen because the Wheatland Arena is being converted to a soccer facility. SEDCO will be purchasing the Zamboni which is used at the A.C.T. Arena and the City will be keeping the machine at the Wheatland Arena as the spare ice-resurfacer.

Your Committee therefore

RECOMMENDS: 1) that the sale of a Zamboni, Model 116, ice-resurfacer to the Saskatchewan Economic Development Corporation for the purchase price of \$5,000 be authorized; and

2) that the City of Saskatoon Policy No. C02-009 -- Disposal of Obsolete/Surplus Material and Equipment, be waived for the sale of the equipment stated in Recommendation No. 1) above.

ADOPTED.

4. Henry Kelsey Park
Re-designation -- Neighbourhood to District
(File No. CK. 4205-1)

Report of Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, August 4, 1992:

"Introduction

The Hudson Bay Parks System consists of two district parks (Scott Park and Pierre Radisson Park) and two neighbourhood parks (Henry Kelsey Park [South and North] and Lief Erickson Park), as well as a storm-retention dry-pond which is known as Lark Place. This report discusses the need to change the designation of Henry Kelsey Park.

Designation of Henry Kelsey Park

The size of Henry Kelsey Park is approximately 14.2 hectares (35.1 acres). Currently, this Park has a neighbourhood designation. However, under the City's open-space guidelines, some of its existing program components are found in district parks (e.g. the adult ball diamonds). The southern end of the Park (i.e. the area which is closest to the school) more typically consists of neighbourhood-park program components.

If we are to maintain the integrity of the City's open-space guidelines and to satisfy the programming preferences of the nearby residents and of various city-wide special-interest groups who currently utilize this Park, a portion should be re-designated to a district classification. This change will accommodate the district-park-programming components which already exist and which have been recommended (in a recently-completed review of the programs for the entire Hudson Bay Parks System) to remain in the northern end of Henry Kelsey Park.

Proposed Re-designation

The Leisure Services Department recommends that 27.1 acres at the northern end of Henry Kelsey Park should be re-designated as a district park. (See the attached map in Appendix A.) This will allow the remaining eight acres to be redeveloped under a future

neighbourhood-park-upgrading capital-project.

The Leisure Services Department believes that the redesignation of part of this Park to a district classification will satisfy the neighbourhood-park-programming preferences of the nearby residents and the short-term programming requirements of the city-wide and adult sports groups who are now using the sports fields at the northern end of the Park. This change in designation will mean that any future district-park-upgrading capital-projects will include, as financing priorities permit, the type of redevelopment which has been identified for the district component of Henry Kelsey Park.

1992 Capital Budget Implications

The 1992 approved Capital Budget includes a provision to undertake design work for upgrading the Henry Kelsey South Park (i.e. the remaining eight acres of neighbourhood park). This work is being funded as a neighbourhood-park project and the timing of the project is based on the priorities set within the neighbourhood-park-upgrading capital-program. Therefore, before this work can proceed, City Council must approve the proposed re-designation of the 27.1 acres in Henry Kelsey Park from a neighbourhood to a district classification. If this change in designation is approved and in accordance with the current priorities for neighbourhood-park upgrading, the remaining neighbourhood portion of this Park will be the first part of the Hudson Bay Parks System to be redeveloped.

The Leisure Services Department has consulted with the Kelsey Community Association and has been advised that the residents are in favour of re-designating part of Henry Kelsey Park to a district classification. The Association also supports the redevelopment of the remaining neighbourhood portion of the Park (i.e. the area located closest to the school and the recreation unit at the southern end of the Park)."

Your Committee has reviewed this report and

that 27.1 acres of Henry Kelsey Park, as identified in Appendix A attached, **RECOMMENDS:**

be changed from a neighbourhood-park to a district-park designation.

ADOPTED.

5. 1992 Capital Budget

> Project 901: Park Upgrading -- Neighbourhood (Files CK, 4205-1 & 1702-1)

Report of Director of Planning and Development, August 4, 1992:

"The 1992 approved Capital Budget included upgrading projects for three neighbourhood parks -- Wildwood, Kistikan, and St. Patrick Parks. Due to a shortfall in the available funding for this Budget, City Council decided that the tenders for the construction work on these Parks would not be awarded in 1992.

During City Council's consideration of the proposed 1992 park-upgrading tenders, it was recognized that each year's work under this program is determined by the amount of funding which is available. A priorization process has been developed by the City's Administration for determining which parks should be considered for upgrading, as well as ranking them in order to allocate the available capital funding. As a result of a referral by City Council, the Planning and Development Committee resolved on August 4, 1992:

`that the Administration be requested to report back on the prioritizing of park improvements including the priority list and the criteria used in the priorization process'.

Report of the Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, August 4, 1992:

`Introduction

In order to place the neighbourhood-park upgrading project into a proper context for consideration by the Committee, this report will address the three park-improvement programs which are currently being provided by the City, when funding is available. The three programs are:

- Neighbourhood-park Upgrading Program which has been provided, and funded to various degrees, since 1988 (project 901 in the Capital Budget),
- Neighbourhood-park Irrigation-upgrading Program which was initiated in 1988, but was only funded in 1990 (project 889 in the Capital Budget), and

• Neighbourhood-park Enhancement Program which has been funded since 1989 through a \$25,000 provision in the Operating Budget (with the provision reduced to \$20,000 in the 1992 Budget).

Background

A five-year capital development plan for the upgrading of older parks throughout Saskatoon was first established in 1984 in order to bring older neighbourhood parks up to a specified standard for amenities within the City's parks-classification system. The program was also designed to achieve certain maintenance standards of the former Parks and Recreation Department. The allocation of the program's funding was prioritized primarily in accordance with the age and the maintenance concerns of each park.

In 1988, the Leisure Services and the Civic Buildings and Grounds Departments reexamined how parks are identified for upgrading and the priorization procedures for allocating the available funding. The process was changed to include more direct involvement by community associations in identifying the need to redevelop certain neighbourhood parks and the associations' perception of the urgency in proceeding with such work, as well as identifying the specific amenities and programming that should be considered in the redevelopment projects. Therefore, the focus of the upgrading program changed from being driven strictly by maintenance and safety considerations, to including the programming priorities which are based on the preferences of the neighbourhoods' residents.

On July 11, 1988, City Council approved a change to the City's park-development standards which included full irrigation of all neighbourhood and district parks in new areas. Two capital projects were created to address the upgrading of irrigation systems in the existing neighbourhood and district parks to bring them up to the new standard.

Community associations and city-wide special-interest groups have also had the opportunity to initiate and to contribute to smaller park-improvement projects that involve expenditures of less than \$25,000 and that are not considered under any of the City's other capital programs. In 1989, City Council approved a provision in the Operating Budget to implement the neighbourhood- and district-parks enhancement programs. These programs are funded on a cost-shared basis between the City and the interested community associations and city-wide special-interest groups.

Application Process

Each year, community associations can apply to the Leisure Services Department to have certain neighbourhood parks improved or redeveloped. In their applications, they are required to provide the following information:

- a description of the nature of their request,
- a proposal on the programming (i.e. what they want to see and do in their park),
- an explanation of the conditions which are causing the need for the upgrading,
- an indication of who is experiencing the need and how many people are represented by the association that is making the application, and
- the financial contribution, if any, that the community association is willing to make to the project.

All applications are reviewed and the need for undertaking the proposed improvement is verified by the staff of the Leisure Services and the Civic Buildings and Grounds Departments. The verification process takes the following factors into consideration:

- the programming and the amenities that currently exist within the park (i.e. the things you can see and do in the park),
- a preliminary technical evaluation of the current condition of the park and its amenities, including the identification of any maintenance and safety concerns,
- any improvements which have been done to the park in previous years (i.e. are there any recurring problems that need to be fixed),
- preliminary estimates of the project's cost (including categorizing whether it involves a major redevelopment, an irrigation upgrade, or an enhancement), and
- an evaluation of any financial contribution from the community association.

Once the need for the park improvement has been verified, the request is placed within one of the three existing park-improvement programs for follow-up and implementation under the criteria and procedures that have been set out for each program.

Neighbourhood-park Upgrading Program

The Neighbourhood-park Upgrading Program is administered through the Capital Budget and is directed towards the <u>redevelopment</u> of an entire or of a large portion of a neighbourhood park. Typically, the project will involve re-landscaping,

reseeding, additional planting, pathway development, lighting, and installing additional irrigation, play equipment, and furniture.

Parks that have been included under this redevelopment program are prioritized according to the following criteria:

- how often the upgrading request for this park has been proposed by the community,
- the condition of the park (i.e. parks which are in poor condition and which create a safety concern are addressed first),
- the type of request (i.e. redevelopment of an existing park program is addressed first; additions to the park program are addressed second), and
- the cost of the upgrade (i.e. smaller projects can sometimes be accommodated along with bigger projects, depending on the amount of global capital-budget funding which is available and the prices which are realized through the tendering process).

The priority list of parks is re-evaluated each year in order to confirm that the need still exists. A specific park's position on the priority list may change if more urgent safety, program, or maintenance concerns arise at another park. Usually, the list does not change drastically from year to year, but changes will be made when necessary. Community associations are aware that all park improvements are subject to City Council's approval of the funding; however, the involvement of these associations in the public participation process requires that the City should remain as consistent as possible within the priority listing and the associated evaluation procedures so as not to create public frustration with the process.

A list of the parks which have been upgraded in previous years has been provided in Appendix A. This appendix also identifies the current priority list for those parks where an upgrading application has been received.

During 1992, City Council has only approved funding for the design work to proceed on various parks. No construction work has been funded for the current year.

Neighbourhood Park Irrigation Upgrading Program

The Neighbourhood-park Irrigation Upgrading Program is funded through the Capital Budget and only involves upgrading the irrigation system within a park to bring it to the 100% standard which was approved by City Council in 1988. The previous standards involved partial or no irrigation of parks.

The parks which are included in this Program are prioritized according to the following criteria:

- the number of times that the request for green grass has been brought forward by the community,
- the condition of the grass, and
- a technical assessment of maintenance and operational concerns.

A list of neighbourhood parks which have been irrigated in previous years has been identified in Appendix B. The current priority list has also been identified in this appendix. For 1992, no funding was allocated by City Council to this project.

Neighbourhood-park Enhancement Program

The Neighbourhood-park Enhancement Program is funded through the Operating Budget. Projects under this program are funded through a cost-sharing arrangement between various community associations and the City. The purpose of the Program is to enhance or to improve the programming within a specific park. The projects involve expenditures of less than \$25,000. Typically, a park-enhancement project will include the installation of additional play equipment, players' benches, lighting, or park furniture.

Projects which are included under this enhancement program are prioritized according to the following criteria:

- parks that have been fully or partially upgraded within the last three years are considered last, after all other applications have been reviewed;
- projects that involve new programming or enhancing an existing program and that are 100% funded by a community association are given first priority;
- projects that are 50% or more community-association funded and represent a new program are given second priority, after which new programming with less than 50% cost-sharing is considered;
- projects that enhance an existing program and are funded 50% or more by a community association are considered next; and
- enhancement projects that have less than 50% cost-sharing from a community association are considered last.

All park enhancement projects must have at least a 10% funding contribution from a community association. A list of park enhancements which have taken place in

previous years, as well as this year's projects, appear in Appendix C.

Conclusion

All neighbourhood-park improvement projects are reviewed and prioritized for implemented on an annual basis by the Leisure Services and the Civic Buildings and Grounds Departments. A public participation process is involved to identify the communities' programming preferences for all improvement projects.

The neighbourhood-park improvement programs have been enthusiastically supported by community associations and their members. The programs have encouraged a sense of pride and ownership among residents in their neighbourhood parks. This, in turn, has assisted the City in its endeavours to encourage greater utilization of these parks.'

While the upgrading of neighbourhood-parks is considered to be a long-term program, the method of funding, through the Capital Budget, for two of the three components means that their implementation is undertaken on a year-to-year basis. Commitments cannot be made to community associations on when they can expect a particular park to be eligible for funding. Often, this means that the alternative arrangements for programs that will be disrupted when the construction work proceeds cannot be made, with certainty, well in advance. Also, the enthusiasm and support of the residents are difficult to maintain when the timing for a project is not specified or is changed.

At this time, neighbourhood parks are not considered to be part of the City's infrastructure and therefore, do not have access to the on-going funding source which has been allocated for this purpose. Therefore, as occurred in 1992, neighbourhood-park upgrading is subject to consideration and priorization in each year against other capital-project proposals which are funded from the annual allocations of the Reserve for Capital Projects. The City's Administration is currently reviewing the scope and funding of the City's infrastructure (including neighbourhood parks) and a comprehensive report on the matter will be submitted to City Council for its consideration in the near future."

Your Committee believes that it is extremely important that a planned approach to park upgrading be undertaken, which coincides with the priorities identified by Council during its Strategic Planning Session, i.e. to preserve the parks and the beauty of the City. Your Committee therefore believes that parks should be considered part of the City's infrastructure and funded accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

6. Communications to Council

From: Viviane Swann, Resolutions Policy Analyst

FCM

Date: July 13, 1992

Subject: Inviting submissions of resolutions for September

meeting of the National Board of Directors

(File No. CK. 155-2-2)

Your Committee has considered the above request inviting submissions of resolutions for the September meeting of the National Board of Directors of FCM, and wishes to have the following resolution submitted on behalf of the City of Saskatoon:

"Whereas the Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program was introduced by the Federal Government in 1973 to upgrade the quality of housing for low-income Canadians; and

Whereas C.M.H.C.'s Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program evaluation of 1986 reaffirmed the significant amount of home repair still required to upgrade to a satisfactory standard the housing infrastructure of this country; and

Whereas in April 1989 the Federal Government cancelled the rental component of the Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program; and

Whereas this program was used extensively across the country to provide adequate maintenance of private market rental housing for lower-income Canadians; and

Whereas the cancellation will leave a significant void in the social housing program portfolio;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Federation of Canadian Municipalities urge the Federal and Provincial Ministers responsible for housing to immediately consider the creation of a replacement program for the cancelled rental Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program."

RECOMMENDATION: that the above resolution be forwarded to FCM for consideration by

the National Board of Directors at their September, 1992 meeting.

ADOPTED.

7. 1992 Capital Budget/1993-1996 Capital Plan Project 860 - Superintendent's Residence (Files CK. 4205-8 and 1703)

Attached is a copy of Clause 7, Report No. 19-1992 of the Planning and Development Committee and a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 6-1992 of the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee which were considered by City Council at its meeting held on August 17, 1992, at which time Council adopted the following motion:

"Moved by Alderman McCann,

THAT the matter be referred back to the Planning and Development Committee for a report at the next regular meeting of City Council."

Your Committee has determined that the Administration will take measures to get the building through the winter, which will involve boarding up any access points with plywood sheathing to keep the animals and birds out, and installing metal sheeting on the roof where needed to protect the building from further deterioration by the elements. These procedures will involve a minimal expenditure of approximately \$1,000, which will be covered by the General Properties Account which is for the purpose of stabilizing Civic buildings.

Your Committee will be meeting with the Task Force to discuss its Terms of Reference and a time frame for a report back, which will allow more comprehensive remedial action to be taken during the 1993 construction season.

RECOMMENDATION:

1) that the matter of the preservation, funding for, and use of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm be referred to the Administration to work with a task force consisting of representatives of the following groups:

Saskatoon Heritage Society
Saskatoon Natural History Society
Saskatoon Zoological Society
Saskatoon Perennial Society
Saskatoon Environmental Society
Forest Grove/Sutherland Community Association
Erindale Community Association, and
Silverspring Community Association;

- 2) that the Planning and Development Committee meet with the Task Force to determine its Terms of Reference and to determine a reasonable time frame for a report back; and
- 3) that Council now adopt Recommendation No. 3) in Clause 7, Report No. 19-1992 of the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee, as follows:
 - "3) That \$1,000 be provided to the Task Force out of the Heritage Fund, to assist in expediting its work."

ADOPTED.

8. South Downtown Redevelopment Senior-citizens Housing Complex (Files CK, 800-1 and 4130-2)

Attached is a copy of an August 19, 1992 report of the Planning Department which pertains to the senior-citizens housing complex which has been proposed for the former Arena site.

Your Committee has reviewed this report in view of the Committee's overall responsibility for reviewing all marketing and development issues and proposals in the South Downtown area, and notes that it has also been reviewed by the Municipal Planning Commission. The intent of the Administration was that each group would report to this meeting of Council with its recommendations. The Committee therefore submits the following comments:

The Planning and Development Committee strongly supports the proposal to locate the 84-unit, 11-storey senior citizens housing complex on part of the site of the old Arena site on 19th Street.

The project conforms in every way with the D.C.D.1 Guidelines. It is also consistent with recommendations contained in the Report of the Mayor's Task Force entitled "South Downtown Development". The project will occupy approximately 4% of the South Downtown land area.

Your Committee notes that a Building Design Advisory Committee has been formed to ensure input is received from such interested groups as the Saskatchewan Housing Corporation, Senior Citizens Associations, City of Saskatoon, The Partnership, Saskatoon Housing Authority, etc. The Advisory Committee will cover such issues as design of

individual units, landscaping, external appearance of the building, noise levels and the possible provision of a convenience store, hairdressing shop and other services for residents.

The senior citizens housing complex, at a construction cost in excess of \$6 million, will be the first new building to be erected in the South Downtown, and as such, will provide a focus for future growth.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

DEALT WITH EARLIER UNDER CLAUSE B8, REPORT NO. 20-1992 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER. SEE PAGE NO. 37.

REPORT NO. 17-1992 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman P. Mostoway, Chairman Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman M. Thompson

1. Assistance to Community Groups -Cash Grants Program - Travel Grants (File No. CK. 1870-1)

The Legislation and Finance Committee recommended to City Council on December 16, 1991 that separate travel grants no longer be given out and that groups applying under the Assistance to Community Groups Policy include travel costs as part of their overall budget. Council referred the matter back to the Committee for a review of the guidelines.

Report of the Acting General Manager, Leisure Services Department, July 15, 1992:

"The attached revised Travel Grant application is a follow up to a resolution passed by the Legislation and Finance Committee on January 7, 1992, which stated:

`that the matter be referred to the Administration to develop travel grant criteria for the Committee's consideration.'

In the past, cultural and sport groups applied to the travel grant program using separate

applications and criteria. The following revisions consolidate the two separate applications and bring consistency to the criteria.

The revised criteria as stated on the attached application is:

- 1. All applicants must be residents of Saskatoon and affiliated with a cultural or sport organization that is registered under The Non-Profit Corporation Act.
- 2. Applicants must be attending a National or International event/championship outside of the province. For sport groups, 'invitational' events are not eligible for funding.
- 3. Each application must be accompanied with a statement detailing source of funds and anticipated expenditures, with at least 50% self help.
- 4. The application must be submitted prior to or within 30 days of completion of the event.
- 5. Approved Travel Grant recipients must submit all receipts to City Treasurer's Department.
- 6. For transportation and accommodation, grants shall be calculated at \$100/person to a maximum of \$500/group/year.
- 7. For transportation or accommodation, grants shall be calculated at \$50/person to a maximum of \$250/group/year.
- 8. An applicant who has received other financial assistance from the City, is eligible for a travel grant only if the other financial assistance is in no way directed toward travel and accommodation costs.
- 9. Given limited resources, grants are provided on a first-come, first-served basis.

The revised set of criteria implements the following changes for cultural and sport applicants.

- 1. 'In-province' travel is no longer eligible for funding assistance.
- 2. For sport groups, 'Regional Championships' are no longer eligible for travel assistance.
- 3. For cultural groups, applications may be submitted up to 30 days **after** the event.
- 4. For cultural groups, detailed financial and organizational documentation is no longer required.

For your information and comparison, the existing application forms are also attached."

The Committee supports the revised criteria but feels that the advisory subcommittees must ensure that a certain portion of the funds allocated to their respective components each year will be set aside for the travel grant program.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the revised criteria for travel assistance funding be approved;
- 2) that the "Application for Travel Assistance (Travel Grant) for Cultural Events or Sport Championships" be approved; and
- 3) that the Cultural Advisory Subcommittee and the Saskatoon Sports Council recommend to the Legislation and Finance Committee each year, prior to allocation of any funds under the cultural or sport component of the Assistance to Community Groups Cash Assistance Program, the amount of funding to be set aside for travel grants.

ADOPTED.

2. Letter from Hnatyshyn Singer for Ahepa Royal Canadian District No. 24 Inc. Dated July 30, 1992 Requesting Rebate of Property Tax for 3042 Louise Street (File No. CK. 1965-1)

Attached is a copy of the above-noted letter.

Report of the City Assessor, August 6, 1992:

"The following property received a **75%** exemption for the year 1992 under Bylaw No. 7260:

Lot W, Block 632, Plan 71-S-17499 3042 Louise St Roll No. 2.53.55.03030 Dominion Chapter No. 9 C.J. Order of Ahepa Inc.

The assessments for the 1992 confirmed assessment roll are as follows:

			Taxable (25%)		Exempt
(75%)	l .				
	Gross Land	67,620	16,910	50,710	
	Gross Building 59,650	<u>14,910</u>	44	<u>,740</u>	
	Total	127.270	31.820		95.450

The Royal Bank of Canada foreclosed upon the title as of June 1, 1992. This department, therefore, placed the exempt assessment total of 95,450 on the taxable roll through supplementary action for 7 months.

In summary, the property in question was fully taxable as of June 1, 1992.

The request for an abatement of taxes is from August 1, 1992, to December 31, 1992, and would be calculated as follows:

Land 67,620 Building 59,650

Total $127,270 \ \text{@} \ 127.19 \ \text{mills x } 5/12 = \$6,744.78$

I would, however, draw to your attention that if the Dominion Chapter No. 9 C.J. Order of Ahepa Inc. was the registered owner for the last five months of 1992, they would have received the following rebate of taxes:

 $127,270 \times 75\% = 95,450 \times 127.19 \text{ mills } \times 5/12 = \$5,058.45$ "

Your Committee is making the following recommendation on the basis that the apparent current use of the building is consistent with the previous use and the benefit of the forgiveness of taxes will accrue to Dominion Chapter No. 9 C.J. Order of Ahepa Inc.

RECOMMENDATION: that the taxes on 3042 Louise Street be forgiven in the amount of

\$5,058.45 for the period August 1, 1992 to December 31, 1992.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 17-1992 OF THE WORKS AND UTILITIES COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman B. Dyck, Chairman Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman D.L. Birkmaier Alderman O. Mann

1. Victoria Avenue Traffic Bridge
Diagonal Member Replacement - Contract No. 2-0018
Capital Budget Project #787.3
(File No. CK. 6050-1)

Report of the A/City Engineer, August 12, 1992:

"The Victoria (Traffic) Bridge is undergoing staged rehabilitation in 1992. Capital Project #787.3 includes replacement of damaged structural members, installation of a new guardrail system, plus minor walkway repairs. At its meeting on June 8, 1992, City Council awarded two contracts for this work; Contract No. 2-0018 Diagonal Member Replacement to Dominion Bridge for an estimated \$46,561.00, and Contract No. 2-0015 Guardrail Replacement to PCL-Maxam for an estimated \$144,618.00. City Council also approved a \$40,000.00 increase in the budget to a total current amount of \$220,000.00.

During the initial bridge inspection prior to construction, the original railing obscured the full condition of the structural steel members behind it. When the contractor removed the railing, and when decking was cut back around some members to facilitate their replacement, a band of severe corrosion which could not have been previously identified, was revealed at deck level. In order to more fully assess the extent of this corrosion, the deck was cut back around all structural members and a condition inspection carried out. In summary, twenty-one additional members were found to be sufficiently corroded to warrant replacement. Photographs showing the corroded structural members are available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

Replacement of these twenty-one members could not safely be deferred. Administratively a decision was made to replace the additional corroded structure members under an extension to the Dominion Bridge Contract as further work could not proceed without their replacement. It was important that this problem be resolved and the work carry on expeditiously to ensure a timely re-opening of the bridge.

The estimated total cost for the additional member replacement work is \$85,000.00, which includes \$82,000.00 for construction, \$3,000.00 for the structural consultant to review shop drawings, design of insitu repair system, and additional administration and engineering costs. Contract No. 2-0018 with Dominion Bridge would be increased to \$128,561.00.

This project is funded from the Infrastructure Reserve. The current reserve level is sufficient to fund this additional work."

Your Committee has discussed this matter with representatives of Cochrane Lavalin, the consultant on the project.

The photographs referred to in the above report will be available for review at this meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the report be received as information;

- 2) that the scope and budget for Capital Project #787.3 be increased by \$85,000.00 from \$220,000.00 to \$305,000.00; and
- 3) that the source of the additional funding be the Infrastructure Reserve.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the report be received as information;

- 2) that the scope and budget for Capital Project #787.3 be increased by \$85,000.00 from \$220,000.00 to \$305,000.00;
- 3) that the source of the additional funding be the Infrastructure Reserve; and
- 4) that the Works and Utilities Committee review the matter of resurfacing and repainting the Victoria Avenue Traffic Bridge.

2. Communications to Council

From: Elizabeth Craig, President

Buena Vista Home, School & Community

Association

Date: October 2, 1991

Subject: Expressing support for the petition submitted

by Mr. Merv Simonot regarding installation of crossing lights at 6th Street East and Victoria

Avenue

AND

From: Mervin Simonot

1308 Victoria Avenue

Date: September 23, 1991

Subject: Submitting petition of approximately 234 signatures

requesting installation of pedestrian lights at the

intersection of 6th Street and Victoria Avenue, and requesting permission to address Council (File No. CK. 6150-3)

Attached is a copy of Clause 4, Report No. 2-1992 of the Works and Utilities Committee which was considered by City Council at its meeting held on January 20, 1992. Council received the report and resolved that the intersection (Victoria Avenue/6th Street) be counted in the summer of 1992, and the City Engineer report the results of the count at that time.

Report of the City Engineer, August 6, 1992:

"Presently, there are pedestrian crosswalks complete with two crosswalk signs for each direction, across Victoria Avenue along both sides of 6th Street. Victoria Avenue is a two-lane, divided collector roadway with an average annual daily traffic volume of approximately 4,700 vehicles. Sixth Street is a two-lane, undivided local roadway.

The Engineering Department conducted a pedestrian/vehicle count on Wednesday, July 22, 1992, at the Victoria Avenue/6th Street intersection to obtain the peak number of pedestrians using the crosswalk. The count was conducted on a warm sunny day during the three peak periods (0800-09000, 1130-1330 and 1530-1700). It was noted that approximately 35 people were using the Buena Vista Park during the count. The count is summarized in the following table:

Victoria Avenue/6th Street 1992 Pedestrian/Vehicular Volumes

Time Period

	0800-0900	1130-1330	1530-1700	Total	Average/ Hour
Pedestrians Elementary High School Adult Total Average/Hour	0 0 2 2 2 2.0	3 3 -12 18 9.0	0 0 -5 5 3.3	3 3 19 25 5.6	0.7 0.7 <u>4.2</u> 5.6
Vehicles Northbound Southbound Total Average/Hour	75 23 98 98	146 140 286 143	103 158 261 174	324 321 645 143	72 _71 _143

Warrant calculations were conducted, using the above data, to determine if a pedestrian corridor or a pedestrian actuated traffic signal is warranted at the Victoria Avenue/6th Street intersection. Both calculations showed that additional pedestrian protection is not warranted at this time. The pedestrian actuated traffic signal warrant calculations yielded a priority point rating of 27 which is well below the 100 points used as a guideline to determine if further investigation is required.

The low volume of vehicles allows sufficient gaps for pedestrians to safely cross Victoria Avenue. The centre median provides a refuge such that pedestrians only have to cross one-half of the roadway at a time.

When addressing City Council Mr. Simonot indicated that he was aware of one pedestrian traffic accident which occurred about the second week of June last year at the intersection. A review of the accident histories for locations in the vicinity of Victoria Avenue/6th Street showed no record of a reported pedestrian accident during that time period.

In view of the above, the Engineering Department recommends that no changes be made to the existing pedestrian crosswalk at the Victoria Avenue/6th Street intersection."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Works and Utilities

Committee to do a count in September and bring forward a

report with the winter, summer and fall counts.

3. Petitions Objecting to the 1992 Local Improvement Program (File No. CK. 4140-1)

Report of the City Engineer, July 29, 1992:

"At its meeting held on May 25, 1992, City Council authorized submission of the 1992 Local Improvement Program to the Saskatchewan Municipal Board and subsequent advertising of Notice of Intention under Section 5 (1) (A) of the Local Improvement Act.

The Local Improvement Program was advertised in the Star Phoenix on June 24th and June 30th, 1992. Affected property owners were advised of the program by letter.

Petitions against the work were received for projects covered by Certificates Nos. 218 to 239 inclusive. Attached is a copy of a letter dated July 21, 1992, from the City Assessor with copies of the Local Improvement Certificates.

Those projects against which petitions were sufficiently signed include:

INFRASTRUCTURE SIDEWALKS & CURBS

NO.	STREET	FROM	ТО	SIDE	%#	%\$	CERT
218	10th Street	Eastlake Ave.	Ln. W of Broadway	N	100	100	SS
219	10th Street	Broadway Avenue	Dufferin Ave.	S	60	53	SS
220	Broadway Ave.	Adelaide St.	Ruth Street	W	67	68	SS
222	Clarence Ave.	10th Street	11th Street	Е	100	100	SS
223	Main Street	McPherson	Idylwyld Dr.	N	86	80	SS
226	Duchess Street	4th Avenue	7th Avenue	N	60	87	SS
227	Duke Street	8th Avenue	East to Lane	S	100	100	SS
229	Queen Street	3rd Avenue	4th Avenue	N	100	100	SS
230	Queen Street	4th Avenue	5th Avenue	S	100	100	SS

232	Poplar Crescent	8th Street	Idylwyld Crescent	N	59	65	SS
233	Poplar Crescent	Idylwyld Crescent	So. Bdy Idylwyld Park	W	71	71	SS
234	Princess St.	9th Avenue	King Crescent	S	100	100	SS
236	21st Street	Avenue C	Avenue D	S	75	78	SS
237	29th Street	Avenue H	Avenue I	S	62	88	SS
238	11th Street	Broadway Avenue	East Lake Avenue	N	57	76	SS
239	11th Street	Broadway Avenue	Eastlake Avenue	S	88	89	SS

The Administration recommends deferral of the above projects.

Those projects against which petitions were not sufficiently signed included:

INFRASTRUCTURE SIDEWALKS & CURBS

NO.	STREET	FROM	ТО	SIDE	%#	%\$	CERT
221	Clarence Ave.	Main Street	10th Street	W	4	3	NSS
224*	33rd Street	Avenue H	Avenue I	N	43	48	NSS
225	5th Avenue	Queen Street	26th Street	W	7	1	NSS
228*	Queen Street	2nd Avenue	3rd Avenue	S	50	79	NSS
231	Rupert Drive	Noble Crescent	Noble Crescent	N	11	11	NSS
235*	1st Avenue	King Street	Princess St.	Е	33	28	NSS

The Administration recommends deferral of projects numbered 224, 228, and 235 from the program.

The purpose of the program is to replace facilities where age and wear have degenerated the original beyond economical repair.

Deleting any items of the program has implications for the safety of pedestrians and for future maintenance budgets. It is therefore proposed to address the deferred items in a separate report."

Your Committee has considered this matter and submits the following

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that all the items where petitions against were sufficiently signed be deferred pending a subsequent report from the Works and Utilities Committee;
- 2) that Projects 224, 228 and 235 where petitions against were not sufficiently signed also be deferred pending a further report from the Works and Utilities Committee; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary bylaw for the remaining works as shown on the lists attached to this report.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 8-1992 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman

Alderman D. L. Birkmaier

Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C.

Alderman B. Dyck

Alderman M. Hawthorne

Alderman O Mann

Alderman P. McCann

Alderman P. Mostoway

Alderman G. Penner

Alderman M. Thompson

Alderman K. Waygood

1. Application for Incentive Palcu Furniture Industries Ltd. (File No. CK. 3500-1)

Quoted below is a letter from Mr. D. Bicknell on behalf of the Incentive Application Review Committee:

"In accordance with the City of Saskatoon Incentives Policy, a Review Committee comprised of Economic Development Board members D. Bicknell, S. Brown and L. Mysko, Planning and Development Committee representative P. McCann, and the Director of Finance P. Richards has met to consider a request for an incentive from Palcu Furniture Industries. The Review Committee held an initial meeting to review the supporting documentation provided by the applicant and met with the principals of the company to gain further insight into the company's structure and operations.

The Review Committee is satisfied that the applicant:

- 1) meets the criteria set out in the City's Policy,
- 2) has the financial, managerial and technical knowledge and ability necessary for success,
- 3) will be an asset to the civic business community.

The Review Committee recommends that Palcu be granted an incentive of:

- 1) a complete (100 percent) tax exemption for 1993,
- 2) a seventy-five percent (75) for 1994,

3) a fifty percent (50) for 1995.

The Review Committee further recommends that the incentive be conditional upon:

- 1) Palcu maintaining a work force of at least twenty-five (25) people on a continuous basis through out each year,
- 2) maintaining an equity level of at least thirty percent.

The Review Committee requests Council to instruct the City Solicitor to draft the necessary bylaw and agreements to facilitate the granting of this incentive.

The factors that the Review Committee considered are outlined in the attachment but the Committee wishes to stress the fact that the building that Palcu has moved onto has been vacant for approximately four years and has not generated taxes during that time. The building, which is being acquired under a lease to purchase arrangement, is owned by SEDCO and is covered by the regulations governing taxation of Provincial assets. While vacant the building has not been taxable."

A copy of the evaluation is attached.

Your Committee supports the proposed incentive and conditions thereon.

Attached is a copy of Bylaw No. 7309 which has been prepared by the City Solicitor's Office. Schedule "A" to the Bylaw is the required agreement, and sets out the particulars of the tax forgiveness and the investment required by the applicant.

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No. 7309.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 2-1992 OF THE SASKATOON BOXING AND WRESTLING COMMISSION

Composition of Committee

Alderman M. Hawthorne, Chairman Alderman O. Mann Alderman B. Dyck

1. Application for Permission to Hold Professional Wrestling Event at Saskatchewan Place - October 12, 1992 Titan Promotions, Inc.

(File No. CK. 205-23)

City Council has established the Saskatoon Boxing and Wrestling Commission to control and regulate sparring exhibitions, boxing matches, and wrestling matches in the City of Saskatoon.

Attached is a copy of a letter from Anita W. Scales, Director of Compliance and Regulations, World Wrestling Federation. The Commission has reviewed this application and has granted approval to Titan Promotions, Inc. to hold the professional wrestling event subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That participation by spectators or the general public in the wrestling event be prohibited;
- 2. That the use or involvement of animals in any aspect of the wrestling event be prohibited; and
- 3. That the wrestling event be conducted in such a fashion as promotes the best interests of the sport and, in any event, in a manner which is in keeping with contemporary community standards.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 4-1992 OF THE PERSONNEL AND ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman M. Thompson, Chairman Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman O. Mann Alderman M.T. Cherneskey, Q.C.

1. Out-of-Country Travel
Greg Chartier, Project Engineer Roadways Section
Roads and Traffic Authority, New South Wales
Asset Control Technology Accreditation Centre
Sydney, Australia - July 8 to July 12, 1991
(File No. CK. 1706-1)

Report of the City Engineer, July 23, 1992:

"At its meeting held on June 27, 1991, the Personnel and Organization Committee approved the attendance of Mr. Greg Chartier at the Asset Control Technology Accreditation Course in Sydney, Australia July 8 to July 12, 1991. The following report outlines the nature and scope of the course.

Executive Summary

It appears very likely that the Australian initiative will provide a model for many public agencies throughout the world over the next few years as each struggles with maintenance and renewal of existing infrastructure.

The trip to Australia provided an opportunity to evaluate efforts of the Asset Control Technology group (Public Agency) to incorporate contemporary management practices in managing public sector assets. It verified they are at the leading edge of this technology. One of their most significant initiatives is pioneering the concept of accrual accounting principals and capitalization of public sector assets.

They have also validated the application of many management methodologies and principles in modelling public infrastructure management as an 'asset depreciation' problem. These include the use of the Markov chain and survival curves in the performance predictive and optimization models (critical for cost/benefit calculations, and identifying long term funding needs), formal quantification of costs and benefits in priorizing maintenance and renewal programs, a decision tree approach to generating maintenance and

rehabilitation alternatives, and a dynamic management cycle of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling the various activities in delivering services.

BACKGROUND

The initiatives taken in Australia to incorporate modern management and accounting practices into the daily management of public sector assets represent a fundamental change in the way that public sector agencies traditionally do business. A primary objective has been to tie service standards (level of service) to funding levels. These initiatives have included two pieces of legislation:

- 1) Requirement to Implement Asset Management Systems (In this case a Pavement Management System)
 - provide a methodology to depreciate the value of public assets.
- 2) A change in accounting practices to accrual accounting leading to the production of an income and expenditure statement and a balance sheet.

'The Exposure Draft proposed that all assets under a Council's control should be recognised in statements of a Council's financial position. These assets would include roads, bridges, and underground piping; and depreciation should be recognised in respect of these items as non-current assets with limited useful lives'.

Following enactment of the first part of this legislation, representatives from the United States visited Australia in 1991 to model their own legislation. Similar legislation was passed in the United States in the spring of 1992 for the management of roads and bridges.

The Australian effort has resulted in state of the art management systems tailored specifically for management of public assets. These systems provide a well-balanced solution to the infrastructure management challenge by integrating many areas of expertise including: management science, engineering, financial accounting, operations research, systems theory, and statistics.

Most systems available on the market are proprietary in nature, and are little more than glorified inventory systems with limited analysis capabilities. They have poor predictive modelling capabilities (greatly restricts cost benefit calculations and establishment of long-term funding needs) and are very restrictive in terms of flexibility and agency input.

Many of the management practices and concepts they have incorporated in their management systems have their foundation in management principles developed by

manufacturing industries long ago. Some of the first public sector applications of these concepts was in the area of pavement management research in the U.S. over 20 years ago. These principles include:

- concepts of efficiency and effectiveness;
- management theory proposed by Demming an American idea adopted by the Japanese, brought to a high state of usefulness by them and subsequently being reimported to North America;
- asset life cycle and life cycle costing;
- cost benefit analysis is setting maintenance and rehabilitation priorities;
- dynamic cycle of planning, organising, directing and controlling throughout all the activities in providing service;
- quality and performance standards; and,
- mathematical modelling: optimization, cost, and performance prediction models, as a integral part of the analysis process, specifically two techniques: Markov change and survival curves.

There are many reasons why these principles have not readily been incorporated into management of public sector assets:

- long life cycles of many municipal assets (how do you capitalize and manage replacement reserves on assets with life cycles that can be upwards of 100 years?);
- changing standards and materials over the years;
- the shear size and extent of public sector assets (difference between managing a couple family cars and a fleet of 10000 vehicles);
- absence of good historical records combined with a paper medium;
- political environment; and,
- absence of legislation. All private business through the taxation system are required to depreciate assets. Many also have legislated maintenance requirements (ie: airline industry).

The Asset Control Technology section of the Roads and Traffic Authority of New South Wales has championed the effort in developing and implementing these management systems. The total annual budget of the RTA is over one billion dollars and the Asset control Technology section receives approximately 5 million per year for research and development.

Although their first application has been in the area of pavement and road assets, this group as indicated by their title ASSET CONTROL TECHNOLOGY, have a keen understanding of the generic nature of managing assets. They have approached other public works (water and sewer) agencies to transfer the technology and development to other areas of public

sector infrastructure.

The Australians have taken the science and technology from the back room research application and successfully integrated it vertically through the whole organization from field foreman to councillors. It provides support and integration of all levels of decision-making including strategic, tactical and operational decision making. It is being used by all levels of government to rationally set funding levels.

What is unique about the development process is that, unlike a third party development, the RTA is piloting the technology within their own organization. They are gaining valuable firsthand experience in the implementation of these systems.

Their success is reflected in the fact that other Australian state road agencies have abandoned similar in-house efforts and moved to adopt the work done by the ACT group.

Trip Itinerary

In summary the 10 days consisted of approximately 55 hours of formal class room/seminar time over a 5-day period, 3 1/2 days of travel, winter temperatures ranging from 4 to 8 degrees C, and continuous rain for 51/2 of the 6 1/2 days in Australia."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

2. Communications to Council

From: Rusty Chartier

1245 Avenue O South

Date: July 2, 1992

Subject: Suggesting the City consider

implementing a public relations position

(File No. CK. 365-1)

Attached is a copy of the above-noted letter which City Council at its meeting held on July 6, 1992, referred to the Personnel and Organization Committee.

Your Committee notes The City of Saskatoon is under severe economic pressure and that it has been necessary in the past year to lay off 50 employees. Accordingly, the suggestion that a public relations manager be hired cannot be considered at this time. However, while there is no specific position known as the "Public Relations Officer", the Committee encourages all employees to recognize that they do play a public relations role on behalf of the City.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Pursuant to earlier resolution Item A.16 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

Moved by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Mr. Chartier be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Rusty Chartier indicated that his suggestion is not necessarily that of a paid public relations officer. He suggested that City workers should be defended by politicians, ie. the Mayor's Office.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.

REPORT NO. 6-1992 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Alderman M. Thompson, Chairman Alderman D.L. Birkmaier Alderman P. McCann

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 7308
The Economic Development Authority Bylaw
(File No. CK. 175-37)

City Council, at its meeting held on May 25, 1992, adopted the recommendations set out in Clause 1, Report No. 5-1992 of the Audit Committee with respect to the establishment of an Economic Development Authority. Recommendation 3) of the Committee's report reads:

"that the Audit Committee, with the addition of the City Commissioner and with the participation of the Economic Development Department, the City Solicitor's Office, the Personnel Services Department and the Audit Services Department, all in an advisory capacity, provide recommendations to City Council to facilitate establishment of a civic bylaw to create an authority."

Attached is a copy of proposed Economic Development Authority Bylaw No. 7308.

Also attached, as background information, is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 5-1992, referenced above.

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7308.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 1-1992 OF THE TRANSITION TEAM RE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Composition of Transition Team

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman Alderman P. McCann Mr. N. McMillan Mr. D. Bicknell

1. Creation of an Economic Development Authority (File No. CK. 175-37)

INTRODUCTION

On May 25, 1992, Council considered and approved Report No. 5-1992 of the Audit Committee. Recommendation 4) of this report stated:

- "4) that Council appoint the Mayor, Alderman McCann, Doug Bicknell and Neil McMillan as a transition team (with right to co-opt additional members):
 - a) to act as a steering committee to aid in a smooth transition in the transfer of responsibility for Economic Development from the department to the authority;
 - b) to receive comments from all interested parties; and
 - c) to make recommendations on strategies for transition to an authority."

The Transition Team has met on seven occasions and has held two public meetings to gather information, ideas and recommendations on the form and function of an Economic Development Authority for Saskatoon.

Senior members of the civic administration, including the City Commissioner, City Solicitor, Audit Services, Economic Development Manager and Director of Personnel have attended meetings of the Transition Team and have had input to the process.

This report forms an action plan for establishment of the Saskatoon Economic Development Authority (SEDA). It is envisaged that, subject to approval of this report by Council, SEDA will be fully operational by October 1, 1992.

MANDATE

It is important that SEDA's mandate be clearly stated from the outset since the structure, formation, staffing and financing of the Authority must be set up with the mandate clearly in mind.

The Transition Team recommends the mandate of SEDA be:

"To promote growth and diversification of the Saskatoon economy, guided by its Economic Development Strategy and by encouraging existing businesses to grow, and new businesses to locate in Saskatoon."

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUTHORITY

The Saskatoon Economic Development Authority should be established by Bylaw under Section 51 of *The Urban Municipality Act*. The proposed Bylaw, setting out the duties, powers and composition of the Authority is being submitted under Clause 1, Report No. 6-1992 of the Audit Committee.

APPOINTMENTS TO SEDA

SEDA should have seventeen members. The Transition Team recommends that initially, ten people be appointed to the Authority, including four civic officials. The Authority itself will be responsible for proposing the remaining seven members.

The first criteria or "screen" for recommending members was the proven ability of the individual to establish or expand a business and create or maintain jobs in current difficult economic times. The second criteria was proven networking ability i.e. national and international contacts which could be of benefit in providing leads. The third criteria was to ensure representation from a broad cross-section of business interests within the city.

Each of the persons being recommended has special skills in all three areas and in addition, is committed to bettering the economic well-being of Saskatoon and all its citizens.

The Transition Team has avoided recommending people on the basis of their being representatives of any segment of the community, or of any specific interest group. We believe that the Authority itself will be best qualified to decide such matters, and to this end, have intentionally left seven positions open.

It is anticipated that the Authority will set up a number of Advisory Committees to provide input from a wide variety of sources.

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

To assist in carrying out its mandate, and in order to tap into the wealth of business skills and knowledge available from the Saskatoon business community, SEDA will set up advisory committees.

Sectoral advisory committees such as mining and minerals, food processing, energy, and agricultural biotechnology may be set up.

Local groups such as Chamber of Commerce, North Saskatoon Business Association, Saskatoon Labour Council, Business Improvement Districts, Food Processing Association, The Partnership, etc. will be asked to provide advice and information through advisory committees.

STAFFING

The Transition Team recommends that all of the current staff of the Economic Development Office be placed on secondment to SEDA for an initial period of one year. In this way, continuity is assured for ongoing economic development initiatives, and the Authority will have experienced staff available during the busy set-up period.

PREMISES

Consideration was given to locating the SEDA office outside City Hall, to enhance the perception of SEDA as an arms length body. There are indications that office space could be available on a rent-free basis from community-minded property owners. However, the City Administration has indicated that the present offices of the Economic Development Department could be made available to SEDA. The advantage of this arrangement is that 100 percent of SEDA's efforts could be devoted to promoting economic development from day one, rather than spending time negotiating for new premises, moving equipment and setting up a new operation.

There are also advantages in having civic department heads close at hand, for quick decisions, and answers to questions from potential business investors.

SEDA itself may decide at a later date that it can be more effective operating from a location outside City Hall.

FUNDING

The Transition Team concurs with the recommendations contained in the report of the Audit Services Department, that the Economic Development Program budget (\$338,500) and the publications portion (\$41,900 less the net cost of souvenirs) of Business Communications and Corporate Promotions, along with the annual funding provided to the Economic Development Board (i.e. \$3,000) be transferred to provide core funding for SEDA.

Local business groups have been asked to contribute funds. Since most Saskatoon businesses will benefit from the enhanced economic activity which will be generated, they should be willing to help fund it. Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce has committed to provide significant funding in 1993. Other business organizations remain to be heard from.

SASKATOON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

An early task of SEDA will be to produce the Saskatoon Economic Development Strategy . This document will set out the detailed objectives of SEDA, the actions which have to be taken to ensure the objectives are met, and performance parameters to measure progress. It is likely that this will be a multi-year strategy, and it will be linked with the City's Strategic Plan.

The Saskatoon Economic Development Strategy, once approved by Council, will be reviewed by SEDA at regular intervals to ensure that it remains relevant in face of the changing economic situation of the city, province and country.

It is anticipated that the updated Economic Development Strategy and the Annual Report, will be presented to Council each year during the civic budget cycle.

The drafting of the Saskatoon Economic Development Strategy will be a very intensive process and will likely require outside professional assistance. It is recommended that Council provide financial support for the preparation of the strategy document.

OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES

SEDA will earn the right, over time, to be the body coordinating all economic initiatives within the city.

i) The City's Business Development Incentives Program will be administered by a joint committee of SEDA, Planning and Development Committee and City Administration.

- ii) SEDA will provide reports on economic activity to Council on a timely basis, and will assist the Administration in the preparation of the civic bond rating report.
- iii) SEDA will recommend policy changes to Council, where appropriate, to enhance economic activity in the city. Of special importance to SEDA will be the City's Industrial Land Bank policy.

It is essential that the Chairperson of SEDA maintain very close liaison with the Mayor's Office, who will often be called upon to expedite decisions and act in a public relations capacity in attracting business to the city.

The Transition Team proposes to maintain its existence until immediately following the first official meeting of SEDA, at which time it will disband.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that Saskatoon Economic Development Authority Bylaw No. 7308, which is included with Clause 1, Report No. 6-1992 of the Audit Committee, be considered at this meeting of Council;
- 2) that the following people be appointed to the Saskatoon Economic Development Authority for the terms noted:

Mayor Henry Dayday

City Commissioner (or designate)

Mr. Les Dube (ending December 31, 1995)

Mr. Dallas Howe (ending December 31, 1995)
Mr. Terry Grieve (ending December 31, 1995)
Mr. Dick Pinder (ending December 31, 1995)

Ms. Sonia Prescesky (ending December 31, 1995)

Mr. Jim Yuel (ending December 31, 1995);

- 3) that the matter of the two Aldermanic representatives on the Economic Development Authority be referred to the Committee on Committees;
- 4) that the balance of the 1992 budget of the Economic Business Development Program be transferred to SEDA, plus the balance of funds remaining in the publications budget;
- 5) that the current staff of the Economic Development Office be

seconded to SEDA for one year;

- 6) that Policy C09-014, "Business Development Incentives" be amended by substituting members of the Saskatoon Economic Development Authority on the Review Committee in place of members of the Economic Development Board;
- 7) that Council provide financial support for the preparation of the Saskatoon Economic Development Strategy; and
- 8) that the Economic Development Board be dissolved and thanks be extended to the Board for the work it has undertaken.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 8-1992 OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman Alderman D. L. Birkmaier Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C. Alderman B. Dyck Alderman M. Hawthorne Alderman O. Mann Alderman P. McCann Alderman P. Mostoway Alderman G. Penner Alderman M. Thompson Alderman K. Waygood

1. Technical Committee - Forestry Farm Requirements (File No. CK. 4205-8)

City Council, at its meeting held on August 4, 1992, considered a report of the Planning and Development Committee regarding animal health concerns at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo and resolved that a Technical Committee be struck to report to Council with appropriate recommendations for the purpose of meeting requirements at the Forestry Farm; and that the matter of appointments to the technical committee be referred to the Committee on Committees.

Your Committee has considered this matter and

RECOMMENDS:

- 1) that the Technical Committee re Forestry Farm Requirements be comprised of the members of the Planning and Development Committee plus Alderman O. Mann and Alderman D.L. Birkmaier; and
- 2) that the question of additional ways of funding be referred to this Committee for review.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 1-1992 OF THE MUNICIPAL ARTS PLACEMENT JURY

Composition of Committee

Ms. Trudi Barlow, Chairperson Alderman Owen Mann Mr. Glen Grismer Ms. Jeannette Bowes

1. Location of Portrait Sculpture of His Excellency,
The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn
Proposal from Peter Zakreski, Representing the Spirit of Service Committee and
the Ukrainian Canadian Congress, July 23, 1992
(File No. CK. 100-11)

"Background

City Council Policy C10-007, 'Arts Policy - Placement', delineates the allocation of responsibilities for the acquisition and placement of municipally-owned works of art (excluding the Mendel Gallery). These responsibilities are outlined (in part) below.

The Municipal Arts Placement Jury:

- 'makes the final decision on accepting donations of art; and
- makes recommendations to City Council on the locations of art after consultation with appropriate Civic Departments'.

City Council:

approves the final location for works of art'.

The Urban Design Committee:

• `reviews proposed locations of works of art and provides comments on locations to the jury for inclusion in its report to City Council'.

In accordance with Policy C10-007, the Jury has developed general criteria for adjudicating on the appropriateness and quality of art for permanent placement in open space, civic facilities and other City-owned property:

a) The artwork must have artistic merit of enduring quality.

- b) The artwork must be in visual harmony (eg. overall design, scale, content, medium, etc.) with some public location.
- c) The artwork must be appropriate in relation to programs and activities occurring in and around the proposed location. The artwork must take into consideration the people using the area and the security and safety of the artwork.
- d) The artwork must not endanger the public (eg. the artwork should be solidly mounted).
- e) The artwork shall not require any unreasonable maintenance.
- f) The exhibition rights for the work of art must be provided to the City of Saskatoon by the artist for a negotiated fee. If exhibition rights are not available, the art work will not be considered for placement.

The Sculpture

During its meeting held on July 31, 1992, the Municipal Arts Placement Jury reviewed an application from Mr. Peter Zakreski representing the Spirit of Service Committee and the Ukrainian Canadian Congress. Mr. Zakreski's application requested approval from the City of Saskatoon to accept the donation of a 110% life size portrait sculpture executed in bronze of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn, and to place the sculpture in Kiwanis Memorial Park, at the southeast corner of 24th Street and Spadina Crescent. The sculptor, Mr. Bill Epp, was commissioned by the Spirit of Service Committee and the Ukrainian Canadian Congress to complete the sculpture in recognition of the 100th Anniversary of the arrival of the first Ukrainian settlers to Canada and to recognize Saskatoon's native son (Ramon Hnatyshyn) who has become the Governor General of Canada.

To ensure the sculpture was artistically acceptable, on August 12, 1992, the Municipal Arts Placement Jury visited Mr. Epp's studio to view the sculpture in its plasticine or pre-bronze stage. Because of the medium used (bronze), this particular artwork will not require any unreasonable maintenance. As a result, the Municipal Arts Placement Jury has, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, accepted the donation of a portrait sculpture of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn.

The Location

The donors' preferred location for the sculpture is at the southeast entrance to Kiwanis Memorial Park on the corner of 24th and Spadina Crescent (actual placement would be where the current

information column is located). In accordance with Policy C10-007, the Jury has consulted with the Urban Design Committee regarding the location of the sculpture. Since the time frame did not allow for the Urban Design Committee to meet, the Committee was unable to provide a specific recommendation; however, the comments of the individual Committee members were forwarded to the Jury.

After considering the donors' request and the individual comments received from the members of the Urban Design Committee, the Municipal Arts Placement Jury unanimously recommends that the sculpture not be placed in Kiwanis Memorial Park for the following reasons:

During its January 20, 1992 meeting, City Council adopted the Kiwanis/Riverbank Parks Program Plan, December 1991. Specifically, City Council resolved:

'that the Kiwanis/Riverbank Parks Program Plan be adopted as a program design guideline for future programming and potential site improvements at the Kiwanis Memorial Park, the Mendel site, Friendship and Victoria Parks'.

In this regard, the Plan states: 'the public has very clearly stated that in this Park (Kiwanis Memorial Park), they do not want additional memorials or sculptures'.

The feeling of the Jury is that placement of this sculpture in Kiwanis Memorial Park would conflict with the expressed preferences of the public as documented in the Kiwanis/Riverbank Parks Program Plan.

- 2) The Jury feels that the sculpture does not demonstrate any link to the 100th Anniversary of the arrival of the first Ukrainian settlers to Canada other than the ancestry of the person being depicted. Linkage of location to the Ukrainian Museum of Canada, as noted in the donor's application, is therefore not relevant.
- Based on the prominence of Kiwanis Memorial Park, it is the opinion of the Jury that if the Park were to be designated for additional sculptures and/or memorials, the significance of the sculptures and/or memorials should depict the spirit of the community as a whole."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council receive as information the Municipal Arts Placement Jury's decision to accept, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, the donation of a bronze portrait sculpture of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn;
- 2) that City Council request the Administration to prepare a report recommending a suitable location for the placement of the portrait sculpture of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn, excluding the donors'

proposed location of Kiwanis Memorial Park; and

3) that City Council request the City Solicitor to obtain written assurance, from the donor, that no cost will ensue to the City of Saskatoon in regard to installation of the sculpture and exhibition rights under copyright legislation, and that the City Solicitor also obtain a release for the use of copyright of the sculpture in regard to City of Saskatoon and/or tourism promotion of the City as a whole.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)

- that City Council receive as information the Municipal Arts Placement Jury's decision to accept, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, the donation of a bronze portrait sculpture of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn; and
- that City Council request the City Solicitor to obtain written assurance, from the donor, that no cost will ensue to the City of Saskatoon in regard to installation of the sculpture and exhibition rights under copyright legislation, and that the City Solicitor also obtain a release for the use of copyright of the sculpture in regard to City of Saskatoon and/or tourism promotion of the City as a whole.

REPORT NO. 9-1992 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chairman

Alderman D. L. Birkmaier

Alderman M. T. Cherneskey, Q.C.

Alderman B. Dyck

Alderman M. Hawthorne

Alderman O. Mann

Alderman P. McCann

Alderman P. Mostoway

Alderman G. Penner

Alderman M. Thompson

Alderman K. Waygood

1. Location - Portrait Sculpture
His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn
(Files CK. 100-0-2 and 4205-16)

Your Committee has considered the matter of the location of the portrait sculpture of His Excellency, The Right Honourable Ray Hnatyshyn, to be unveiled during the Governor General's visit to Saskatoon on September 12th and 13th.

It is felt that the sculpture should be placed in Kiwanis Park, at the corner of Spadina Crescent and 24th Street. Your Committee supports this location as the sculpture would replace an existing structure (poster kiosk), and it is in close proximity to the Ukrainian Museum.

RECOMMENDATION:

that the portrait sculpture of His Excellency, the Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn, be placed in Kiwanis Park, at Spadina Crescent and 24th Street, replacing the existing poster kiosk.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)

that the portrait sculpture of His Excellency, the Right Honourable Ramon John Hnatyshyn, be placed in Kiwanis Park, at Spadina Crescent and 24th Street, replacing the existing poster kiosk; and

2) that the Administration approve the installation and type of base used."

Pursuant to motion by Alderman Waygood, and carried by a majority of members of Council the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

ENOUIRIES

Alderman Penner: There have been reports in the press and it was stated tonight

by Alderman Thompson that the City turned down potential development in the south downtown from Cameco Princeton Developments, Royal West Equities and Toys R Us. Could I have a report indicating the facts regarding each of these

situations, if in so doing, confidences are not broken.

(File No. CK. 4130-2)

Alderman Dyck: Would the Administration report on total revenues derived

by the Saskatoon Transit System from charter service over

the last five years, on a yearly basis.

(File No. CK. 7300-1)

MOTIONS

8a) Proposal to Rename a Portion of 6th Avenue to Kinsmen Avenue Saskatoon Kinsmen Club
(File No. CK. 6310-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"Attached is an excerpt from minutes of meeting of City Council held on August 17, 1992, with respect to the above. Upon hearing a presentation from Mr. Myers, Alderman Thompson gave the following Notice of Motion:

TAKE NOTICE that at the next regular meeting of City Council, I will move to reconsider the following motion which was defeated by City Council on July 20, 1992:

- "a) THAT City Council approve the proposed street name change from 6th Avenue to Kinsmen Avenue, as described in the Engineer's Schedule No. H7-SL8; and,
- b) THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary actions to effect the street name change."

Council should note that the vote to reconsider requires a minimum of six votes in the affirmative to pass, regardless of the total number of Council members present and voting. If Council passes the motion to reconsider, the motion quoted above should be put and voted upon.

As background information, attached is a copy of Clause B11, Report No. 17-1992 of the City Commissioner, which was dealt with by City Council at its meeting held on July 20, 1992."

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman McCann,

THAT City Council reconsider the following motion of July 20, 1992:

a) THAT City Council approve the proposed street name change from 6th Avenue to Kinsmen Avenue, as described in the Engineer's Schedule No. H7-SL8; and,

b) THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary actions to effect the street name change.

CARRIED BY THE NECESSARY AFFIRMATIVE VOTES.

Moved by Alderman Thompson, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

- a) THAT City Council approve the proposed street name change from 6th Avenue to Kinsmen Avenue, as described in the Engineer's Schedule No. H7-SL8; and,
- b) THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary actions to effect the street name change.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7307

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT Bylaw No. 7307 being, "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'", be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

NOTE: Aldermen Dyck, Birkmaier and Penner did not take part in voting as they were not present at the time of Council's hearing held on August 17, 1992.

Bylaw No. 7308

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7308, being "The Economic Development Authority Bylaw" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7308 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7308.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7308 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7308 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 7308 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7309

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7309, being "A bylaw to authorize a contract between The City of Saskatoon and Palcu Furniture Industries Ltd." and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Thompson,

THAT Bylaw No. 7309 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Waygood,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7309.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Alderman Mann in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Alderman Mann, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7309 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Birkmaier,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Mostoway,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7309 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by Alderman Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 7309 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and	The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.		
Moved by Alderman Mann, Seconded by	Alderman Dyck,		
THAT the meeting stand adjourned.			
	CARRIED.		
The meeting adjourned at 10:47 p.m.			
Mayor	City Clerk		