Council Chamber City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, April 24, 1995, at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Deputy Mayor Steernberg in the Chair;

Councillors Atchison, Birkmaier, Heidt, Langford, Langlois, McCann, Postlethwaite, Roe, Waygood;

City Commissioner Irwin;

Director of Planning and Development Pontikes;

Director of Works and Utilities Gustafson;

Director of Finance Richards:

City Solicitor Dust; City Clerk Mann;

City Councillors' Assistant Kanak

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on April 10 and of the special meeting of City Council to deal with the 1995 Preliminary Operating Budget Estimates held on April 3, 1995, be approved.

CARRIED.

Councillor Birkmaier rose on a point of privilege and introduced the 69th Parkridge Scout Troop. Deputy Mayor Steernberg welcomed the group to the meeting.

HEARINGS

2a) Discretionary Use Application
Proposed Boarding (Personal) Care Home for 12 Residents
801/803 Avenue I South - R.2 District
Applicant: Joyce Palmer
(File No. CK. 4355-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on March 13, 1995, received notice of the above discretionary use application.

The City Planner has now advised that the necessary on-site notification poster has been

placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site

Council, at this meeting, is to consider granting its permission for the proposed use.

The matter is also being reported on under Clause 1, Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission."

His Worship Deputy Mayor Steernberg opened the hearing and ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Clause 1, Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 5-1995 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

1. Discretionary Use Application
Personal (Boarding) Care Home for 12 Residents
Lots 16 and 17, Block 12, Plan No. GH
801/803 Avenue I South
Applicant: Joyce Palmer, 801/803 Avenue I South
(File No. CK. 4355-1)

Attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Construction Standards Department dated March 3, 1995, regarding an application submitted by Joyce Palmer requesting City Council's approval to use Lots 16 & 17, Block 12, Plan No. GH (801/803 Avenue I South) for the purpose of a Personal (Boarding) Care Home to care for 12 residents. This property is zoned R.2 District in the Zoning Bylaw and, as a consequence, a Boarding House to care for 12 residents may only be permitted by City Council at its discretion.

Your Commission has reviewed this report and concurs with the recommendation of the Planning and Construction Standards Department that the application be approved subject to the provision of two off-street parking spaces.

RECOMMENDATION: that this report be brought forward under Item No. 2a) during the Public Hearing process, and that City Council consider the following

recommendation:

"that the application by Joyce Palmer requesting permission to use Lots 16 & 17, Block 12, Plan No. GH (801/803 Avenue I South) for the purpose of a Boarding House to provide care to 12 residents be approved, subject to the provision of two off-street parking spaces."

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the application by Jovce Palmer requesting permission to use Lots 16 & 17, Block 12, Plan No. GH (801/803 Avenue I South) for the purpose of a Boarding House to provide care to 12 residents be approved, subject to the provision of two off-street parking spaces.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS CONT'D

2b) Rezoning - Part of Lot 1 and all of Lots 2 to 10 and 15 to 17 inclusive, Block B, Plan Q20 600 to 626 inclusive and 617 to 621 inclusive McPherson Avenue RM2 to R.2 District Proposed Bylaw No. 7456 (File No. CK. 4351-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"Attached is a copy of Clause 5, Report No. 15-1994 of the Municipal Planning Commission which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on December 5, 1994.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of April 1, 1995 and April 8, 1995 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendment prior to its consideration of proposed Bylaw No. 7456, copy

attached."

His Worship Deputy Mayor Steernberg opened the hearing and ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7456.

CARRIED.

2c) Rezoning - Part of Parcel A, Block 916, Plan 87-S-54552 135 Pinehouse Drive - M.1 to M.2 District Proposed Bylaw No. 7473 (File No. CK. 4351-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"Attached is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 3-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on February 13, 1995.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of April 1 , 1995 and April 8, 1995 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendment prior to its consideration of proposed Bylaw No. 7473, copy attached."

His Worship Deputy Mayor Steernberg opened the hearing and ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7473.

CARRIED.

2d) Zoning Bylaw Amendment
B.2A District in include automobile sales lots
and service stations as permitted uses
Proposed Bylaw No. 7466
(File No. CK. 4351-1)

REPORT OF CITY CLERK:

"Attached is a copy of Clause 3, Report No. 16-1994 of the Municipal Planning Commission which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on December 19, 1994.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of April 1, 1995 and April 8, 1995 is also attached.

Council, at this meeting, is to hear and determine any submissions with respect to the proposed amendment prior to its consideration of proposed Bylaw No. 7466, copy attached."

His Worship Deputy Mayor Steernberg opened the hearing and ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7466.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. <u>ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL</u>

1) James W. Knight, Executive Director FCM, dated March 29

Acknowledging receipt of membership fee and providing information regarding the municipal infrastructure program. (File No. CK. 155-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Alexandra Szlabey, Festival Manager SaskTel Saskatchewan Jazz Festival, dated April 10

Requesting Council to extend the hours under the Noise Bylaw for performances to be held at the Delta Bessborough Gardens in conjunction with the SaskTel Saskatchewan Jazz Festival on Saturday, June 24; Thursday, June 29; Friday, June 30 and Saturday, July 1. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the SaskTel Saskatchewan Jazz

Festival to extend the time during which performances may be conducted at the Delta Bessborough Gardens to 11:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 24; Thursday, June 29; Friday, June 30 and Saturday, July 1, 1995.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to the SaskTel Saskatchewan Jazz Festival to extend the time during which performances may be conducted at the Delta Bessborough Gardens to 11:00 p.m. on Saturday, June 24; Thursday, June 29; Friday, June 30 and Saturday, July 1, 1995.

CARRIED.

3) Lynne Salisbury, Chair, Board of Trustees The Mendel Art Gallery, dated April 5

Thanking Council for consideration and support for the Gallery's capital and operating needs. (File No. CK. 1711-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Deb Shutiak, Office of Public Relations <u>University of Saskatchewan, dated April 7</u>

Requesting Council to proclaim September 8 - 17, 1995 as "Homecoming '95" in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim September 8 -

17, 1995 as "Homecoming '95" in Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim September 8 - 17, 1995 as "Homecoming '95" in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

5) Linda M. Walker, Executive Director Yellowhead Highway Association, dated April 4

Thanking Council for the motion passed on March 27, 1995, with respect to the TISASK's awarding the Saskatchewan Consortium the 1994 Tourism Marketing Award of Excellence. (File No. CK. 155-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

6) Jack Scarfe, Chairman, Canada Remembers Committee <u>Veterans Affairs Canada, dated April 12</u>

Requesting Council's permission to have a four plane fly past over City Hall on May 8, 1995 at 11:00 a.m. and inviting Council members to attend the VE Day Air Show on May 7, 1995 and the Military Service on May 8, 1995. (Files CK. 205-13 and 205-1)

22) Jack Scarfe, Chair, Canada Remembers Committee Veterans Affairs Canada, dated April 24

Requesting permission for temporary closure of 23rd Street between 3rd and 4th Avenues and for the use of electrical outlet at the Cenotaph and asking Council to fly the Canada Remembers Flag in conjunction with the four plane fly past on May 8, 1995. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the requests be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT the requests be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

7) David Pillipow 623 Avenue G South, undated

Thanking Council for postponing the rezoning of Riversdale. (File No. CK. 4353-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

8) Brenda Schreiner, Marketing Director Circle Park Mall, dated April 7

Requesting Council to proclaim April 24 to 30, 1995 as Kids Sense Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim April 24 to 30, 1995 as Kids Sense Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim April 24 to 30, 1995 as Kids Sense Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

9) Lloyd Axworthy <u>Minister of Human Resources Development, dated March 29</u>

Expressing appreciation for Council's motion passed on January 16, 1995, regarding the Federal Government's proposed reforms on social programs. (Attached for Council's information is a copy of letter dated January 18, 1995 containing the above-noted motion.) (File No. CK. 277-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

10) Debbie Fraess, Chairperson National Access Awareness Week, dated April 12

Requesting Council to proclaim May 29 - June 4, 1995 as National Access Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim May 29 - June 4, 1995 as National Access Awareness Week in Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT His Worship the Mayor be authorized to proclaim May 29 - June 4, 1995 as National Access Awareness Week in Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

11) Joanne Sproule, A/Secretary <u>Development Appeals Board, dated April 19</u>

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding existing detached garage with east side yard encroachment at 604 Rusholme Road. (File No. Ck. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

12) Heather Larson, Administrator <u>Broadway Business Improvement District, dated April 17</u>

Requesting Council to extend the hours under the Noise Bylaw for the Second Annual Broadway Comedy and Busking Festival on Friday, July 28 and Saturday, July 29, 1995. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be

that permission be granted to the Broadway Business Improvement District to extend the time during which the Broadway Comedy Festival may be conducted to 2:00 a.m. on Friday, July 28 and 12:00 midnight on Saturday, July 29, 1995.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to the Broadway Business Improvement District to extend the time during which the Broadway Comedy Festival may be conducted to 2:00 a.m. on Friday, July 28 and 12:00 midnight on Saturday, July 29, 1995.

CARRIED.

13) Fred Smith, President Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce, dated April 12

Commending Council on achieving "zero percent increase" in the 1995 mill rate. (File No. CK. 1905-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

14) Laverne Szejvolt

Pleasant Hill Community Association, dated April 13

Expressing disappointment regarding comments made at April 10, 1995 Council meeting. (File No. CK. 4353-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Mayor's Office for a report.

CARRIED.

15) Carol Dalton

Coalition for a Quality Community, dated April 20

Requesting to address Council. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that representatives of the Coalition for a Quality Community be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT a representative of the Coalition for a Quality Community be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Carol Dalton, representing the Coalition for a Quality Community, submitted a petition with an additional 675 signatures regarding the business at 225 Third Avenue North. She expressed appreciation to the Planning and Development Committee for recommending that a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities be done as a high-priority project.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

16) Dale G. Linn, Q.C. MacDermid Lamarsh, dated April 20

Submitting material regarding the rezoning of Bridgewater Site from P.U.D. to M3 District and requesting to address Council on the matter. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. Linn be heard during consideration of Clause 2, Report No.

5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

17) Grant J. Scharfstein Robertson Stromberg, dated April 20

Requesting to address Council regarding the rezoning of Bridgewater Site from P.U.D. to M3 District. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the owner and developer of the Bridgewater Site be heard during

consideration of Clause 2, Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal

Planning Commission.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the regular order of business be suspended and Clause 2, Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the speakers be heard during consideration of Clause 2, Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission .

CARRIED.

18) Ruth Robinson, President Saskatoon Heritage Society, dated April 20

Requesting to address Council and present copies of the 10th Anniversary issue of "Saskatoon History Review" to members of Council. (File No. CK. 710-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Ms. Robinson be heard.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Ms. Robinson be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Ruth Robinson, President, Saskatoon Heritage Society, provided information regarding the Society and presented Council members with copies of the 10th Anniversary issue of the "Saskatoon History Review".

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received and the Saskatoon Heritage Society be thanked for the work they have done and for the publication provided annually.

CARRIED.

19) Dean Britton, Secretary Fishing for Tomorrow Foundation, dated April 6

Expressing concerns regarding fishing activity at the weir, and requesting that fines be enforced for infractions of City bylaws and Provincial laws. (Attachments referred to in the letter are available for viewing at the Office of the City Clerk.) (File No. CK. 375-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the issue of fishing licenses be referred to the Department of Environment and Resource Management; and

2) that the issue of health risks be referred to the Community Health Unit.

Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

- 1) that the issue of fishing licenses be referred to the Department of Environment and Resource Management; and
- 2) that the issue of health risks be referred to the Community Health Unit.

IN AMENDMENT

Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

AND THAT the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

THE AMENDMENT WAS PUT AND CARRIED.
THE MOTION AS AMENDED WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

20) A. M. Sarjeant Superintendent's Residence Task Force, dated April 23

Requesting permission for a representative of the Superintendent's Residence Task Force to address Council regarding the Superintendent's Residence. (File No. CK. 4205-8)

RECOMMENDATION: that a representative of the Superintendent's Residence Task Force be

heard during consideration of Clause B2, Report No. 9-1995 of the

City Commissioner.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT a representative of the Superintendent's Residence Task Force be heard during consideration of Clause B2, Report No. 9-1995 of the City Commissioner.

CARRIED.

21) Sandy Huckerby, Promotions Director Skydive Saskatoon Inc., dated April 24

Requesting permission to perform a demonstration parachute jump on Sunday, May 7, 1995 at Sask Place in conjunction with the Canada Remembers Airshow.

RECOMMENDATION: that the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

22) Jack Scarfe, Chair, Canada Remembers Committee <u>Veterans Affairs Canada, dated April 24</u>

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 9.

23) Brian Swidrovich, Events Coordinator Saskatchewan Place, dated April 24

Requesting permission for temporary road restriction or closure of Marquis Drive between 10:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. on Sunday, May 7, 1995 in conjunction with the Canada Remembers Air Show '95. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Louise A. Wiens

1640 - 9th Avenue North, dated April 10

Submitting concerns regarding businesses such as the Centrefold Total Adult Amusement Centre. **Referred to the Planning and Development Committee.** (File No. CK. 150-1)

2) N. McLean 711 - 10th Street East, dated April 7

Submitting concerns regarding businesses such as the Centrefold Total Adult Amusement Centre. **Referred to the Planning and Development Committee.** (File No. CK. 150-1)

3) Anne Berg Cornerstone Church, dated April 2

Submitting form letters from 90 residents urging Council to allocate more funding toward eliminating child prostitution, poverty and hunger. **Referred to the joint Planning and Development and Legislation and Finance Committee.** (File No. CK. 150-1)

4) Susan Lamb, Executive Director Tourism Saskatoon, dated April 5

Requesting Council for an exception to Bylaw No. 7200 for tour buses to alleviate problems with tour buses and equipment in no-parking zones and metered stalls in the downtown area. **Referred to the Works and Utilities Committee.** (Files CK. 185-1 and 6120-5)

5) Jack R. Haysom 78 McCully Crescent, dated April 5

Advising Council of water drainage problems on McCully Crescent. **Referred to the Works and Utilities Committee for a report.** (File No. CK. 7500-1)

6) Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director The Partnership, dated April 6

Submitting requests for assistance and financial support for the annual powerboat championships to be held from July 14 to 16, 1995. **Referred to the Administration for a report.** (File No. CK. 205-1)

7) Dorothy Skreden 304, 425 - 5th Avenue North, dated April 2

Requesting the City to trim the trees on the 400 block of 5th Avenue North. **Referred to the Administration for review and report if necessary.** (File No. CK. 4139-4)

8) Louis Horlick 1215 Elliott Street, dated April 10

Expressing objection to the opening of "peep show" establishment on 3rd Avenue North. **Referred to the Planning and Development Committee.** (File No. CK. 150-1)

9) Bob Fink 516 Avenue K South, dated April 12

Commenting re zoning in Riversdale and bylaws dealing with noise and nuisance. **Referred to the Planning and Development Committee.** (File No. CK. 4353-1)

10) Fred Smith, President Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce, dated April 12

Submitting comments regarding the City of Saskatoon's utility deposit policy. **Referred to the Works and Utilities Committee.** (File No. CK. 1550-0)

11) John Heystek 308 - 217 A Cree Place, dated April 11

Submitting concerns regarding the increase in number of advertising signs along streets. **Referred** to the Administration for a response. (File No. CK. 6280-1)

12) Ruth Isaac Wiederkehr 3C, 445 - 4th Avenue North, dated April 13

Submitting concerns regarding Centrefold Adult Entertainment Centre. Referred to the Planning

and Development Committee. (File No. CK. 150-1)

13) Patrick W. Steen, Treasurer Rainbow Housing Co-operative Limited, dated April 12

Expressing appreciation for grading of lane and requesting that paving of the lane be funded by the City. **Referred to the Works and Utilities Committee for a report.** (File No. CK. 6315-1)

14) B. Behari The Soap Exchange, dated April 3

Requesting a reduction in property taxes for Head Office building located at 2241 Hanselman Avenue. **Referred to the Legislation and Finance Committee.** (File No. CK. 1965-1)

15) Bonace Korchinkski, Chair Saskatoon Public Library Board, dated April 12

Requesting to be reinstated in the comprehensive audit process. **Referred to A Committee of the Whole Council.** (File No. CK. 1600-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Mr. J. Kozmyk, Chair, submitted Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 9-1995 of the City Commissioner;

Councillor Steernberg, Chair, presented Report No. 8-1995 of the Planning and Development Committee;

Councillor McCann, Chair, presented Report No. 5-1995 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;

His Worship the Mayor, Chair, submitted Report No. 4-1995 of A Committee of the Whole Council; and

His Worship the Mayor, Chair, submitted Report No. 5-1995 of the Committee on Committees.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 5-1995 of the Municipal Planning Commission;
- *Report No. 9-1995 of the City Commissioner;*
- c) Report No. 8-1995 of the Planning and Development Committee;
- *d) Report No. 5-1995 of the Legislation and Finance Committee;*
- e) Report No. 4-1995 of A Committee of the Whole Council; and
- f) Report No. 5-1995 of the Committee on Committees.

CARRIED.

Deputy Mayor Steernberg appointed Councillor Langford as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Langford in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Langford, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"REPORT NO. 5-1995 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Committee

Mr. Jim Kozmyk, Chair

Councillor J. Postlethwaite

Mr. Ken Rauch

Ms. Ann March

Mr. Glen Grismer

Ms. Leslie Belloc-Pinder

Mr. Gregory Kitz

Mr. Victor Pizzey

Ms. Lina Eidem

Mr. Paul Kawcuniak

Ms. Sheila Denysiuk

Mr. Al Ledingham

Dr. Brian Noonan

1. Discretionary Use Application

Personal (Boarding) Care Home for 12 Residents

Lots 16 and 17, Block 12, Plan No. GH

801/803 Avenue I South

Applicant: Joyce Palmer, 801/803 Avenue I South

(File No. CK. 4355-1)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

2. Rezoning - Bridgewater Site

P.U.D. to M.3 District (by Agreement)

Lots 20 - 29 inclusive, Block A2, Plan (Q24) A955

510 Saskatchewan Crescent East

(File No. CK. 4351-1)

Attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Construction Standards Department dated April 3, 1995, regarding an application submitted by Remai Ventures Inc., requesting that Lots 20 - 29 inclusive, Block A2, Plan (Q24) A955 (510 Saskatchewan Crescent East) be rezoned from Planned Unit Development (P.U.D.) to M.3 District by Agreement to facilitate the development of a 39-unit multiple unit dwelling.

Your Commission has reviewed the above application and has also heard presentations from representatives of the developer and of the Bridgewater Condominium Corporation.

It has been brought to the Commission's attention that building massing, as it affects river valley views, is a major concern of the residents of the Bridgewater Condominium Corporation. In this regard, your Commission is recommending that the Agreement include the following clause:

"building massing not encroach into the river valley views of the residences of Phase I beyond the potential impact of the previously proposed structure (Phase III)."

During consideration of this matter by your Commission, Mr. Dale Linn of MacDermid Lamarsh, representing the Board of Directors of the Bridgewater Condominium Corporation, provided the attached letter, dated April 6, 1995 (Attachment "A"). The letter outlines Mr. Linn's opinion as to the rights and obligations of the parties to a Planned Unit Development Agreement, dated December 8, 1988 (the Agreement), entered into between the City of Saskatoon and Fischer Construction (Western) Ltd., and, more particularly, the rights and obligations of subsequent owners of the property dealt with in the Agreement. Mr. Linn requested that a recommendation go forward from your Commission to reject the proposal to enter into a new development contract for the property which would remove the restrictive covenant that the City agreed would be placed on this property (i.e. that only a terraced structure, as shown on the plans attached to the Agreement, would be constructed on this property).

Your Commission discussed the above and concurs with the comments contained in the attached copy of letter dated April 11, 1995 (Attachment "B") from the City Planner.

Also attached, are copies of the following documents which were reviewed by your Commission:

- Letter dated April 6, 1995 from Mr. Grant J. Scharfstein, Robertson Stromberg (Attachment "C");
- Site plans containing site lines of perspective drawings, as provided by Mr. Edwards, Edwards & Edwards Architects (Attachment "D").
- Letter dated March 13, 1995, from Bill Bender, President, "The Bridgewater" (Attachment "E").

RECOMMENDATION:

- that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone Lots 20 29 inclusive, Block A2, Plan (Q24) A955 (510 Saskatchewan Crescent East) from a Planned Unit Development (P.U.D.) District to an M.3 District, subject to the execution of an agreement between Remai Ventures Inc. and the City of Saskatoon, which Agreement would permit the carrying out of a specific proposal on the said land, namely:
 - a) a multiple unit dwelling containing a maximum of 39 dwelling units;
 - b) a four storey building having a maximum height of 12.280 metres above the height of the plaza level elevation of the development situated at 505 12th Street East (existing Bridgewater condominium);
 - c) a maximum floor space ratio of 1.92:1;
 - d) the provision of an acoustic and visual screening of all roof top air conditioning units to the satisfaction of the City Planner;
 - e) all design and development elements as contained in Appendix A of the attached report of the Planning and Construction Standards Department dated April 3, 1995;
 - f) all other relevant terms of the M.3 Zoning District and the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 will apply;
 - g) building massing not encroach into the river valley views of the residences of Phase I beyond the potential impact of the previously proposed structure (Phase III);
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw and Agreement; and
- 4) that the Municipal Planning Commission's report be brought forward for consideration at the time of the public hearing and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the proposed rezoning, subject to an Agreement, as outlined in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Items A.16 and A.17 of "Communications" were brought forward and considered.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT Mr. Linn be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Dale G. Linn, representing the Board of Directors and owners of the Bridgewater Corporation, provided a history of what has taken place on the site and expressed concern regarding the proposed development for the site. He urged Council to refer the matter back to the Planning Department for further review before it goes out for advertising and to work out something to which all the parties would agree.

Moved by Councillor Langlois,

THAT Mr. Scharfstein be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Grant Scharfstein, representing the developer and owner of the Bridgewater site, asked Council to endorse the recommendation that the advertising for the hearing proceed. He noted that an amended plan had been submitted to the Planning Department on April 24, 1995 and expressed the opinion that the amended plan should alleviate some of the concerns raised. Mr. Scharfstein asked for an amendment to Recommendation 1e) of the Municipal Planning Commission to indicate reference to the amended plan submitted to the Planning and Construction Standards Department on April 24, 1995.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council.

REPORT NO. 9-1995 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER

Section A - Works and Utilities

A1) Enquiry - Councillor Heidt (January 3, 1995)
Traffic Noise from Circle Drive
Hudson Bay Park Community

(File No. CC 6320-1)

"Traffic Noise from Circle Drive in Hudson Bay Park Community:

Would the Administration provide the feasibility of putting in an earth berm on the east side of Circle Drive between 33rd Street West and Airport Drive? Distance is approximately 3/4 of a mile. Also, is it possible to do over a period of time? Why wasn't this done when the expansion to Circle was completed?"

Report of the City Engineer, April 4, 1995:

"The Engineering Department has reviewed the request for a sound attenuation berm to be constructed along the east side of Circle Drive, north of 33rd Street, and has found that construction is possible but at a substantial cost. The area in question is shown on the attached sketch plan.

When Circle Drive, between 33rd Street and Avenue C, was reconstructed in 1985, no allowance was made for the creation of sound attenuating berms on either side of the roadway. There were several reasons for this. First, sound studies undertaken at that time indicated that traffic noise generated by Circle Drive was below what was considered unacceptable levels. Secondly, and more importantly, at the time the roadway was constructed, there was no excess quantity of earth that was required to be disposed of. There was insufficient funding to import fill material to construct earth berms and in view of the recorded noise levels, this construction was not undertaken. It should be noted that if there were an excess of earth fill at the time the roadway was reconstructed, berms would have been created in spite of the noise levels not being at the threshold limits. This would have been much the same situation as the four-laning of Circle Drive, between 22nd Street and 33rd Street, where an earth berm was constructed on the west side of the roadway with excess waste material excavated from the east ditch.

The Engineering Department has now identified areas in the City which either require traffic noise attenuation, or will need it at some future point as a result of increasing traffic volumes. The 1991 Traffic Noise Study provides a guideline threshold of 65 dBA as a level when attenuation of traffic noise should be undertaken. Traffic noise levels recorded near Howell Avenue in the area of the proposed berm range in the 60 to 62 dBA range, which is below the guideline level. The area identified by Councillor Heidt was also subject to an evaluation of future noise levels based on anticipated increases in traffic volumes. This analysis indicated that future noise levels along this portion of Howell Avenue would likely rise to approximately 63 dBA, still below the minimum level of 65 dBA for noise attenuation to be considered. The 1991 Traffic Noise Study did not, therefore, recommend this portion of Circle Drive as a candidate site for noise attenuation. The following are the top five locations where traffic noise attenuation is required. These are presently identified in the Capital Budget as Project #700 - Noise Attenuation.

Location Cost

1.	Circle Drive - Warman Road to Bridge (Both Sides)	\$595,000
2.	Warman Road - 7th Avenue to Hazen Street (East Side)	\$455,000
3.	Idylwyld Drive - River to 8th Street (Both Sides)	\$570,000
4.	Idylwyld Drive - 8th Street to Ruth Street (Both Sides)	\$500,000
5.	Idylwyld Drive - Ruth Street to Glasgow Street (East Side)	\$300,000
	Total Estimated Cost	\$2,420,000

Also attached to this report is a copy of the Executive Summary of the 1991 Traffic Noise Study for information.

However, to fully answer Councillor Heidt's enquiry, the Engineering Department has completed a cost estimate for the construction of an earth berm along the east side of Circle Drive, adjacent to Howell Avenue. As shown on the attached sketch, the area where the berm would have to be created actually falls within Henry Kelsey Park, and is considered park land. The Engineering Department, therefore, obtained the input of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department as this parcel and maintenance of same falls under its jurisdiction. Its comments are included in the following.

In order to construct a sound attenuation berm along the strip of Henry Kelsey Park, at the location shown on the sketch, approximately 17,000 cubic metres of fill material would have to be imported to the site. The existing trees along this strip of property would have to be removed and the topsoil stripped and stockpiled for replacement on the berm. Once completed, the area would have to be seeded and the shelter belt trees would need to be replaced. The estimated cost of doing the above is:

\$8,000
\$55,000
\$15,000
\$22,000

Total Estimated Cost \$100,000

The above costs of topsoiling, seeding, and tree replacement are a criteria established by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department.

Councillor Heidt has suggested that the development of the berm could be undertaken over a period of years as material became available - basically using the area as a clean fill site. Similar costs would be incurred but the Engineering Department would strongly recommend against such a proposal taking place. In the past, when such areas have been designated fill sites, the public tends to view the area as a general dump site, and over a short period of time, the area becomes a major

eyesore and maintenance problem. If such a project were to be undertaken, it should be budgeted for as a single-year project and the entire job completed at once.

There is currently no funding available to undertake the construction of the berm as indicated above, so a separate Capital Budget item would have to be created in order for funding to be allocated and the project proceed. It should also be recognized that by constructing a berm at this location as a 'retro-fit' project, the previously-identified, higher priority locations are being ignored and a non-warranted location would be taking precedence."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the information be received; and

2) that the matter of traffic noise from Circle Drive be referred to the Works and Utilities Committee.

A2) Supply of Liquid Aluminum Sulphate
Water and Pollution Control Department
Water Treatment Plant
(File No. CC 1000-3)

Report of the Manager, Water and Pollution Control Department, April 12, 1995:

"Introduction

City Council is asked on an annual basis to approve the award of large supply contracts for water treatment chemicals. The awarding of the alum supply contract is now due. While this is usually a rather routine procedure, the recent media reports of health concerns with respect to aluminum levels in drinking water suggests that a more comprehensive report is timely.

Aluminum is the third most abundant element in the earth's crust and the earth's most abundant metal. Despite its prevalence in our environment, aluminum is usually present in biological systems only in trace amounts and it has no known accepted role in normal biological processes. Humans are exposed to aluminum in many forms. Obviously, dusts from the earth's surface will be high in aluminum. Foods naturally high in aluminum include tea leaves, berries, spinach, and some herbs and spices. Food additives supply most of the aluminum we consume in food; baking powders, leavening agents in baking mixes, texturizing agents in cheese, anti-caking agents in salt, non-dairy creamers, and other dry powdered products, and colour preservatives in preserved fruits and

pickles. Medications are a substantial source of aluminum in treatments for acid indigestion, ulcers, and kidney disfunctions. Aluminum products are also used in topical ointments such as cosmetic gels, burn/wound treatments and antiperspirants. Some aluminum migrates to food during processing, packaging, and cooking.

Drinking water, the source which seems to get most media attention, represents less than 10 percent of the normal daily intake of aluminum. Natural soils and dusts influence the content of aluminum in the surface water of lakes and rivers. Aluminum salt coagulants, such as alum, influence drinking water levels as is the case in the Saskatoon process.

Over the past several years, the news media has reported studies linking aluminum in drinking water to Alzheimer's disease (AD). A recent Australian study, reported in the March 20 edition of the Toronto Globe & Mail, indicated that aluminum from water containing trace levels was detected in the brains of rats by auditing the radio isotope Aluminum 26. The newspaper article also suggested that levels of 0.3 milligrams per litre in drinking water could be considered a safe range.

Aluminum Levels in Saskatoon Water

Saskatoon drinking water contains levels in the order of 0.7 milligrams per litre, with background levels in the incoming river water of approximately 0.25 to 0.3 milligrams per litre. Saskatoon adds 40 milligrams per litre of Alum (of which 6 percent is Al) to remove undissolved solids from the incoming water. These solids include inorganic matter and organic matter including microorganisms that can cause disease. After the water has passed through the clarifiers and the filters, a dissolved residual of approximately 0.7 milligrams per litre remains due mainly to the high pH caused by the softening process. Relative to the amount of aluminum input to the process, the output is small. However, in comparison to the levels of aluminum in the drinking water of other communities, 0.7 milligrams per litre is high. This is of concern to both the public and those responsible for the production of safe drinking water in Saskatoon. It should be pointed out, however, that Saskatoon drinking water meets all Saskatchewan and Canadian drinking water guidelines.

Given these concerns, Saskatoon should move to reduce the levels of aluminum in its drinking water. In fact, work has been completed and is on-going in this regard. However, the move to change the process should not occur until the effects of a proposed change have been fully researched under all operating conditions. The risk to the public of an inadequately researched change to the water treatment process far outweighs the risk of the current levels of aluminum in the drinking water.

Aluminum in Drinking Water - Current Status

There are a multitude of complications and uncertainties regarding this issue. For instance, the

Australian researchers are careful to point out that the new research does not prove that aluminum in water is the cause of AD. A review of the Australian study by the head of the Centre for Education and Research on Aging at Concord Hospital W.V., states:

'It could be an important finding, but it can't be shown that aluminum is causing Alzheimer's; it's unlikely to be a single issue.'

Actually the majority of researchers believe the existing data is inadequate to conclude that aluminum is a proven factor in the progression of AD. British researchers contend that the production of excess amounts of a protein in the brain, which happens as a matter of course in all humans, triggers AD. Researchers at Harvard University's Massachusetts General Hospital report that ions of zinc can cause certain proteins to convert to an insoluble form and are linked to 'formations of clumps' on brain cells that are associated with AD. Researchers at the University of Saskatchewan have suggested that a link exists between iron compounds and AD.

None of the major health related organizations in the United States (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, USEPA, Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association, National Institute on Aging) have called for reductions in aluminum exposure in healthy persons. A recent discussion with a spokesman from the national office of the Alzheimer's Society of Canada indicated a similar position has been taken in Canada. These organizations do endorse ongoing research.

Regulatory agencies are also uncertain as to what their position should be. It has only been in the last few years that provincial Permits to Operate treatment plants even required analyses for aluminum. There are currently no federal or provincial guidelines for this element. Aluminum was included in the original list of 83 contaminants to be regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) under the 1986 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments. In 1988, aluminum was removed from the list because there was insufficient evidence that ingestion via drinking water posed a health threat and because of the low levels of total aluminum in drinking water relative to those of food and medicine. It is yet uncertain if regulation of aluminum in drinking water will significantly reduce human exposure. It is now expected that if the USEPA poses a standard, it will probably not happen until the late 1990's. The USEPA has, however, set a secondary drinking water standard of 0.2 milligrams per litre as has the World Health Organization and the European Economic Community Regulations. A secondary standard establishes limits for aesthetics such as clarity and taste or post precipitation problems, however, the standard is not health related. The range of values used as a guideline by various U.S. states further confirms the uncertainty.

State	Guideline (mg/L)	
Arizona	0.73	
California	1 00	

Illinois	0.10
Kansas	5.00
Maine	1.43
Rhode Island	0.50

Despite the uncertainty and the fact no country currently has a health standard for aluminum, Dr. B. Thomas, head of the criteria section of Health Canada's Environmental Health Directorate, has announced that the department will ask a committee of federal and provincial officials to set health limits for aluminum within the next year.

Changes in Water Treatment Process - Considerations

Utility managers must make risk management decisions in the face of this uncertainty and disagreement among health experts and respond to customer concerns. Professional staff at the water treatment plant have closely monitored developments surrounding the aluminum question for a number of years. Data has been collected on the total and soluble fractions of aluminum in both the raw and treated water. Alum dosages in Saskatoon are similar to those in all other cities that coagulate with aluminum salts. The residual aluminum levels in Saskatoon drinking water are generally higher than other cities due to the fact that alum coagulation is carried out in conjunction with a softening process. The high pH that is a reality with cold lime softening, results in the solubilization of a portion of the aluminum that is not removed in the clarifiers. Soluble aluminum cannot be removed in the filtration process.

The water softening in the current water treatment process could be reduced to reduce the level of dissolved aluminum in the water. This would result in water that is slightly acidic rather than alkaline. This would have adverse effect in two areas; corrosion in the water distribution system, and potentially harmful corrosion by-products in the tap water.

Currently, the softening process results in water that lays a light deposit of calcium carbonate in the water mains. This protective coating reduces the corrosion in steel and in cast iron water mains. Slightly acidic water, resulting from elimination of softening, would increase corrosion in steel and cast iron water mains. The costs of additional maintenance and rehabilitation could be enormous.

One of the growing concerns in the water industry is the health effects of corrosion by-products. Water that is even slightly acidic is known to leach lead and zinc from soldered joints in conventional plumbing systems. This results in potentially high levels of lead and zinc in water at the tap. The acidity could be eliminated by adding lime after the filtration process. However, the dosages of lime would have to be low to avoid post-precipitation of calcium carbonate in the mains. At these low dosages, there would be little, if any, softening benefits.

A more obvious solution is the use of an alternate coagulant. However, it must be recognized that coagulation is a crucial step in the treatment process. Coagulation removes both pathogenic microorganisms and the particles that protect pathogens from disinfection. The importance of the coagulant in the protection against waterborne microbial disease cannot be overstated. Optimized coagulation also helps remove humic substances and other naturally occurring organic matter, thus reducing the formation of disinfection by-products, some of which are considered to be carcinogenic.

Investigations of alternate coagulants have been carried out at the water treatment plant. Polyaluminum chloride has been evaluated on a plant scale/run to waste basis over a one-week period and resulted in lower residual aluminum levels. Dosages found to be effective will result in increased operating costs and a short-term investigation cannot confirm whether the coagulant and which dosage will be effective over a wide range of raw water characteristics (i.e. temperature, turbidity, pH). In addition, a polymer compound used in the manufacture of the coagulant at that time was considered a health risk by some regulators.

The need to carefully choose a coagulant that ensures optimal treatment cannot be overstated. It would not be prudent to increase a known health risk (microbial disease) by using a less effective coagulant in order to decrease a risk that may or may not exist. In fact, the use of an alum alternative and a lack of familiarity with that coagulant has been implicated as one of the causes of a major outbreak of *Cryptosporidiosis* in Milwaukee. The disease affected 400,000 people resulting in more than 4,000 hospitalizations and numerous deaths.

Investigation Plan for 1995

One of the primary concerns in the water treatment industry today is the risk of waterborne diseases caused by the protozoan cysts *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia Lambia*. These protozoa exist in over 90 percent of surface water supplies and Saskatoon draws its water from a surface water supply that is susceptible to contamination. As a result, the investigation into the adequacy of the City's treatment process in preventing waterborne diseases is the priority for 1995. However, work will be undertaken to reduce the levels of aluminum in the drinking water at the same time.

Efforts will be made in 1995 to reduce alum dosages to minimum possible levels. Plant scale tests will be carried out and provisions have been included in the 1995 Capital Budget for electric charge monitoring equipment to control alum dosages. As fluoridation also results in the solubilization of aluminum, investigations will be carried out to determine if residual levels of aluminum can be reduced by moving the feed point downstream of the filtration process. Flow control to prevent sudden changes will be investigated in an attempt to minimize process upsets and thereby permit operations at lower dosages.

The use of an iron salt coagulant such as ferric chloride will be investigated prior to the end of 1995. Preliminary estimates of ferric chloride costs, based on laboratory scale studies, indicate that operating cost increases of upwards of \$1,000,000 per year are likely. A secondary disadvantage of ferric chloride is its high corrosivity, which requires special equipment for storage and feeding. Iron residuals can also create severe unsightly staining on fixtures and clothing. The installation of filter media capable of removing iron residuals will result in capital costs in the hundreds of thousands and significant increases in annual maintenance costs.

The investigative and process optimization work planned for 1995 can be summarized:

- 1) Crypto and giardia monitoring of upstream watershed, intake water, tap and distribution system.
- 2) Chlorination efficiency and pH control investigation.
- 3) Particle size and turbidity monitoring in order to optimize filtration efficiency and maximize bacterial removal.
- 4) On-going review of research, process changes and regulatory agency positions as they relate to aluminum issues.
- 5) Alternate coagulant investigation (ferric chloride) and a review of polyaluminum chloride developments since the last investigation.
- 6) Process blending and flow control options will be investigated to determine how the impact of softening on the residual aluminum levels can be reduced.
- 7) Electric charge monitoring equipment will be installed and alum dosage control will be fine tuned
- 8) Process audits and aluminum species investigations will be carried out to determine the impact of various chemical additions to the process (i.e. fluoride).

The 1995 plan is extensive and it should be recognized that the work will proceed according to availability of professional staff currently assigned to the Department's project division. Some professional staff are currently working in excess of 40 hours per week. Water treatment projects are considered of prime importance and take the highest priority with respect to work assignments.

Tenders

While alternate coagulants will be evaluated, alum still remains the coagulant of choice. Tenders

have been requested from United Chemicals, Van Waters & Rogers Ltd., Prairie Chem, Wilbur Ellis, Astor Chemicals, Marsulex Inc. and Stanchem Inc. for the 1995-96 supply of liquid aluminum sulphate (alum). At the close of tenders on March 17, 1995, bids from three firms had been received. The bids have been summarized:

United Chemicals	P.O. Box 2090 Saskatoon, SK S7K 3S7	\$138.80/tonne
Van Waters & Rogers Ltd.	3009 Millar Avenue Saskatoon, SK S7K 6G5	no quote
Prairie Chem	231 Robin Crescent Saskatoon, SK S7L 6M8	no quote
Wilbur Ellis	29110 Cleveland Ave. Saskatoon, SK	no quote
Astor Chemicals	1874 Britt Rd. N.W. Stuart, FL	no quote
Marsulex Inc.	P.O. Box 3180 Fort Saskatchewan, AB T8L 2T2	\$157.00/tonne
Stanchem Inc.	2818 -2366 Avenue C North Saskatoon, SK	\$159.50/tonne

The low bid from United Chemicals is the preferred option. United Chemicals is the current supplier of liquid aluminum sulphate at \$135.65/tonne. The company has provided excellent service in the past.

If the treatment plant personnel are able to reduce the normal operating dosage below 40 mg/L, this contract price will result in 1995 expenditures that are below the estimates included in the water utility's 1995 operating budget. A blanket purchase order for 2000 tonnes will be required.

The total cost of the blanket purchase order will be:

Blanket Order Quantity

		Cost	
Water Treatment	2,000	\$297,032	(incl. 7% G.S.T.)
G.S.T. rebate (4%)		11,104	
Net Cost to City		\$285,928	

RECOMMENDATION:

that City Council accept the bid submitted by United Chemicals of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, for the supply of liquid aluminum sulphate to the Water Treatment Plant, at a price of \$138.80 per metric tonne, for one year, for a total blanket purchase order of \$297,032, including G.S.T.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)	that C	City Council accept the bid submitted by United Chemicals of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, for the supply of liquid aluminum sulphate to the Water Treatment Plant, at a price of \$138.80 per metric tonne, for one year, for a total blanket purchase order of \$297,032, including G.S.T.; and	
	2)	that the matter of aluminium use in water treatment be referred to the Works and Utilities Committee.	

A3) 1995 Capital Projects Tenders for Padmount Transformers (File No. CC 1000-2)

Report of the Manager, Electrical Distribution Department, April 10, 1995:

"The Central Purchasing and Stores Department called for tenders on the supply of padmount transformers for approved 1995 Capital Projects 932-3, J.M. Taylor 15 kV Conversion; 719-26, C.D. McGall 15 kV Conversion; 739-21, Silverspring (Laycoe Crescent); 936-9, Industrial Commercial Extensions 1995.

Six bids were received and their evaluation is shown on the attached tabulation. The tender evaluation process includes a present worth calculation of the cost of energy and power losses over the life of the transformers.

The Carte International Inc. bid for Item No. 1 (18-50 kVA 8320:120/240) provides the lowest evaluated cost with a tendered price of \$47,982.24. Partner Technologies' bids for Items No. 2 and 3 (12-75 kVA, 1 - 167 kVA 8320:120/240) provides the lowest evaluated cost for a total tendered price of \$41,243.80 on the two items. The Chaz Sales' bid for Item No. 4 (2-225 kVA 14.4 kV:120/208) has the lowest evaluated cost with their tendered price being \$19,645.76. R.L. Brews & Son has the lowest evaluated cost for Item No. 5 (1-500 kVA 14.4 kV:120/208) with a tendered price of \$14,056.88.

The net cost to the City for each of the proposed purchase contracts is as follows:

Supplier	Carte International	Partner Technologies	Chaz Sales	R.L. Brews & Son	TOTALS
Item	No. 1	No. 2 & 3	No. 4	No. 5	
Amount	\$ 41,364.00	\$ 35,555.00	\$ 16,936.00	\$ 12,118.00	\$ 105,963.00
G.S.T. @ 7%	2,895.48	2,488.85	1,185.52	848.26	7,418.11
P.S.T. @ 9%	3,722.76	3,199.95	1,524.24	1,090.62	9,537.57
TOTAL	\$ 47,982.24	\$ 41,243.80	\$ 19,645.76	\$ 14,056.88	\$ 122,928.68
G.S.T. Credit	(2,895.48)	(2,488.85)	(1,185.52)	(848.26)	(7,418.11)
Net Cost to City	\$ 45,086.76	\$ 38,754.95	\$ 18,460.24	\$ 13,208.62	\$ 115,510.57

The Electrical Department's original total estimate for the purchase of the subject equipment was \$124,800.00."

RECOMMENDATION:	1)	that City Council accept the bid submitted by Carte International Inc., for the supply of Item No. 1, at a total estimated cost of \$47,982.24, G.S.T. and P.S.T. included;
	2)	that City Council accept the bid submitted by Partner Technologies Incorporated, for the supply of Item Nos. 2 and 3, at a total estimated cost of \$41,243.80, G.S.T. and P.S.T. included;
	3)	that City Council accept the bid submitted by Chaz Sales Corporation, for the supply of Item No. 4, at a total estimated cost of \$19,645.76, G.S.T. and P.S.T. included; and,
	4)	that City Council accept the bid submitted by R.L. Brews and Son Ltd., for the supply of Item No. 5, at a total

estimated cost of \$14,056.88, G.S.T. and P.S.T. included.

ADOPTED.

A4) 1995 Equipment Purchases Project 581: V & E Services Rear Engine Track-Type Loader (File No. CC 1000-1)

Report of the Manager, Vehicle and Equipment Services, March 28, 1995:

"Tenders for the purchase of One (1) 210 Horsepower Rear Engine Track-Type Loader were publicly opened by the Central Purchasing and Stores Department on March 23, 1995. The tender specifications called for bids with a six-year/10,000-hour guaranteed maximum repair or a six-year/10,000-hour extended warranty, with a guaranteed repurchase price, in each case, at the end of the contract. A bid was received from one supplier and it met the requirements of the specifications. The following prices were received:

	Kramer Ltd.
Unit Make & Model	Cat 973
Net Purchase Price	\$427,000.00
Trade-In Allowance	\$110,000.00
Guaranteed Maximum Repairs	\$55,000.00
Extended Warranty	N/A
Repurchase Guarantee	\$92,500.00

The City of Saskatoon owns and operates two rear engine track-type loaders, both Caterpillar 973s purchased from Kramer Ltd. One unit is used by the Engineering Department, Solid Waste Branch, at the Spadina Landfill site, and the other by the Water and Pollution Control Department at the North 40 (sludge beds). The nature of the work performed by these loaders at either location dictates that a hydrostatic drive track-type machine of this size is required and that downtime must be kept to a minimum. Backup units are very rarely available from the private sector which further reinforces the need for reliable equipment.

The unit being replaced (unit 2106) is a 1989 Cat 973. It was purchased under a Total Cost Bid contract (six-year/10,000-hour) and went into service on October 24, 1989. As of the middle of March this year, just over 9,800 hours had accumulated, hence, the need for a replacement loader. (Note: Kramer Ltd. had advised the City that if we were to go over the 10,000 hour mark, they would charge us \$17,400/month, including PST and GST, based on a maximum of 176 hours

usage.) If the City were to exceed 176 hours/month, the overage would be charged out at \$99.00/hour (including PST and GST). Under the terms of the contract, the guaranteed maximum repairs after six years or 10,000 hours was \$40,000.00. To date, approximately \$96,000.00 has been spent on repairs. As a result, Kramer Ltd. will reimburse the City approximately \$56,000.00.

The newest Caterpillar 973 was purchased by the City in 1991. The Net Purchase Price at that time was \$265,000.00. The large increase from then until now can be accounted for as follows:

Adjusted 1991 Net Purchase Price	\$294,086.00
(reflects new Cat Governmental Discount Structure)	
U.S. exchange rate on the dollar has dropped from	<u>x 1.174</u>
86.58 (1991) to 71.50 (1995)	\$345,257.00
Price increases since 1991	<u>x 1.20</u>
	\$414,308.00
Engine fan/track pad upgrades	_20,000.00
	\$434,308.00
Increase in shipping costs	1,800.00
	<u>\$436,108.00</u>

Due to a significant increase in the purchase price, Vehicle and Equipment Services entered into discussions with Kramer Ltd. to look at alternatives to purchasing a new replacement unit. The two proposed were a six-year lease or a complete rebuild of the existing unit.

The monthly rate on a six-year lease comes to \$12,470/month, including PST and GST, based on a maximum of 12,672 hours usage over the 72-month lease. If the City were to exceed that amount, the overage would be charged out at \$70.85/hour, including PST and GST. Kramer Ltd. will cover all repairs to the machine with the exception of maintenance (i.e. oil changes) and wear items (i.e. cutting edges). Under the proposed lease, the cost of the unit, excluding maintenance and wear items, comes to \$700,000.00. This is approximately 40% higher than the cost of an outright purchase based on the cost of borrowing at 8.5%. Therefore, the lease proposal is not a viable alternative.

The final alternative approaches the replacement of unit 2106 from a completely different angle - that of completely rebuilding unit 2106. Kramer Ltd. would proceed as follows:

- strip paint, repaint
- completely rebuild engine and test on a dynamometer
- recondition hydrostatic drive
- recondition entire hydraulic system
- recondition final drives
- recondition complete undercarriage
- recondition all lift and bucket linkages

- repair bucket
- recondition cab
- recondition radiator and grill assembly
- all work must incorporate the latest updates and improvements where applicable
- all seals will be cold weather seals where applicable
- warranty on complete machine 1 year
- complete powertrain warranty 5000 hours
- all work to be completed in six weeks or less.

The total cost of the above rebuild, including warranties, is \$147,500.00 (taxes extra) plus/minus ten (10) percent. The City would be responsible for all maintenance and wear items and any repairs which are not covered under the terms of the warranties. Once the rebuild is completed, the service life would be 10,000 hours (about the same as a new machine). At that time, it would be the intent of Vehicle and Equipment Services to enter into another complete rebuild agreement. Based on the current replacement and rebuild costs and an estimated life of 20,000 hours, a monthly rental rate of \$4,200.00 is being proposed, which represents a reduction of 26% from the current rate of \$5,730.00 per month."

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council reject the tender submitted by Kramer Ltd. for the replacement of Unit 2106 (1989 Caterpillar 973, serial number 086G00921); and, 2) that City Council accept the bid submitted by Kramer Ltd., for the complete rebuilding of Unit 2106, at a total estimated cost of \$147,500.00, plus/minus ten (10) percent, PST and GST not included. ADOPTED.

A5) 1995 Capital Budget - Project No. 602
Proposed Silverspring Subdivision "C" - Laycoe
Water and Sewer Mains and Service Connections
Award of Contract No. 5-0008
(File Nos. CC 7820-1 and 1703)

Report of the City Engineer, April 18, 1995:

"Tenders were received and opened publicly on April 18, 1995, for the proposed Silverspring Subdivision 'C' - Laycoe Crescent, Terrace, Court and Lane. The work consists of the supply and installation of water, storm and sanitary sewer mains and service connections.

The following tenders were received (a copy of the tabulation is attached):

Contractor	Total Tender Price
Hamm Construction Ltd.	\$509,928.55
AME Systems Ltd.	\$591,112.99
Acadia-Armstrong Construction Ltd.	\$603,991.02
Wappel Construction Ltd.	\$615,435.10
Saskcon Repair Services Ltd.	\$631,786.73
Miazga Construction Ltd.	\$655,384.06
BYZ Construction Ltd.	\$721,967.08

All tender prices include a \$20,000 contingency allowance and 7% GST.

The Engineering Department's estimate to supply and install the Laycoe mains and service connection is \$663,558.00.

Funds are provided under the 1995 Capital Budget, Prepaid Subdivision Services - Silverspring, Project No. 602 (Water/Sanitary Sewer Mains, Storm Sewer Mains and Water/Sewer Connections).

The net cost for the construction portion of the project is calculated as follows:

Tender (including PST & GST)	\$509,928.55
GST Rebate	(\$32,051.40)
Net Cost	\$477,877.15"

that City Council accept the tender submitted by Hamm Construction Ltd., for the supply and installation of water, storm, and sanitary sewer mains and service connections in the proposed Silverspring Subdivision "C" - Laycoe Crescent, Terrace, Court and Lane, at a total estimated cost of \$509,928.55, PST and GST included; and, 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

Positive Displacement Cold Water Meters
Water and Pollution Control Department - Meter Shop
(File No. CC 1000-3)

Report of the Manager, Water and Pollution Control Department, April 18, 1995:

"Capital Project #1055, includes a provision of \$3,500,000 for the replacement of obsolete water meters presently in service. The program began in 1994 with an annual approval of \$350,000 to cover the cost of the meter reading devices and 2400 meters. It is estimated that approximately 4000 meters will be replaced in 1995 at an estimated cost of \$350,000. The obsolete meters will be replaced with new encoded meters capable of remote automatic reading. This replacement is part of a conversion program, first approved by Council in 1993, that will be completed over a 10-year period and will support any future direction toward an automated meter reading system.

In 1994, the low bid of Eljay Irrigation Limited, for the supply of Kent Water Meters, was accepted and awarded by Council under the condition that the annual purchase volume over the five-year period will be subject to the annual approval of the Capital Budget. The total estimated cost of the five-year blanket purchase order is \$1,750,000, plus a cost escalation as outlined in the contract documents (i.e. prices fixed for a one-year period, subsequent year price adjustments will be based on U.S. Department of Labour Produce Price Index - Capital Equipment).

The distribution of Kent Water Meters previously handled by Eljay Irrigation Limited has been transferred recently to Water Management Technologies. Letters from both Eljay Irrigation Limited and Water Management Technologies have been received requesting transfer of the contract. The City Solicitor advises that `inasmuch as the original contract with Eljay Irrigation Limited was approved and entered into pursuant to Council instruction, it will be necessary to formally assign such agreement unto Water Management Technologies Inc.'."

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the formal re-assignment of the Contract to Water Management Technologies Inc., as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

A7) 1995 Capital Budget - Project No. 1126 Roof Repair - Water Treatment Plant Contract No. 5-038 (File Nos. CC 670-3 and 1703)

Report of the Manager, Water and Pollution Control Department, April 18, 1995:

"The 1995 Water Utility Capital Budget includes \$197,000 for the repair of a 26,000 square foot roof area on various building structures at the Water Treatment Plant. The roofing system has deteriorated to where routine maintenance is not sufficient and major repair work is required.

In 1993, as part of the Department's asset management program, Scottco Roof Consultants Ltd. carried out a roofing system evaluation and identified required construction work. Following the report, the consultants prepared technical drawings and specifications as required for construction tender. The major construction work includes removing the existing roofing materials down to the decks, installation and supply of asphalt barrier, vapour barrier, roof insulation, and bitumen membrane. The existing skylights in the filtration plant will be removed.

Originally, the roof repair work was included in the 1994 Capital Budget and tendered in July of 1994. The low tender received at that time was \$171,649.40 (including GST) which significantly exceeded the approved 1994 Capital Budget amount of \$98,000. The high cost was attributed to the activity of the construction market at that time. It was decided to increase the 1995 Capital Budget provision and re-tender the work in 1995.

Tenders for this work were received and opened on March 29, 1995. The bid schedule was broken down to base bid price for roof area at the Water Treatment Plant and options for roof repair on the Meter Repair Shop building. The bids can be summarized as follows:

Company	Location	Base Bid (including P.S.T.)	Option (including P.S.T.)	Total Bid (including P.S.T.)
Allan Construction Co. Ltd.	Saskatoon, SK	\$95,872	\$11,732	\$107,554
Flynn Roofing (Canada) Ltd.	Saskatoon, SK	\$99,878	\$12,297	\$112,175
Clark Roofing (1964) Ltd.	Saskatoon, SK	\$109,685	\$16,865	\$126,550
VicWest Steel	Saskatoon, SK	\$113,490	\$16,121	\$129,611
Haid Construction Ltd.	Saskatoon, SK	\$121,960	\$14,500	\$136,460

The consulting firm of Scottco Roof Consultants Ltd. has completed a commercial and technical bid evaluation. Allan Construction Co. Ltd., the low bidder, was found to meet all qualifications necessary to carry out the required work.

The summary of the total purchase cost and appropriate taxes is as follows:

Base Price (including PST)	\$ 107,554.00
GST (7%)	7,528.78
Contract Amount	\$ 115.082.78

GST Rebate (4%) (4,302.16)

Contract Net Cost \$110,780.62

This is within the approved Capital Budget."

RECOMMENDATION:	1)	that City Council accept the bid submitted by Allan Construction Co. Ltd., for the Roof Repairs - Water Treatment Plant and Option - Meter Repair Shop, at a total estimated cost of \$115,082.78, including PST and GST; and,
	2)	that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.
ADOPTED.		

A8) Proposed Stop Signs Dufferin Avenue and Main Street (File No. CC 6280-1)

Report of the City Engineer, April 5, 1995:

"The Engineering Department has received a request to install stop signs on Dufferin Avenue, at Main Street, and is proposing that the existing yield signs be changed to stop signs.

Presently, Dufferin Avenue has yield signs which assign right-of-way to Main Street. A site inspection showed that the safe approach speed to Main Street is less than 10 km/h. A review of the accident history, using the Saskatoon Traffic Accident Information System, indicates the five-year average for right angle accidents to be 2.2.

City Policy No. 07-007 `Traffic Control - Use of Stop and Yield Signs' states that if the critical approach speed is less that 10 km/h, stop signs are warranted, as well, an accident rate of three or more right-angle accidents indicates a need for stop signs.

The Engineering Department therefore proposes that, due to the unsafe approach speeds and the accident rate nearing three or more right-angle accidents, the existing yield signs on Dufferin Avenue, at Main Street, be changed to stop signs, as shown on attached Plan No. H9-20E."

RECOMMENDATION:

that the existing yield signs on Dufferin Avenue, at Main Street, be changed to stop signs, as shown on attached Plan No. H9-20E.

ADOPTED.

A9) 1994 Traffic Accident Report March 28, 1995 (File No. CC 430-5)

Report of the City Engineer, March 28, 1995:

"Attached is a summary of the Engineering Department, Transportation Section's compilation of 1994 traffic collisions which occurred in the City of Saskatoon. The summary statistics have been prepared through the use of the Saskatoon Traffic Accident Information System (STAIS). This attached report provides a very brief overview of the traffic collisions in the City and a comparison over the last ten years. This is an information report only, and caution is advised against making any conclusions without the benefit of detailed collision data.

It should be noted that the report contains only those collisions which involved property damage over \$1000 and/or which involved a personal injury or fatality. It is important to realize that the reporting limit for traffic collisions was increased in 1993 from \$500 to \$1000. This change was effected January 1, 1993. This change in reporting limit accounts for a large portion of the reduction in total reportable accidents between the current year and the five-year average as approximately 30% of pre-1993 reportable accidents were between \$500 to \$1000.

A summary of the total number of accidents in 1994 as compared to the previous year and the five-year average is illustrated by Table 1. Accidents are summarized by type. Similarly, Table 2 provides a summary of the number of persons injured in traffic related accidents in 1994 as compared to the previous year and the five-year average. Both of these tables indicate a substantial decrease in the number of pedestrian and bicycle accidents as compared to recent years. Although we are pleased to see this reduction, it should be noted that variations of this magnitude are common and may not depict a trend.

A ten-year traffic accident summary is provided in Table 3. Accidents are grouped by type. It should be noted that, in most categories, the 1994 statistics are near or below the ten-year average with the exception of Vehicle Occupant Injury Accidents and Vehicle Occupants Injured. These two categories are slightly higher than the ten-year average. To the best of our knowledge, the severity of traffic accidents is not increasing, however, more people are reporting injuries as a result of traffic accidents.

The highest ranking intersections by the number of accidents have been provided and appear in Table 4.

During the ten-year period 1985 to 1994, the following relationship between collisions, population and registered vehicles has existed:

- population has increased by 11 percent
- vehicle registrations have increased by approximately 8 percent
- the number of reportable collisions has decreased by 17 percent
- this indicates a 26 percent decrease in collisions per capita and a decrease of 24 percent in collisions per registered vehicle (please note that due to the reporting limit change, the significance of these reductions may be overstated as the 1985 to 1992 reporting limit was \$500).

The STAIS computerized traffic accident system has proven to be an invaluable tool in identifying high and/or statistically abnormal collision locations. With the aid of the system, many specific locations have been identified and analyzed for possible geometric or traffic control device improvements that would have otherwise been overlooked. A variety of reports can be produced using this system including: five-year accident summaries by location and summaries of accident frequencies by accident configuration. These summaries are used on a regular basis by the Engineering Department as a part of its traffic safety mandate. We are currently expanding the reporting and analysis capabilities of the system in order to more easily identify high traffic accident locations."

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Works and Utilities Committee and the Special Traffic Safety Committee.

ADOPTED.

A10) 1995 Capital Project No. 1155

Construction of Circle Drive from 8th Street to Highway No.16 AND 1995 Capital Project No. 696 Widening of Idylwyld Drive from 33rd Street to 39th Street (File Nos. CC 6000-2 and 6000-4)

Report of the City Engineer, April 17, 1995:

"Capital Project No. 1155, Construction of Circle Drive From 8th Street to Highway No. 16, consists of two major components:

1. Upgrade Circle Drive south of 8th Street to a four-lane median divided facility. The main lanes are constructed to a depressed grade through the residential area with sound

attenuating earth berms constructed on each side of the roadway.

2. Construct an overpass structure at the intersection of Taylor Street and Circle Drive (Taylor Street over).

The Project is scheduled to be constructed over a two-year period with the bulk of the roadwork to be completed in 1995 and the overpass structure to be constructed in 1996.

Tenders were received and publicly opened on April 13, 1995, for the CONSTRUCTION OF CIRCLE DRIVE FROM 8TH STREET TO HIGHWAY No. 16, Component 1 above.

The following bids were received which include GST and GST rebate. Detailed information is shown on the attached tabulation.

Central Asphalt and Paving Inc Saskatoon, Sask	\$4,387,561.30
ASL Paving Ltd. Saskatoon, Sask.	\$4,523,387.53
Lux Construction Saskatoon, Sask	\$5,661,921.91

The Engineering Department's estimate for this project was \$3,546,700.

The Project includes a variety of sub-projects such as excavation and subgrade preparation, installation of storm sewer, and installation of a sub-drainage system. The increase over estimated cost reflects uncertainty and risk foreseen by the Contractor in working with poor quality sub-soils which exist within the limits of the Project.

Phase 2 of the Project, which involves construction of the overpass at the intersection of Taylor Street and Circle Drive, is also expected to exceed the original estimated cost. This is due to a number of factors including:

- The structure has been widened to accommodate pedestrian sidewalks on each side.
- Retaining walls are required in two locations to stabilize embankments and slopes.
- Two SaskEnergy gas lines crossing the Circle Drive right-of-way need to be lowered

A summary of approved funding, funding source and revised construction estimates is as follows:

Component	Approved Budget	Revised Cost Estimate	Funding Source
1. Roadwork	\$3,550,000.	\$4,387,600.	CSIW
2. Structure	\$1,800,000.	\$2,300,000.	CSIW RCE
3. Ancillary -Landscaping -Street Lighting -Sign Bridges -Traffic Signals -Fencing -Utilities -Sound Walls -Retaining Walls	\$1,150,000.	\$1,312,400.	CSIW RCE
Totals	\$6,500,000.	\$8,000,000.	

RCE - Reserve for Capital Expenditure CSIW - Canada/Saskatchewan Infrastructure Works

The scope of the Circle Drive project has been reduced by \$200,000, by deferring the north bound weaving lane between 8th Street and Taylor Street, which will be built at the time the 8th Street Interchange is constructed.

A total budget shortfall of \$1,500,000 is anticipated on this Project, which includes the tendered prices received for the roadwork component of Capital Project No. 1155.

Capital Project No. 696, Widening of Idylwyld Drive, as reported to the Works and Utilities Committee on March 30, 1995, is projected to have a budget shortfall of \$843,900.

The projected total funding shortfall in these two Capital Projects leaves two alternatives:

- 1) find an additional 2.4 million dollars; or,
- 2) defer one project and transfer the funds from the deferred project to the other.

Based on a number of constraints, including work completed to date and timing of construction, the Engineering Department proposes that the funding originally approved for Capital Project

No. 696 - Idylwyld Drive Widening be transferred to Capital Project No. 1155 - Construction Circle Drive between 8th Street and Highway No. 16. The approved funding allocation provided from the Arterial Resurfacing Reserve for Capital Expenditures and the Canada/Saskatchewan Infrastructure Works Program is \$1,871,000. The following table illustrates the proposed funding transfer and sources of funds.

Funding Source	94/95 Budget Estimate	Total
Arterial Resurfacing	\$300,000	\$300,000
RCE	\$1,153,000	\$1,153,000
INFR-WS	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
EDER	\$ 11,000	\$ 11,000
CSIW	\$ 418,000	\$ 418,000

The total RCE, Arterial Resurfacing, and CSIW funding for Project No. 696 is \$1,871,000. This is reduced by \$330,000 for land acquisition on Idylwyld Drive and an additional \$40,000 already spent on the project. The net amount of funding proposed for transfer to Project No. 1155 is \$1,501,000.

Capital Project No. 696, Widening of Idylwyld Drive, which has structural and capacity problems in existing sanitary sewers, would be deferred until 1997. The poor condition of the sanitary sewer under Idylwyld Drive (which has been reported on previously) may require the Engineering Department to expend funds on an emergency basis. A portion of the same sewer upstream collapsed this past winter. The Department will monitor the condition of the sewer on a regular basis. As previously reported, the safety, structural capacity and traffic carrying capacity of Idylwyld Drive will continue to be an obstacle for the citizens of Saskatoon to endure. The Engineering Department strongly recommends that this project be financed as soon as funds are available. Sources of funding to complete the Project at an early date would be RCE, Infrastructure Reserves and possibly CSIW. It would be the intent of the Engineering Department to reapply for additional funding under the CSIW program.

If Capital Project No. 1155, Construction of Circle Drive, is to proceed in 1995, it is necessary to begin construction as soon as possible.

The low bidder, Central Asphalt and Paving Inc., has extensive experience in roadway construction and it has worked for the City before."

RECOMMENDATION:	1)	that City Council accept the unit prices submitted by Central Asphalt and Paving Inc., for the construction of Circle Drive from 8th Street to Highway No. 16, at an estimated total cost of \$4,552,118.24, including GST;
	2)	that \$1,501,000 funding originally approved from RCE and CSIW for Capital Project No. 696 - Widening of Idylwyld Drive, be transferred to Capital Project No. 1155 - Construction of Circle Drive;
	3)	that Administration apply to the Canada/Saskatchewan Infrastructure Works Program for permission to transfer the funds from Project No. 696 - Widening of Idylwyld Drive, to Project No. 1155 - Construction of Circle Drive;
	4)	that Capital Project No. 696 - Widening of Idylwyld Drive, be deferred; and,
	5)	that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.
ADOPTED.		

Section B - Planning and Development

B1) Application for Registration of Condominium Plan 215 Berini Drive -- Condominium Development Lot D, Block 293, Plan No. 95-S-07790 Applicant: Gordon A. Webster (File No. CC 4132-1)

Report of the City Planner, April 12, 1995:

"An application for registration of a Condominium Plan for a development on Lot D, Block 293, Plan No. 95-S-07790 (215 Berini Drive) has been received from Gordon A. Webster, on behalf of Jastek Management Inc. The proposal is for a dwelling group which contains four buildings, with two dwelling units in each building. These are one-storey dwelling units. Thirty-four parking spaces have been included on the site, sixteen of which are enclosed.

The property at 215 Berini Drive was the subject of a Development Appeal in March of 1995. The City had refused to issue a Development Permit because the proposed development included a security fence which would be located three metres back from the front property-line and would be 1.980 metres in height, with one section being 2.3 metres in height. Section 7(1) of the Zoning Bylaw limits the height of a fence in the required front yard to a maximum of one metre in height.

The Development Appeals Board granted this appeal, subject to the height of the fence being reduced to 1.829 metres, with one section being 1.981 metres in height. In the Board's opinion, granting the appeal would not be a special privilege because there have been several similar appeals granted in the past, including a similar appeal for the adjacent condominium development at 203 Berini Drive. The Board was also of the opinion that constructing a fence of this height, three metres back from the front property-line, provides security for the residents within the development and allows for the public's safety and for a wide streetscape as a public amenity. Therefore, granting the appeal does not amount to a relaxation of the provisions of the Zoning Bylaw that would be contrary to its purpose and intent, nor does it injuriously affect the neighbouring properties.

The proposal has been examined under the provisions of the Zoning Bylaw and it complies with the requirements of this Bylaw in all respects. The site is situated within an RM(Tn) District.

The development has also been examined under the provision of the Building Bylaw. If the construction is completed in accordance with the requirements of the approved building permits, the development will meet the requirements under this Bylaw. A copy of the construction plans, together with the requisite surveyor's plans, have been forwarded to the City Clerk's Department for review, if necessary, by members of City Council.

In view of the above-noted considerations under the Zoning Bylaw and the Building Bylaw, the Planning and Construction Standards Department advises that:

- a) separate occupancy of the units will not contravene the requirements of the Zoning Bylaw;
- b) the approval required under the Zoning Bylaw has been given in relation to the separate occupancy of the units;
- c) the buildings and the division of the buildings into units of separate occupancy, as shown on the plans which have been submitted and as constructed, will not interfere with the existing or likely future amenities of the neighbourhood; and,
- d) the requirement to designate at least one parking space as an exclusive-use area for each unit has been met as a double attached garage which is included as a part of each unit."

RECOMMENDATION:	1)	that City Council authorize the issuance of the Certificate required under Section 10(1)(b) of <i>The Condominium Property Act</i> , 1993, to Gordon A. Webster (611 - 9th Street East, Saskatoon, S7H 0M4), for the condominium development at 215 Berini Drive; and,
	2)	that the City Clerk be authorized to prepare and forward the Certificate to the applicant.
ADOPTED.		

B2) Forestry Farm Park and Zoo Superintendent's Residence (File No. CC 4206 FO)

As a result of water accumulating and freezing underneath, the floor in the basement of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo has heaved and as a result, has cracked one of the two brick columns which support the building's main floor. This is a serious structural failure to the building which can be dangerous and which requires immediate attention. Until the repairs are undertaken, access to this building by interested people and groups is being prohibited.

A structural consultant has reviewed the problem in this building and has recommended that temporary column-supports should be placed on either side of the damaged column. Also, the accumulated water under the floor should be removed. This is not a permanent correction of the problem, but it does address the immediate safety and structural concerns. The total cost of this temporary work is estimated at \$4,500.

Under our usual procedures, your staff would have proceeded with this work and would not have required City Council's specific authorization. The financing of this expenditure would come from a general provision in the Operating Budget for maintaining various civic buildings which are not otherwise assigned to an established civic program or service.

However, the recent discussion about the possible Provincial heritage-designation of this building has left your staff unsure of City Council's long-term intention with respect to retaining this structure. Therefore, until this matter is clarified, your staff will require City Council's direction before proceeding with any significant repairs to this building.

From a broader perspective, your staff are concerned about statements which are being

made by members of City Council, by the news media, and by the general public concerning the condition of the building and the approach that has been taken to identify the \$637,000 of repair and renovation costs in the proposed capital project for the Superintendent's Residence. Your staff wish to remind City Council that the condition of the building and the proposed repairs were determined in 1991 when the following five consultants where engaged by the City to assess the condition of the building and to provide recommendations on what needs to be done to correct various deficiencies:

Kindrachuk Agrey Architects (evaluated the architectural conditions)
Robb-Kullman Engineering Ltd. (evaluated the structural conditions)
Daniels Engineering Ltd. (evaluated the mechanical systems)
Cochrane Lavalin Inc. (evaluated the electrical systems)
Delcan Western Inc. (evaluated the landscaping conditions)

Attached is a copy of their combined 1991 report upon which capital-budget recommendations were made to City Council. Without any clear direction on the future use of this building, the consultants prepared their report on the assumption that the building would be used as an administrative centre for the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo.

In preparing the preliminary 1995 Capital Budget, your staff used the assessment information in the consultants' report, plus any additional knowledge of where further deterioration has occurred, to prepare updated budget-estimates for the repair of the Superintendent's Residence. This information appears in Project 860 (Superintendent's Residence) in the current capital-budget document.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the installation of temporary column-supports in the basement of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo, at an estimated cost of \$4,500, be approved; and,
- 2) that the direction of Council issue with respect to determining the future use of this building.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item A.20 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.

Moved by Councillor Waygood,

THAT a representative of the Superintendent's Residence Task Force be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Claire Bullaro, Chair, Forestry Farm Superintendent's Residence Task Force, advised Council of the activities of the Task Force and noted the importance of the site. She noted that the Task Force supports Recommendation 1) regarding the repairs to the column supports in the basement and requests that the matter of the future use of the building be referred to the Planning and Development Committee.

Councillor Waygood advised Council that she had received a late fax from the Saskatchewan Heritage Foundation submitting additional reports on the matter and containing information that supports the concept of the building being in substantially good structural condition.

Moved by Councillor Waygood,

THAT the installation of temporary column-supports in the basement of the Superintendent's Residence at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo, at an estimated cost of \$4,500, be approved.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Councillor Waygood.

THAT the matter of the future use of the building along with the report that was received from the Saskatchewan Heritage Foundation be referred to the Planning and Development Committee to consult with the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee and the Superintendent's Residence Task Force and report to Council.

YEAS: Councillors Postlethwaite, Roe and Waygood

NAYS: Deputy Mayor Steernberg, Councillors McCann, Birkmaier,

Langlois, Heidt, Atchison and Langford

7

3

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier.

THAT the matter of repealing the designation of the Superintendent's Residence be

referred to the Administration and Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee for consideration.

YEAS: Deputy Mayor Steernberg, Councillors McCann, Birkmaier,

Langlois, Heidt, Atchison and Langford

NAYS: Councillors Postlethwaite, Roe and Waygood

Moved by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the information faxed to Councillor Waygood from the Saskatchewan Heritage Foundation be submitted to the Administration and to the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee for a report along with a report on the viability of securing the building with respect to safety until the report is back on the designation.

CARRIED.

7

3

B3) Request For Encroachment Agreement 906 Central Avenue
N. 1/2 of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan HA
(File No. CC 4090-2)

Report of the City Planner, April 13, 1995:

"Mr. Timothy E. Turple, of the Merchant Law Group, on behalf of the property-owner, has requested to enter into an encroachment agreement with the City for the above-noted property. As is shown on the attached Surveyor's Certificate, part of the building's east wall encroaches onto the City's property along Central Avenue. The encroachment has likely existed since 1975 when the building was constructed.

The total area of the encroachment is approximately 0.334 square metres (3.6 square feet). The east wall of the building encroaches by a maximum of 0.046 metres (0.15 feet) onto the lane.

If approved by City Council, an encroachment agreement will be required. The owner of the property will be subject to the minimum annual fee of \$50.00."

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 906 Central Avenue (N. 1/2 of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan HA);

that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement, making the provision to collect the applicable fees; and,
that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement, on behalf of the City, under the Corporate Seal.

B4) Proposed Demolition 908 Avenue L South (File No. CC 530-2)

Report of the City Planner, April 7, 1995;

"In November of 1993, the Saskatoon Community Health Unit placarded the building at 908 Avenue L South because it was unsanitary and unfit for human occupation. According to the Planning and Construction Standards Department's records, a small one-unit dwelling was constructed on this property in 1923.

The house has been vacant since at least mid-1990 and numerous complaints have been received by the City regarding the condition of both the yard and the building. From time to time, people have been breaking into the building. (In 1992, an old detached single-car garage on this site was destroyed by a fire.)

A number of shingles are missing from the roof, thereby causing leakage to the interior of the building. The extensive water-damage over the years has deteriorated the ceilings and walls on the inside of the building.

The masonry chimney needs repairing, the soffits are rotten and deteriorated, and the landings and entry steps to the front and rear doors are also rotten. The furnace and all air-ducts have been removed and the water-heater has been disconnected. Transients have damaged a number of the interior walls.

Both the Saskatoon Fire Department and the Environmental Health Division of the Saskatoon Community Health Unit support the demolition of this building. Section 124(2) of *The Urban Municipality Act* states:

'A Council may declare any building to be a nuisance if, because of its ruinous or dilapidated state or its faulty construction, or for any other reason, the Council is of the opinion that the building:

- a) is dangerous to public safety or health, or
- b) substantially depreciates the value of other lands or improvements in the vicinity.'

In the opinion of the Planning and Construction Standards Department's staff, the building is a danger to the public's safety and health and the property should be declared a nuisance pursuant to Section 124 of *The Urban Municipality Act*. It is also the opinion within this Department that the building is not worth repairing and that a demolition order should be issued. Photographs of the building have been filed with the City Clerk."

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the building at 908 Avenue L South (Lots 11 & 12, Block 7, Plan GH) be declared a nuisance pursuant to Section 124 of *The Urban Municipality Act* because in City Council's opinion, the building is a danger to the public's safety and health; and,
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to advise the owner, and all persons having an interest in the property, of the date of the hearing wherein City Council will consider the making of a demolition order.

ADOPTED.

B5) Land-Use Applications Received by the Planning and Construction Standards Dept. For the Period Between March 31 and April 13, 1995 (For Information Only)

(File Nos. CC 4351-1 and CC 4300-2)

The City Planner has received the following applications which are being processed and which will subsequently be submitted to City Council for its consideration:

Rezoning

· Application Z4/95

Sumner Crescent and 37th Street West

(See attached Map No 1.)

Applicant: Land Manager for the City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Lots C to J, Block 954, Plan No. 80-S-21130;

Lots 20 to 22A, Block 954, Plan No. 79-S-48110; Lots A and 1 to 6, Block 954, Plan 79-S-16566; and

Lots 7 to 13, Block 954, Plan No. 79-S-38792

Current Zoning: R.2 and RM(Tn)
Proposed Zoning: R.1A and RM(Tn)
Date Received: March 31, 1995

Subdivision

· Application #5/95

166-168 Verbeke Road

Applicant: Richard and Joyce Moore

Legal Description: Lot 14, Block 159, Plan No. 79-S-45902

Current Zoning: R.2

Date Received: March 31, 1995

· Application #6/95

Brightwater Crescent and Lavalee Road

(See attached Map No. 2.)

Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Preston Developments

Inc.

Legal Description: Parcel G, Plan No. 84-S-30068;

Parcel B, Plan No. 94-S-17318;

Lot E, Block 140, Plan No. 84-S-30069; and Parcels C, D, and H, Plan No. 94-S-17318

Date Received: April 3, 1995

Current Zoning: R.1A

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

B6) Subdivision Application #3/95

3302 Dieppe Street (File No. CC 4300-2)

The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #3/95

Applicant: James J. Shuparski

Legal Description: Part Lot 1, Block 15, Plan No. G831

Location: 3302 Dieppe Street

The April 11, 1995, report of the City Planner concerning this application is attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council resolve, in connection with the approval of Subdivision Application No. 3/95, that it would be impractical and undesirable to require full compliance with Section 15(1)(a) of Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 for the following reasons:
 - a) the proposed lot-frontage and area exceed the requirements of both the Subdivision and Zoning Bylaws:
 - b) the proposed new lot meets City Council's previously adopted requirement that all new lots in Montgomery Place must have a frontage of at least 15 metres;
 - c) this proposal represents a good opportunity for infill development; and,
- 2) that Subdivision Application No. 3/95 be approved, subject to:
 - a) the payment of \$50.00 which is the required approval fee; and,
 - b) the payment of \$12,658.44 which is the required area-development charge.

ADOPTED.

B7) Appointment of Weed Inspector -- 1995 The Noxious Weed Act (File Nos. CC 4200-2 and 4510-1)

Report of the General Manager, Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, April 19, 1995:

"Section 7 (1) of *The Noxious Weed Act* (Saskatchewan) requires City Council to appoint, annually, a weed inspector. To carry out this year's program, a weed inspector is required for a

six-month period from May 1 to October 31, 1995.

Mr. Wayne Briant, an employee of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department, is recommended to fulfil this requirement during 1995."

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. Wayne Briant of the Civic Buildings and Grounds

Department be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's Weed Inspector for 1995, in accordance with the provisions of *The*

Noxious Weed Act.

ADOPTED.

Section C - Finance

C1) Investments (File No. CC 1790-3)

Report of the City Treasurer, April 18, 1995:

"With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached list indicates purchases and sales for the City's various funds."

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the above purchases and sales.

ADOPTED.

C2) Revised Tax and Assessment System Capital Project - #964 (File Nos. CC 1703, 1615-2 and 261-5)

This capital project provides for the migration of the Assessment and Tax System from the mainframe to a client-server base. In 1994, City Council approved the contract to KB System for the installation of a computer-aided assessment system. This system will calculate and store all the assessment data on each individual property in the city. The assessment information would then be transferred to a tax management system for the exact calculation of the taxes on each property, and the printing of the tax roll and tax bills.

As no tax management system was available, a request for proposals was issued to develop a system for our own use. Two bids were received. One bid was received from Online Systems of Winnipeg, the other bid was from Sierra Systems of Vancouver. Both bids were fully evaluated and Sierra Systems was selected on the basis of not only the lowest cost, but also the demonstrated skills necessary to complete the project.

All costs are within the estimated budgeted amount for this capital project.

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council award the contract for the Tax Management Computer System to Sierra Systems of Vancouver in two stages:
 - a) \$54,000 for the design phase and if the design phase is acceptable;
 - b) \$169,000 for the implementation and construction phase; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1)

that City Council award the contract for the Tax Management Computer System to Sierra Systems of Vancouver in two stages:

- a) \$54,000 for the design phase and if the design phase is acceptable;
- b) \$169,000 for the implementation and development phase; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

C3) 1995 Approved Operating Budget (File No. CC 1704-1)

Attached is the 1995 Approved Operating Budget Estimates for City Council's

information.

RECOMMENDATION: that the	e information	be received
---------------------------------	---------------	-------------

ADOPTED.

Section D - Services

D1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council

SUBJECT	FROM	TO
Property Tax Collections (copy attached) (File No. CC 435-8)	March 1, 1995	March 31, 1995
Business Tax General License (copy attached) (File No. CC 435-13)	March 1, 1995	March 31, 1995
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$500,256.78 (File No. CC 1530-2)	April 10, 1995	April 12, 1995
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$4,997,939.29 (File No. CC 1530-2)	April 12, 1995	April 19, 1995

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

D2) Enquiry - Councillor Atchison (February 13, 1995)

Panhandlers	
(File No. CC 185-5)	

"How do we get them off the street? What can the City of Saskatoon do to stop this very uncomfortable situation from continuing? Can we legislate against panhandling? Can we institute penalties? How do we get panhandlers off the street?"

Report of the City Solicitor, April 19, 1995:

"At its meeting held on February 13, 1995, Councillor Atchison enquired as to the City's powers to legislate regarding panhandling.

The City's powers relate to the regulation of streets and sidewalks. Generally speaking, the City regulates various uses of the sidewalks (street vendors, buskers, etc.) to ensure that the sidewalks are safe and that pedestrians are not obstructed.

Council could pass a bylaw aimed at preventing pressing and persistent panhandling. The bylaw could also prohibit panhandling in enclosed spaces (e.g. buses) where people are intimated because they cannot move on.

If Council decides that this issue warrants legislative action, we will work with the police to draft a bylaw which is capable of practical enforcement."

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to draft an appropriate bylaw.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg.

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 8-1995 OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor R. Steernberg, Chair Councillor K. Waygood Councillor P. Roe

1. Centrefold Total Adult Amusement Centre 225 - 3rd Avenue North (File No. CK. 4350-1)

City Council, at its meeting held on April 3, 1995, referred the above matter to the Planning and Development Committee to review and report on the capacity of the City to control businesses termed as adult entertainment.

Also, on April 3, 1995, your Committee considered various issues pertaining to the operations of Centrefold Total Adult Amusement Centre. One of the issues pertained to possible amendments to the Zoning Bylaw which would restrict such operations in Saskatoon. As a result of this discussion, your Committee resolved, in part, that the City Planner be requested to provide a report at the next meeting regarding the time-frame for dealing with this issue.

In this regard, the following is a report of the City Planner dated April 10, 1995:

"Taking into account a recent budgetary decision by City Council concerning the processing time-frame for various applications which are submitted to the Planning and Construction Standards Department's Zoning Standards Branch, a major rezoning application will require six to eight months of processing time. A review of the zoning regulations for adult entertainment facilities would constitute a major application because definitions would have to be created and each existing zone in the city would have to be reviewed to determine where the use should be permitted or prohibited.

Given the sensitivity within the community with this matter and the desire to give this issue a high-priority within the Planning and Construction Standards Department's overall work-plan for 1995, this report will provide various options whereby this project can be initiated as quickly as possible. These options must be examined in light of the current staffing situation and the other applications and issues that are currently being addressed within the Department.

Staffing Considerations

Presently, the Planning and Construction Standards Department's approved budget for

1995 has 2.25 years of professional staff (i.e. planners) within the Zoning Standards Branch to respond to rezoning, discretionary-use, subdivision, and condominium applications, as well as various special projects such as the Sign Bylaw Review, the Five-Year Land Development Program, Neighbourhood Sketch Plans, and the Redevelopment Levy Review. One of the people within this staff-complement (Darlene McLeod) has recently submitted her resignation and we do not anticipate that a replacement will be found and will be ready to take over when Ms. McLeod leaves in May of 1995.

We acknowledge the urgent need to review the Zoning Bylaw with respect to adult entertainment facilities and therefore, we have initiated a process to obtain information on how other municipalities are addressing this issue. Although bylaws from other municipalities will be reviewed, our recommendations on appropriate amendments to Saskatoon's Zoning Bylaw must be based on the circumstances within this city, on the relevant provincial legislation under which we operate, and on valid planning-based rationale. This approach is essential in order to ensure that the resulting amendments are able to survive any future legal challenges. We anticipate that approximately three months of professional staff-time would be required to undertake a proper review and to prepare recommendations for consideration by the Municipal Planning Commission and by City Council.

Other Applications and Issues

The following projects and applications are currently being addressed within the Zoning Standards Branch's 1995 work-plan; for each, an assessment of the ramifications of delaying the work has been provided:

- 1. **Twelve Subdivision Applications:** A delay in processing these applications is not possible. There is a legal requirement for City Council to make a decision on these applications within 90 days. Approximately 1.5 staff-months are required for this work.
- 2. **Six Condominium Applications:** There is no legal requirement to process these applications within a specified time-frame. Therefore, a delay is possible, but the applicants would have to be advised accordingly.
- 3. **Six Rezoning Applications:** There is no legal requirement to process these applications within a specified time-frame. A delay is possible; the applicants might object to the delay because of the impact on their construction plans (assuming that the applications are approved).
- 4. Nine Discretionary-Use Applications: There is no legal requirement on the

processing-time for these applications. A delay, however, would affect the applicants' construction plans.

5. Other Applications and Studies:

- Bridgewater Rezoning Application -- This is currently before the Municipal Planning Commission. If City Council agrees to proceed to the public-hearing stage, there would be considerable pressure on the City not to delay the advertising and notification requirements; staff-resources would have to be available to respond to questions from the public prior to the hearing.
- Rezoning of City-owned properties on Sumner Crescent -- The community is anxious to have this matter resolved by City Council. The Land Manager needs a decision before the land can be marketed.
- · Gladmer Park/Edmund Heights Rezoning -- The Department has made a commitment to the community to prepare recommendations concerning this matter by June 30, 1995.
- · Five-Year Land Development Program -- There will be major ramifications if the updating of this program is delayed. This update is required by the utilities and other agencies for capital-budget planning purposes.
- Revised Briarwood Sketch Plan -- There will be significant implications for future development if this project is delayed. The revisions to this Sketch Plan are required in order to proceed with obtaining approvals for the Neighbourhood's subdivision requirements.
- Pleasant Hill Rezoning (United Grain Growers Property) -- The building on this property is for sale and there could be major ramifications if the resolution of this matter is delayed. The property currently has conflicting development-plan/zoning designations and building permits cannot be issued until this conflict has been resolved.
- Sign Bylaw Review -- The public-consultation process on updating the City's regulations for external signs has been completed. The Department has made a commitment to proceed with recommendations to amend the current regulations. There would be a significant impact on the integrity of the consultation process if this project is delayed.

Riversdale Development Plan/Rezoning Review -- The Department needs to review the status of this project. There are expectations within the community that further consultation will be occurring.

If any delays are experienced in completing the preceding projects, there will be spill-over implications in the following areas:

- Additional rezoning or discretionary-use applications will be received and consideration will have to be given to whether they can be processed within the Department's specified time-frames.
- At the request of the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee, the Zoning Standards Branch is participating in a review of the approval processes for alterations to municipally-designated heritage properties.
- At the request of the Budget Policy and Planning Committee, the Zoning Standards Branch is examining the feasibility of introducing higher users' fees to provide expedited reviews of various applications.
- At the request of the Planning and Development Committee, the Zoning Standards Branch is reviewing the City's land-use regulations for home-based businesses (i.e. home occupations).
- In conjunction with the Engineering Department, the Branch is developing a framework for implementing redevelopment levies.
- At the request of the Planning and Development Committee, the Branch is preparing a background report which could affect the procedures that the City will use to consider applications under the Alcohol Control Regulations.

The preceding workload is already putting a strain on the existing staff-resources within the Zoning Standards Branch. With the resignation of a senior professional planner, any immediate additions to this work program would have a serious impact on our services to the public.

Options for Undertaking a Review of the Zoning Regulations for Adult Entertainment Facilities

1. **Plan Saskatoon:** A review of land-use policies and zoning requirements for adult entertainment facilities could be undertaken as part of the Plan Saskatoon project. Ideally, a city-wide review of this nature would most appropriately be done

through this project; however, a bylaw to address this issue would not be in place for at least two to three years.

2. **Normal Zoning-Review Process:** A request to review the zoning regulations for adult entertainment facilities could be put into the normal process (i.e. an application for an amendment to the Zoning Bylaw) and would be considered within the sequence that the application is received. The turn-around time for a major rezoning application is six to eight months.

City Council recently reduced the service-levels for applications that are processed in the Zoning Standards Branch. With the resignation of a senior professional planner, the Department is already seriously concerned about the additional delay that will be encountered in processing applications.

3. **Priority Request:** This issue could be given priority-status after the subdivision applications (which have a legal requirement, under *The Planning and Development Act*, for City Council to provide a decision within 90 days of the receipt of the application). Given that subdivision applications will consume approximately two staff-months and the adult-entertainment review will take approximately three months of staff-time, all other applications which have been noted in this report would be put on hold for three to five months. As well, the processing time for any new applications that are subsequently received will be delayed for the same amount of time.

The ramifications on the existing workload of pursuing this option have been previously identified in this report. The addition of the adult-entertainment review as a priority project would virtually shut down our ability to deal with any of the applications and projects that are currently in progress.

- 4. **Hire a Consultant to Undertake the Review:** A consultant could be hired to undertake the review of adult-entertainment zoning issues and to bring forward recommendations to the Municipal Planning Commission within a three-month period. The Planning and Construction Standards Department does not have any money allocated for hiring consultants.
- 5. **Hire Additional Staff to Undertake the Review:** An additional person (over the budgeted staff-complement) could be hired to undertake the study as an in-house project. This is the Department's preferred option; however, as with the previous option, the Department does not have any money allocated for additional staff.

In summary, your staff are recommending Option 5, with Option 4 being an alternative if suitable temporary staff cannot be found in sufficient time to allow this project to

commence within a short period of time. This approach will allow the study to be undertaken in-house and as a priority project. The recommendations for amendments to the Zoning Bylaw could then be brought before the Municipal Planning Commission and City Council within three months after the hiring of temporary staff or a consultant. This approach would still allow some of the other important development applications, which will facilitate economic activity, to proceed.

We anticipate that the cost of this study will not exceed \$25,000. A source of financing for this expenditure has not yet been identified. If City Council approves this project, a further report on the financing options will be required."

Your Committee has considered this matter at length and

RECOMMENDS:

- 1) that the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake, as a high-priority project, a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities;
- 2) that the Department be authorized to hire additional staff (or alternatively a consultant if the appropriate staff cannot be found) to undertake this review, at an estimated cost of \$25,000; and,
- 3) that a further report be provided on the source of financing to cover the cost of this review.

Pursuant to motion by Councillor Birkmaier, and carried by a majority of members of Council, the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg.

1) that the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake, as a high-priority project, a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities;

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

(PLEASE REFER TO PAGE 86 OF THESE MINUTES FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING THIS MOTION)

Moved by Councillor Steernberg.

2) that the Department be authorized to hire additional staff (or alternatively a consultant if the appropriate staff cannot be found) to undertake this review, at an estimated cost of \$25,000; and,

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

6

Moved by Councillor Heidt,

- 3) that advertising for a public hearing be undertaken to amend the Zoning Bylaw to specifically define an Adult Entertainment Establishment and to permit such establishments only in the ID5 zones:
- 4) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment; and
- 5) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaw.

YEAS: Councillors McCann, Birkmaier, Langlois, Heidt, Atchison

and Langford

NAYS: Deputy Mayor Steernberg and Councillors Roe, Postlethwaite

and Waygood

2. Turf Maintenance Program Pilot Project (File No. CK. 4206-1)

On April 11, 1994, City Council decided to undertake a three-year pilot project whereby the private sector would be invited to submit proposals for performing certain turf-maintenance activities for the City of Saskatoon. A tendering process was authorized for the maintenance of the turf (i.e. mowing, irrigating, aerating, and fertilizing activities; collecting litter) on publicly-owned land within the area that is south of 22nd Street/Highway 14 and west of Circle Drive. All City-owned parks, roadway and residential flankages, and centre-medians, as well as the cutting of weeds on undeveloped land which is owned by the City's Land Bank, were included. The City's fee-for-service activities for maintaining three properties of the Catholic School Board would also be part of this contract.

On May 9, 1994, City Council considered the bids for this pilot project. Two bids were received - one from the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department and the other from Custom Lawn Care. Based on a cost-evaluation of the bids by an administrative evaluation committee, City Council concluded that the lowest qualified bid had been submitted by Custom Lawn Care. Consequently, City Council approved the awarding of the three-year contract to this firm.

It should be noted that initially City Council decided to have two pilot projects. The second project would involve tendering all activities pertaining to the maintenance of Silverspring Neighbourhood Park. On May 9, 1994, City Council rescinded its motion on this matter and therefore, all maintenance of this Park is currently being performed by civic staff.

As part of its April 11, 1994, decision, City Council agreed that the pilot project would be subject to a special monitoring process. The following is an excerpt from the report which City Council considered at that time:

"With respect to the on-going monitoring of the pilot projects, the City Auditor will provide reports on, at least, an annual basis during the next three years. This evaluation will occur regardless of whether the projects are undertaken by the private sector. In other words, if the tendering process reveals that the City is able to provide the service cheaper for one or both of the pilot projects, the City Auditor will monitor the costs and service-levels during this period to verify that the proposed results are being realized. The City Auditor's evaluation will be reported publicly through City Council."

Accordingly, the City Auditor provided the following report dated March 28, 1995:

"The Pilot Project -- Turf Maintenance and Park Maintenance Report considered by City Council at its April 11, 1994 meeting, stated in part, that there would be an annual review of the turf maintenance pilot project. The City Auditor would be involved in confirming the accuracy and reasonableness of the evaluation procedures and results and would provide reports on, at least an annual basis during the contract term. The following Audit Opinion is provided in conjunction with the March 28, 1995, Turf Maintenance Program Pilot Project report prepared by the Administration.

The Administration used two evaluation procedures to monitor the contract: documentation and follow-up on public complaints relating to the contract area; and sitevisits and inspections.

Documentation and follow-up on public complaints uses the public as a control to help ensure service levels are met. In our opinion, this evaluation procedure is reasonable in terms of identifying contract service level issues. Documentation provides a record of incidents; follow-up allows the validity and relevance of complaints to be confirmed and issues to be discussed with the Contractor. The Administration stated that it received seven calls relating to the Contractor's performance. In absolute terms, seven complaints

is not a significant volume.

Site-visits and inspections, which result in written records of observations, are also reasonable evaluation procedures for the contract. They allow for personal observation of service level achievement and Contractor performance. Documentation of observations provides a written record which is more reliable for assessing service level achievement than relying on memory. One difficulty with these evaluation procedures is their time requirement; they are labour intensive. The contract area must be personally viewed and the results recorded and tabulated. A second difficulty is timing. The service level for an activity might be met during a week but not necessarily by the time or the day of the sitevisit or inspection. Subsequent visits are required to confirm service level achievement in these cases. Contractor work schedules can help reduce some timing problems, but turf maintenance schedules will often vary due to different reasons (e.g. weather and equipment breakdown).

We reviewed the Administration's site-visit and inspection reports. We found instances where documentation did not show that service levels were met (i.e. spaces to record service level achievement for specific activities were blank). The Administration indicated in discussions that these instances are the result of the inadvertent non-recording of service level achievement for an activity, the timing of an inspection (i.e. activity might have been done the same week after the inspection) and/or the refinement of the forms used to record observations to include more detailed service level categories (e.g. mowing was not initially broken down to include a trimming category). Notwithstanding these instances, the Administration stated in its report that the Contractor was successful in meeting service level specification. The Administration further indicated to Audit that it will take action to improve the reliability of its site-visits and inspections, including the additional refinement of the site-visit and inspection reports and associated information compilation and analysis process.

Audit Services accompanied the Contract Monitor on one site-visit of the contract area. The information recorded on the Administration's report for that visit matched the information documented by Audit Services during the visit.

Audit Services reviewed the Administration's comparison of actual expenditures in 1994 relative to the budgeted estimates for the contract:

We confirmed that actual expenditures for direct salaries in the Grounds Maintenance Program and the Equipment Maintenance Program were below budget by at least the required \$52,808 and \$1,302, respectively. The salary under-expenditure from not hiring staff because of the contract would have resulted in the appropriate under-expenditure in benefits.

- We confirmed that actual expenditures for materials and supplies in the Grounds Maintenance Program, and materials and supplies and fuel in the Equipment Maintenance Program were below budget by at least the required \$3,104, \$995 and \$2,142, respectively. Anticipated G.S.T. savings associated with reduced purchases would have been realized.
- The contribution to the Buildings and Grounds Equipment Replacement Reserve was not reduced by \$5,522 to reflect the reduction in depreciation of the equipment which was not used in the contract area (the depreciation represents the City's cost of equipment). It is our understanding that the current annual contribution to the reserve is not sufficient to meet long-term equipment replacement requirements. The reserve contribution has, therefore, remained the same to help alleviate this deficiency.
- The Administration reported that equipment which provided turf-maintenance service for the contract area was not sold; the potential interest earnings, estimated at \$636, were not realized.

In summary, since the estimated potential interest earnings from the sale of equipment were not realized, the expected 1994 cost-savings relating to the turf maintenance pilot project of \$2,120 was reduced by \$636 to \$1,484."

As part of the monitoring process for the turf-maintenance pilot project, the following February 24, 1994, report was submitted by the General Manager of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department:

"During the 1994 contract-season, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department received thirty-three telephone calls from the general public concerning the maintenance of Cityowned land in the contracted area. Of these, twenty-six calls involved concerns about service-levels which had been reduced by City Council throughout the city and were not specifically related to the performance of the pilot project's contractor. The remaining seven calls that related to Custom Lawn Care's performance involved the following matters:

- Three calls dealt with the irrigation activities (i.e. sprinklers running during the day).
- Two calls involved service-levels which had not been met (i.e. grass not being trimmed along residential fences which are adjacent to parks).
- One complaint involved the contractor's operation of a riding mower at approximately 7:45 p.m. in an area near where children were playing ball.
- One call pointed out that a portion of the dryland turf at one of the Catholic School Board's properties had not been mowed.

These complaints were discussed with the contractor. We anticipate that there will be fewer complaints in 1995 and 1996 because Custom Lawn Care will be more familiar with the contract's area.

In order to supervise and monitor the contractors' performance in relation to the contract's specifications, the following site-visits and inspections were undertaken between May 16, 1994, and September 30, 1994:

- May 16 to August 9: 25 site-visits and 9 inspections
- August 10 to October 18 (during the civic dispute): 3 site-visits and 3 inspections
- October 24: final inspection

One of the concerns which arose from these site-visits and inspections was the length of time that Custom Lawn Care took to respond to six deficiencies which required same-day attention. This concern has been discussed with the contractor who is committed to improving the response-time during the next two seasons.

The decision to proceed with this pilot-project occurred after the 1994 Operating Budget had been approved by City Council. As a result, the budget document provided operational funding to a level that would allow civic staff to continue to perform the maintenance activities in the contracted area. (The preliminary 1995 Operating Budget of the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has been adjusted to reflect the budgetary-savings that were identified when the contract was awarded to Custom Lawn Care.) The following analysis compares the actual expenditures which were incurred in 1994 by this Department, relative to the budgeted (pre-contract) estimates which were approved in the 1994 Operating Budget document:

- When the contractor commenced the pilot project, we realized that a portion of undeveloped City-owned property had not been included in the contract's specifications. By reducing the number of mowing cycles on a portion of undeveloped land north of 11th Street, we were able to accommodate the missed property within the contract's price for 1994.
- The direct-salaries components of the Grounds Maintenance Program and the Equipment Maintenance Program were underspent by significantly more than \$52,808.41 and \$1,302.24, respectively. These underexpenditures were due, in large part to the civic dispute. (The corresponding payroll cost-savings [e.g. employer-contributions to the Canada Pension Plan and Unemployment Insurance Plan, sick-pay] are budgeted corporately and the estimated savings of \$5,970.44 have not been confirmed by the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's staff.)

- The actual expenditures for materials and supplies in the Grounds Maintenance Program were below the budget by \$3,103.72.
- The pilot project anticipated a \$5,522.20 reduction in the provision to the Buildings and Grounds Equipment Replacement Reserve. This Reserve accommodates the replacement of specialized equipment which is used by this Department. The proposed reduction was not realized in 1994 because the City still owns the equipment which was previously acquired to provide the turf-maintenance service in the acquired area. The disposal of the equipment has been deferred because the contract is a pilot project. Unless City Council is prepared to acknowledge that the functions which were previously provided by civic staff will now be offered, on an on-going basis, to the private sector, the equipment should be retained and the corresponding replacement provisions should continue to be made to the Buildings and Grounds Replacement Reserve.
- The Equipment Maintenance Program also identified avoidable costs of \$994.88 and \$2,142.26 for materials and supplies and for fuel, respectively, as result of the contract with Custom Lawn Care. The actual expenditures for 1994 reflect these underexpenditures.

In addition, the project manager's time for monitoring and supervising the contract was estimated at 80 hours over an 18-week period (i.e. 4.44 hours per week). The actual supervision time was 65.5 hours over 13 weeks (or 5.04 hours per week). The requirements for the initial year of the contract had been underestimated, but the resulting additional cost was offset by the impact of the reduced number of visits and inspections during the civic dispute. For the remaining two years of the contract, we anticipate that the previously-identified allocation of supervisory time is sufficient.

Overall, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department has concluded that the first year of the turf-maintenance pilot project was successful in meeting the service-level specifications in the City's contract with Custom Lawn Care. The estimated payment to this firm for the provision of the contracted services was \$70.506.30 in 1994; the actual payment was \$70,502.30. As has been noted previously in this report, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department's preliminary 1995 operating budget has been adjusted to reflect this second year's expenditures under this pilot project."

Additionally, City Council, at its meeting held on April 10, 1995, was advised that the attached communication dated April 2, 1995, from J. and A. Husulak, 1237 Crescent Boulevard and Neil and Nettie Ramstead, 3402 Caen Street, and a communication dated April 2, 1995, from M. Harvey, 3345 Merritt Street, had been forwarded to your Committee for a report. The writers have been provided with a copy of this report.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

3. Thornton Park

AND

1995 Capital Budget

Project 901: Park Upgrading -- Neighbourhood Project 1027: Playground Buildings -- Replacement

Project 1046: Paddling Pools -- Replacement

(Files CK, 613-1 and 4206-1)

Your Committee has considered the following report of the Director of Planning and Development dated April 12, 1995:

"As part of the approval of several projects under the Canada-Saskatchewan Infrastructure Program, funding was provided for the upgrading of Thornton Park. This particular project was scheduled to proceed in 1995 and has been identified as being fully funded under Project 901 (Park Upgrading -- Neighbourhood) of the 1995 Capital Budget.

When City Council was considering this project, the Exhibition Community Association expressed concerns that the reconstruction of Thornton Park would be proceeding in 1995, but that two other associated projects would not occur within the same time-frame (i.e. Project 1027 which would replace the existing playground building and Project 1046 which would replace the paddling pool and bring it to current Provincial health and safety standards). City Council decided not to bring the latter projects forward in 1995.

In light of the current approved budget, the Civic Buildings and Grounds Department is ready to issue the tendering documents for the upgrading of Thornton Park. In light of the Community Association's desires to have the work in this Park confined to a single season, I asked the Department to hold back these documents until I could pursue the feasibility of having all of the projects undertaken in 1996.

I have determined that the infrastructure funding for the park-upgrading component could be held to allow the work to be delayed until 1996. Within this context, I sent the attached April 5, 1995, letter to Ms. Della Winkler, President of the Exhibition Community Association. My proposal to the Association consisted of the following:

1. The Canada-Saskatchewan Infrastructure Program's funding for the park-

upgrading component would be held in reserve for one year and the associated work would be deferred until 1996.

- 2. During the balance of the current season, the programming elements of the playground building would be formalized, in consultation with the Exhibition Community Association. (The cost-estimate in the current budget is not based on an approved programming plan for this facility.) After this review has been completed, the capital-costs of this building will be revised.
- 3. The 1996 Capital Budget will identify a single project for the park-upgrading and the playground-building and paddling-pool replacements in Thornton Park. While the park-upgrading component is fully funded, the project will be identified as being unfunded because the latter elements have no designated source of financing.
- 4. The construction of the park-upgrading component will proceed in 1996. The decision on replacing the paddling pool and/or the playground building will be made by City Council as part of the ranking process for unfunded capital projects in the preliminary 1996 Capital Budget.

The Exhibition Community Association considered my proposal on April 11, 1995. As is indicated in the attached April 12, 1995, letter from Ms. Della Winkler, the Association has endorsed my proposal.

It is now necessary to obtain City Council's agreement to defer the park-upgrading project for Thornton Park and to allow the designated funds from the Canada-Saskatchewan Infrastructure Program to be held for this work to proceed in 1996. As is indicated in my letter to Ms. Winkler, the Association understands that the deferral of the park-upgrading component does not necessarily guarantee that City Council will provide funding for the paddling-pool and playground-building components when the preliminary 1996 Capital Budget is considered."

RECOMMENDATION:

1)

- that the upgrading of Thornton Park (as identified in Project 901 of the 1995 Capital Budget) be deferred until 1996 and that the funding from the Canada-Saskatchewan Infrastructure Program continue to be allocated to this project so that this work can proceed next year;
- 2) that the City's Adminstration review, with the Exhibition

Community Association, the programming requirements and the associated cost-estimates for the replacement of the playground building and the paddling pool (as identified in Projects 1027 and 1046 in the 1996 Capital Plan);

- 3) that a single project for Thornton Park, including the available funding for the park-upgrading component and the revised estimates for the paddling-pool and playground-building components, be identified in the preliminary 1996 Capital Budget; and
- that the Exhibition Community Association be advised that the park-upgrading component for Thornton Park will proceed in 1996, but that the decision on the replacement of the paddling pool and the playground building will be made as part of City Council's review of the preliminary 1996 Capital Budget.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 5-1995 OF THE LEGISLATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. McCann, Chair Councillor M. Heidt Councillor H. Langlois

1. Enquiry - Councillor Birkmaier (April 10, 1995)
Application Fee
Board of Revision Hearings
(File No. CK. 1615-1)

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Birkmaier at the meeting of City Council held on April 10, 1995:

"Would the Legislation and Finance Committee review and report on a policy of charging appellants who appeal their assessment to the Board of Revision."

The Board of Revision does not have the power, under *The Urban Municipality Act*, to levy appeal fees.

Your Committee is of the opinion that there should be an appeal fee in order that the City can cover a portion of its cost in conducting hearings, particularly in light of the increasing number of appeals which are being launched. Similar Boards such as the Development Appeals Board have statutory authority to levy appeal fees.

RECOMMENDATION:

that the Provincial Government be requested to amend The *Urban Municipality Act* so as to allow City Councils to levy fees for appeals to the Board of Revision.

ADOPTED.

REPORT NO. 4-1995 OF A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chair Councillor D. Atchison Councillor D. L. Birkmaier Councillor M. Heidt Councillor A. Langford Councillor H. Langlois Councillor P. McCann Councillor J. Postlethwaite Councillor P. Roe

Councillor R. Steernberg

Councillor K. Waygood

1. **Policy - Proclamations** (File No. CK. 205-5)

City Council annually deals with over one hundred requests to proclaim a designated period of time for recognition of a specific issue or event. Each application has been dealt with on its own merit, in accordance with Policy C01-004, a copy of which is attached.

Your Committee is recommending a new procedure for dealing with proclamations which lists specific approval criteria. A copy of the proposed procedure is attached hereto.

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that Council approve the attached procedures for dealing

with proclamations; and

2) that Policy C01-004 be amended accordingly.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to A Committee of the Whole Council for further review.

REPORT NO. 5-1995 OF THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chair Councillor D. Atchison Councillor D.L. Birkmaier Councillor M. Heidt Councillor A. Langford Councillor H. Langlois Councillor P. McCann Councillor J. Postlethwaite Councillor P. Roe Councillor R. Steernberg

Councillor K. Waygood

1. Saskatchewan River Centre Inc. Board of Directors (File No. CK. 155-1)

The Saskatchewan River Centre Inc. has requested that Council appoint another member, in addition to the City Commissioner, to its Board of Directors.

RECOMMENDATION: that Councillor P. Roe be appointed to the River Centre Board of Directors for a one-year term, beginning April 1, 1995.

ADOPTED.

2. Composition Advisory Committee on Animal Control (File No. CK. 225-9)

Membership on the Advisory Committee on Animal Control includes representation by one member of the "Dog Owners' Group and Supporters" (D.O.G.S.) Membership in this organization has declined in recent years.

RECOMMENDATION: that representation on the Advisory Committee on Animal

Control by a member of the Dog Owners' Group and Supporters be eliminated, and that representation by the general public be

increased by one.

ADOPTED.

3. Saskatoon Economic Development Authority (File No. CK. 175-37)

There is one vacancy on the Board of the Saskatoon Economic Development Authority, that being a replacement for Mr. Tim Steuart.

RECOMMENDATION: that Mr. Ed Hobday be appointed to the Saskatoon Economic

Development Authority, to the end of 1996, to replace Mr. Tim

Steuart

ADOPTED.

4. Visual Arts Placement Jury (File No. CK. 225-44)

City Council, at its meeting held on February 13, 1995, resolved that the composition of the Visual Arts Placement Jury be changed so as to provide for membership by a representative of the Meewasin Valley Authority's Design Advisory Committee.

The Meewasin Valley Authority has nominated Mr. Terry Klassen as its representative on the Jury.

RECOMMENDATION:

that Mr. Terry Klassen be appointed to the Visual Arts Placement Jury, as the representative of the Meewasin Valley Authority Design Advisory Committee, to the end of 1996.

ADOPTED."

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted with the exception of the following motion under Clause 1, Report No. 8-1995 of the Planning and Development Committee:

"that the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake, as a high-priority project, a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities."

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole with respect to the following motion under Clause 1, Report No. 8-1995 of the Planning and Development Committee be adopted:

"that the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake, as a high-priority project, a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities."

YEAS: Deputy Mayor Steernberg, Councillors Postlethwaite, Roe, Langford,

and Waygood

NAYS: Councillors Atchison, Heidt, Langlois, Birkmaier

and McCann

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST ON A TIE VOTE.

5

5

ENQUIRIES

Councillor Langlois
Lack of Curb Cuts
Queen Street Between 5th Avenue and 7th Avenue North
(File No. CK, 6220-1)

On Queen Street between 5th Avenue and 7th Avenue North, we have several medical clinics used frequently by elderly or disabled people. It has been brought to my attention that there are no curb cuts at the intersection on the north side of Queen Street to allow for wheel chair access to these clinics. Could the Administration please investigate and report back.

Councillor Steernberg
Traffic Control
Intersection of Fairlight and Pendygrasse
(File No. CK. 6250-1)

Will the Administration please provide a report on the cost of installation of a sensor controlled light at the intersection of Fairlight Drive/Pendygrasse Road and McCormack Road, as well as the related data of traffic accidents and traffic counts for all three streets at this intersection.

GIVING NOTICE

Councillor McCann gave the following Notice of Motion:

"TAKE NOTICE THAT at the next regular meeting of City Council I will move the following motion:

`THAT the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities as part of the Plan Saskatoon process.'"

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT Notice of Motion be waived.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT the Planning and Construction Standards Department undertake a zoning review of adult entertainment facilities as part of the Plan Saskatoon process.

CARRIED.

Councillor Langlois gave the following Notice of Motion:

"TAKE NOTICE THAT at the next regular meeting of City Council I will move the following motion:

`WHEREAS it is in the public interest to promote effective and efficient use of financial resources available to the City of Saskatoon,

WHEREAS the current "use it or lose it" philosophy of operating budget management fails to support longer term planning for the purchasing of goods and services for the City of Saskatoon, and

WHEREAS it is increasingly important to make every dollar count in the delivery of services to Saskatoon residents,

BE IT RESOLVED that Council instruct administration to bring forward a report and policy for its consideration that would enable operating budget managers to carry over funds from one fiscal year to another, such policy to:

- i) specify which types of funds would be eligible for carryover
- ii) specify how an annual budget within a department would be addressed in the event a deficit, rather than a surplus, were incurred
- iii) specify what proportion of budgets can be carried over from one fiscal year to the next
- iv) specify limits, if any, of amounts that can be accumulated
- v) determine the advisability of instituting an employee bonus system, based on budget performance
- vi) specify the reporting mechanisms to inform Council about the nature of the carryover of funds annually;

such report to be presented no later than August 31, 1995 in order to permit the adoption of a policy for implementation during the 1995 fiscal year."

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 7456

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7456, being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT Bylaw No. 7456 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7456.

CARRIED

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Langford in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Langford, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7456 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7456 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7456 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7466

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7466 being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT Bylaw No. 7466 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7466.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Langford in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Langford, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7466 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7466 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7466 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor

and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 7473

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7473 being "A Bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to amend Bylaw No. 6772, entitled, 'A Bylaw Respecting Zoning in the City of Saskatoon'" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,

THAT Bylaw No. 7473 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7473.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Langford in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Langford, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7473 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7473 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7473 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Councillor Langford,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 11:12 p.m.	
Deputy Mayor	City Clerk