Council Chambers City Hall, Saskatoon, SK Monday, May 5 and 12, 2003 at 7:00 p.m.

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Mayor Maddin, in the Chair on May 5, 2003;

Deputy Mayor Waygood in the Chair on May 12, 2003;

Councillors Atchison, Fortosky, Heidt, McCann, Paulsen, Penner, Roe,

Steernberg, Swystun and Waygood;

City Manager Richards;

General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;

A/General Manager, Corporate Services Richards on May 5; General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp on May 12;

A/General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Kobussen on May 5, General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley on May 12;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman;

General Manager, Utility Services Hewitt;

City Solicitor Dust; City Clerk Mann;

Councillors' Assistant Long.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Item A3 of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that Lieutenant Commander Turetski be heard.

#### CARRIED.

# "A3) J.M. (Joan) Steckhan, CMA, Chair <u>HMCS Saskatoon Liaison Committee, dated April 13</u>

Requesting permission for Lieutenant Commander Turetski to address Council with respect to activities of the HMCS Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Lieutenant Commander Turetski be heard."

Lieutenant Commander Turetski outlined the activities of the HMCS Saskatoon over the past year and the plans for the future. He outlined the importance of maintaining a close relationship with Saskatoon and reviewed some of the activities the ship has been involved with to do this, including an e-mail pen pal program with the ship's crew and students from John G. Egnatoff School. He presented the City with a hydrometer, barometer and thermometer, in the naval setting, mounted on peak with brass.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the minutes of the special meeting of City Council held on April 7, 2003 and the regular meeting of City Council held on April 7, 2003 be approved.

CARRIED.

#### **HEARINGS**

2a) Discretionary Use Application – Restaurant/Bistro Lot 21, Block 424, Plan 61S10302 2917 Early Drive – Brevoort Park Neighbourhood

Applicant: Lee Helman (File No. CK. 4355-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"City Council, at its meeting held on April 7, 2003, opened the hearing for the above-noted discretionary use application and adopted a motion that the hearing be adjourned and reconvened at the May 5, 2003 Council Meeting.

Attached is an excerpt from the April 7, 2003 meeting of City Council, together with the report of the Community Services Department dated February 28, 2003, recommending that the application submitted by Lee Helman, requesting permission to use Lot 21, Block 424, Plan 61S10302 (2917 Early Drive) for the purpose of a restaurant be approved, subject to the following:

- 1) the proposed restaurant having a maximum gross floor area of 50.4 m<sup>2</sup>;
- 2) that no patio or outdoor seating area be permitted to the sides or the rear of the building;
- 3) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the operation of a restaurant;
- 4) the applicant/owner entering into a boulevard lease agreement with the Infrastructure Services Department;
- 5) that the owner/applicant be solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections, landscaping, and site development;
- 6) the final plans submitted for the proposed restaurant being substantially in accordance with those plans submitted in support of this discretionary use application; and
- 7) the proposal being commenced within 24 months of the date of City Council approval.

Also attached is the report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated March 25, 2003, advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendations, and copies of communications from Douglas Snowsell and Linda Arnot referred to therein.

The following is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 30, 2003:

#### **'RECOMMENDATION:**

that the application submitted by Lee Helman requesting permission to use Lot 21, Block 424, Plan 61S10302 (2917 Early Drive) for the purpose of a restaurant be recommended for approval subject to the following:

- 1) the proposed restaurant having a maximum public assembly area of 34 m<sup>2</sup>, and a maximum seating capacity of 28;
- 2) that no patio or outdoor seating area be permitted to the sides or the rear of the building;

- 3) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the operation of a restaurant;
- 4) the applicant/owner entering into a boulevard lease agreement with the Infrastructure Services Department;
- 5) that the owner/applicant be solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections, landscaping, and site development;
- 6) the final plans submitted for the proposed restaurant being substantially in accordance with those plans submitted in support of this discretionary use application;
- 7) the proposal being commenced within 24 months of the date of City Council approval; and
- 8) that no liquor license be approved in association with this application.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The public hearing for the discretionary use application to establish a restaurant at 2917 Early Drive was adjourned on April 7, 2003, to be reconvened at the May 5, 2003 meeting of City Council. Since the April 7 public hearing, the department has held a public information meeting in the area, at which time issues related to parking, traffic, and liquor licensing were clarified. Those in attendance at the meeting expressed a variety of opinions in support of and in opposition to the proposed restaurant.

The Department continues to support the application, as it is not seen as having a significant impact on the local area in terms of traffic flow or parking. The department is also recommending that no liquor license be permitted in association with this application.

#### **BACKGROUND**

City Council, at its meeting April 7, 2003, convened a public hearing to consider the discretionary use application to establish a restaurant at 2917 Early Drive. Due to a number of concerns raised by local residents at the hearing regarding parking, traffic, liquor consumption, noise, and preserving the quiet nature of the neighbourhood it was resolved that the hearing be adjourned and reconvened at the May 5, 2003 City Council meeting.

#### **REPORT**

The adjournment of the hearing has provided the Community Services Department with an opportunity to clarify and address some of the issues that were raised by residents in a petition submitted to City Council.

#### 1. Liquor Permit

In the original proposal for the restaurant, the applicant indicated that he would be seeking a restaurant liquor permit to serve alcohol with meals at the proposed restaurant. This was identified as a concern for many area residents. The applicant understands this concern and will not be applying for a restaurant liquor permit. Furthermore, it was determined that before any restaurant can serve alcohol they are required to obtain municipal endorsement. Normally, this is done through the issuance of a development permit. In this case the department is recommending that a liquor license not be approved in association with this restaurant. This would ensure resident concerns regarding the sale of alcohol at the restaurant are addressed.

#### 2. Parking

At the initial public hearing, the department was made aware of parking problems that occur when patrons of the commercial site park along the east side of the building in the public lane. This illegal parking situation restricts the ability of local residents with rear garages from accessing the rear of their properties.

The department has contacted the owner of the property (Mr. John Papouches), and he has expressed a willingness to post no parking signs along the east side of the building to prevent parking in the lane and paint lines on the existing parking surface to clearly demarcate where parking on the site should take place.

Regardless of the outcome of this application, the parking problems in the adjacent lane will be monitored and dealt with as a separate bylaw enforcement issue.

#### 3. Restaurant Size

In a previous recommendation regarding the size of the proposed restaurant, the Community Services Department recommended the restaurant be limited to 50 m<sup>2</sup> of gross floor area. For greater clarity this recommendation has been amended to limit the maximum size of the public assembly area in the restaurant to 34 m<sup>2</sup>, and a maximum capacity of 28 seats.

#### 4. Public Information Meeting

In response to the concerns raised at the public hearing on April 7, 2003, the department organized a public information meeting. The purpose of the meeting was to provide residents in the area with the details of the restaurant proposal, an understanding of the discretionary use approval process, and an opportunity to ask questions and provide feedback regarding the proposed restaurant. Notices of the meeting were sent to approximately 150 households.

The Meeting was held at 7:00 pm Monday April 28, 2003 at Brevoort Park School. In attendance at the meeting were Lee Helman, restaurant applicant, Community Services Department staff, approximately fifty residents from the surrounding area, and Ward Councillor Penner.

During the meeting, administration explained the background regarding the restaurant application and outlined the technical details of the application including: minimum parking requirements for the site, traffic trip generation for the proposed restaurant, potential future uses (permitted, discretionary, and prohibited) that could or could not exist on the site, liquor license approval process, and an explanation of the Community Services Department's recommendations regarding outdoor patio seating and limiting the size of the public assembly area of the restaurant.

Applicant Lee Helman gave an overview of his restaurant proposal and indicated that in order to address community concerns regarding alcohol consumption at the restaurant, he will not be applying for a restaurant liquor permit. He also indicated his planned closing time for the restaurant would be 10:00 pm.

Administration also advised those in attendance of the opportunity to address City Council at the public hearing on this matter.

During the meeting, residents in attendance were given the opportunity to provide feedback and ask questions. In summary, the concerns and comments identified at the meeting include:

#### 1. <u>Increased Traffic in the Neighbourhood</u>

Over the past few years, residents have noticed an increase in traffic volumes on Early Drive and in the Brevoort Park Neighbourhood in general. Some meeting attendees feel the addition of the restaurant will further increase traffic volumes in the area. Others indicated the restaurant would have minimal effects on traffic, with recent increased volumes resulting from external traffic shortcutting through the neighbourhood.

#### 2. Parking Problems

Residents at the meeting indicated that parking on the commercial site could be problematic at times, with some patrons of the commercial site parking in a disorderly fashion. It was noted that parking does occur illegally along the east side of the building in the lane and sometimes results in parking along Early Drive in front of other residential properties.

### 3. <u>Liquor Consumption</u>

One consistent comment made by residents at the meeting was that they were pleased liquor would not be served at the restaurant.

#### 4. Future Potential Uses

Concerns were expressed over potential future uses of the building and the possibility of the restaurant expanding in the future.

#### 5. Community Association Comment

The Brevoort Park Community Association representative indicated the executive supported the application, but there was not enough time to canvass the community for a collective opinion.

Various comments expressed at the public information meeting suggest that residents in the community are divided on the issue. Some feel the local restaurant will be a good fit for the community, while others are concerned the restaurant may have negative impacts which will disturb the quiet nature of the community.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Notice for Public Information Meeting
- 2. Map Showing Public Information Meeting Notification Area
- 3. Agenda of Public Information Meeting'

Attached are copies of communications from the following:

- Douglas Snowsell, 22 Webb Crescent, dated April 21, 2003;
- Ken Woodhouse, 98 Phillips Crescent, dated April 22, 2003;
- Louise and Winifred Grassmann, 13 Webb Crescent, dated April 28, 2003;
- Ray and Elaine Hill, 2 Neilson Crescent, dated April 29, 2003;
- Lee Helman, 2917 Early Drive, undated, submitting a petition with approximately 83 signatures;
- Linda Arnot, 3005 Early Drive and Veronica Murphy, 2 Neilson Crescent, dated April 29, 2003, submitting a petition with approximately 113 signatures;
- Helen Turcot, 1717 Cameron Avenue, dated April 29;
- Doris Montalbetti, 46 Neilson Crescent;
- Gregory Deroose, 1702 Arlington Avenue, dated April 29, 2003;
- Ulrike Schafer, 10 Neilson Crescent, dated April 30, 2003
- Dixie L. Fischer, Cameron Avenue, dated April 30, 2003;
- Sheila Clackson, 30 Neilson Crescent, dated April 30, 2003;
- Matt Griffeth, 285 815 Kristjansen Road, dated April 30;
- Iva M. Beck, dated May 2.
- Linda Arnot and Veronica Murphy, 2917 Early Drive, dated May 4, 2003, submitting a petition with approximately 82 signatures;
- Sheila Trumpy, 113 Phillips Crescent, dated May 1, 2003;
- Graham Dove, 1822 Arlington Avenue, dated May 5, 2003; and
- Kathleen Slavin, 1822 Arlington Avenue, dated May 5, 2003."

His Worship the Mayor reopened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, updated Council on developments that had taken place since the previous meeting, and outlined the changes that have been made to the recommendations of the Community Services Department.

Mr. Jim Zimmer, member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the recommendations.

Mr. Lee Helman, applicant, outlined his plans to change his current wholesale business to a retail business which will be open from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Tuesday to Sunday. He addressed the concerns that have been raised, including parking and traffic, and indicated that he has decided not to pursue serving alcohol. He asked that Council approve the application.

Mr. Gregory Deroose, 1702 Arlington Avenue, spoke against the proposed application, expressing concerns with respect to parking, traffic and vandalism.

Mr. Paul Sander, 26 Neilson Crescent, spoke against the proposed application, expressing concerns with respect to traffic and parking. He provided Council with pictures of the parking lot.

Ms. Veronica Murphy, 3005 Early Drive, spoke against the proposal, expressing concerns with respect to parking, traffic, liquor and an increase of people into the neighbourhood.

Mr. Terry Boucher, 4-1910 Main Street, spoke in support of the proposal.

Ms. Sheila Trumpy, 113 Phillips Crescent, spoke against the proposal, expressing concerns with respect to the close proximity to the school, traffic, size of the establishment and safety of the children.

Ms. Vera Elliott spoke against the proposal expressing concerns with respect to the close proximity to the school, traffic, parking and safety of children.

Mr. Rolf Stotzer, 3005 Early Drive, spoke against the proposal expressing concerns regarding parking.

Mr. Richard Gryschuk, 11 Baldwin Crescent, expressed his personal support of the proposal expressing the opinion that it is a positive addition to the community. He indicated that he is also a member of the Community Association, and that the Community Association had discussed the proposal, and did not feel that it was a concern.

Ms. Linda Arnot, 2 Neilson Crescent, spoke against the proposal and expressed the opinion that from canvassing the area, and obtaining names on the petition, it is apparent that the people in the area are opposed to the application.

Mr. Frank Regier, spoke in support of the proposal.

Mr. Lee Helman, applicant, addressed the concerns which were raised, including parking and traffic.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the application submitted by Lee Helman requesting permission to use Lot 21, Block 424, Plan 61S10302 (2917 Early Drive) for the purpose of a restaurant be recommended for approval subject to the following:

- 1) the proposed restaurant having a maximum public assembly area of 34 m<sup>2</sup>, and a maximum seating capacity of 28;
- 2) that no patio or outdoor seating area be permitted to the sides or the rear of the building;
- 3) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the operation of a restaurant;
- 4) the applicant/owner entering into a boulevard lease agreement with the Infrastructure Services Department;
- 5) that the owner/applicant be solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections, landscaping, and site development;
- 6) the final plans submitted for the proposed restaurant being substantially in accordance with those plans submitted in support of this discretionary use application;
- 7) the proposal being commenced within 24 months of the date of City Council approval; and

8) that no liquor license be approved in association with this application.

CARRIED.

2b) Discretionary Use Application – Tavern
Lots 15 to 20 Inclusive, Block C, Plan G717
1428 – 22<sup>nd</sup> Street West, Westmount Neighbourhood
Applicant: Three Blue Diamonds Restaurant Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application."

The City Planner has advised that the necessary notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Attached is a report of the Community Services Department dated March 13, 2003 recommendation that the application submitted by Three Blue Diamonds Restaurant Ltd. requesting permission to use Lots 15 to 20, Block C, Plan G 171 (1428 22<sup>nd</sup> Street West) for the purpose of a tavern be approved, subject to the following:

- 1) that the tavern contain a maximum of 182 square metres (1960 square feet) of public assembly area and accommodate a maximum of 140 patrons;
- 2) that no patio or outdoor seating area be permitted to the sides or the rear of the building;
- 3) that the owner/applicant be solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections, landscaping, and site development;
- 4) that the owner/applicant provide a parking barrier along the east side of the property line to protect the neighbouring property owner's garage from being damaged; and
- 5) that the applicant obtain a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a tavern.

Attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated April 11, 2003 advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendations.

Also attached are communications from the following:

- Shannon Marshall, 1417, 23<sup>rd</sup> Street West, dated April 23, 2003; and
- Lenna Rutherford, 1501 23<sup>rd</sup> Street West, dated April 30."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the application.

Mr. Jim Zimmer, member, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the application.

Mr. George Katsiris, owner and applicant, outlined the reason for the application, explaining that he's trying to provide more room for existing patrons.

Ms. Lenna Rutherford, 1501 23<sup>rd</sup> Street West, spoke against the proposal, expressing the opinion that the establishment is big enough for the area. However, she asked that if Council does approve the application, it restrict the seating.

*Ms. Alice Farness, 118 Avenue R North, spoke in support of the application.* 

Ms. Shannon Marshall, 1417 23<sup>rd</sup> Street West, indicated that she doesn't have a problem with the application, but that she does have concerns with respect to maintenance of the back alley.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

that the application submitted by Three Blue Diamonds Restaurant Ltd. requesting permission to use Lots 15 to 20, Block C, Plan G 171 (1428 22<sup>nd</sup> Street West) for the purpose of a tavern be approved, subject to the following:

- 1) that the tavern contain a maximum of 182 square metres (1960 square feet) of public assembly area and accommodate a maximum of 140 patrons;
- 2) that no patio or outdoor seating area be permitted to the sides or the rear of the building;
- 3) that the owner/applicant be solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections, landscaping, and site development;
- 4) that the owner/applicant provide a parking barrier along the east side of the property line to protect the neighbouring property owner's garage from being damaged; and
- 5) that the applicant obtain a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a tavern.

CARRIED.

2c) Discretionary Use Application – Day Care Centre (12 Children Maximum)

Lot 1 and 2, Block 32, Plan G234

2424 Kelvin Avenue Applicant: Lisa Paul (File No. CK. 4355-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application."

The City Planner has advised that the necessary notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated March 26, 2003 recommending that the application submitted by Lisa Paul, requesting permission to use Lots 1 and 2, Block 32, Plan G234 (2424 Kelvin Avenue) for the purpose of a Day Care Centre be approved, subject to the following:

- the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Day Care Centre;
- 2) the owner/applicant being solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections;
- 3) that a maximum of 12 children be accommodated in the day care centre;
- 4) the provision of one hard surfaced parking space; and
- 5) the proposal being commenced within 24 months of the date of City Council's approval.

Attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated April 22, 2003 advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the application.

Mr. Jim Zimmer, member, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the application.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the hearing be closed.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the application submitted by Lisa Paul, requesting permission to use Lots 1 and 2, Block 32, Plan G234 (2424 Kelvin Avenue) for the purpose of a Day Care Centre be approved, subject to the following:

- 1) the applicant obtaining all relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) and licenses prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a Day Care Centre;
- 2) the owner/applicant being solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections;
- *that a maximum of 12 children be accommodated in the day care centre;*
- 4) the provision of one hard surfaced parking space; and
- 5) the proposal being commenced within 24 months of the date of City Council's approval.

#### CARRIED.

### 2d) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment

RM3(H) to RM4 Subject to a Zoning Agreement Portion of Block D, Plan 70S00223

615 Saskatchewan Crescent West – Buena Vista Neighbourhood

Applicant: Karl Parrington Proposed Bylaw No. 8201 (File No. CK. 4351-1)

#### Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8201, a copy of which is attached.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of April 12 and April 19, 2003 is attached.

Also attached is a report of the Community Services Department dated March 13, 2003 recommending that City Council approve the proposed amendment to the Zoning Bylaw to rezone a portion of Parcel D, Plan 70S00223 (615 Saskatchewan Crescent West) from an RM3(H) District to an RM4 District by Agreement.

Also attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated April 11, 2003 advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Jim Zimmer, member, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

*Mr. Karl Parrington, applicant, reviewed the stages of the proposed development.* 

Mr. Jim Akin, architect for the project, outlined plans to address sound attenuation.

Mr. Wally Mah, President, North Ridge Development Corporation, spoke with respect to sound attenuation, indicating that the agreement states that sound attenuation will not be provided by the City, so that in the future, residents can't come to Council requesting it.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8201.

CARRIED.

2e) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment by Agreement Lots 1 and 2, Block 25, Plan FV 201 Avenue O South – Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood Applicant: Joe Penkala, Larson Intervention House Inc. Proposed Bylaw No. 8203 (File No. CK. 4351-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8203 of copy of which is attached.

A copy of Notice which appeared in the local press under dates of April 12 and April 19, 2003 is attached.

Also attached is a report of the Community Services Department dated March 13, 2003 recommending that Council approve the proposal to amend the contract zoning agreement for Lots 1 and 2, Block 25, Plan FV (201 Avenue O South) to accommodate the addition of 22 treatment beds to Larson Intervention House.

Also attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated April 11, 2003 advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Jim Zimmer, member, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.

Mr. Joe Penkala, applicant, explained that the reason for the request is to expand to provide brief detoxification services.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8203.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

- A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL
- 1) Jeff Krivoshen, Director of Operations
  P.R. Hotels Ltd., dated April 2, 2003

Requesting permission to address Council to present P.R. Hotels' proposal for the redevelopment of the Gathercole site.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that representatives of P.R. Hotels Ltd. be heard.

Councillor Swystun excused herself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and left the Council Chamber.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT representatives of P.R. Hotels Ltd. be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Jeff Krivoshen, and Mr. Lawrence Rychjohn, P.R. Hotels Ltd., outlined their proposal for the redevelopment of the Gathercole building. They provided Council with a list of proposed advantages for the proposal.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Councillor Swystun re-entered the Council Chamber.

## 2) <u>Dr. Jim Pankiw, MP, dated April 11</u>

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the proposed Casino. (File No. CK. 4110-23)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Dr. Pankiw be heard.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT Dr. Pankiw be heard.

CARRIED.

*Dr. Jim Pankiw, MP, spoke with respect to the wording of the referendum for the proposed casino.* 

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

*THAT the information be received.* 

CARRIED.

# 3) J.M. (Joan) Steckhan, CMA, Chair <u>HMCS Saskatoon Liaison Committee, dated April 13</u>

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

4) Alice Farness 118 Avenue R North, dated April 22

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to home inspections. (File No. CK. 1620-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Alice Farness be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Alice Farness be heard.

Ms. Alice Farness, 118 Avenue R North, spoke with respect to home inspectors and the spread of viruses.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

*THAT the information be received.* 

CARRIED.

5) Edward B. Hudson, DVM, MS 402 Skeena Court, dated May 2

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to proposed amendments to the Animal Control Bylaw.

**RECOMMENDATION**: that Clause 7, Report No. 7-2003 of the Administration and Finance

Committee, and Items AA25 and AA72 of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that Dr. Hudson be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Clause 7, Report No. 7-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee, and Items AA25 and AA72 of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that Dr. Hudson be heard.

CARRIED.

#### "REPORT 7-2003 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

7. Animal Control Bylaw No. 7860 and Lack of Compliance and

Review of Fines and Penalties and Optional Forms of Animal Licensing (File No. CK. 151-15)

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the Animal Control Bylaw (Bylaw No. 7860) be amended to increase the penalty for failure to license a cat or dog to \$250; and

2) that the Animal Control Bylaw (Bylaw No. 7860) be amended to recognize microchips implanted in cats or dogs as an alternate form of licensing.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Chair, Advisory Committee on Animal Control dated April 23, 2003, respecting proposed amendments to the Animal Control Bylaw. Your Committee has reviewed the changes with the Advisory Committee's Chair, Mr. Boyd Clark, and supports the proposed amendments as outlined above. The penalty of \$250 for non-compliance to licensing requirements is similar to the penalty in other jurisdictions, and should assist in the sale and renewal of pet licenses.

#### AA25) Gwen Wuschke

1101 McNaughton Avenue, dated April 28

Submitting comments with respect to licensing of pets. (File No. CK. 151-15)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be considered with Clause 7, Report No. 7-2003 of the

Administration and Finance Committee.

#### AA72) Melinda Waltz

113 Mt. Allison Crescent, dated May 4

Expressing opposition an increase in fines under the Animal Control Bylaw. (File No. CK. 151-15)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be considered with Clause 7, Report No. 7-2003 of

the Administration and Finance Committee."

Dr. Edward Hudson, spoke against the increase in fines for unlicensed pets and expressed the opinion that the selling of licenses should require a minimal public health standard, such as rabies vaccinations. He also requested that the City provide off-leash parks for dogs.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) that the Animal Control Bylaw (Bylaw No. 7860) be amended to increase the penalty for failure to license a cat or dog to \$250; and
- 2) that the Animal Control Bylaw (Bylaw No. 7860) be amended to recognize microchips implanted in cats or dogs as an alternate form of licensing.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the issue of rabies vaccinations and licensing be referred to the Advisory Committee on Animal Control to report to the Administration and Finance Committee.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Clause 6, Report No. 7-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

#### "REPORT NO. 7-2003 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

6. Exemption from Taxation The Canadian Red Cross (File No. CK. 1965-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the Canadian Red Cross be granted a property tax exemption for their new property located at  $443 2^{nd}$  Avenue North; and
- 2) that this tax exemption be included in the required bylaw regarding 2003 property tax abatements.

City Council considered Clause 1, Report No. 3-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee at its meeting held on February 10, 2003 and adopted the following recommendation:

that a letter be written to the Province requesting a report back as to whether they would be prepared to revise the 1979 Red Cross Act to provide a tax exemption for the Canadian Red Cross at their new property in Saskatoon ( $443 - 2^{nd}$  Avenue North), being the Act specifically refers to their former building.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated March 24, 2003 from The Honourable Ron Osika, Minister of Government Relations, Province of Saskatchewan, in response to Council's resolution, indicating that being the tax exemption was originally obtained through a private member's bill process, it is not government legislation, so they cannot vouch for the intent of the original Act. Also attached is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 3-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee as mentioned above, which provides background information on this request for tax exemption.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter and believes the request for tax abatement from The Canadian Red Cross should be granted considering the unique circumstances involved with this application."

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Heidt

- 1) that the Canadian Red Cross be granted a property tax exemption for their new property located at  $443 2^{nd}$  Avenue North; and
- 2) that this tax exemption be included in the required bylaw regarding 2003 property tax abatements.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Clause B2, Administrative Report No. 8-2003 be brought forward and considered.

#### "ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 8-2003

B2) Agreement Respecting Sask911 Service (File No. CK. 270-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the attached Agreement.

#### **BACKGROUND**

At its meeting of January 20, 2003, City Council considered and approved for signature by the Mayor and City Clerk, the Sask 9-1-1 Contract having an expiration date of March 31, 2003. Although negotiations were still underway towards achieving a long term funding arrangement, Provincial authorities required that this contract be signed before supplementary funding for 2002 would be forwarded to the City of Saskatoon.

### **REPORT**

Ongoing discussions have taken place between the Province and the three Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs). As a result of discussions with representatives from both the Cities of Regina and Prince Albert, it was considered beneficial that negotiations for both funding and governance for the provincial 9-1-1 system take place jointly. As a result, the City Manager for Prince Albert, and the Deputy Chiefs of Police for Regina and Saskatoon were selected to form a joint bargaining committee and met with Provincial officials on a number of occasions to discuss the funding deficiency experienced by each jurisdiction.

As a result of the negotiations, all three cities have administratively agreed to accept the latest proposal for the fee for service for the year ending December 31, 2003. The new contract increases the fee for service to \$640,000 as compared to the actual amount received in 2002 of \$534,838. While this does not provide a full cost recovery of the expenditures identified by the Saskatoon Police Service (as well as Prince Albert and Regina) for providing 9-1-1 service it was considered, as a conclusion of this round of negotiation, to be in the interest of all parties involved to accept the offer. Other minor changes have been made to the contract and it is the opinion of the Saskatoon Police Service that these do not impact "business as usual".

Since the end of the fee negotiations, the bargaining team has met with the Province to discuss the issue of governance. It is expected that as these discussions progress, the matter of funding will become integral to the final governance structure. Any terms and conditions reached will be the subject of further reports to Council prior to the expiration of the current agreement.

#### **JUSTIFICATION**

The date of the tentative settlement of the 2003 contract coincided with the presentation of the 2003-2004 Provincial budget. Until the matter of governance is fully considered, and a final structure has been defined, the allocation of existing funds for program expenditures will always be the subject of debate, as will jurisdiction over changes to existing funding sources/formulae. Approving the current agreement will allow the issue of governance to be discussed without prejudices arising from difficult funding negotiations.

### **OPTIONS**

Refusing to sign the agreement and, in essence, providing notification to the Province that we no longer wish to be one of the service providers, is an option that is available. However, and this is the basic debate that arises during negotiations, the impact of that decision will not realize sufficient cost savings to fully offset the revenues that would be lost. This is due to the efficiencies that are gained by the consolidation of the 9-1-1 service with the Communications section. Cross training of communications staff improves the 9-1-1 service that would otherwise be available (more staff than that which is contractually required is available to respond to 9-1-1 calls), and at the same time provides a more efficient response time in communications as these staff are capable of both responding to the call and dispatching. The key point of our negotiations with the Province has been that the direct costs associated with 9-1-1 calls must be fully cost recovered from the existing fees that are charged to our citizens by way of telephone charges. The consolidation of staff is effective for the Police Service, but also provides an increased level of service that would not otherwise be available in a stand-alone call-taking centre. In lieu of efficiency gains, the Saskatoon Police Service does not attempt to recover occupancy costs, administrative costs, etc. which would be present in a stand-alone call-taking centre.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

A conclusion to the contract will result in a revised payment schedule for 2003.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Agreement respecting Sask911 Service."

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Sask911 Service Agreement.

CARRIED.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED**

#### AA. <u>ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL</u>

1) Pastor Kevin G. Doell Southridge Outreach Centre, dated March 19

Expressing appreciation to Council for the positive things it does for the city. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

*THAT the information be received.* 

CARRIED.

2) Gerard Fahey, President and Dave Aldous, Past President Saskatoon Shines Shuffle Board Association, undated

Requesting that, when considering the use of the Gathercole Building and the University Heights Multi-Use facility, as well as any other multi-use facility in the city, that space be provided for playing the game of shuffleboard. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**RECOMMENDATION**: that the information be received and referred to the Gathercole

Development file and to the North East Sector Multi-District Park

file.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Gathercole Development file and to the North East Sector Multi-District Park file.

CARRIED.

# 3) Mike Badham, President Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association (SUMA), dated April 8

Submitting information with respect to the creation of the Education Property Tax Review Commission. (File No. CK. 1920-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 4) Tracy Jordan 3335 11<sup>th</sup> Street West, dated April 10

Expressing concerns with respect to the cost to dispose of elm trees.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.

### 5) Tamiko Wolsfeld Lawson Heights Community Association, dated April 9

Requesting that Council reinstate the 50 km/hr speed limit in front of Bishop James Mahoney and Marion Graham High Schools. (File No. CK. 5200-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

Councillor McCann submitted a letter dated February 4, 2003 from Michele Hoffman, Secretary, Silverwood Heights Community Association, with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.

#### CARRIED.

# 6) Barbara A. Kaluzy 218 Haslam Court, dated April 10

Requesting an earth berm along Central Avenue, north of Attridge Drive. (File No. CK. 375-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

*THAT the letter be referred to the file and to the Administration to respond to the writer.* 

#### CARRIED.

# 7) Patricia Chartier 114-840 Idylwyld Drive North, dated April 15

Expressing an interest in hosting an inquiry line to provide information on current and upcoming events in the city. (File No. CK. 362-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the letter be referred to Tourism Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT the letter be referred to Tourism Saskatoon.

CARRIED.

# 8) Melanie Downing 1207B Lancaster Boulevard, dated April 12

Submitting a copy of a letter sent to the StarPhoenix with respect to the John Gormley newspaper column. (File No. CK. 150-1-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

*THAT the information be received.* 

CARRIED.

# 9) Jeff Plue 128 9<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated March 9, 2003

Expressing support for changes to the Zoning Bylaw in the Nutana neighbourhood from RM1 to R2 under Bylaw No. 8181. (Note: Bylaw No. 8181 was passed by City Council at its meeting held on March 24, 2003.)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and joined to the file.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received and joined to the file.

# 10) Jody B. Vaughan Meadowgreen Community Association, dated April 16

Expressing concerns with respect to lots in the Meadowgreen Community being allotted to Habitat for Humanity without the involvement or approval of the community. (File No. CK. 750-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky

THAT the information be received and joined to the file.

CARRIED.

# 11) Ron Holt 326 Redberry Road, dated April 17

Submitting suggestions with respect to changing the city's entrance signs. (File No. CK. 6280-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and the Administration be requested advise Mr. Holt of the status of the matter.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received and the Administration be requested to advise Mr. Holt of the status of the matter.

CARRIED.

# 12) Joe C. Bloski, Chair, Saskatoon Bid Committee 2007 IHF World Hockey Championship, dated April 16

Expressing appreciation for Council's expression of confidence and support for the bid process for the 2007 IIHF World Hockey Championship. (File No. CK. 1870-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 13) Sandra Finley 656 Saskatchewan Crescent East, dated April 22

Expressing concerns with respect to the use of Vaprooter in sewer lines to clear tree roots. (File No. CK. 375-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be referred to the Administration and to the Environmental Advisory Committee.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration and to the Environmental Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

# 14) Janet Frayling 231 Perreault Crescent, dated April 21

Expressing opposition to the killing of crows. (File No. CK. 151-2)

# 15) Debbie Sentes 2447 Lindsay Street, Regina, dated April 30

Expressing opposition to the killing of crows. (File No. CK. 151-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 16) Delores Brent 103 930 Heritage View, dated January 3

Expressing concerns with respect to wheelchair accessible streets and businesses in the city. (File No. CK. 6000-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

CARRIED.

# 17) Bob Fawcett, HOC Sponsorship Director 2003 Canadian Junior Track and Field Championships, dated April 17

Requesting that the City donate the use of the Field House for July 4, 5 and 6, 2003 in connection with the 2003 Canadian Junior National Track and Field Championships. (File No. CK. 1870-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

# 18) Keith Pahl, Secretary Sutherland Memorial Hall, dated April 18

Submitting a copy of the Sutherland Memorial Hall Financial Statement for 2002 and the minutes of the 2003 Annual General Meeting. (File No. CK. 1895-10)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the hour of the meeting be extended past the hour of 11:00 p.m.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the meeting be adjourned until Tuesday, May 6, 2003 at 7:00 p.m.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the meeting be adjourned until Monday, May 12, 2003 at 7:00 p.m.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 11:10 p.m.

The meeting reconvened on May 12, 2003 at 7:00 p.m., with Councillor Waygood in the Chair.

#### **COMMUNICIATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED**

# 19) Gordon Peters, Executive Director North Saskatchewan Independent Living Centre, dated April 23

Requesting a second public meeting to review and discuss the special needs transportation draft recommendations. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

# 20) Georgie A. Davis, President, Saskatoon Chapter Saskatchewan Voice of People with Disabilities Inc., dated April 23, 2003

Requesting a second public meeting to review and discuss the special needs transportation draft recommendations. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

# 21) Gordon Peters, Executive Director North Saskatchewan Independent Living Centre, dated April 23

Indicating that they have been advised that a second meeting to review the special needs transportation draft recommendations has been schedule for May 14, 2003, and thanking the City for its prompt response. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

*THAT the information be received.* 

CARRIED.

# 22) Dave Whitenect, Community Consultant Community Services Department, dated April 23

Requesting that Pat Tymchatyn be appointed to the Leisure Services Advisory Board to replace Carol Bruce as the City Centre Suburban Advisory Committee representative.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Pat Tymchatyn be appointed to the Leisure Services Advisory

Board to replace Carol Bruce, as the City Centre Suburban Advisory

Committee representative.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Pat Tymchatyn be appointed to the Leisure Services Advisory Board to replace Carol Bruce, as the City Centre Suburban Advisory Committee representative.

CARRIED.

# 23) Ken Wood, General Manager Saskatchewan Place, dated April 28

Requesting a productivity loan for ticketing equipment. (File No. CK. 611-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a five-year productivity loan of \$83,000 be authorized to

Saskatchewan Place for ticketing equipment.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT a five-year productivity loan of \$83,000 be authorized to Saskatchewan Place for ticketing equipment.

CARRIED.

# 24) Bernie Lukan

Ptarmigan Management Ltd., dated April 21

Submitting a copy of a letter addressed to the Office of the City Solicitor, with respect to property located at 2426 Dudley Street. (File No. CK. 4215-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

# 25) Gwen Wuschke 1101 McNaughton Avenue, dated April 28

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 20.

# 26) Kent and Kathy Allen 115 Elm Street, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 27) Teresa B. Salamone 706 Albert Avenue, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 28) Ryan Rosenberg 252 East Place, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 29) Randeah Moore 118 Chan Crescent, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 30) Kim Fontaine 243 Delaronde Lane, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 31) Donald B. Campbell 1-816 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, dated April 3

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 32) Paul Bidwell 815 14<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 4

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 33) Susan Gingell 934 University Drive, dated April 4

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 34) Genevieve Bobyn 605 Idylwyld Crescent, dated April 4

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 35) Chris Gordon 429 10<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 5

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 36) Yvonne Leduc dated April 6

### 37) Lucille Canart 245 11<sup>th</sup> Street East, Brandon, Manitoba, dated April 5

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 38) Nancy Allan 909 Main Street, dated April 4

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 39) Rifah Khan 102 Westchester Drive, Winnipeg, Manitoba, dated April 5

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 40) Laura Beard 735 Avenue H South, dated April 7

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 41) Heather Oakes Box 42 Great Falls, Manitoba, undated

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 42) Kelly Baumgartner 6-682 Corydon Avenue, dated April 7

### 43) Hortence Wilcott 401-200 Horace Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 44) Jo-Anne Marcoux, BSc, RRT, CPC, CCP 318 4<sup>th</sup> Street, dated April 9

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 45) Richard Medernach 832 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, dated April 9

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 46) A.J. Ehman 908 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, dated April 9

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 47) Kent Macaulay, Executive Director <u>Community First Development Fund of Saskatoon, Inc., dated April 10</u>

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 48) Bill Mathews 2-703 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, dated April 10

# 49) Darwin Kinchen 302 Braeside Court, dated April 10

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 50) Thomas Knutson 802 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, dated April 10

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 51) Carolee Milroy 318 4<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 11

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 52) Carol Cisecki 1112 4<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 11

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 53) Gwen Curtis 421 4<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 14

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 54) Kent Macaulay 802 Fourth Avenue North, dated April 13

### 55) Rebecca Medernach 832 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, dated April 15

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 56) Pat Carlson 1601 Park Avenue, dated April 15

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 57) Larry Gasper 204-525 Third Avenue North, dated April 22

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 58) Margaret (Peggy) Durant 1916 Dufferin Avenue, dated April 22

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 59) Ken Koshgarian Box 431, dated April 28

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 60) Mark Bobyn 605 Idylwyld Crescent, dated April 26

# 61) Brock Campbell 305, 212 10<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 26

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 62) Dale and Debby Claude 10 Champlin Crescent, dated April 29

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

### 63) Greg McKee 515 6<sup>th</sup> Street East, dated April 28

Expressing support for the Gathercole Initiative Group's Saskatoon Market Landing proposal for the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

# 64) Arnold Zezula 41 McGee Crescent, dated April 10

Submitting comments with respect to the development of the Gathercole site. (File CK. 4130-2-11)

# 65) Claire Bullaro, Vice-President Saskatoon Heritage Society, dated April 28

Submitting comments with respect to the development of the Gathercole site. (File CK. 4130-2-11)

# 66) Neil and Carol Garvie 2509 Arlington Avenue, dated April 22

Submitting comments with respect to the development of the Gathercole site. (File CK. 4130-2-11)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and joined to the file.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received and joined to the file.

CARRIED.

# 67) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated April 9

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 213 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

# 68) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated April 16

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 1801 Broadway Avenue. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

### 69) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated April 28

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 1305 Avenue N South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

### 70) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated April 28

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at  $715\ 2^{nd}$  Avenue North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

### 71) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated April 29

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 302 28<sup>th</sup> Street West. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

### 72) Melinda Waltz 113 Mt. Allison Crescent, dated May 4

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 20.

# 73) Gordon Peters, Executive Director North Saskatchewan Independent Living Centre, dated May 5

Submitting clarification with respect to a portion of Clause A9, Administration Report No. 8-2003, Enhanced Accessibility Policy and Guidelines.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be considered with Clause A9, Administrative Report No. 8-2003.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the matter be considered with Clause A9, Administrative Report No. 8-2003.

CARRIED.

# 74) Fern Larner, Executive Assistant Saskatchewan Place, dated May 2

Submitting Notice of Annual Members' Meeting of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc. to be held on Wednesday, may 14, 2003. (File No. CK. 175-31)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the City of Saskatoon, being a member of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc., appoint James Maddin, or in his absence Myles Heidt or Tiffany Paulsen, of the City of Saskatoon, in the Province of Saskatchewan, as its proxy to vote for it on its behalf at the Annual Members' Meeting of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc., to be held on May 14, 2003, or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the City of Saskatoon, being a member of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc., appoint James Maddin, or in his absence Myles Heidt or Tiffany Paulsen, of the City of Saskatoon, in the Province of Saskatchewan, as its proxy to vote for it on its behalf at the Annual Members' Meeting of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc., to be held on May 14, 2003, or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

CARRIED.

### B. <u>ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION</u>

### 1) Diane Schmitt, President Saskatoon Association of Personal Care Homes, dated March 26

Requesting that a limit be placed on the number of personal care homes opening in the city. (File No. CK. 4355-1) (Referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.)

### 2) Don Story, President YMCA of Saskatoon, dated April 4

Requesting that the YMCA be included in discussion surrounding the North-East Multi-District Park. (File No. CK. 4000-1) (Referred to the Administration and to the Planning and Operations Committee.)

### 3) Tom Semeschuk 3406 Balfour Street, dated April 7

Expressing concerns with respect to parking adjacent to the University. (File No. CK. 6120-4-3) (Referred to the Administration.)

# 4) Jessie Steinhauer, Parent Council Walter Murray Collegiate, dated April 10

Submitting a petition with approximately 1313 signatures, and requesting that the City contribute half the cost of construction of a parking lot at Walter Murray Collegiate. (File No. CK. 6120-2) (Referred to the Administration.)

### 5) Mark R. Regier, President and Chief Executive Officer Saskatoon Prairieland Park Corporation, dated April 15

Requesting that a bylaw be written or changed to allow Saskatoon Police to be called to issue tickets for traffic violations on private property. (File No. CK. 5300-1) (Referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

### C. PROCLAMATIONS

### 1) Olie Lee Saskatchewan Early Childhood Association, dated April 9

Requesting that Council proclaim April 26 to April 31, 2003 as Childcare Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

# 2) Van Simonson, Operations Superintendent Public Works Branch, Infrastructure Services Department, dated April 9

Requesting that Council proclaim May 18 to May 24, 2003 as Public Works Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

# 3) Cynthia Block, Director, Community Development and Public Affairs M.D. Ambulance Care Ltd., dated April 10

Requesting that Council proclaim May 18 to 24, 2003 as Emergency Medical Services Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

# 4) Alex Lenko, Saskatchewan Municipal Chairperson Saskatchewan Municipal Employees Steering Committee, dated April 21

Requesting that Council proclaim June 15 to 21, 2003 as Municipal Employee's Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

### 5) Paul Perron, President, Wood '03 Coordinator Saskatchewan Woodworkers' Guild, dated April 23

Requesting that Council proclaim May 31 to June 8, 2003 as Saskatoon Woodworking Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

# 6) Corinne Windrim 7-2152 Albert Street, Regina, dated April 14

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of May 12 to 19, 2003 as Falon Dafa Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
  - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

CARRIED.

### **REPORTS**

Councillor Swystun, Member, Municipal Planning Commission, presented Report No. 2-2003 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

General Manager, Community Services Gauthier presented Section A, Administrative Report No. 8-2003;

General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Administrative Report No. 8-2003;

General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Addendum to Administrative Report No. 8-2003;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman presented Section D, Administrative Report No. 8-2003;

General Manager, Utility Services Hewitt presented Section E, Addendum to Administrative Report No. 8-2003;

City Clerk Mann presented Section A, Legislative Report No. 6-2003;

City Clerk Mann presented Section A, Addendum to Legislative Report No. 6-2003;

City Solicitor Dust presented Section B, Legislative Report No. 6-2003;

Councillor Fortosky, Member, presented Report No. 7-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee;

Councillor Heidt, Chair presented Report No. 3-2003 of the Land Bank Committee; and

Deputy Mayor Waygood, member, presented Report No. 6-2003 of the Executive Committee

Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 2-2003 of the Municipal Planning Commission;
- *b) Administrative Report No. 8-2003;*

- c) Addendum to Administrative Report No. 8-2003;
- d) Legislative Report No. 6-2003;
- e) Addendum to Legislative Report No. 6-2003;
- f) Report No. 7-2003 of the Administration and Finance Committee;
- g) Report No. 3-2003 of the Land Bank Committee; and
- *h)* Report No. 6-2003 of the Executive Committee.

### CARRIED.

Deputy Mayor Waygood appointed Councillor Atchison as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

### **MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT NO. 2-2003**

### Composition of Commission

Mr. John Waddington, Chair

Ms. Jo-Anne Richter, Vice-Chair

Councillor L. Swystun

Mr. Dieter André

Mr. Jack Billinton

Mr. Michael Chyzowski

Mr. Lloyd Hedemann

Mr. Randy Warick

Mr. Terry Smith

Mr. Roy Ball

Mr. Vern Waldherr

Mr. Jim Zimmer

Ms. Karen Thogersen

1. Development Plan Amendment – Special Use Area to Residential;

and Amendment to the Phasing Map to Phase 1

Parcel B, Plan 94S01779 and Parcel A, 93S45207 Willowgrove Neighbourhood

Applicant: City of Saskatoon

(File No. CK. 4351-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Bylaw No. 7799 to redesignate Parcel B, Plan 94S01779 and Parcel A, Plan 93S45207 from 'Special Use Area' to 'Residential';
- 2) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Bylaw No. 7799, Phasing Map to designate Parcel B, Plan 94S01779 and Parcel A, Plan 93S45207 as 'Phase 1';
- 3) that the General Manager, Community Services Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and

5) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council approve the proposed amendments to the Development Plan.

### ADOPTED.

Your Commission has considered and supports the recommendations outlined in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated March 24, 2003, with respect to the above proposed amendments.

2. Proposed Rezoning from AG District to R1A District

Parcel B, Plan 94S01779 Willowgrove Neighbourhood Applicant: City of Saskatoon

(File No. CK. 4351-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION**:

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone Parcel B, Plan 94S01779 from an AG District to an R1A District;
- 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendation that the rezoning be approved.

### ADOPTED.

Your Commission has considered and supports the recommendations set out in the attached report of the Community Services Department dated March 24, 2003, with respect to the above proposed rezoning.

3. Development Plan Amendment (Urban Holding to Residential)

3294 to 3646 37<sup>th</sup> Street Block 959, Plan 00S21130 Dundonald Neighbourhood Applicant: City of Saskatoon

(File No. CK. 4351-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that City Council approve the advertising required to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Bylaw No. 7799, to redesignate the land on the north side of 37<sup>th</sup> Street as shown on the Location Plan;
- 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council approve the amendment to the Development Plan, subject to not limiting future development opportunities in the West Sector.

### ADOPTED.

Your Commission has considered the attached report of the Community Services Department dated April 14, 2003, with respect to the above proposed Development Plan Amendment. Your Commission has also been advised that the Land Branch is in the process of closing most of the lots identified in 1980 for future roadway purposes and that these lots would then become standard rectangular lots and made available for purchase for single unit dwellings.

Upon review of this application, your Commission supports the recommendations of the Administration but has added a condition to recommendation 4) to ensure that the closing of the lots identified in 1980 for future roadway purposes does not limit future development opportunities in the West Sector.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 8-2003**

### **Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

A1) New Position – Municipal Enterprise Zone (Files CK 4350-1 and 4560-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council authorize the creation of a new temporary position to administer the Municipal Enterprise Zone, within the Community Services Department.
- 2) that the new position be funded from the Enterprise Zone account.

The General Manager, Community Services Department, advised that the report had been withdrawn.

A2) Enquiry – Councillor L. Swystun (June 10, 2002)
Limiting the Number of Vehicles Stored on a Residential Property, and
Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw Amendment Bylaw, 2002
(File No. CK 4350-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

### **BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Swystun at the meeting of City Council held on June 10, 2002:

"Would the Administration please report on the potential of creating some type of legislation, potentially in conjunction with the new City Act, to deal with limiting the number of (or clutter of) licensed vehicles that can be stored on a residential property."

### REPORT

Bylaw 8156 was approved by City Council on October 7, 2002. The purpose of Bylaw 8156 was to amend the Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw No. 7400 to include a definition of junked vehicle. This definition will permit the Fire and Protective Services Department to more effectively address issues related to the identification and removal of such vehicles. This

amendment is expected to help alleviate many of the problems associated with vehicle clutter in yards. This will partly address the issue raised by Councillor Swystun's enquiry.

Staff within the City Solicitor's Office and the Development Services Branch have also discussed the possibility of limiting the number of operating vehicles stored on a residential property and offer the following comments.

Section 5.17 of Zoning Bylaw No. 7800 addresses the parking of vehicles in residential areas, including commercial and recreational vehicles. Section 5.17 does not contain requirements limiting the number of licensed vehicles that can be stored on residential property.

When Zoning Bylaw No. 7800 was passed, Section 5.17 contained a provision limiting the outdoor storage of not more than two unlicensed or junked vehicles on the site. This provision was removed in 2000 because it conflicted with the Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw No. 7400, which prohibits the outdoor storage of any junked vehicles. There has been some consideration by the Administration to introduce a complementary provision in Section 5.17. However, since the Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw was designed to specifically addresses the outdoor storage of junked vehicles, such an amendment is not required at this time.

The Administration has considered options for limiting the number of licensed vehicles stored on a residential property. There are three basic alternatives regarding how the permitted number of vehicles will be determined:

- a) based on site frontage (such as 1 vehicle per 3.75 metres of frontage);
- b) based on site area (such as 1 vehicle per 100 m<sup>2</sup> of area); or
- c) an absolute, or fixed number (such as 5 vehicles per lot).

If such a policy were to be enacted, the Administration would recommend either a) or b) above. Option c) would not be recommended, because a greater number of vehicles could theoretically be stored on a large residential lot without the appearance of clutter.

The Administration has concerns regarding a policy that could restrict the number of vehicles stored on a residential property. These concerns include:

- a) the policy could result in more on street parking, as offenders could move their vehicles off the affected property and onto a public roadway;
- b) such a policy would be difficult to enforce, investigations would be time-consuming, and would create additional work for City Inspectors;
- c) there is potential for difficulty in calculating the number of vehicles permitted, creating confusion for the general public; and
- d) the policy has the potential to create undue hardships on large families with a number of residents of driving age.

Further issues of concern include whether vehicles kept in a garage would count towards the number of vehicles permitted, and whether there should be consideration for antique collectors or racing cars. Any such exemption may add to difficulties in enforcement, as owners of old vehicles would argue the vehicle is an antique. Without such an exemption, there is potential for hardships on vehicle collectors.

The Development Services Branch is of the opinion that the recent amendment to the Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw No. 7400 adequately addresses the concern for the storage of vehicles in residential areas, and the clutter of junked vehicles in particular. A new policy restricting the number of licensed vehicles that can be stored on a residential property could become controversial and difficult to enforce. It is recommended that Fire and Protective Services monitor the effectiveness of the recent amendment, and determine whether this could be expanded in the future to include restricting the number of licensed vehicles that can be stored on a residential property.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee for further discussion.

A3) Enquiry – Councillor O. Fortosky
Initiatives to Attract Young People to Golf Courses
(File No. CK 4135-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

"Would the Administration please report on what is being done to attract young people to our civic golf courses and what new initiatives are possible, keeping in mind the various demographics surrounding each course."

### **REPORT**

The municipal golf courses provide junior lesson programs in the spring to introduce children from the ages of 6 to 18 to the game of golf. The junior contingency is very important for the future viability of the municipal golf courses and the game of golf as a whole. The lesson program runs in the spring for one month. The lesson programs provide introductory golf lessons on the driving range, putting green, and a round of golf for fun and prizes. All instructors are affiliated with the Canadian Professional Golfers' Association (C.P.G.A). In 2002, the costs of the Junior Lesson Programs at each course were as follows:

- Holiday Park Golf Course: 3 one-hour lessons for \$35.
- Wildwood Golf Course: 2 forty minute lessons for \$25, plus a barbeque for the students, sponsored by the Wildwood Men's Section.
- Silverwood Golf Course: 3 one-hour lessons for \$30.

In 2002, Holiday Park Golf Course had 72 students, Wildwood Golf Course had 120 students, and Silverwood Golf Course had over 150 students in their Junior Lesson Programs.

The municipal golf courses also have junior golf leagues, which give children the opportunity to meet and play with other junior golfers in weekly competitions for fun and prizes. All junior league members are affiliated with the Saskatchewan Golf Association (S.G.A.), allowing them access to the computerized handicap system. The computerized handicap system allows league members to keep track of all their scores. With a handicap, junior members are given the opportunity to play in local, provincial, and national junior golf tournaments. In 2002, Holiday Park Golf Course had 39 junior members and Wildwood Golf Course had 50 junior members in their junior leagues. In 2002, the cost to join one of these junior leagues was as follows:

- Holiday Park Golf Course junior league fee is \$10. Juniors are exempt from paying the \$10 fee if they participated in the junior lesson program at Holiday Park Golf Course.
- Wildwood Golf Course junior league fee is \$20. Silverwood Golf Course did not have an organized junior league in 2002. They are planning on organizing one for the 2003 golf season.

Each municipal golf course holds an annual junior open tournament. The junior open tournament allows any junior, 18 years of age and under, the opportunity to compete with other juniors for fun and prizes. In 2002, the cost to enter into one of these junior open tournaments was as follows:

- Holiday Park Junior Open entry fee was \$25 plus green fees. 64 juniors competed in 2002.
- Wildwood Junior Open entry fee was \$20 plus green fees. 120 juniors competed in 2002.
- Silverwood Junior Open entry fee was \$30 plus green fees. 100 juniors competed in 2002.

Holiday Park Golf Course also holds an annual junior junior open tournament for any child 12 years of age and under. This tournament is held on the Executive Nine Course. The tournament

goes for two days and they play four shortened holes each day. Participants get a trophy and a barbeque is provided for them after the final round. In 2002, the cost of the entry fee for the junior junior open was \$10, green fees included. There were 36 junior juniors who participated in last year's event.

The municipal golf courses have a working relationship with elementary and high schools that allow classes to play some holes of golf and use the driving range and putting greens at each facility. The municipal golf courses promote use of their facilities to school groups with per hole green fee billing and reduced driving range rates. School classes often utilize our golf facilities during their one-hour physical education class, which allows each student to play three to five holes of golf. These schools are then invoiced for the total number of holes played as opposed to each individual being billed for our junior 9 hole rate.

The municipal golf courses and the Willows Golf and Country Club have been working in conjunction with the Kinsmen Kids Golf Foundation since 1999 to help under-privileged children get started in the game of golf. The children involved in this program are given the opportunity to play nine holes for free and their big brother or big sister are given a 40 percent discount on their nine hole rate. The Kinsmen Club of Saskatoon pays for the discounted rounds of golf. Golf clubs for the children to use are also provided by the Foundation. The program had a total of 69 junior usages at the municipal golf courses for the 2002 golf season. There is no other golf program that covers 100 percent of the cost for kids to play in the province of Saskatchewan. The Foundation is also hoping to expand the program to introduce more children to play the game of golf and is hopeful to keep the program in place at the municipal golf courses and the Willows for many years to come.

Holiday Park Golf Course, in conjunction with the C.P.G.A. of Saskatchewan, has been working with the Special Olympics for the 2001 and 2002 golf seasons. The program in place gives the Special Olympic children the opportunity for free introductory golf lessons at the driving range and putting green, plus all the participants are introduced to a game of golf as a fun wind up for the program. The Special Olympics Golf Program has been held at Holiday Park Golf Course for the past two seasons and is expected to continue into the 2003 golf season.

The comparison of junior rates with the other golf courses around Saskatoon is shown on Attachment 1. Only the municipal golf courses have a junior junior (12 years of age and under) rate for nine holes. The junior rates at the municipal golf courses are the same, seven days a week. The junior junior rates are only available Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. There are no restrictions for juniors to play golf at the municipal golf courses, unlike other local golf courses. The junior rates at the municipal golf courses are less than the junior rates at the other golf courses in the city. (See Attachment 1.) Please note that the junior season pass rate formula is based on twenty rounds of the 18-hole junior rate, whereas the adult and senior season pass rates are based on forty rounds of their 18-hole rates.

### Program and Services that Address Cost as a Barrier

In recognition that there are people of all ages whose barrier to participation is cost, the City of Saskatoon offers the following program.

**Accessibility Subsidy Program**: In 1989, the Leisure Services Department (now the Community Services Department) received funding approval from City Council to implement the Accessibility Subsidy Program for economically-disadvantaged people.

The purpose of the Accessibility Subsidy Program is to provide assistance to that portion of Saskatoon's residents whose barrier to participation in leisure activities is the cost of admission or transportation to programs and services provided by the Community Services Department. The Accessibility Subsidy Program facilitates accessibility for economically-disadvantaged residents of Saskatoon, without distorting the general revenue and pricing strategies of the Department.

There are four components under the Accessibility Program:

- a) General Admissions and Registered Programs budgeted cost for this program component is \$53,600 in 2002
- b) Transportation budgeted cost for this program component is \$9,100
- c) Facility Rentals budgeted cost for this program component is \$16,300; and
- d) Target Programs budgeted cost for this program component is \$17,000

Each component is designed to meet a particular need and to accommodate the greatest number of people. The Department has built flexibility into the specific components of the program to allow participants the ability to choose an activity at a location and time of their choice.

In the opinion of the Administration, should City Council wish to increase subsidization to youth participating in golf, it should be funded from budgetary allocations within the Accessibility Program. The options within this program are to add to the mill rate to increase the amount of funds specifically targeted to youth or to redirect a portion of the current allocation to youth. It should be noted that current demands on the Accessibility Program exceed the available funding. Therefore, if funds are redirected to the current allocation for youth, it would put additional pressure on the grant program that already has more demand than it can meet. Currently, the program has not provided assistance to individuals for golf fees as it would also require assistance with provision of golf equipment and lessons.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Comparison of Golf Course Junior Fees
- A4) Request For Encroachment Agreement 122 –2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue North Lot 45, Block 150, Plan 99-SA-32572 (File No. CK 4090-2)

# that City Council recognize the encroachment at 122 – 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue North (Lot 45, Block 150, Plan 99-SA-32572); that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and, that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

James D. Zimmer, Architect, on behalf of Victory Construction Ltd., the owner of the property located at  $122 - 2^{nd}$  Avenue North, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached sketch, the owner is planning to construct a fire escape at the rear of the building that will encroach upon the City owned lane. The total area of encroachment is approximately  $3.91\text{m}^2$  and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.00. This request has been reviewed by both the Development Services Branch of the Community Services Department and by the Municipal Engineering Section of the Infrastructure Services Department. There were no objections expressed by either department.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Letter from James D. Zimmer, Architect, dated March 27, 2003.
- 2. Sketch Plan showing location and size of the encroachment.
- A5) Request For Encroachment Agreement 269 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South
  Lot 45, Block 152, Plan 99SA35105
  (File No. CK 4090-2)

# that City Council recognize the encroachment at 269 – 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South (Lot 45, Block 152, Plan 99SA35105); that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

Cuelenaere, Kendall, Katzman & Watson, Barristers & Solicitors on behalf of Cinepost Films Ltd, the owner of the property located at  $269 - 3^{rd}$  Avenue South, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached Survey Certificate, part of the building encroaches onto 20th Street and  $3^{rd}$  Avenue. The total area of encroachment is approximately  $1.24m^2$  and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.00.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**:

- 1. Letter from Cuelenaere, Kendall, Katzman & Watson, Barristers & Solicitors, dated April 7, 2003; and
- 2. Survey Certificate dated May 7, 1981.
- A6) Easement Requirement SaskPower
  Arbor Creek Neighbourhood
  Municipal Reserve MR7, Saskatoon, Plan 96-S-28729
  (File No. CK. 4090-3)

# **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council grant an easement to SaskPower as outlined on the attached plan; and 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized.

2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate agreement as prepared by the City Solicitor.

### ADOPTED.

Carol A. Moore, on behalf of SaskPower's Land Department, has requested the City's approval for an easement over Municipal Reserve MR7, Saskatoon, Plan 96-S-28729, as shown outlined on Attachment 1. The purpose of this easement is to provide underground servicing to the adjacent area.

The Community Services Department and Infrastructure Services Department have no objection to the granting of this easement to SaskPower.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Part of Registered Plan 96-S-28729
- A7) Easement Requirement SaskEnergy 303 Nelson Road Lot 1, Block 436, Saskatoon, Plan No. 01SA04536 (File No. CK 4090-3)

# **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council grant an easement to SaskEnergy as outlined on the attached plan; and

2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate agreement as prepared by the City Solicitor.

### ADOPTED.

Heather Shepperd, on behalf of SaskEnergy's Land Services, has requested the City's approval for a three meter easement on, Lot 1, Block 436, Plan 01SA04536, as outlined on Attachment 1. The purpose of this easement is to provide right-of-way access to the gas facilities.

The Community Services Department and Infrastructure Services Department have no objection to the granting of this easement to SaskEnergy.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Part of Registered Plan of Survey 01SA04536

A8) Communications to Council

From: Reginald G. Cawood (Cawood Walker Demmans Baldwin)

**Date:** June 19, 2002

**Subject:** Safety of Patrons at the Sutherland Hotel

(File No. CK 281-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

At its meeting held on June 24, 2002, City Council considered a letter submitted by Reginald G. Cawood (Cawood Walker Demmans Baldwin) regarding the safety of patrons at the Sutherland Hotel. City Council resolved that the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

### **REPORT**

Mr. Cawood is a solicitor representing the owners of the Sutherland Hotel. In his letter to City Council, dated June 19, 2002, Mr. Cawood expressed concern for the safety of patrons at the Sutherland Hotel due to the actions of patrons at the Athena Family Restaurant. Refer to Attachment 1.

On September 24, 2001, City Council approved a discretionary use application to convert the Athena Family Restaurant/Lounge to a restaurant and brew pub with an off-sale outlet. As part of this development, a second floor deck was constructed. The deck is adjacent to 109<sup>th</sup> Street and is across the street from the Sutherland Hotel. The deck is approximately 65 feet from the property line of the Sutherland Hotel.

In his letter to City Council, Mr. Cawood alleges that in separate incidents, patrons at the Athena Family Restaurant threw a rock and a drinking glass from the deck of the Athena Family Restaurant, into the Sutherland Hotel's volleyball court and outdoor seating area. No one was injured, however Mr. Cawood states that the objects narrowly missed patrons, and that patrons of the Sutherland Hotel were upset by the incident.

The owner of the Athena Family Restaurant indicated he had no knowledge of the incident at the time, and his staff did not witness any objects being thrown from the deck. However, the owner indicated they will take all reasonable steps to mitigate the risk of such occurrences in the future, and will ensure there is at least one staff person on the deck at all possible times.

The Community Services Department has discussed the situation with representatives of the Sutherland Hotel and Athena Family Restaurant. Both parties agree that the measures being taken by Athena Family Restaurant are reasonable. The Community Services Department will monitor the situation over the summer months.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy NO. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Letter dated June 19, 2002 from Reginald G. Cawood of Cawood Walker Demmans Baldwin
- A9) Enquiry Councillor L. Swystun (February 24, 2003) Enhanced Accessibility Policy and Guidelines (File No. CK 500-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the report be received as information; and
- 2) that the direction of City Council issue with regards to the preferred option for pursuing accessibility issues on a corporate-wide basis.

### **BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Swystun at the meeting of City Council on February 24, 2003:

"Our City, like any other City, must adhere to National Building Codes and other Federal and Provincial legislation, policies and guidelines in order to deal with issues of accessibility. This includes such things as: bathrooms that provide for wheelchair accessibility, ramps into buildings, etc. However, concerns over issues of accessibility continue to come forward to Council as our population increases and ages; whether this be in the form of dealing with requests for more audible light crossings for sight challenged individuals, to providing timely snow removal for people who use scooters or wheel chairs, or simply have mobility challenges. Given this, would the Administration, using an accessibility lens, please report on what policies and/or guidelines we, as a City, currently have in place to deal with access challenges around our city; identify where any gaps or

weaknesses in these policies and/or guidelines exist; and improvement options to strengthen our existing policies, procedures and guidelines. Particular attention should be given to access on municipal lands, municipal buildings, rampings, railings, street crossings, etc."

On October 15, 2001, the Executive Committee considered a report dated September 10, 2001 from the General Manager, Corporate Services Department on the subject of a Proposed Advisory Committee on Access. This report was in response to a discussion at the Executive Committee at its June 11, 2001 meeting, resulting from information provided by the Mayor regarding the City of Regina's Advisory Committee on Access. At its October 15, 2001 meeting, the Executive Committee received the report from the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, as information and resolved that the matter be brought forward after the Social Policy Development Round Table has been in operation for one year.

### **REPORT**

In consultation with the other General Managers, the General Manager, Community Services Department has updated the information provided in the previous report submitted by the General Manager, Corporate Services Department. This report has been forwarded to the City Manager and is attached as information. The report dated April 14, 2003 to the City Manager, provides a summary of the existing access-related practices with the civic departments.

The following are extracts from the City's Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw which address access in a general or specific fashion:

### City of Saskatoon Development Plan Bylaw No. 7799

"Compact City Form

a) The development of a compact and efficient urban form shall be encouraged by setting overall density guidelines for new residential development areas, facilitating infill development in existing residential, commercial and industrial areas, and gradually increasing the overall density of the City.

Suburban Centres

Suburban Centres are the primary mixed-use focal point for a Suburban Development Area, providing commercial, institutional and recreational lands and activities serving the basic needs of the Suburban Development Area population. Medium to high density residential development is encouraged within Suburban Centres. Suburban Centres shall be designed as comprehensively planned areas, promoting a compatible integration of land uses and development densities.

Transit Routes

a) Wherever possible, potential transit routes shall be provided within a walking distance of 450 m of one-unit dwellings and townhouses, within 250 m of medium and high density multiple-unit dwellings, and within 150 m of special needs housing, and other uses that generate high demand for transit services. Subdivisions shall be designed so that collector streets within the area can be used for transit routes if required.

### 14.0 Urban Design and Design Review

### 14.0.1 Objectives:

a) To encourage a high standard of urban design throughout the City, promoting a physical and natural environment that is attractive, stimulating, functional, safe, and accessible, in harmony with our heritage and values;"

### Zoning Bylaw No. 7800 of the City of Saskatoon

### "5.25 Density Bonus for Accessible Dwelling Units

- (1) A density bonus may be granted to any qualifying multiple-unit residential development in the RMTN, RM2, RM3, RM4, RM5, M2, M3, M4, B5 or B6 zoning districts that provides accessible dwelling units. The bonus shall consist of:
  - (a) An additional 5 m<sup>2</sup> of floor area per accessible dwelling unit to be allowed over and above the maximum permitted floor area of the subject district;
  - (b) A reduction in the parking requirement, where applicable, from 1.5 spaces per dwelling unit to one space per dwelling unit, provided the one space has a minimum dimension of 3.9 m by 6.0 m; however, where two accessible parking spaces are located side by side, they may be reduced in size to 2.4 m by 6.0 m, with a shared access aisle of 1.5 m by 6.0 m.
- (2) Each qualifying accessible dwelling unit shall meet the following standards:
  - (a) Qualifying dwelling units must be constructed concurrently with the other units in a residential development, and where the phasing of construction is necessary, each phase shall ensure that the qualifying units are made available for sale or rent at the same time as the other units in the development;

- (b) Qualifying units must be integrated into the overall design and distributed throughout the development. The units shall be of similar quality in terms of interior and exterior materials and appointments, and shall have similar amenities as unrestricted units;
- (c) Qualifying units must be located in a building which has barrier free access, including:
  - (i) All pathways and walkways to the building must:
    - (i) be a minimum of 1.1 m wide;
    - (ii) have a slope not more than 1:20;
    - (iii) have ramps at curbs with a slope not more than 1:12.
  - (ii) All landings at the top and bottom of stairs or ramps must be at least 1.5 m by 1.5 m;
  - (iii) All exterior doorways must be a minimum of 810 mm wide, with lever handles located no more than 1.2 m above floor level; and
  - (iv) All qualifying units must be located on a main floor or have elevator access to upper or lower floors.
- (d) Qualifying units must meet the following accessibility standards within dwelling units:
  - (i) All interior doorways must be a minimum of 810 mm wide, with lever door handles located no more than 1.2 m above floor level;
  - (ii) A 1.5 m minimum turning radius must be provided in entranceways, kitchens and in at least one bathroom;
  - (iii) Windows in living areas on the ground floor must have a maximum sill height of 800 mm;
  - (iv) Window operating mechanisms must be a lever-type crank, located no higher than 1.2 m above floor level;
  - (v) Light switches, thermostats, security intercoms, and towel racks must be no more than 1.2 m above floor level;
  - (vi) Bathroom and bedroom walls must be provided with structural support for the installation of grab bars and other mobility aids."

These two bylaws encourage accessible development and provide directives to both reward and guide accessible construction. No other policies were noted in our research.

### **JUSTIFICATION**

The previous section responds to the first part of the enquiry. As there are no measurable standards by which to benchmark a desired level of achievement, it is not possible to respond to answer the second part of the enquiry which requests the Administration to identify gaps or weaknesses in existing policies. Consequently, without a base of information regarding gaps and weaknesses, it is also not possible to provide improvement options requested in the third part of the enquiry.

In order to address the second and third parts of this enquiry, it would be necessary to develop a comprehensive policy on the issue of accessibility as has recently been done for Race Relations. The policy would identify vision, key strategies to achieve that vision, key stakeholders, desired outcomes, and performance indicators.

Such a policy development effort will require a significant amount of resources to undertake research, public consultations, formalizing policy, preparing an implementation plan, and developing a sustainable resource plan to implement the City's role in pursuing the policy directive.

An option to developing a policy directive would be to incorporate an accessibility mandate into all existing social policies and advisory committee terms of reference. This would serve to keep accessibility as a "top of mind" consideration on a Corporate-wide front. If this option were selected, it should be referred to the Administration to prepare an appropriate mandate statement/directive

Should City Council wish to pursue a policy development effort as described above, the Administration is suggesting that the terms of reference for such a review should be prepared through the Executive Committee of City Council, and that the resources for this project should be considered during the 2004 Capital Budget.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Summary of existing Access-related service considerations.

Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item AA73 of Communications was brought forward and considered.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to a public meeting of the Executive Committee for further discussion, in conjunction with other outstanding accessibility files, to develop an accessibility policy.

A10) Municipal Enterprise Zone Newestern Construction 318 Avenue K South (File No. CK 1965-1)

# that City Council approve a one-year tax abatement of the incremental taxes for construction of a new office and warehouse building at 318 Avenue K South;

- 2) that the one-year tax abatement be effective upon completion of the project, and obtaining all necessary building and plumbing permits, as outlined in Attachment 1 of this report; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary bylaw and agreement.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

### Purpose of Enterprise Zone

On June 24, 2002, City Council approved the creation of a Municipal Enterprise Zone. The Enterprise Zone included seven core neighbourhoods and two core industrial areas. The purpose of the Enterprise Zone is to:

- encourage businesses to locate or expand their operations in the Enterprise Zone in order to create more economic activity within this area;
- encourage property owners and developers to invest in the renovation, expansion or creation of new housing in the Enterprise Zone;
- encourage new investment in the Enterprise Zone and increase consumer and investor confidence in this area of Saskatoon; and
- demonstrate the City's commitment to improving conditions in the Enterprise Zone.

It was noted in 2002 by the Administration that the seven core neighbourhoods and two core industrial areas are at risk of falling further behind the rest of the City in terms of income, job creation, economic opportunity, property values, owner-occupancy, and some essential commercial services. An Enterprise Zone is an economic development tool, which can be used to help reduce the deterrents associated with developing in the core. The Enterprise Zone complements the existing City-wide incentive programs already offered by the City.

### **REPORT**

### Description of Project

On April 10, 2003, the Municipal Enterprise Zone Adjudication Committee considered an application from Newestern Construction for construction of a new office and warehouse building at 318 Avenue K South in the Riversdale neighbourhood. A one unit dwelling was demolished on this site in February/March of 2003. The 300 block of Avenue K South is zoned IL1 (light industrial). Newestern Construction focuses on commercial construction and renovation projects. They are currently located in the R.M. of Vanscoy and hope to move into their new location in Saskatoon in June 2003 (please refer to Attachment 1).

Newestern Construction's application for incentives under the Municipal Enterprise Zone was made through the SREDA (Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority) office. SREDA conducted a thorough review of the application by Newestern and has determined that the project warrants consideration for incentives under the Enterprise Zone. Newestern Construction has applied for a one-year property tax abatement (increment only) and a rebate of a Phase 1 and 2 Environmental Screening. The estimated value of incentives is:

One year Property Tax Abatement: \$6,221.39
 Rebate Phase 1 and 2 Environmental Screening Charges: \$4,874.58

Total: \$11,095.97

SREDA will conduct a follow-up inspection to ensure that the project is completed according to the proposal described in Attachment 1.

### Reasons for Support

The Adjudication Committee supports this application for the following reasons:

- 1. It will assist in the relocation of a new business from outside Saskatoon into the Riversdale neighbourhood.
- 2. It will assist in the occupancy of a currently vacant site.
- 3. It will add to the employment base of the Enterprise Zone.
- 4. It will assist in the construction of a new office and warehouse building in an area that needs new investment.
- 5. Overall, this application fits entirely within the intent of the Enterprise Zone program.

### **Financial Impact**

The tax abatement is on the incremental taxes based on property improvements and, as such, is foregone revenue and will not be charged to the Enterprise Zone account. The Phase 1 and 2 Environmental Screening Charges will be charged to the Enterprise Zone account. As of March 2003, there is approximately \$463,000 remaining in the Enterprise Zone account.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Project Proposal from Newestern Construction.
- 2. Location Plan of 318 Avenue K South.
- 3. Photos of 318 Avenue K South
- A11) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department For the Period Between March 27, 2003 to April 23, 2003 (For Information Only)

  (File No. CK 4000-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

### Discretionary Use

Application No. D3/03:
 Applicant:
 Louis Dupuis

Legal Description: Lot 4A, Block 20, Registered Plan No. 97S06748

Current Zoning: R2

Proposed Use: Secondary Suite
Neighbourhood: Forest Grove
Date Received: March 31, 2003

Discretionary Use

Application No. D4/03:
 Applicant:
 2310 Richardson Road
 Irene and Howard Myhre

Legal Description: Lot 7, Block 448, Plan 61S17572

Current Zoning: R2

Proposed Use: Day Care
Neighbourhood: Westview
Date Received: April 16/03

Subdivision

• Application No.16/03: 37<sup>th</sup> Street West

Applicant: Digital Mapping Systems for City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Block 959, Registered Plan 80S21130

Current Zoning: R1A

Neighbourhood: N.W. Development Area

Date Received: March 31, 2003

**Subdivision** 

• Application No.17/03: Pawlychenko Lane

Applicant: Digital Mapping Systems for City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Part of Lot 1, Block 432; Part of Lot 2, Block 433,

Plan 01SA09948

Current Zoning: RM4

Neighbourhood: Lakewood Suburban Centre Date Received: March 31, 2003-04-01

Subdivision

• Application No.18/03: 3302 Dieppe Street

Applicant: Peters Surveys for James John Shuparski Legal Description: Part of Lot 1, Block 15, Registered Plan G831

Current Zoning: R2

Neighbourhood: Montgomery Place Date Received: March 31, 2003

Subdivision

• Application No.19/03: Beckett Green

Applicant: Webster Surveys for Northridge Devs. Corp.

Legal Description: Part of Parcel J2, Plan 101568483

Current Zoning: RM2

Neighbourhood: Arbor Creek
Date Received: April 17, 2003

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy NO. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use D3/03
- 2. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use D4/03
- 3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 16/03
- 4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 17/03
- 5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 18/03
- 6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 19/03

#### A12) Communications to Council

From: Mike Lieffers, Coordinator

**NSR Wakeboard Club** 

**Date:** March 3, 2003

Subject: Request to Use South Saskatchewan River (Kiwanis Park South)

to Operate NSR Real Riders Wakeboard Club's Activities

(<u>File No: CK</u> 5520-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

#### **BACKGROUND**

During it March 24, 2003 meeting, City Council considered the above-noted letter with respect to a request to use the South Saskatchewan River (Kiwanis Park South) to operate the NSR Real Riders Wakeboard Club's activities. City Council passed a motion that the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

The *North Saskatchewan Riders Ltd* (NSR) is a registered non-profit organization committed to promoting and teaching the sport of wakeboarding. They also offer to member and non-members the opportunity to participate in coaching, officiating, and boat-driving skill courses. Their preferred site for wakeboarding is on the South Saskatchewan River between the University and Sid Buckwold Bridges. The Club is requesting advice from the City on the "conditions and/or permits necessary to proceed with operating on the South Saskatchewan River – Kiwanis Park South."

#### **REPORT**

The principal authority for control and oversight of recreational vessels resides with the Canadian Coast Guard with whom Transport Canada cooperates. The Coastguard (the Office of Boating Safety) is responsible for the licensing and registration of pleasure craft and regulates waterways. The City of Saskatoon does not have a role in approving the boating activity on the river. In the case of the privately-owned Shearwater tour boating operation, approval from City Council (and subsequent lease agreement) was necessary since the operation required the use of the City-owned dock at the Mendel Art Gallery.

In discussions with the Wakeboard Club, they have clarified that they do not require the use of park space for conducting their ongoing club program. Therefore, there is no booking permit required. Watercraft operators have access to the South Saskatchewan River inside the city limits at the boat launch adjacent to the Gathercole building. There is no permit required to utilize this access area.

The site for the 2003 Wake Board Nationals is Kiwanis Park South. The booking application for the use of park space for this event has been reviewed and approved by Leisure Services and Infrastructure Services staff to ensure the City's requirements for the use of the park will be met.

Because of the continued popularity of the City's riverbank parks and the amount of activities and events scheduled each summer, Leisure Services staff appreciate that this club has taken the initiative to inform staff about their new program. The Club has been invited to join with other watercraft operators at an annual "River Users" meeting with the Meewasin Valley Authority and the City to discuss issues of water volume flow rates, special events, and other issues surrounding their respective activity on the South Saskatchewan River. This year, the meeting will include a discussion on the needs of the watercraft operators at the proposed riverbank park adjacent to the Gathercole site.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Letter from NSR Real Riders Wake Board Club, dated March 3, 2003

#### **Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES**

B1) Enquiry – Councillor D. Atchison (March 10, 2003) Funding of Sask Place (File No. CK. 611-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Councillor D. Atchison made the following enquiry at the meeting of Council on March 10, 2003:

"Would the Administration report on all funding arrangements with Sask Place since its proposed construction up to and including Sask Place's latest financial statement. Has Sask Place defaulted on any of its agreements with the City which include productivity loans and most recently a new maintenance reserve. If Sask Place has defaulted on any of its payments, please report on which ones were defaulted along with amounts and year."

#### **REPORT**

Sask Place fully funds its operations and reserve contributions (with the exception of the Civic Buildings Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve) through a variety of revenues (including concession sales, ticket sales, sponsorships, facility rentals, box office returns). Since its incorporation, Sask Place has established three reserves: a Revenue Stabilization Reserve, an Equipment Replacement Reserve and a Capital Enhancement Reserve. Funding and expense criteria are outlined within Board approved policies, with City Council review during the budget process.

Funding for the initial construction of Sask Place was cost shared with the Province. The City's portion was funded through debenture issues totaling \$9,443,000, resulting in annual payments of \$1,310,800 for approximately 12 years. The City also provided funding for start-up equipment (a down payment of \$166,000 and an internal loan of \$1,492,000 with annual payments of \$253,300 for 10 years) and provided a one-time provision (\$65,200) to establish their Equipment Replacement Reserve. Debt began to retire in 1999 and provided the opportunity to begin funding the Civic Buildings Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve on behalf of Sask Place.

The City assisted Sask Place with their seat expansion project in 1990. The total cost of the project was \$1,768,000. Financing included \$172,000 from Sask Place, a \$728,000 productivity improvement loan (at 10.5%), and \$868,000 from the City's Revenue Stabilization Reserve (prior year surpluses). The loan was subsequently refinanced in 1993 at 8.625%.

In 1995, the City determined that there was a problem with the concrete flooring at Sask Place. In 1996, City Council approved \$300,000 from the City's Reserve for Capital Expenditures for the repairs to the floor. This project was completed at a cost of \$285,171.37. The City was eventually reimbursed with \$164,510.00, which was recovered from the original contractor.

With respect to productivity improvement loans, the City treats Sask Place similar to its departments. Assuming a sound business case, Sask Place is given the opportunity to borrow funds at current interest rates based on a five-year repayment schedule. The repayment is supported by either cost savings or additional revenues. Sask Place has received six loans under this policy, which provided funding for the following projects:

- moveable private viewing boxes (approved in 1994 for \$150,000 at 9.1%)
- capital renovations (approved in 1996 for \$230,000 at 6.0%)
- Suites/Lounge/Lobby (approved in 1998 for \$375,000 at 5.5%)
- Back Stage Lounge (approved in 1998 for \$50,000 at 5.0%)
- Large Screen Video (approved in 1998 for \$160,000 at 5.0%)
- Expansion to the Mezzanine Area (approved in 1999 for \$80,000 at 5.25%)

Recognizing a reduction in cash flow in 2001, one of the things Sask Place pursued was to approach the City with a request to refinance their existing loan portfolio. This resulted in the consolidation and refinancing of their loans over a five-year period at 5%. An additional productivity improvement loan was approved in July of 2002 for \$58,850 at 5.1%. No payments have been defaulted.

With respect to operations, Sask Place has experienced operating deficits over and above the balance available in their Revenue Stabilization Reserve for both 2001 (\$269,963) and 2002 (\$280,000). These deficits were reported and funded as part of the City's respective yearend results.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

## B2) Agreement Respecting Sask911 Service (File No. CK. 270-3)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 23.

B3) Standard & Poor's
Canadian Ratings – City of Saskatoon
(File No. CK 1500-4)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached, for City Council's information, is a copy of the 2003 issuer credit rating report recently prepared and released by Standard and Poor's, re-affirming the City of Saskatoon's AAA credit rating. The rationale for this rating (the highest available) includes:

"a low level of debt, a healthy level of cash and investments, very impressive operating performances, and a superior level of fiscal flexibility."

In addition, Standard & Poor's concludes that the outlook for Saskatoon is stable – that past operating performances are expected to continue and the local economy and population base will continue their slow steady growth.

The full report is attached for Council's information.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Standard and Poor's Canadian Ratings Report – City of Saskatoon

#### ADDENDUM TO ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 8-2003

#### **Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES**

B4) City of Saskatoon – 2003 Operating Budget (File Nos. 1704-1 and 1905-5)

# that City Council consider Bylaw 8204, The Tax Levy Authorization Bylaw, 2003; 2) that City Council consider Bylaw 8205, The Mill Rate Factors Bylaw, 2003;

- 3) that City Council consider Bylaw 8206, The Riversdale Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003; and,
- 4) that City Council consider Bylaw 8207, The Broadway Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003;
- 5) that City Council consider Bylaw 8208, The Downtown Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003;
- 6) that City Council consider Bylaw 8209, The Sutherland Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003.

#### ADOPTED.

#### **REPORT**

On April 7, 2003, City Council adopted the recommendations of its Budget Committee with respect to the 2003 tax levy. In response to the budget resolutions for each taxing authority (including the attached letters from the School Boards), and the 2003 impact of the tax policy decisions previously approved by City Council, the Administration has determined the 2003 uniform mill rate for each taxing authority and the mill rate factors for each property class.

#### 2003 Mill Rate Factors:

The process to set the mill rate factors initially requires the tax roll to be rebalanced due to the changes in assessment within the various property classes to arrive at a revenue-neutral growth using the same effective tax rates as in 2002.

In 2003, the assessment of properties on the preliminary roll generated a natural growth in the various property classes at varying proportions (e.g. Commercial sector at higher tax rates could generate additional revenue if the mill rate factors are not adjusted to maintain the same proportion of revenue by class as in the previous year). This rebalancing is done to determine the "natural revenue-neutral growth" of assessment on the roll since revenue cannot be generated from mill-rate factors. Mill rate factors are only to be used to redistribute tax dollars between property classes without changing total tax revenue.

The new mill rate factors also reflect the previously Council-adopted tax policy that shifts taxes from the commercial and multi-residential property rate classes to residential and condominium rate classes over a 10-year period. At the conclusion of that period, the commercial effective tax rate, excluding any contingency funding, would be 1.75 times the residential and condominium rate. 2003 is the third year of the planned tax shift.

Rebalancing the roll and the planned tax shift result in the following changes to the mill-rate factors:

<b>Property Class</b>	2003 Mill	2002 Mill
	Rate Factor	Rate Factor
Residential	0.8513	0.8384
Condominium	0.8513	0.8384
Multi-unit Residential	1.3592	1.4191
Commercial & Industrial	1.3247	1.3462

#### 2003 Uniform Mill Rate:

The Budget increases for 2003, approved by Council on April 7, 2003, are reflected in the uniform mill rates for the City and Library Taxing Authorities. The Public and Catholic School Board increases, as approved by their Boards, are reflected in the Schools Taxing Authority Mill rate.

The commercial sector has also agreed to a contingency, at the same level as in 2002 to cover pending assessment appeals, the continued repayment of an appeal decision from 2001, and the funding for the implementation of the income approach for assessment.

Tax Authority	2003 Uniform	2002 Uniform
	Mill Rate	Mill Rate
City	12.9327	12.5911
Library	1.5579	1.4790
Schools	<u>18.2200</u>	<u>17.7000</u>
Total	32.7106	31.7701

#### **Impact of Changes:**

Expressed against the fair value of property in each property class, the combination of budget and tax policy decisions for 2003 result in the following effective tax rates (with previous year comparisons):

<b>Property Class</b>		City	Library	Schools	Total	2002	% Chg
Residential		0.77067	0.09284	1.08575	1.94926	1.86452	+4.55467%
Condominium		0.77067	0.09284	1.08575	1.94926	1.86452	+4.54467%
Multi-unit		1.23047	0.14823	1.73352	3.11222	3.15595	-1.38552%
Residential							
Commercial	&	1.70734	0.20567	2.40536	4.31837	4.26160	+1.33204%
Industrial							

The change in tax dollars (and the percentage change), based on a property with a 2003 fair value assessment of \$100,000 is provided below for each property class:

#### **Residential and Condominium**

	City	%	Library	%	Schools	%	Total	%
2002 Taxes	\$ 739		\$ 87		\$ 1,039		\$ 1,865	
2003 Budgets	21	2.82	5	5.38	30	2.92	56	2.97
2003 Tax Policy	11	1.51	1	1.51	16	1.54	28	1.53
2003 Taxes	\$ 771	4.33	\$ 93	6.89	\$ 1,085	4.43	\$ 1,949	4.50

#### **Multi-Unit Residential**

	City	%	Library	%	Schools	%	Total	%
2002 Taxes	\$ 1,251		\$ 147		\$ 1,758		\$ 3,156	
2003 Budgets	32	2.56	7	4.92	50	2.86	89	2.84
2003 Tax Policy	-53	-4.24	-6	-4.24	-74	-4.22	-133	-4.23
2003 Taxes	\$ 1,230	-1.68	\$ 148	0.68	\$ 1,734	-1.36	\$ 3,112	-1.39

#### **Commercial and Industrial**

	City	%	Library	%	Schools	%	Total	%
2002 Taxes	\$ 1,689		\$ 199		\$ 2,374		\$ 4,262	
2003 Budgets	45	2.65	10	5.10	69	2.89	124	2.90
2003 Tax Policy	-27	-1.61	-3	-1.60	-38	-1.58	-68	-1.59
2003 Taxes	\$ 1,707	1.06	\$ 206	3.50	\$ 2,405	1.31	\$ 4,318	1.32

The Tax Levy Authorization Bylaw, 2003 and The Mill Rate Factors Bylaw, 2003 are attached for consideration.

#### 2003 Phase-in Plan

At its special meetings held on February 12 and March 5, 2001, City Council resolved that the tax shift as a result of reassessment be phased in within property classes over four years. 2003 Tax Notices will reflect year three of this four-year phase-in.

#### 2003 BID Levies

City Council has received and referred the budgets submitted by all four Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) to the Administration to calculate the 2003 BID levies for inclusion with 2003 tax billing. The bylaws to establish the levies for each BID are attached for consideration. These bylaws will raise the necessary funds each BID has requested for 2003.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Letter dated April 30, 2003, from Garry Benning, Superintendent of Finance and Administration, Saskatoon Public School Division.
- 2. Letter dated May 1, 2003, from Donald Lloyd, Superintendent, Administrative Services, Saskatoon Catholic Schools.
- 3. Proposed Bylaw 8204 (The Tax Levy Authorization Bylaw, 2003).
- 4. Proposed Bylaw 8205 (The Mill Rate Factors Bylaw, 2003).
- 5. Proposed Bylaw 8206 (The Riversdale Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003).
- 6. Proposed Bylaw 8207 (The Broadway Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003).

- 7. Proposed Bylaw 8208 (The Downtown Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003).
- 8. Proposed Bylaw 8209 (The Sutherland Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003).

#### <u>Section D – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES</u>

1)

D1) 2003 Capital Budget
Capital Project No. 621
Orchestra Pit Lift Project
Request for Proposals
(File No. CK 620-3)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that City Council approve the proposal for services submitted by Gala Division of Paco Corporation for the provision of a new orchestra pit lift within the Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium Theatre, for a total cost of \$211,800; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for the execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

#### ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services issued a request for proposals for the supply of a new Orchestra Pit Lift for the Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium Theatre. This work consists of all technical engineering, the supply and delivery of the lift equipment, co-ordination during installation, equipment commissioning, and a one-year maintenance agreement.

Proposals were locally advertised and sent directly to two Orchestra Pit Lift firms.

One (1) valid Proposal was received on Tuesday, March 25, 2003. This Proposal was reviewed by the Project Consultant firm of Cochrane Engineering Ltd., as well as by the Project Co-ordinator from the Facilities Branch. The low bid is within the development budget for the improvement work. The Proposal submission from Gala Division of Paco Corporation is acceptable to the City of Saskatoon.

The net cost to the City of Saskatoon for the proposal submitted is:

Engineering Fee	\$ 20,500
Orchestra Pit Lift System	\$132,000
Coordinated Installation	\$ 32,500
One Year Maintenance Contract	\$ 2,750
P.S.T.	\$ 11,100
G.S.T.	\$ 12,950
Subtotal	\$211,800
Rebated G.S.T. @ 100%	<u>(\$12,950)</u>
Net Cost to the City	\$198,850

The project is within the approved funding (Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium Equipment Replacement Reserve) of \$250,000.

Due to the 18 - 22 week engineering/delivery time identified in Gala's proposal and the formal Centennial Auditorium Theatre bookings for 2003, actual installation will be completed under a separate renovations contract during the summer of 2004. This will minimize the impact on the Theatre's programming and will ensure the new lift is available before the work begins. The new Orchestra Pit Lift's components will be received and stored at the Saskatoon Centennial Auditorium during this interim period. The vendor has confirmed this schedule is acceptable, and the price quoted will apply.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required

D2) 2003 Capital Budget
Capital Project No. 1616
Portable Lift Station - Award of Sewage Bypass Pump Supply
Request for Proposals
(File No. CK. 7820-1)

<b>RECOMMENDATION:</b>	1)	that City Council approve the proposal for services submitted
		by Nothart Engineering Sales Ltd. for the supply of a sewage
		bypass pump and accessories for a total cost of \$120,867.06;
		and

2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for the execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

#### ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services issued a request for proposals for the supply of a sewage bypass pump and accessories. Proposals were received from seven different suppliers, which are listed below.

Clark's Supply & Service Ltd. Nothart Engineering Sales Ltd.

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Winnipeg, Manitoba

National Process Equipment Bucyrus Canada Ltd. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Edmonton, Alberta

Kinecor Inc Vissers Sales Corp. Saskatoon Saskatchewan Aurora, Ontario

ITT Flygt

Saskatoon Saskatchewan

After a systematic evaluation of the proposals, staff rated a proposal from Nothart Engineering as being superior from a technical perspective.

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Nothart Engineering would be as follows.

Base Fees	\$ 106,962.00
P.S.T.	\$ 6 417.72
G.S.T.	\$ 7,487.34
Total Fees	\$ 120,867.06
G.S.T. Rebate	\$ (4,278.27)
Net Cost to City	\$ 116,588.79

Capital Project No. 1616 has \$120,000 of approved funding allocated in 2003. As such, sufficient funding is in place to allow for the purchase of the sewage bypass pump to proceed.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Poli

## D3) Briarwood Park – Additional Funding (File No. CK. 4205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that \$12,300 in funding from the Electrical Distribution Extension

Reserve be applied to Project 1164 - Briarwood Neighbourhood

Park.

ADOPTED.

#### **REPORT**

The 2000 - 2001 Capital Budgets provided funding for the construction of Briarwood Neighbourhood Park and Briarwood Linear Park. The funding source was identified as the Neighbourhood Park Development Reserve.

During the design and construction of the park, 41 lights were installed in response to the public's desire for lighted pathways. These lights are eligible for funding of \$300 per light from the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve (EDER).

Funding from EDER was not identified at the time the Capital budgets were approved. In order to provide EDER funding to this project, Council approval is required.

#### **JUSTIFICATION**

The pathway lighting, which was installed in Briarwood Park, meets the criteria to which EDER funding is applied.

#### **OPTIONS**

To not approve the EDER funding would result in \$12,300 of the Neighbourhood Park Development Reserve funding being expended on park lighting.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The Electric System Branch of Utility Services has indicated that monies are available from the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve to provide funding for pathway lighting for this project.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

D4) Proposed Lease of City Boulevard Roofmart Western Ltd. at 837 - 50<sup>th</sup> Street East (File No. CK 4070-2)

RECOMMENDATION:	1)	that the City of Saskatoon enter into a Boulevard Lease Agreement with Roofmart Western Ltd. to lease 933.23 square metres of boulevard at 837 – 50 <sup>th</sup> Street East; and
	2)	that the City Solicitor prepare a bylaw and lease agreement for the proposed boulevard lease at $837 - 50^{th}$ Street East.
ADOPTED.		

Infrastructure Services has received an application for Lease of City Boulevard from Roofmart Western Ltd. for the lease of city boulevard at  $837 - 50^{th}$  Street East. The applicant will be using the boulevard area for parking.

The proposed leased area is shown on Plan No. 241-0004-005r001 (Attachment 1) and is zoned heavy industrial. The land area available to be leased is 933.23 square metres.

The cost to lease the boulevard area adjacent to  $837 - 50^{th}$  Street East per year is \$1,261.83 plus GST. Infrastructure Services is in agreement to lease the boulevard for a term of 5 years. A 30-day written notice will be given to cancel the lease by Infrastructure Services if the land is required for future road development.

This proposed lease is acceptable to the City of Saskatoon subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Fencing or curbing will be installed to prevent access from the lease area to Millar Avenue or the remaining boulevard area.
- 2. Access will be only from the adjacent property.
- 3. Leased area will be used for driving or parking.
- 4. Upon termination of the lease, the property will be returned to its original condition.
- 5. The cost to lease the city boulevard is \$1,261.83 + GST per year.

The applicant has agreed that these conditions are acceptable.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Plan No. 241-0004-005r001

# D5) 2003 Capital Budget Capital Project No. 993 - New River Crossings South River Crossing Functional Planning Study Award of Engineering Services (File No. CK 6050-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the proposal from Stantec Consulting Ltd. to conduct public consultations and prepare a functional design for the South River Crossing project at a total upset limit cost of \$170,381.45 including G.S.T. be accepted; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

#### ADOPTED.

At its meeting held on July 15, 2002, City Council considered the Administration's report on the Saskatoon Long Term Transportation Study and resolved in part:

- "3) that City Council reaffirm approval in principle of the proposed south river crossing in the vicinity of the Grand Trunk Bridge;
- 4) that the Administration continue discussions with Saskatchewan Highways and Transportation with the intent of bringing forward a strategy to undertake more detailed functional planning studies of the perimeter road corridor and new river crossings."

In response to City Council's resolutions, the Administration brought forward Capital Project 993 – New River Crossings for consideration during the 2003 Capital Budget debate. This project identified two functional planning studies to be completed in 2003 with partnership funding being provided by Saskatchewan Highways and Transportation. One study would be for more detailed design of the east and north portions of the perimeter road linking Highway 11 in the south, to Highway 16 in the west, including a proposed north river crossing. The other was for continued work on the south river crossing and corridor, with a focus on public consultation toward consensus building on a preferred route.

Project 993 was approved by City Council and as a result, Infrastructure Services issued a request for proposals to conduct public consultations and prepare a functional design for the south river crossing and associated roadways. The second functional planning study dealing with the north river crossing will be handled separately with a second request for proposals later this year.

Proposals for the south river crossing project were received from six consulting firms listed below.

ND Lea Consultants Ltd. Winnipeg, Manitoba

Associated Engineering Ltd. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Stantec Consulting Ltd. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan UMA Engineering Ltd. Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

Earth Tech Canada Inc. Calgary, Alberta

Wardrop Engineering Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

After a systematic evaluation of the proposals, staff rated the proposal from Stantec Consulting Ltd. as being superior from a technical perspective.

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Stantec Consulting Ltd. is as follows.

Base Fees	\$ 159,235.00
G.S.T.	\$ 11,146.45
Total Fees	\$ 170,381.45
G.S.T. Rebate	\$ (4,777.05)
Net Cost to City	\$ 165,604.40

Capital Project 993 - New River Crossings has \$500,000 of approved funding allocated in 2003. The allocated funds will cover functional planning studies for both south and north river crossings. As such, sufficient funding is in place to allow for the provision of these engineering and public consultation services to proceed.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **D6**) **School Signing Revisions** (File No. CK 6280-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the proposed school signing revisions, as set out in the following

report, be approved.

#### ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services has been requested to revise the signing at College Park, Father Robinson, and Princess Alexandra Schools. Consultations with the Principals, representatives of the School Boards, and a member of Infrastructure Services have resulted in the preparation of new school signing plans (using the School Signing Guidelines) to address the particular needs of each facility. The following changes have been reviewed and approved by Infrastructure Services, the School Boards, and the schools' Principals:

- College Park School: The recommended signing change is described below and shown on Plan No. 212-0020-005r003 (Attachment 1):
  - 1. Relocate the existing 'SCHOOL BUS LOADING ZONE' (RB-58L) to a new location west of the school in front of Dr. Gerhard Herzberg Park and install an additional 'PARKING, 5 MINUTES, 08:00-17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' zone (RB-53B) in place of the existing school bus loading zone.

- Father Robinson School: The recommended signing change is described below and shown on Plan No. 212-0029-002r003 (Attachment 2):
  - 2. Extend the existing 'SCHOOL BUS LOADING ZONE' (RB-58L) near the intersection of Cowley Road and Forsyth Way by approximately 10 metres.
- Princess Alexandra School: The recommended signing change along the east side of Avenue H is described below and shown on Plan No. 212-0042-001r002 (Attachment 3):
  - 3. Replace approximately 90 metres of the existing 'PARKING, 5 MINUTES, 08:00-17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' zone (RB-53B) with a 'PARKING, 2 HOURS, 08:00-17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' zone (RB-54L).

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Plan No. 212-0020-005r003
- 2. Plan No. 212-0029-002r003
- 3. Plan No. 212-0042-001r002

#### ADDENDUM TO ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 8-2003

#### **Section E – UTILITY SERVICES**

E1) 2003 Operating Budget Contract #3-0502 Wastewater Treatment Plant – Biosolids Liquid Injection 2003 (File No. CK. 7830-1)

# **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council approve additional funding for Contract #3-0502 in the amount of \$375,000.00 to be funded from the Water and Sewer Utility Stabilization Reserve;

2) that City Council accept the low bid from Trimax Residuals Management Inc. (Edmonton, AB) for the Biosolids Liquid Injection, Contract #3-0502 at a total estimated cost of \$598,600.80, including P.S.T. and G.S.T. (7%); and

3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate agreement as prepared by the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Wastewater Utility Operating Budget includes a total of \$225,000 for the removal and disposal of biosolids, which are residuals generated by the City's wastewater treatment operation and applied to agricultural land.

Tenders were publicly opened on April 29, 2003. The bids, based on estimated quantities including all taxes are as follows:

Bidder	Total Tender Price including P.S.T. and G.S.T. @7%)
Trimax Residuals Management Inc.	\$ 598,600.80
Assiniboine Injections Ltd.	\$ 819,689.55

The commercial and technical bid evaluation was carried out by the consulting firm of LW Diamond Environmental. The lowest bidder meeting specifications, Trimax Residuals Management Inc., was recommended for contract award.

The net cost to the City for the bid submitted by Trimax Residuals would be as follows:

Sub total (including PST)	\$559,440.00
GST (7%)	39,160.80
Total Tender Price	\$598,600.80
G.S.T. Rebate (4%)	22,377.60
. ,	
Net Cost to City	<u>\$576,223.20</u>

The contact amount exceeds the funding available by \$375,000.

In the 2003 Sludge Handling and Disposal operating budget it was estimated that in order to dispose of the wastewater sludge, only one injection operation would be necessary in the spring. Since the level of percentage solids achieved is only half of what was anticipated, double the sludge volume has been generated. As a result both a spring and a fall sludge disposal operation will be necessary. Two injection applications, coupled with projected increased costs due to higher hauling distances, will result in an additional \$375,000 funding requirement.

A capital project scheduled for 2003, which involves the reworking of sludge storage cell piping and the implementation of a coagulant feed system, is expected to eliminate any future problems with sludge settling and any related costs associated with disposal of the increased volume. Studies will be conducted over the summer of 2003 to insure the effectiveness of the proposed coagulant.

The Water and Sewer Utility Stabilization Reserve is sufficient to fund this additional amount. The December 2002 balance in the Reserve was \$1,500,000.

#### **LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2003**

#### Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

A1) Enquiry – Councillor O. Fortosky (March 10, 2003) Reducing City Council's Use of Paper (File No. CK. 255-13)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Fortosky at the meeting of City Council held on March 10, 2003:

"Would the Administration please report on possible ways to reduce Council's use of paper to do its business. Please take into consideration what is being done in other cities."

This office is always mindful of the large amount of paper used by City Council and its committees in conducting its business. Copies of minutes and agendas are only provided to managers who require them to do their job.

Copies of agendas and minutes of all public meetings of City Council and its committees are placed on the City's website. Paper copies are given to individuals or agencies outside of City Hall at a subscription rate that covers the cost of reproduction.

There are several municipalities which now use electronic agendas for virtually all of their business. This has been discussed in the City Clerk's Office over the past several years, and it was felt that such an initiative should be undertaken only if strongly supported by Councillors. During recent renovations to the Council Chambers and Committee Rooms A and E, computer hook ups were installed. City Clerk's Office staff would be happy to work with any Council member who wishes to utilize electronic agendas during Council or Committee meetings.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

# A2) Council Remuneration (File No. CK. 4670-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

During consideration of the 2003 Operating Budget by the Budget Committee at its meeting held on March 31, 2003, it was resolved that the City Clerk report on how the remuneration payable to Council members compares to other Cities.

Attached is a table outlining the results of a survey undertaken by the City of Regina in October, 2001 regarding remuneration of City Council.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

A3) Petition for Referendum
Coalition Against Gambling Expansion in Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 4110-23)

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the information be received; and

2) that there be a special meeting of City Council held on Wednesday, May 14, 2003 at 11:30 a.m., in order to consider a report of the City Clerk regarding sufficiency of the petition.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On April 17, 2003 the following petition was submitted to the City Clerk's Office in accordance with Section 106 of *The Cities Act*:

"Whereas the Council of the City of Saskatoon did at its meeting commencing December 16, 2002 resolve:

That the Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority be advised that City Council supports the Province of Saskatchewan granting a license to a casino to be located at 22<sup>nd</sup> Street and Pacific Avenue, Saskatoon, as proposed to City Council on December 2, 2002 (herein the *resolution of approval*);

The undersigned petitioners request that City Council hold a referendum as to whether the resolution of approval should be repealed and revoked and that Council submit these matters to a vote of the electors:

- 1. Are you in favour of a casino to be located at 22<sup>nd</sup> Street and Pacific Avenue, Saskatoon, as described in the "2002 Saskatoon Casino Project Proposal" presented to City Council on December 2, 2002?
- 2. Do you approve City Council acting to transfer, acquire, sell, exchange, allow or approve the use of City land, City controlled land or interests in land to provide a site for or to accommodate development of new and expanded casino gambling in Saskatoon?

I, being a petitioner signing this petition attest to the fact that I am an elector of the City of Saskatoon, and say that I have not previously signed this petition, state that I have been a resident of Saskatoon for at least 3 months before signing this petition, and of Saskatchewan for 6 months, and that I am 18 years of age or more."

The purpose of this report is to formally advise City Council of the above, and to advise Council and citizens of Saskatoon of the actions to be taken to determine the sufficiency of the petition.

Section 109 of *The Cities Act* states as follows:

- "109 (1) Within 30 days after the date on which a petition is filed, the clerk shall report to the Council on whether the petition is sufficient or insufficient.
  - (2) The clerk's determination as to sufficiency or insufficiency is final.
  - (3) If a petition is not sufficient, the council is not required to take any notice of it."

If the petition is sufficient, City Council is required to submit the matter to a vote prior to the end of the year. Council must approve the wording of the draft resolution(s) as it will appear on the ballot at least eight weeks before the vote. The City Solicitor will report to City Council regarding the wording of the resolution(s) once the report of the City Clerk regarding sufficiency is received.

Section 108 of *The Cities Act* sets out the requirements for counting petitioners:

- "108 (1) The clerk is responsible for determining if a petition is sufficient.
  - (2) No name may be added to or removed from a petition after it has been filed with the clerk
  - (3) In counting the number of petitioners on a petition, the clerk shall exclude the name of any person:
    - (a) whose signature is not witnessed;
    - (b) whose signature appears on a page of the petition that does not have the same purpose that is contained on all the other pages of the petition;
    - (c) whose printed name is not included or is incorrect;
    - (d) whose street address or legal description of land is not included or is incorrect;
    - (e) if the date when the person signed the petition is not stated; or
    - (f) who signed the petition more than 90 days before the date on which the petition was filed with the clerk.

(4) A clerk may use a random statistical sampling method with a 95% confidence level to determine the sufficiency of the petition, instead of counting and checking each petitioner."

Each page of the submitted petition contains a declaration to the effect that the petitioner is an elector of the City of Saskatoon and has not signed the petition previously. However the statement lists several components of being an elector, but does not include being a Canadian citizen. Since it cannot be assumed that all petitioners understood that they needed to be a Canadian citizen to sign the petition, an in depth review of a sample of the petitioners will be undertaken. Dr. Daryl Lindsay, College of Commerce, has prepared a detailed sampling method, using a systematic sampling approach, to generate a sample list of 150 names, as well as 30 replacement names to be used in the event that it is not possible to contact someone in the main sample.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The following are the steps being taken to determine the sufficiency of the petition.

- 1. Review the entire petition, line-by-line.
- 2. Reject any invalid signatures in accordance with Section 108(3) above.
- 3. Determine the total number of potentially valid signatures submitted.
- 4. Generate a list of 180 names, based on the following: Divide the total number of potentially valid signatures on the petition by 180 in order to determine the sampling interval. (For example, if the petition contains 28,000 names, the sample interval would be 155.) To determine where to start, Dr. Lindsay will randomly select a number between 0 and 156. If, for example, the number 36 were selected, then number 36 would be sample item 1, item 2 would be number 191 (i.e. 36 + 155), item 3 would be number 346 (i.e. 191 + 155) and so on until item 180.
- 5. From the 180 numbers generated, randomly select, by computer, 150 numbers to be in the preliminary sample of 150, and 30 numbers for the replacement sample.
- 6. Prepare the list of people to contact from the numbers selected in 5 above.
- 7. Contact the 150 people on the sample list, using the replacement list only when required, and ask the specific questions necessary to determine whether they are electors of the City of Saskatoon. A copy of the script to be used is attached for Council's information.
- 8. Once all 150 people have been contacted, a tally will be made of the number of signatures of ineligible electors, if any. The sample results will then be projected to estimate, with a 95

percent confidence level, the likely number of ineligible electors included in the total petition.

9. Since the petition contains more than 28,000 signatures, and only 21,031 signatures are required, there would need to be more than 7,000 ineligible signatures, or more than 25% of the total signatures, in order for the petition to be rejected. In the unlikely event that the projection referred to in No. 8 above indicates that the petition should be rejected, the sample will be extended by an additional 100 randomly chosen names, and the decision will then be made on a total survey of 250 names.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the information be received.

#### **ADDENDUM TO LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2003**

#### Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

A4) Petition for Referendum
Coalition Against Gambling Expansion in Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 4110-23)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the information be received; and

that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare and bring forward for consideration by City Council at its meeting to be held on June 9, 2003, such bylaws, resolutions and other materials as are necessary so as to submit a referendum to a vote of the electors in accordance with the request of the petitioners.

This report is to be considered along with Clause A3, Legislative Report No. 6-2003.

The review of the petition, the details of which are outlined in the above-noted report, is now complete, and it is the determination of the City Clerk, pursuant to Sections 108 and 109 of *The Cities Act*, that the petition is sufficient.

The petition, which was submitted to the City on April 17, 2003, contained 28,274 signatures. During the preliminary review of the petition, 183 signatures were rejected for reasons such as there being no witness, an incomplete address, or incomplete name.

The rejection of 183 signatures left the petition with 28,091 apparently valid signatures. A primary sample of 150 names, as well as a replacement sample of 30 names, was generated in accordance with the methodology outlined in Clause A3.

Staff in the City Clerk's Office contacted 150 people (131 from the primary sample, and 19 from the replacement sample) by telephone to determine whether they were eligible to sign the petition. Seven signatures were rejected, for the following reasons:

- Three people were not Canadian citizens.
- One person did not reside or own property in Saskatoon.
- One person did not include a complete address (i.e. no apartment number).
- One person indicated that she did not sign the petition.

Dr. Daryl Lindsay, College of Commerce, has advised me that the finding of 7 rejections out of 150 randomly-selected names from a total petition of 28,091 signatures allows me to conclude, with a 95% confidence level, that not more than 2,416 signatures could be invalid. Since 21,031 valid signatures are required in order for the petition to be sufficient, I am satisfied that the petition is indeed sufficient.

Councillor McCann provided Council with a copy of a letter dated May 12, 2003, from Glenn Johnstone, Tribal Chief, Saskatoon Tribal Council, requesting that a vote be taken no later than July 31, 2003.

#### Moved by Councillor McCann,

- 1) that the information be received; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare and bring forward for consideration by City Council at its meeting to be held on May 20, 2003, such bylaws, resolutions and other materials as are necessary so as to submit a referendum to a vote of the electors in accordance with the request of the petitioners.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.

#### Moved by Councillor Penner,

- 1) that the information be received; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare and bring forward for consideration by City Council at its meeting to be held on June 9, 2003, such bylaws, resolutions and other materials as are necessary so as to submit a referendum to a vote of the electors in accordance with the request of the petitioners.

CARRIED.

#### Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

B1) Municipal Enterprise Zone Benesh Bitz and Company - 1630 Quebec Avenue Parcel AA, Plan 79S28939 (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the attached Agreement.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting on September 9, 2002 approved the application by The Professional Building on Quebec Avenue Inc. for a one-year abatement of property taxes on the incremental tax increase with respect to the renovation of an existing warehouse into an office building located at 1630 Quebec Avenue, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. Work is expected to be completed in 2003. The abatement will begin in the 2003 taxation year.

Our Office has prepared the appropriate municipal enterprise zone tax Incentive Agreement. The Agreement has been reviewed by The Professional Building on Quebec Avenue Inc. and is acceptable in its current form. The proposed Agreement is attached for Council's information.

In accordance with Council's policy, this incentive is being authorized by resolution instead of by bylaw.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Proposed Incentive Agreement.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

B2) Municipal Enterprise Zone MEES Holdings Limited 202 Avenue B North (File No. CK. 4110-1)

# that the information be received; and that effective immediately Council shall exercise its powers with respect to granting tax exemptions or abatements by resolution rather than by bylaw.

City Council at its meeting on December 16, 2002 approved a "one year tax abatement of the incremental taxes for improvements at 202 Avenue B North for re-development of an existing warehouse to an architects office". Council also resolved that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary bylaw and agreement.

We have prepared the necessary agreement between MEES Holdings and the City. The agreement has been reviewed by MEES Holdings and the terms are acceptable to the company. A copy of the proposed agreement is attached for Council's information.

Council will note that we have not prepared the usual bylaw in this particular case. Under *The Urban Municipality Act, 1984*, Council could only exempt land or improvements from taxation by bylaw. In order to be consistent, your administration adopted the practice of handling abatements in the same manner. As Council will be aware, when taxes are abated the abatement is done by bylaw.

Under *The Cities Act*, tax exemptions and abatements may be done by resolution. A bylaw is not required by the statute. Unless Council wishes to continue to grant tax exemptions and abatements by bylaw, your administration would recommend that this practice be discontinued. In future, requests for tax exemptions or abatements would be approved by Council by resolution and the City Solicitor would be instructed to prepare the appropriate agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. The Proposed Municipal Incentive Agreement.
- B3) Proposed Lease of City Boulevard
  Alexander's Restaurant & Bar Limited Partnership
  414 Cumberland Avenue North
  (File No. CK. 4070-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8210.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting on October 7, 2002 authorized a lease of a portion of the boulevard at 414 Cumberland Avenue North to Alexander's Restaurant & Bar Limited Partnership for an annual fee of \$200.00, plus Goods and Services Tax. The consent of the Minister of Highways was granted to the proposed lease on December 23, 2002. City Council then directed that the City Solicitor prepare the appropriate Lease and Bylaw.

Proposed Bylaw No. 8210 authorizes the Boulevard Lease attached as Schedule "A" to the Bylaw. The Lease has been executed by Alexander's Restaurant & Bar Limited Partnership who is the owner of the property adjacent to the boulevard.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8210 with attached Schedule "A".

B4) The Cities Act Consequential Amendment Bylaw, 2003 Imprisonment of Individuals for Default of Payment in Fines (File No. 5000-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8211.

ADOPTED.

The Cities Act allows the City to create bylaws that provide for incarceration of individuals who have been convicted of bylaw offences where fines remain unpaid. This section was previously contained in The Urban Municipality Act, 1984. The City could rely upon the section in The Urban Municipality Act, 1984 to impose the default penalty without having to incorporate it into our bylaws. The Cities Act now requires the provision to be contained in our bylaws. This bylaw seeks to incorporate the provision authorised under The Cities Act into the bylaws that are most commonly used in prosecutions, and also into the General Penalty & Enforcement Bylaw.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Proposed Bylaw No. 8211.

B5) 2003 Property Tax Abatements (File No. CK. 1965-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8202.

City Council at its meeting on January 20, 2003 received a report from the Administration and Finance Committee regarding property tax exemptions for 2003. This Office was instructed to prepare the appropriate bylaw to grant the exemptions as set out in the report. Accordingly, we have prepared and enclose Bylaw No. 8202 which exempts from taxation, in whole or in part, in 2003 the various properties designated in the Bylaw.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Proposed Bylaw No. 8202.

The City Solicitor advised Council that Paragraph 2 J in Bylaw No. 8202 should be amended by adding the following after Subparagraph 3:

"4. The portion of Surface Parcel No. 120281790, owned by The Canadian Red Cross Society, 443 Second Avenue North, shown on the assessment roll as No. 49 50 15640.

100% Exemption."

IT WAS RESOLVED: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8202, as amended.

B6) Enquiry - Councillor D. Atchison (March 10, 2003)
Possible Implementation of a "Safe Streets" Bylaw
(File No. CK. 5000-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council receive this as information.

ADOPTED.

Our Office is currently reviewing our Panhandling Bylaw, and as part of that review has considered the provisions of the Safe Streets Act, 1999 of Ontario. Our Office is of the opinion that there is sufficient authority under Section 8 of The Cities Act to enact a bylaw that may incorporate provisions similar to those found in the Safe Streets Act, 1999. However, as part of our review of that Act mentioned above, our Office has been advised that the Act is currently before the Ontario Court of Appeal after a constitutional challenge to the validity of the Act was launched by various anti-poverty groups in that Province. Our understanding is that the Act has not been enforced for the past year while the challenge has been before the courts. The case has been heard by the Court, but it has not yet rendered its decision.

We have further been advised that whatever the result of the Court of Appeal decision, a further appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada is likely, so that final decision may not be available in the case for some period of time. Accordingly, our office does not recommend implementing provisions similar to the Safe Streets Act, 1999 until such time as any constitutional challenges to the validity of the Act have been resolved at the Supreme Court of Canada.

As indicated earlier, our Office is currently working with representatives of the Downtown, Riversdale and Broadway Business Improvement Districts, and representatives of anti-poverty groups to redraft our Panhandling Bylaw. Our current bylaw was modelled after earlier Vancouver and Winnipeg bylaws, both of which have now been repealed. The Vancouver bylaw was the subject of judicial comment that indicated it was unconstitutional. That review and commentary prompted our current review of the constitutionality of our own bylaw, and our efforts to bring our bylaw in line with the current state of the law.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### REPORT NO. 7-2003 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

#### Composition of Committee

Councillor, L. Swystun, Chair Councillor O. Fortosky Councillor T. Paulsen Councillor G. Penner Councillor M. Heidt

1. Audited Financial Statements
Broadway Business Improvement District
(File No. CK. 1711-12)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Bylaw No. 6731 designating the establishment of business improvement districts, provides under Clause 13, that before the 1<sup>st</sup> day of March in each year, the Board shall submit its annual report for the preceding year to the Council, and that report shall include a complete audited and certified financial statement of its affairs, with balance sheet and revenue and expenditure statement.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated March 26, 2003 from Davies, Breen & Hrynewich forwarding the financial statements and audit report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 for the Broadway Business Improvement District. Your Committee has reviewed this information, and is submitting same to City Council as required.

## 2. Limousine Service for the Disabled (File No. CK. 7305-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated April 17, 2003 forwarding a report outlining the level of activity for taxis for the disabled, as requested by City Council on an annual basis. Your Committee has reviewed this report, and agrees that since there have been no requests for change to the service, it appears the current level of disabled taxi licenses is sufficient to meet user demand.

3. 2003 Provision of Civic Services (File No. CK. 1870-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated April 22, 2003, forwarding the list of events and estimated costs for the 2003 Provision of Civic Services Program. Your Committee has reviewed this report, and supports the 2003 Program.

4. 2002-2003 Annual Report Race Relations Committee (File No. CK. 430-29)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Chair, Race Relations Committee dated April 10, 2003 forwarding their 2002-2003 Annual Report. Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Race Relations Committee Chair, Mr. Andrew Morton, and wishes to extend appreciation to the Committee for its significant and valued assistance to the Administration and to the Social Policy Development Round Table during the review of the Race Relations Policy.

5. 2002 Annual Report
Advisory Committee on Animal Control
(File No. CK. 430-63)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Chair, Advisory Committee on Animal Control dated April 23, 2003, forwarding their 2002 Annual Report. Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Advisory Committee's Chair, Mr. Boyd Clark, and wishes to thank the Advisory Committee for its diligence in dealing with issues related to animal control. The Committee supports their proposal to establish an educational campaign to encourage more licensing of pets, and wishes them well in this regard.

6. Exemption from Taxation
The Canadian Red Cross
(File No. CK. 1965-1)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 22.

7. Animal Control Bylaw No. 7860 and Lack of Compliance and Review of Fines and Penalties and Optional Forms of Animal Licensing (File No. CK. 151-15)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 20.

#### **REPORT NO. 3-2003 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE**

#### Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair Councillor D. Atchison Councillor G. Penner Councillor R. Steernberg Councillor K. Waygood

1. Request to Sell City-owned Property
Lots 1, 2, and 4, Block 432, and Lots 2, 6 and 7, Block 433, Plan 01SA09948
Pawlychenko Lane – Lakewood Suburban Centre
(File No. CK. 4215-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell Lots 1, 2 and 4, Block 432 and Lots 2, 6 and 7, Block 433, all in Plan 01SA09948 to the highest bidder through a tender process, with reserve bids as outlined in Attachment No. 3;
- 2) that if the lots are not sold through the tender process they be placed for sale over-the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis;
- 3) that the lots be sold with a condition of sale that would restrict residential construction densities as set out in this report; and
- 4) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation to complete the sale by public tender.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 26, 2003 on the above matter with the Administration, and the Committee supports the recommendations as outlined above:

#### "BACKGROUND

The above-noted lots are located on Pawlychenko Lane within the Lakewood Suburban Centre (see Attachment Nos. 1 and 2). These lots are zoned RM4 which will accommodate medium/high density multiple-unit developments.

Servicing of these six lots was completed in the fall of 2002; however, the lots were not made available for sale due to the number of lots already for sale on adjacent streets. Since that time, the inventory of lots in this area has been reduced and there are currently no lots zoned RM4 for sale in the Suburban Centre

#### REPORT

The purpose of this report is to establish prices for the subject lots on Pawlychenko Lane and to obtain approval to sell the lots through a public tender process.

Each of the lots to be tendered will be advertised with a reserve bid. The Administration recommends that a price of \$190,000.00 per acre be used as the basis for establishing these reserve bids.

The reserve bid prices for the RM4 lots that were sold last fall in the Suburban Centre were based on a price per acre of \$175,000.00. However, when these lots were tendered, both of the bids that were received were significantly higher than the reserve bid price. We therefore recommend that the reserve bids for the proposed lots be increased to \$190,000.00 per acre, which is just below the lowest bidder's price for the adjacent lots.

A listing of the reserve bids for each lot is attached (Attachment No. 3).

Tenders will be awarded to the highest bidder over the reserve bid. If there is any uncertainty regarding the bids received, the appropriate reports and recommendations will be provided to City Council.

Any of the lots that do not sell through the tender will be made available for direct purchase from the Land Branch.

As a condition of sale, we are recommending a minimum density restriction of 20 units per acre. Promoting higher-density residential development within the Suburban Centre adheres to the long-term planning goal of the City's Development Plan.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Plan showing lot zoning in the Lakewood Suburban Centre.
- 2. Plan showing lots proposed for sale on Pawlychenko Lane.
- 3. List of Reserve Bids."
- 2. Request to Sell City-owned Property Lot 1, Block 413, Plan 97S49157 802 Heritage Crescent Lakewood Townhouse Area (File No. CK. 4215-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell Lot 1, Block 413, Plan 97S49157 to the highest bidder through a tender process, with a reserve bid as outlined in this report, plus applicable taxes;
- 2) that if the lot is not sold through the tender process it be placed for sale over-the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis;
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation to complete the sale by public tender; and
- 4) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to administer development controls as a condition of sale for the lot in accordance with the criteria outlined in this report.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 26, 2003 on the above matter with the Administration, and the Committee supports the recommendations as outlined above:

#### "BACKGROUND

The above-noted lot is the last of the City-owned lots to be offered for sale within the context of the Lakewood Townhouse Development Plan, which was endorsed by City Council at its meeting of June 6, 1994.

Although the servicing for this lot was completed in 1998, this lot was not offered for sale at that time for two reasons. Firstly, construction of the park surrounding it was not completed until 1999. Secondly, the lot was retained in order to control the number of available lots within the development area. Development in this area has progressed in the past several years and there are currently no RMTN lots available for sale within this area. A townhouse development is currently being constructed at 825 Heritage Green.

The zoning for the subject lot is RMTN, which is consistent with the zoning of the adjacent lots.

## **REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to establish a price for the subject lot, obtain approval to sell the lot through a public tender process and to obtain approval to administer development controls as a condition of sale.

This lot will be advertised and tendered with a reserve bid. The Administration recommends that a price of \$225,000.00 per acre be used as the basis for establishing the reserve bid. The lot encompasses 5.763 acres. The reserve bid for this lot is therefore proposed to be \$1,296,675.00 plus applicable taxes.

The location of this lot, combined with the amenities within walking distance, make this a very desirable location for a townhouse development. The lot is bounded on three sides by parkland. Amenities in the area include the Lakewood Civic Centre, the indoor tennis centre, the Wildwood Golf course and the new Lakewood Suburban Centre. The landscaping has also matured in this area over the past eight years and an opportunity exists for walkout basements onto the park. These factors have all been taken into consideration in determining the proposed reserve bid price.

Tenders will be awarded to the highest bidder over the reserve bid that meet the conditions set out in the tender documents. If there is any uncertainty regarding the bids received, the appropriate reports and recommendations will be provided to City Council.

If this lot does not sell through the tender process, it will be made available for direct purchase from the Land Branch.

#### **Development Controls**

Development controls will be applied as a condition of sale. These controls are similar and compatible with those applied to previously sold lots within the Lakewood Townhouse Development area, as follows:

- a) The development shall consist of ground-oriented housing units only (i.e. the entrance to each unit must be at ground level);
- b) All buildings shall have a maximum of two stories in elevation;
- c) A maximum of two units is allowed for each building;
- d) The maximum allowable density for the parcel shall be 9 units per acre;
- e) The size of the units shall be a minimum of 1,000 square feet at or above grade, excluding the garage;
- f) Each unit shall have, as a minimum, a single-attached garage;
- g) All roofs shall have a minimum 8-in-12 pitch; and
- h) Fencing will include masonry and ironwork components compatible with existing fencing in the area. The design of the fencing must be approved by the Land Branch Manager.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Plan showing the subject lot in the Lakewood Townhouse Area."
- 3. Request to Sell City-owned Property Parcel D, Plan 83S32143
  60<sup>th</sup> Street East
  (File No. CK. 4215-1)

1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that the Land Manager be authorized to sell Parcel D, Plan 83S32143, as shown by the attached drawing, to Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation for the sum of \$237,820.51 plus GST; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 21, 2003 on the above matter with the Administration, and supports the recommendations, as outlined above:

#### "BACKGROUND

The Land Branch is presently leasing Parcel D, Plan 83S32143, as shown on Attachment No. 1, to Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation on behalf of Corrections Canada. This property lease expired on December 31, 2002. Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation has been in active negotiations with your Administration and has now secured funds to purchase this property.

SaskPower presently owns all of Parcel E adjacent to this property.

#### **REPORT**

In October 2002 SaskPower purchased approximately 0.075 hectares (0.185 acres) of Parcel D in order to expand their substation in this area. SaskPower paid for the cost of the subdivision to create separate title to the land and that process is now complete.

At that time Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation, on behalf of Corrections Canada, was offered an equivalent per acre purchase price and a lease extension rate. Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation has now secured the necessary funding and has decided to purchase the property. Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation has requested to purchase approximately 1.7 hectares (4.200 acres) of land from the City of Saskatoon.

Saskatchewan Property Management Corporation has agreed to pay the price \$237,820.51 (\$56,623.93 per acre) plus GST, representing full market value for this type of partially serviced land. This price reflects the fact that storm and sanitary services are not available and therefore; are not being charged.

Your staff recommends approval of this sale.

# **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plan showing the current site."

## **REPORT NO. 6-2003 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

# Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor J. Maddin, Chair

Councillor D. Atchison

Councillor O. Fortosky

Councillor M. Heidt

Councillor P. McCann

Councillor T. Paulsen

Councillor G. Penner

Councillor P. Roe

Councillor R. Steernberg

Councillor L. Swystun

Councillor K. Waygood

1. 2003 Capital Budget
Capital Project No. 1522 and 893
Traffic Sound Attenuation
Supply and Installation of Sound Walls
Request for Proposals
(File No. CK. 375-2)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Council approve the proposal submitted by Wilco Landscape Contractors Ltd. for the supply and installation of sound attenuation for a total cost of \$1,586,707.81; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for the execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated April 10, 2003.

# 2. Purchase of Lands Adjacent to the City of Saskatoon Landfill Site (File No. CK. 4020-1)

# that the purchase of the land located adjacent to the current **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) landfill, as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated April 9, 2003, be approved; 2) that a post-budget increase of \$400,000 to Capital Project No. 876, Regional Waste Management Facility, funded from the Landfill Replacement Reserve, for finalization of the land acquisition be approved; and 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal. ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated April 9, 2003.

# 3. Landfill Gas Project (File No. CK. 7830-4)

1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that in lieu of public tendering, the City enter into a partnership with SaskPower that could give SaskPower exclusive rights to the use of waste gas produced at the City of Saskatoon landfill;
- 2) that the proposed feasibility study at the City of Saskatoon landfill by SaskPower for the purpose of collecting and using the landfill gas be accepted; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to finalize a Memorandum of Understanding that would provide the basis for a future Agreement between the City and SaskPower, should the feasibility study show the project to be economically viable.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated April 9, 2003.

Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) that in lieu of public tendering, the City enter into a partnership with SaskPower that could give SaskPower exclusive rights to the use of waste gas produced at the City of Saskatoon landfill;
- 2) that the proposed feasibility study at the City of Saskatoon landfill by SaskPower for the purpose of collecting and using the landfill gas be accepted; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to finalize a Memorandum of Understanding that would provide the basis for a future Agreement between the City and SaskPower, should the feasibility study show the project to be economically viable.

Yeas: Councillors Atchison, Heidt, Fortosky, Penner, Roe, Swystun and Waygood.

Nays: Councillors McCann, Paulsen and Steernberg.

3

7

4. Meeting Schedule – October/November, 2003 (File No. CK. 255-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the meeting scheduled for Monday, October 20, 2003 be

cancelled.

ADOPTED.

It is customary that City Council does not meet on the Monday immediately preceding election day, and that all committee reports have been submitted to Council prior to the election, if possible. The following is the meeting schedule during the municipal election period:

Week of September 29 Final meetings of the standing committees prior to the

election.

Monday, October 6 Last meeting of City Council prior to the election.

Monday, October 27 Inaugural meeting of City Council.

Thursday, October 30 and

Friday, October 31 Orientation Session for members of City Council

Monday, November 3 First regular meeting of City Council

Week of November 10 Standing committees start meeting.

# 5. Interim Financing – Saskatchewan Place (File No. CK. 1870-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council approve an advance to Saskatchewan Place in the

amount of \$175,000 for interim financing of an upcoming event.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has been requested to provide an advance to Saskatchewan Place in order for it to meet a deposit requirement for an upcoming event. This money will be repaid to the City at the conclusion of the event.

6. Appointment to Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee (File No. CK. 225-58)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Ilse Frischholz be appointed to the Special Needs Transportation

Advisory Committee to the end of 2003.

ADOPTED.

There is one vacancy on the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee."

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

7a) Proposed Lease of City Boulevard Truffles Bistro at 2917 Early Drive (File No. CK. 4070-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"Attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on April 7, 2003 at which time Council deferred consideration of the above-noted matter to the May 5, 2003 Council meeting."

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

- 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into a Boulevard Lease Agreement with Truffles Bistro to lease 113.83 square metres of boulevard at 2917 Early Drive; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor prepare a bylaw and lease agreement for the proposed Boulevard Lease at 2917 Early Drive.

CARRIED.

#### **ENQUIRIES**

Councillor G. Penner Police Commission Investigation (File No. CK. 5000-1)

Could I have a report from the Police Commission on whether or not Ms. Silverberg was involved during the recent investigation by the Police Commission re: Chief Sabo. If so, what was her role and what was the cost to the Police Commission for her services.

Councillor G. Penner
Notice Requirement – Zoning Changes
(File No. CK. 4350-1)

Could I have a report from the Administration about whether the current 60 metre notice for zoning changes is sufficient. What are the implications of, for example, changing the distance requirements for notices to 120 metres.

Councillor M. Heidt Crows and Magpies (File No. CK. 151-2)

Tax payers are becoming very angry and upset with the crows and magpies in this city. In fact, many are resorting to their own tactics of control.

Would the Administration please look at introducing a program or options to control or get rid of these pests:

- 1. Cost for program.
- 2. Utilizing other departments in Saskatoon (ie: destroying nests)
- 3. Reference of Woodstock, ON in the article on crows in the magazine "Forum" pulished by FCM; and
- 4. Hiring of exterminator to shoot these pests.

Councillor M. Heidt Lane behind Blue Diamond Restaurant (File No. CK. 6000-1)

Would the Administration investigate what action we can do to improve the safety of the lane behind Blue Diamonds on 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, as this is an alley used as a street:

- one way
- paving
- sanding program in the winter

## **GIVING NOTICE**

Councillor Atchison gave the following Notice of Motion:

"TAKE NOTICE THAT at the next regular meeting of City Council I will move the following motion:

#### 'WHEREAS:

1. City Council has received proposals for development of the South Downtown, specifically, the Gathercole site development;

- 2. The several proposals put forward each have many different attractive features which have to be evaluated;
- 3. The public demands assurance that taxpayer money will be used prudently and economically in any business plan to develop the Gathercole site with private developers, or even a public-private partnership;
- 4. Having clear and definite selection/evaluation criteria to be used in arriving at a decision for developing the Gathercole site is essential;
- 5. Having a decision model incorporating settled and clear selection criteria, having a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis of the several proposals and a matrix for evaluating the several proposals is essential to Council having a clear understanding of and weighing the competing interests to be balanced in its tasks of considering the competing proposals, and achieving due process for the taxpayers of Saskatoon;
- 6. The consequence of performance and construction delays or a financial failure by a developer (or a contractor to a developer) is of concern to the City of Saskatoon and its taxpayers as well as individuals and businesses directly affected by the Gathercole site development;
- 7. A clear, transparent and informed process is essential to arriving at the right decision for the Gathercole site:

#### NOW THEREFORE it is moved that:

- 1. The Council of the City of Saskatoon instructs the City Manager/Administration to develop a set of criteria to be applied in evaluating and selecting from the proposals for the Gathercole site (herein *selection criteria*) and to present those criteria to Council at the earliest and before proceeding with any aspect of the Gathercole development;
- 2. The Council of the City of Saskatoon instructs the City Manager/Administration to develop a decision model incorporating settled and clear selection criteria, having a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis of the several proposals and a matricx for evaluating the several competing proposals for the Gathercole site to assist Council in arriving at a clear understanding of and weighing the competing interests to be balanced in its task of considering the competing proposals, and achieving due process for the taxpayers of Saskatoon;

- 3. The Council of the City of Saskatoon defer and withhold approval of or selection of any specific proposal until the City Manager/Administration has come up with a completed decision model, having a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis and data and a matrix for evaluating the features of the several proposals for developing the Gathercole site;
- 4. The Council of the City of Saskatoon shall not approve or select any proposal for developing the Gathercole site unless and until the developer provides a performance bond before commencing work such that the City and its taxpayers will be compensated for a non-performance or failure on the part of a developer (or contractor to a developer)."

# INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

#### **Bylaw No. 8201**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8201, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2003 (No. 13)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8201 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8201.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8201 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

## CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8201 read a third time at this meeting.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8201 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw No. 8202**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8202, being "The Tax Exemption Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8202 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8202.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8202 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8202 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8202 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

### **Bylaw No. 8203**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8203, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2003 (No. 14)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8203 be now read a second time.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8203.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8203 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8203 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8203 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw No. 8204**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8204, being "The Tax Levy Authorization Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8204 be now read a second time.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8204.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8204 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8204 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8204 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

### **Bylaw No. 8205**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8205, being "The Mill Rate Factors Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8205 be now read a second time.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8205.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8205 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8205 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8205 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw No. 8206**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8206, being "The Riversdale Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8206 be now read a second time.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8206.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8206 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8206 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8206 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

### **Bylaw No. 8207**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8207, being "The Broadway Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8207 be now read a second time.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8207.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8207 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8207 read a third time at this meeting.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8207 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw No. 8208**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8208, being "The Downtown Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8208 be now read a second time.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8208.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8208 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8208 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8208 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

### **Bylaw No. 8209**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8209, being "The Sutherland Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8209 be now read a second time.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8209.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8209 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

#### CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8209 read a third time at this meeting.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8209 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw No. 8210**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8210, being "The Boulevard Lease (414 Cumberland Avenue North) Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8210 be now read a second time.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8210.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8210 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8210 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8210 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

### **Bylaw No. 8211**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8211, being "The Cities Act Consequential Amendment Bylaw, 2003" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Bylaw No. 8211 be now read a second time.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8211.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8211 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8211 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Bylaw No. 8211 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison,	
THAT the meeting stand adjourned.	
	CARRIED.
The meeting adjourned at 9:26 p.m.	
Mayor	City Clerk