Council Chambers City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, June 7, 2004 at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT:His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Alm, Birkmaier, Dubois, Fortosky, Heidt, Neault,
Penner and Wyant;
City Manager Richards;
A/General Manager, Community Services Sully;
General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp;
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
General Manager, Utility Services Hewitt
City Solicitor Dust;
City Clerk Mann; and
A/Councillors' Assistant Mitchener.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the regular minutes of meeting of City Council held on May 17, 2004 be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Neault as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 10-2004

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

A1) Request for Post-Budget Funding Approval for Buffer Construction and Landscaping in the Sutherland In-Fill Development Area (File No. 4110-31)

RECOMMENDATION: that additional funding in the amount of \$50,000.00 be approved from the Property Realized Reserve for Capital Project 639-13 to complete the construction and landscaping of the buffers in the Rutherford area of Sutherland.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting dated April 7, 2003, City Council approved the concept plan for the Sutherland infill development area bounded to the south by 115th Street, to the west by Circle Drive, and to the north and east by the Canadian Pacific Railway (See Attachment No. 1). A number of conditions were required to be met by the Land Branch in order to develop this area. They included the establishment of a 15 metre wide buffer adjacent to the Circle Drive right-of-way, a 39 metre wide buffer adjacent to the CPR right-of-way, the closing of a portion of the 115th Street right-of-way for traffic reasons, and the creation of a buffer in its place due to existing utilities following that alignment. Specific landscaping requirements were also outlined as follows:

- The existing earth berm along the eastern edge of the Circle Drive right-of-way was to be extended north to the railway tracks, except where it was not practical to do so due to pedestrian pathways and drainage paths.
- An earth berm was to be constructed along the north side of the development adjacent to the CPR railway to visually buffer the development from the railway tracks.
- All buffers were to be topsoiled and seeded to dryland grass.
- Wooden bollards were to be installed between all buffers and adjacent paved lanes or roadways within the development area in order to prevent vehicular access to the buffers.
- A chain link fence was to be installed along the CPR right-of-way to prevent pedestrian access to the railway.

• Shelterbelt plantings were to be planted along the western and northern buffers to provide additional visual buffering of Circle Drive and the railway tracks.

It should be noted that issues relating to sound attenuation were considered during the concept plan approval process and that while the buffer and earth berm along Circle Drive would be sufficient to adequately attenuate the sound from Circle Drive, it would not be practical to attenuate the sound from the CPR tracks. Instead a berm was to be constructed along this northern boundary to provide a visual screen of the railway tracks from the development.

REPORT

The Land Branch undertook the development of this area beginning in May 2003. Work progressed throughout the summer months and the installation of services was completed in late fall. The buffer along the southern boundary of the development and a portion of the buffer along Circle Drive were completed prior to winter weather coming. These areas were landscaped with topsoil, grass seed, and wooden bollards. Work was also undertaken to install the chain link fence along the northern boundary of the development and to begin construction of the earth berm in this area. The remaining landscape work was postponed to 2004.

In April 2004, the Parks Branch, on behalf of the Land Branch, issued a tender to complete the outstanding berm construction and landscaping work. Tenders for this work were publicly advertised on April 17th and closed on May 6, 2004. One bid was received from Wilco Landscape Contractors Ltd. The portion of the tender relating to the buffers in Sutherland totaled \$142,022.86, including GST and three years of post-construction maintenance. This amount exceeded the preliminary cost estimates prepared for this work and also exceeded the amount of funding remaining within the Capital Budget in Project 639-13. Additional funding in the amount of \$50,000.00 will be required from the Property Realized Reserve in order to award this final tender and complete the landscaping work on the buffers.

The Land Branch is submitting this post budget request at this time in order to complete the landscaping during the summer of 2004. It should be noted that 78 of the 94 lots in this area (approximately 83%) have already been sold. It is therefore desirable to complete the landscaping of the buffers as soon as possible and fulfill our commitment in this area.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan showing the buffer locations in the Sutherland In-Fill development area.

A2) Block 146 – Zoning Issues File No. (PL 4115 – DPA 04/04)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

On May 3, 2004, City Council resolved to approve the advertising to adopt a new Direct Control District (DCD1) for the South Downtown. Contained within that resolution were instructions to advertise the removal of Block 146 (Princeton Land) from the DCD1 and rezone the land to B6.

Since we reported to City Council, the issue of the 1994 referendum vote applying to the use of Block 146 for a casino and trade and convention centre has come to our attention.

REPORT

The Administration will carry out the advertising to change the land use designations of Block 146 in due course. However, the matter of the 1994 referendum vote on the issue of casinos on Block 146 needs to be considered by City Council prior to advertising and rezoning Block 146 to B6.

In 1994, a petition-required vote was held on whether or not Block 146 (which was then owned by the City) could be used "as a site for casino gambling and a trade and convention centre." The vote was an overwhelming "no". As a result, the DCD1 zoning of Block 146 did not allow a casino as a permitted use. The trade and convention centre was a permitted use under the DCD1 zoning but was prohibited by an agreement with the property owners.

The results of the referendum are binding on City Council. *The Cities Act* provides that the results of a petition vote can be repealed by City Council after three years, provided that City Council give 21 days public notice of the proposed change. The required notice to rezone Block 146 to B6 would provide the 21 days notice to repeal the results of the 1994 referendum vote.

The B6 zone is the zoning district applied to most of the land in the Downtown, therefore, Block 146 could be used for a future casino and would be treated no differently than any other B6 zoned land in the Downtown. A trade and convention centre would also become a permitted use.

It is the opinion within the Community Services Department that City Council has three options to consider.

Option 1

Rezone Block 146 to B6 – With required 21 days notice, casinos would become a permitted use on Block 146 under the Zoning Bylaw, and be no different than any other B6 zoned land in the Downtown. The Administration supports this option since City Council would still be required to pass a vote allowing a new casino in Saskatoon before the Province can issue a license for a casino.

Option 2

Create a New Zoning District for Block 146 (B6A) – City Council may rezone Block 146 to B6, but with a special exemption for Casinos. A new Zoning District could be created specifically listing casinos as a Prohibited Use thereby upholding the 1994 referendum vote. All other permitted uses of the B6 district would still apply, including trade and convention centres.

Option 3

Leave Block 146 in the DCD1 – If City Council decides to leave Block 146 in the DCD1, the provisions of the DCD1 would need to be amended to apply to Block 146. The new DCD1 has been drafted for the Riverfront and many provisions do not apply to Block 146 (height, parking, and land uses, need to be reviewed). This option would require sufficient time to revise the DCD1. Furthermore, City Council will need to instruct the Administration as to whether 'casinos' are to be added as a permitted use in the DCD1. At present, the DCD1 does not permit casinos. The Administration does not support this option since the focus of the new DCD1 guidelines is on a new waterfront development and the South Downtown Concept Plan does not include Block 146.

Unless we receive other instructions, the Administration will proceed to rezone Block 146 to B6.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Map Showing Block 146.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that Council approve the required advertising in order to rezone Block 146 to B6 zoning.

YEAS: Councillors Heidt, Dubois, Birkmaier, Alm, Wyant, Neault and Penner

NAYS: His Worship the Mayor and Councillor Fortosky

Councillors Hnatyshyn and Paulsen were not in attendance.

A3) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department For the Period Between April 24, 2004 and May 31, 2004 (For Information Only) (File Nos. PL. 4132, 4355, 4115, and 4300)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

Application No. 7/04:	309 to 315 Clarence Avenue South
Applicant:	Webster Surveys for Meridian North Development
	Corporation
Legal Description:	Lots 25 to 28, Block 2, Plan (FW) F5527 and
	Lots 41 and 42, Block 22, Plan G18
Current Zoning:	RM3
Neighbourhood:	Varsity View
Date Received:	April 27, 2004
	Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood:

<u>Condominium</u>

 Application No. 10/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received: Saskatchewan Crescent Webster Surveys for Rivercrest Condominium Inc. Lot 4, Block 102, Plan 101 RM4 Buena Vista May 14, 2004

7

2

Condominium

 Application No. 11/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Development Plan

 Amendment No. DPA 11/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Land Use Designation: Proposed Land Use Designation: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Discretionary Use

 Application No. D7/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Proposed Use: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Discretionary Use

 Application No. D8/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Proposed Use: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Rezoning

 Application No. Z12/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Proposed Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received: 20 Assiniboine Drive River's Edge Residences Inc. Lot 3, Block 613, Plan 69S11638 RM4 River Heights May 18, 2004

503, 515, 531 Nelson Road City of Saskatoon Land Branch Lots 6,7 & 8, Block 436, Plan 01SA04536 Suburban Centre Suburban Centre Commercial University Heights Suburban Centre April 28, 2004

2513 Preston Avenue South Evadne Blake Lot 12, Block 543, Plan 64S15314 R2 Residential Care Home – Type II for 10 Residents Eastview April 21, 2004

318 Maguire Crescent Edward and Alice Trefiak Lot 1, Block 506, Plan 101749248 R1A Residential Care Home – Type II Willowgrove May 18/04

503, 515, 531 Nelson Road City of Saskatoon Land Branch Lots 6,7 & 8, Block 436, Plan 01SA04536 M3 B4 University Heights Suburban Centre April 28, 2004

Rezoning

 Application No. Z13/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Proposed Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Rezoning

 Application No. Z14/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Proposed Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

• Application No. 16/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

 Application No. 17/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

• Application No. 18/04:

Applicant: Legal Description:

Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received: Subdivision

• Application No. 19/04:

105 Avenue F SouthGiant Tiger Developments Ltd.Parcel 6, Plan 101833994B3 by AgreementB3 by AgreementCaswell HillMay 7, 2004

802 Avenue K North 309262 Saskatchewan Ltd. Lots 12-18, Block 29, Plan G173 R2 RM3 Westmount May 18, 2004

302 Herold Road Jastek Master Building Lot 6, Block 433, Plan 01SA29464 M3 Lakewood Suburban Centre April 27, 2004

243 Herold Terrace Jastek Master Building Lot 9, Block 431, Plan 01SA29464 M3 Lakewood Suburban Centre April 27, 2004

Meadowgreen area between Circle Drive and Avenue W South City of Saskatoon Leisure Services Part of Plan 101410018 in the S ½ 30-36-5-W3M and Part of Plan 101418645 R2 Meadowgreen April 27/04

Cartwright Street (Willows Golf Course)

Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

 Application No. 20/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

 Application No. 21/04: Applicant: Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

• Application No. 22/04: Applicant:

Legal Description:

Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

• Application No. 23/04: Applicant:

Legal Description: Current Zoning: Neighbourhood: Date Received:

Subdivision

• Application No. 24/04: Applicant:

Webster Surveys for Willows Development Corp. Part of Parcel CC, not yet registered DCD4 Direct Control District April 29, 2004

Bellmont Crescent/Terrace Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments Parcel N, Plan 96S13326 R1A Briarwood May 4, 2004

910 Gray Avenue:George, Nicholson, Franko for Ashley McGrathLot 5, Block 33, Plan 68S15819R2Forest GroveMay 5, 2005

Preston Crossing (Burger King Site) 10117683 Saskatchewan Limited c/o Harvard Developments Surface Parcel No. 120786127 formerly Parcel D, Plan 02SA08920 DCD3 U of S Management Area May 27, 2004

2109 Preston Avenue Webb Surveys for Nutana Veterans Housing Ltd. and Landstar Development Corp. Parcel LL, Plan 80S42935, Parcel P, Plan 62S00805 M3 Nutana Suburban Centre May 27, 2004

Preston Crossing Peters Surveys for 101017683 Saskatchewan Ltd.

Legal Description:	Parcels A & B, Plan 02SA08290, Parcel Z, 02SA02682 and all of surface parcels 145103240 and 145103228
Current Zoning:	DCD3
Neighbourhood:	U of S Management Area
Date Received:	May 31, 2004

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Plan of Proposed Condominium 7/04
- 2. Plan of Proposed Condominium 10/04.
- 3. Plan of Proposed Condominium 11/04.
- 4. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z12/04
- 5. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z13/04.
- 6. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z14/04
- 7. Plan of Proposed Discretionary use D7/04
- 8. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use D8/04.
- 9. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 16/04
- 10. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 17/04
- 11. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 18/04
- 12. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 19/04
- 13. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 20/04
- 14. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 21/04
- 15. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 22//04
- 16. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 23/04
- 17. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 24/04

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

B1) City of Saskatoon – 2003 Absenteeism Report (File No. CK. 4630-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Since 2001, at the request of City Council, your Administration has provided a copy of its annual corporate-wide, short-term absenteeism report. Attached, for Council's consideration, is the report for the year ended December 31, 2003. The following summarizes some of the major changes/trends that have been identified in this report:

- 1. Total hours of absenteeism have decreased by 1,871 hours.
- 2. Average hours of absenteeism have decreased by 1 hour to 64 hours from 65 hours.
- 3. Average number of incidents (3.4) have remained the same as in 2002.
- 4. 511 employees have had zero incidents of absenteeism an increase of 68 from 2002.
- 5. Short-term absenteeism costs have risen by \$173,000 to \$3,194,972. This increase can be attributed, in part, to general economic increases to wage rates, with the balance being a result of changes in demographics for those on sick leave.

While the emphasis of this report is on short-term absenteeism, statistics are not always representative of what a reader may assume are the root contributors to the reported results. For example, average hours and average number of incidents is the focus of this report. However, not revealed in the consolidated statistical data is the fact that short-term sick leave includes all sick leave accumulated until such time that employees exhaust their accumulated earned sick leave credits and/or until such time that an employee qualifies for long-term benefits (e.g. LTD insurance coverage). In 2003, 27 employees (1.1% of the employee base used in this report) had long-term illnesses that resulted in an accumulated cost in excess of \$500,000 (16% of total costs) and 21,000 hours (14% of total hours). When these employees are removed from the statistical data, the average hours of absenteeism decreases from 64 hours (8 days, using an 8 hour day), to 55 hours (6.9 days).

A number of strategies have been employed over the last two years to address sick leave. A Return-to-Work Program has been initiated, supported by an Occupational Health Consultant, to provide back to work interventions for those employees off due to illness or injury for over 10 consecutive days. The desired outcome is to return these employees to work as quickly as possible recognizing that in some instances certain accommodations may need to be considered. There has also been a recent focus on a Wellness and Injury Prevention Program, the mandate for which is to promote activities and life styles that create a healthy and safe work environment. Your Administration has also met during this past year with all Unions impacted by this report in

an attempt to develop a co-operative approach to addressing sick leave. There is a strong belief that positive changes can occur, demonstrated by the improvements in the Transit operations.

While your Administration is pleased that the 2003 statistics identifies an improvement in sick leave usage, especially in light of the fact that the employment demographics continue to reveal an increase in the age of the workforce, much work still needs to be done in order to ensure that the 2003 decrease becomes a trend, not a one time aberration.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. City of Saskatoon 2003 Absenteeism Report.
- B2) Contract Awards January 1, 2004 – April 30, 2004 (File No. 1000-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The Purchase of Goods, Services and Works Policy requires that the City Manager report three times a year on the award of contracts and requests for proposals which are between \$50,000 and \$100,000.

In accordance with the City Council's policy, the attached report has been prepared detailing the contract awards for the period January 1, 2004 to April 30, 2004.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Contract Award Report - January 1, 2004 to April 30, 2004

B3) Enquiry – Councillor Heidt (February 11, 2002) Taxation of Casino Agricultural Lands (File No. 1920-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Heidt at the meeting of City Council held on February 11, 2002:

"Recently I put in an enquiry re: taxation of casinos in other municipalities and they all paid. My understanding is that Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority approves all expenditures of the casino operations in Saskatoon.

People at Prairieland Corp. advised us that they have submitted a request in the past to pay taxes in lieu and it wasn't approved by SLGA. Would the Administration please review the three options:

- 1. Start negotiations with SLGA and operators re: taxes for Emerald Casino.
- 2. Possibility of rezoning land the casino is located on.
- 3. Taxes in lieu as other governments run facilities."

REPORT

The Administration, because of the subject matter of the above-noted enquiry, deferred its response until after the casino issue was dealt with through 2002 and 2003. Once City Council dealt with the results of the fall 2003 plebiscite, the Administration raised the issue with staff at Prairieland. The Administration understands the purpose of the enquiry was to note that all casinos in Saskatchewan contribute taxes or grants-in-lieu to the municipalities in which they operate with the exception of the Emerald Casino. In addition, the enquiry seeks to get discussions underway, with all affected parties, to address this situation.

While the Administrations at the City and at Prairieland agree with the intent of the enquiry, we also agree that this may not be the time to begin such negotiations. Prairieland anticipate that the Province should be making a decision soon regarding the current casino proposal for the White Cap Reserve. Once that decision is made, the future of the Emerald Casino should also be clearer.

It is Prairieland's view that the Province, who would be a key participant in these discussions, would not be receptive to other casino-related issues until the current issue (related to the White Cap proposal) is resolved. In addition, Prairieland advised the civic Administration that, to the best of their knowledge, the issue of municipal taxes (or grant-in-lieu) has not been raised in recent years with the Province as it pertains to the existing Emerald Casino. As such it would be a new issue between the parties, which is why deferral of the issue is suggested at this time.

Your Administration suggests that, once the future of the present Emerald Casino becomes clearer, this issue be reconsidered, if applicable.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.

Section C – FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

C1) Emergency Measures Organization 2003 Annual Report (File No. 430-3)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The emphasis of EMO remains on increasing liaison between civic departments and external organizations that may be required in the event of a major emergency. Much work must still be done in this regard, however, the firm groundwork is in place to allow EMO to continue to culture these healthy relationships. Encouraging progress has been made in the following areas:

- Mutual understanding between public and private organizations that may be required to mitigate major incidents.
- Cooperative effort in the identification of risks and hazards.
- Identification of areas of incongruity in emergency plans of the various stakeholder organizations through emergency planning exercises.

Emergency Planning Committee

In 2002, the Emergency Planning Bylaw was amended in an effort to promote the meaningful exchange of information among all stakeholders.

Over the past 15 months, EMO has conducted several exercises focused on the emergency site such as mitigation, multi-agency interactions, communications, and the effectiveness of the various emergency plans. EMO regularly conducts phone fan-out exercises within City of Saskatoon key management and support personnel. It is appropriate to now spend some time examining the Emergency Operations Centre (EOC) in the following three areas:

1. Notification/Activation methods.

- 2. Efficiency of the facility's operational status in areas like communications, operational tools such as maps, GIS system, event logging, and paper work.
- 3. Practical familiarity of both the EOC participants and Planning Committee with the various approved City of Saskatoon emergency plans against simulated emergencies held in the EOC.

Civic Emergency Measures Plan and Simulated Exercises

In 2003, three of the five contingency plans, identified in the Emergency Measures Plan as being under development, have been addressed.

<u>Hazardous Goods Contingency Plan</u> – The current plan is being revised to include the methods and partners needed to provide decontamination to large casualty numbers should the need arise. Also, SFPS operational staff are now certified in the levels of Awareness, Operations and/or Technician in accordance with NFPA 472 (Standard for Professional Competence of Responders to Hazardous Materials Incidents).

<u>Severe Weather Plan</u> – EMO has met with Emergency Planning Committee members and various external organizations such as Innovation Place and the Saskatchewan Research Council to evaluate, revise, implement and test emergency preparedness and business continuity of both emergency responders and victimized agencies.

<u>Public Health Plan</u> – Considerable progress has been made in the development, implementation, testing and evaluation of a Public Health Emergency Plan. This has been done in cooperation with both the Saskatoon Health Region and Saskatoon Public Health to identify potential interactions between these organizations and the City of Saskatoon should a public health emergency occur in Saskatoon.

Emergency Social Services

Currently, the Emergency Social Services (ESS) Committee has identified eight potential reception centres that can be used to perform the ESS function in the case of a large-scale evacuation or other disaster. These include the Saskatoon Field House, Mount Royal Collegiate, Walter Murray Collegiate, St. Joseph High School, SIAST Kelsey Campus, Saskatoon Soccer Centre, Prairieland Exhibition and Saskatchewan Place (Credit Union Centre). Plans are in place outlining the process needed to convert these facilities into ESS sites.

Saskatoon Industrial Mutual Assistance Committee (S-IMAC)

This Committee is well established and meets quarterly. Concerns for this Committee continue to be the ability of the City of Saskatoon and EMO to notify the surrounding residential areas of a pending evacuation or protect in place in the event of a large-scale emergency incident or unplanned release of a regulated dangerous good.

Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services

The Department continues to work closely with Provincial EMO, the Federal Department of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness, and the Canadian Emergency Preparedness College to provide appropriate levels of training and opportunities to apply skills learned in the implementation of the City of Saskatoon Emergency Measures Plan.

Initiatives for 2004

On March 16, 2004, a tabletop exercise (Operation Sniffles) was conducted at the EOC which simulated a Flu Pandemic.

On May 6, 2004, a live scenario (BrickER Project) was held at Innovation Place simulating a tornado touchdown. This exercise included Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services' recently certified Heavy Urban Search and Rescue Technical Team.

Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services has been invited to participate on a national User Advisory Group for an All Channel Alert (ACA) system with cable TV providers. This Advisory Group is composed of four federal ministers, four provincial government representatives, and four municipal level EMO Coordinators and will begin meeting in May of 2004. The Committee will present an application to the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission.

Plans are underway to formulate a mutual response agreement for disasters and major emergencies with other Saskatchewan cities.

In the fall of 2004, staff will be identified from all civic departments to participate in Basic Emergency Preparedness training.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy, is not required.

<u>Section D – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES</u>

D1) Enquiry – Councillor B. Dubois (April 5, 2004) Signage – Forestry Farm Park and Zoo (File No. 4205-8)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Dubois at the meeting of City Council held on April 5, 2004:

"Would the Administration please report back on whether there is sufficient signage in the City directing people to the Forestry Farm Park, for example: College Drive, Central Avenue and Circle Drive North."

<u>REPORT</u>

In the early 1990's, Saskatchewan Highways and Transportation funded the SaskAttraction program which provided signing for major tourist attractions at City entrances. A requirement of this program was the responsibility of the participating municipality to install route markers along major corridors providing direction to the attraction. These included the Forestry Farm, Prairieland Exhibition, Western Development Museum, Meewasin Valley Authority, and most recently, Wanuskewin Heritage Park.

At the time of the planning for the route marker installation, all of the parties were contacted including the Manager of the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park, the Marketing Director of the Western Development Museum, the Marketing & Public Relations Coordinator of the Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition Corporation. Approval of sign locations, logos, and shared costs were discussed. Upon agreement from all parties on sign locations and costs, the signs were manufactured and installed at the locations chosen.

There are currently eight SaskAttraction signs at the City entrances on Highway 5, 7, 11, 12, 14, and 16. The locations of the route marker signs were chosen in order to provide direction to the attraction from the highway entrances. The route markers for the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park are located as follows:

- On Hwys 11 & 16 South directing motorists to follow Circle Drive northbound to the Attridge Drive exit. Additional signs are located along Attridge Drive to Forest Drive.
- On Hwys 11, 12 & 16 North/West directing motorists to Idylwyld Drive southbound, leading to Circle Drive where they direct motorists along Circle Drive eastbound to the Attridge Drive exit. Additional signs are located along Attridge Drive to Forest Drive.
- On Hwys 7 & 14 West directing motorists to 22nd Street eastbound, leading to Circle Drive northbound where they direct motorists along Circle Drive to the Attridge Drive exit.
- On Hwy 5 East directing motorists to McOrmond Drive northbound, leading to Attridge Drive westbound to the entrance of the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park
- On Attridge Drive from Circle Drive past Central Avenue and directing into the entrance of the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park.

A map showing the sign locations is available for viewing at the Infrastructure Services Department.

In total, there are over 25 roadside and overhead route markers displaying the 'bear and tree' symbols, denoting the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park, along all the major roadways within the City connecting to provincial highways. The advantage of placing the route markers on higher level roadways allows for easier wayfinding, as there are typically less intersections and therefore decision points on these type of roadways. Providing route markers on local or collector roadways would require much more signage, as there are many more intersections where wayfinding would have to be reinforced. Furthermore, these types of roadways typically act to provide mobility to local residents who are expected to be familiar with the attraction location. However, there are additional wayfinding signs located in Sutherland on Central Avenue near 115th Street, near Attridge Drive, and along 115th Street near Berini Drive providing further guidance to motorists.

Infrastructure Services is satisfied there is sufficient signage within the city directing motorists to the city's SaskAttractions, including the Saskatoon Zoo & Forestry Farm Park.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

D2) School Signing Revisions (File No. 6280-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the proposed school signing revisions, as set out in the following report, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services has been requested to revise the signing at Saint Mark School. Consultations with the principal, representatives of the school board, and a member of Infrastructure Services have resulted in the preparation of new school signing plans (using the School Signing Guidelines) to address the particular needs of the facility. The following changes have been reviewed and approved by Infrastructure Services, the school board, and the schools' principal.

The recommended signing changes are described below and shown on the attached plan:

Saint Mark School, Plan No. 212-0014-002r002 (Attachment 1)

The 'NO PARKING' signs (RB-55) and "NO STOPPING TO CORRIDOR" (RA-11) signs

located on the north side of Pendygrasse Road will be removed.

A 'SCHOOL BUS LOADING ZONE' (RB-58L) will be installed on the north side of Pendygrasse Road and on the east side of the pedestrian corridor located directly in front of the school's entrance.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Plan No. 212-0014-002r002
- D3) Enquiry Councillor T. Alm (April 5, 2004) Speed Limit – Preston Avenue South (File No. 5300-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Alm at the meeting of City Council held on April 5, 2004:

"On Saturday, April 3, at approximately 3:15 a.m., an automobile rollover occurred in the 2900 block of Preston Avenue. The speed of the vehicle contributed to the cause of the accident. Would the Administration please report on what speed reduction measures could be implemented on Preston Avenue between Arlington Avenue and Circle Drive South and at what cost."

REPORT

The incident referred to above was documented by the Saskatoon Police Services as a high speed accident involving alcohol. This single vehicle accident is not considered to be representative of typical operating conditions on Preston Avenue, and due to the nature of this particular incident, speed reduction measures would not have prevented it.

Preston Avenue is a major arterial roadway, and is designed to carry large volumes of traffic at posted speed of 50 kph. It exists to expedite the movement of through traffic to other major arterials or to freeway/expressway links, and accommodate traffic from major generators (mall, school etc). The nature of an arterial roadway does not promote use of traffic calming measures aimed at reducing speed. As the Infrastructure Services Department would not support installation of speed control measures along an arterial roadway, no cost estimates have been performed in this case.

However, in response to the inquiry, the Traffic Operations Group performed a speed study along the 2900 block of Preston Avenue and collected vehicular speeds for both northbound and southbound traffic, 24 hours per day, for a seven-day period. The posted speed on Preston Avenue in this area is 50 kph. The data collected showed the 85th percentile speed was 46 kph for northbound and 48 kph for southbound traffic. The 85th percentile speed is used in traffic engineering to describe the speed at which 85% of traffic is driving at or below, and models the typical operating speeds for the roadway. The minimal difference between the posted speed and the 85th percentile is considered to be good compliance with the posted speed. Police Services currently monitor Preston Avenue for speeds and conduct routine enforcement programs in this area.

As a result of this review, no changes are being proposed for the operation of this section of Preston Avenue. Police Services has been informed of the speed study information on Preston Avenue and will continue their speed enforcement programs at this location.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

D4) Circle Drive & 11th Street – Property Acquisition Northeast Corner of Circle Drive and 11th Street (File No. 4020-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :	1)	that the Offer to Purchase Agreement involving the purchase of 0.4584 acres from Storagemaxx GP Ltd. at a price of \$3,800.00 be approved; and
	2)	that the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the purchase of this property.
ADOPTED.		

BACKGROUND

Approved Capital Project No. 2008, Circle Drive and 11th Street Intersection Improvements, requires the acquisition of 0.4584± acres of privately owned property at the northeast corner of Circle Drive and 11th Street, for road widening purposes. Infrastructure Services Department requested that the Land Manager obtain an agreement to purchase the property required for this project. Attachment No. 1 identifies this property.

REPORT

The Land Manager commissioned the firm of Colliers McClocklin to undertake the initial contact and submission of an Offer to Purchase to the property owner. The Land Manager has signed an Offer to Purchase 0.4584 acres from Storagemaxx GP Ltd. at a price of \$3,800.00, plus \$1,500 in legal expenses, subject to Council's approval. If approved the agreement provides for possession of the land on June 15, 2004. The Closing date for this transaction is August 15, 2004 or sooner if documentation permits. This will enable the City to commission a land surveyor at an estimated cost of \$3,800.00 to obtain subdivision approval, prepare a plan of survey and have a title issued for transfer to the City. The purchase price for this land falls within the expected range of prices for un-serviced industrial lands in this area. The property is currently zoned IL1 District and could be developed for uses permitted within this zoning category provided that upon application for a subdivision of the property or upon application for a building/development permit, the owner will be required to pay off-site levies.

The estimate for Capital Project 2008 includes the cost of acquiring this property.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 Public Notice Policy is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan entitled "2004 Circle Dr & 11th Street Improvements, Proposed Property Acquisition, North East Corner of Circle Dr & 11th Street"

D5) Enquiry - Councillor G. Penner (April 5, 2004) Public/Private Consortium Construction of Freeways/Bridges (File No. CK. 6000-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

At the meeting of City Council held on April 5, 2004, Councillor Penner made the following enquiry:

"I heard recently of a public/private consortium in Edmonton that is constructing a new freeway/bridge around the city.

In light of our need for bridges and roadways, would the Administration please investigate the Edmonton situation to determine how the public/private partnership works and whether or not there is anything that could work in funding future Saskatoon projects."

REPORT

In September of 2003, the Alberta Department of Transportation issued a Request For Qualifications (RFQ) for the Design, Build, Finance, Operate (DBFO) of a project known as the Anthony Henday Drive South East Ring Road in the City of Edmonton. This is a \$250 million project, to be designed and constructed over a three year period, creating the southeast link in an outer ring road around Edmonton as shown on Attachment 1. It involves the construction of 11 kilometres of 4 and 6 lane divided highway and 5 interchanges. It is important to note that this is a provincial highway route, completely under the management and jurisdiction of the Province. The City of Edmonton plays no active role in this project.

In general, under a DBFO project, the roadway authority (a municipality or province) allows a private partner (typically a consortium of consulting engineers, general contractors and financial institutions) to design, build, finance, and operate a transportation facility for a specified timeframe. The roadway authority dictates general design criteria and operational levels of service through the use of performance specifications. At the end of the agreed to operating period, the facility is returned to the ownership of the roadway authority, which then fully assumes the responsibilities for operation and maintenance of the infrastructure. The private partner normally recovers their investment by collecting fees based on the use of the facility. The fees could be in a form of set toll fees collected directly by the operator, or it could be in the form of annual payments from the roadway authority.

In the case of the Anthony Henday project, the private consortium must design, build, operate, maintain and rehabilitate the facility for 30 years. The Province of Alberta is receiving funding under the Federal Strategic Infrastructure Program (approximately \$80 million) for this project and part of the financing arrangement in this instance is that the private partner will receive this amount of payment once the facility is opened for use (end of 3 years). The Province will then pay the consortium an annual payment for the remaining duration of the agreement.

This method of constructing and operating public infrastructure typically is used only in largescale capital projects. The project has to be of sufficient size to ensure that the consortium of private partners has opportunity to realize cost saving economics on the overall project while minimizing the substantial risk they assume and still receive a reasonable return on their investment. Provincial governments, and many municipal governments, can actually finance projects of this scale at more favourable interest rates than all but the largest private firms. Recognizing this, why would governments construct projects in this manner? There are likely a variety of reasons, but the main ones typically involve:

- Transfer of risk the private sector assumes all risk during the construction and ongoing operation and maintenance of the facility; a risk normally assumed by the public agency.
- Create an environment of innovation it is believed that the synergy developed between the
 private partners during the design/build stage of the project generates sufficient cost savings
 to allow the project to be constructed at less cost than by the traditional design and tender
 process. Further savings could possibly be achieved during the maintenance and
 rehabilitation stages also.
- Divesture of constructing/operating and maintaining infrastructure. Some governments have divested themselves, through privatization, of directly building and maintaining roadway facilities. This is as much a philosophical position of the public agency as it is a possible cost control measure. Alberta Transportation falls into this category.

Infrastructure Services has obtained a copy of the RFQ of this project from Alberta Transportation. The Administration intends to monitor this project closely to determine its potential to be adapted to suit the City of Saskatoon's future needs. Discussion with industry contacts has indicated that the South River Crossing project could be a potential candidate for construction under a DBFO arrangement.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

Southeast Anthony Henday Drive, Request for Proposal, Edmonton Road Ring, Exhibit

D6)	Sound Attenuation Wall – Property Acquisition
	31 Columbia Drive
	(File No. 4020-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :	1)	that the Offer to Purchase Agreement involving the purchase of 80.11 m^2 from Rose-Marie Laturnas at a price of \$3,150.00 be approved; and
	2)	that the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the purchase of this property.
ADOPTED.		

BACKGROUND

Approved Capital Project No. 1522, Traffic Sound Attenuation, requires the acquisition of 80.11 m² of privately owned property at 31 Columbia Drive for the construction of sound attenuation walls. The Land Manager was requested by the Infrastructure Services Department to obtain an agreement to purchase this property for this project. This property is identified on Attachment No. 1.

REPORT

The Land Manager undertook the initial contact and submission of an Offer to Purchase to the owners of this property. The Land Manager has signed an Offer to Purchase 80.11 m² from the owner, Rose Marie Laturnas at a price of \$3,150.00 subject to Council's approval. If approved the agreement provides for possession of the land on June 14, 2004. The closing date for this transaction is September 07, 2004 or sooner if documentation permits. This will enable the City to commission a land surveyor at an estimated cost of \$2,500.00 to obtain subdivision approval, prepare a plan of survey and have a title issued for transfer to the City. The purchase price for this land is based on recent sales of comparable properties in the neighborhood.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 Public Notice Policy is not required.

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Plan entitled "2004 - Sound Attenuation Wall, Proposed Property Acquisition, 31 and 33 Columbia Drive"

D7) Sound Attenuation Wall – Property Acquisition 33 Columbia Drive (File No. 4020-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :	1)	that the Offer to Purchase Agreement involving the purchase of 11.86 m^2 from Mr. Mel Hart and Mrs. Janet Uchacz-Hart at a price of \$470.00 be approved; and
	2)	that the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the purchase of this property.
ADOPTED.		

BACKGROUND

Approved Capital Project No. 1522, Traffic Sound Attenuation, requires the acquisition of 11.86 m^2 of privately owned property at 33 Columbia Drive for the construction of sound attenuation walls. The Land Manager was requested by the Infrastructure Services Department to obtain an agreement to purchase this property for this project. This property is identified on Attachment No. 1.

REPORT

The Land Manager undertook the initial contact and submission of an Offer to Purchase to the owners of this property. The Land Manager has signed an Offer to Purchase 11.86 m² from the owner, Mr. Mel Hart and Mrs. Janet Uchacz-Hart at a price of \$ 470.00 subject to Council's approval. If approved the agreement provides for possession of the land on June 14, 2004. The closing date for this transaction is September 07, 2004 or sooner if documentation permits. This will enable the City to commission a land surveyor at an estimated cost of \$2,500.00 to obtain subdivision approval, prepare a plan of survey and have a title issued for transfer to the City. The purchase price for this land is based on recent sales of comparable properties in the neighborhood.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 Public Notice Policy is not required.

ATTACHMENT(S)

1. Plan entitled "2004 - Sound Attenuation Wall, Proposed Property Acquisition, 31 and 33 Columbia Drive"

D8) Demolition of 155 3rd Avenue North and 225-231 23rd Street East June 1, 2004 (File No. 530-2)

RECOMMENDATION:1)that City Council approve the demolition of 155 Third
Avenue North and 225-231 23rd Street East; and2)that the cost of demolition be charged to the Tax Title
Property Account.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Item A11) of Communications.

D9) Gathercole Centre Building Demolition Contract No. 4-0076, Award of Tender (File No. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u>	1)	that City Council approve the bid submitted by Allan Construction Co. Ltd. for the Gathercole Centre, Building Demolition tender, at a bid of \$331,056.93 including G.S.T.; and
	2)	that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate contract, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents under the Corporate Seal.
ADOPTED.		

This work consists of the selective removal of remaining hazardous materials; the salvage and preparation for storage of identified items; and the complete demolition of the facilities, removal of rubble, and the grading of the site.

Five (5) valid bids were received on Tuesday, June 1, 2004. Exclusive of the Goods and Services Tax, the bids are as follows:

BIDDER	TOTAL BID
Allan Construction Co. Ltd.	\$309,399.00
K-LOR Contractors Services Ltd.	\$389,672.00
McColman & Sons Demolition Ltd.	\$393,400.00
Rakowski Cartage & Wrecking Ltd.	\$425,264.00
Saskcon Repair Services Ltd.	\$559,000.00

Bids were reviewed by the Project Consultant firm of AMEC, as well as by the Project Coordinator from the Facilities Branch. The low bid is within the funded budget for the Building Demolition scope of work. The low bid submission from Allan Construction Co. Ltd. is acceptable to the City of Saskatoon.

Please note that the above listed bids do not include landfill "tipping fees". The contract requires all debris and rubble be taken to the City of Saskatoon Spadina Landfill and all "tipping fee" costs will be charged directly to the project.

The net cost to the City of Saskatoon is:

Net Cost to the City	\$309,399.00
Rebated G.S.T. @ 100%	(21,657.93)
Subtotal	\$331,056.93
G.S.T.	21,657.93
Base Bid	\$309,399.00

There are sufficient funds to carry out this work.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy is not required.

Section E – UTILITY SERVICES

E1) Enquiry – Councillor B. Dubois (May 3, 2004) Noise and Waste Violations – Perehudoff Crescent (File No. 4400-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The property at 498 Perehudoff Crescent has been problematic in terms of solid waste collection. The following is a list of interactions with the people at that address over the past year although the problems precede this time:

- September 2003 Many past complaints resulted in this property being monitored and inspected by Utility Services Bylaw personnel. Containers left on the street for more than 24 hours were observed on numerous occasions. Staff delivered a generic letter to all units on October 8, 2003, outlining concerns.
- October 30, 2003 Warning letters were sent by Administration to both the occupants and the owners by registered mail outlining concerns as a result of complaints.
- November 21, 2003 A notice was provided to the occupants advising them that biweekly pickup had begun for the winter months and a schedule was provided to them in an attempt to alleviate future problems
- December 8, 2003 A complaint was received that the garbage container was placed on the street one week early. An inspection was made but no container was found.
- **December 17, 2003** Administration was required to remove a container from the street that had been left there presumably by a tenant and a notice was provided to the tenant. The tenant was then advised when the next collection pickup would be. A charge of \$50 was levied for the removal of the container from the street.
- December 19, 2003 A container from another apartment within the block was left on the street and removed by city crews. A charge of \$50 was levied for the removal.
- April 4, 2004 A complaint was received relative to garbage on the property. This was investigated but no action was required at that time.

Since Administration began charging the property owners for costs of removing "roll-out" containers from the street the number of reported incidents has declined. This practice will continue. In addition, the new Solid Waste Bylaw has provisions for prosecution in instances of garbage not being properly managed on properties. Administration will be taking a "zero tolerance" stance on similar problems related to this property and seek prosecution on all infractions.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

- E2) 2004 Capital Budget Capital Project #876 Landfill - Phase 2 Upgrade Engineering Services (File No. 7970-26-1)
- **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the engineering services proposal for the City of Saskatoon, Landfill Phase 2 Upgrade from UMA Engineering Ltd., for a total upset fee of \$144,532.92 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) be accepted; and,
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate agreement as prepared by the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

The 2004 Solid Waste Capital Budget includes a total of \$1,917,000 funded from the Landfill Replacement Reserve for Project #876 for a Phase 2 Upgrade of the City of Saskatoon Spadina Landfill. The Upgrade will be based on recommendations presented in the 2001 Landfill Master Plan.

This project will include construction of a new scale facility, modifications to the existing roadways to improve traffic separation and efficient movement of vehicles, site drainage improvements, site fencing and final capping of the west and north faces of the landfill mound. Additional improvements related to site security, additional equipment and maintenance storage facilities and other minor facility improvements will be completed as the budget allows.

In April 2004, three consulting firms were invited to submit engineering services proposals for the entire project related to the Phase 2 Upgrade. Proposals were received from the following two firms:

- Clifton Associates Ltd.
- UMA Engineering Ltd.

The Branch Manager and the assigned Project Engineer from the Environmental Engineering Branch evaluated the submitted proposals. Based on an evaluation of quality parameters and upset fee for the project, the proposal from UMA Engineering Ltd. was found to be the most favorable.

The upset fee for the Consulting Services is as follows:

Basic Upset Fee P.S.T. (7% of 30% of Design) G.S.T. @ 7% Contract Amount	\$133,579.00 1,603.39 <u>9,350.53</u> \$144,532.92
G.S.T. Rebate @ 7%	<u>(9,350.53)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$135,182.39</u>

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E3) Management of Demolition Material (File No. CK. 7830-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At the April 19, 2004, joint meeting between the Rural Municipality of Corman Park and the City of Saskatoon the Municipality raised the issue of a regional clean fill site and the problems the Municipality was experiencing with demolition materials. This concern was raised primarily as a result of the amount of demolition material from within the City that was being dumped within the Rural Municipality. In addition, to the forgoing concern, the General Manager of Utility Services received a referral from the Mayor's Office on April 28, 2004, relating to a similar issue. In this instance, the Saskatoon Christian School was concerned because a demolition contractor had submitted a discretionary use application to the Corman Park Municipality to develop a clean fill site in close proximity to the school and a proposed new Church planned for the area adjacent to the Yellowhead highway on the southern border of the City. The Rural Municipality has currently delayed a decision on this discretionary use application as well as any other future clean fill site applications until after June 30, 2004.

REPORT

In October 2001, Saskatchewan Environment contacted the City of Saskatoon relative to the disposal of demolition material from sites within the City of Saskatoon. The concern expressed by Saskatchewan Environment was the result of several concerns raised by the Rural Municipality of Corman Park where demolition material originating within the City is typically

disposed of. Some of the demolition material is placed in approved sites; however, the majority of it is dumped in contractor's fill sites, borrow pits and in some cases old gravel pits and other unapproved sites. In October 2001, Saskatchewan Environment sent a letter to a number of City Departments expressing concern about this issue as well (Attachment "A").

In 2003, the Rural Municipality of Corman Park raised the same issue with the Utility Services Department expressing concern that demolition materials were finding their way into the Municipality and requested that action be taken on this matter to limit the material to approved sites. The request was essentially to have the disposal location listed on the demolition permit, which would allow for the tracking of the material.

In 2003, all Saskatoon area demolition contractors were issued a letter by Saskatchewan Environment outlining Provincial regulations and informing them that the only approved places for disposal of demolition and construction materials was at municipal landfills. Saskatchewan Environment advised the City of this action.

On April 30, 2004, a meeting was held between Saskatchewan Environment, City Administration Utility Services Department, and the Rural Municipality of Corman Park Administration to discuss the issue of clean fill sites and the particular problem around demolition permits. The Municipality and Saskatchewan Environment raised concern about the current practices of issuing demolition permits without knowledge of where the material was to be disposed of. The Municipality and Saskatchewan Environment were advised that the City was planning to undertake a feasibility study for the development of a clean fill and recycling facility. Both Saskatchewan Environment and the Municipality were also informed that the former fill site on Central Avenue North was being considered by the City as a potential location for the proposed facility. If this site is selected it will be required to go through the environment would be required if it remains within the Rural Municipality. In addition, alternative road access may need to be considered.

On May 7, 2004, Administration met with Saskatchewan Environment to discuss a short and longer-term resolve to the current issue of demolition material disposal. Essentially the resolve was as follows:

- Long Term a facility needs to be developed for the separation, recycling and disposal of clean fill material. Currently a feasibility study is planned to begin the process of looking at such a site including the former Central Avenue North site. UMA will undertake the feasibility study which, if it proves to be feasible will be followed by an environmental assessment and business plan. The feasibility of this will, in part, depend on limiting the number of such facilities within the area.
- 2. Short Term a plan needs to be put in place to identify where demolition material is being disposed of and to track the material from the demolition location to the disposal site in order to alleviate the concerns expressed by the Rural Municipality of Corman

Park and Saskatchewan Environment. This will also limit the proliferation of sites where demolition materials are disposed of. In addition, some shorter-term sorting and separation practices (at source) need to be implemented and recycling and reusing of this material encouraged.

The following procedures are being implemented by Administration to deal with the problem in the short term:

- 1. City of Saskatoon Demolition Permits will identify the final disposition of all materials from demolition sites that originate within the City of Saskatoon plus any planned recycling and reuse of materials.
- 2. The format and the specific information to be contained on the demolition permit will be developed jointly between the Building Standards Branch, Environmental Protection Branch and Saskatchewan Environment.
- 3. Demolition permits for "mixed loads" of demolition material will only be issued if the material is going to a Saskatchewan Environment approved landfill as listed in Attachment "B".
- 4. Demolition permits for "sorted and separated loads" of clean fill demolition material (as defined in Attachment "C") may be issued if the material is going to a Saskatchewan Environment and municipally approved clean fill site also listed in attachment "C".
- 5. Copies of all demolition permits will be forwarded to the Environmental Protection Branch, Utility Services Department on the date of issue. (*The Environmental Protection* Branch will provide copies to Saskatchewan Environment and follow-up to ensure materials were appropriately disposed of in the appropriate sites.)
- 6. All complaints and technical questions are being referred to the Environmental Protection Branch, Utility Services Department for follow-up and resolution.
- 7. A communication plan will be developed by the City of Saskatoon in cooperation with Saskatchewan Environment and the Rural Municipality of Corman Park to ensure all contractors are aware of the above and to encourage recycling and reuse of demolition materials.

The City is proceeding with a feasibility study relative to developing a demolition recycling yard and clean fill site.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Unapproved Dumping of Demolition and Construction Materials in and around City of Saskatoon

- 2. A Saskatchewan Approved Landfill
- 3. Clean Landfill Site
- E4) 2004 Capital Budget SaskPower Corporation City of Saskatoon Joint Project (File No. 2000-1)

RECOMMENDATIONS:	1)	that the joint project for the construction of a sanitary sewer line to the QE Power Plant cost-shared 44 percent by the City of Saskatoon and 56 percent by SaskPower Corporation be approved;
	2)	that the joint project for the construction of a water supply line to the QE Power Plant cost-shared 35 percent by the City of Saskatoon and 65 percent by SaskPower Corporation be approved; and
	3)	that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement.
ADOPTED.		

REPORT

SaskPower Corporation (SPC) approached the Utility Services Department to connect to the City's sanitary sewer collection system and to the water distribution system to serve the QE Power Plant. This provides an opportunity for the City to realize cost savings by entering into a joint project partnership with SPC.

Sanitary Sewer Connection

In the fall of 2003, a leachate collection system was commissioned to intercept a ground water plume migrating to the north of the landfill. The system is located adjacent to the CN Railway tracks on the north side. It includes a lift station that pumps collected groundwater to the City's sanitary sewer collection system. The close proximity of this system to the QE Plant provides an opportunity to connect the sanitary sewer service to that facility.

SPC has commissioned an engineering firm to prepare a detailed design of the sanitary sewer connection. The project cost estimate proposes an SPC and COS cost-sharing agreement. The City's future landfill expansion involves construction of a sanitary sewer service and the second phase of the leachate collection system to intercept a groundwater plume that migrates south of

the landfill. This project partnership will involve common use of the sanitary line that crosses the CN railway tracks, which is an expensive portion of the construction cost.

The cost estimate of the joint construction work is estimated at \$97,000 where 44 percent totalling \$43,000 is strictly related to the City's portion. It is estimated that without a cost sharing agreement the cost will be approximately \$65,000 (saving \$22,000).

This work will be included in Capital Project #876 Regional Waste Management Facility – Leachate Collection System component that has sufficient funds in place.

Potable Water Connection

A COS potable water supply line was also extended to the lift stations as a part of the après mentioned leachate collection system. The close proximity of this system to the QE Plant also provides opportunity to extend the water distribution system to that facility.

Summarily to the sewer connection, the project cost estimate proposes a cost sharing agreement. The COS will require a potable water supply at the new raw water intake to be located south of QE Power Plant. As a result, the potable water supply system at the QE Power Plant can be easily extended to the new intake. Also, the new water line will service the COS landfill facility in the near future.

The cost estimate of the joint construction work is estimated at \$170,000 where 35 percent totalling \$59,000 is strictly related to the City's portion. It is estimated that without a cost sharing agreement the cost will be approximately \$141,000 (saving \$82,000). This work will be included in Capital Project #1209 New Intake Facility, which has sufficient funds in place.

In summary, the partnership with SPC in joint project for sanitary sewer and potable water connections will save the COS approximately \$104,000 (50 percent).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E5) Construction & Demolition Waste Management Centre Feasibility Study (File No.: 7830-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATIONS:</u>	1)	that the amount of \$32,000 be approved for a feasibility study for a construction and demolition waste management centre; and
	2)	that the funding source be as follows: \$20,000 previously allocated to a waste characterization study be reallocated from the Solid Waste Capital Budget to this solid waste project and the additional funding of \$12,000 be provided through the waste minimization reserve if FCM Green Funds are not secured.
ADOPTED.		

REPORT

Your administration recommends the reallocation of capital funds for a feasibility study to assess the viability of developing a Construction and Demolition Waste Management Centre (C & D Management Centre) industrial, institutional, commercial and residential waste. The City would like to preserve the life of the sanitary landfill, provide alternative disposal inert waste, and increase the potential for construction and demolition waste recycling. The feasibility study will assess the viability of developing a C & D Management Centre to capture inert waste and improve waste management options available to the construction and demolition industry as well as to our own civic forces.

It is estimated that 36 percent of the waste generated in Saskatoon is construction and demolition waste. By diverting this and other inert material to a separate site, the sanitary landfill capacity could be extended by close to one third, increasing the expected life of the landfill from 20 to 27 years.

The City of Saskatoon operated two-earth and rubble fill site, but both of these sites have been closed. These fill site were used to dispose of earth and inert rubble such as concrete, asphalt, earth, pipe, and bricks. The majority of this material was brought to the sites by developers, involved in demolition and construction projects. Additional material from road and sidewalk excavations was deposited at the site by City forces. The rubble dumps were operated in order to maximize the life of the City's municipal landfill by diverting a substantial amount of material. The sites provided Saskatoon citizens with a no-charge alternative to the municipal landfill, which encouraged people to dispose of rubble in a controlled area rather than illegally on City land, private property, or in the Rural Municipality (RM) of Corman Park. Since the closure of these sites, illegal dumping of construction and demolition waste in the RM has become an issue. Discussions with Saskatchewan Environment have shown their willingness to work with the City and the RM in the development of a facility to address the illegal dumping issue.

The feasibility study will establish the quantities and types of recyclable material and identify available markets. Segregating the inert waste stream from the sanitary waste stream can present many opportunities for recycling. The current waste management facility does not have the space or staff available to segregate or process waste that could be recycled. A C & D Management Centre could provide opportunities for waste segregation and processing such as concrete crushing, asphalt grinding, and wood grinding, all of which would produce useful end products. Previous rubble dump operations have been operated at no charge to the users. Increasingly stringent development and operation requirements have increased the costs of these facilities so that the municipality will no longer be able to offer these services for free. The feasibility study will consider the tipping fees required to recoup development costs and offset operating costs. These fees must be competitive with neighbouring municipal and private landfill to ensure the site will be viable. The study will assess the viability of operating a specialized construction and demolition waste facility, and potential increases in recycling of inert waste.

A commitment by City Administration has been given to the RM of Corman Park and to Saskatchewan Environment to take an active role in dealing with construction and demolition waste issues. The RM has stated that they will not approve any clean fill disposal sites until the end of June to allow the City time to investigate the possibility of developing a C & D Management Centre site. Clean fill sites would operate in direct competition with a C & D Management Centre site. All clean fill site applications to the RM have been deferred, and the council is awaiting an update on the progress of the project at the end of June. To this end, a request for proposals for a C & D Management Centre Feasibility Study was sent to consultants on March 24, 2004. At the close of the RFP on April 20, UMA Engineering was the only respondent, with a cost of \$26,270 plus taxes. As this exceeds the amount that can be funded through the operating budget, it is requested that it be funded as a capital project. Due to the commitment to provide an update to the RM at the end of June, UMA Engineering has already begun working on the project.

An application to FCM for Green Municipal Enabling Funds for this project has already been initiated, and approval has been given at the intent to apply stage. A full application may now be submitted for fifty percent of the total project cost. The cost for UMA to complete this application will be an additional \$3,064 plus applicable taxes, increasing the total project cost to \$29,334. Twenty thousand dollars previously allocated to a waste characterization study that will not proceed due to conflicts with construction timings can be reallocated from the solid waste capital budget to this solid waste project. The additional funding of \$12,000 can be accommodated through the waste minimization reserve if the grant application is unsuccessful.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 10-2004

Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

A1) Petition for Referendum Gathercole Initiative Group (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

It is our understanding that the first signature on the petition of the Gathercole Initiative Group (GIG) for a referendum relating to the development of the South Downtown area was dated March 1, 2004. Assuming that this is correct, May 31, 2004 was the last day for submission of the petition, pursuant to section 107(5) of *The Cities* Act, which states that the petition must be filed with the clerk within 90 days after the date on which the first signature is obtained on the petition.

The purpose of this report is to formally advise City Council that the City Clerk's Office has not received a petition for a referendum relating to the development of the South Downtown area.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

B1) 2004 Operating Budget Amendment to The Traffic Bylaw (File No. CK. 1704-1 and 1905-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8322.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At City Council's meeting held on May 3, 2004, it was resolved in part:

"2) that the unreduced parking ticket fine be increased from \$40.00 to \$50.00, effective July 1, 2004, for an estimated revenue increase of \$50,000;"

REPORT

Schedule No. 10 of The Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 provides for, among other things, the fines for Notice of Violation Offences. Those parking offences which previously resulted in a \$40.00 unreduced penalty have been amended to reflect an increase in the unreduced fine to \$50.00. The fines for all other Notice of Violation Offences remain the same.

As a consequence of this amendment, the form of the Notice of Violation (Schedule No. 11) also requires an amendment to reflect the new amount. In addition to the pre-printed Notice of Violation forms which have been used in the past, new electronically generated Notice of Violations are currently being used, as a result of the introduction of the hand-held meter reading system. Accordingly, Bylaw No. 7200 has also been amended to clarify what Notice of Violation forms are acceptable.

Attached is Bylaw No. 8322 which reflects these changes to The Traffic Bylaw No. 7200.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8322 with attached Schedules.
- B2) The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 2) (File No. 255-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that Bylaw No. 8324 be considered.

ADOPTED.

The Audit Committee used to meet at 4:00 p.m. on Mondays. However, due to the change in time of the commencement of Council meetings, the Audit Committee has changed their meetings to the fourth Wednesday of each month beginning at 11:30 a.m. Bylaw No. 8324 makes the necessary changes to The Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw, 2003, to accommodate this change in time and day of the Audit Committee Meetings.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8324.

B3) The Smoking Control Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (File No.CK. 185-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8323.

ADOPTED.

Over the past several months, the Administration has been working with the Saskatoon Health Region to develop an implementation strategy for the Bylaw. Considerable time has been spent going over various situations and how the Bylaw might apply in these circumstances. It has become apparent that some ambiguity may exist in the terminology in the current Bylaw. In order to be as clear as possible and to avoid any confusion on the part of the public and those affected by the Bylaw, we believe that certain terms in the Bylaw should be clarified prior to the Bylaw taking effect. These changes are for clarification only and are not intended to expand the scope or application of the Bylaw.

The Bylaw, as amended, is intended to apply to outdoor seating areas operated as part of a restaurant, regardless whether service is provided by the restaurant. Many coffee shops and fast food restaurants provide outdoor seating. Customers often take their purchases outside and consume their food and beverages in the seating areas provided. In many cases, no table service is provided. However, the seating area is part of the operation of the restaurant and falls within the definition of an "outdoor seating area". Accordingly, smoking is prohibited in these areas.

The Bylaw, as amended, is also intended to apply to tents and other portable shelters at which food and beverages are sold as part of an outdoor community event. Recent examples of these types of events would include the Fringe Festival, the Taste of Saskatchewan and The Jazz Festival. The proposed amendment would clarify that smoking is prohibited in food and beverage tents at community events.

We are enclosing for Council's consideration Bylaw No. 8323, The Smoking Control Amendment Bylaw, 2004. This Bylaw clarifies the various matters contained in this report.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

Proposed Bylaw No. 8323.

REPORT NO. 10-2004 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor O. Fortosky, Chair Councillor T. Alm Councillor D. L. Birkmaier Councillor E. Hnatyshyn Councillor M. Neault

1. Alternative Service Delivery Special Needs Transportation Service (File No. CK. 7305-1)

		Cabs for a period of one year beginning July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2005, with the option to renew under the same terms and conditions for a period of up to two additional years; and
4DOPTED	,	that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate agreement as prepared by the City Solicitor.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 18, 2004, with the Administration and supports the recommendations for award of the contract for alternative service delivery for the Special Needs Transportation Service.

2. Capital Project No. 2002 Circle Drive and College Drive Grade Separation and Enquiry – Councillor Penner (September 9, 2002)

Overpass Connecting West College Park and Sutherland (File No. CK. 6150-4)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council approve the interchange configuration proposed for Circle Drive and College Drive as shown in Option 3, Exhibit 3, (Attachment 3 to report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated May 17, 2004);

- 2) that City Council approve the interchange structure Option A-1 (Attachment 4 to report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated May 17, 2004); and
- 3) that the Administration report further regarding modifications to the intersection of Central Avenue and College Drive upon completion of the next round of public consultations.

Attached is a copy of report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated May 17, 2004, with respect to the interchange configuration proposed for Circle Drive and College Drive, along with interchange structure options.

Your Committee has reviewed the options for both the interchange configuration and structure and supports those proposed by the Administration, as noted in the above recommendations.

Item AA20) of Communications was brought forward and considered.

"AA20) <u>Doug Swarbrick, dated May 26</u>

Submitting comments and suggestions with respect to the design of the Circle Drive and College Drive overpass. (File No. CK. 6050-1)

RECOMMENDATION:		e letter be considered with Clause 2, Report No. 10-2004 of nning and Operations Committee."
<i>IT WAS RESOLVED:</i>	1)	that City Council approve the interchange configuration proposed for Circle Drive and College Drive as shown in Option 3, Exhibit 3, (Attachment 3 to report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated May 17, 2004);
	2)	that City Council approve the interchange structure Option A-1 (Attachment 4 to report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated May 17, 2004); and
	3)	that the Administration report further regarding modifications to the intersection of Central Avenue and College Drive upon completion of the next round of public consultations.

3. Centenary Affordable Housing Program (File No. CK. 750-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :	1)	that a letter be forwarded to the Provincial Government to indicate that the City of Saskatoon is interested in more than the estimated 600 units proposed for Saskatoon under the Centenary Affordable Housing Program, if available; and
	2)	that the Saskatoon Housing Initiatives Partnership be asked to assist the City in identification of additional families and organizations to participate under this program.
ADOPTED		

Attached is a copy of Clause 8, Report No. 9-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee which was DEALT WITH AS STATED by City Council at its meeting held on May 17, 2004:

- 1) that City Council approve participation in the Centenary Affordable Housing Program (CAHP) as described in the agreement attached to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 5, 2004;
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Centenary Affordable Housing Program Provincial/Municipal Funding Agreement;

- 3) that the total funding under all options of the Centenary Affordable Housing Program not exceed \$1,503,000 as per the attached agreement;
- 4) that City Council direct the Administration to work with the provincial government, under the CAHP Provincial/Municipal Agreement, to approve and review applications;
- 5) that City Council delegate authority to the Administration to approve CAHP Homeownership Option applications up to an accumulated limit of \$486,500;
- 6) that the Administration report annually on the CAHP Homeownership Option;
- 7) that all of the above be subject to the Saskatchewan Housing Corporation allowing access to all Saskatchewan Housing Corporation (SHC) – CAHP – Homeownership Option records that include municipal partnership, for City of Saskatoon audit purposes;
- 8) that the report be forwarded to the Saskatoon Housing Initiatives Partnership for information; and
- 9) that the Planning and Operations Committee be requested to consider the possibility of increasing available affordable housing by 300 units, in partnership with the Saskatoon Housing Initiatives Partnership.

Your Committee has considered Resolution 9) above with respect to the possibility of increasing the number of available affordable housing units allocated for Saskatoon under the Centenary Affordable Housing Program.

Following review of this matter with the Administration, your Committee is recommending that the Provincial Government be advised that the City of Saskatoon is interested in more than the estimated 600 units under this program, if they are available, and that the Saskatoon Housing Initiatives Partnership be asked to assist the City in the identification of additional families and organizations to participate under this program.

4. Communications to Council

From: Alan	Thomarat
	Saskatoon and Region Home Builders' Association
Date:	May 6, 2004
Subject:	Lot Supply, Drainage Bylaw and Housing Policy Issues
(File No. CK.	4131-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: 1)

- that the following resolution of City Council from its meeting held on August 11, 2003, be rescinded:
 - "a) that the Administration implement a Procedure for Pre-Tender Consultation in association with the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association (SHBA), to establish voluntary design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre;
 - b) that tendering of land sales continue in the Lakewood Suburban Centre when a Procedure is implemented; and
 - c) that the Administration report back to City Council with an outline of the new Administrative Procedure, in due course, on the impact of implementing the Pre-Tender Consultation Procedure, in the Lakewood Suburban Centre"; and
- 2) that the City allow the multi-family lots in the Lakewood Suburban Centre to go to tender with the existing regulations and that information be included in the tender documents with respect to the City's expectations relating to the eight voluntary architectural principles identified by the Administration.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Clause A9) of Communications.

5. Victoria Park Program Plan Review and Location of Boat Launch (File No. CK 4205-13)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: 1) that the Administration work with the MVA and user groups to look at a temporary boat launch site either in Victoria Park or Kiwanis Park or near the Leisureland site, and that an amount up to \$50,000 be provided for the temporary boat launch;

- 2) that the Administration report back with respect to a permanent site; and
- 3) that the Victoria Park Program Plan Review be approved, subject to further discussion with respect to the area designated as a possible boat launch.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with Clause A12) of Communications.

REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor D.L. Birkmaier, Chair Councillor T. Alm Councillor B. Dubois Councillor M. Neault Councillor G. Wyant

1. Agreement – Internal Audit Services (File No. CK. 1600-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>:

<u>N</u>: that the Audit Committee be authorized to undertake a call for Expressions of Interest for internal audit services rather than a Request for Proposals.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 3-2004 of the Audit Committee which was ADOPTED by City Council at its meeting held on April 19, 2004. This matter was discussed by the Executive Committee at a recent meeting, at which time the Committee provided support for the Audit Committee to undertake a call for Expressions of Interest for internal audit services, rather than a Request for Proposal. This would allow for a wider range of proposals from qualified firms.

REPORT NO. 3-2004 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair Councillor E. Hnatyshyn Councillor G. Penner Councillor M. Neault Councillor G. Wyant

1.	Request to Sell City-owned Property
	Lots 1 to 9, Block 511, Lots 1 to 17, Block 512, and Lots 1 to 23, Block 516
	All in Plan No. 101749248
	Greaves Crescent, Stensrud Road and Keedwell Street
	Willowgrove Neighbourhood
	(File No. Ck. 4215-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :	1)	that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell 45 lots in the Willowgrove Neighbourhood through a lot draw process as outlined in this report;
	2)	that any of the lots which are not sold through the lot draw process be placed for sale over-the-counter, on a first- come, first-served basis;
	3)	that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell Lots 20, 21, 22, and 23, all in Block 516 to the highest bidder through a tender process for the intended use of developing Type II Residential Care Homes, with tender conditions and a reserve bid as outlined in this report, plus applicable taxes;
	4)	that if Lots 20, 21, 22 and 23, all in Block 516 are not sold through the tender process, they be placed for sale over- the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis with conditions specified in the sales agreement as outlined in this report;

	5)	that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation to complete the sale by public tender; and
	6)	that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to administer development controls for the 49 lots in accordance with the criteria as outlined in this report.
ADOPTED.		

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is firstly to obtain approval to sell four lots through a public tender process as pre-designated locations for Type II Residential Care Homes; secondly to obtain approval to sell 45 lots through a lot draw process and thirdly to obtain approval to administer development controls for each of the 49 lots proposed to be sold.

Construction of the first phase of development in Willowgrove began in May 2003. Construction progressed over the course of the summer and a number of new roadways within the development area were completed prior to winter. Lots on those streets were then offered for sale through a lot draw process in February 2004 (see Attachment No. 1). However, services along Stensrud Road were not completed in the fall of 2003 and therefore the lots on Stensrud, Keedwell and a portion of Greaves Crescent were not included in the lot draw at that time. Nor were these lots included in the pricing report that was submitted to Council for approval in October 2003. It is now anticipated that the servicing of this area will be complete by early July 2004. Accordingly, the Land Branch is now preparing for the sale of these lots. Attachment No. 2 indicates the area that will be included in the upcoming lot draw.

As of April 30, 2004, the Land Branch has been successful in selling 139 of the 164 lots that were offered in the first lot draw this spring. This has reduced inventory levels to a point that justifies bringing out the additional 49 lots as soon as the services are completed this summer.

A future lot draw is also being planned for the lots on Maguire Court and Greaves Court once those lots have been serviced. This lot draw is expected to occur in October 2004 and will include an additional 85 lots. A separate report will be brought forward to deal with the sale of those lots at that time.

In accordance with the direction provided by City Council to pre-designate potential sites for Type II Residential Care Homes in new development areas, four lots have been designated for this potential use on Keedwell Street.

REPORT

1. Pre-Designated Lots for Type II Residential Care Homes

Four of the 49 lots that are being proposed for sale at this time have been pre-designated as potential locations for Type II Residential Care Homes. It is recommended that these four lots be sold through a public tender process with reserve bids. The recommended reserve bids are as follows:

Lot 20, Block 516	\$65,900.00
Lot 21, Block 516	\$62,300.00
Lot 22, Block 516	\$62,300.00
Lot 23, Block 516	\$61,100.00

These lots range in width from 16.5 metres to 17.89 metres. Tenders will be awarded to the highest bidder over the reserve bid that meet the conditions set out in the tender documents. If there is any uncertainty regarding the bids received, the appropriate reports and recommendations will be provided to Council.

One of the conditions that will be specified in the tender documents will be that the Purchaser will be required to submit a ten percent non-refundable deposit. The first residential care home lots sold in Willowgrove required a two percent non-refundable deposit, however due to issues that arose from the bidding process on those lots, it is now felt that the higher non-refundable deposit of ten percent is justified. Additionally, a Certificate of Independent Bid Determination will also be required from all bidders.

Transfer of title to the Purchaser's name will not occur until such time as the Purchaser has submitted a discretionary use application for a Type II Residential Care Home and Council has approved it. A period of no longer than six months will be permitted for the Purchaser to gain this approval. The Purchaser will be responsible for all costs associated with this application. If the Purchaser is unable to gain the necessary approval within six months from the close of tenders, the Purchaser shall forfeit their non-refundable deposit and the lot will be made available for purchase over-the-counter on a first-come, first-served basis.

A similar condition will be incorporated into the Sales Agreement when this lot is available over-the-counter. The Purchaser will be required to obtain the necessary approvals for a Type II Residential Care Home within six months of placing an option on the lot. The term of the option will be six months and will require a non-refundable deposit of ten percent of the reserve bid price.

If this lot does not sell within one year from the date of the original tender, the lot will be removed from the pre-designated list of Type II Residential Care Homes and will be sold on a first-come, first-served basis for one of the permitted uses within the R1A zoning.

2. Sale of Serviced Residential Lots

The remaining 45 lots are proposed to be sold through a lot draw process that will be open to both individuals and builders (40 percent to individuals and 60 percent to builders). Any lots that are not sold through this process will be made available for purchase over-the-counter on a first-come, first-served basis.

The sizes of the lots in this phase of Willowgrove vary from a minimum frontage of 14.6 metres (48 feet) to a maximum of 15.8 metres (52 feet). Other lot characteristics of note include the proximity of these lots to the linear park and the ability on a number of the lots to accommodate walkout basements. As well, a wrought-iron fence will be provided at the rear of all lots backing onto the linear park.

Lot prices have been determined using the 2003 prepaid direct service rates and have included for a five percent increase to the prepaid offsite service rates, which are likely to come into effect prior to the sale of these lots. A base unit price of \$3,650.00 per front metre was used to calculate the lot prices. Adjustments were then made to the base prices based on lot location and characteristics. A list of the individual lot prices for the 49 new lots is attached (See Attachment No. 3). The price range varies from \$49,500.00 to \$69,200.00.

3. <u>Development Controls</u>

Two different sets of development controls were established for the lots in the first lot draw in Willowgrove. Lots within the Greaves area were required to meet the following criteria:

- The minimum size for a bungalow, bi-level, or split-level dwelling will be 1,000 square feet. The minimum size for a two-storey dwelling will be 1,500 square feet; and
- All dwellings must be constructed with a double-wide attached garage. The garage must be constructed at the same time as the dwelling is built.

The rationale for this set of development controls was to provide buyers with some assurance that the future form and appearance of the neighbourhood would meet the expectations they had when they purchased their lot.

A different set of controls was required within the Maguire area. These controls were more restrictive and were intended to create a neo-traditional character for this area. Restrictions were put on the minimum allowable roof pitch, a requirement for front covered verandas, and that the houses with back lanes be two stories in elevation. The intent was to encourage a neo-traditional character within the Maguire area while allowing for more conventional development within the Greaves area.

Because the lots that are now proposed for sale are adjacent to the lots in the Greaves area, it is recommended that the same development controls used for the Greaves area in the first lot draw be extended to this area.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Plan showing lots offered for sale in the first lot draw in Willowgrove.
- 2. Plan showing proposed lots for sale.
- 3. List of 49 individual lot prices."

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

PRESENTATION

His Worship the Mayor presented to the Citizens of Saskatoon, a montage of pictures, as well as the City of Saskatoon flag flown aboard the Space Shuttle Columbia, by former resident Dave Williams on mission STS-90 from April 17 to May 3, 1998, which had been presented to His Worship on May 25, 2004.

HEARINGS

5a) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment Revised Fees for Rezoning, Discretionary Use, and Development Permit Applications Proposed Bylaw No. 8311 (File No. CK. 4350-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8311, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a copy of Clause A2, Administrative Report No. 8-2004 which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 19, 2004. Also attached is a copy of a letter dated April 22, 2004 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission reaffirming the Commission's support of the recommendations of the Community Services Department, along with a copy of the notice which appeared in the local press under dates of May 15 and 22, 2004.

Also attached is a copy of a letter requesting permission to address Council dated June 2, 2004, from Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director, The Chamber.

Also attached is a copy of a letter requesting permission to address Council dated June 4, 2004, from Mr. Alan Thomarat, Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.

Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, The Chamber, spoke with respect to productivity gains. He provided Council with a copy of his presentation.

Mr. Alan Thomarat, Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association expressed concerns with respect to the increase of fees for the end users and the impact it may have on City growth.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8311.

CARRIED.

5b) Proposed Exchange of Municipal Reserve University Heights Multi-District Park

Proposed Bylaw No. 8314 (File No. CK. 4110-30)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8314, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a copy of Clause A6, Administrative Report No. 9-2004 which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 3, 2004, as well as a copy of the notice which appeared in the local press under dated of May 8 and 15, 2004.

The following is a report of the City Solicitor dated May 25, 2004:

'At its meeting held on May 3, 2004, Council instructed the City Solicitor to prepare the necessary bylaw to authorize the exchange of municipal reserve required to accommodate the creation of a new site for a school for the Saskatoon Public School Board and to accommodate the re-alignment of Nelson Road.

It should be noted that in the report that went to Council, one of the plan numbers identified was incorrect. That error was corrected and the correct plan number was properly advertised prior to submission of this Bylaw. Attached please find Bylaw No. 8314 which authorizes the necessary exchange of municipal reserve.'

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.""

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, outlined background information regarding the exchange of the municipal reserve, and indicated the Department's support.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8314

CARRIED.

5c) Proposed Development Plan Amendment DCD1 Guidelines Central Business District and Riversdale Neighbourhood Applicant: City of Saskatoon Proposed Bylaw No. 8316 (File No. CK. 4130-2-8)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8316, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated May 6, 2004 recommending that the proposed amendment to the Development Plan be approved. Also attached is a letter dated May 25, 2004 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission, indicating the Commission's support of the recommendation.

The following is a report of the City Manager, dated June 2, 2004:

'The final concept plan for South Downtown will be presented to City Council at its meeting of June 21, 2004.

Mr. Gwyn Symmons of CitySpaces Consulting Ltd. has been reviewing the public input forms and wishes to present the following comments:

"The open houses held on April 27 and 28, 2004 were very successful in attracting attendees. During the open houses and subsequently, we received a total of 337 public input forms. Overall, there was a very positive response to the concept plan presented and there were a great many suggestions and comment communicated to us. Over 80% of the respondents were strongly supportive or supportive for each of the main elements of the plan.

One issue raised by some respondents was building height. The concerns expressed centred-around the height of buildings, which included concerns regarding views, sunshine, wind and unspecific anxiety about high buildings close to the river. We will assist Council in making its final determination in this manner by preparing a number of different massing models and spelling-out the implications of these. We are looking at fine-tuning some setback issues as part of this. This will accompany the main plan report we are preparing and we will make a recommendation as part of the plan."

Also attached is a copy of the notice that appeared in the local press under dates of May 15 and 22, 2004 as well as a copies of the following correspondence:

- letter dated May 27, 2004, from Mr. Terry Boucher; and
- letter dated June 2, 2004, from Ms. Peggy Sarjeant, Saskatoon Heritage Society, requesting permission to address Council."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Lorne Sully, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Development Plan Amendment.

Mr. Richard Kerbes, SOS Elms Coalition, spoke with respect to the mature trees on the site. He asked that Council retain the eight large American Elm trees on the east side of the Gathercole.

Ms. Victoria Neufeld, Saskatoon Heritage Society, commented on the proposed DCD1 Guidelines and requested that Council amend the guidelines to lower the density of the Gathercole and Meewasin Sites to ensure clear sight lines, to identify and request the retention of the heritage features and that the heritage features be included in any call for proposal and to ensure that the relationship between building and open space be addressed.

Ms. Jody Crewe, Riversdale Community Association, spoke with respect to a Community Forum on the South Downtown Development that was held in the Riversdale Neighbourhood, and commented on public process and the proposed changes to the proposed Development Plan Amendment.

Ms. Lenore Swystun, expressed concerns with respect to density and the South Downtown.

Mr. Len Usiskin, Manager, Quint Development Corporation, expressed concerns with respect to the South Downtown and the proposed Development Plan Amendment.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8316.

YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Dubois, Neault, Heidt, Wyant, Alm, Birkmaier, Penner 8

NAYS: Councillor Fortosky 1

Councillors Hnatyshyn and Paulsen were not in attendance.

5d) Proposed Zoning Amendment DCD1 Guidelines South Downtown, Central Business District and Riversdale Neighbourhood Applicant: City of Saskatoon Proposed Bylaw No. 8317 (File No. CK. 4130-2-8)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8317, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated May 6, 2004 recommending that the proposed amendment to the Zoning Bylaw be approved. Also attached is a letter dated May 25, 2004 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission, indicating the Commission's support of the recommendation. (See Attachment 6B)

Also attached is a copy of notice that appeared in the local press under dates of May 15 and 22, 2004."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Lorne Sully, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that no one was present in the gallery who wished to address Council with respect to the matter.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8317.

CARRIED.

5e) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment Nutana Local Area Plan 722/726 13th Street East R2 District to R2A District Proposed Bylaw No. 8318 (File No. CK. 4351-1)

Report of the City Clerk:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8318, a copy of which is attached.

Attached is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 5-2004 of the Municipal Planning Commission which was dealt with as stated by City Council at its meeting held on April 19, 2004 as well as a copy of notice which appeared in the local press under dates May 15 and 22, 2004."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Lorne Sully, Community Services Department, explained that the Department does not support the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.

Ms. Elaine Maksymiuk, owner, $722 - 13^{th}$ Street East, spoke in support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment. She provided Council with a letter undated, from Natalia Kitsei, owner of the property located at $726 - 13^{th}$ Street East.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the submitted correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8318.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. <u>REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL</u>

1) Amara, dated May 14

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the process and planning of the South Downtown development. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

2) <u>Lenore Swystun, dated May 27</u>

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

3) <u>Antoinette Martens, dated June 1</u>

Requesting permission to speak to Council with respect to the South Downtown development. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

4) <u>M. Carroll Chubb, dated June 2</u>

Requesting permission to speak to Council with respect to the plan for the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the speakers be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

Amara spoke with respect to the process and planning of the South Downtown development.

Ms. Lenore Swystun, Gathercole Initiative Group, spoke with respect to the efforts of the Gathercole Initiative Group and the process of the planning of the South Downtown.

Ms. Antoinette Martens spoke with respect to the use of the South Downtown.

Ms. Carroll Chubb spoke with respect to the heritage value of the Gathercole Building and site.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Item A10) of Communications be brought forward and considered and that Ms. Shirley Ryan be heard.

CARRIED.

"A10) Shirley Ryan, Executive Director North Saskatoon Business Association, dated June 2

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that Shirley Ryan be heard."

Ms. Shirley Ryan, Executive Director, North Saskatoon Business Association, spoke with respect to the South Downtown and support of the demolition of the Gathercole building.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

REQUESTS TO SPEAK - CONTINUED

5) Amanda Labach, dated May 20

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Silverwood Industrial Area. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Amanda Labach be heard.

6) Zane Labach, dated May 20

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Silverwood Industrial Area. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Zane Labach be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Amanda Labach expressed her concern regarding the perceived inactivity of the Administration to address the neighbourhood's concerns.

Mr. Zane Labach reviewed his concerns regarding the Silverwood Industrial Area.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

7) Jamie McKenzie, dated May 20

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to special needs transportation funding. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Jamie McKenzie be heard.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Jamie McKenzie be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Jamie McKenzie spoke with respect to special needs transportation and requested that Council approve more money for additional buses in the next budget. He provided Council with a copy of his presentation.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the matter be referred to the Budget Committee for discussion of improved service to the special needs transportation service.

CARRIED.

8) <u>Ellen Quigley, dated May 26</u>

Requesting permission to speak to Council with respect to bicycle paths in the city. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Ellen Quigley be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault, THAT Ellen Quigley be heard.

CARRIED.

It was noted that Ms. Ellen Quigley was not present in gallery.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

9) Alan Thomarat Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association, dated May 28

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to voluntary design guidelines. (File No. CK. 4131-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Clause 4, Report No. 10-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee be brought forward and considered and that Alan Thomarat be heard.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT Clause 4, Report No. 10-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee be brought forward and considered and that Alan Thomarat be heard.

CARRIED.

"REPORT NO. 10-2004 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

From:	Alan Thomarat
	Saskatoon and Region Home Builders' Association
Date:	May 6, 2004
Subject:	Lot Supply, Drainage Bylaw and Housing Policy Issues
(File No. C	K. 4131-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the following resolution of City Council from its meeting held on August 11, 2003, be rescinded:

- "a) that the Administration implement a Procedure for Pre-Tender Consultation in association with the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association (SHBA), to establish voluntary design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre;
- b) that tendering of land sales continue in the Lakewood Suburban Centre when a Procedure is implemented; and
- c) that the Administration report back to City Council with an outline of the new Administrative Procedure, in due course, on the impact of implementing the Pre-Tender Consultation Procedure, in the Lakewood Suburban Centre"; and

2) that the City allow the multi-family lots in the Lakewood Suburban Centre to go to tender with the existing regulations and that information be included in the tender documents with respect to the City's expectations relating to the eight voluntary architectural principles identified by the Administration.

Attached is a copy of an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on May 17, 2004, along with a copy of Mr. Alan Thomarat's letter and presentation with respect to lot supply, the drainage bylaw and housing policy issues. City Council referred the matter to the Planning and Operations Committee.

Also attached, as background information, is a copy of Clause A4, Administrative Report No. 13-2003 which was considered by City Council at its meeting held on August 11, 2003. City Council adopted the following recommendations of the Administration:

- ⁽¹⁾ that the Administration implement a Procedure for Pre-Tender Consultation in association with the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association (SHBA), to establish voluntary design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre;
- 2) that tendering of land sales continue in the Lakewood Suburban Centre when a Procedure is implemented;
- 3) that the Administration report back to City Council with an outline of the new Administrative Procedure, in due course, on the impact of implementing the Pre-Tender Consultation Procedure, in the Lakewood Suburban Centre.'

Your Committee has met with the Administration and Mr. Thomarat to review the issues addressed in Mr. Thomarat's presentation to City Council, including the matter of design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre and the availability of multi-family lots.

Mr. Thomarat summarized the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association's position on the matter of the design guidelines, referred to the limited amount of land available for development of multi-family units, and asked that the City release the land in the Lakewood Suburban Centre to allow development in the current building season.

During consideration of the matter, the Administration advised that they have not had the opportunity to report back to City Council on the above resolutions in that an agreement has not been reached with the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association with respect to all of the eight key ingredients that must be addressed in the voluntary design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre, including the issue of density. Your Committee has determined from the Administration that there are six lots ready for sale in this area. In reviewing options with the Administration, including looking at proposals on a project-by-project basis, your Committee was advised that the Administration does not have a process in place for Request for Proposals and that it would take time to develop such a process.

Following consideration of the request to release the multi-family lots in the Lakewood Suburban Centre, your Committee is recommending that the previous resolution of City Council on August 11, 2003 with respect to design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre be rescinded. Your Committee is recommending further that the City allow the multi-family lots in the Lakewood Suburban Centre to go to tender with the existing regulations and that information be included in the tender documents with respect to the City's expectations relating to the eight voluntary architectural principles."

The A/General Manager, Community Services Department, provided City Council with information regarding the eight proposed voluntary architectural principles.

Mr. Alan Thomarat, Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association, spoke in support of the Planning and Operations Committee's recommendations.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

- 1) that the following resolution of City Council from its meeting held on August 11, 2003, be rescinded:
 - "a) that the Administration implement a Procedure for Pre-Tender Consultation in association with the Saskatoon Home Builders' Association (SHBA), to establish voluntary design guidelines for the Lakewood Suburban Centre;
 - b) that tendering of land sales continue in the Lakewood Suburban Centre when a Procedure is implemented; and
 - c) that the Administration report back to City Council with an outline of the new Administrative Procedure, in due course, on the impact of implementing the Pre-Tender Consultation Procedure, in the Lakewood Suburban Centre"; and
- 2) that the City allow the multi-family lots in the Lakewood Suburban Centre to go

to tender with the existing regulations and that information be included in the tender documents with respect to the City's expectations relating to the eight voluntary architectural principles identified by the Administration.

CARRIED WITH THE NECESSARY SIX VOTES.

REQUEST TO SPEAK - CONTINUED

10) Shirley Ryan, Executive Director North Saskatoon Business Association, dated June 2

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that Shirley Ryan be heard.

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 58.

11) Terry Scaddan, Executive Director The Partnership, dated June 3

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the demolition of the International Market Building.

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Clause D8, Administrative Report No. 11-2004 be brought forward and considered and that Terry Scaddan be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Clause D8, Administrative Report No. 11-2004 be brought forward and considered and that Terry Scaddan be heard.

CARRIED.

"ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 11-2004

- D8) Demolition of 155 3rd Avenue North and 225-231 23rd Street East June 1, 2004 (File No. 530-2)
- **<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: 1) that City Council approve the demolition of 155 Third Avenue North and 225-231 23rd Street East; and
 - 2) that the cost of demolition be charged to the Tax Title Property Account.

The City of Saskatoon assumed title for the properties located at 155 Third Avenue North and 225-231 23rd Street East in 2001 under the Tax Enforcement Act. Both properties are in very poor condition and continue to be held by the City of Saskatoon. There is extensive water damage and mold contamination to each of these properties in addition to asbestos and a lead paint presence. Given the extensive deterioration of these structures, cleaning and renovating them is not an economic option. It is therefore proposed to demolish both buildings, with the estimated demolition cost of \$180,000 being charged to the Tax Title Property account."

Mr. Terry Scaddan, Executive Director, The Partnership, spoke with respect to the demolition of the property and the negative effect that the transit terminal has had on the property. He provided Council with a copy of the "Transit System Bus Service – 1913 Included to 1998".

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- *1) that City Council approve the demolition of 155 Third Avenue North and 225-231* 23rd Street East;
- 2) that the cost of demolition be charged to the Tax Title Property Account; and
- *3) that the matter of the disposition of the site following the demolition be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee for consideration.*

CARRIED.

REQUEST TO SPEAK - CONTINUED

12) <u>Bob Porat, dated June 7</u>

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to selecting a site for a temporary boat launch in the City. (File No. CK. 5520-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that Clause 5, Report No. 10-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee be brought forward and considered and that Bob Porat be heard.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Clause 5, Report No. 10-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee and Item AA44) of Communications be brought forward and considered and that Bob Porat be heard.

CARRIED.

"REPORT NO. 10-2004 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

5. Victoria Park Program Plan Review and Location of Boat Launch (File No. CK 4205-13)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: 1) the second sec

- N: 1) that the Administration work with the MVA and user groups to look at a temporary boat launch site either in Victoria Park or Kiwanis Park or near the Leisureland site, and that an amount up to \$50,000 be provided for the temporary boat launch;
 - 2) that the Administration report back with respect to a permanent site; and
 - 3) that the Victoria Park Program Plan Review be approved, subject to further discussion with respect to the area designated as a possible boat launch.

Attached is a copy of report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 30, 2004, submitting the Victoria Park Program Plan Review Report. The report included options for the relocation of the boat launch.

At its meeting held on May 11, 2004, your Committee received presentations with respect to the boat launch and referred the matter back to the Administration to work with the MVA and report further with respect to an alternative boat launch location and with respect to legislation and jurisdiction relating to the river, including the "no power boat or wake zone" designation.

Attached are copies of reports of the General Manager, Community Services Department and City Solicitor dated May 19, 2004, in response to the above referral.

Also attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on May 17, 2004, regarding the boat launch, along with various communications forwarded to City Council. City Council passed a motion that the information be received and referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.

Your Committee has received further presentations with respect to the need for a temporary boat launch and is recommending that the Administration work with the MVA and user groups to look at a temporary site either in Victoria Park or Kiwanis Park, or near the Leisureland site, and that an amount up to \$50,000 be provided for the temporary boat launch. Your Committee does not support use of the emergency boat launch or the Rotary Park location. Your Committee is also recommending that the Administration report further with respect to a permanent site.

With respect to the Victoria Park Program Plan Review, your Committee is recommending approval of the plan, subject to further discussion with respect to the area designated as a possible boat launch.

AA44) Gordon A. Wilson, Chair <u>Kiwanis Memorial Park Committee, dated June 2</u>

Expressing concerns with respect to the proposal to locate the boat launch close to the Vimy Memorial Bandstand. (File No. CK. 4205-13)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be considered with Clause 5, Report No. 10-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee."

Mr. Bob Porat spoke on behalf of the river users steering committee and expressed support for the recommendation.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

- 1) that the Administration work with the MVA and user groups to look at a temporary boat launch site either in Victoria Park or Kiwanis Park or near the Leisureland site, and that an amount up to \$50,000 be provided for the temporary boat launch;
- 2) that the Administration report back with respect to a permanent site; and

3) that the Victoria Park Program Plan Review be approved, subject to further discussion with respect to the area designated as a possible boat launch.

CARRIED.

AA. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Byron Horachek, Chairman The Partnership Board of Management dated May 6

Requesting that Council appoint Mr. Shea Ferster to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management. (File No. CK. 175-48)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that Mr. Shea Ferster be appointed to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Mr. Shea Ferster be appointed to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management.

CARRIED.

2) Rodolfo Pino-Robles, President Saskatchewan Intercultural Association, dated May 7

Expressing support for and urging Council to create a position to advise the Mayor on issues relating to immigrant and refugee resettlement in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 100-10)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) <u>Huy Dang, dated May 13</u>

Submitting comments with respect to the Transit buses in the City. (File No. CK. 1402-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Elaine Findlay Rainbow Seniors Program Group, dated May 11

Submitting a copy of a report entitled "Seniors' Consultation Day October 29, 2003" and requesting support. (File No. 1870-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) <u>Carol Deagnon, dated May 17</u>

Expressing concerns with respect to animal control. (File No. CK. 151-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the matter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

CARRIED.

6) <u>Dianne Kingston, dated May 18</u>

Expressing concerns with respect to the Silverwood Industrial area. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

7) Lynda Andres, dated May 18

Expressing concerns with respect to smoking in bars and restaurants. (File No. CK. 185-3)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received and that the writer be provided with a copy of the Smoking Control Bylaw.

CARRIED.

8) Neil Clarke, Race Organizer Northern Bush Rastas Mountain Bike Club, dated May 17

Requesting permission to use Diefenbaker Park and the Sutherland Beach Trails as an alternate site, on June 19, 2004, and the Sutherland Beach Trails on June 20, 2004, for the Saskatchewan Cup #3 Mountain Bike Race from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the Northern Bush Rastas Mountain Bike Club to use the Diefenbaker Park and Sutherland Beach Trails for the Saskatchewan Cup #3 Mountain Bike Race, on June 19 and 20, 2004 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to the Northern Bush Rastas Mountain Bike Club to use the Diefenbaker Park and Sutherland Beach Trails for the Saskatchewan Cup #3 Mountain Bike Race, on June 19 and 20, 2004 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

9) Denis Rouleau, La Troupe du Jour and Della Greer, Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan, dated May 18

Forwarding a copy of a letter addressed to the President of Persephone Theatre with respect to their presentation to Council regarding the development of a 150 - 200 seat flexible "black box" theatre. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

10) Clay Larson, Chair Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated May 17

Submitting a copy of the 2003 Annual Report for the Saskatoon Development Appeals Board. (File No. CK. 430-30)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

11) Vonda Adams, dated May 20

Expressing concerns with respect to graffiti and posters on city light posts. (File CK. 5000-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and that a copy of the Poster Bylaw be provided to the writer.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received and that a copy of the Poster Bylaw be provided to the writer.

CARRIED.

12) Terry Scaddan, Executive Director and Debbie Murphy, Office & Program Manager The Partnership, dated May 21

Requesting permission to be the sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations during the 28th Annual Sidewalk Sale, July 8-10, 2004. (File No. CK. 205-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

13) Paul C. Hamilton, dated May 12

Expressing concerns with respect to special needs transportation. (File No. CK. 7305-1) **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

14) Leslie Belloc-Pinder Varsity View Community Association, dated May 19

Requesting an extension of the noise bylaw for the Varsity View Community Association Party in the Park on Sunday June 21, 2004, from 3 p.m. to 9 p.m., at President Murray Park. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the Varsity View Community Association to extend the time during which the Community Association party in the park may be conducted at President Murray Park from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to the Varsity View Community Association to extend the time during which the Community Association party in the park may be conducted at President Murray Park from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

CARRIED.

15) Lynn Doll, dated May 18

Expressing concerns with respect to backyard fires. (File No. CK. 2500-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the matter be referred to the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the matter be referred to the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee and to the Administration to respond to the writer.

CARRIED.

16) W.P. Bate Community School Parent Council W.P. Bate Community School, dated May 21

Providing council with plans for future renovations/rebuilding of W.P. Bate Community School. (File No. CK. 175-2)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

17) David Bindle, dated May 25

Submitting comments with respect to redevelopment of the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the South Downtown Project Manager.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received and referred to the South Downtown Project Manager.

CARRIED.

18) Brad Neabel, dated May 24

Submitting comments with respect to the water treatment plant expansion location. (File No. CK. 7920-1)

19) Duc Dinh, dated May 26

Submitting comments with respect to the expansion of the water treatment plant. (File No. CK. 7920-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

20) Doug Swarbrick, dated May 26

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 41.

21) <u>Yolanda van Petten, dated May 27</u>

Submitting comments and suggestions with respect to bicycle paths in the north industrial area. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the Administration for consideration.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration for consideration.

CARRIED.

22) Borden Pluhator, dated May 28

Submitting comments and suggestions with respect to tourism and signage in the city. (File No. CK. 7310-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the Administration for consideration.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration for consideration.

CARRIED.

23) Jill Holtsman,

Greystone Heights School's Parent Council, dated May 28

Requesting that Greystone Park be renamed after Greystone Heights School's first principal, Mr. Norman Greaves. (File No. CK. 4205-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the matter be referred to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

24) Ron Begalke, Chairman Dragon Boat Festival 2004 The Saskatoon Bridge City Cosmopolitan Club, dated May 27

Requesting Council to extend the hours under the Noise Bylaw for the Dragon Boat Festival 2004 until 11:00 p.m., July 9 and 10, 2004, in Rotary Park. (File No. CK. 185-9)

<u>RECOMMEDATION</u>:

that permission be granted to the Saskatoon Bridge City Cosmopolitan Club to extend the time during which the Dragon Boat Festival 2004 event may be conducted in Rotary Park, July 9 and 10, 2004, until 11:00 p.m.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to the Saskatoon Bridge City Cosmopolitan Club to extend the time during which the Dragon Boat Festival 2004 event may be conducted in Rotary Park, July 9 and 10, 2004, until 11:00 p.m.

CARRIED.

25) <u>Ruth McCague, dated May 30</u>

Expressing concerns with respect to noise and parking problems in the neighbourhood surrounding the Preston Day Care. (File No. CK. 4355-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to report back to Council.

CARRIED.

26) Jim Woodhouse, dated May 30

Submitting comments with respect to smoking in public places. (File No. CK. 185-3)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

27) Sheila Gamble, Chair <u>Tourism Saskatoon, dated May 27</u>

Expressing support for the plans for the redevelopment of the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

28) Lee Baker, President <u>Prairie Sculptors' Association, dated June 1</u>

Submitting comments with respect to the incorporation of art into the South Downtown project. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the South Downtown Project Manager.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received and referred to the South Downtown Project Manager.

CARRIED.

29) Richard Kerbes, Board Member SOS Elms Coalition, dated June 1

Forwarding a copy of a letter addressed to the South Downtown Project Manager regarding elm trees in the south downtown area. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

30) Susan Lamb, CEO Meewasin Valley Authority, dated May 31

Submitting a draft agreement with regard to the construction and maintenance of the South Downtown Riverfront Project. (File No. CK. 4130-2)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

CARRIED.

31) John T. Nilson, Q.C. <u>Minister of Health, dated May 27</u>

Congratulating City Council and citizens of Saskatoon for being among the first communities to pass a 100 per cent smoke-free bylaw in the province, and submitting a framed certificate. (File No. CK. 150-5) (Note: copy of the certificate maybe viewed in the lobby of City Hall.)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

32) <u>Warren Bobinski, dated May 26</u>

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

33) Lena Robinson-Graff, dated May 25

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

34) Mildred Shukin, dated May 26

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

35) Audrey Russell, dated May 26

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

36) Gord Dziadyk, dated May 26

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

37) Marilyn Wilson, dated May 26

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

38) <u>Beverly Watson, dated May 28</u>

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

39) <u>Heather Tangjerd, dated May 29</u>

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

40) Randy Roesler, dated May 29

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

41) Marie Fitzpatrick, dated May 31

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

42) Menno and Iris Giesbrecht, dated May 31

Submitting concerns with respect to the intersection located at Boychuk Drive and 8th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> that the information be received and referred to the Administration to report to the Planning and Operations Committee.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration to report to the Planning and Operations Committee.

CARRIED.

43) <u>Richard Zimmer, dated June 2</u>

Submitting comments with respect to City employees. (File No. CK. 150-1) **RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be referred to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

44) Gordon A. Wilson, Chair <u>Kiwanis Memorial Park Committee, dated June 2</u>

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 67.

45) Mary Green, President <u>Hub City Curling Club, dated June 2</u>

Submitting a proposal for Hub City Curling Club to partner with the City. (File No. CK. 150-1)

46) Phyllis Lodoen, Executive Director <u>Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated June 2</u>

Expressing support for the Hub City Curling Club's proposal to partner with the City. (File No. CK. 150-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the matter be referred to the Administration to report to the Planning and Operations Committee.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee and to the Enterprise Zone Committee.

CARRIED.

47) W.S. 'Bud' Hardy, Board of Directors Chalet Gardens, dated May 31

Forwarding a copy of a letter addressed to the Infrastructure Services Department with respect to the crosswalk on Adelaide Street, in the middle of the block, to Market Mall. (File CK. 6150-1) **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.

CARRIED.

48) Joanne Sproule, Secretary Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated May 14

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 102 Avenue F South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

49) Tiffany Paulsen, City Councillor Ward 9, dated June 2

Advising Council of her decision to take an unpaid leave of absence from City Council duties during the federal election. (File No. CK. 255-1)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Wayne and Patty Myskiw, dated May 11

Submitting comments with respect to the Silverwood Industrial Area. (File No. CK 4125-1) (Referred to Planning and Operations Committee.)

2) <u>Dwade Coppens, dated May 12</u>

Submitting comments with respect to the Silverwood Industrial Area. (File No. CK 4125-1) (Referred to Planning and Operations Committee.)

3) <u>Deborah Leigh Mann, dated May</u>

Submitting comments with respect to the Silverwood Industrial Area. (File No. CK 4125-1) (Referred to Planning and Operations Committee.)

4) Kelly Patrick, Chair, Saskatoon Skatepark Corporation, dated May 17

Expressing appreciation for funding the skatepark located at Victoria Park and submitting observations with respect to the skatepark. (File No. CK. 610-8) (Referred to the Administration.)

5) Jamie McKenzie, dated May 17

Requesting information with respect to special needs transportation. (File No. CK. 7305-1) (Referred to the Administration.)

6) Jamie McKenzie, dated May 24

Requesting information with respect to Access Transit service. (File No. CK. 7305-1) (Referred to the Administration.)

7) <u>Eleanor Anderson, dated May 15</u>

Requesting the ban of the cosmetic use of pesticides in the city. (File No. CK. 4200-7) (Referred to the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee.)

8) <u>Robert Sproule, dated May 18</u>

Expressing concerns with respect to the crow population. (File No. CK. 151-2) (Referred to the Administration.)

9) <u>Steven Thair, dated May 19</u>

Submitting comments with respect to a boat launch. (File No. CK. 5520-1) (Referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.)

10) <u>Bob Porat, dated May 21</u>

Submitting comments with respect to a boat launch. (File No. CK. 5520-1) (Referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.)

11) <u>Susan E. Lukiwski, undated</u>

Requesting that Primrose Drive be re-surfaced. (File No. CK. 6315-1) (Referred to the Administration.)

12) <u>Kelly Patrick, dated May 18</u>

Requesting that the City work with the Lions and local skate shops to plan a day of events at the skatepark in recognition of International Skateboard Day, June 21, 2004. (File No. CK. 205-1) (Referred to the Administration.)

13) Bart Draper, dated May 21

Expressing concerns with respect to city utilities. (File No. CK. 2000-1) (Referred to the Administration.)

14) <u>Trevor Sperling, dated May 22</u>

Expressing concerns with respect the berm located behind 214 Tache Crescent. (File CK. 375-2) (Referred to the Administration.)

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

C. <u>PROCLAMATIONS</u>

1) Alicia Myall, Marketing & Public Relations Director Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan, dated May 13

Requesting that Council proclaim July 4 to 10, 2004 as Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Alex Lenko, Saskatchewan Municipal Chairperson Saskatchewan Municipal Employees Steering Committee, dated May 14

Requesting that Council proclaim June 20 to 26, 2004 as Municipal Employee's Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Megan Morman, Chair Saskatoon Diversity Network, dated February 23

Requesting that Council proclaim June 12 to 19, 2004 as Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Pride Week in Saskatoon, and requesting permission to raise their flag in front of City Hall on June 14, 2004.

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C;

2) that permission be granted to the Saskatoon Diversity Network to raise their flag on June 14, 2004; and

3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- *1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C;*
- 2) that permission be granted to the Saskatoon Diversity Network to raise their flag on June 14, 2004; and
- *3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

Councillor M. Heidt Zoning Bylaw Provisions for Size of Detached Garages (File No. CK. 4350-1)

The Zoning Bylaw presently requires that a detached garage be smaller in size that the footprint of the principal dwelling located on a site.

Would the Administration please review this matter with consideration to the possibility of establishing a minimum size of a detached garage, possibly equivalent to 24 feet by 24 feet, which could be built regardless of the size of the principal dwelling on a site.

Councillor M. Heidt Property Maintenance and Nuisance Abatement Bylaw (File No. CK. 4139-1)

Our *Property Maintenance and Nuisance Abatement Bylaw* presently doesn't cover lawn maintenance and dirt/mud thrown on buildings.

Would the Administration please advise on the possibility of adding these items and any other requirements to improve our City's *Property Maintenance and Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*.

Councillor B. Dubois Traffic Volumes – Phases II and III Preston Crossing (File No. CK. 6320-1)

Would the Administration please report on traffic volumes created by Phase II and Phase III of Preston Crossing.

Councillor G. Penner Pedestrian/Bicycle Path – 14th Street between Cumberland Avenue and Preston Avenue (File No. CK. 6150-1)

Could I have a report on the feasibility and cost of providing a Pedestrian/Bicycle path on the north side of 14th Street between Cumberland Avenue and Preston Avenue.

Councillor D. L. Birkmaier Boulev ard – West Side of King Crescent Adjacent to City Park Collegiate (File No. CK. 4188-1)

Would the Administration please report on completely removing the chain link fence on the west side of King Crescent (next to City Park Collegiate) and the planting of trees along the boulevard? Portions of the fence were removed in the past. Residents in the area and the City Park Community Association support this request.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8311

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8311, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 21)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8311 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8311.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8311 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8311 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

THAT Bylaw No. 8311 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8314

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8314, being "The Exchange of Municipal Reserve (University Heights Multi-District Park) Bylaw, 2004" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8314 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8314.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8314 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8314 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8314 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8316

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8316, being "The Development Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 4)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8316 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8316.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8316 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8316 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8316 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8317

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8317, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 22)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8317 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8317.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose. Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8317 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8317 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8317 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8318

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8318, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 23)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8318 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8318.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8318 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8318 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8318 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8322

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8322, being "The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 3)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8322 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8322.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Neault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8322 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8322 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8322 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8323

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8323, being "The Smoking Control Amendment Bylaw, 2004" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8323 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8323.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

CouncillorNeault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8323 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8323 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8323 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw 8324

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8324, being "The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2004 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8324 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8324.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Neault in the Chair.

Committee arose.

CouncillorNeault, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8324 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8324 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8324 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Councillor Neault,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 9:54 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk