Council Chambers City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, December 19, 2011 at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;

Councillors Clark, Donauer, Dubois, Heidt, Iwanchuk, Loewen,

Paulsen, and Penner; City Manager Totland; City Solicitor Dust;

General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski; General Manager, Community Services Gauthier; General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek; General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;

City Clerk Mann; and Council Assistant Mitchener

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the minutes of meetings of City Council held on December 5, 6 and 7, 2011, be approved.

CARRIED.

PRESENTATIONS

His Worship the Mayor acknowledged the 35 years of service by General Manager, Community Services Department Paul Gauthier, presented him with a Certificate of Devoted Service, and congratulated him on his retirement.

Ms. Linda Langille, The Remai Art Gallery of Saskatchewan Board of Trustees, announced that the architects and engineers behind The Remai Art Gallery of Saskatchewan won the 2011 Canadian Architect Award of Excellence for the design of the new art gallery.

MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE

3a) Proposed Closure of Portion of Lane Right-of-Way Adjacent to 620 Weldon Avenue (File No. CK. 6295-011-8)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on November 21, 2011, deferred consideration of this matter to this meeting and the Administration indicated that it would submit a new report at that time. The following is the revised report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated December 7, 2011. The required public notice was previously given, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, as follows:

- Advertised in the StarPhoenix on Saturday, October 29, 2011;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Thursday, October 27, 2011; and
- Posted on the City's website on Thursday, October 27, 2011.

'RECOMMENDATION: 1) that Council consider Bylaw 8977;

- 2) that the Administration be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure;
- 3) that upon closure of the right-of-way, as described in Plan of Proposed Lane Closure and Consolidation, as prepared by Calvin W.A. Bourassa, it be sold to Jack Flaksman, 1366866 Alberta Ltd., 620 Weldon Avenue, for \$4,034.44, plus G.S.T.; and Ron Chelack of Cindercrete Products Ltd., 616 Avenue P South, for \$4,034.44, plus G.S.T.; and
- 4) that all costs associated with the closure be paid by the applicants, including Solicitors' fees and disbursements.

REPORT

An application has been received from Jack Flaksman, 1366866 Alberta Ltd., and Ron Chelack, Cindercrete Products Ltd. to close and purchase a portion of the public right-of-way as shown on the Plan of Proposed Lane Closure and Consolidation (Schedule "A" of Bylaw 8977 – Attachment 1). The adjacent property owners, Jack Flaksman of 1366866 Alberta Ltd. (620 Weldon Avenue) and Ron Chelack of Cindercrete Products Ltd. (616 Ave P South) would like to purchase and consolidate portions of the public lane right-of-

way to increase the size of their properties and to improve the ability to better maintain their properties.

The lane right-of-way in question is currently not used by the public. SaskTel, Shaw Cablesystems and Saskatoon Light & Power require easements. All other agencies have no objections or easement requirements with respect to the closure.

The lane will create a dead-end situation. This will not be an issue as this portion of the right-of-way is not used as a through access. The lane serves no future use to the City of Saskatoon; therefore, the Administration is in agreement with the closure.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

All costs associated with the closure will be paid by the purchasers.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Copy of Proposed Bylaw 8977."

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- 1) that Council consider Bylaw 8977;
- 2) that the Administration be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure;

- that upon closure of the right-of-way, as described in Plan of Proposed Lane Closure and Consolidation, as prepared by Calvin W.A. Bourassa, it be sold to Jack Flaksman, 1366866 Alberta Ltd., 620 Weldon Avenue, for \$4,034.44, plus G.S.T.; and Ron Chelack of Cindercrete Products Ltd., 616 Avenue P South, for \$4,034.44, plus G.S.T.; and
- 4) that all costs associated with the closure be paid by the applicants, including Solicitors' fees and disbursements.

CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"City Council, at its meeting held on December 5, 2011, did not consider the following Communications to Council, due to the hour of the meeting not extending beyond 11:00 p.m. and therefore they are being placed under unfinished business."

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Jessie Carruthers, Pesticide Reduction Coordinator, Saskatchewan Environmental Society, dated November 16

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to Saskatoon Pesticide Reduction Program. (File No. CK. 4200-7)

RECOMMENDATION: that Jessie Carruthers be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Jessie Carruthers be heard.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor noted that Jessie Carruthers was not present in the gallery.

Moved by Councillor Loewen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) <u>Keith Moen, Executive Director, NSBA, dated November 29</u>

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to zoning changes at River Landing. (File No. CK. 4350-011-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that Keith Moen be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Keith Moen be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Keith Moen, Executive Director, North Saskatoon Business Association, spoke regarding the recent zoning change for the River Landing project, indicating that it will send a positive signal to future investors in the city.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) Mike San Miguel, dated November 29

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to development of the Kensington Neighbourhood. (File No. CK. 4110-44)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mike San Miguel be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Mike San Miguel be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Mike San Miguel expressed concern regarding potential traffic issues relating to the new development of Kensington. He presented a petition containing approximately 700 signatures of residents of Pacific Heights who are not in favour of the extension of Centennial Drive into Kensington.

Moved by Councillor Iwanchuk, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received and be forwarded to the Administration to join to the file.

CARRIED.

4) <u>Dion Brick, dated November 29</u>

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the tall wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Dion Brick be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Dion Brick be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Dion Brick, resident in Montgomery, expressed concerns with respect to the proposed wind turbine project at the landfill and asked that Council not award a winner to the RFP. He provided a graphic depicting the size of the wind turbine in comparison with other objects.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Chris Fossenier, dated November 29

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the tall wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Chris Fossenier be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Chris Fossenier be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Chris Fossenier, resident in Montgomery, spoke against the proposed wind turbine project at the landfill.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

6) Denis Grimard, dated November 29

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the tall wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Denis Grimard be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Denis Grimard be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Denis Grimard, resident in Montgomery, outlined potential health concerns regarding the proposed wind turbine project at the landfill and asked that the City defer the project until more research is done.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

B. <u>ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL</u>

1) Robin East, Chair, Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee, dated November 25

Submitting copy of letter to the Estate of Georgie Davis, past Chair of Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee, commending the work Ms. Davis did while a member of the Committee. (File No. CK. 225-70)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Megan Helgason, dated November 28

Commenting on drivers not yielding to pedestrians in crosswalks. (File No. CK. 6150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the information be received; and

2) that the letter be forwarded to the Board of Police Commissioners.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

- 1) that the information be received; and
- *that the letter be forwarded to the Board of Police Commissioners.*

CARRIED.

3) Margi Corbett, dated November 29

Commenting on recycling glass. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Nancy Howse, dated November 29

Submitting comments regarding the Kinsmen Park Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be considered with Clause 6, Report No. 18-2011 of

the Planning and Operations Committee.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the letter be considered with Clause 6, Report No. 18-2011 of the Planning and Operations Committee.

CARRIED.

5) <u>Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated November 24</u>

Advising of Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board with respect to 619 3rd Avenue North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

C. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Rhonda Heisler, National Representative, CUPE, dated November 15

Advising that the Union wishes to continue negotiations for a revision to the Collective Bargaining Agreement between The City of Saskatoon and The Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local # 47. (File No. CK. 4720-3) (**Referred to Administration for further handling.**)

2) <u>Amanda Klassen, dated November 17</u>

Commenting on garbage collection. (File No. CK. 7830-3) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

3) Lorrie Mayoh, dated November 17

Commenting on garbage collection. (File No. CK. 7830-3) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

4) Jody Coffin, dated November 21

Commenting on a recent parking incident. (File No. CK. 6120-3) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

5) <u>Carole Anne Wilson Hough, dated November 21</u>

Commenting on the intersection of 38th Street and Avenue C North. (File No. CK. 6320-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

6) Lisa Hrycan, dated November 21

Commenting on the need for lighted pedestrian paths. (File No. CK. 6290-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

7) Robert Howse, dated November 21

Commenting on Kinsmen Park Master Plan. (File No. CK. 4205-9-3) (**Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.**)

8) Nancy Howse, dated November 21

Commenting on Kinsmen Park Master Plan. (File No. CK. 4205-9-3) (**Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.**)

9) David McCartney, dated November 27

Commenting on Kinsmen Park Master Plan. (File No. CK. 4205-9-3) (**Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.**)

10) Medbh English, dated November 28

Commenting on Kinsmen Park Master Plan. (File No. CK. 4205-9-3) (**Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.**)

11) Edward Holgate, dated November 28

Commenting on Kinsmen Park Master Plan. (File No. CK. 4205-9-3) (**Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.**)

12) Sheila Liota, dated November 24

Commenting on fluoride in the City's water. (File No. CK. 7920-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

13) <u>Justin Wong, dated November 24</u>

Commenting on parking downtown. (File No. CK. 6120-3) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

14) Rabbi Raphael Kats, Executive Director, Chabad Lubavitch of Saskatoon dated November 23

Submitting 2011 Menorah Lighting Celebration proposal. (File No. CK. 205-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

15) Graham Harrison, dated November 24

Commenting on a recent tender disqualification. (File No. CK. 7830-4) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

16) Alan Manson, dated November 24th and 25th (two email letters)

Commenting on utility bills. (File No. CK. 1550-2) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

17) John Rayner, dated November 25

Commenting on the wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

18) Lois Green, dated November 28

Submitting petition of approximately 56 names with respect to discounts for seniors at Leisure Centres. (File No. CK. 1720-3-4) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Paulsen as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

"ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 23-2011

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department For the Period Between November 24, 2011 and December 7, 2011 (For Information Only) (Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4355-D and PL. 4300)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

• Application No. 7/11: 2710 Main Street (50 New Units)

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Riverbend Developments Ltd.

Legal Description: Parcel L, Plan 60S16901 and

Parcel AA, Plan 101461812

Current Zoning: RM3

Neighbourhood: Greystone Heights
Date Received: December 2, 2011

Discretionary Use

• Application No. D12/11: 55 33rd Street East

Applicant: Stantec Architecture Ltd. for SIAST Legal Description: Lot 3, Block 814, Plan 101858586

Current Zoning: IH

Proposed Use: Educational Institute
Neighbourhood: Central Industrial
Date Received: November 30, 2011

• Application No. D13/11: 1006 Whitewood Crescent

Applicant: Delia Mavraganic

Legal Description: Lot 6, Block 117, Plan 83S15063

Current Zoning: R1A

Proposed Use: Type II Care Home

Neighbourhood: Lakeview

Date Received: December 5, 2011

Application No. D14/11: 107 McCallum Lane
 Applicant: Loveable Care Home Inc.

Legal Description: Lot 2, Block 779, Plan 101962010

Current Zoning: R1A

Proposed Use: Type II Care Home
Neighbourhood: Meadowgreen
Date Received: December 2, 2011

Subdivision

• Application No.74/11: 1706 Preston Avenue North

Applicant: Altus Geomatics for the University of Saskatchewan

Legal Description: Proposed Parcel QQ, Plan Number Pending

Current Zoning: DCD3

Neighbourhood: University of Saskatchewan Management Area

Date Received: November 28, 2011

Application No.75/11: 3400 Block (Even), 3300 Block (Even) and

3130 11th Street West

Applicant: George, Nicholson Franko Surveys for

Saskatchewan Arts Board and City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Parcel C, Plan 101428657,

Parcel C, Plan 69S18530,

and Part of Parcel B, Plan 69S18530

Current Zoning: IL1(H), R2 and RM4

Neighbourhood: Agro Industrial and Montgomery Place

Date Received: December 5, 2011

Application No. 76/11: Rosewood Boulevard West

Applicant: Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments Ltd. and

Rosewood Land Inc.

Legal Description: Part of Parcel F, Plan 94S17318 and

Parcel AA, Plan 101875394

Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Rosewood

Date Received: December 1, 2011

• Application No. 77/11: Pichler Crescent

Applicant: Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments Ltd.

Legal Description: Parcel Y, No Registered Plan Yet

Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Rosewood

Date Received: December 1, 2011

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 7/11
- 2. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D12/11
- 3. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D13/11
- 4. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D14/11
- 5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 74/11
- 6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 75/11
- 7. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 76/11

8. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 77/11

A2) Special Event Hosting Grant - River Lights Boat Parade (Files CK. 1870-15 and LS. 1870-15)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve funding totalling \$100,000 to the River

Lights Boat Parade event as outlined in this report

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

During its May 9, 2011 meeting, City Council resolved the following:

"that the host committee of the River Lights Boat Parade receive a one-time grant of \$100,000 as first-year seed money, subject to the inclusion of a legacy component in accordance with the Special Events Policy No. C03-007"

On October 21, 2011, the Administration received a combined business plan and post event evaluation report from the host committee. At this time, a financial statement outlining the actual costs of this event was not included in the post event evaluation report. A final financial statement was submitted by the host committee on November 23, 2011.

This report will address the Administration's review of the post event evaluation report that was submitted by the host committee of the River Lights Boat Parade for its event that took place August 6 and 7, 2011.

REPORT

Post Event Evaluation Report Highlights

Strategic Goals - the River Lights Boat Parade host committee's goal in hosting this event was to create one of Saskatoon's top festivals that would attract visitors to River Landing. A goal of this event was to increase the awareness and exposure of both River Landing itself and to water-based boating and sporting activities. The host committee's final report indicates that these goals were achieved as well as could be expected, given that the dates of the event had to be changed due to water flow conditions leading up to the original July 16 and 17 dates for this event, along with less than desirable weather conditions on the first night of the event. The host committee indicates that this event attracted the interest of potential corporate sponsors which will be important for the sustainability of this event.

Legacy Component - the River Lights Boat Parade has identified that the legacy component of this event will be a \$10,000 monetary contribution towards the construction of a new exhibit at the Saskatoon Forestry Farm Park and Zoo.

The Administration acknowledges that the legacy identified meets the Special Events Policy No. C03-007 criteria and as such, the host committee is to proceed with making this contribution to the Saskatoon Forestry Farm Park and Zoo.

Economic Impact - the River Lights Boat Parade business plan and final report indicate that it is difficult to measure the economic impact of such an event in its first year of operation. However, organizers did conduct crowd counts throughout the two day event and estimated that total attendance was close to 10,000 visitors. Through informal surveys conducted at the event, it is estimated that 5 to 10 percent of these visitors were from outside of Saskatoon.

Event Operating Budget - the financial statement provided by the host committee indicates that the actual revenue and expenses for this event were below the projected budget initially submitted for the event. The budget originally submitted for this event indicates that the projected revenue for this event was \$305,000, with projected expenses amounting to \$305,000 for a net profit of zero. As per the financial statement, the actual revenue recognized from this event was \$190,600, which includes the \$100,000 contribution from the City of Saskatoon grant. The actual expenses incurred by the event were \$152,780. These expenses include the \$10,000 legacy contribution identified by this event.

In the River Lights Parade proposal, the event organizers indicated an event hosting grant of \$100,000 was needed to help establish this as an annual event for Saskatoon. During its May 19, 2011 meeting, City Council approved a one-time event of \$100,000 as first-year seed money, subject to inclusion of a legacy component. This is a one-time grant without any further assistance from the City. In the River Lights Parade final report, event organizers indicate it is their intention to continue with this event to make it sustainable over the next 3-5 years.

OPTIONS

There are not other options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The final report submitted by the event organizing committee indicates they are in compliance with the City Council's May 9, 2011 resolution and intent.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The 2011 Major Special Events Reserve had previously allocated \$100,000 as the funding amount for this event.

STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Your Administration has made Mr. Hugh Vassos aware of the contents of this report and he has been invited to speak to this report if he wishes to do so.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. River Lights Boat Parade Business Plan
- 2. River Lights Boat Parade Final Report

Section D – HUMAN RESOURCES

D1) 2010 Contract Negotiations Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 47 (File No. CK. 4720-3 and HR. 4720-1)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council approve the proposed changes set out in the attached report dated December 15, 2011, with respect to the 2010 2012 contract with the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 47; and
- 2) that City Council authorize completion of the revised contract incorporating all the changes for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached is a report dated December 15, 2011, detailing conditions agreed upon by the bargaining team of the City and the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 47.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Report dated December 15, 2011.

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

E1) Proposed Lease Renewal Agreement
A & B 230 – 23rd Street East – Essex Plaza

Infrastructure Services Department Construction & Design Branch Inspection Staff and Transportation Branch, Parking Enforcement Staff

(Files CK. 600-1 and LA. 285-3)

RECOMMENDATION:

that a lease renewal between the City of Saskatoon, as Tenant, and General Management Services Ltd., as Landlord, for 3,029 square feet of office space in Essex Plaza, located at A & B 230 – 23rd Street East, be approved with the terms as set out in the following report.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon entered into a Lease Agreement with General Management Services Ltd. on November 7, 2006, for the property civically known as A & B 230 – 23rd Street East. This property was leased for office space for the Infrastructure Services Department Construction and Design Branch Inspection staff and the Transportation Branch Parking Enforcement staff, with a total leased space of 3,029 square feet.

The original Term of the Lease Agreement was for five years, commencing November 1, 2006, and ending October 31, 2011. The agreement included an option to extend the lease for one additional five-year term.

REPORT

The Construction and Design Branch Inspection staff and Transportation Branch Parking Enforcement staff have resided at A & B $230 - 23^{rd}$ Street East since 2006. The leased space works well and is in close proximity to City Hall, which currently has a shortfall of available office space.

The City of Saskatoon Real Estate Section has negotiated a Lease Renewal with General Management Services Ltd. for 3,029 square feet of office space at A & B $230 - 23^{rd}$ Street East that is subject to the following significant terms:

- 1. <u>Lease Term</u>: Five (5) years.
- 2. <u>Lease Commencement</u>: 230 23rd Street East November 1, 2011.

3. <u>Option to Renew</u>:

One (1) to five (5) year option based on the same terms and conditions, except for rent, which shall be at negotiated market rent.

4. Lease Cost:

Base rent of \$36,348 (\$12 per square foot per year x 3,029) plus estimated occupancy costs and utilities of \$21,203 (\$7 per square foot per year x 3,029) for a total of \$57,551.

5. <u>Conditions Precedent:</u>

Subject to approval by City of Saskatoon City Council.

OPTIONS

There currently is no space available within a City-owned facility for the Construction and Design Branch Inspection staff and Transportation Branch Parking Enforcement staff to reside. Therefore, if the recommendation to renew the lease at A & B $230-23^{\rm rd}$ Street East is not accepted, the Administration would need to find alternate external accommodations as close to City Hall as possible. Investigations into potential locations indicate there are very limited opportunities in this regard, and come at a significantly higher cost.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding for the increased lease cost is available and accounted for in the external lease account, administered by the Infrastructure Services Department, Facilities Branch.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E2) Proposed Lease Agreement 160 – 2nd Avenue North – York Building (Files CK. 600-1 and LA. 285-6)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that a five year Lease Agreement for office space at 160 – 2^{nd} Avenue North be approved with the terms as set out in the following report; and

2) that the City Solicitor be requested to review and prepare the appropriate agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk, under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held December 5, 2011, City Council considered Clause A2, Administrative Report No. 22-2011, and adopted the following recommendation with respect to the Three-Year Land Development Program:

"2) that City Council approve the financing strategy to accelerate the design of two new neighbourhood concept plans to the tender-ready stage as outlined in this report."

The report identified that an investment of \$3.0 million is required to bring two new neighbourhood concept plans, one in the Blairmore sector and one in the University Heights sector, to the tender-ready stage by early 2014. A portion of these costs relate to the additional resources required to meet this objective, which consists primarily of additional staff and securing of office space.

It was recommended that these costs be financed by the Property Realize Reserve and be repaid by the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund in due course.

REPORT

To meet the objective as set out in the recommendation adopted by Council, a core team of nine people needs to be assembled, including three new positions (two Engineers and one Design Assistant). For operating and efficiency reasons, this core team will be located within the Infrastructure Services Department, on the third floor of City Hall. The relocation of current staff, and the addition of the three new positions, who combined will make up the team, will result in the need to accommodate five staff, who will be displaced.

With no space currently available within City Hall, the Administration was forced to seek suitable accommodations in an external location as close to City Hall as possible, due to a requirement for a fibre optic connection to City Hall. Investigations into potential locations indicated that there are very limited opportunities in this regard.

Of the few spaces available, the preferred choice is the space formerly occupied by Rexall Drugs, in the east half of the York Building, situated in the Bus Mall at $160 - 2^{nd}$ Avenue North. This property is located within very close proximity to City Hall and is available at a reasonable lease rate. In addition, fibre optic cable is already in place in the Transit Office, situated immediately across the street, which significantly reduces the cost of running wire.

The 2,500 square foot space exceeds the approximately 1,250 square feet required to accommodate the staff who will be displaced as a result of the Accelerated Land Development Program; however, this additional space would assist in accommodating some of the other space issues that currently exists in City Hall. Although the Accelerated Land Development Program is currently scheduled for a two-year period, that timeline may be extended, if the pace of development in the City continues at its current rate.

The key terms of the lease proposal for the York Building are as follows:

1. <u>Lease Area:</u>

Approximately 2,500 square feet.

2. Lease Term:

Five (5) years.

3. Lease Commencement:

February 1, 2012 to January 31, 2017.

4. Option to Renew:

One (1) to five (5) year option, based on the same terms and conditions, except for rent, which shall be at negotiated market rent.

5. Lease Cost:

Base rent of \$30,000 per year for years one to three (\$12 per square foot per year x 2,500 square feet), plus occupancy costs and utilities of \$17,500 (\$7 per square foot per year x 2,500 square feet) for a total of \$47,500 per year.

Base rent of \$32,500 per year for years four and five (\$13 per square foot per year x 2,500 square feet).

Occupancy costs adjusted annually.

6. Landlord's Preparation of Premises:

The Landlord shall provide the following work at its expense in a good workmanlike manner in accordance with all applicable laws and requirements of all authorities having jurisdiction:

- (i) To remove all partitioning walls within the premises to create a new "shell" premises complete with two (2) coats of paint to perimeter walls (tenant's choice of one colour).
- (ii) To provide and install two (2) accessorized handicap washrooms.
- (iii) To provide and install commercial grade carpeting throughout the premises (linoleum in washrooms) complete with cove base to match (tenant's choice of colour and type within Landlord's flooring budget).
- (iv) To ensure the premises has adequate heating, cooling and ventilating, including distribution for the Tenant's use.

- (v) To provide and install ceiling grid (repair/replace as required) complete with new ceiling tiles and to ensure that the lighting is adequate for standard office use.
- (vi) Ensure Premises meets all other related City and fire codes.

7. Conditions Precedent:

Subject to approval by City of Saskatoon City Council.

OPTIONS

There currently is no space available within a City-owned facility to accommodate the space requirements for the Accelerated Land Development Program. Therefore, if the recommendation to lease the space at $160-2^{\rm nd}$ Avenue North is not accepted, the Administration would seek to find alternate external accommodations as close to City Hall as possible. Investigations into potential locations indicate there are limited opportunities in this regard, and come at a significantly higher cost. Further to this, there would be no flex space available to ease the space pressures that currently exist at City Hall.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding in the amount of \$3.0 million has been approved to cover expenses relating to the Accelerated Neighbourhood Design Program. A portion of these costs relate to the additional resources required to meet this objective, including additional staff; securing of office space and furniture; and set-up costs. Half of the yearly lease and occupancy costs, as well as office furniture and fit-up costs are to be funded from the Property Realized Reserve as an interim measure and will be repaid from lot sales as an expense to each land development fund for the various new neighbourhoods being developed. Funding for the balance of the space is available and accounted for in the external lease account administered by the Infrastructure Services Department, Facilities Branch.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E3) Proposed Amendments to Capital Reserves Bylaw 6774 Creation of a Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve (Files CK. 1815-1 and IS. 1815-01)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that Capital Reserves Bylaw 6774 be amended to include a Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary bylaw amendments for consideration by City Council.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The Transportation Branch manages the Roadway Signing and Marking program and the Traffic Signals program. Both are responsible for maintaining various aspects of the transportation infrastructure, including signs, pavement markings, detours and traffic signals. Both areas use specialized equipment and the funds to purchase any new equipment must be found within the approved annual Operating Budget.

REPORT

Vehicle and Equipment Services is responsible for the replacement of existing fleet equipment, while the departments/branches are required to purchase vehicles and equipment required to increase the size of the fleet to meet the challenges due to the growth of the city. The Administration is recommending that Capital Reserves Bylaw 6774 be amended to include a Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve, in order to provide a more efficient method of setting aside funds to provide for the addition of equipment, as needed, for the Sign Shop and Electronics Shop fleets. Currently, additional equipment must be paid for in full from each year's approved Operating Budget.

The Reserve will be funded, commencing in 2011, from an approved annual provision from the Roadway Signing and Marking Operating program, and in future years from a proposed annual provision from the Traffic Signals Operating program.

The Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve should be described in Capital Reserves Bylaw 6774 as follows:

"Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve"

Purpose

(1) The purpose of the Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve is to provide a funding source for the purchase of new, additional equipment required by the Roadway Signing and Marking program and the Traffic Signals program to ensure

service levels are met in delivering approved maintenance service levels, considering future growth of the City of Saskatoon, as well as any approved service level increases.

Funding

(2) This Reserve shall be funded annually from an authorized provision in the City's Operating Budgets.

Expenditures

(3) Funds in this Reserve shall be used only for capital expenditures for the purposes described in Subsection (1)."

OPTIONS

No other options were considered.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

If the creation of the Transportation Equipment Acquisition Reserve is approved, revisions to Capital Reserves Bylaw 6774 will be required.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The annual transfer to the reserve will be reviewed annually during Operating Budget deliberations.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

F1) 2011 Capital Budget

Capital Project #687-20 - WWT - Asset Replacement – Process Control/Communication System
Engineering and Construction Services Award
Files CK. 7800-1 and WWT. 7990-84-2)

1)

RECOMMENDATION:

that the proposal submitted by Hinz, A Rockwell Automation Company, for providing engineering and construction services for the Wastewater Treatment Plant

Control System Upgrade Request for Proposal #11-0859, for a total fixed fee of \$1,412,191.16 (including PST and GST) be accepted; and

2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #687-20 - WWT - Asset Replacement - Process Control/Communication System provides funding for the design and construction of a new control system at the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). The current control system has equipment that is almost 20 years old and is considered obsolete. The older systems also have problems interfacing with the newer pieces of the control system that have been installed more recently. Therefore, all of the older programmable logic controllers will be replaced with a modern version.

The Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition system (SCADA) is also being upgraded as part of this contract to provide a single point of interface for the operators. This upgrade will enable the entire control system to operate seamlessly using a common Human Machine Interface (HMI). New operator stations installed throughout the plant as part of this project will allow better monitoring and control of the process. The new system will also allow more efficient control of the waste water system.

REPORT

In September 2011, a notice was advertised requesting letters of interest in the project. Several contracting/consulting firms were given the Terms of Reference for engineering and construction services. The Terms of Reference was structured such that the engineering and construction services would be provided in a single phase including design and installation services.

Only one proposal was received on time by Purchasing Services. The proposal from Hinz, A Rockwell Automation Company, was the only eligible proposal and has been reviewed by the WWTP engineering group. Hinz personnel are experienced with this type of installation and are qualified to do the work.

OPTIONS

There was only one proposal received for this project.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The upset fee for engineering services for the project, and the net cost to the City would be as follows:

Design Services (PST on 30%)	\$ 372,866.00
Implementation Services (PST on 30%)	315,846.00
Support Services (PST on 30%)	58,512.00
Hardware Costs (PST)	399,271.38
Software Costs (PST)	84,997.00
Commissioning (no PST)	49,716.55
Contingency (no PST)	30,000.00
Subtotal	\$1,311,208.93
PST	35,421.78
GST	65,560.45
Total Upset Fee	\$1,412,191.16
GST Rebate	(65,560.45)
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$1,346,630.71</u>

Capital Project #687-20 - WWT - Asset Replacement - Process Control/Communication System has sufficient funding to cover the costs for these engineering and construction services.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

F2) Proposed Land Acquisition (File No. LA. 4020-011-5)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the City purchase ISC Surface Parcel Numbers 164137305 and 164137327 situated in SE 13-36-06-W.3 and consisting of 136 acres at a purchase price of \$440,000 be approved; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary purchase agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

A parcel of land has become available in the proximity of the City's landfill. Purchase of this property will be advantageous to the City in protecting our current and future interests in this area.

REPORT

Two parcels of land totalling 136 acres have been identified as being for sale by Zahid Mahmood, the current owner. These two parcels are adjacent to the South Saskatchewan River and are in close proximity to the Landfill Facility and the Green Energy Park located there.

The City's Real Estate Section in conjunction with Colliers McClocklin Real Estate Corp. has negotiated a purchase agreement with the property owner to acquire the land. Noteworthy details of the Offer to Purchase Agreement are as follows:

Purchase Price

Purchase price is \$440,000 with an initial deposit of \$20,000, an additional deposit of \$20,000 upon removal of the conditions precedent, and the balance on the Closing date.

Conditions Precedent

- 1. Approval of Saskatoon City Council by December 21, 2011.
- 2. Buyer receiving R.M. of Corman Park approval for Buyer's intended use on or before February 1, 2012.
- 3. Phase I Environmental report to be completed at the Buyer's expense on or before January 15, 2012, the results of which are to the sole satisfaction of the Buyer.

Significant Terms and Conditions of the Offer to Purchase

- 1. Closing date of this transaction shall be February 29, 2012.
- 2. Adjustment of all taxes, insurance, utilities, expenses, rents, and other incoming and outgoings' levied against the Lands shall be as of the Closing Date.

3. Each party shall be responsible for its own legal costs in connection with this transaction.

OPTIONS

An option would be to not approve the purchase of these two parcels of land.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no identified policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Capital Project 2186 – Waste Management Strategic Plan provides sufficient funding for this land purchase.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no current environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications. However, securing the availability of this property may enable environmental initiatives at a later date.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Map Showing ISC Surface Parcel No. 164137305 and 164137327

F3) Transit Fares

(File No: CK. 1905-4)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the proposed 2012 transit fares be approved for conventional and Access transit service as outlined in

Attachment 1;

- 2) that the fare changes be effective on January 1, 2012; and
- 3) that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8993, The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2011.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At City Council's budget meeting of December 7, 2011, the Committee resolved that the Administration prepare a report for City Council's consideration that would propose increases to transit fares and that such fare increases be effective January 1, 2012, and that the City Solicitor prepare the requisite Bylaw.

Transit's proposed 2012 fare structure, and a comparison to existing fares, is outlined in Attachment 1. The corresponding Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw 8993, 2011 is included as Attachment 2.

REPORT

Except for adult cash fares, the proposed transit fares are lower than the Canadian Urban Transit Association (CUTA) average 2011 fares for similar-sized cities as shown in Attachment 3. Cash fares in Saskatoon are similar to other municipalities, even though Administration has adjusted cash fares upwards at a higher percentage increase than Go-Pass fares in order to encourage use of tickets and passes.

The new transit fares will also introduce three new products that have been requested by customers. These include a child monthly pass; a summer post-secondary semester pass valid from May 1 to August 31; and an annual pass for adults, students and children available at the price of eleven monthly passes. These new annual passes will reduce the cost of transit for year-round customers who do not qualify for other programs.

In 2010, Council approved implementation of a low income or LICO Pass to provide fare relief to customers with incomes at or below the LICO threshold, which is set by Statistics Canada. The current fare structure offers a 10% discount to eligible customers, while in 2012 this discount will be increased to 15%. The result is that the new price of a LICO adult pass will be \$63.75, which is slightly lower than the 2011 fare of \$63.90. Participation in this program has not been as strong as the Administration expected, but will likely increase as the gap between the LICO pass and the regular adult pass grows.

For the Discounted Bus Pass program, the new transit fares include an increase of \$5 per pass in the customer portion of the bus pass. Transit's 2012 Budget also includes an increase of \$3 per pass from the province, as they have increased their contribution by this amount.

Currently, Transit's senior's fares are discounted well below Transit's other fare categories and also significantly below the seniors' rates that are offered by the other nine similar-sized cities as compared in Attachment 3. An increase from \$21 per month to \$25 for a senior pass will include a new regular rate of \$75 for a senior's 3-month pass and a new discounted rate of \$143.75 for senior's 6-month pass and a discounted rate of \$268.75 for senior's annual pass.

Transit has distributed many Reloadable Go-Passes to the public since the new fare system's implementation date of February 1, 2010. Currently, there is no charge for a new card and there

is no incentive to keep it, and a small percentage of our customers appear to be making no effort to re-use their cards. A \$5.00 fee for new and replacement reloadable Go-Pass cards will be implemented along with new transit fares and charges. The projected revenue from the \$5 fee is estimated to be \$45,000 per year. Also, with the introduction of a \$5 fee for new and replacement reloadable Go-Pass cards, Administration estimates that fewer Go-Passes will need to be purchased by Saskatoon Transit for distribution resulting in an annual savings of approximately \$26,242.

OPTIONS

- 1. No fare increase Not increasing fares will result in a widening gap between fare revenues and costs.
- 2. Delay implementation until July 1, 2012 This would reduce the increase to fare revenue by half, and the overall mill rate impact by approximately \$369,500.

These options are not recommended by Administration.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The fare increases proposed will have a positive mill rate impact of \$739,000 in 2012.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Proposed 2012 Fare Structure
- 2. Bylaw No. 8993, The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2011
- 3. CUTA Average 2011 Fares

Section G – CITY MANAGER

G1) Credit Union Centre (CUC) - Request for License Agreement Use of 3510 Thatcher Avenue for Parking (Files CK. 4225-1, CS.611-3 and CS.290-1)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the request by Credit Union Centre to use 3510 Thatcher Avenue for the purpose of expanding the supply of parking facilities be approved with terms and conditions as outlined in this report; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare a License Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The fully serviced property situated at 3510 Thatcher Avenue was purchased by the City in 2006 as part of a large scale purchase of 42 parcels of land from Crown Investment Corporation in connection with the City's land requirements for the Circle Drive South Project. This purchase was funded from the City's Property Realized Reserve. The subject property is illustrated on Attachment No. 1.

REPORT

In February 2010, the Land Manager held discussions with the Executive Director of Credit Union Centre as to their possible interest in a long-term use of 3510 Thatcher Avenue. The former Executive Director of Credit Union Centre indicated:

- That for major events at CUC, the supply of parking has become more of an issue as much of the vacant land in the vicinity has been developed.
- With the added seating provided in 2009 and even with good transit ridership, CUC views the subject 12.8 acre site as a means of accommodating their future parking needs.
- The use of the subject property would increase their parking supply from approximately 2,400 vehicles to 4,400 vehicles.
- That funding the current market value of the property would not be in the best interests of CUC given other needed capital improvements such as additional lobbies to the east and south to better serve transit customers, as well as improvements for hard surfacing and lighting for the additional parking area.

Both the former Executive Director of CUC and the Corporate Project Manager agreed that an annual license fee of \$120,000 over a 30-year period may be an equitable arrangement to satisfy the

long-term needs of CUC in securing additional land for their parking needs. This arrangement was subsequently presented to the CUC Board. The new Executive Director of Credit Union Centre has indicated that the Credit Union Centre Board has accepted a recommendation from its administration to enter into an agreement with the City to use 3510 Thatcher Avenue for up to 30 years with an annual license fee of \$120,000. The purpose of this report is to recommend acceptance of this request.

OPTIONS

The City could reject the request and proceed to sell the land by public tender at a reserve bid price. This option is not recommended as the long-term success and viability of Credit Union Centre is dependent upon retaining and attracting customers to upcoming major entertainment and sporting events.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The annual payment of \$120,000 received from Credit Union Centre for the exclusive use of the subject property is to be deposited into the City's General Revenue.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Map Showing Proposed Additional Parking for Credit Union Centre 3510 Thatcher Avenue.
- G2) River Landing Phase II Request to Sell City-owned Property Capital Budget Update
 (Files CK. 4215-1, x 4129-5, x 1702-1 and CC. 4130-2)
- **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the sequence and timing of the sale of parcels on River Landing Phase II be approved as follows:
 - Parcel A 2012

- Parcel D and E 2014
- Parcel BB 2016;
- 2) that Parcels A and BB be offered for sale as separate parcels and Parcels D and E be combined;
- 3) that Parcel A (1.4 acres) be offered for sale by public tender at a land cost of \$3.97M. as per the terms and conditions outlined in this report;
- 4) that a post-budget increase (\$2.86M) be approved to complete the public infrastructure investment at River Landing, to be funded to the River Landing Capital Project as outlined in Attachment 2 of this report; and
- 5) that one-time funding of \$150,000, to be funded from land sales, be allocated to a River Landing Replacement Capital Reserve.

ADOPTED.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Administration is currently reviewing the purpose and objectives of proposed zoning changes to the Direct Control District No. 1 (DCD1) for the River Landing Phase II properties. The proposed amendments will be presented to a public hearing of City Council in February 2012.

It is the intent to sell in River Landing Phase II: Parcel A; Parcel BB; Parcel D; and, Parcel E (Attachment 1). Suncorp Valuations Ltd. provided an estimate of market value for the properties as of March 11, 2011, as follows:

Parcel	Size	\$/SF	Value
Parcel A – 410 Avenue C South	1.4 acres	\$65.00	\$3,970,000
Parcel BB – Avenue B South	1.7 acres	\$90.23	\$6,685,000
Parcel D – 422 Avenue A South	1.8 acres	\$104.00	\$8,335,000
Parcel E	.41 acres	\$75.00	\$1,340,000
Parcel E and D (combined)	2.25 acres	\$104.00	\$10,190,000

The Administration, based on the advice received from the private sector representatives and with due consideration for timing of the developments by Tonko Realty Advisors and Victory Majors, recommends that the parcels be offered for sale by public tender with a reserve land price based on market value, a vision identified for the property, and the appropriate zoning and architectural guidelines. The timing and sequencing of sale of the properties in order of priority is as follows:

- Parcel A spring 2012
- Parcel D and E 2014
- Parcel BB 2016

Each parcel is recommended to be sold as a single project with Parcels D and E being offered together with the option that a purchaser has the opportunity to develop both properties to produce a mixed-use development.

Parcel A is recommended to be offered for sale in spring 2012 at a land cost of \$3.97M. The proponents will be given a period of six weeks to submit a proposal to purchase with the terms and conditions for sale identified in the body of the report.

The revised capital budget for the completion of River Landing Phase I and II (Attachment 2) requires additional funds of \$2.86M to complete construction of the public infrastructure. There is sufficient revenue from the sale of parcels to cover the additional costs. It is estimated that an \$8M surplus will be realized from the sale of parcels that can be applied to the outstanding balance of the Reserve for Capital Expenditure (RCE) which represents the interim funding for the River Landing Operating Budget. The outstanding RCE balance is \$3.772M (up to and including the 2012 operating budget). It is anticipated that an additional \$2.52M will be required from RCE for the operating budget years 2013 – 2016.

In 2017, the revenues generated through parking revenue, lease, and property taxes are anticipated to cover all the operating costs for the site. This projection is based on the following assumptions: Parcel YY; River Centre site; Parcel A; Parcel D; Parcel E; and, Parcel BB are fully developed; and, no further tax abatements have been approved for residential projects on the properties identified above.

BACKGROUND

The Administration is currently reviewing the proposed purpose and objectives of the DCD1 guidelines as it applies to sites that the City intends to sell in River Landing Phase II. The properties (Attachment 1) include: Parcel A; Parcel BB; Parcel D; and, Parcel E. The intent of the review is to provide for appropriate design flexibility while ensuring that buildings are designed in a manner consistent with the intent of the DCD1 and Architectural Control District (ACD) guidelines. The Administration is proposing to present amendments for approval to a public hearing of City Council in February 2012. The proposed zoning changes to the DCD1 for the River Landing Phase II properties will be the subject of a further report from the Planning and Development Branch, Community Services Department. Following approval of the amendments, the City will proceed, based on market conditions, to take the properties forward to public tender.

Your Administration took the opportunity to discuss, with the private sector, amendments to the DCD1 guidelines and the mixed-use properties on River Landing Phase II that the City of Saskatoon expects to sell for future development. Representatives from real estate, the construction

industry, architecture, and the development industry, were interviewed October 26, 27, 28, and November 10, 2011, to garner its perspective on timing, sequencing, and method of sale of the said properties.

REPORT

The purpose of this report is to provide recommendations that the Executive Committee may wish to consider related to the following subjects:

- Appraised land value March 11, 2011;
- Timing and sequence for release of River Landing Phase II parcels;
- Size of parcels;
- Method of sale; and,
- Post-budget capital adjustments.

Appraised Value

The subject properties located within River Landing Phase II are identified from the proposed subdivision plan (Attachment 1). Your Administration secured the services of Suncorp Valuations Ltd. to provide an estimate of market value for the properties noted in the subdivision plan. The appraisal provided as of March 11, 2011, is as follows:

Parcel	Size	\$/SF	Value
Parcel A – 410 Avenue C South	1.4 acres	\$65.00	\$3,970,000
Parcel BB – Avenue B South *	1.7 acres	\$90.23	\$6,685,000
Parcel D – 422 Avenue A South	1.8 acres	\$104.00	\$8,335,000
Parcel E**	.41 acres	\$75.00	\$1,340,000
Parcel E and D (combined)	2.25 acres	\$104.00	\$10,190,000

*Parcel BB has foundations from the previous development on site and they are considered to be potentially limiting to the site's future development. The value of the parcel has been revised to account for the removal of the foundations.

**Parcel E - MTS Allstream Inc. is in the fourth Lease Renewal and Amending Agreement (January 1, 2010 – December 31, 2014) for approximately 8,085 square feet of building space and 17,847 square feet of land with an annual lease value of \$68,722. MTS Allstream Inc. has the option to renew the lease for a further term of five (5) years.

Timing for Release - Phase II Parcels

The River Landing development is expected to revitalize the Riversdale area and strengthen the downtown. The urban area adjacent to the waterfront has been developed as public open space, extending the Meewasin Trail from Friendship Park to Victoria Park. The urban waterfront is nearing completion with the final construction contract on Phase II anticipated to be complete at the end of the construction season in 2012.

The River Centre located at the southwest corner of 2nd Avenue and 19th Street is being developed by Tonko Realty Advisors. This development is a 78,000 square foot, five-storey office building, north of the Remai Arts Centre (Persephone Theatre) which is anticipated to be complete by the end of 2012. This building will include rental office space and retail; and, restaurant space at street level.

Victory Majors is proposing to develop a large site on Parcel YY between 2nd and 3rd Avenues, south of 19th Street. This development contemplates a 10-storey hotel, a 27-storey condominium, and a 17-storey office building, all anchored by the urban plaza that will include cultural, retail, and restaurant uses complementary to the surrounding public realm. Victory Majors anticipates presentation of its development proposal to City Council for approval in early 2012 followed by an application for building permits. The phases for development are dependent on market conditions.

Your Administration, based on the advice received from the private sector representatives and with due consideration for timing of the developments by Tonko Realty Advisors and Victory Majors, recommends the following, in order of sequence and timing:

1. Parcel A at 410 Avenue C South

- The proposed sale of Parcel A is for early spring 2012. This will provide a gauge of market readiness and demand for residential and mixed-use development.
- The sale and development of this property will not negatively affect the Victory Majors development on Parcel YY given its location.
- The site is a mixed-use development; primarily residential. The development at this site will further stimulate commercial development that is currently going on in Riversdale.
- In the opinion of your Administration, at the present time, there is limited demand for commercial development at River Landing.

2. Parcels D and E at 414 and 422 Avenue A South

- The proposed sale of Parcels D and E is offered together with the option that a purchaser has the opportunity to develop both properties to produce a mixed-use development which will integrate with public activities conducted on or near the riverbank.
- The proposed sale of Parcels D and E to be combined in 2014.
- This parcel may be an appropriate location for a second hotel (longer-term stay); office; restaurant; and, residential.
- Potential location to increase parking, utilizing part of MTS All Stream Site and under the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge. Appropriate parking in the immediate area is a requirement for the success of commercial development in the area.
- Potential to utilize the MTS All Stream building (with face lift) and incorporate the current use of the building into the new development. Incorporating the current use of the building provides an immediate source of revenue for the purchaser.

3. Parcel BB – Avenue B South

- This parcel is the most desirable piece due to its river view.
- The proposed sale of Parcel BB is for 2016.

• This parcel may have the best opportunity to offer commercial use at street level. The density which will be achieved from the build-out of Parcels A, D, and E will enhance opportunities for commercial development.

Timing for the sale of these parcels provides clarity and certainty to the prospective purchasers of Parcel A and subsequent parcels. This will provide them an opportunity to develop and market their product and allow them to manage their risk accordingly.

Size of Parcels

The stakeholders recommended that each parcel be offered as a single project as opposed to subdividing each site into smaller parcels. The City could achieve a higher return on the land value for each parcel through subdivision; however, the more the site is subdivided the more complex the development becomes when trying to achieve the vision of mixed-use development.

Method, Terms, and Conditions of Sale

Your Administration recommends that Parcel A be offered for sale in spring 2012 at a land cost of \$3.97M. There are two methods of sale: a public tender with a reserve bid price; or, a Request for Proposal (RFP) with a fixed price or proposal call with a fixed price. In the first instance, notice of a public tender would be issued providing interested parties a period of six weeks to submit a proposal to purchase with the following terms and conditions:

- a) Reserve bid price of \$3.97M.
- b) No bids less than reserve bid will be accepted.
- c) A minimum deposit equal to 10% of tender price to be submitted.
- d) Bids must be submitted and determined independently from any other bidder or potential bidder.
- e) No multiple bids are to be submitted.
- f) No conditional bids will be accepted.
- g) Bidders must be eligible to hold land in the Province of Saskatchewan.
- h) The successful bidder will be required to enter into a sale agreement containing the following provisions:
 - The development to be constructed on the land must receive direct control district statutory approval under s.65 of *The Planning and Development Act*; statutory approval under s.20 of *The Meewasin Valley Authority Act*; and, approval required under the South Downtown Local Area Design Plan within one year as a condition precedent to the City's obligation to transfer the land.
 - The closing date will be no later than 30 days following receipt of all approvals.
 - The balance of purchase price payable on the closing date.
 - The possession and adjustments as of the closing date.
 - The foundation for the approved development must be completed within two years of the closing date. Failure to meet this requirement gives rise to the City's option to repurchase the land.

- i) Property is being sold "as is". Environmental site assessment reports can be made available for review. Any further subsurface environmental investigations to evaluate soil conditions on the site will be at the bidders' own expense.
- j) The property is being sold with all services to the property pre-paid by the City. Costs associated with service connections or private crossings, or upgrading of standard utilities will be the responsibility of the successful bidder.
- k) Subject to the information above, should the successful bidder not proceed with purchase of the property, the deposit will be forfeited. The deposits received from unsuccessful bidders will be returned.

Alternatively, a public offering could be made for the sale of the property through a RFP. The terms and conditions of sale would be the same as above except in the case of the following: The purchase price could be fixed and the submitted bids evaluated based on performance requirements related to minimum density of development, sustainability requirements related to the use of energy saving features, and mixed-use attributes, etc. The development must comply with the requirements of the DCD1 and ACD guidelines.

In discussion with the private sector, it strongly recommends that the River Landing Phase II parcels be offered for sale by public tender with a reserve land price based on market value, a vision identified for the property, and the appropriate zoning and architectural guidelines. The rationale identified for its recommendation was that the RFP method was an expensive, time consuming, and restrictive process for the proponent.

In its opinion, the public tender sale method provided the best opportunity for the City to maximize price while optimizing the number of potential proponents in the market place. They cited the market place as busy; and therefore, the more restrictions that are placed on potential proponents, coupled with increased time and cost required of proponents to prepare proposals, has an adverse affect on the number who will bid.

OPTIONS

Timing and Sequence for Release of Parcels

Option 1: Parcel A - 2012 (spring)

Parcels D and E - timing pending uptake on Parcel A and progress on construction of Victory Majors development

Parcel BB - timing pending uptake on Parcels D and E

Option 2: Parcel A - spring 2012

Parcels D and E - 2014

Parcel BB - 2016

Your Administration recommends Option 2 as it provides a defined timeline for moving forward. The timing for release of Parcels D and E, and Parcel BB, may be adjusted based on market demand and progress of construction for Victory Majors development at Parcel YY.

Size of Parcels

Option 1: Sell each parcel as one project and combine Parcels D and E

Option 2: Subdivide parcels and sell as smaller projects

Your Administration recommends Option 1. In discussion with the private sector, they identified that, based on current development in today's market place, the size of the parcels offered for sale are not too large; and therefore, could be offered for sale as single sites. They also identified that subdividing the parcels increases the complexity for the development and makes it more challenging for each purchaser to achieve a mixed usage development on a smaller site.

Method of Sale

Option 1: Sell by Public Tender

Option 2: Sell by RFP

Your Administration recommends Option 1, as this method will maximize the City's ability to achieve the market value and optimize the number of potential proponents in the market place. If City Council chooses the RFP sale method, your Administration would report back on the fixed purchase price, evaluation criteria, and terms of the RFP.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Attachment 2 is the revised capital budget for the completion of River Landing Phases I and II. River Landing Phase I cost increase includes Parcel YY streetscape which involves removal and reconstruction of the sidewalk, curb and lane on 19th Street, 2nd and 3rd Avenues, SE corner of 3rd Avenue and 19th Street, and the centre median at 3rd Avenue and 19th Street; and, installation of three pay stations. This work cannot proceed until construction at Parcel YY is complete. Additional funding is required for the work identified above (\$.45M). This estimate is based on a 15% price adjustment for escalation for 2012 – 2014 in anticipation that the streetscape work can begin in 2015. The land sales revenue line item has also been adjusted to include the sale of land for the Remai Art Gallery of Saskatchewan (\$2.358M). With this adjustment, the River Landing Phase I projected budget surplus is estimated at approximately \$3M.

The River Landing Phase II estimated cost increase is \$2.4M. This is primarily due to an over-expenditure of \$1M to complete Isinger Park, the 19th Street Reconfiguration, and streetscape construction, and \$1.4M to finish the development. The remaining work is the completion of Riverfront Contract 4 which includes the area around the lift station; east accessible pathway and service road to the pumphouse; boat dock; activity area; landscaping; decommissioning of the soil holding cells on Parcel DD; safety fence/crusher dust along the 1st Avenue on-ramp; purchase of six pay stations; and, completion of Spadina Crescent at Avenue B. The Administration has engaged a cost consultant to review the estimates for Contract 4 as well engaging the local

construction industry to review the estimates against current market conditions. Contract 4 will be tendered in 2012 pending the timing of the demolition of the powerhouse lift station.

River Landing Phase II land sales (appraisal March 2011) are estimated at \$20.33M which is sufficient revenue to offset the additional funding requirement of \$2.4M to complete Phase II. Assuming sale of the parcels identified in the body of this report, it is estimated the budget surplus for Phase II would be \$5M. The combined surplus for Phases I and II is estimated at \$8M which can be applied to the outstanding balance of RCE which represents the interim funding at River Landing. The outstanding RCE balance for River Landing is estimated at \$3.772M (up to and including 2012 operating budget). It is anticipated that an additional \$2.52M will be required from RCE for the operating budget years 2013 – 2016.

Your Administration has prepared a River Landing operating budget projection (costs and revenue) for 2013 – 2017. It is anticipated there are sufficient revenues generated through parking revenue, lease, and property taxes to cover all the operating costs for the site starting in 2017. This projection is based on the following assumptions: Parcel YY; River Centre site; Parcel A; Parcel D; Parcel E; and, Parcel BB are fully developed; and, no further tax abatements have been approved for residential projects on the properties identified above.

Your Administration is currently financing these projects through the Property Realized Reserve, on an interim basis until the parcels are sold.

River Landing Replacement Reserve

In 2010, City Council approved, in principle, the establishment of a River Landing Replacement Reserve. A growing list of significant physical assets of high value continue to build-out at River Landing which include: guardrails; sun shelter; paving stones/concrete walks; concrete seats; concrete tables and benches; retaining walls; water feature slabs; lighting; bridge walkout and sails; and, furniture, etc. A preliminary review of the inventory for the riverfront only estimates the value of the assets at \$7M.

It is anticipated, subject to City Council approval, that the Reserve would be funded from the River Landing Operating Budget, starting in 2012. Your Administration is recommending a one-time capital infusion of \$150,000 be allocated to the River Landing Capital Reserve to be funded from revenue generated through the sale of land at River Landing. The reserve criteria and contribution will be the subject of a further report.

PUBLIC COMMUNCIATION PLAN

Based on the method of sale chosen, the appropriate notice to the public will be given.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. River Landing Phase II Properties
- 2. River Landing Capital Budget Update
- G3) Appointment General Manager, Community Services (Files CK. 4510-1 and CC. 4510-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

I am pleased to announce the appointment of Mr. Randy Grauer to the position of General Manager, Community Services, effective January 1, 2012.

Mr. Grauer has an undergraduate degree in Urban and Regional Planning from the University of Saskatchewan, and subsequently obtained his MBA, also from the University of Saskatchewan. After holding several planning positions around the Province, he joined the City of Saskatoon Planning Branch in 1981 and has held a variety of progressively responsible positions within the Planning Branch since that time. From 1995 to 1998 he was the coordinator of the Plan Saskatoon Project which involved the preparation of a new Official Community Plan and Zoning Bylaw for the City of Saskatoon. In 2001, he became the Manager of the Development Services Branch within the Community Services Department. In 2008, Mr. Grauer was promoted to Manager of the newly combined Planning and Development Branch at City Hall, which is responsible for all aspects of current and long range planning for the City of Saskatoon.

Mr. Grauer's extensive knowledge, experience, and strong leadership abilities will serve him well as the General Manager of Community Services. I have every confidence in his ability to successfully lead the Community Services Department, and he will be a significant addition to the senior management team as we continue to support Council in its work of transforming our community into a model 21st Century city.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 17-2011

Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

A1) Appointment of Deputy Mayor - 2012 (File No. CK. 255-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the following be appointed Deputy Mayor for the months

indicated:

Councillor P. Lorie For the Month of January 2012 Councillor M. Loewen For the Month of February 2012 Councillor A. Iwanchuk For the Month of March 2012 Councillor D. Hill For the Month of April 2012 For the Month of May 2012 Councillor M. Heidt Councillor B. Dubois For the Month of June 2012 Councillor R. Donauer For the Month of July 2012 For the Month of August 2012 Councillor C. Clark Councillor G. Penner For the Month of September 2012 Councillor T. Paulsen For the Month of October 2012

ADOPTED.

Pursuant to *The Cities Act*, City Council is required to appoint a Deputy Mayor.

In the past, Council has appointed the Deputy Mayor on a reverse alphabetical basis with a monthly rotation. This report is being submitted in order to appoint the Deputy Mayor up to October 2012. A further report will be submitted after the Civic Election to be held October 24, 2012, in order to appoint the Deputy Mayor for November and December.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

B1) Storm Water Utility Rate Structure (File No. CK. 1905-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8987.

ADOPTED.

At its meeting on August 17, 2011, City Council considered a report by the Administration recommending that a storm water utility rate structure be created which bills a storm water management charge to all properties using the City's storm sewer system based upon the Estimated Runoff Unit ("ERU") factor for each property, instead of a charge per water meter as now exists.

At this meeting, in relation to this Bylaw, City Council resolved:

- "1) that implementation of the storm sewer rate structure, outlined in the Storm Sewer Audit which was approved by Council in 2001, proceed directly to Phase III, with an implementation date of January 1, 2012;
- 2) that a new Storm Water Utility system be created to charge property owners the storm water utility fee based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- that a separate utility bill and billing system be created to charge the storm water utility fee to commercial and industrial properties and to property owners without a water meter based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- 4) that single detached homes pay a fixed nominal base rate of one Equivalent Runoff Unit for the storm water utility;
- 5) that all other properties pay a storm utility rate based on the estimated amount of impervious area on their property, but not less than a rate of two Equivalent Runoff Units for the storm water utility;
- 6) that Rate Strategy Option 3 No Re-Adjustment with Rising Cap and No Rate Increases, be implemented for commercial and industrial properties;
- that a recalculation procedure be implemented with the new utility structure to allow property owners to receive fee reductions for storm water improvements such as private storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other "soft" landscaping;
- 8) that the storm water utility be phased in over seven years, with full implementation by January 1, 2018; thereby generating approximately \$3.1 million in additional revenue for the utility; ..."

At its meeting on December 5, 2011, City Council further adopted Clause 1, Report No. 19-2011 of the Executive Committee with the following recommendation:

"that in 2012 and 2013 the Storm Water Utility for multi-residential and condominium sites with multiple services (City water meters) be charged a minimum of 0.5 equivalent runoff units per service."

Attached is Bylaw No. 8987 enacting storm sewer management charges based on a property's ERUs instead of per water meter as in the past. All single-family residential property will have a 1 ERU charge of \$4.40 per month, which is the rate as now in effect. All multi-residential properties and all commercial properties will be charged for a minimum of 2 ERUs and a maximum of 100 ERUs. This will be phased in over 7 years as shown on Schedule "B".

Other matters to note include:

- Section 4 creates a Storm Water Management Utility.
- Sections 5-7 create regulations as to what may not be discharged into a storm sewer. It is expected that more detailed regulation will be developed for consideration in the future.
- Section 10(c) at the request of the General Manager of Infrastructure Services Department, exempts property zoned agricultural. It also exempts lands that do not benefit from the City's storm sewer. For example, part of the University of Saskatchewan's campus uses the City's storm sewer, part is serviced by its own storm sewer system.
- Section 11 creates a process to review the property's ERU charge when hard surfaces or soft surfaces are added to or eliminated from the property.
- Section 13 provides for enforcement of this Bylaw as permitted by *The Cities Act*.
- Schedule "A" specifies a charge of \$4.40 per month per ERU, specifies how billings are to be charged in multi-water meter situations, provides that residential properties are to be billed monthly and all other properties are to be billed yearly.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8987, The Storm Water Management Utility Bylaw, 2011.
- B2) Proposed 2012 Woodlawn Cemetery Fees (Files CK. 1720-4 and IS. 4080-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8989.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting on December 5, 2011 received Administrative Report No. 22-2011 from the Infrastructure Services Department respecting proposed 2012 cemetery fees for Woodlawn Cemetery. The proposed fees as outlined in the report were approved effective January 1, 2012, and our Office was requested to prepare the appropriate Bylaw amendments.

We are pleased to submit for Council's consideration Bylaw No. 8989, The Cemeteries Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2). The Bylaw implements the proposed fees as approved by City Council, effective January 1, 2012.

The fee schedule attached to Administrative Report No. 22-2011, under the heading "Opening & Closing Services (Interments), neglected to specify a fee for "Non-Military Tree Installation". This was an oversight. The fee for this service in 2011 was as follows:

· Memorial Tree - non-military

- without plaque......\$550.00

- with plaque.....\$790.00

The Administration's intention was to propose an increase of approximately 5% for 2012. This would increase the fees as follows:

· Memorial Tree - non-military

- without plaque.....\$580.00 - with plaque....\$830.00

Similarly, the fee schedule neglected to specify a fee for a "Memorial Bench (including plaque)". The fee in 2011 was established at \$2,100.00. The fee in 2012 is proposed to increase by approximately 5% to \$2,205.00.

Bylaw No. 8989 establishes the fees as approved by Council at its meeting on December 5, 2011, and also includes the fees for the two items that were identified but for which no fees were specified for 2012.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8989, The Cemeteries Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2).

B3) Enquiry - Councillor T. Paulsen (December 20, 2010)
Bylaw Enforcement
Fines re: The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999 and
The Dangerous Animals Bylaw, 2003
(File No. CK. 185-1 and CK. 152-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider proposed Bylaw No. 8990.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on November 7, 2011, considered the above matters and resolved:

- "(1) that the specified fines for all offences listed in Schedule No. 7 of *The Animal Control Bylaw*, 1999, be set as follows:
 - (a) for failure to license cat or dog \$250 first offence, \$300 second offence and \$350 subsequent offence;
 - (b) for failure to attach valid license tag when a cat or dog is off the premises of the owner \$50 first offence, \$100 second offence and \$150 subsequent offence; and
 - (c) for all other offences listed in Schedule No. 7 \$100 first offence, \$200 second offence and \$300 subsequent offence;
- (2) that minimum mandatory fines be established for all offences listed in Schedule No. 7 of *The Animal Control Bylaw*, 1999, and that such fines be set at the same level as the specified fines for voluntary payment;
- (3) that for all offences listed in Schedule No. 7 of *The Animal Control Bylaw*, 1999, the bylaw provide that if three years have elapsed since the date of the last conviction, a subsequent offence shall be treated as a first offence;
- (4) that the maximum value of the Pet-at-Large Card be set at the total of the specified fine in the Bylaw for a first offence running-at-large violation plus the pound fee for one impoundment; ..."

We have not addressed recommendation (4) in the amendment as the Pet-At-Large Card has always been regulated through administrative policy. Council's decision as to the maximum value of the Pet-At-Large Card would direct administrative policy on this point.

We have included a provision that a contravention is deemed to be a first offence where there has been no Notice of Violation issued within three years so that a person voluntarily paying a fine to avoid prosecution is given the same benefit as a person who challenges a charge in Court. We are

pleased to attach Bylaw No. 8990 which makes the required amendments to The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8990, The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2).
- B4) Enquiry Councillor T. Paulsen (December 20, 2010)
 Bylaw Enforcement
 Fines re: The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999 and
 The Dangerous Animals Bylaw, 2003
 (Files CK. 185-1 and CK. 152-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8988.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on November 7, 2011, considered the above matters and resolved:

"

- (5) that minimum mandatory fines be established in *The Dangerous Animals Bylaw*, 2003 as follows:
 - (a) for the offence of owning or harbouring an animal that attacks another animal or person \$250 first offence, \$500 second offence and \$750 subsequent offence; and
 - (b) for the offence of failing to comply with an order respecting a dangerous animal \$500 first offence, \$1,000 second offence and \$1500 subsequent offence."

We are pleased to attach Bylaw No. 8988 which makes the required amendments to The Dangerous Animals Bylaw, 2003.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8988, The Dangerous Animals Amendment Bylaw, 2011.
- **B5)** Enquiry Councillor T. Paulsen (December 20, 2010)

Bylaw Enforcement

Fines re: The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw 2001 and The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw, 2003 (Files CK. 185-14 and CK. 4400-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8991.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on November 21, 2011, considered the above matters and resolved:

- "(1) that the discounted penalty be abolished for early payment of a second offence ticket under *The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw*, 2001;
- (2) that minimum mandatory fines be established in *The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw*, 2001 as follows:
 - (a) for Notice of Violation offences, the non-discounted penalty indicated on the Notice of Violation; and
 - (b) for the offence of failing to comply with an order of a municipal inspector \$500 first offence; \$750 second offence and not less than \$1,000 third and subsequent offence;
- (3) that "unsecured vacant buildings" be added to the list of nuisances prohibited under *The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*, 2003;
- (4) that Notice of Violation tickets be authorized under *The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*, 2003 for the following offences:
 - (e) unsecured vacant buildings; ..."

The Fire and Protective Services Department has advised that their preference is to include the offence and Notice of Violation provisions for unsecured vacant buildings in The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw, 2001. Accordingly, these provisions have been incorporated into this Bylaw amendment.

In addition, we are making a required housekeeping amendment to the appeal procedure under the Bylaw to reflect current provincial legislation.

We are pleased to enclose Bylaw No. 8991, amending The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw, 2001.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8991, The Fire and Protective Services Amendment Bylaw, 2011.
- B6) Enquiry Councillor T. Paulsen (December 20, 2010) Bylaw Enforcement

Fines re: The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw, 2001 and The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw, 2003 (File No. CK. 4400-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8992.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on November 21, 2011, considered the above matters and resolved:

"..

- (3) that "unsecured vacant buildings" be added to the list of nuisances prohibited under *The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*, 2003;
- (4) that Notice of Violation tickets be authorized under *The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*, 2003 for the following offences:
 - (a) long grass and weeds;
 - (b) accumulation of junk and garbage;
 - (c) untidy and unsightly premises;
 - (d) open excavations; and

- (e) unsecured vacant buildings;
- (5) that Notice of Violation penalties under *The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw, 2003* be set at \$250 for a first offence, to be reduced to \$200 if paid within 14 days; \$500 for a second offence; and \$750 for a third or subsequent offence; and
- (6) that minimum mandatory fines be established in *The Property Maintenance* & *Nuisance Abatement Bylaw*, 2003, as follows:
 - (a) for Notice of Violation offences, the non-discounted penalty indicated on the Notice of Violation; and
 - (b) for the offence of failing to comply with an order of a municipal inspector \$500 first offence; \$750 second offence and not less than \$1,000 third and subsequent offence."

The Fire and Protective Services Department has advised that their preference is to include the offence and Notice of Violation provisions for unsecured vacant buildings in The Fire and Protective Services Bylaw, 2001.

We are pleased to enclose Bylaw No. 8992, making the requested changes to The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Bylaw, 2003.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

- 1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8992, The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Amendment Bylaw, 2011.
- B7) Enquiry Councillor T. Paulsen (December 20, 2010)

Bylaw Enforcement

Fines re: The Public Spitting, Urination and Defecation Prohibition Bylaw, 2004; The Noise Bylaw, 2003, The Bicycle Bylaw; The Street Use Bylaw and the Skateboard Section Only of The Traffic Bylaw

(File No. CK. 185-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8994.

ADOPTED.

At its meeting held on December 5, 2011, City Council considered the above matters and resolved:

- that the specified fine under *The Public Spitting, Urination and Defecation Prohibition Bylaw, 2004* for urinating and defecating in public be increased to \$200, with a discounted penalty of \$150 if paid within 14 days of the offence;
- 2) that *The Noise Bylaw*, 2003 establish minimum fines of \$100 for a first offence, \$200 for a second offence and \$400 for third and subsequent offences;
- 3) that the specified fine under *The Bicycle Bylaw* be increased to \$50 with a discounted penalty of \$25 if paid within 14 days of the offence; and
- 4) that the specified fines under *The Traffic Bylaw* for skateboarding and inline skating offences be increased to \$50 with a discounted penalty of \$25 if paid within 14 days of the offence, except for the offence of causing damage to City property, where no reduced penalty would be available."

Upon review of The Traffic Bylaw, we noted that the offence of causing damage to City property does not currently allow a discounted penalty, so no amendment was necessary in this regard.

We are pleased to submit Bylaw 8994, which makes the requested amendments to The Public Spitting, Urination and Defecation Prohibition Bylaw, 2004; The Noise Bylaw, 2003; The Bicycle Bylaw; and The Traffic Bylaw.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8994, The Fines Reorganization Bylaw, 2011.

REPORT NO. 19-2011 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. Lorje, Chair Councillor C. Clark Councillor R. Donauer Councillor B. Dubois Councillor M. Loewen

1. Capital Project 2044 – Gravel Street Upgrades (Files CK. 6315-1 and IS. 6000-13)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the priority for gravel street upgrades under Capital Project 2044 be refocused on a portion of the residential areas within the Kelsey/Woodlawn neighbourhood based on available funding in 2012; and
- 2) that a further report be submitted in the fall of 2012 regarding reprioritization of remaining locations for future years.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on October 11, 2011, adopted a recommendation of the Planning and Operations Committee that the locations for gravel street upgrades proposed for construction in 2012, as outlined in the attached report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated September 20, 2011, be approved, subject to consideration and approval by City Council during budget deliberations of funding in the amount of \$500,000 from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures.

City Council, during the budget review, approved allocation of \$411,000 for gravel street upgrades for 2012. City Council also referred the matter back to the Planning and Operations Committee for further review of the approved locations.

Your Committee has considered the matter further and is recommending that based on the available funding, the priorities for 2012 be refocused on the residential areas within the Kelsey/Woodlawn neighbourhood. Your Committee is asking for a further report in the fall of 2012 with respect to reprioritizing the remaining locations.

2. Business License Program – Fall Business Profile: Fostering Growth in Saskatoon (File No. CK. 300-1 and PL. 4005-9-7)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 28, 2011, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee is forwarding the report to City Council for information. Copies of the 2011 edition of <u>Business Profile Fostering Growth in Saskatoon</u> have already been provided to City Council members. A copy is available on the City's website at <u>www.saskatoon.ca</u> as part of this report.

3. Enquiry – Councillor R. Donauer (June 27, 2011) Evacuees – Henk Ruys Soccer Centre, Lawson Heights (Files CK. 270-1, CK. 5000-1 and LS. 270-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 29, 2011, providing information with respect to the above enquiry.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration, including information on further development of a comprehensive plan to facilitate the evacuation of northern communities in emergency situations and to improve the quality of life for evacuees during their stay in host communities. Further reporting will be provided once the plan has been developed and will include a communication and education component.

4. Affordable Housing Policy Options (Files CK. 750-4, PL. 950-21 and PL. 4130-21

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the concept of limiting financial assistance for affordable rental housing in certain neighbourhoods be approved, in principle; and

that the General Manager of Community Services be directed to bring forward a specific set of policy amendments to the Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002 for adoption by City Council as described in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 24, 2011.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speaker. See Page No. 58.

5. State of the Water Distribution System (Files CK. 7500-1 and IS. 7500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated November 29, 2011 providing information on the above matter.

Copies of the State of the Water Distribution System report have already been provided to City Council members. A copy is also available on the City's website at www.saskatoon.ca as part of this report.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and is forwarding the report to City Council for information. In addition, as background information, your Committee is attaching Clause 8, Report No. 9-2011 of the Planning and Operations Committee, along with the June 8, 2011 report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department regarding lead connection replacement policies and funding.

6. Enquiry – Councillor C. Clark (June 27, 2011)
Speed Limit Residential Streets
(File No. CK. 6320-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the information be received; and

2) that the matter of an education program with respect to traffic safety in residential streets be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for consideration.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated December 2, 2011, responding to the above enquiry regarding the feasibility of reducing the speed limit on some or all local residential streets to 40 km/h.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and has been advised that based on their review of the matter and as outlined in the submitted report, the Administration is not recommending decreasing the posted speed limits in residential areas at this time. Your Committee reviewed with the Administration traffic calming measures, existing school zone speed limits and signage, and further educational opportunities to encourage motorists to keep traffic safety in mind at all times, including while travelling in residential areas.

Your Committee has been advised further that the Administration has started working on a program, in consultation with other agencies, to identify high risk collision areas and develop action plans to reduce collisions at those locations. Further reporting on that program will be provided in the fall.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is not recommending any changes to the speed limits in residential areas. Your Committee is, however, recommending that the matter of an education program regarding traffic safety in residential areas be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for consideration.

REPORT NO. 4-2011 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor B. Dubois, Chair Councillor R. Donauer Councillor C. Clark Councillor M. Loewen Councillor A. Iwanchuk

1. Audit Report – Mendel Art Gallery Accounts Payable System (File No. CK. 1600-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a summary of the Audit Report for the Mendel Art Gallery Accounts Payable System for public release. The original Audit Report is a Financial Systems Audit which was submitted to the Executive Committee for consideration.

The process for public release of financial system audits, involves a one page summary report, as provided.

2. Audit Report – Transit Payroll System (File No. CK. 1600-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a summary of the Audit Report for the Transit Payroll System for public release. The original Audit report is a Financial Systems Audit which was submitted to the Executive Committee for consideration.

The process for public release of financial system audits, involves a one page summary report, as provided.

3. Additional Audit Fees – External Auditor (File No. CK. 1610-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the invoice for additional fees for the completion of the audit of the 2010 consolidated financial statements be approved for payment.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated November 4, 2011 regarding charges for additional work outside of the normal scope of the audit work for the 2010 consolidated financial statements.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration, and supports payment of the additional charges.

REPORT NO. 20-2011 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair

Councillor C. Clark Councillor R. Donauer Councillor B. Dubois Councillor M. Heidt Councillor D. Hill

Councillor A. Iwanchuk Councillor M. Loewen Councillor P. Lorje Councillor T. Paulsen Councillor G. Penner

1. Appointments to Library Board (File No. CK. 175-19)

RECOMMENDATION: that the following people be appointed to the Library Board to the

end of 2013:

Karen Harilstad, (replacing Suzanne Abrams) Susan Matieshin, (replacing Michael Murphy) Chris Shauf, (replacing Keith Briant)

ADOPTED.

2. Appointments to Board of Revision (File No. CK. 175-6)

RECOMMENDATION: that the following people be appointed to the Board of Revision for

2012:

David Katzman Ian Oliver Asit Sarkar

ADOPTED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 19-2011 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE - CONTINUED

4. Affordable Housing Policy Options (Files CK. 750-4, PL. 950-21 and PL. 4130-21

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the concept of limiting financial assistance for affordable rental housing in certain neighbourhoods be approved, in principle; and
- that the General Manager of Community Services be directed to bring forward a specific set of policy amendments to the Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002 for adoption by City Council as described in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 24, 2011.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 24, 2011, with respect to the City's current funding programs for affordable rental housing. The Administration is proposing to limit the City's financial incentives in areas where there is high concentration of affordable rental housing to encourage development of this type of housing in areas where affordable housing is lacking.

Your Committee has reviewed with the Administration the proposed criteria for review of affordable rental housing projects in terms of determining locations with high concentrations. As noted in the report, there would be flexibility built in for City Council to have the authority to waive the evaluation and accept the proposal should it be demonstrated conclusively that a proposed project would benefit a neighbourhood that already has a high concentration.

Your Committee has also received a presentation from Mr. Randy Pshebylo, Executive Director, Riversdale Business Improvement District, in support of the proposal.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendations of the Administration.

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Sean Shaw, Chair, Quint Development Corporation Board of Directors, dated December 19, 2011, requesting to speak to Council regarding the above matter.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Sean Shaw be heard.

CARRIED.

Dr. Sean Shaw indicated that Mr. Len Usiskin, Manager of Quint Development, will be speaking in his place.

Mr. Len Usiskin expressed concerns with the proposed policy recommendations and asked that Council delay its decision until more consultation with the affordable housing groups can occur.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

- 1) that the concept of limiting financial assistance for affordable rental housing in certain neighbourhoods be approved, in principle; and
- 2) that the General Manager of Community Services be directed to bring forward a specific set of policy amendments to the Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002 for adoption by City Council as described in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 24, 2011.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) <u>David Ronson, 2011/12 National President, Kin Canada, dated November 7</u>

Providing information about Message of Support Campaign. (File No. CK. 200-1) (Copy of October 2011 KIN Magazine available for viewing in City Clerk's Office.) **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

THAT the information be received.

2) Michael Whalen, dated December 5

Commenting on Bylaw 7767 prohibiting radio-controlled model aircraft flying in parks. (File No. CK. 4205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) <u>Mike McEwen, dated December 7</u>

Providing URL for Green Energy strategy in Ontario. (File No. CK. 2000-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) George Sharpe, dated December 8 and December 12 (two letters)

Commenting on transit and homelessness in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 1905-4 & 750-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Phyllis Schmidt, dated December 1

Commenting on proposed plans for Montgomery neighbourhood north of 11th Street. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

6) Tracey and Scott Jordan, dated December 8

Commenting on proposed plans for Montgomery neighbourhood north of 11th Street. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

7) Derrick Bailey, dated December 9

Commenting on proposed plans for Montgomery neighbourhood north of 11^{th} Street. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

8) Diane and Luke Lieffers, dated December 12

Commenting on proposed plans for Montgomery neighbourhood north of 11th Street. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

9) Darla Klassen, dated December 13

Commenting on proposed plans for Montgomery neighbourhood north of 11^{th} Street. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and the letters joined to the outstanding enquiry on the matter.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

THAT the information be received and the letters be joined to the outstanding enquiry on the matter.

CARRIED.

C. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Ian Innes, dated November 28

Commenting on pedestrian tunnels. (File No. CK. 6150-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

2) Randy Kluz, dated November 30

Commenting on a parking violation. (File No. CK. 6120-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

3) Heather Grant, dated December 2

Commenting on Access Transit. (File No. CK. 7305-1) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

4) Judith Bereza, dated December 5

Commenting on wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

5) Brian Pratt, dated December 5

Commenting on wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

6) Dianne Baird, Executive Director, Saskatchewan Housing Corporation dated November 29

Submitting 2010 Settlement Municipal Share – Housing Projects figures. (File No. CK. 1610-1) (Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)

7) <u>Bryan Herlen, dated December 6</u>

Commenting on garbage collection change. (File No. CK. 7830-3) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

8) Marcia McAvoy, dated December 8

Commenting on the intersection of Louise Street by East Place. (File No. CK. 6150-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

9) **Doreen Wilson, dated December 9**

Commenting on transit fares for seniors. (File No. CK. 1905-4) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

10) Eldeen Kabatoff, dated December 13

Commenting on transit fares. (File No. CK. 1905-4) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

11) Terry Yaskowich, dated December 10

Commenting on the need for detour markings on Circle Drive South. (File No. CK. 6315-1) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

12) <u>Leta Osika, dated December 13</u>

Commenting on tax increases for seniors. (File No. CK. 1920-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk.

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Shaun Dyer, dated December 5

Requesting City Council proclaim the week of February 12 to 18, 2012, as John Howard Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois.

- 1) that City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8977

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8977, being "The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 11)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8977 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8977.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8977 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8977 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8977 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8987

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8987, being "The Storm Water Management Utility Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8987 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8987.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8987 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8987 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8987 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

Bylaw 8988

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8988, being "The Dangerous Animals Amendment Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8988 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8988.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8988 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8988 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8988 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8989

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8989, being "The Cemeteries Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8989 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8989.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8989 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8989 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8989 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8990

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8990, being "The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8990 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8990.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8990 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8990 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8990 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

Bylaw 8991

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8991, being "The Fire and Protective Services Amendment Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8991 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8991.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8991 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8991 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8991 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8992

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8992, being "The Property Maintenance & Nuisance Abatement Amendment Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8992 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8992.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8992 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8992 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8992 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8993

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8993, being "The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8993 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8993.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8993 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8993 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Bylaw No. 8993 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

Bylaw 8994

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8994, being "The Fines Reorganization Bylaw, 2011" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8994 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8994.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8994 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8994 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Bylaw No. 8994 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Janice Braden, Partnership and Strategy Development Coordinator dated November 29

Requesting permission for Sherry Benson, Executive Director, United Way Saskatoon, and Dr. Cory Neudorf, Chief Medical Health Officer of Saskatoon, to present Saskatoon Poverty Reduction Program report. (File No. CK. 5000-1) (Booklet *from poverty to possibility ... and prosperity* has limited distribution but is available for viewing in City Clerk's Office.)

RECOMMENDATION: that Sherry Benson and Cory Neudorf be heard.

His Worship the Mayor indicated that Ms. Braden has asked that this matter be placed on the January 16, 2012 agenda.

2) <u>Joanne Sproule, Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners, dated December 2</u>

Requesting permission for Police Chief Weighill to address City Council with respect to proposed Adult Services Bylaw. (File No. CK. 5000-1 & 300-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Chief Weighill be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Chief Weighill be heard.

Police Chief Weighill spoke regarding a proposed "Adult Services Bylaw", similar to what other cities have adopted, indicating that it would give police legal authority to deal with under-age people at escort agencies, non-therapeutic massage parlours, and advertising services on the internet.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the Chief of Police and City Solicitor bring forward a draft bylaw to Executive Committee.

CARRIED.

3) Alice Farness, dated October 17

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed Adult Services Bylaw. (File No. CK. 300-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Alice Farness be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Alice Farness be heard.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that Ms. Farness was not present in the gallery.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Rob Collins, dated November 29

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5)

5) Barb Biddle, dated December 9

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that Rob Collins and Barb Biddle be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Rob Collins and Barb Biddle be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Rob Collins spoke against the proposed wind turbine project.

Ms. Barb Biddle, President, Montgomery Place Community Association, spoke against the proposed wind turbine project at the landfill indicating that there was a lack of consultation with the residents. She presented a petition containing approximately 1018 written signatures and 275 online submissions from concerned citizens from all of Saskatoon.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

6) Roberta Fehr, dated December 13, 2011

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to implementing Housing First in the city. (File No. CK. 750-4)

7) <u>John Parry, undated</u>

Requesting to speak to Council regarding the need for a Housing First Program in the city. (File No. CK. 750-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that Roberta Fehr and John Parry be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Roberta Fehr and John Parry be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Roberta Fehr, Passion for Action, spoke in favour of implementing the Housing First Program in Saskatoon.

Mr. John Parry spoke in favour of implementing the Housing First Program in Saskatoon.	
Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by	y Councillor Donauer,
THAT the matter be referred to the Admin	istration.
	CARRIED.
Prior to the meeting being adjourned, His Wo expressed their appreciation to Mr. Paul Gauthi wished him well in his retirement from the City.	
Moved by Councillor Paulsen,	
THAT the meeting stand adjourned.	
	CARRIED.
The meeting adjourned at 8:17 p.m.	
Mayor	City Clerk