Council Chambers City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask. Monday, April 16, 2012 at 6:00 p.m.

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;

Councillors Clark, Donauer, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Iwanchuk,

Loewen, Lorje, Paulsen, and Penner;

City Manager Totland; City Solicitor Dust;

General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski; General Manager, Community Services Grauer;

General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek; General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;

City Clerk Mann; and Council Assistant Bryant

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on March 26, 2012, be approved.

#### CARRIED.

### **PRESENTATION**

The following representatives of the Canpotex Executive Management team were in attendance to present the City with a model of the Ultra Saskatoon, a bulk transport vessel capable of carrying approximately 60,000 metric tonnes of potash.

Mr. Steve Dechka, President & CEO

Mr. Ted Nieman, Senior Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary

Mr. Dwayne Dahl, Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer

Mr. Scott Rudderham, Senior Vice President, Operations

Mr. Matt Albrecht, Senior Vice President, Marketing, North Asia, Latin America and Brazil

Mr. David Rogiani, Senior Vice President, Marketing, South Asia, Oceania & Europe

Mr. Jon Somers, Vice President, Planning & Development

Mrs. Kendra Kuse, Vice President, Human Resources & Administration

Ms. Debbie Tamke, Vice President, Sales Administration

### **HEARINGS**

3a) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment
Section 13.6 Development Standards for the DCD6
225 Betts Avenue – Blairmore Suburban Centre
Applicant: Saskatoon West Shopping Centre Ltd.
Proposed Bylaw No. 9014
(File No. CK. 4350-012-1)

# REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 9014.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 9014;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 28, 2012, recommending that the proposal to amend Section 13.6 of Zoning Bylaw 8770, as indicated in the report, be approved;
- Letter dated March 14, 2012 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press on March 31, 2012."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Doug Richardson, representing Smart Centres, expressed the need for this type of development on the west side of the city in order to maintain a balance between the east and west sides of the city.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 9014.

CARRIED.

### **3b)** East Sector Plan

Applicant: City of Saskatoon Planning and Development Branch (File No. CK. 4110-46)

### REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the proposed East Sector Plan.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 21, 2012 recommending approval of the East Sector Plan;
- Letter dated March 20, 2012 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising the Commission supports approval of the East Sector Plan; and
- Copy of the notice that appeared in the local press on April 7, 2012.

A copy of the East Sector Plan is available for review on the City's Website www.saskatoon.ca under the "City Clerk's Office", "Reports and Publications"."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Ms. Laura Hartney, Future Growth Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed East Sector Plan (Holmwood) and expressed the Department's support. She provided a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the East Sector Plan.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT City Council approve the East Sector Plan.

CARRIED.

3c) Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan Applicant: City of Saskatoon Land Branch (File No. CK. 4110-44)

### REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the proposed Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

• Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 12, 2012, recommending approval, in principle, of the Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan dated February 2012;

- Letter dated April 3, 2012 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation;
- Letter dated April 10, 2012 from the Secretary of the Planning and Operations Committee advising that the Committee supports the above-noted recommendation;
- Notice that appeared in the local press on March 31, 2012; and
- Letter dated September 9, 2010 from Vanessa Froese attaching information as well as a petition signed by approximately 42 residents on Devonshire who do not support closing of Monck Avenue to vehicular traffic. (The Administration was previously provided a copy of this information.)

A copy of the Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan is available for review on the City's Website <a href="www.saskatoon.ca">www.saskatoon.ca</a> under the "City Clerk's Office", "Reports and Publications"."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Brad Murray, Land Project Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan and expressed the Department's support. He provided a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT City Council approve, in principle, the Kensington Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

#### CARRIED.

3d) Proposed Amendment to Stonebridge Neighbourhood Concept Plan Applicant: Dundee Developments (File No. CK. 4131-27)

### REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted proposed amendment to the Stonebridge Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

• Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 12, 2012, recommending that the proposed amendment to the Stonebridge Neighbourhood Concept Plan, as shown on attachment 1, be approved subject to the following condition:

that the developer submit a detailed design of the park and perimeter streets to address public safety concerns to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Community Services Department.

- Letter dated April 3, 2012 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation;
- Letter dated April 4, 2012 from the Secretary of the Technical Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press on March 31, 2012."

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, advised that there was an issue with the consultation process for the above-noted proposal and asked that this matter be deferred for two weeks so that the Administration can consult with the Stonebridge Community Association. He noted that the matter will be re-advertised accordingly.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT consideration of the matter be deferred for two weeks.

CARRIED.

### **MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE**

4a) Proposed Closure of Road Right-of-Way Adjacent to 111 Witney Avenue North (File No. CK. 6295-012-4)

His Worship the Mayor advised that due to insufficient public notice, this matter will be readvertised and considered at City Council's April 30<sup>th</sup> regular meeting.

# 4b) Intent to Borrow (File No. CK. 4129-15)

His Worship the Mayor advised that due to insufficient public notice, this matter will be readvertised and considered at City Council's April 30<sup>th</sup> regular meeting.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

### CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Hill as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

# "ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2012

### **Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department For the Period Between March 15, 2012 and April 4, 2012 (For Information Only)

(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4115, PL. 4350, and PL. 4300)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

• Application No. 5/12: 103 Klassen Crescent (12 New Units)

Applicant: Webb Surveys for New Rock Developments Inc.

Legal Description: Unit 5, Plan No. 102070932

Current Zoning: RMTN1

Neighbourhood: Hampton Village Date Received: March 28, 2012

Official Community Plan

• Amendment No. OCP 5/12: College Quarter

Applicant: University of Saskatchewan

Legal Description: Part N.E. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> 27-36-5-W3M, Extension 1

Current Land Use Designation: Special Use Area
Proposed Land Use Designation: Direct Control District

Neighbourhood: U of S Lands South Management Area

Date Received: March 23, 2012

Rezoning

• Application No. Z6/12: College Quarter

Applicant: University of Saskatchewan

Legal Description: Part N.E. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> 27-36-5-W3M, Extension 1

Current Zoning: AG

Proposed Zoning: Direct Control District

Neighbourhood: U of S Lands South Management Area

Date Received: March 23, 2012

Application No. Z7/12: Stonebridge South East Applicant: Dundee Developments

Legal Description: Part of the S.E. corner Stonebridge East

Current Zoning: R1A

Proposed Zoning: R1B, R2, RMTN and RM3

Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: March 27, 2012

Subdivision

• Application No. 13/12: Kloppenburg Crescent/Street/Way/Link; Evergreen

Boulevard; Maryk Road; Johns Road

Applicant: Saskatoon Land Surveyors for City of Saskatoon Legal Description: Part of N.W. ¼ 37-4-W3M; Part of RA North of

N.W. 1/4 7-37-4-W3M; and

Part of LSD 3 and 4, Section 7-37-4-W3M

Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Evergreen
Date Received: March 21, 2012

• Application No. 14/12: 305 Hilliard Street East

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Ursulines of St. Angela Convent

Legal Description: Lot 30, Block 6, Plan No. 101338231

Current Zoning: RM4

Neighbourhood: Queen Elizabeth Date Received: March 22, 2012

• Application No. 15/12: 535 Avenue H North

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Joel Zolinsky Legal Description: Lot 4, Block 27, Plan F2006

Current Zoning: R2

Neighbourhood: Westmount
Date Received: March 22, 2012

• Application No. 16/12: 111 Witney Avenue

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Saskatoon Pleasant Hill

Mennonite Church

Legal Description: Proposed Closure of Road Right of Way of Part of

Parcel B, Plan No. 62S20281

Current Zoning: R2

Neighbourhood: Mount Royal Date Received: March 26, 2012

• Application No. 17/12: Aerogreen Crescent

Applicant: Webb Surveys for 310644 Alberta Ltd.

Legal Description: Block 1, Plan No. 102078008

Current Zoning: IB

Neighbourhood: Airport Business Area

Date Received: March 28, 2012

Application No. 18/12:
 828 and 830 Main Street

Applicant: Altus Geomatics for Jake and Louise Buhler

Legal Description: Lots 22, 23, and 24, Block 110, Plan No. B1858, and

Lot 60, Block 110, Plan No. 101444497

Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Nutana

Date Received: March 28, 2012

• Application No. 19/12: 907 Avenue W South

Applicant: Digital Planimetrics for City of Saskatoon

Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan No. 101410018 and

Parcel MB1, Plan No. 75S28596

Current Zoning: R2

Neighbourhood: Meadowgreen Date Received: April 2, 2012

• Application No. 20/12: East Development Area

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Ivan and Kathy Neufeld Legal Description: Part of Parcel E, Consolidated with Parcel C

All in Plan No. 101286738

Current Zoning: DCR3

Neighbourhood: East Development Area

Date Received: April 3, 2012

• Application No. 21/12: East Development Area

Applicant: Webb Surveys for Jeffrey and Rhonda Wetzel Legal Description: Part of Parcel E, Consolidated with Parcel B

All in Plan No. 101286738

Current Zoning: DCR3

Neighbourhood: East Development Area

Date Received: April 3, 2012

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 5/12
- 2. Plan of Proposed Official Community Plan Amendment No. OCP5/12
- 3 Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z6/12
- 4. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z7/12
- 5. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 13/12
- 6. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 14/12
- 7. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 15/12
- 8. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 16/12
- 9. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 17/12
- 10. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 18/12
- 11. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 19/12
- 12. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 20/12
- 13. Plan of Proposed Plan of Subdivision No. 21/12

# A2) Request For Encroachment Agreement 230 20<sup>th</sup> Street East Lot 47, Block 152, Plan 99SA35105 (Files CK. 4090-2 and PL. 4090-2)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 230 20<sup>th</sup> Street East;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate Encroachment Agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the Agreement with respect to this encroachment.

#### ADOPTED.

The owner of the property located at 230 20<sup>th</sup> Street East has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon (City). As shown on the attached copy of the proposed site plan (see Attachment 2), a portion of the new facade will encroach onto the

City's property on 20<sup>th</sup> Street East by up to 0.267 meters. The total area of encroachment is approximately 6.12 square meters; therefore, will be subject to an annual charge of \$50.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. A Request for Encroachment Agreement dated March 8, 2012
- 2. Copy of Proposed Site Plan
- A3) Denial of Subdivision Application No. 5/12 1017 Schuyler Street, Holiday Park Neighbourhood (Files CK. 4300-012-5 and PL. 4300-5/12)

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

that Subdivision Application No. 5/12 be denied on the basis that the proposal does not conform to the development standard of Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 regarding minimum site width for one-unit dwellings.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

During its March 21, 2012 meeting, the Technical Planning Commission considered Subdivision Application Report No. 5/12 from the Community Services Department (see Attachment 1) and resolved:

- that Subdivision Application No. 5/12 be denied on the basis that proposed Lot 45 and Lot 46 do not conform to the Development Standards of Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 regarding minimum site width;
- 2) that the Technical Planning Commission resolve that in the event a Development Appeal for Subdivision Application No. 5/12 is successful, the Technical Planning Commission grant approval to the application subject to the following conditions:
  - a) the payment of \$180 being the required approval fee;

- b) the payment of \$5,326 being the required offsite levy charge; and
- c) the Owner/Developer satisfying the conditions outlined by Saskatoon Light & Power in their letter dated January 10, 2012 (Robert Bernhardt, Saskatoon Light & Power)."

### **REPORT**

Land Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 delegates approving authority for all proposed subdivisions to the Community Services Department Development Officer, except in cases where the Development Officer recommends denial of any proposed subdivision. Under the provisions of the Land Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537, if the Development Officer concludes that an application for subdivision should be denied, the Development Officer shall refer the application to City Council who shall act as the approving authority with respect to the application.

Subdivision Application No. 5/12 is being recommended for denial on the basis that the proposal does not conform to the development standard of Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 regarding minimum site width for one-unit dwellings.

The intent of this subdivision application is to create two residential lots to accommodate the construction of two new one-unit dwellings on Schuyler Street.

Section 8.4.4 of Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 requires that the site width for the construction of new one-unit dwellings in established neighbourhoods shall be at least 70 percent of the average site width for one- and two-unit dwelling sites fronting on the subject block face and the opposite block face, but in no case shall the site width be less than 7.5 metres.

In accordance with the 70 percent site width calculation, the required site width on Schuyler Street is 11.56 metres. Proposed Lot 45 and Lot 46 each show a site width of 11.43 metres. As a result, each lot is deficient in width by 0.13 metres.

Based on the above, the Community Services Department has no option but to recommend that the subdivision be denied.

Under the provisions of Section 228 of *The Planning and Development Act*, 2007, an applicant for subdivision has the right to appeal to the Development Appeals Board when their application for subdivision has been denied. In order to file an appeal with the Development Appeals Board, the applicant must first apply for the subdivision, and subsequently, the subdivision must be denied by City Council.

The applicant has indicated that it is their intention to appeal to the Development Appeals Board once the subdivision application is denied.

It is noted that the proposal conforms to the Land Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537.

### **OPTIONS**

Section 128(1) of *The Planning and Development Act, 2007*, states, in part, that no approving authority shall approve an application for subdivision approval unless the proposed subdivision conforms to the provisions of any official community plan or zoning bylaw that affects the land proposed to be subdivided. Accordingly, the only option available to the City of Saskatoon is the denial of this subdivision proposal.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

There is no financial impact.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

A public hearing will be held by the Development Appeals Board within 30 days of the receipt of a Development Appeal Application. Notice will be given to the property owner and the assessed owners of neighbouring properties within a radius of 75 metres from the subject property.

### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Subdivision Application Report No. 5/12 to the Technical Planning Commission from the Community Services Department (File No. PL 4300-5/12)
- A4) 2012 Capital Budget

Capital Project No. 2354 – CY–Accessible Playgrounds Award of Tender W. W. Ashley Park Playground (Files CK. 4205-1 x CK. 1702-1; LS 4206-AS)

1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the proposal submitted by Park N Play Design Co. Inc. for the supply and installation of the destination accessible play structure at W. W. Ashley Park for the total price of \$420,000, including G.S.T. and P.S.T., be accepted; and

2) that His Worship the Mayor and City Council authorize Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services to issue a Purchase Order to Park N Play Design Co. Inc.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

During its September 2, 2008 meeting, City Council adopted, in principle, that the Administration be instructed to review the Accessibility Service Level Guidelines and begin incorporating them into the capital and operating budget process. These guidelines provide guidance to the City of Saskatoon (City) when incorporating barrier-free accessibility to all civic facilities, services, and infrastructure. The following reflects the section within the guidelines identified for playgrounds:

- "1.1 Parks and fully accessible playgrounds
  - 1.1.1 Sidewalks with the inclusion of curb cuts and proper surfacing should be available to make parks accessible for people with various disabilities.
  - 1.1.2 Ensuring playgrounds have at least one accessible feature incorporated into the design, as well as introducing one fully accessible playground into all four quadrants of the city.
    - 1.1.2.1 Gates, pathways and walkways throughout the park shall be accessible to a person using a wheelchair, scooter or other mobility assisting device
    - 1.1.2.2 Provide accessible picnic tables, drinking fountains and accessible ground surfaces
    - 1.1.2.3 Provide benches adjacent to an accessible route, have arm and back rests, be of contrasting color to their background, have an adjacent level, firm ground surface at least 36 in x 54 in for wheelchair, scooter or stroller parking."

City Council approved the 2012 Capital Project No. 2354, CY-Accessible Playgrounds, for the W. W. Ashley Park destination accessible playground and the relocation and upgrade of the satellite skateboard amenity within this park.

### **REPORT**

City Council's approval is required to formalize the award of this Request for Proposal (RFP) to Park N Play Design Co. Inc. for the price of \$420,000 for the supply and installation of a

destination accessible playground meeting *Americans with Disabilities Act* for W. W. Ashley Park located in the south east quadrant of the city.

An RFP was issued to request designs and pricing from playground suppliers/installers specifically for a space within W. W. Ashley Park close to the paddling pool and recreation building. Parameters for types of play equipment, accessibility, and surfacing was identified as compulsory and to meet the City's specifications. This playground would be an accessible playground meeting *Americans with Disabilities Act* specifications and the City Council approved Accessibility Service Level Guidelines, which have also been approved through the Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee.

Funding for this project is identified in the approved 2012 Capital Project No. 2354, CY-Accessible Playgrounds. Funding provided from all sources includes a donation from the Queen Elisabeth Community Association for \$18,527, totalling \$456,000 in available funding.

Six bids were received on February 28, 2012 (all values include 5 percent P.S.T. and 5 percent G.S.T.)

Company Submitting Bid	Total Price
Park N Play Design Co. Inc.	\$420,000.00
Playworks	\$420,000.00
Blue Imp (1594981 Alberta Inc.)	\$381,652.85
Henderson	\$348,428.17
Evergreen Playground Services Ltd.	\$381,414.48
RexRecreactive Inc.	\$409,450.80

All bids were reviewed by the Infrastructure Services Department, Parks Branch, and Facilities Branch, Project Services Section, and Community Services Department representatives. The two highest weighted RFP submissions, from Park N Play Design Co. Inc. and Playworks, were selected. A rated numerical scoring evaluation process was used. Seven categories were evaluated with each receiving a separate score per category. Scoring parameters were:

- a) play value;
- b) durability;
- c) appearance;
- d) maintenance;
- e) safety;
- f) warranty; and
- g) cost.

The two highest rated submissions were then taken to the Queen Elisabeth Community Association for final selection. The Queen Elisabeth Community Association listed the proposal from Park N Play Design Co. Inc. as their preferred playground, based predominantly on the higher number of

play features and because the submission scored high on all seven scoring categories. Although the submission from Park N Play Design Co. Inc. is one of the highest, it is within the approved budget, and provides the greatest level of playability and accessibility.

### **OPTIONS**

There is an option to not proceed with the project. However, your Administration does not recommend this option.

# **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

# **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The operating impact in 2013 for the accessible playground would be \$23,500 based on established guidelines for maintenance and repair of the play equipment.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES**

B1) 2012 Property Tax Levy and BID Levies (Files CK. 1905-5, CK. 1910-1, CS.1905-5, CS. 1704-1 and CS. 1910-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council approve a \$35,500 levy on the residential/condo property class for 2012 to fund the Property Tax Deferral Program for Low-Income Senior Citizens;
- 2) that City Council approve the \$1,000,000 contingency, as agreed by the Combined Business Group, to be added to the commercial/industrial property class for 2012;
- 3) that City Council consider Bylaw 9018, The Saskatoon Property Tax Bylaw, 2012;

- 4) that City Council consider Bylaw 9017, The School Divisions Property Tax Bylaw, 2012; and
- 5) that City Council consider Bylaw 9016, The Business Improvement Districts Levy Bylaw, 2012.

ADOPTED.

### **REPORT**

On December 7, 2011, City Council finalized its 2012 budget deliberations establishing the uniform mill rate requirements for the City and the Public Library. The Provincial budget delivered on March 21, 2012, stated that the Education Property Taxes for 2012 will remain the same as 2011. A letter from the Minister of Municipal Affairs (Attachment 1) dated April 4, 2012, confirms the education mill rates for 2012.

Based on these approvals, and other tax policy decisions previously approved by City Council (described in this report), your Administration has prepared the bylaws necessary to implement the 2012 tax levy.

The 2012 tax levy includes the budget requirements for each taxing authority including funding for the Property Tax Deferral Program for Low-Income Senior Citizens and a loss contingency for commercial properties.

### Property Tax Deferral Program

At its special meeting on December 6 and 7, 2011, City Council approved the Property Tax Deferral Program for Low-Income Seniors. This program allows eligible senior citizens to defer City and Library tax increases until such time as they are deceased or sell their homes. The report included a levy of \$35,500 on the residential and condominium properties at approximately \$0.50 per property.

### Contingency for Assessment Losses – Commercial

As has been the practice historically, the contingency amount for commercial properties is established by joint agreement of your Administration and the Combined Business Group. As per recent discussions, your Administration is recommending the approval of \$1,000,000 commercial contingency for 2012, and 2013 with a review of appeal losses to be done annually to ensure adequacy of the contingency balance in future years. This amount is included in the uniform mill rate but redistributed through the mill rate factors so that only the commercial property class is levied the contingency.

### Tax Ratio Policy

In 2001, City Council adopted a policy to shift the effective tax rate from commercial and multi-residential properties to residential properties over a ten-year period through the use of mill rate factors. The effective tax rate, or ETR, is calculated by taking the total taxes for a property and dividing it by the assessed value. Through the use of mill rate factors, the goal was to have the effective tax rate for multi-residential equal to that of residential, and the commercial ETR at 1.75 times that of residential by 2010. This was accomplished and will be maintained for 2012.

### 2012 Tax Levy

The following tables, beginning with the 2011 tax levy, build to the 2012 tax levy in dollars and percentage increases by property class based on a single-family detached home with a median assessed value of \$200,000. The last column provides effective tax rates (ETRs) for each property class.

Table 1: Residential and Condominium

	2011	Budget	Tax 2012		% Change	e over 2011	ETR	
	Taxes	Change	Deferral	Taxes	Budget	Tax Deferral	2011	2012
Municipal	1,526	61	*1	1,588	4.0%	0.004%		
Library	172	4	0	176	2.2%	0%		
Education Tax	1,331	0	0	1,331	0%	-		
Total Taxes	3,029			3095			1.515%	1.547%
Change per 200,000	) assessment	65	1					
% increase, 2012 co	mnared to 2011 ta	ixes owing		2.2%				

\$35,500 (\*approx. \$0.50 per property, rounded to \$1.00 in the table above) has been added to residential and condominium property classes to fund the property tax deferral program for low income seniors.

Table 2: Multi-Unit Residential

	2011	Budget	lgot	2012	% Change	Over 2011	ETR	
	Taxes	Change	Contingency	Taxes	Budget	Contingency	2011	2012
Municipal	1,552	61	-26	1,587	4.0%	-1.7%		
Library	175	4	-3	176	2.2%	-1.7%		
Education Tax	1,331	0	0	1,331	0%	-		
Total Taxes	3,058			3,094			1.528%	1.547%
Change per 200,000 as	sessment	65	-29					
% increase, 2012 comp	pared to 2011 taxes	owing		2.1%				

2011 was the final year of a three-year contingency for appeal losses on multi-unit residential properties.

Table 3: Commercial and Industrial Properties

The following example applies to all commercial/industrial property with fair values up to and including \$499,999 that are to be taxed completely using the first tier commercial education mill rate.

	2011	\$ Change		2012	% Change		ETR	
	Taxes	Budget	Contingency	Taxes	Budget	Contingency	2011	2011
Municipal	2,714	109	23	2846	4.0%	0.8%		
Library	306	7	2	315	2.2%	0.7%		
Education Tax	2,450	-	-	2,450	0	0		
Total Taxes	5,470			5,611			2.74%	2.81%
Change per 200,000 ass	sessment	116	25					
% increase 2012 compa	ared to 2011 taxes of	owing		2.58%				

The effective tax rate for 64% (2044) of commercial properties in 2012 is 2.81%, which is 1.82 times that of residential properties. The ETR for commercial properties with some portion of its assessments in the second and third tiers ranges from 1.82 to 2.21 times that of residential properties. The average effective tax rate for all commercial properties is 1.97 times that of residential. Note: The ETR of the municipal and library levies are approximately 1.75% those of residential properties.

# Bylaw No. 9018 - The Saskatoon Property Tax Bylaw, 2012

### Table 4: Uniform Mill Rates

The Saskatoon Property Tax Bylaw, 2012, is attached for consideration (Attachment 2). The Bylaw sets the uniform mill rate and mill rate factors established by the City and the Library. The following table compares the 2012 uniform mill rates required for the City and the Library with the rates for 2011.

	<b>Uniform Mill Rate</b>		
Taxing Authority	2011	2012	
City	11.5714	12.0491	
Library	1.3027	1.3333	
Total	12.8741	13.3824	

Table 5: Mill Rate Factors

Mill rate factors are required to distribute the uniform levy between property classes. These factors have been adjusted annually to reflect changes in the mix of assessment by property class, and the impact of natural growth in assessment roll. The mill rate factors have also been adjusted for the residential and commercial contingencies.

	Mill Rate Factor		
<b>Property Class</b>	2011	2012	
Residential	.9421	.9411	
Condominium	.9421	.9411	
Multi-unit Residential	.9578	.9408	
Commercial & Industrial	1.1730	1.1811	
Privately-Owned Light Aircraft Hangar	.6595	.6586	

Bylaw No. 9017 - The School Divisions Property Tax Bylaw, 2012

Table 6: Education Tax Mill Rates

The School Divisions Property Tax Bylaw, 2012, is attached for consideration (Attachment 3). The Bylaw sets the mill rates established by the Province for education taxes which are:

Residential, Condominium, Multi-residential	9.51
Agricultural	3.91
Commercial	
Tier 1 - First \$499,999 of taxable assessment	12.25
Tier 2 - Next \$5,500,000	14.75
Tier 3 - \$6,000,000 and greater	18.55

### Bylaw No. 9016 - Business Improvement Districts Bylaw, 2012

On February 27, 2012, City Council approved the budgets submitted by all four Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) and directed the Administration to prepare the 2012 BID Bylaw. The Bylaw to establish the levies for each BID is attached for consideration (Attachment 4). These bylaws will raise the funds each BID has requested for 2012.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Letter from the Minister of Municipal Affairs, Province of Saskatchewan, Dated April 4, 2012.
- 2. Bylaw No. 9017, The Saskatoon Property Tax Bylaw, 2012.

- 3. Bylaw No. 9018, The School Divisions Property Tax Bylaw, 2012.
- 4. Bylaw No. 9016, The Business Improvement Districts Bylaw, 2012.

### **Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES**

E1) Proposed Land Acquisition for Intersection Upgrades at 11<sup>th</sup> Street and Avenue P AND

Enquiry – Councillor P. Lorje (December 17, 2007) Roadway Improvements – Avenue P and 11<sup>th</sup> Street (Files CK. 4020-1, CK. 6315-1 and IS. 402-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that the City purchase 4.5 square metres from the property owner at 1520 11<sup>th</sup> Street West, and 17.9 square metres from the property owner at 1602 11<sup>th</sup> Street West for intersection upgrades, as shown on Schedule "A" Lands Subject to the Agreements (Attachment 1), at a purchase price of \$500, and \$2,450 respectively;
- 2) that the total purchase price of \$2,950 be funded from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve, which is used to fund the purchase of lands required for the reconstruction of roadways in previously developed areas; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to finalize the purchase agreements and transfer the lands into right-of way.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Lorje at the meeting of City Council held on December 17, 2007:

"In light of Council's commitment to reinvest dividends received from the City's land development program in infrastructure improvements in the City's core neighbourhoods, and in light of the concerns expressed in the West Industrial Local Area Plan about improving traffic movement within the area, would the Administration please report on including the roadway improvements required at the intersection of Avenue P and 11<sup>th</sup> Street with the other locations being upgraded this year under Capital Project 2044."

Capital Budget 2235 - IS Major Roadway/ Intersection Improvements includes approved funding in 2012 in the amount of \$650,000 for the upgrade to the intersection at 11<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue P South in order to accommodate truck movement. The current geometry of the

intersection was designed and constructed when vehicle configurations were much smaller, therefore, eastbound trucks need to occupy both lanes on 11<sup>th</sup> Street in order to make the left turn onto Avenue P northbound, and the turning radius for southbound traffic is not adequate for large trucks.

### **REPORT**

The final design of the new intersection at 11<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue P South has been completed, which requires the acquisition of small portions of land on the northeast and northwest quadrants of the intersection.

Details of the portions of the subject properties required for intersection upgrades at 11<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue P South are as follows:

# 1520 11<sup>th</sup> Street West:

Required land area is 4.5 square metres, located on the southwest corner of Surface Parcel 119901113, Lot 1, Block /Parcel 5, Plan G3820, Extension 0.

# 1602 11<sup>th</sup> Street West:

Required land area is 17.9 square metres, located on the southeast corner of Surface Parcel 119906073, Lot 15, Block/Parcel 1, Plan G670 Extension 0.

The City's Real Estate Section has negotiated Purchase Agreements with the owners of the properties for the required land with significant terms and conditions as follows:

# 1520 11<sup>th</sup> Street West:

### 1. Possession Date

Immediately upon approval by City Council.

### 2. Closing Date

The earliest date acceptable to both parties, subsequent to the subdivision approval and registration of the subject lands.

### 3. Purchase Price

Purchase price is \$500, with an initial deposit of \$100.00; the balance of the Purchase Price to be paid on the Closing Date.

### 4. Conditions Precedent

Approval by City Council.

# 5. <u>Legal Costs and Disbursements</u>

The Buyer shall be responsible for all Survey, Subdivision, Environmental Reports and Land Titles Office disbursements in respect to the registration of the transfer of title from the Seller to the Buyer, save and except for the discharge of any encumbrances which is the responsibility of the Seller to discharge.

# 1602 11<sup>th</sup> Street West:

### 1. Possession Date

Immediately upon approval by City Council.

### 2. Closing Date

The earliest date acceptable to both parties, subsequent to the subdivision approval and registration of the subject lands.

### 3. Purchase Price

Purchase price is \$1,950, to be paid within 12 days of the Possession Date.

### 4. Conditions Precedent

Approval by City Council.

# 5. <u>Legal Costs and Disbursements</u>

Each party shall pay its own legal fees. The Buyer will bear all costs of registration of the Transfer of Land. The Seller will pay the cost of obtaining and registering any document required to provide title to the Land subject only to Permitted Encumbrances.

### 6. Additional Payment

On the Closing Date, the Buyer agrees to pay the Seller an additional sum of \$500 in consideration of costs to be incurred by the Seller in arranging legal fees for their portion of the transaction.

### 7. Additional Paving

The Buyer agrees to pay and arrange for the paving of the boulevard area between the Seller's east property line and the back of sidewalk Avenue P, as shown on the attached Schedule "A".

### **OPTIONS**

There are no other options.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications

# **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The total cost for the purchase of the portions of land required for the intersection upgrades at 11<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue P South is \$2,950. The Administration is requesting that funding be from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve, which is used to fund the purchase of lands required for the reconstruction of roadways in previously developed areas.

Additional costs resulting from the land purchase at 1602 11<sup>th</sup> Street West, as outlined in the conditions above, which includes the paving of the boulevard area between the Seller's east property line and the back of the Avenue P sidewalk, is estimated to be \$1,000. There is sufficient funding within Capital Project 2235 - IS Major Roadway/Intersection Improvements.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

### **COMMUNICATION PLAN**

The adjacent property owners are aware of the planned improvements at the intersection. A Public Service Announcement will be used to create awareness of any traffic implications as a result of construction.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Schedule "A" Lands Subject to the Agreements.
- E2) Capital Project 1616 Waste Water Collection Preservation Program 2012 Avenue C Force Main Sewer Lining Request for Award of Tender and Post Budget Approval (Files CK. 7820-3, CK. 1702-1 and IS. 7820-52)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that the tender submitted by Insituform Technologies Ltd., for the 2012 Avenue C Force Main Lining, at a total estimated cost of \$910,867.65, including G.S.T., be accepted;
- 2) that a post budget increase to Capital Project 1616 Waste Water Collection, in the amount of \$284,000, be approved;

- 3) that the post budget increase in the amount of \$284,000 be funded from 2013 allocations to the Infrastructure Reserve, Water and Sewer; and
- 4) that the City Manager and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

### **REPORT**

The Avenue C Force Main, which is more than 55 years old, is a critical piece of infrastructure due to the sewer flows that it handles and its location, which includes portions running underneath Circle Drive. Over the past decade, there has been a history of failures on portions of the force main to the south of Circle Drive, indicating that the pipe has reached the end of its useful life, and that there is the potential for more serious failures to the portions directly under Circle Drive. Rehabilitating the force main now will extend the service life of the pipe another 50 years and save on future expensive repairs and disruptions on Circle Drive.

Capital Project 1616 - Waste Water Collection includes funding in 2012 in the amount of \$676,000 for the lining of the force main, including administration costs; engineering costs; and other associated in-house costs, such as detours.

Tenders, which were opened publicly on March 15, 2012, were received from the following two contractors:

- Insituform Technologies Ltd., Edmonton, Alberta; and
- IVIS Inc., Edmonton, Alberta.

Insituform Technologies Ltd., the lowest bidder, at a total cost of \$910,867.65, including G.S.T., has successfully completed similar projects for the City in the past.

### FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the bid submitted by Insituform Technologies Ltd. is as follows:

Net Cost to City	\$ 867,493.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	43,374.65
Total Tender Price	\$910,867.65
G.S.T.	43,374.65
Contingency	50,000.00
Base Tender Amount	\$ 817,493.00

Capital Project 1616 - Waste Water Collection includes funding in 2012 in the amount of \$676,000 for the lining of the force main, which includes the funding required for administration costs; engineering costs; and other associated in-house costs, such as detours. These in-house costs are estimated to be 10% of the net cost to the City, or approximately \$90,000. Therefore, the total estimated funding required for this project is \$960,000, resulting in a shortfall of \$284,000.

The Administration is recommending a post budget increase in the amount of \$284,000, to be funded from the 2013 allocations to the Infrastructure Reserve, Water and Sewer.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

### **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN**

Work is expected to have an impact on traffic on Avenue C, south of the Circle Drive intersection. Any required traffic detours will be communicated to the public via Public Service Announcements. Construction notifications will also be delivered to the businesses and homes of residents adjacent to the work area.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E3) Appointment of Weed Inspector – 2012 - The Noxious Weed Act AND

Appointment of Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors – 2012 Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations - *The Forest Resources Management Act* (Files CK. 4200-8, IS. 4200-2 and IS. 4510-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Mr. Jeff Boone and Mr. Jessie Stolar of the Infrastructure Services Department be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's 2012 Weed Inspectors, in accordance with the provisions of *The Noxious Weed Act*;
- 2) that Mr. Geoff McLeod and Mr. Jeff Boone of the Infrastructure Services Department be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's 2012 Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors, in accordance with the provisions of *The Forest Resources Management Act; and*
- 3) that the City Clerk notify the Minister of the Environment.

ADOPTED.

# **REPORT**

Section 7, Article 1 of *The Noxious Weed Act* (Saskatchewan) requires that City Council appoint a Weed Inspector(s) annually. To carry out this year's program, a weed inspector(s) is required for a six-month period, from May 1 to October 31, 2012. It is recommended that Mr. Jeff Boone, Supervisor, Pest Management and Mr. Jessie Stolar, Weed Inspector, both of the Infrastructure Services Department, Parks Branch, fulfill this requirement during 2012.

Section 8, Article 2 of the *Forest Resources Management Act* requires that City Council appoint one or more Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors annually to enforce the Dutch elm disease regulations. It is recommended that Mr. Geoff McLeod, Superintendent, Urban Forestry; and Mr. Jeff Boone, Supervisor, Pest Management, both of the Infrastructure Services Department, Parks Branch, be appointed to this office for 2012.

### **ENVIORONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

The City of Saskatoon and its residents must adhere to regulations governing both the *Forest Resources Management Act* and the *Noxious Weed Act* which ensure our urban forest and other plants are protected from Dutch elm disease and invasive weeds.

### **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN**

No communications plan is required.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E4) Enquiry – Councillor M. Heidt (November 21, 2011) Intersection – 29<sup>th</sup> Street and Avenue W North (File No. CK. 6280-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor M. Heidt at the meeting of City Council held on November 21, 2011:

"Would the Administration look at this intersection to see if a four-way stop is required.

This intersection is becoming more dangerous as the city grows. As well, it is not a  $90^{\circ}$  angle. This in itself creates blind spots."

### **REPORT**

Avenue W North is classified as a major collector roadway, while 29<sup>th</sup> Street West is classified as a local residential roadway east of Avenue W North; and a major collector roadway west of Avenue W North. The intersection of 29<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue W North is currently controlled by two-way stop signs on 29<sup>th</sup> Street, giving right-of-way to Avenue W North.

Policy C07-007 - Traffic Control – Use of Stop and Yield Signs, states that for a four-way stop to be warranted, the combined volume of traffic entering the intersection over the peak hour periods from the minor street must be at least 35% of the total volume.

A traffic turning movement and volume study was conducted on February 16, 2012. Results of the study indicated that approximately 2,000 vehicles entered the intersection during the peak hours (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.; 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.; and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.). It was noted that traffic entering the intersection was split between 29% and 71%, for 29<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue W North, respectively. The location, therefore, failed to meet the criteria necessary to warrant the installation of a four-way stop.

Policy C07-007 also states that an all-way stop may be warranted when five or more collisions are reported in the last twelve month period and are of a type susceptible to correction by an all-way stop control. Collision types susceptible to correction by all-way stop control include right-turn, left-turn, and right-angle. A review of the collision history at the intersection of 29<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue W North indicates that there were only four collisions at the location in 2011; and of the four, only two were of a type susceptible to correction by an all-way stop (right-angle).

While the east and west legs of 29<sup>th</sup> Street West are offset on either side of Avenue W North, a site visit to this intersection found no obstructions (trees, shrubbery, excessive parked cars, etc.) blocking motorists' view of the intersection or traffic in the oncoming or opposing directions.

A four-way stop can be an effective traffic control device at an intersection, if it is found that the current right-of-way rules do not provide safe, convenient and efficient traffic movement. However, the unwarranted use of regulatory signs, such as a four-way stop, has been shown to lead to an increase in non-compliance and to create a false sense of security. If motorists see no apparent reason for an all-way stop control (i.e. little or no traffic on the intersecting roadway), they may not come to a complete stop, or will simply roll through the intersection. This can subsequently increase the safety risks for both pedestrians and motorists, who are expecting vehicles in the opposing high-volume direction to stop for them.

Based on the results of the review, the current two-way stop configuration has been shown to provide adequate traffic control at the intersection of 29<sup>th</sup> Street West and Avenue W North, therefore, the Administration is not recommending the installation of a four-way stop at this time.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

### **COMMUNICATION PLAN**

A communication plan is not required.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **Section F – UTILITY SERVICES**

F1) Compost Depot Program Annual Report (Files CK. 430-37 and WT. 7832-6)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

Since 2006, the City of Saskatoon Environmental Services Branch has been operating two compost depots where residents can drop off yard waste at no charge. The two depots, one on McOrmond Drive and the other on Highway 7, are pilot projects accepting leaves, grass, non-elm tree and shrub branches, as well as garden waste that would otherwise end up in the landfill. The usage of these sites has increased each year.

### **REPORT**

In 2011, the compost depots collected an estimated 27,000 tonnes of material; more than 25,000 commercial and residential loads were delivered to the depots. The primary material contributors at these sites are commercial arbourists, landscapers, and lawn and yard service contractors. During the 2011 season, a commercial user program was introduced and had 63 registered commercial users using a total of 119 permitted vehicles. The program helped improve site management and customer tracking.

The growing popularity of the depot program has meant there is high-quality finished material available. Last year 2500 cubic meters of soil amendment (compost) was used in parks and

community gardens. It is anticipated the depots will be able to provide a similar volume in 2012. It is also hoped additional material may be available to the public later this year.

For the 2012 season, both depots will open to the public starting Friday, April 20 and will close Monday, November 5. Depots will be open 7 days a week, including statutory holidays, from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The depots will continue to accept leaves, grass, yard waste, and non-elm wood waste and will continue to be free to residents wishing to drop off their own yard waste materials.

The commercial user program is once again in place and all commercial users will be required to purchase a permit prior to accessing the depots. Permit prices will remain the same as in 2011 at \$150.00 for the first company vehicle and \$25.00 for each subsequent vehicle. Payments are to be made by cash, cheque or debit at City Hall beginning April 2. Commercial users will be notified by letter about the 2012 program. Application guides and registration forms will be available at the depots and on the City Website under "C" for Composting or "Y" for Yard Waste. Once the permit is obtained, site visits are unlimited for the 2012 season.

As temporary sites, plans for the future of the compost depot program are under development. Both current locations are in the path of future neighbourhood development.

# **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

As an information report, there are no policy implications at this time.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As pilot projects, the compost depot program is largely funded through the Capital Budget. In addition to capital funding, \$11,000.00 in revenue was generated by the sale of user permits to commercial haulers in 2011, and \$24,000.00 was also generated internally by the sale of finished compost to the Parks Branch.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

Each tonne of organic material diverted from the Landfill and processed into soil amendment substantially reduces greenhouse gas emissions. In 2011, the compost depot program reduced greenhouse gas emissions by approximately 86,724 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e per year.

### **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN**

The 2012 compost depot program will be promoted through the use of various media applications such as the City's website, Facebook, Twitter, Public Service Announcements (PSAs), and newspaper advertisements. In addition, educational materials will be produced in the form of pamphlets, flyers and Frequently Asked Questions sheets (FAQs) that can be distributed to the general public by the compost depot attendants.

The program has already been promoted with the Leaves and Grass Subscription Program, and at community events.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

# F2) Recycling Request For Proposals (Files CK. 7830-5 and WT. 7832-19)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that Administration negotiate the terms for an Agreement for Single-Family Residential Curbside Recycling based on the Proposal submitted by Loraas Recycle with the intention of Award of Contract; and
- 2) that, upon settlement of these terms, the Administration bring the Contract forward to City Council for approval.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentations from the speakers. See Page No. 50.

# F3) Saskatoon Light & Power Sole Source Purchase Over \$100,000 – Electricity Meters (Files CK. 1000-2 and WT. 2030-1)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the purchase of 6,250 electricity meters from Elster Metering, a Division of Elster Canadian Meter Co. Inc., for \$820,495.01 including taxes be approved; and
- 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services issue the appropriate purchase order.

ADOPTED.

### **BACKGROUND**

Saskatoon Light & Power (SL&P) requires the purchase of residential and commercial electricity meters for the remainder of its 2012 annual meter replacement program. This item is included in the approved 2012 Capital Budget. SL&P has made sole source purchases of Elster electricity meters since 2008. Elster meters are best suited for the present and future metering requirements for the Utility.

# **REPORT**

The purchase of these meters is a continuation of the ongoing replacement of the Utility's electromechanical meters. The old meters often fail accuracy requirements as mandated by Measurement Canada. The new electronic meters are significantly more robust and accurate and can provide much more value added information and capabilities to the Utility. Approximately 23% of SL&P's current meter population is Elster electronic meters.

Existing electro-mechanical meters typically slow down over time resulting in reduced revenue for the City and increased difficulties in meeting Measurement Canada accuracy requirements. The replacement of the aging electro-mechanical meters with the more accurate electronic meters will result in increased revenue. The reason for sole sourcing this purchase from Elster Metering is to continue with meters that are compatible with the Elster EnergyAxis communication network, leveraging our existing electronic meter deployment and investment. Elster continues to hold a significant share of the utility marketplace proving their capability as a long-term meter supplier. To date, both the performance and pricing of Elster electricity meters have met expectations.

### **OPTIONS**

Saskatoon Light & Power has selected Elster Metering electricity meters as our Utility standard and as a result no other options were considered. The reason for sole sourcing this purchase from Elster Metering is to continue with meters that are compatible with the Elster EnergyAxis communication network, leveraging our existing electronic meter deployment and investment.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications with respect to this purchase.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are adequate funds in the approved 2012 Capital Project #724 for the purchase of these meters. The prices quoted are within budget estimates. A breakdown of the costs is indicated below:

Elster REX2 and A3Alpha Meters	\$745,904.55
G.S.T. (5%)	37,295.23
P.S.T. (5%)	37,295.23
<b>Total Cost to the City</b>	\$820,495.01
Less G.S.T. Rebate	37,295.23
Net Cost to the City	\$783,199.78

# **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

# **LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2012**

### Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

B1) River Landing Replacement Reserve (File No. CK 1815-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 9015.

ADOPTED.

At its meeting on February 6, 2012, City Council received a report from Executive Committee (Clause 4, Report No. 2-2012) recommending the establishment of a replacement reserve for River Landing. City Council adopted Executive Committee's report and instructed the City Solicitor to amend *The Capital Reserve Bylaw* accordingly.

We are please to submit Bylaw No. 9015, *The Capital Reserve Amendment Bylaw*, 2012 (No. 2) for City Council's consideration. The proposed Bylaw establishes the River Landing Capital Reserve and details how the Reserve will be funded this year and in the future.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 9015, The Capital Reserve Amendment Bylaw, 2012 (No. 2).

### REPORT NO. 6-2012 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

### Composition of Committee

Councillor C. Clark, Chair Councillor P. Lorje Councillor R. Donauer Councillor B. Dubois Councillor M. Loewen

1. Award of Contract – Space2Place (Files CK. 4205-9-3 and LS 4206-KI-12)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Space2Place Design Inc. be awarded a contract for the Detailed Design and Construction Administration of Phase One of the Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan for a total of \$493,023.90, net of applicable taxes; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

### ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 14, 2012, with respect to the award of contract for the Detailed Design and Construction Administration of Phase One of the Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan.

Your Committee has reviewed the report and is supporting the above recommendations.

2. Saskatoon Downtown Youth Centre Inc. (EGADZ) (Files CK. 1871-3, x CK. 520-1 and LS. 220-31)

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the current agreement, which provides an annual operating grant of \$120,000 to the Saskatoon Downtown Youth Centre Inc. (EGADZ) be amended to allow the funding to be allocated to the physical plant costs and financing costs of the drop-in youth centre, rather than specifying the funding be allocated to the lease of a building.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 21, 2012, forwarding proposed amendments to the current agreement, which provides an annual operating grant to the Saskatoon Downtown Youth Centre Inc.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration. It was clarified that the proposed change in the agreement leaves the options open in terms of support, regardless of whether the building is leased or purchased.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendation.

# 3. Immigration Project – 2011 Annual Report (Files CK. 100-21, LS. 220-48 and RR. 115-2)

# **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the information be received;

- 2) that a copy of the March 16, 2012 report of the General Manager, Community Services Department be forwarded to the Cultural Diversity and Race Relations Committee for information; and
- 3) that the matter of any potential shortfall in ongoing funding for the Immigration Community Resource Coordinator position be referred for further consideration during budget deliberations.

### ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012, providing the 2011 Annual Report of the Immigration Project.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration. Your Committee has been advised that funding for the Immigration Community Resource Coordinator position to March 2013 has been confirmed. The Administration has also received indication from the Federal and Provincial Governments of the potential for a reduction in funding supports for the position beyond that time frame.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is recommending that the matter of any shortfall in funding for the Immigration Community Resource Coordinator be referred for consideration during budget deliberations. Your Committee is also recommending that a copy of this report be forwarded to the Cultural Diversity and Race Relations Committee for information.

# 4. Broadway 360 Development Plan – Implementation (Files CK. 4110-42 and PL. 4110-11-14)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the implementation strategy for the Broadway 360 Development

Plan, as contained in the March 15, 2012 report of the General

Manager, Community Services Department, be approved.

#### ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 15, 2012, with respect to a proposed implementation strategy for the Broadway 360 Development Plan.

For City Council's information, a copy of the Broadway 360 Development Plan, previously received as information by City Council, is available on the City's website <a href="www.saskatoon.ca">www.saskatoon.ca</a> under the alphabetical listing "Reports to Council".

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration, including the impacts of the implementation strategy on moving forward on all local area plan recommendations. It was confirmed that these recommendations would be prioritized along with the outstanding recommendations and undertaken as resources permit. Opportunities for partnerships will be pursued where appropriate. Where costs are known, they have been identified in the attached implementation strategy.

Your Committee has also reviewed future consideration of an Architectural Control District for the Broadway area and options being looked at in terms of new zoning treatments to maintain the character of Broadway, while providing flexibility for development. Aspects that will be looked at include building height, density and character of buildings in the area. Further reporting will be provided with respect to this matter.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendation.

# 5. Amendment to The Private Swimming Pools Bylaw, 2000, Bylaw No. 7981 (Files CK. 500-1 and PL. 185-7)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the following proposed amendments to The Private Swimming Pools Bylaw, 2000, Bylaw No. 7981, be approved:
  - a) that one continuous separation of 1,100 millimetres be required between horizontal or climbable members along the entire length of the enclosure where these members are accessible to the public;
  - b) that openings through any part of the enclosure that are lower than 1.5 metres above grade be of a size

- that will prevent the passage of a spherical object having a diameter of more than 100 millimetres, unless it can be shown that the location and the size of the openings that exceed this limit do not present a hazard;
- c) that the size of vertical or diagonal openings be limited to a maximum of 20 millimetres where all horizontal or climbable members are on the pool side of the fence or enclosure and do not have the 1,100 millimetre spacing as required in a) above;
- d) that the distance from the walkway to the top of the pool be clarified, as currently stated in The Private Swimming Pools Bylaw, 2000, Bylaw No. 7981, only applies when an above ground pool is constructed; and
- e) that the Administration be provided with enforcement authority to ensure:
  - i) that all necessary work be completed to make the property safe if the owner is not motivated to correct the deficiencies; and
  - ii) that Orders to Remedy Contravention be issued compelling the owner to bring the pool and enclosure into compliance with The Private Swimming Pools Bylaw, 2000, Bylaw No. 7981;
- 2) that effective June 1, 2012, the fee to obtain a Building Permit to construct a swimming pool be consistent with the permit fees set in "Schedule A" to The Building Amendment Bylaw, 2012, Bylaw No. 9006 shown in Attachment 1 to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be authorized to amend The Private Swimming Pools Bylaw, 2000, Bylaw No. 7981 to be consistent with these recommendations.

#### ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012, with respect to proposed amendments to the Private Swimming Pool Bylaw, as well as changes to the building permit fees to construct swimming pools.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration. The Administration has circulated the attached drawing showing the proposed requirements for fencing relating to

Recommendations 1a), b) and c) above, with respect to the climbable members on the pool side and the non-pool side. The Administration has also provided further clarification of the intent of the above recommendations, as follows:

- 1a) This provides for easier administration of the requirements. People are able to amend their fences further to meet the bylaw requirements.
- 1b) Opening distances in the enclosure are required to be no more than 100 millimetres (4 inches), which is consistent with bylaws in other provinces.
- In situations where the climbable members are on the pool side, it is proposed to restrict the size of the vertical or diagonal openings to 20 millimetres to prevent someone from being able to stick their foot through and climb the fence from the outside.
- 1d) This proposed amendment is to provide clarification about what is currently in the bylaw for above grade pools.
- This is to provide enforcement opportunities for the Administration to be able to write Orders to Remedy Contravention and to complete the necessary work to ensure safety if the work is not done by the owner. If a building permit is open, enforcement would be through Building Standards. Otherwise, Fire and Protective Services would be involved in the enforcement.
- 2) To bring the permit fee for a pool up to the current building permit fee structure approved by City Council on December 5, 2011, this amendment is being proposed.

Upon further review with the Administration, the following further clarification was provided in response to questions from the Committee:

- There is no grandfathering of the proposed requirements for fencing around swimming pools with respect to all safety issues. If there is a pool, the fence would have to be adjusted to five feet or a new fence would have to be put in. The only situation that could be grandfathered would be for pools built prior to 1971 in terms of the walkway requirements in that the walkway may be narrow or non-existent and would present a hardship to move the pool.
- The City Solicitor's Office will review the matter of an appeal mechanism.
- The previous enquiry from Councillor Dubois on issues relating to swimming pools was reported to City Council on February 6, 2012. A copy of Clause A2, Administrative Report No. 2-2012 is attached as background information.
- The Administration does not support lockable pool covers on swimming pools in place of the required enclosure as there is no mechanism to guarantee that the cover will be in place at all times when the pool is unsupervised. Other municipalities contacted in Alberta, as well as Winnipeg and Regina, do not accept lockable pool covers as enclosures for swimming pools.
- The proposed amendments apply to all new applications. In terms of revisiting existing fences, complaints would be investigated by Fire and Protective Services and owners would have to comply if there were unsafe conditions.
- The bylaw also applies to indoor pools, including walkways requirements, but since they are within a building, the fencing requirements do not apply.

• This bylaw would apply to hot tubs if they are more than 2.4 metres across.

Your Committee has also discussed with the Administration the height of perimeter fencing and how this will be taken into consideration with respect to the potential future construction of swimming pools. The Administration has advised that the City will communicate with developers about the bylaw at the next meeting of the Developers Liaison Committee. In addition, the intent is to create a brochure regarding swimming pool and hot tub requirements and circulate this as appropriate.

Following review of the above, your Committee is supporting the above recommendations of the Administration.

# 6. Plumbing Permit Fees Review – Building Standards Branch (Files CK. 313-1, CK. 1600-12 and PL. 4240-9)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the Plumbing Permit Fee Schedule, with a three step phase-in period as shown on Attachment 4 to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012, be approved;
- 2) that the increases in the Re-inspection Fee and Partial Inspection Fee, as shown on Attachment 4 to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012, be approved;
- 3) that an additional charge be applied for negative adjustments and that this charge be the lesser of the amount of the adjustment or \$75;
- 4) that a fee of \$75 be applied to all Plumbing Permit cancellations;
- 5) that the requirement for a shut-off valve to each plumbing fixture be removed from the Plumbing Bylaw No. 6583; and
- 6) that the City Solicitor be authorized to amend the Plumbing Bylaw No. 6583 to be consistent with these recommendations.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 16, 2012, with respect to a proposed phase-in for increases to the Plumbing Permit Fee Schedule and other proposed changes to the fee structure.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration, including confirmation that a letter of support for the changes had been received from the Mechanical Contractors Association. The Administration has also provided clarification with respect to requirements relating to shutoff values, noting that the City follows the Provincial Plumbing Regulations and National Plumbing Code, which specifies where the shutoff valves are required rather than requiring them with each fixture.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendations, as outlined in the above report of the General Manager, Community Services Department.

# 7. Walkway Closures (Files CK. 6295-1 and IS. 6295-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the application fees and land fees for walkway closures increase from \$1,000 to \$2,000 each;
- 2) that the increase in application and land fees be applied to the 27 outstanding applications, subject to review of the cost information provided to the applicants; and
- 3) that Policy C07-017 Walkway Evaluation and Closure be amended as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 22, 2012.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated March 22, 2012, with respect to proposed increases in the application and land fees for walkway closures and proposed changes to the Walkway Evaluation and Closure Policy.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration, including the costs associated with walkway closures and what is being proposed in terms of the land and application costs to recover some of the direct costs of walkway closures. The Administration has provided further clarified that the intent of the previous Council resolution was that the outstanding applications would be dealt with under the previous process for walkway closures, subject to a review of the costs associated with the walkway closures. The above report provides information on these related costs. Your Committee has been advised further that the applicants relating to the 27 outstanding applications have been advised that the fees were being looked at. The Administration will review all files to determine what, if any, fee information was provided to these applicants and will report this to City Council at this meeting.

Following review of this report, your Committee is forwarding the above recommendations for consideration by City Council.

- IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that the application fees and land fees for walkway closures increase from \$1,000 to \$2,000 each, effective April 16, 2012; and
  - 2) that Policy C07-017 Walkway Evaluation and Closure be amended as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 22, 2012.

# **REPORT NO. 1-2012 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

#### Composition of Committee

Councillor B. Dubois, Chair Councillor R. Donauer Councillor C. Clark Councillor M. Loewen Councillor A. Iwanchuk

1. Audit Report – Impound Lot System (File No. CK. 1600-23)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

A process has been developed for release of summary reports on in camera audits that have been completed during the year. These one-page audit reports for in camera audits are released once the Executive Committee has completed its review of the audit report.

Attached is a one-page summary of the in camera Audit of the Impound Lot System, which was completed in January, 2012 and which was recently reviewed and received by the Executive Committee.

It should be noted that all audit reports that have been reviewed by City Council, including the summary reports, are available on the City's website under "A" for Audit Reports.

2. Internal Audit Charter – Council Policy No. C02-032 (File No. CK. 1600-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that amendments be approved to Sections 5.4, 5.8, 5.12 and 5.13 of City Council Policy C02-032 *Internal Audit Charter* to comply with the services provided by the Internal Auditor following a change in process to eliminate performance (value-for-money) audits, as outlined in the attached report.

#### ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated January 31, 2012 forwarding a revised copy of City Council Policy C02-032 – *Internal Audit Charter* which contains amendments to Sections 5.4, 5.8, 5.12 and 5.13 to eliminate performance (value-for-money) audits when the current contract was awarded for a five-year term, effective September 15, 2009.

Your Committee has reviewed these changes with Administration, and notes they are required to comply with the services provided under the current contract for internal audits.

# REPORT NO. 6-2012 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

#### Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair

Councillor C. Clark

Councillor R. Donauer

Councillor B. Dubois

Councillor M. Heidt

Councillor D. Hill

Councillor A. Iwanchuk

Councillor M. Loewen

Councillor P. Lorje

Councillor T. Paulsen

Councillor G. Penner

1. Saskatoon Airport Authority
Members Nominated by the City of Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 175-43)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that that Les Prosser be nominated for appointment to the Saskatoon Airport Authority throughout a term expiring at the conclusion of

the 2015 Public annual Meeting of the Corporation, which will be held prior to May 15, 2015.

#### ADOPTED.

Attached is a letter dated March 16, 2012 from the Chair of the Saskatoon Airport Authority advising that Mr. Peter MacKinnon has fulfilled his term with the Saskatoon Airport Authority Board of Directors effective May 14, 2012 and recommending that Mr. Les Prosser be nominated as his replacement.

# 2. Penalties for Parking Violations (File No. CK. 6120-3)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the discounted penalty for parking at an expired meter, parking in a pay station zone when time purchased has expired, and parking longer than traffic signs allowed be increased to \$20.00, effective June 1;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate bylaw amendment; and
- 3) that the matter of parking penalties be reviewed in the Spring of 2013 and annually thereafter.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the following report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated March 22, 2012 and puts forward the above recommendation.

#### "BACKGROUND

The Executive Committee, at its meeting held on March 5, 2012, during consideration of a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated January 21, 2012, regarding the Parking Infrastructure Upgrade and City Card Replacement resolved, in part:

"that the Administration report further on the matter of the parking ticket charges and early payment options."

Traffic Bylaw, 7200, outlines the penalties for parking at an expired meter; parking within a pay station zone stall; parking within a pay station zone stall when time purchased has expired; and parking longer than traffic signs allow. The current penalty for such violations is \$50, with a discounted penalty of \$10, if paid within 14 days. This penalty was last reviewed in 2007, when the discounted penalty was increased from \$6.

# **REPORT**

As the demand for parking in the various Business Improvement Districts has increased, so have the associated rates for parking meters to accommodate that demand. The following table outlines the parking meter rates over the past five years.

Year	Parking Meter Rate Per Hour
Prior to April 2008	\$1.00
April 1, 2008	\$1.50 (Riversdale maintained at \$1.00)
January 1, 2009	\$1.50 (Riversdale exception eliminated)
January 1, 2010	\$2.00

While the revenue from parking meters has increased due to the increase in rates, the revenue from parking tickets is increasing at an even higher rate. This can be attributed to the fact that the cost to park at a meter for the entire day (i.e. 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.) would be \$18, whereas the penalty to park at an unpaid meter for the entire day could be as low as \$10, if paid within 14 days, and providing that a subsequent ticket isn't issued during the course of the day.

Attachment 1 shows the increasing trend in parking meter tickets issued in the City of Saskatoon.

The ultimate goal of managing parking is to find the balance between parking meter rates and its associated penalties, in order to provide a deterrent to violating the time limits, thereby ensuring parking turnover.

The table below provides a comparison of the parking meter violation penalties in municipalities across Western Canada.

### **Comparison of Penalties**

City	Expired Meter / Expired Pay Station Stall	Parking Longer Than Signed Time Limit (in metered area)		
	\$50	\$50		
Saskatoon	\$10 if paid within 14 days	\$10 if paid within 14 days		
	\$90	\$280		
	\$65 if paid within 30 days	\$215 if paid within 30 days		
Calgary	\$55 if paid within 10 days	\$175 if paid within 10 days		
Edmonton	\$35	\$35		
Regina	\$45	\$45		
	\$70	\$70		
Vancouver	\$35 if paid within 14 days	\$35 if paid within 14 days		
	\$40	\$40		
Victoria	\$20 if paid within 14 days	\$20 if paid within 14 days		
	\$70	\$70		
Winnipeg	\$35 if paid within 15 days	\$35 if paid within 15 days		

As the table indicates, the City of Saskatoon's discounted rate is significantly less than other western Canadian cities.

It is the Administration's opinion that the current \$50 penalty is appropriate, when compared to current parking meter rates, however, if the discounted penalties for parking at an expired meter; parking in a pay station zone when time purchased has expired; and parking longer than traffic signs allow, were to be increased to \$20, it would provide a deterrent, while still providing an incentive to pay the penalty early.

#### **OPTIONS**

No other options were considered.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

If changes were to be made to the discounted penalties for parking meter violations, Bylaw 7200, The Traffic Bylaw, would need to be modified.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN**

If changes were to be made to the discounted penalties for parking meter violations, a communications plan would need to be prepared to notify the public and businesses, including public service announcements and notifications in the StarPhoenix, as well as on the City's website.

# **STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION**

The Broadway Business Improvement District has concerns with respect to the lack of turnover in metered parking areas, and believes that the existing fines are no longer a deterrent. They are, therefore, in favor of an increase to the discounted penalty.

The Partnership and the Riversdale Business Improvement District would like to wait until new methods of parking payment are in place before considering an increase to the discounted penalty.

# **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

If an increase to the discounted penalties for parking meter violations were to be increased to \$20, it is anticipated that parking meter revenue would increase and the number of parking meter tickets would decrease. The goal of parking penalties is to accomplish compliance with parking stall usage/payment with a minimum of violations occurring. As penalties are a deterrent, no net budget impact would be allowed.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

# **ATTACHMENT**

- 1. Expired Meter Violations."
- 3. Capital Project 787 Traffic Bridge Design-Build Replacement – Traffic Bridge (File No. CK. 6050-8)

1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the scope of the Engineering Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the provision of services of an Owner's Engineer for the design build replacement of the Traffic Bridge, at a total estimated cost of \$1,534,516 (plus G.S.T. and applicable P.S.T.) be increased by \$300,000 (plus G.S.T. and applicable P.S.T.), to include all works to load test one of the piers;

- 2) that Stantec Consulting Ltd. be given notice to proceed with the project to a maximum of \$825,000, with subsequent notice to be given upon Council approval of the balance of the project budget;
- 3) that post budget approval in the amount of \$425,000 for Capital Project 787 Traffic Bridge be approved;
- 4) that the Administration be authorized to proceed with the demolition and removal of Span 1 of the Traffic Bridge upon completion of the pier load testing as soon as possible, complete with post budget approval of \$500,000;
- 5) that the post budget approval(s) be interim funded from the Property Realized Reserve, and repaid when the Capital Project is funded;
- 6) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary amendments to the Engineering Services Agreement, for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk; and
- 7) that the Administration report further in due course regarding options and projected costs to open up pedestrian access along the north (west) side of the river, including information as to whether there is any cost benefit in removing the span at that time.

#### ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the following report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated March 21, 2012 and puts forward the above recommendation.

#### "BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on March 12, 2012, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, requesting post budget approval for changes to the scope of the Engineering Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the design build replacement of the Traffic Bridge (Attachment 1) and resolved:

"that the matter be referred to the Administration to report to the Executive Committee after the Provincial Budget has been announced regarding options and costs for removing the entire structure and including the workplan for repairs to the University and Broadway Bridges."

### **REPORT**

The cost for demolition of the entire Traffic Bridge at once is estimated to be \$4,500,000, based on the Traffic Bridge Needs Assessment Study, completed by Stantec Consulting Ltd. in 2010. This would not include demolition of the bridge piers, which will be used in the construction of the new bridge. This estimated cost includes \$1,800,000 for provision of a temporary working platform, such as a cofferdam or barge, to facilitate demolition of the four bridge spans located over the river. Demolition of the entire Traffic Bridge, separate from the construction of a new bridge, would require another temporary working platform at the time the new bridge is constructed, at an additional estimated cost of \$1,800,000. As well, regulatory approvals for work in the river, which could take over a year to be granted, would be required for demolition of the entire bridge.

Span 1 of the Traffic Bridge is the overland portion of the bridge, located on the south side of the river. The cost to demolish Span 1 and accommodate the Saskatoon Light & Power 14.4kV line currently on the bridge is estimated at \$500,000. As this span is not located over the river, it is not subject to the regulatory approvals that will be required for the demolition of the other four spans. Demolition of only Span 1 would require an additional mobilization of the contractor at the time the remainder of the bridge is demolished and the new bridge is constructed. This additional mobilization cost is estimated to be approximately \$50,000.

The work plan for repairs to the University and Broadway Bridges are summarized as follows:

- University Bridge: Replacement of asphalt and membrane; replacement of expansion joints; spot repairs to the deck; spot repairs to the arches; and installation of galvanic protection are planned for 2013, subject to budget approval.
- Broadway Bridge: Replacement of asphalt and membrane; replacement of expansion joints; and minor deck repairs are planned for 2016, subject to budget approval.

The Administration is recommending that the recommendations in the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, which was submitted to Council on March 12, 2012, be approved, if the improvements to vehicular and pedestrian traffic are deemed beneficial. At a minimum, the Administration is recommending approval of the completion of the Request for Proposal, and pier testing post budget approval.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

As outlined in the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department which was considered at the March 12, 2012 Council meeting, the Administration is requesting a post budget increase in the amount of \$925,000 as follows:

Pier Load Testing	\$300,000
Removal of Span 1 and Accommodation of 14.4kV Line	\$500,000
Increase Required to Prepare RFP	<u>\$125,000</u>
TOTAL	\$925,000

It is recommended that the Property Realized Reserve be utilized as an interim source of funding, to be repaid when Capital Project 787 – Traffic Bridge is fully funded.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

# **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN**

If approved, the Administration will work with Communications to issue any necessary notices to the public.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

1. Excerpt from the minutes of the meeting of City Council held on March 12, 2012."

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 6-2012 - CONTINUED**

F2) Recycling Request For Proposals (Files CK. 7830-5 and WT. 7832-19)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that Administration negotiate the terms for an Agreement for Single-Family Residential Curbside Recycling based on the Proposal submitted by Loraas Recycle with the intention of Award of Contract; and
- 2) that, upon settlement of these terms, the Administration bring the Contract forward to City Council for approval.

# **BACKGROUND**

At its November 7, 2011 meeting, City Council directed Administration to release two (2) Recycling Request for Proposals (RFPs) for Single-Family Residential Curbside Recycling Services. One RFP addressed the Collections of materials while the other dealt with Process and Marketing.

The RFPs were issued on November 28, 2011. An Introductory Project Meeting was held on December 14, 2011. Four Addendum(s) were issued in response to questions received from bidders with the deadline for closing the competition extended three (3) weeks to February 23, 2012.

#### **REPORT**

The RFPs were written to maximize flexibility such that both competition and innovation from industry may be the focus rather than prescriptive terms. The RFPs contained only three (3) Mandatory Requirements: (1) the submission must be received on time; (2) the bidder must include an executed Consent of Surety; and (3) the proposal must include pricing for the provision of unsorted fibre to Cosmopolitan Industries.

This approach to procurement of services was successful in attracting eleven (11) submissions. Proponents provided responses to the Collections RFP (6 submissions), the Processing and Marketing RFP (4 submissions), and also submitted combined (or whole program) proposals (1 submission). Some proponents provided multiple proposals, which was allowed by the RFP. Proposals were received from the following proponents:

Cosmopolitan Industries Ltd.
Emterra Environmental (Halton Recycling Ltd. dba Emterra Environmental)
Loraas Recycle (Loraas Disposal Services Ltd.)
R. Latimer
Waste Management of Canada Corporation

The Evaluation Committee was comprised of Jeff Jorgenson (General Manager, Utility Services), Brenda Wallace (Manager, Environmental Services), Linda Andal (Financial Policy and Strategy Analyst, Corporate Services), and John Smith (exp Services Inc, Consultants). Ian Weimer of Garman, Weimer & Associates also participated as a probity auditor.

The evaluation process included the steps as outlined in RFPs #11-1040 and #11-1045 for Residential Single-Family Curbside Recycling, and reports provided to City Council.

- Compliance with Mandatory Requirements was confirmed.
- All Financial Submissions were kept by Purchasing until completion of the technical evaluation by the Evaluation Committee.
- The Evaluation Committee reviewed and scored each individual Technical Submission in accordance with the points matrix provided in the RFPs.

<b>Evaluation Criteria for Collections Technical Submissions</b>	Maximum Available
	Points
EFFICIENCY: Management and Track Record	10 points
EFFICIENCY: Quality Control/Quality Assurance	5 points
EFFICIENCY: Communication Plan	5 points
EFFICIENCY: Reporting	5 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Economic Viability	15 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Environmental Impact	2 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Participation	15 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Implementation	1 point
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Interruption/Contingency	1 point
Plan	
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Range of Materials	2 points
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Material Capture	4 points
TOTAL	65 points

<b>Evaluation Criteria for Processing and Marketing Technical</b>	Maximum Available
Submissions	<b>Points</b>
EFFICIENCY: Management and Track Record	10 points
EFFICIENCY: Quality Control/Quality Assurance	5 points
EFFICIENCY: Communication Plan	5 points
EFFICIENCY: Reporting	5 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Economic Viability	20 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Environmental Impact	2 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Participation	4 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Implementation	1 point
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Interruption/Contingency	1 point
Plan	
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Range of Materials	2 points
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Material Capture	10 points
TOTAL	65 points

- After technical scores were assigned, Financial Submissions were opened and the
  assignment of financial points proceeded based on the formula included in the RFPs,
  namely, the lowest price submission in each category was assigned the maximum points.
  Categories specified in the RFP included commingled collections, commingled
  processing & marketing, multi-stream collections, and multi-stream processing &
  marketing.
- The technical and financial scores were then added together for each bidder such that the highest scoring collections proposal was matched with the highest scoring processing & marketing proposal from each category. There were no orphan proposals (e.g. commingled collections proposal that cannot be matched to a commingled processing proposal).
- At the final stage of the evaluation process, three (3) proposed recycling services were identified: (1) a commingled service; (2) a multi-stream service; and (3) the combined

(or whole program) proposal. The lowest-price service was assigned the new maximum points and the others were assigned points based on the financial formula.

The evaluation process outlined above was detailed in the RFP. The evaluation committee followed the outlined process and selected the preferred proponent accordingly. Administration hired a Probity Auditor to provide expertise and oversight to the entire RFP evaluation process. The Auditor was present throughout the evaluations, and confirmed fairness and compliance with the established evaluation processes. The net result identified the Preferred Proponent(s) based on the highest-scoring proposal.

The Preferred Proponent, Loraas Recycle, had the highest-scoring proposal. Their combined (or whole program) proposal achieved the highest score based on strengths in the areas of economic viability, quality control and assurance, participation (plans for serving citizens), and range of materials. Through every stage of the evaluation process the Loraas Recycling proposal achieved the highest score. This proposal also meets the Affordability Ceiling established for this program.

Upon selection of the Preferred Proponent(s), the RFP process provided the City an opportunity to enter into discussions to settle all terms of the Agreement, based on the Preferred Proponent's Proposal. The City also reserves the right to negotiate changes to the Proposal.

If for any reason the City determines that it is unlikely to reach final agreement with the Preferred Proponent, then the City may terminate the discussions with the Preferred Proponent and invite one of the other Proponents to enter into discussions to reach final agreement for completing the Project.

Administration will begin the negotiations on the terms of the Agreement to settle details, including accountability reporting, based on the principles identified in the Proposal submitted by Loraas Recycle.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The City has identified a budget of \$27,407,140 over the term of the seven-year contract for the collection, processing and marketing of recyclable materials for all single-family dwellings as defined by the RFP.

Affordability Ceiling							
Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7
Annual Total Cost	\$3,800,000	\$3,820,816	\$4,021,059	\$4,209,964	\$4,408,390	\$4,616,817	\$4,835,751

The annual cost to the City provided by the Preferred Proponent is lower than each and every annual cost shown above.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

Environmental impacts will be reported on in subsequent reports outlining program specifics derived from the Agreement.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No.C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following addendum of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated April 13, 2012:

# "F) Recycling Request for Proposals – Additional Detail (File No. CK. 7830-5 and WT. 7832-10-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

#### **REPORT**

This report provides additional detail regarding the Request for Proposal process and results for procurement of recycling services.

#### **Probity Audit**

At its meeting on August 17, 2011, City Council approved the Administration's proposal that one representative from the City's Internal Auditor, Garman, Weimer & Associates Ltd., be included on the Recycling Request for Proposals Evaluation Committee.

Garman, Weimer & Associates Ltd., was engaged in January 2012 to act as the Probity Auditor relating to the Request For Proposals, specifically RFP#11-1045 - Collections and RFP#11-1040 - Processing & Marketing Services, associated with the Single Family Residential Curbside Recycling Program.

A Probity Audit involves ensuring that a complex public sector process, such as procurement, is conducted in a manner that is fair, impartial, accountable and transparent, as well as in the public best interest. The full Audit report is included as Attachment 1. The Auditor found that:

"The procurement process has been conducted in accordance with industry best practices. The outcome has been achieved through processes that are visible, defensible and auditable."

#### **Procurement Process**

The purpose of a Request for Proposal process, as opposed to a fixed-price tender, is to allow proponents to consider various means and solutions to deliver the work requested by the City. By including both quality and cost, the highest scoring proposal is deemed to be the proposal that provides the highest value to the client.

Tenders, in contrast, are used when the final product is defined, and the City is simply seeking to obtain the best price for a defined product.

The procurement process used for recycling services in Saskatoon was the RFP process, with the RFP terms, conditions, and rating criteria approved by Council on November 7, 2011. The cost of the proposal had a total weighting of 35%, and the technical content of the proposal had a total weighting of the remaining 65% of points.

As confirmed in the Probity Audit, Administration evaluated the various proposals per the conditions outlined in the applicable Council reports and RFP documents. The highest scoring proposals in each compatible grouping (single stream individual proposals; dual-stream individual proposals; and combined collection/processing proposal) were, in final ranked order, as follows:

Ranking	Description of Bids	Total RFP Points	Total Cost
#1	Loraas – Combined Proposal –	182.31	\$22.552M
	both collection and processing		
#2	Loraas – Separately Bid	180.33	\$23.208M
	Collections and Processing		
#3	Cosmo/Emterra – Separately	168.10	\$21.510M
	Bid Dual-Stream		

All four evaluators ranked Loraas' processing bid as superior to the Cosmo processing bid. This was a significant factor in the overall results. This technical score carried through the evaluation process, and impacted the final points.

As shown above, Loraas submitted a combined proposal as well as two separate proposals for a single-stream service (one for collections, one for process/marketing). The difference between the two sets of proposals was lower pricing for the combined proposal, and further fortified quality assurance processes. Loraas placed both 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> in the final evaluation, followed by the combination of the dual-stream Cosmo bid for processing and the Emterra bid for collections.

#### City of Saskatoon/Cosmo Contract

The City has a contract with Cosmo industries. This is a 10-year contract that will expire on May 31, 2018. Council has directed the Administration to continue to provide 7,800 tonnes of paper to Cosmo annually, of the same quality and on the same schedule as is currently provided.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

This project will allow the City to help protect, conserve and improve the environment.

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Probity Audit"

*The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:* 

- Margi Corbett, dated April 13, 2012, submitting comments;
- Peter Gerrard, Executive Director, Cosmopolitan Industries, dated April 13, 2012, requesting to speak to Council;
- Ken Gryschuk, dated April 13, 2012, requesting to speak to Council;
- Judy Chiki, dated April 13, 2012, submitting comments;
- Randy McGillivray, dated April 14, 2012, submitting comments;
- Timothy Lowe, dated April 14, 2012, submitting comments;
- Susan Mak, dated April 14, 2012, submitting comments;
- Bernie Holzer, dated April 14, 2012, submitting comments;
- Tyler Boyd, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments;
- Allison Hackinen, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments;
- Les Henry, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments;
- Kevin Acton, Director of Operations, SARCAN Recycling, dated April 13, 2012, submitting comments;
- Aaron Loraas, Vice President, Loraas Disposal Services Ltd., dated April 16, 2012; requesting to speak to Council;
- Jim McClements, dated April 16, 2012, requesting to speak to Council;
- *James Polley, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments;*
- *Marilyn Loken, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments;*
- Glen Reid, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments; and
- Donna Kowalchuk, dated April 16, 2012, submitting comments.

General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson presented his reports and Environmental Services Branch Manager Wallace provided a PowerPoint presentation outlining the RFP process.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Peter Gerrard, Ken Gryschuk, Aaron Loraas, and Jim McClements be heard.

#### CARRIED.

Messrs Gerrard, Gryschuk and Loraas requested to withhold their presentation until after City Council votes on the matter. His Worship the Mayor agreed to deviate from City Council's customary practice for this item only.

Mr. Jim McClements spoke regarding the impact this decision could have on Cosmopolitan Industries and the quality of life of citizens of the community.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

- 1) that Administration negotiate the terms for an Agreement for Single-Family Residential Curbside Recycling based on the Proposal submitted by Loraas Recycle with the intention of Award of Contract; and
- 2) that, upon settlement of these terms, the Administration bring the Contract forward to City Council for approval.

#### **IN AMENDMENT**

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the following recommendation be added:

- that the City honour its "no harm" clause to Cosmopolitan Industries by continuing to provide no less than 7800 tonnes of unsorted glass-free paper annually to Cosmopolitan Industries for the life term of this contract by entering into formal discussions and negotiations for such paper to be sourced from a combination of paper from multi-family residences, the paper available at the existing depots and the City's own operationally generated recyclable waste paper; and
- 4) that the Administration report to Council, by no later than May 28th, regarding the City entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with Cosmopolitan Industries to be the service provider for recyclables generated by a City program from multifamily units not under contract with other waste haulers.

# THE AMENDMENT WAS PUT AND CARRIED. THE MAIN MOTION AS AMENDED WAS PUT AND CARRIED.

Mr. Peter Gerrard, Executive Director, Cosmopolitan Industries, expressed appreciation to Council for its decision stating that this allows Cosmopolitan Industries to grow with city growth.

Mr. Ken Gryschuk expressed appreciation to Council and showed a video portraying Cosmopolitan's "paper angels".

Mr. Aaron Loraas, Vice President, Loraas Disposal Services Ltd., indicated that he has no further comments at this time.

# **COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

#### B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

# 1) Dee Hobsbawn-Smith, dated March 9

Commenting on Mayor's Poetry City Challenge. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 2) Tammy Bryant, Manager, Financial Services, SUMA, dated March 26

Submitting revised 2012 membership invoice. (File No. CK. 155-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the revised invoice be paid.

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the revised invoice be paid.

CARRIED.

# 3) Brad Sylvester, Chair, Official Ceremonies, Optimist Canada Day 2012, dated March 26

Requesting an extension to the Noise Bylaw until 11:15 p.m. on July 1<sup>st</sup>; exemption from bylaw pertaining to park access until 12:30 a.m. on July 2<sup>nd</sup>; continued support from Saskatoon Police Services and Fire and Protective Services; and requesting transit services for the Optimist Canada Day 2012 event, in Diefenbaker Park, on July 1, 2012.

that the request for an extension of the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw, until 11:15 p.m. on July 1<sup>st</sup>, be referred to the Administration for a report; and

2) that park access and support from Transit Services, Saskatoon Police Services, and Fire and Protective Services be approved, subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- 1) that the request for an extension of the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw, until 11:15 p.m. on July 1<sup>st</sup>, be referred to the Administration for a report; and
- 2) that park access and support from Transit Services, Saskatoon Police Services, and Fire and Protective Services be approved, subject to any administrative conditions.

#### CARRIED.

# 4) <u>Diane Berg and Dennis Moffat, Brubyen Lodge 4-576, undated</u>

Requesting to hold a flag raising on May 17, 2012, celebrating Syttende Mai – Norway's Constitution Day. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

that the request for a flag raising on May 17, 2012, celebrating Syttende Mai – Norway's Constitution Day be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the request for a flag raising on May 17, 2012, celebrating Syttende Mai – Norway's Constitution Day be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

#### CARRIED.

# 5) Angela Wallman, Finance & Personnel Officer, Tourism Saskatoon, dated March 29

Submitting a copy of Tourism Saskatoon's audited financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2011.

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 6) Kristy Rempel, Children's Wish Foundation of Canada, dated April 2

Requesting a temporary street closure on Central Avenue from 108th Street to 112th Street on Sunday, August 26, 2012 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for Children's Wish Foundation event - Rayna's Day of Play. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the request for a temporary street closure on Central Avenue

from 108th Street to 112th Street on Sunday, August 26, 2012 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for Children's Wish Foundation event - Rayna's Day of Play, be approved subject to administrative

conditions.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the request for a temporary street closure on Central Avenue from 108th Street to 112th Street on Sunday, August 26, 2012 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for Children's Wish Foundation event - Rayna's Day of Play, be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

#### 7) Paul Seewalt, dated April 9

Commenting on fluoride removal from Saskatoon's water supply. (File No. CK. 7920-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

1)

# 8) Nowshad Ali, President, Saskatoon Fireworks Festival Inc., dated April 10

Requesting permission to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Friday, August 31, 2012 and Saturday, September 1, 2012, until 11:45 p.m., and requesting closure of the Broadway Bridge on Friday, August 31, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. and on Saturday, September 1, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. for the PotashCorp Fireworks Festival to be held at River Landing and Rotary Park. (File No. CK. 205-1)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- that the request to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Friday, August 31 and Saturday, September 1, 2012, until 11:45 p.m. for the PotashCorp Fireworks Festival to be held at River Landing and Rotary Park be referred to the Administration for a report; and
- 2) that the request for closure of Broadway Bridge on Friday, August 31, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. and on Saturday, September 1, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. for the PotashCorp Fireworks Festival to be held at River Landing and Rotary Park be approved subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- 1) that the request to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Friday, August 31 and Saturday, September 1, 2012, until 11:45 p.m. for the PotashCorp Fireworks Festival to be held at River Landing and Rotary Park be referred to the Administration for a report; and
- 2) that the request for closure of Broadway Bridge on Friday, August 31, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. and on Saturday, September 1, 2012 from 7 p.m. to 11 p.m. for the PotashCorp Fireworks Festival to be held at River Landing and Rotary Park be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

#### 9) Raeanne Van Beek, Art in the Park Committee, dated April 10

Requesting permission to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, June 24, 2012, from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m. for the Caswell Community Association 11<sup>th</sup> annual arts festival, Art in the Park, at Ashworth Holmes Park. (File No. CK. 185-9)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the request to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, June 24, 2012, from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m. for the Caswell Community Association 11<sup>th</sup> Annual Art in the Park festival, at Ashworth Holmes Park be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the request to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, June 24, 2012, from 11 a.m. until 5 p.m. for the Caswell Community Association 11<sup>th</sup> Annual Art in the Park festival, at Ashworth Holmes Park be granted.

CARRIED.

#### 10) Heather Hails, Secretary, Credit Union Centre, dated March 29

Advising of Annual Members' Meeting of the Saskatchewan Place Association Inc., to be held on Wednesday, May 2, 2012, at 4 p.m. (File No. CK. 175-31)

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

that the City of Saskatoon, being a member of the Credit Union Centre, appoint Donald Atchison, or in his absence, Councillors Heidt or Hill of the City of Saskatoon, in the Province of Saskatchewan, as its proxy to vote for it on its behalf at the Annual General Meeting of the members of the Credit Union Centre, to be held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May, 2012, or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the City of Saskatoon, being a member of the Credit Union Centre, appoint Donald Atchison, or in his absence, Councillors Heidt or Hill of the City of Saskatoon, in the Province of Saskatchewan, as its proxy to vote for it on its behalf at the Annual General Meeting of the members of the Credit Union Centre, to be held on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May, 2012, or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof.

# 11) Shellie Bryant, Secretary, Development Appeals Board, dated April 2

Submitting Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at  $716 - 10^{th}$  Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 12) Shellie Bryant, Secretary, Development Appeals Board, dated April 10

Submitting Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at  $619 - 3^{rd}$  Avenue North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

#### C. <u>ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION</u>

#### 1) Andrew Whiting, Senior Interpreter, Meewasin Valley Authority, dated March 20

Requesting Noise Bylaw extensions for Meewasin – PotashCorp River Cinema. (File No. CK. 185-9) (**Referred to Administration for a report.**)

#### 2) Neil Miller, dated March 21

Commenting on the use of tasers by Saskatoon Police Services. (File No. CK. 5000-1) (**Referred to Board of Police Commissioners.**)

# 3) Nathan Hyde, dated March 21

Commenting on longboarding on city streets. (File No. CK. 5200-4) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 4) Minh Huynh, dated March 23

Commenting on attempts to seek employment as transit driver. (File No. CK. 7300-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# 5) <u>Don Shepherdson, dated March 25</u>

Requesting information regarding sound attenuation walls in Mount Royal neighbourhood. (File No. CK. 375-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 6) Dean Mario, March 26

Commenting on public accounts. (File No. CK. 4670-1) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

#### 7) Carl Lessard, dated March 29

Requesting financial assistance for the 5<sup>th</sup> National Family Conference of Canadian Association of Williams Syndrome. (File No. CK 1870-1) (**Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 8) <u>Laureen DeBusschere, Canadian Women's Foundation, dated March 29</u>

Providing information and suggesting participation on Empower Hour. (File No. CK. 205-5) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

# 9) Vasanth Iynkaran, dated March 28

Commenting on parking in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 6120-3) (Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)

# 10) Meahgan Sweet, dated March 30

Commenting on community gardens on vacant lots. (File No. CK. 4110-45) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# 11) Norman McKay, dated April 2

Commenting on Tim Horton's Drive-Thru traffic concerns. (File No. CK. 6320-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 12) <u>Doreen McLellan, dated April 2</u>

Commenting on intoxicated patrons at Credit Union Centre events. (File No. CK. 150-1) (Referred to the Administration for consideration.)

#### 13) V. Romancia, dated March 20

Commenting on red light cameras for 8<sup>th</sup> Street at Clarence Avenue. (File No. CK. 5300-8) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 14) V. Romancia, dated March 20

Commenting on yield signs for 2200-2300 block McKinnon Avenue at Hilliard Street. (File No. CK. 6280-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 15) Randy McGillivray, dated April 2

Commenting on proposed shopping mall in Stonebridge. (File No. CK. 4351-012-3) (**Referred to the Administration to include with file.**)

#### 16) Marylyn Wohl, dated April 3

Commenting on power outages in Confederation Park area. (File No. CK. 2000-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# 17) Sheri Ebert, dated April 5

Requesting a left turn arrow southbound onto Acadia Drive from 8<sup>th</sup> Street. (File No. CK. 6250-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# 18) James T.D. Scott, dated April 2

Requesting sidewalk repair at  $211 - 33^{rd}$  Street West. (File No. CK. 6220-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

#### 19) Ken Jackle, dated April 9

Requesting left turn arrow southbound Arlington Avenue/Taylor Street intersection. (File No. CK. 6250-1) (Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)

#### 20) Anita Hrytsak, dated April 10

Commenting on pothole repair and street maintenance. (File No. CK. 6315-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# 21) Murray Long, Director, Self-Government, Whitecap Dakota First Nation, dated April 10

Requesting an extension of time under the Noise Bylaw for the Bicentennial Commemoration Launch on June 17, 2012. (File No. CK. 185-9) (Referred to the Administration for a report.)

# 22) <u>Lorene Turner, dated April 11</u>

Commenting on meat sold within city limits. (File No. CK. 185-1) (**Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.**)

# **RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

### D. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Michelle Dayman, Governor - Membership Committee, The Institute of Internal Auditors, Saskatchewan Chapter, dated April 2

Requesting City Council proclaim May 2012 as Internal Auditor Awareness Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) <u>Vicki Corbin, Chair, 2012 Leave a Legacy<sup>TM</sup> Saskatoon, dated April 4</u>

Requesting City Council proclaim May 2012 as Leave a Legacy Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Roger J. Carver, Executive Director, Saskatchewan Deaf and Hard of Hearing Services, dated April 3

Requesting City Council proclaim May 2012 as Speech and Hearing Awareness Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- **RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section D; and
  - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section D; and
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

# **ENQUIRIES**

Councillor C. Clark Shipping Containers in Residential Communities (File No. CK. 4350-1)

Would the Administration please report to City Council on possible changes to the Zoning Bylaw to establish reasonable limits for the amount of time a shipping container being used for construction can remain on a property. The current wording ties the shipping container permit to the building permit and there is no time limit on a building permit, so shipping containers can remain in neighbourhoods indefinitely.

Councillor D. Hill Newspaper Vending Machines Policy (File No. CK. 300-0-1)

Would the Administration please provide a status report on newspaper vending machines policy. Please include details on rates and consultations with residential neighbourhoods.

Councillor Paulsen Food Truck Policy (File No. CK. 317-1)

Could the Administration please report on the possibility of creating a food truck policy. If the Administration is going to create a policy allowing for food trucks please consider, *inter alia*, not allowing trucks to park in residential areas overnight. Learning from previous experience, most cities welcome diversity in food choices in commercial areas however residential areas do not welcome these trucks being parking in front of their homes each night, creating problems such as:

- returning late at night, being washed and loaded for next day generating noise/disruptions for neighbours
- being parked on street in front of neighbours' house (some operators have many trucks)
- employees showing up at owner's residence and parking on street
- trucks idling in front of residences (in colder months)
- use of residential waste bins for business related activity
- using residential garages as warehousing of products (generating truck deliveries/loading issues increased traffic).

# **INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

#### **Bylaw 9014**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9014, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2012 (No. 5)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9014 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9014.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9014 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9014 read a third time at this meeting.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 9014 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

# **Bylaw 9015**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9015, being "The Capital Reserve Amendment Bylaw, 2012 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9015 be now read a second time.

# CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9015.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9015 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9015 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 9015 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

#### **Bylaw 9016**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9016, being "The Business Improvement Districts Levy Bylaw, 2012" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9016 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9016.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9016 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9016 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 9016 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

### **Bylaw 9017**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9017, being "The School Division Property Tax Bylaw, 2012" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9017 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9017.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9017 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9017 read a third time at this meeting.

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 9017 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

#### CARRIED.

# **Bylaw 9018**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9018, being "The Saskatoon Property Tax Bylaw, 2012" and to give same its first reading.

#### CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9018 be now read a second time.

# CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9018.

#### CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Hill in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Hill, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9018 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9018 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 9018 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

#### COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED

#### A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

#### 1) Marion Mutala and Wes Funk, undated

Requesting permission to read poems in recognition of National Poetry Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Marion Mutala and Wes Funk be heard.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Marion Mutala and Wes Funk be heard.

Ms. Marion Mutala advised that Mr. Funk was not able to stay. Ms. Mutala recited a poem entitled "Call it What You Will".

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

# 2) Lynn Hainsworth, Saskatchewan EcoNetwork, dated April 2

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Environmental Film Festival on Earth Day Weekend April 20-22, 2012. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Lynn Hainsworth be heard.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Lynn Hainsworth be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Tom Morgan, Saskatchewan EcoNetwork, provided information on the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Environmental Film Festival on behalf of Ms. Hainsworth. He provided Council with an invitation to the Festival.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

The meeting adjourned at 10:25 p.m.	
Mayor	City Clerk