**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

Date: May 24, 2017

 Location: Committee Room E

City Hall

 Session: 9:00 a.m.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Asit Sarkar, Panel Chair

 Mr. Colin Butler, Board Member

 Mr. Marvin Dutton, Board Member

 Ms. Joyce Fast, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The Appellant was advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including Appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 589-2017**

**Civic Address: 2920 33rd Street West**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 119020856**

**Roll No. 474615640**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Mr. Javed Syed

Mr. Blair Nicolichuk (Advocate)

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr. Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation (Advocate)

Ms. Jenny Foss, Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Grounds and Issues

The appeal was based on the following:

1. A discrepancy between the actual square footage of the property and the square footage used in the assessment.

2. An adjustment was required for the property’s Stroll location.

3. The need for a recalculation of the assessment.

## Exhibits

A.1 Notice of Appeal from Javed Syed to the Board of Revision, received March 10, 2017

A.2 Appellant’s submission to the Board of Revision, received May 18, 2017

C(A)1 Confidential submission to the Board of Revision, received May 18, 2017

R.1 2017 Assessment submitted by the City Assessor titled “Semi-detached Two

Title Response,” received May 12, 2017

C(R)1 Confidential Appeal Response, 2017 Assessment, received May 12, 2017

Supplementary Notations

Ms. Jenny Foss and the Appellant, Mr. Javed Syed, affirmed that any evidence given during the course of the hearing would be the truth.

Orders for Confidentiality were signed by the Panel Chair with regard to:

* Exhibit C(A)1 – Confidential submission to the Board of Revision, received May 18, 2017; and
* Exhibit C(R)1 – Confidential Appeal Response – 2017 Assessment, received May 12, 2017.

The Appellant requested an adjournment of a week or two as he just got into Saskatoon last night. After a five minute recess, the Panel refused the request on the basis that the Appellant had had more than a reasonable amount of time to prepare for the appeal. The Appellant agreed to continue the appeal process.

This section should identify who took an oath or affirmed, i.e. *Mr. Smith affirmed that any evidence given in this hearing would be the truth.* Also record any “unusual” or “significant” happenings in the appeal hearing in this section.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated in the Record of Decision dated June 8, 2017, the assessment was sustained and the appeal denied.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearing held on May 24, 2017.

 J. Fast, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision