**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

Date: May 17, 2017

 Location: Council Chamber

City Hall

 Session: 1 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Adrian Deschamps, Chair

 Dr. Colin Butler, Board Member

 Ms. Ling Ma, Board Member

 Ms. Joyce Fast, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The Appellant was advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including Appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 201-2017**

**Civic Address: 305 11th Street East**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 120138139**

**Roll No. 515005890**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Mr. Patrick Casey

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr. Travis Horne, Assessment Manager, Assessment and Taxation (Advocate)

Mr. Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Ms. JoAnn Baraniecki, Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Grounds and Issues

Slope failure on 11th Street East dramatically reduced value.

## Exhibits

Exhibit A.1: Notice of Appeal from Patrick Casey, received March 9, 2017.

Exhibit R.1: 2017 Assessment titled “Single Family Market Area 5 Response” by the City Assessor, received May 8, 2017.

Exhibit C(R)1: Confidential Document-2017 Assessment titled “Confidential Appeal Response” submitted by the City Assessor, received May 8, 2017.

Supplementary Notations

Assessor Baraniecki and the Appellant, Patrick Casey, affirmed that evidence given during the course of the hearing would be the truth.

An Order for Confidentiality was signed by the Chair with regard to Exhibit C(R)1 – Confidential Document-2017 Assessment titled “Confidential Appeal Response”.

This section should identify who took an oath or affirmed, i.e. *Mr. Smith affirmed that any evidence given in this hearing would be the truth.* Also record any “unusual” or “significant” happenings in the appeal hearing in this section.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated in the Record of Decision dated August 11, 2017, the Panel ruled that the assessment be remitted back to the Assessor for recalculation so that the Assessor may resolve the deficiencies in the equity requirements of the assessment in such ways that meet the legislative requirements and are practical, noting that the selection of the properties deemed comparable in this assessment are inappropriate, and that a lack of data centered on the slope failure of significance to this property must be addressed.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearing held on May 17, 2017.

 J. Fast, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision