**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

 Date: June 6, 2017

 Location: Council Chambers

 Session: 1:00 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Adrian Deschamps, Panel Chair

 Ms. Lois Lamon, Board Member

 Dr. Colin Butler, Board Member

 Ms. Penny Walter, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The appellants were advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 170-2017**

**Civic Address: 725 4th Avenue North**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 120174803**

**Roll No. 404907000**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Ms. Helen Margaret Bauch

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr.Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Ms. Jenny Foss, Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Grounds and Issues

The Appellant outlined four discrete grounds for her appeal.

* + 1. Unrealistic and inappropriate comparables.
		2. Location concerns over the proximity to apartment buildings.
		3. The effect of zoning changes on assessment value.
		4. The sum of the location and zoning concerns.

## Exhibits

A.1 Notice of Appeal from Clay Sumner to the Board of Revision, received March 8, 2017

R.1 2017 Assessment submitted by the City Assessor titled “Single Family Market Area 5 Response”, received May 29, 2017.

CR.1 Confidential report submitted by the City Assessor titled “Confidential Appeal Response”, received May 29, 2017

Supplementary Notations

All giving testimony affirmed to tell the truth at the commencement of the hearings.

The Advocate acknowledged the Appellant’s allegation that the assessment erred in assessing the property as if it had a finished basement. An inspection confirmed the lack of basement finish and the assessment is to be adjusted accordingly. The Appellant noted that the appeal should continue as her case justified a further deduction. An order of confidentiality was issued to cover the contents of CR.1.

Conclusion

For the reasons given in the Record of Decision dated June 23, 2017 the appeal is dismissed and the filing fee is retained.

The hearings concluded at 1:54 p.m.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearings held on June 6, 2017.

 Penny Walter, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision