

Council Chamber  
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.  
Monday, May 12, 1997  
at 7:00 p.m.

## **MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**PRESENT:** His Worship Mayor Dayday in the Chair;  
Councillors Atchison, Heidt, Langford, Langlois, McCann,  
Postlethwaite, Steernberg and Waygood;  
City Commissioner Irwin;  
City Solicitor Dust;  
City Clerk Mann;  
A/City Councillor's Assistant Holmstrom

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on April 28, 1997, be approved.*

*CARRIED.*

### **COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

**A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL**

**1) Joan Steckhan, Director, Finance and Administration  
Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority, dated May 1**

Requesting permission for John Hyshka to address Council to present the 1996 Economic Situation Report. (File No. CK 3500-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that John Hyshka be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT Mr. Hyshka be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 2**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 3**

*Mr. Hyshka, Director of Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority, highlighted the 1996 Economic Situation Report that was presented to City Council.*

*Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Ken Redekopp, Acting Chair, Community Help Station Board of Directors  
Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated May 6**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding funding for a Community Help Station to replace the Community Police Station. (File No. CK. 1704)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Mr. Redekopp be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

*THAT Mr. Redekopp be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Redekopp, Acting Chair, Community Help Station Board of Directors, Riversdale Business Improvement District, spoke of the loss of the Community Police Station. He indicated that the neighbourhood is organizing to establish a "Community Help Station" and requested funding from City Council.*

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 4**

**3) Norman Rebin, dated May 8**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study. (File No. CK. 4353-2-4)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clause 2, Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward for consideration and that Mr. Rebin be heard.

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,*

*THAT Clause 2, Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward for consideration and that Mr. Rebin be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“REPORT NO. 10-1997 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

**2. Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study -  
Land Use Policy District Amendments  
(File No. CK. 4353-2-4)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to:
  - a) amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan to include amended Land Use Policy Plan Districts for the Riversdale Study Area as designated on revised Map 1 dated May 1, 1997;
  - b) amend City of Saskatoon Development Plan Policy Plan Map 1 - Land Use Bylaw 6771 as required to reflect the Riversdale Land Use Districts as designated on revised Map 1 dated May 1, 1997; and
  - c) amend the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw to the zoning districts shown on revised May 2 dated May 1, 1997;
- 2) that the General Manager of the Planning and Building Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 5**

- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaws; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing Council consider the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendation that the amendments, as outlined in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Building Department dated April 23, 1997, regarding a proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw to include amended Land Use Policy Districts, as shown on Map 1 and to rezone the lands with the changes proposed shown on Map 2 for the Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Study Area.

Your Commission reviewed the report with the Administration. In addition, your Commission heard presentations from residents in the area who expressed concerns regarding a couple of areas. In response to the concerns raised, your Commission requested the Planning and Building Department to bring forward possible revisions for these areas.

Your Commission, at a subsequent meeting, considered the original proposal, as well as the Revised Maps 1 and 2 dated May 1, 1997, copies attached, which were provided by the Planning and Building Department. It was concluded that the revisions made to address the concerns raised were acceptable. Your Commission, therefore, supports the proposed amendments as shown on revised Maps 1 and 2 dated May 1, 1997.

Attached are copies of the following communications which were received by your Commission:

- Letter dated April 22, 1997 from Mr. Ken Redekopp, Vice President, Riversdale Community and School Association Inc.;
- Letter dated April 23, 1997 from Mr. Don Junor, Administrator, Riversdale Business Improvement District; and
- Letter dated April, 1997 from Mr. Jim Bazin, Riversdale Coalition.”

*Mr. Rebin was not in attendance.*

*Moved by Councillor Waygood, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED**

4) **Ed Hrytsak, President**  
**Riversdale Coalition (R.O.C.), dated May 12**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study. (File No. CK. 4353-2-4)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Mr. Hrytsak be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT Mr. Hrytsak be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Ed Hrytsak, President, Riversdale Owners Coalition (R.O.C.), generally spoke in favour of the proposed Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study - Land Use Policy District Amendments. Mr. Hrytsak suggested one small change, that the area between 20<sup>th</sup> Street and 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, north of Princess Alexandra School be changed from RM1 to RM2A*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

5) **Dr. Elmer Scheltgen**  
**511 Avenue D South, dated May 12**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study. (File No. CK. 4353-2-4)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Dr. Scheltgen be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT Dr. Scheltgen be heard.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 7**

*CARRIED.*

*Dr. Scheltgen requested that the property at 509/511 Avenue D South be retained as RM3 or rezoned to R4. Dr. Scheltgen included a petition from nine properties requesting that the present zoning be maintained.*

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,*

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to:
  - a) amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan to include amended Land Use Policy Plan Districts for the Riversdale Study Area as designated on revised Map 1 dated May 1, 1997;*
  - b) amend City of Saskatoon Development Plan Policy Plan Map 1 - Land Use Bylaw 6771 as required to reflect the Riversdale Land Use Districts as designated on revised Map 1 dated May 1, 1997; and*
  - c) amend the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw to the zoning districts shown on revised Map 2 dated May 1, 1997;**
- 2) that the General Manager of the Planning and Building Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;*
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaws; and*
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing Council consider the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendation that the amendments, as outlined in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 8**

**6) Dale G. Linn, Q.C.  
MacDermid LaMarsh, dated May 12**

Requesting permission for representatives of the Saskatoon Auction Mart to address Council regarding the proposed Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendments to implement an Environmental Industrial Park at 4000 block Thatcher Avenue and Neepawa Street. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Clause 3, Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission and Item AA.19 of Communications be brought forward for consideration and that Saskatoon Auction Mart representatives be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT Clause 3, Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission and Item AA.19 of Communications be brought forward for consideration and that Saskatoon Auction Mart representatives be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“REPORT NO. 10-1997 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

**3. Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendments  
To Implement an Environmental Industrial Park  
4000 Block Thatcher Avenue and Neepawa Street  
(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the text and map of the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Bylaw No. 6771 as noted in the report of the Planning and Building Department;
- 2) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 as noted in the report of the Planning and Building Department;
- 3) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed amendments;



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 9**

- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaws; and
- 5) that at the time of the public hearing City Council consider the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendation that the amendments be approved.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Building Department dated April 8, 1997, proposing a policy amendment to the City of Saskatoon Development Plan text to define a new class of industrial land, to designate an Environmental Industrial Park (EIP) on Policy Plan Map 1 - Land Use and to adopt an EIP zoning district.

In addition, attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Building Department dated April 29, 1997 which separates out the recommendations for the Zoning Bylaw and Development Plan Amendments and provides for existing Industrial designations and zones.

A report prepared by Delcan Western Ltd. entitled "Development Assessment of a Proposed Saskatoon Environmental Industrial Park" was circulated to Commission members and is available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

Your Commission has reviewed the above-noted reports with the Administration and has heard presentations from owners of businesses located within the proposed EIP area.

Your Commission supports the proposal for an Environmental Industrial Park and is recommending approval of the amendments to the Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw to implement this.

**AA19) Rod Vogelsang, General Manager  
Farm & Garden Centre of Saskatoon Ltd., dated May 9**

Submitting comments regarding the proposed Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendments to implement an Environmental Industrial Park at 4000 block Thatcher Avenue and Neepawa Street. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Item A.6 of Communications."

*Mr. Linn, representing Saskatoon Auction Mart, requested that Council defer the matter until discussions can be held with Corman Park.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 10**

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT the Item AA. 24 of Communications be brought forward for consideration.*

*CARRIED.*

**“AA24) Ed Hobday, Reeve  
Rural Municipality of Corman Park No. 344, dated May 12**

Submitting comments regarding the Proposed Amendments to the Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw to Implement an Environmental Industrial Park. (File No. CK.)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Item A.6 of Communications.”

*Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to pursue the concerns expressed by existing businesses in the area regarding the location of the proposed Environmental Industrial Park.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 11**

**COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED**

- 7) **Robert Freberg, President**  
**Brigadier Security Systems Ltd., dated May 9**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the Proposed False Alarm Fees Bylaw, 1997.  
(File No. CK. 185-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Items AA.11 and AA. 23 of Communications be brought forward for consideration and that Mr. Freberg be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT Items AA.11 and AA.23 of Communications be brought forward for consideration and that Mr. Freberg be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

- “11) **Theresa Dust, Q.C.**  
**City Solicitor, dated May 7**

Submitting The False Alarm Fees Bylaw, 1997 for Council’s consideration. (File No. CK. 185-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council consider Bylaw No. 7604, “The False Alarm Fees Bylaw, 1997.

- 23) **Robin Daneff, Branch Manager**  
**ADT Security Systems, dated May 12**

Submitting comments regarding the proposed False Alarm Fees Bylaw. (File No. CK. 185-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Item A.7 of Communications.”

*Mr. Freberg, President, Brigadier Security Systems Ltd., spoke against the proposed False Alarm Fees Bylaw.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 12**

**COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED**

**8) Margaret Walker  
18 Cassino Place, dated May 12**

Requesting permission to address Council regarding the Proposed False Alarm Fees Bylaw, 1997.  
(File No. CK. 185-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Ms. Walker be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT Ms. Walker be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Ms. Walker indicated that as a security system user she had not been involved or consulted in the establishment of the proposed bylaw and she is against the implementation of false alarm fees.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT Mr. Velonas, Canguard Security System be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Paul Velonas raised concerns regarding the proposed False Alarm Fees Bylaw.*

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 13**

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*That Clause 7 of proposed Bylaw No. 7604 be changed to read:*

*An owner who reports the activation of an alarm system to the Police Service using the 1-900 telephone alarm line shall pay a fee of \$50.00 plus applicable taxes for each call.*

**THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.**

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*That Clause 9 (1) of proposed Bylaw No. 7604 be changed to read:*

*The telephone company shall remit the fees paid by the owner to the City of Saskatoon.*

**THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.**

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*That Clause 10 (1) of proposed Bylaw No. 7604 be changed to read:*

*The Police Service shall refund the full fee of \$50.00 to the alarm business if the request for service by the alarm business was the result of a valid alarm.*

**THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.**

*Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT Clause 4 of proposed Bylaw No. 7604 be changed to read:*

*That the Board of Police Commissioners may establish an alarm business response service which may include the implementation of a 1-900 telephone alarm line.*

**THE MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 14**

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 7604, as amended.*

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Postlethwaite, Langford  
McCann and Waygood 5*

*NAYS: Councillors, Langlois, Heidt, Steernberg and Atchison 4*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite , Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended and Bylaw No. 7604, as amended, be brought forward for consideration.*

*CARRIED.*

**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw No. 7604**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7604, being "*The False Alarm Fees Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 15**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,  
THAT Bylaw No. 7604 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,  
THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7604.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.  
Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7604 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,  
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 16**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7604 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7604 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite , Seconded by Councillor Langford,*

*THAT the regular Order of Business be suspended and Item B.1 of Communications be brought forward for consideration and that Ms. Tatomir be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

**“B1) Evelyn Tatomir, President  
Saskatchewan Voice of People with Disabilities, Saskatoon Chapter, dated May 1**

Submitting a letter regarding special needs transportation system in the City of Saskatoon.  
**Referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.** (File No. CK. 7305-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.”

*Ms. Evelyn Tatomir spoke in favour of a Special Needs Transit System.*

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT the information be referred to the Planning and Operation Committee.*

*CARRIED.*



**COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED**

**AA. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

**1) James W. Knight, Executive Director  
Federation of Canadian Municipalities, dated April 18**

Submitting a letter acknowledging receipt of membership fees for the fiscal year 1997-98 and giving a brief overview of the activities of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities in the 1996-97 year (File No. CK. 155-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Members, Riversdale Community and School Association  
210 Avenue H South, dated April 20**

Submitting a letter expressing support for an Urban First Nation Traditional Healing Centre and asking Council for its support by granting the request for a city-owned building. (File No. CK. 100 -10)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and joined to the file.

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received and joined to the file.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 18**

**3) Bryon Wilfert, A.M.C.T. (A), President  
Federation of Canadian Municipalities, dated April 22**

Submitting a letter reporting on activities over the past few months as President of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities. (File No. CK. 155-2)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**4) Robin Mitchell, President  
Saskatoon Teachers' Association, dated April 25**

Submitting comments regarding Council's decision to deny funding for the Police Service. (File No. CK. 1704)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 19**

**5) Teagan Burke  
363 Girgulis Crescent, dated May 1**

Submitting comments regarding Council's decision to deny funding for the Police Service and their involvement in the Safety Patrol Program. (File No. CK. 1704)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Langford, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**6) Dale Farrell, Secretary  
Local 80 - International Association of Fire Fighters, dated April 24**

Advising that a request has been made for the appointment of a representative to the Board of Arbitration as provided for in Section 9, (7) of the *Fire Department Platoon Act*. (File No. CK. 4720-7)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the matter be referred to an In-Camera Meeting of the Administration and Finance Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 20**

**7) R.A. Monson, Superintendent of the Church School  
Third Avenue United Church, dated April 25**

Requesting permission for temporary closure of 24<sup>th</sup> Street between Third and Fourth Avenues, for use of the City Hall Park across from the church, and for the sprinklers to be shut off from 11:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. on Sunday, June 8, 1997, for the annual Church School Picnic. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the request be approved subject to Administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

**8) Ken Wood, General Manager  
Saskatchewan Place, dated May 1**

Requesting authorization for Saskatchewan Place to fund the replacement for a non-functioning fire alarm panel and 350 portable chairs from its Capital Replacement Reserve: (File No. CK. 1702-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Saskatchewan Place be authorized to fund the fire alarm panel and chairs from its Capital Replacement Reserve in the amount of \$48,752.00.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT Saskatchewan Place be authorized to fund the fire alarm panel and chairs from its Capital Replacement Reserve in the amount of \$48,752.00.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 21**

**9) Barry J.M. Prokop  
310 Laval Crescent, dated May 6**

Expressing concerns regarding the Zoning Bylaw and detailing events pertaining to an application for a detached garage. (File No. CK. 4110-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the matter be referred to the Planning and Building Department to review when considering future amendments to the Zoning Bylaw.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**10) Terry Fenton, Director  
The Mendel Art Gallery, dated May 7**

Responding to questions regarding The Mendel Art Gallery's attendance which were requested by Mr. Edward M. Wesolowski at City Council's meeting of April 28. (File No. CK. 153-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and forwarded to Mr. Wesolowski

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received and forwarded to Mr. Wesolowski.*

*CARRIED.*

**11) Theresa Dust, Q.C.  
City Solicitor, dated May 7**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 10.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 22**

**12) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 24**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding construction of a new one-unit dwelling (site area deficiency) at 714-7<sup>th</sup> Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**13) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 24**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding alterations to existing one-unit dwelling (with west side yard set back deficiency) at 602 Queen Street. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**14) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 24**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding construction of awning/breezeway addition to connect existing detached garage to existing one-unit dwelling at 537 Guelph Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**15) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 28**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding proposed additions to automobile dealership building with east side yard deficiency and off-street parking deficiency at 2411-8<sup>th</sup> Street East. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**16) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 30**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding addition to rear of second storey of one-unit dwelling with westerly side yard deficiency at 318-28<sup>th</sup> Street West. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 23**

**17) Marlene Hall, Secretary  
Development Appeals Board, dated April 30**

Submitting Notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing regarding attached garage at front of dwelling with front yard setback deficiency at 270 Churchill Drive. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor McCann,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**18) Aleta Fowler, Civics Director  
North Park/Richmond Heights Community Association Inc., dated May 12**

Submitting endorsement of the Planning and Building Department's Proposed Rezoning by Agreement Ptn. of Parcel M. Block 517, Plan 63-S03501, Applicant Mr. Bob Elliot, Shell Canada Products Ltd. (File No. CK. 4351-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 10-1997 of Municipal Planning Commission.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 10-1997 of Municipal Planning Commission.*

*CARRIED.*

**19) Rod Vogelsang, General Manager  
Farm & Garden Centre of Saskatoon Ltd., dated May 9**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 7.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 24**

**20) Lorraine Ritchie  
Lorraine's Floral Gallery, dated May 9**

Submitting comments regarding Capital Project No. 1539 – Paving - 107<sup>th</sup> Street - East of Central Avenue. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee.

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

**21) Dave Lucyk  
2708 Cumberland Avenue, dated May 7**

Submitting comments regarding Capital Project No. 1539 – Paving - 107<sup>th</sup> Street - East of Central Avenue. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee.

*Moved by Councillor McCann, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,*

*THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee.*

*CARRIED.*



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 25**

**22) Wayne Storozuk, CA, Treasurer  
'97 Westerns Organizing Committee, dated May 9**

Advising Council that he will be available to answer questions that may arise from their application for funding under the Unexpended Youth Sport Subsidy Fund. (File No. CK. 1720-8-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 7-1997 of the Administration and Finance Committee.

*Moved by Councillor Langlois, Seconded by Councillor Postlethwaite,*

*THAT the information be received and considered with Clause 1, Report No. 7-1997 of the Administration and Finance.*

*CARRIED.*

**23) Robin Daneff, Branch Manager  
ADT Security Systems, dated May 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 10.*

**24) Ed Hobday, Reeve  
Rural Municipality of Corman Park No. 344, dated May 12**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 7.*

**B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION**

**1) Evelyn Tatomir, President  
Saskatchewan Voice of People with Disabilities, Saskatoon Chapter, dated May 1**

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 15.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 26**

**C. PROCLAMATIONS**

**1) Michael H. Miller, Administrator  
Saskatoon Food Bank, dated April 25**

Requesting Council to proclaim July 13 to 24, 1997 as The Twelve Days of Christmas in July in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**2) Diane Haugen  
Saskatchewan Dystonia Association, dated April 28**

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of September 14 to 20, 1997 as Dystonia Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**3) Dianne, Wright, Target Population Consultant  
City of Saskatoon, Leisure Services Department, dated May 6**

Requesting Council to proclaim the week of May 26 to June 1, 1997 as National Access Awareness Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
  - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,*

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

**CARRIED.**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 27**

**REPORTS**

Mr. G. Grismer, Chair, submitted Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

City Commissioner Irwin submitted Report No. 10-1997 of the City Commissioner;

Councillor Postlethwaite, Acting Chair, presented Report No. 11-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee;

Councillor Steernberg, Chair, presented Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee;

Councillor Langlois, Member, presented Report No. 7-1997 the Administration and Finance Committee;

Councillor Waygood, Member, presented Report No. 2-1997 of the Board of Trustees of Defined Contribution Plan for Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Committee;

Councillor Langlois, Member, presented Report No. 3-1997 of the Land Bank Committee;

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chair, presented Report No. 7-1997 of the Executive Committee, and.

His Worship Mayor Dayday, Chair, presented Report No. 8-1997 of the Executive Committee.

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,*

*THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:*

- a) Report No. 10-1997 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Report No. 10-1997 of the City Commissioner;*
- c) Report No. 11-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee;*
- d) Report No. 12-1997 of the Planning and Operations Committee;*
- e) Report No. 7-1997 of the Administration and Finance Committee;*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 28**

- f) *Report No. 2-1997 of the Board of Trustees of Defined Contribution Plan for Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Committee;*
- g) *Report No. 3-1997 of the Land Bank Committee;*
- h) *Report No. 7-1997 of the Executive Committee; and*
- i) *Report No. 8-1997 of the Executive Committee.*

*CARRIED.*

*His Worship Mayor Dayday appointed Councillor Postlethwaite as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.*

*Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.*

*Committee arose.*

*Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:*

*THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 29**

**“REPORT NO. 10-1997 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”**

Composition of Commission

Mr. Glen Grismer, Chair  
Ms. Ann March, Vice-Chair  
Ms. Anne Campbell  
Councillor Jill Postlethwaite  
Mr. Ken Rauch  
Ms. Leslie Belloc-Pinder  
Mr. Gregory Kitz  
Ms. Georgia Bell Woodard  
Ms. Lina Eidem  
Mr. Paul Kawcuniak  
Ms. Sheila Denysiuk  
Mr. Nelson Wagner  
Dr. Brian Noonan

1. **Proposed Rezoning by Agreement**  
**Ptn. of Parcel M, Block 517, Plan 63-S-03501**  
**1640 Warman Road**  
**B.4 District to M.3 by Agreement**  
**Applicant: Mr. Bob Elliot**  
**Shell Canada Products Ltd.**  
**400 - 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.**  
**Calgary, AB T2P 2H5**  
**(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to Rezone by Agreement a Ptn. of Parcel M, Block 517, Plan 63-S-03501 (1640 Warman Road) from a B.4 District to an M.3 District subject to the execution of an agreement between Shell Canada Products Ltd. and the City of Saskatoon, which Agreement would permit the carrying out of three-phase development on the said land limited to the following:

Phase One consisting of:

- a) use of the building at 1640 Warman Road as it existed on April 24, 1997 for an ambulance station subject to interior and exterior renovations necessary to accommodate an ambulance station.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 30**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 31**

Phase Two consisting of:

- a) an addition to the existing building consisting of a 111.48 m<sup>2</sup> (1,200 ft<sup>2</sup>) ambulance building plus a 65.03 m<sup>2</sup> (700 ft<sup>2</sup>) one storey link to accommodate up to 3 additional ambulance bays.

Phase Three consisting of:

- a) demolition of the existing building in Phase One and the link constructed in Phase Two;
  - b) retention of the ambulance building constructed in Phase Two;
  - c) construction of an addition to the ambulance building for the purpose of accommodating an ambulance station, medical clinic with a pharmacy as an ancillary use;
  - d) the ancillary use may not exceed 105 m<sup>2</sup> (1130.24 ft<sup>2</sup>) of gross floor area;
  - e) a total building area of not more than 1,068.5 m<sup>2</sup> (11,502 ft<sup>2</sup>);
  - f) a maximum site coverage of 24%;
  - g) a minimum of 38 off-street parking stalls; comprised of eighteen (18) parking stalls located at the rear of the building allocated to employee parking only, and twenty (20) off-street parking stalls located at the front of the building for use by the general public;
  - h) the site shall be fenced off at the lanes to prevent access to the site by the general public from the lanes.
  - i) a maximum floor space ratio of 0.40:1.
- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
  - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
  - 4) that at the time of the public hearing City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning, as noted in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.

Attached is a copy of a report of the Planning and Building Department dated April 7, 1997, respecting a proposal to Rezone by Agreement a Ptn. of Parcel M, Block 517, Plan 63-S-03501 (1640 Warman Road) from a B.4 District to an M.3 District.

Your Commission has considered and concurs with the recommendations of the Planning and Building Department.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997**  
**PAGE 32**

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item AA.18 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.*

**IT WAS RESOLVED:**

- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to Rezone by Agreement a Ptn. of Parcel M, Block 517, Plan 63-S-03501 (1640 Warman Road) from a B.4 District to an M.3 District subject to the execution of an agreement between Shell Canada Products Ltd. and the City of Saskatoon, which Agreement would permit the carrying out of three-phase development on the said land limited to the following:

Phase One consisting of:

- a) use of the building at 1640 Warman Road as it existed on April 24, 1997 for an ambulance station subject to interior and exterior renovations necessary to accommodate an ambulance station.

Phase Two consisting of:

- a) an addition to the existing building consisting of a 111.48 m<sup>2</sup> (1,200 ft<sup>2</sup>) ambulance building plus a 65.03 m<sup>2</sup> (700 ft<sup>2</sup>) one storey link to accommodate up to 3 additional ambulance bays.

Phase Three consisting of:

- a) demolition of the existing building in Phase One and the link constructed in Phase Two;
- b) retention of the ambulance building constructed in Phase Two;
- c) construction of an addition to the ambulance building for the purpose of accommodating an ambulance station, medical clinic with a pharmacy as an ancillary use;
- d) the ancillary use may not exceed 105 m<sup>2</sup> (1130.24 ft<sup>2</sup>) of gross floor area;
- e) a total building area of not more than 1,068.5 m<sup>2</sup> (11,502 ft<sup>2</sup>);
- f) a maximum site coverage of 24%;
- g) a minimum of 38 off-street parking stalls; comprised of eighteen (18) parking stalls located at the rear of the building allocated to employee parking only, and twenty (20) off-street parking stalls located at the front of the building for use by the general public;
- h) the site shall be fenced off at the lanes to prevent access to the site by the general public from the lanes.
- i) a maximum floor space ratio of 0.40:1.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 33**

- 2) that the City Planner be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning, as noted in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.

**2. Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Area Study -  
Land Use Policy District Amendments  
(File No. CK. 4353-2-4)**

---

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 3.*

**3. Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendments  
To Implement an Environmental Industrial Park  
4000 Block Thatcher Avenue and Neepawa Street  
(File No. CK. 4351-1)**

---

*DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 7.*

**4. Zoning Bylaw Amendment  
To Amend Section 7(1) of Zoning Bylaw No. 6772  
Regarding Required Fence Heights  
Applicant: City of Saskatoon  
(File No. CK. 4350-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting a proposal to amend Section 7(1) of the General Provisions of Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 regarding required fence heights for dwelling groups;
  - 2) that the General Manager, Planning and Building Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
  - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 34**

Bylaw; and

- 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the amendment, as noted in Recommendation 1) above, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a copy of report of the Planning and Building Department dated April 8, 1997, submitting a proposal to amend Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 to include provision for a fence height of not more than two (2) metres above grade level in a required front yard for dwelling groups, provided that the fence is located at least three (3) metres from the property line and that landscaping be provided on both sides of the fence to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department.

Your Commission has considered this proposal and concurs with the recommendations of the Planning and Building Department.

**5. Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Bylaw -  
Minimum Site Area and Site Frontage for  
Dwelling Groups  
(File No. CK. 4350-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 in the following manner:
    - a) removing all references to a required minimum site area for dwelling groups; and
    - b) amend the minimum site frontage requirement for dwelling groups in the RM(Tn) Zoning District from 60 metres to 30 metres.
  - 2) that the General Manager, Planning and Building Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;
  - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaws; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 35**

---

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 36**

- 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the proposed amendments be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is a report of the Planning and Building Department dated March 7, 1997, submitting a proposal to amend Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 by deleting references to a minimum site area for permitted dwelling groups and changing the minimum frontage requirement for dwelling groups in the RM(Tn) Zoning District to 30 metres.

Your Commission has considered and supports the recommendations of the Planning and Building Department.

**REPORT NO. 10-1997 OF THE CITY COMMISSIONER**

**Section A - Administration and Finance**

**A1) Routine Reports Submitted to City Council**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$672,325.45	April 23, 1997	April 28, 1997
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$573,120.94	April 25, 1997	April 29, 1997
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$1,218,954.32	April 29, 1997	May 5, 1997
Schedule of Accounts Paid \$3,516,010.60 (File No. 1530-2)	May 2, 1997	May 8, 1997

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 37**

**A2) Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation Annual Report  
(File No. 430-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the City Clerk, April 29, 1997:

“In accordance with the terms of the City’s lease agreement with Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation, City Council is to receive an annual report, including a summary of the immediately preceding year’s operating and rental policies, and a comparative statement.

By letter dated April 7, 1997, the Secretary of the Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation has submitted the attached Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 1996, together with the minutes of the March 25, 1997 Annual Meeting.”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation’s Financial Statements (December 31, 1996)
2. March 25, 1997 Annual Meeting minutes

**A3) Cheshire Homes (Management)  
Nominee to Board of Directors  
(File No. 215-5)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that Mr. Bruce Richards be appointed as the City’s nominee to the Board of Directors of Cheshire Homes (Management), in place of Mr. Perry Behl, and be authorized to vote the membership interest and execute all documents on behalf of the City of Saskatoon as is necessary to formalize the minutes of Cheshire’s Annual General Meetings; and,
- 2) that the City Clerk advise Cheshire Homes of the appointment of the City’s nominee.

*ADOPTED.*

Mr. Perry Behl, Senior Comprehensive Auditor, Office of the Auditor General, has served as City Council’s nominee to the Board of Directors of Cheshire Homes (Management) for the past

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 38**

three years. He has now indicated he wishes to be relieved of that responsibility.

Mr. Bruce Richards, City Treasurer, Treasurer's Branch of the Finance Department, has indicated his willingness to represent the City on this Board, if it is City Council's wish.

**A4) Investments  
(File No. 1790-3)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve the attached purchases and sales.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Finance Department, May 5, 1997:

“With the approval of the Investment Committee, the attached list indicates purchases and sales for the City's various funds.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Schedule of Securities Transactions (April 19-30, 1997)

**A5) 1996 Audited Financial Reports  
(File No. 1895-3)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Finance Department, May 5, 1997:

“Attached, for City Council's information, are copies of the 1996 Financial Statements for the City of Saskatoon (consolidated), Pension Plans, and Saskatoon Public Library. These reports have all been audited, and a copy of the audit report is attached to each statement. Also attached, is a copy of the City of Saskatoon Public Accounts.”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. City of Saskatoon 1996 Audited Financial Statements
2. City of Saskatoon Public Accounts

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 39**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 40**

**A6) 1997 Operating Budget  
(File No. 1704-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Finance Department, May 6, 1997:

“Attached, for City Council’s information, is a copy of the 1997 Operating Budget. This Budget incorporates all of the changes which were forwarded from the Budget Committee. Final confirmation of the Budget was determined when City Council approved the Mill Rate Bylaw at its meeting held on April 28, 1997.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. 1997 Operating Budget

**A7) Reassessment 1997  
Mill Rate Factor and  
Business Improvement District Bylaws  
(File Nos. 1615-2 and 185-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7643 - Mill Rate Factor Amendment Bylaw; and,
- 2) that City Council consider the Business Improvement District Tax Levy Bylaws numbered 7647, 7648, and 7649, for the Broadway, Downtown, and Riversdale Business Improvement Districts.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Finance Department, May 7, 1997:

“City Council, at its meeting of April 28, 1997, approved various bylaws implementing the final tax levies for 1997. As part of the negotiations for the business tax phase-in, it was agreed that there would be a slight tax increase to allow an acceleration of the phase-in for those properties which are having their taxes reduced. The Mill Rate Factor Bylaw passed on April 28, 1997, provide the base



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 41**

mill rate factors for comparative purposes (without the temporary tax increase to accelerate the phase-ins.) Bylaw No. 7643 therefore, increases the commercial mill rate factor from 1.3235 to 1.3849 to accomplish this temporary adjustment.

Now that the assessment can be calculated in each Business Improvement District (BID) area, Bylaw Nos. 7647, 7648, and 7649 set the levy applied to the commercial assessment to raise the revenue necessary for each BID. The respective percentages are for Downtown - 0.15%; for Riversdale - 0.10%; and for Broadway - 0.50%.

As well, each bylaw has a set of consequential amendments to ensure that the bylaw complies with the provincial legislation. Included in the amendments are the change to apply the levy against commercial assessment, instead of business tax; a revision to the eligibility for Board appointments; and a provision requiring the building owner to collect the levy on behalf of the BID.”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Bylaw No. 7643, Mill Rate Factor Amending Bylaw
2. Bylaw No. 7647, Broadway Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw
3. Bylaw No. 7648, Downtown Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw
4. Bylaw No. 7649, Riversdale Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw

**Section B - Planning and Operations**

**B1) Easement Requirement - SaskEnergy  
Parcel G and Ptn. Parcel L, Plan 63-S-01249  
(File No. 4090)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council grant an easement to SaskEnergy as outlined in the attached Easement Agreement; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, under the corporate seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the formal Agreement with respect to this easement.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, April 30, 1997:

“Glenda Fidek, on behalf of SaskEnergy’s Land Services, has requested the City’s approval for

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 42**

an easement over Parcel G and Ptn. Parcel L, Plan 63-S-01249. The proposed easement will be three metres in width over Parcel G, and four metres in width over the portion of Parcel L.

The easement is required for the right of access to maintain the eight inch medium pressure gas line located on these parcels.

The Planning and Building Department has no objection to the proposal.”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Proposed Easement Location Plan
2. Easement Agreement

**B2) Lakewood Replotting Scheme**  
**Parcel A, 83-S-54524**  
**Parcel AA and MR2, 89-S-08942**  
**Lot 4, Block 404 and Parcel CC, 94-S-40901**  
**Original Road Allowance adjacent to S.E. 1/4 Sec. 24-36-5-3**  
**Parcels E & F, 96-S-13326**  
**(File No. PL 4230-6)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the General Manager, Planning and Building Department be authorized to serve notice of the replotting scheme on each owner of land within the Lakewood Replotting Scheme in accordance with Section 169 of *The Planning and Development Act, 1983*.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, April 29, 1997:

“City Council, on April 14, 1997, authorized the preparation of a replotting scheme and filing of a resolution in the Land Titles Office endorsing titles to the lands within the area indicating that a replotting scheme is in progress. The titles have been endorsed and the replotting scheme has been prepared as per Attachment 1. The existing subdivision is shown on the Lakewood Replotting Scheme Plan No. 1 (Attachment 2).

The purpose of the replotting scheme is to create developable parcels and distribute them proportionately amongst the owners for future development. The specifications (Attachment 1) indicate the method by which the replotting scheme is to occur. It also indicates the existing lands each owner owns and the proposed allocation in exchange thereof. Plan No. 2, Lakewood

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 43**

Plan of Proposed Replotting (Attachment 3) indicates the proposed subdivision. The proposal is in conformance with the Subdivision and Zoning Bylaws. It is necessary that notice of the replotting scheme be served on each owner of land affected and arrange a date, time and place for the necessary hearing in accordance with Section 169 of *The Planning and Development Act, 1983.*”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Lakewood Replotting Scheme Specifications
2. Lakewood Replotting Scheme Plan No. 2
3. Plan No. 2 Lakewood Plan of Proposed Replotting

**B3) School Signing Revisions  
Cardinal Leger School  
(File No. 6280-3)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the signing changes at Cardinal Leger School, as shown on attached Plan No. N8-6D, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Transportation Department, April 28, 1997:

“The Transportation Department has received a request from the Catholic School Board to review the signing at Cardinal Leger School. The review has been completed and it is proposed that the signing be revised so that it meets the current needs of the school. The investigation procedure for this school included a site meeting between representatives of the Transportation Department, the Education Detail of the Saskatoon Police Service, the Catholic School Board and the School’s Principal. Based on the results of this investigation, a new school signing plan has been prepared using the School Signing Guidelines and considering the particular needs of this school.

The recommended signing change is described below:

- Install a ‘DISABLED PERSONS LOADING ZONE’ (RB-58G) of approximately ten metres near the school’s front entrance on Campion Crescent.

This will make available a dedicated loading zone for parents with children requiring wheelchair access near the school’s front entrance.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 44**

The above change has been reviewed and approved by the Transportation Department (Traffic Planning and Operations Branch and Transit Services Branch), the Saskatoon Police Service, the Catholic School Board and the School's Principal."

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Plan No. N8-6D

**B4) Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement  
Confederation Developments Ltd. - Confederation Park  
Neighbourhood Subdivision No. 30/96  
(File No. 4111-16)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the proposed Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement as between The City of Saskatoon and Confederation Developments Ltd. be approved; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute such Agreement on behalf of The City of Saskatoon and affix the corporate seal thereto.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the City Solicitor, April 29, 1997:

"In keeping with the instruction of the Planning and Operations Committee at its meeting held on April 22, 1997, and the further specific direction of the General Manager, Public Works Department, we have prepared and forward herewith for consideration a proposed form of Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement as between The City of Saskatoon and Confederation Developments Ltd.

The proposed Agreement pertains to a portion of the Carter Way area of the Confederation Park neighbourhood, and has been drawn in the form of an extension to an earlier concluded master Agreement pertaining to this area. The Agreement sets forth all standard development conditions and requirements."

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Development and Servicing (Extension) Agreement

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 45**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 46**

**B5) Subdivision Application #24/97  
238 Lochrie Crescent  
(File No. 4300-24/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council resolve, in connection with the approval of Subdivision Application #24/97, that it would be impractical and undesirable to require full compliance with Section 15(1)(a) of Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 for the following reasons:
  - a) the proposed lots will each have a depth which exceeds the minimum requirement of the Subdivision Bylaw;
  - b) the variances are minor and will not affect surrounding properties in any negative way; and,
  - c) this proposal represents a good opportunity for infill development; and,
- 2) that Subdivision Application #24/97 be approved, subject to the payment of \$50.00 being the required approval fee.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, April 28, 1997:

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #24/97  
Applicant: Mr. Robert Fulford  
Legal Description: N. 8.63 metres of Lot E, Block 147, Plan 80-S-18197  
Location: 238 Lochrie Crescent.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 23, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B6) Subdivision Application #25/97  
2115 St. George Avenue  
(File No. PL 4300-25/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #25/97 be denied.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 47**

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, April 28, 1997:

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #25/97  
Applicant: Mr. Lloyd McLellan  
Legal Description: S. 1/2 of Lot 5 and all of Lot 6 and 7, Block 20, Plan (GV) H4128  
Location: 2115 St. George Avenue.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 23, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B7) Rezoning - Conseil Scolaire Fransaskois de Saskatoon  
R.2 District to M.2 District by Agreement  
1407 Albert Avenue  
Lots 1-28, Block 35, Plan G103 and Block 5, Plan G186  
(File No. PL 4350-28/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone Lots 1 - 28, Block 35, Plan G103 and Block 5, Plan G186 (1407 Albert Avenue) from an R.2 District to an M.2 District be approved, subject to the execution of an agreement between Conseil Scolaire Fransaskois de Saskatoon and the City of Saskatoon, which would address the following:
  - a) restrict the use of land and buildings on 1407 Albert Avenue to:
    - i) schools operated by public authorities; and
    - ii) school division administration offices;
  - b) restrict the total area of the proposed school division administration offices to 620 square metres;
  - c) all other relevant clauses of the M.2 Zoning District and the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 6772 will apply;
- 2) that the General Manager, Planning and Building Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw and Agreement; and,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 48**

- 4) that the Municipal Planning Commission's report be brought forward for consideration at the time of the public hearing and that City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment, subject to an Agreement, as outlined in Resolution 1) above, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 1, 1997:

“At its meeting of September 23, 1996, City Council authorized the preparation of a bylaw related to the rezoning of Lots 1 - 28, Block 35, Plan G103 and Block 5, Plan G186 (1407 Albert Avenue) from R.2 District to M.2 District by Agreement (copy of report attached).

Section 67(3) of *The Planning and Development Act, 1983* provides that:

‘A Council may, by resolution, authorize an amendment to a zoning bylaw, and the council shall, by bylaw, adopt the amendment within six months from the date of the resolution.’

This application was delayed because of the applicant's consideration of several alternative site plans. The site plan has now been revised to provide for all required on-site parking being located to the east of the proposed addition adjacent to 5th Street (refer to attached site development plan). As a result, the six month time frame has expired. It will be necessary for Council to again authorize the preparation of the necessary bylaw related to the rezoning.”

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Clause 1, Report No. 13-1996 of the Municipal Planning Commission
2. Site Development Plan - Schedule “A”

**B8) Special Needs Service Fare Increases  
Bylaw No. 7644  
(File No. 1905-4)** \_\_\_\_\_

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider Bylaw No. 7644.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the City Solicitor, May 5, 1997:



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 49**

“City Council, at its meeting held on April 28, 1997, approved the new Special Needs Service fare increase to be effective May 1, 1997, and instructed that the City Solicitor prepare the appropriate Bylaw.

We have prepared and enclose Bylaw No. 7644 which reflects the required amendments to the Transit Fares Bylaw.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Bylaw No. 7644

**B9) Subdivision Application #13/97  
3217 Mountbatten Street  
(File No. PL 4300-13/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #13/97 be approved, subject to:

- a) the payment of \$50.00 being the required approval fee;
- b) the payment of \$7,453.20 being the area redevelopment charges;
- c) the payment of \$2,400.00 being the developer’s contribution towards the cost of extending the electrical system;
- d) the owner agreeing in writing to the following:
  - i) the construction of culverts under the driveways - equivalent to adjacent culverts, a minimum of 18 inches in diameter. The installation will be at the owner’s expense;
  - ii) roadway ditch and drainage must be maintained;
  - iii) the construction, by a private contractor, of a separate water and sewer connection to the new lot, at the owner’s expense, and to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Public Works; and,
  - iv) the owner agreeing in writing to the granting of easements in favor of the City of Saskatoon for electrical distribution purposes;
- e) the removal or relocation of the existing accessory building off of the proposed new parcel; and,
- f) the prior registration of the proposed new Lot 3 which was approved by City Council on June 3, 1996 (Subdivision #27/96).

***ADOPTED.***

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 4, 1997:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 50**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 51**

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #13/97  
Applicant: Mr. J. Wallace Hamm for Darlene Hamm  
Legal Description: Pt. Lot 3, Block 522, Plan 63-S-19590  
Location: 3217 Mountbatten Street.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 21, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B10) Subdivision Application #21/97  
Stodola Court/Kenderdine Road  
(File No. PL 4300-21/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council resolve, in connection with the approval of Subdivision Application #21/97, that it would be impractical and undesirable to require full compliance with Section 15(1)(a) of Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 for the following reasons:
  - a) the proposed narrow lots contain sufficient area for the accommodation of attached garages, decks and other amenities;
  - b) the proposal increases the variety of choice offered to consumers in the housing marketplace;
  - c) the proposal decreases the overall cost of housing by reducing the amount of land required for housing development;
  - d) the proposal intensifies the use of land for housing which is consistent with national trends and beneficial to the environment; and,
- 2) that Subdivision Application #21/97 be approved, subject to:
  - a) the payment of \$800.00 which is the required approval fee; and,
  - b) the owner entering into a Development and Servicing Agreement with the City of Saskatoon.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 2, 1997:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 52**

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #21/97  
Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Preston Developments Inc.  
Legal Description: Parcels A and C, Plan 96-S-28730  
Location: Stodola Court/Kenderdine Road (no civic address).”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 10, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B11) Subdivision Application #22/97  
Briarvale Terrace  
(File No. PL 4300-22/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council resolve, in connection with the approval of Subdivision Application #22/97, that it would be impractical and undesirable to require full compliance with Section 15(1)(a) of Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 for the following reasons:
  - a) the variance from the full requirement is minor;
  - b) the variance will not affect surrounding properties in any negative way;
  - c) the proposed lots each have a site area which exceeds that required in the Subdivision Regulations; and,
- 2) that Subdivision Application #22/97 be approved, subject to:
  - a) the payment of \$800.00 being the required approval fee; and,
  - b) the owner entering into a Development and Servicing Agreement with the City of Saskatoon.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 2, 1997:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 53**

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #22/97  
Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Preston Developments Inc.  
Legal Description: Pt. Parcel S, Plan 96-S-13326  
Location: Briarvale Terrace (no civic address).”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 10, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B12) Subdivision Application #27/97  
Fairbrother Crescent/Close  
(File No. PL 4300-27/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #27/97 be approved, subject to:

- a) the payment of \$1,000.00 being the required approval fee;
- b) the owner entering into a Development and Servicing agreement with the City of Saskatoon; and,
- c) the owner agreeing in writing to the granting of easements in favour of the City of Saskatoon for storm drainage purposes.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 5, 1997:

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #27/97  
Applicant: Webb Surveys Ltd. for 570682 Saskatchewan Ltd.  
Legal Description: Parcel BQ, Plan 96-S-22415  
Location: Fairbrother Crescent/Close.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 22, 1997 Subdivision Report

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 54**

**B13) Subdivision Application #28/97  
1202 Quebec Avenue and 90 - 33rd Street East  
(File No. 4300-28/97)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #28/97 be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 5, 1997:

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #28/97  
Applicant: Webb Surveys Ltd. for Terra Holdings Corp.  
Legal Description: Pt. Parcel B, Plan 62-S-12969 and  
Parcel D, Plan 97-S-07212  
Location: 1202 Quebec Avenue and 90 - 33rd Street East.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 22, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B14) Land-Use Applications Received by the Planning and Building Department  
For the Period Between April 21, 1997 - May 2, 1997  
(For Information Only)  
(File Nos. PL 4115, 4300, and 4350)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 2, 1997:

“The following applications have been received, are being processed and will be submitted to City Council for its consideration:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 55**

Subdivision

Application #29/97: Molaro Place (no civic address assigned)  
Applicant: Tri-City Surveys Ltd. for CN Transactions Inc.  
Legal Description: Parcel E, Plan 80-S-11044  
Current Zoning: ID.4  
Neighbourhood: North Industrial  
Date Received: April 25, 1997

Rezoning

Application Z9/97: 2106 and 2112 Ste. Cecilia Avenue  
Applicant: ARDEK Holdings Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lots 7 to 11, Block 479  
Current Zoning: R.4  
Proposed Zoning: RM.4  
Date Received: April 16, 1997

Discretionary Use

Application D4/97: 1702 Alexandra Avenue  
Applicant: Ms. Connie Armstrong  
Legal Description: Lots 17 to 20, Block 509, Plan 63-S-03501  
Current Zoning: B.1  
Proposed Use: Strip Mall with New Restaurant  
Neighbourhood: Richmond Heights  
Date Received: April 25, 1997

Development Plan

Amendment Z2/96: CD.1 - Development Plan  
Applicant: City Hall Planning and Building Department  
Legal Description: South Downtown Block 146 and land between 3rd Avenue  
& 19th Street, and South Sask. River & Idylwyld Drive  
Current Land Use Designation: DCD.1  
Proposed Land Use Designation: DCD.1  
Date Received: January 22, 1996

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 56**

Amendment Z4/96: 29th Street - Development Plan  
Applicant: City Hall Planning and Building Department  
Legal Description: Lots 12 to 20, Block 1, Plan G715  
Lot 21, Block 1, Plan G904  
Current Land Use Designation: Arterial Commercial  
Proposed Land Use Designation: Industrial  
Date Received: February 1997

Amendment Z6/96: Environmental Industrial Park  
Applicant: City Hall Planning and Building Department  
Legal Description: North Agriplace / 71st Street / Planning District  
Current Land Use Designation: Industrial  
Proposed Land Use Designation: Environmental Industrial Park  
Date Received: April 18, 1996

Amendment Z10/97: Riversdale Core Neighbourhood Study Area  
Applicant: City Hall Planning and Building Department  
Legal Description: Complete Neighbourhood  
Current Land Use Designation: All  
Proposed Land Use Designation: All  
Date Received: April 28, 1997

Amendment Z11/97: DCD.2 - Development Plan  
Applicant: City Hall Planning and Building Department  
Legal Description: Sub-Units 1 to 5  
Current Land Use Designation: DCD.2  
Proposed Land Use Designation: DCD.2  
Date Received: May 1, 1997."

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plan of Proposed Subdivision #29/97, Molaro Place
2. Development Plan Amendment #Z11/97, DCD.2



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 57**

**B15) Application for a Roof Sign on Uncle Eds Furniture Building  
715 1st Avenue North  
(File No. PL 4005)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council approve the request to erect a roof sign on the building at 715 1st Avenue North (Uncle Eds Furniture Centre) in accordance with the plans submitted by Abe's Signs.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 1, 1997:

“An application has been received from Abe's Signs on behalf of Uncle Eds Furniture Centre for a roof sign to be placed on the building located at 715 1st Avenue North. The sign is 23m (75 ft.) long and 1.8m (6 ft.) high having a face area of 41.8m<sup>2</sup> (450 sq. ft.). The sign will face 1st Avenue North (east) and will be raised approximately 0.6m (2 ft.) above the existing parapet (approximately 0.89m or 2'10" above the roof of the building). The sign has been structurally designed by a professional engineer in accordance with the requirements of the Sign Bylaw 5138. Because the sign exceeds the area which can be approved by your Administration, the application is being forwarded to City Council in accordance with Section 35 of the Sign Bylaw which states:

‘Roof signs exceeding the size and height limitations contained in Section (34) may be erected with special approval of City Council.’

Council has dealt with one other roof sign application in this area of the City and in 1989 City Council approved a request to erect a roof sign on the building at 901 1st Avenue North with a sign area of 45.6m<sup>2</sup> (491 sq. ft.). The request for 715 1st Avenue North is therefore, consistent with approvals given in the general area.

A copy of the detail of the sign is attached. The structural details are available for viewing in the office of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Sign Detail

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 58**

**B16) Subdivision Application #19/97  
423 Clarence Avenue and 1012 & 1014 College Drive  
(File No. PL 4300-19/97)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Subdivision Application #19/97 be approved, subject to:

- a) the payment of \$2,183.43 being the required area development charges;
- b) the owner agreeing in writing to the granting of easements in favor of the City of Saskatoon for electrical distribution purposes; and,
- c) the removal of all principal buildings from the newly created site with the exception of one if so desired by the owner.

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department, May 5, 1997:

“The following subdivision application has been submitted for approval:

Subdivision Application: #19/97  
Applicant: Danielson Corporate Consulting for various owners  
Legal Description: Parcel X, Plan 97-S  
Pt. Block 14, Plan FW (F5527)  
Location: 423 Clarence Avenue and 1012 & 1014 College Drive.”

**ATTACHMENT**

1. April 10, 1997 Subdivision Report

**B17) Status of SaskPower/City of Saskatoon  
Negotiations on Bulk Power  
(File No. 2000-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that the City continue to own and operate its electric utility; and,
- 2) subject to final approval by City Council, that the Administration be authorized to conclude an agreement with SaskPower for the supply of bulk power at fair and reasonable rates.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 59**

*ADOPTED.*

Report of the Project Specialist, City Commissioner's Office, May 6, 1997:

“The City of Saskatoon and SaskPower have had a long-standing positive relationship and have cooperatively co-existed in the City of Saskatoon for many years. The City purchases its bulk electricity requirements from SaskPower, for its franchise area, and SaskPower provides distribution of electricity in its franchise area, within the City limits. The City purchases large quantities of electricity from SaskPower, which directly benefits SaskPower and the Province of Saskatchewan. The two utilities have assisted each other by sharing information, facilities, and expertise. The City and SaskPower have been negotiating new bulk electricity rates since late 1995. On December 27, 1996, SaskPower gave notice that it would be terminating the bulk supply agreement effective June 30, 1997. The City's primary interest throughout the negotiations has been to secure fair and reasonable rates from SaskPower for the benefit of both utilities. This report is to provide City Council with some background information on the subject, and the status of the bulk power negotiations.

The City of Saskatoon has operated its own electric utility since about 1906. Saskatoon owned electrical generation facilities up until 1928 when the Saskatchewan Power Commission (predecessor for SaskPower) was created. At that time, the Power Commission took over the City's generating plant, and since then the City has purchased bulk electricity from the provincial utility. *The Power Corporation Act* of 1965, limited the expansion of the existing municipal utilities to their 1958 city boundaries with SaskPower providing services to customers beyond the 1958 boundaries. In 1965, SaskPower proposed to purchase the electric systems owned by Saskatoon and Regina. The City of Saskatoon made the choice not to sell while Regina elected to sell. Saskatoon and Swift Current are the only two municipalities in the province that continue to run their own electric utility.

Saskatoon's utility currently serves about 66% of the customers within the City, with the remainder being served by SaskPower. Electricity is purchased in bulk at high voltages from the SaskPower grid, and then transformed, distributed, and made available to residents and businesses in the City. Profits from the utility go to general City funds and are used for the benefit of the community and to reduce property taxes.

Local ownership of the utility also provides other benefits in Saskatoon. There is increased accessibility, allowing programs to be tailored or adjusted to meet the specific needs of the community. Ownership permits control over service levels, standards and ensures more timely response and action in dealing with problems. The utility provides local employment for approximately 100 individuals in Saskatoon, in jobs ranging from general labour to trades, and professions. Additionally, local firms provide goods and services for the day-to-day operations.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 60**

The City's distribution system is in good condition, debt free, and well maintained. The utility utilizes current modern technology and incorporates technological advancements where it is advantageous. The utility is in a good position to deal with the future challenges that face the electrical industry.

Historically, the City and SaskPower have negotiated bulk electricity supply rates except for a brief period from 1983 to 1987 when rates were subject to review and approval by the Public Utilities Review Commission (PURC). In 1984, the City and SaskPower concluded an agreement covering the technical details of the bulk electricity supply. The agreement also included a clause that provided for the bulk rates to be set by negotiation if they were no longer subject to regulatory approval by PURC. PURC was disbanded in 1987 and since then the bulk rates have been established by separate agreement. The last such negotiated bulk rate was set on April 1, 1994 and is the bulk rate presently in effect.

In early 1995, SaskPower officials met with the City to discuss the bulk supply agreement. SaskPower expressed a concern about the impact of future utility deregulation, and in particular, the possibility of losing their large customers to competition. SaskPower indicated that they were interested in obtaining a longer-term commitment from the City for purchase of bulk electricity. The City of Saskatoon is SaskPower's largest customer.

In September 1995, SaskPower announced that as part of its plans to prepare for future deregulation, it would be carrying out "rate re-balancing". Among other things, the re-balancing was to involve negotiated rate reductions for the large customers who were paying more than their fair share for electricity. The extent by which a customer or customer group is paying their fair share is indicated by the revenue to cost ratio. A revenue to cost ratio of 1.00 indicates that the revenue obtained is equal to the cost of providing the service, including a reasonable return on the capital invested. The revenue to cost ratio for the bulk supply to Saskatoon is in the range of 1.1 to 1.15 and, as a result, Saskatoon is paying more than its fair share for the electricity being purchased.

The City and SaskPower have been involved in negotiations concerning bulk electricity supply since late 1995. In January 1996, SaskPower proposed that bulk rates for Saskatoon be increased by 5.6 % plus an additional annual reconstruction charge of \$1 million. The SaskPower proposal would have amounted to an overall increase of 7.9% on the cost of bulk electricity. Such a change would have increased the revenue to cost ratio on bulk power to the range of 1.18 to 1.23. In other words, this would have cost Saskatoon residents and businesses an additional \$3.4 million in taxes each year.

In response to SaskPower's interest in a longer-term purchase commitment from Saskatoon, on February 19, 1996, the City proposed a ten-year bulk supply agreement based on SaskPower's rates for its manufacturing customers who have loads that are only one-tenth to one-twentieth the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997**  
**PAGE 61**

size of Saskatoon's load. In order to minimize SaskPower's long-term risk, the City further proposed that for the period 2000 to 2005, there be a mechanism for adjusting rates based on SaskPower's cost of service and competitive rates in nearby jurisdictions. The City of Saskatoon did not receive a response to that proposal.

On December 27, 1996, SaskPower gave the City of Saskatoon notice that it was terminating the existing bulk supply agreement with Saskatoon effective June 30, 1997, and that SaskPower would advise the City of the new terms and conditions that SaskPower would be prepared to offer. At SaskPower's urging, the discussions between the City and SaskPower have since focused on four options:

- SaskPower's purchase of the City's distribution and transmission system.
- The City's purchase of SaskPower's distribution and transmission system in Saskatoon.
- The two parties entering into a long-term supply agreement with franchise areas remaining unchanged.
- The City of Saskatoon generating its own electricity and retaining the present franchise area.

The City of Saskatoon had expressed an interest in expanding its service area to the municipal boundaries while SaskPower expressed an interest in purchasing the City's electric utility. Both utilities have requested and exchanged some information with respect to the other's utility in order to examine the options and prepare proposals. The future bulk rates for Saskatoon remain a critical factor in the City's evaluation of each of these four options.

The City's primary interest is to secure fair and reasonable bulk rates from SaskPower. However, in the absence of SaskPower's response to the City's rate proposals, the City has also been looking into alternative sources of supply such as self-generation and import of electricity, for the long term.

As of the end of March, 1997, SaskPower had not made any proposal in terms of a bulk agreement and officials involved in negotiations stated they were not authorized to make an offer for bulk supply.

On April 1, 1997, the City made a second bulk rate proposal to SaskPower. This proposal was for a five-year term agreement based on what the City believes to be the rates that are being offered by SaskPower to other large customers in the Province, and rates which should bring the revenue more closely in line with SaskPower's cost of service including a reasonable rate of return on their investment. The Administration believes that such an agreement would be mutually beneficial in that the City would receive bulk supply at reasonable rates, while SaskPower would be assured of continuing load and revenues.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 62**

In view of SaskPower having given the City notice of termination on the bulk supply agreement, and in order for the City to look at alternative options, the City wrote to SaskPower on April 3, 1997, requesting transmission rates that would allow the City to access other sources of supply.

SaskPower responded on April 10, 1997, by indicating it would be providing a counter proposal for bulk rates in the week of April 21, 1997, and at the same time would provide an offer to purchase the City's electrical distribution franchise area. To date, SaskPower has not provided a counter proposal on bulk rates or an offer to purchase. SaskPower has also advised that it does not have transmission tariffs established and, therefore, is not in a position to consider carrying power from outside the Province to Saskatoon.

The present status is that the bulk supply agreement will expire on June 30, 1997, unless a new agreement can be reached. Since giving notice of termination to the City, SaskPower has not provided any alternative proposal for the bulk rates. However, because SaskPower is a statutory monopoly, it has an obligation to supply electricity to its customers, including the City of Saskatoon. The issue to be resolved is the rate that SaskPower will request the City to pay.

At the present time, SaskPower has a monopoly over the transmission of electricity in the Province and Saskatoon is unable to get access to alternative electricity supply either internal or external to the Province. In most jurisdictions in North America, natural monopoly utilities operate under some form of regulation where a board or commission oversees the utility rates to make sure they are fair and reasonable. Saskatchewan is the only province in Canada that does not have an independent regulatory body.

Restructuring of the electric utility industry has been taking place in other parts of the world and in North America. The changes are generally in the form of separating or unbundling the three main components of the utility business which are generation, transmission, and distribution. Generation is being deregulated and opened up to competition. Transmission is continuing to be regulated, and open access has been mandated, that is, any parties wishing to buy, sell, and transmit electricity at the wholesale level are assured that they can have access to the transmission systems without discrimination. The distribution part of the utility business will be separated into the 'wires' business which will continue to be regulated and involve the carrying of the electricity, and the 'electricity acquisition, marketing and sales' business which will be deregulated and open to competition. In the United States, municipal utilities similar to Saskatoon can contract with any supplier and, by paying the transmission tariffs, are able to have access to electricity from sources other than adjacent large generating utilities. The Province of Alberta has started to deregulate generation, and since January 1, 1996, municipal utilities and others can buy direct from a power pool at competitive rates. Qualified buyers and sellers of electricity are provided open non-discriminatory transmission access. Other provinces are also planning changes to restructure the electric utility business in a similar manner. The general trend in the future is towards retail customers being able to decide who they will purchase their

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 63**

electricity from.

In the longer term, future restructuring should provide the City of Saskatoon with new opportunities to access other sources of wholesale electricity at competitive rates. Retail competition will create new challenges for the utility but also provide benefits for customers.

By retaining its electric utility, the City will remain in a good position to provide ongoing benefits to the Saskatoon community, either through electricity rates or by funding programs and reducing local property taxes. The utility presently charges no more for electricity than is charged in other major centres in Saskatchewan, but is able to turn over substantial amounts to general city funds. The profits from ownership remain in Saskatoon to the benefit of the community. The net annual income of the utility is approximately \$21 million with approximately \$16 million going to fund municipal programs and reduce property taxes. The remaining portion is used to expand the system to serve increased loads and new customers. The City should not consider selling the utility unless it can be guaranteed similar continuous future revenue streams including growth.”

**REPORT NO. 11-1997 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. McCann, Chair  
Councillor J. Postlethwaite  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor R. Steernberg  
Councillor D. Atchison

**1. 1996 Traffic Collision Statistics**  
**(File No. CK. 425-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

*ADOPTED.*

The following report of the General Manager, Transportation Department dated March 26, 1997 is submitted for City Council’s information:

“The Transportation Department has completed the compilation of the 1996 traffic collision statistics for the City of Saskatoon. The summary statistics have been prepared through the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 64**

use of the Saskatoon Traffic Accident Information System (STAIS). Our report provides a brief overview of the traffic collisions in the City and a comparison over the past ten years. This is an information report only, and caution is advised against making any gross conclusions without the benefit of detailed collision data.

It should be noted that the report contains only those collisions which involved property damage over \$1,000 and/or which involved a personal injury or fatality. It is important to realize that the reporting limit for traffic collisions was increased in 1993 from \$500 to \$1,000. This change was effected January 1, 1993. This change in reporting limit accounts for a large portion of the reduction in total reportable collisions between the current year and the five-year average as approximately 30% of pre-1993 reportable collisions were between \$500 and \$1,000.

A summary of the total number of collisions in 1996, as compared to the previous year and the five year average, is illustrated in Table 1. Collisions are summarized by type. Similarly, Table 2 provides a summary of the number of persons injured in traffic related collisions in 1996 compared to the previous year and the five year average. Tables 1 and 2 indicate a decrease in the number of pedestrian and bicycle collisions as compared to recent years. Although we are pleased to see this reduction, it should be noted that variations are common and may not depict a trend. A decrease in injury claims has been noted Province wide and are attributable to the introduction of "No Fault" insurance.

**Table 1: Number of Reportable Collisions**

<b>Type of Collision</b>	<b>Current Year 1996</b>	<b>Previous Year 1995</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Five Year Average 1992-1996</b>	<b>Change</b>
Property Damage	6392	5957	7%	5894	8%
Operator or Passenger Injured	736	758	-3%	906	-19%
Pedestrian	88	97	-9%	102	-14%
Motorcyclist	48	41	17%	61	-21%
Bicyclist	75	82	-9%	99	-24%
Fatality	4	4	0%	6	-33%
Total	7343	6939	6%	7068	4%



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
 PAGE 65**

**Table 2: Number of Persons Injured**

<b>Number of Persons Injured by Collision Type</b>	<b>Current Year 1996</b>	<b>Previous Year 1995</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>	<b>Five Year Average 1992-1996</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
Operator or Passenger Injured	997	1,042	-4%	1,240	-20%
Pedestrian Injured	94	104	-10%	105	-10%
Motorcyclist Injured	24	18	33%	37	-35%
Bicyclist Injured	64	63	2%	85	-25%
Fatality	6	4	50%	6	0%
Total	1,185	1,231	-4%	1,473	-20%

A ten year traffic collision summary is provided in Table 3 (Attachment 1). Collisions are grouped by type. It should be noted that in most categories, the 1996 statistics are below the ten-year average with the exception of fatal collisions involving motorcycles.

The highest collision locations by the number of collisions has been provided and appears in Table 4 (Attachment 2). This table indicates only the number of collisions and does not necessarily equate these locations to the most collision-prone intersections in the city. This data does not reflect the type, severity or injury producing potential of the collisions, but merely the quantity.

The highest ranking intersections by the rate of collisions has been provided and appears in Table 5 (Attachment 3). Traditionally, this information has not been presented in this fashion. Instead, a ranking of intersections by number of collisions has been used. This year, traffic volumes for all locations with 20 or more collisions were estimated in order to calculate a collision rate. The locations were then ranked in order of this collision rate. The rate is expressed in collisions per million vehicles entering the intersection.

During the ten-year period 1987 to 1996, the following relationships between collisions, population and registered vehicles has existed.:

- population has increased by 12%
- vehicle registrations have increased by approximately 8%
- the number of reportable collisions has decreased by 19%
- this indicates a 25% decrease in collisions per capita and a decrease of 23% in collisions per registered vehicle (please note that due to the reporting limit change, the significance of these reductions may be overstated as the 1987 to 1992 reporting limit was \$500).

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 66**

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Table 3 -Ten Year Traffic Collisions Summary, 1987 - 1996
2. Table 4 - Locations with 30 or more Collision Occurrences
3. Table 5 - Collision Rates at High Collision Locations (Highest 20 rates)”

**2. 1996 Traffic Volume Report  
(File No. CK. 425-3)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

*ADOPTED.*

The following report of the General Manager, Transportation Department dated April 9, 1997, submitting the 1996 Traffic Volume Report, is forwarded to City Council as information:

**“REPORT**

Attached is the 1996 Traffic Volume Report prepared by the Transportation Department. The report outlines traffic counting procedures, average daily traffic flow calculations, population and vehicle ownership trends, travel on City streets, and traffic volume variations by hour of the day, day of the week and month of the year.

The Transportation Department currently monitors 466 temporary and seven permanent vehicle count locations throughout the roadway network. In 1996, 126 average daily traffic counts, 33 intersection counts, 12 pedestrian crossing studies and 14 special studies were completed. These counts and studies are undertaken to assess a variety of operational characteristics of the streets including signal timing, pedestrian crossing safety and convenience, traffic congestion, traffic speeds, traffic control device requirements, geometric design modifications, and vehicle type (truck volumes).

It is notable that although the number of vehicles registered in the city has been increasing, vehicle ownership per capita has been stable at around 0.7 vehicles / capita since 1983. As well, annual travel in Saskatoon continues to increase; however, travel per capita has been decreasing since 1991.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. 1996 Traffic Volume Report”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 67**

**3. Sewer Service Charge Adjustment  
Canamino Inc., 107 Research Drive  
(Files CK. 1720-1 and 7500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the sewer service charge for Canamino Inc., 107 Research Drive, be reduced by 61 percent;
  - 2) that this reduced charge remain in effect only as long as a substantial amount of Canamino's wastewater is diverted from the sanitary sewerage system;
  - 3) that the reduced sewer service charge be retroactive to February 1, 1997;
  - 4) that the Treasurer's Branch of the Finance Department be instructed to make the appropriate code corrections and utility billing adjustments; and
  - 5) that the Environmental Services Department note in its policy that where there are unique circumstances such as a cooling system that does not discharge water into the sanitary system, that it be reviewed by the Administration.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the report of the General Manager, Environmental Services Department dated April 8, 1997, regarding a request from Canamino Inc. for a sewer service charge adjustment. Your Committee concurs with the recommendations contained in the above report. In addition, your Committee has noted the unique circumstances with respect to this request and is recommending that the Environmental Services Department note in its policy that where there are unique circumstances, such as a cooling system that does not discharge water into the sanitary sewer system, a review be done by the Administration.

The following is the report of the General Manager, Environmental Services dated April 8, 1997:

**“BACKGROUND**

Clause 23 of the Sewer Use Bylaw 5115, states in part ... “Where a substantial portion of the water purchased by a customer is not returned to the sewerage system of the City, the customer may apply to City Council for an appropriate adjustment in the sewer service charge which Council may by resolution vary and reduce accordingly.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
 PAGE 69**

Mr. Greg Willie has written a letter to Council which is essentially an application for an adjustment to the sewer service charge based on Clause 23. The matter has been referred to the Administration for a report.

**DISCUSSION**

Canamino Inc. is an industrial operation located at 107 Research Drive, utilizing a patented process to fractionate oats. The products from the Canamino operation are marketed primarily to the personal care and cosmetic industries. Canamino has been in operation for the past three years. The Canamino site requires water for domestic, processing, boiler make up, and cooling purposes. A summary of the approximate consumption and water/sewer utility charges that Canamino has experienced over the past four months is as follows:

	Consumption (ft. <sup>3</sup> )	Water Revenue	Infra Levy Revenue	Sewer Revenue	Total
Oct 29/96 - Mar 6/97	5,010,976	\$54,806	\$9,640	\$73,900	\$138,345

This table indicates that Canamino is purchasing approximately 1,200,000 cubic feet per month. Total annual revenues from the Canamino operation could be as high as \$555,000.

Environmental Services staff have carried out a preliminary water use audit of the Canamino operation. The audit indicated that the cooling water component of Canamino's total consumption was approximately 84 percent. Staff also confirmed that the cooling water was discharged into the City's storm sewerage system rather than the sanitary sewerage system. It is on this basis that Canamino has applied for a sewer service charge adjustment.

There are a number of circumstances that must be considered in evaluating this application:

- Bylaw 5115 is defined as "a bylaw regulating the use of the public sewerage works and storm sewers and to provide for the levying and collecting of a charge for the use of the public sewage works". The definition clearly differentiates between public sewage works and storm sewers. Thus, if "the sewerage system" in Clause 23 of Bylaw 5115 is interpreted to mean only the sanitary sewer system, then an adjustment to Canamino's sewer service charge is appropriate.
- The Bylaw is inconsistent, however, with respect to the basis for the sewer service

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 70**

charge. Clause 20 states clearly that a commercial customer “shall pay a sewer service charge which shall be based on the quantity of water used each month”. Clause 23 then implies that this charge is somehow based on the water that enters the sewerage system and not solely on “the quantity of water used each month”.

- In 1986 Council resolved that “all current sewer service charge rebates be reduced to 20 percent and phased out over the next five years at 20 percent per year”. The only sewer service rebates currently in effect are for situations where the water is used for irrigation purposes or the customer has a private sewerage system. If Clause 23 is intended to apply only to situations where a significant portion of the water purchased remains in the product of an industrial process or is used for irrigation purposes, then an adjustment to Canamino’s sewer service charge is not appropriate.

**JUSTIFICATION**

The sewer service charge is designed to recover the costs of removing a customer’s liquid wastes from the premises and the subsequent treatment of those wastes. In the case of Canamino, the City incurs the costs of removing all the waste water from the premises but not the costs of treatment for the cooling water wastes. The cooling water represents approximately 84 percent of Canamino’s total water consumption. An over simplified breakdown of the sewer service charge into treatment and sanitary sewer collection components would be approximately .73 and .27, respectively. The sewer service charge adjustment has been calculated:

16 percent of the flow at the full sewer service charge and ...  
84 percent of the flow at 0.27 of the sewer service charge

$$= 0.16 (1.0) + 0.84 (.27) \\ @ 0.39 \text{ of the sewer service charge (61 percent reduction)}$$

This calculation assumes that the collection and transport of wastewater via the storm sewerage system should bear a charge equivalent to the sanitary collection charge. It can be argued that since Canamino only uses the storm sewer and already pays taxes to support storm sewer programs, any sewer service charge is inappropriate. The Administration is, however, of the opinion that taxes support the storm sewer programs for the collection and removal of storm water and in the interest of customer equity the additional use of the storm sewers for the collection and removal of industrial wastewaters warrants an additional charge to the generator of that wastewater. On this basis, the Administration feels that a 61 percent reduction of the sewer service charge results in an appropriate charge for the collection and removal of Canamino’s wastewater

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 71**

and reasonably addresses both cost recovery and customer equity issues.

Canamino raised the matter with the City at the end of January, 1997, and it has been customary, where billing adjustments are warranted, to make these adjustments retroactive to the date the matter is brought to the Department's attention.

**OPTIONS**

1. An alternative approach would be to provide no adjustment to the sewer service charge. Without a sewer service charge adjustment Canamino may well choose to modify its cooling process to a closed system and significantly reduce its water consumption. In the long term, a reduced consumption would have operational benefits for Canamino and would place less pressure on the capital development programs of the water utility.

This approach would be consistent with the elimination of rebates undertaken in 1986, however, Bylaw 5115 does give Council the option to approve rate adjustments when a "substantial" amount of water does not enter the "sewerage system". If the sewerage system is interpreted to mean the sanitary sewerage system only, then the 84 percent diversion is in fact substantial, and some form of adjustment would appear to be in order.

2. A sewer service charge adjustment could be based on the entire volume of the cooling water which would result in Canamino receiving an 84 percent reduction in the sewer service charge, rather than 61 percent. This approach can be justified if the position is accepted that the City incurs no additional cost by Canamino's use of the storm sewerage system. This position does not, however, take into account that Canamino receives benefits from the storm sewerage system in excess of merely storm runoff collection. The Administration maintains this is a benefit that has value and an adjustment to 16 percent of the current sewer service charge would not recover this benefit.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There is no formal documented policy that addresses the matter of sewer service charge adjustments. The only adjustments that exist currently are for golf courses, parks, or other customers where a substantial portion of the water is used for irrigation and, therefore, does not enter a collection system.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 72**

Reducing Canamino's sewer service charge by 61 percent could result in an annual loss of approximately \$135,000 of revenue for the sewage utility. Making up these lost revenues from the remaining commercial customers could result in a 1998 commercial sewer rate increase of approximately 1.0 percent, all things remaining equal."

**4. Decision - Saskatoon Development Appeals Board  
410 Avenue L North - R.2 Zoning District  
Abrim and Mariam Hana  
(File No. CK. 4352-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the General Manager, Planning and Building Department appeal the decision of the Saskatoon Development Appeals Board to grant an appeal for 410 Avenue L North to the Saskatchewan Municipal Board.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed and concurs with the following report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department dated April 10, 1997:

**“BACKGROUND**

Abrim and Mariam Hana applied to the Planning and Building Department for a building permit to convert the existing one-unit dwelling at 410 Avenue L North to a two-unit dwelling. The application was denied, as it contravened Section 28(5)(b) of the Zoning Bylaw, which requires that a site frontage for a two-unit dwelling be provided of not less than 15.0 metres. The existing site frontage is 12.813 metres, which results in a deficiency of 2.187 metres. In addition, the application contravened Section 28(6)(b) of the Zoning Bylaw, which requires that a site for a two-unit dwelling must have an area of not less than 460.0 square metres. The existing site has an area of 458.103 square metres. This results in a deficiency of 1.897 square metres. This decision was appealed to the Saskatoon Development Appeals Board and the hearing was held on March 24, 1997. The Board granted the appeal in a decision dated March 26, 1997, on the following basis:

'It is the Board's opinion that being the site area deficiency is very small, and being the site frontage deficiency is not significant in terms of the overall site frontage and the frontage of neighbouring properties, and also that this is sufficient off-street parking for a two-unit dwelling, that granting this appeal would not amount to a relaxation of the provisions of the Zoning Bylaw that would be contrary to its purpose and intent nor



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 73**

injuriously affect neighbouring properties in the same district.

The Appeal is therefore GRANTED, providing the building usage requirements are met for a two-unit dwelling only, to the satisfaction of the Planning and Building Department.'

*The Planning and Development Act* requires that an appeal of a decision of a Development Appeals Board be made to the Saskatchewan Municipal Board within twenty days of the decision. In order to meet this time frame, the request for appeal has been filed with the Saskatchewan Municipal Board, subject to ratification by City Council. This action was taken in accordance with City Council Policy No. C09-007.

**JUSTIFICATION**

The Planning and Building Department is recommending that this decision be appealed to the Planning Appeals Committee of the Saskatchewan Municipal Board. The Department does not agree with the conclusion of the Development Appeals Board that allowing the appeal would not be granting the applicant a special privilege that would be inconsistent with restrictions placed on neighbouring properties in the same district, in that there appears to be no special circumstances or anything unusual about this property in relation to other properties in the vicinity which would warrant a different consideration for development. In addition, it is felt that the granting of this appeal would amount to a relaxation of the purposes and intent of the bylaw; to ensure appropriate development densities, in order to maintain the character of the area.

**OPTIONS**

The alternative option to the recommended action is to not appeal the decision of the Development Appeals Board. This option is not supported by the Planning and Building Department, as the decision of the Development Appeals Board may establish a precedent for many similar circumstances.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

There is no financial impact.

**ATTACHMENTS**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 74**

1. Development Appeals Board Decision - 410 Avenue L North
2. Location Plan
3. Site Plan”

**REPORT NO. 12-1997 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor R. Sternberg, Chair  
Councillor P. McCann  
Councillor J. Postlethwaite  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor D. Atchison

1. **Capital Project No. 1539  
Paving - 107<sup>th</sup> Street - East of Central Avenue  
Award of Contract No. 6-0043  
(File No. CK. 6000-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City proceed with the paving of 107th Street from Central Avenue east to the CPR tracks, as noted in the following report of the General Manager, Public Works Department dated April 23, 1997, and that the low tender for Capital Project No. 1539 - Paving - 107th Street - East of Central Avenue, as submitted by Central Asphalt and Paving Inc., be accepted in the amount of \$143,603.76; and
  - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor.

Your Committee has reviewed the cost-sharing proposal to pave 107th Street from Central Avenue east to the CPR tracks, as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Public Works Department dated April 23, 1997, with the Administration and the Concorde Group. Your Committee is recommending that the project proceed and that the low tender, as submitted by Central Asphalt and Paving Inc., be accepted in the amount of \$143,603.76.

The following is the report of the General Manager, Public Works Department dated April 23, 1997:

**“BACKGROUND**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 75**

In 1996, the Concorde Group approached the City of Saskatoon with a cost-sharing proposal to construct 107th Street from Central Avenue east to the CPR tracks. Concorde Group, CP Intermodal, and Revelstoke Redi-mix committed \$28,000 towards the cost of the project. City Council, on June 3, 1996, approved funding in the amount of \$80,000 as transfers from various Public Works Department budgets to allow construction to proceed in 1996. Total available funding was therefore \$108,000.

Tenders were opened in late August of 1996, with the low tender of \$143,600 as submitted by Central Asphalt and Paving Inc. resulting in a total project cost of \$158,000. The costs in addition to the tender represent Engineering, soils analysis, inspections, and relocation of the railway crossing. In order to proceed, the project required additional funding of \$50,000.

On September 9, 1996, City Council reviewed a report from the Public Works Department which stated that the Concorde Group had offered to front-end the additional monies required, with the City of Saskatoon reimbursing Concorde Group over a two-year period. The matter was referred back to the Administration for further discussion with the Concorde Group.

**DISCUSSION**

In early 1997, the Concorde Group approached the City with a proposal to contribute an additional \$10,000 from the private sector. This increases the private sector contribution to a total of \$38,000, thus requiring a total of \$120,000 from the City of Saskatoon in order for the project to proceed (an additional \$40,000 from what was committed in 1996).

The Administration has identified the following projects as possible sources of funding for the required \$40,000:

- a) \$10,000 from the 1997 Capital Budget Project No 835 - Collector Road Preservation
- b) \$10,000 from the 1997 Capital Budget Project 959 - Boundary Roads
- c) \$20,000 from the 1996 Capital Budget Project 892 - Circle Drive, Attridge Drive to Circle Drive Bridge

**JUSTIFICATION**

As stated in previous reports, this section of roadway carries one of the highest loading conditions of any road in Saskatoon and therefore, required an extensive pavement structure to avoid continual failures of the structure. The past year has shown an increase in the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 76**

number of nuisance calls from the public in regard to the dust from this road. Both the vendors and the customers in the mall complain on a regular basis. The local residents have also increased their complaints regarding the amount of dust accumulation from the road.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 77**

**OPTIONS**

1. Do not proceed with the project.
2. Attempt to negotiate the cost-shareability with the private sector.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The consequences of transferring the funds from the Capital Budget projects will be less planned maintenance performed on collector streets (seal treatments) and less funding available for the projects planned in the Boundary Roads program. The monies from the Circle Drive project were going to be sent back to source.”

Attached, as background information, is a copy of Clause B19, Report No. 16-1996 of the City Commissioner which was referred back to the Administration for discussions with the Concorde Group by City Council at its meeting held on September 9, 1996.

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Items AA.20 and AA.21 of "Communications" were brought forward and considered.*

IT WAS RESOLVED:1) that the City proceed with the paving of 107th Street from Central Avenue east to the CPR tracks, as noted in the following report of the General Manager, Public Works Department dated April 23, 1997, and that the low tender for Capital Project No. 1539 - Paving - 107th Street - East of Central Avenue, as submitted by Central Asphalt and Paving Inc., be accepted in the amount of \$143,603.76; and

2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 78**

- 2. Residential Parking Permit Program  
AND  
Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition  
Problems in Adjacent Neighbourhood  
AND  
Request for Parking Permits -  
Avenue R South Between 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Street  
(Files CK. 6120-4 and 6330-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the report of the General Manager, Transportation Department dated April 28, 1997, with the Administration. It was noted that there are some legal issues that still need to be dealt with and the Administration will be consulting with the University of Saskatchewan and the City Park area community associations regarding the proposal. A further report will be forthcoming respecting these issues.

While your Committee endorses the concepts outlined in the draft policy for Residential Parking Permits, in principle, the following report of the General Manager, Transportation Department, dated April 28, 1997, is being submitted to City Council at this time for information and comment:

**“BACKGROUND**

City Council, at its meeting held September 23, 1996, when dealing with Report No. 17 - 1996 of the Planning and Operations Committee, resolved:

- “1) that the concept of the City of Saskatoon adopting a residential parking permit program be approved in principle; and,
- 2) that a draft residential parking permit program policy be prepared by the Administration and forwarded to the Planning and Operations Committee for review and public consultation, and subsequent approval by City Council.”

It should be further noted that when the Planning and Operations Committee dealt with this matter initially, the Committee was of the opinion that the permit program must be driven and supported by the requesting area residents, and that the program be expenditure neutral.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 79**

### **DISCUSSION**

As the Committee is aware, the Transportation Department has been dealing with the issue of residential parking permit (RPP) programs for some time. Our previous report in this matter (Attachment 3) outlined the reasons why such a program should be adopted, and briefly discussed the policy issues to be addressed.

The attached draft corporate policy (Attachment 1) would formalize the residential parking permit program as City policy and provide the Administration with the direction to enable it to formulate the administrative policies and procedures to drive the program. Also attached is a draft administrative policy for the Committee's information (Attachment 2). It was originally anticipated that the issue of short-term parking concerns generated by special events such as the Prairieland Exhibition would also be dealt with under this program.. It has always been the position of the Department that the intent of this type of program is to address daily, on-going and persistent residential parking concerns shared by particular neighbourhoods within the City. The common denominator is that there is a large parking and traffic generator in the area which has spill-over parking into the residential area on a daily basis (e.g. educational institutions, hospitals and large commercial centres). It is not the intent of this program to deal with short-term, special event parking. This being the case, the issue of parking problems generated by the Prairieland Exhibition will be addressed by a separate report and recommendations from the Department.

### **JUSTIFICATION**

The following is a brief listing of the major guiding principles contained within the draft policy. Supplementary detail on these items is contained within the previous reports to the Committee.

1. Before any such program can be initiated, enabling legislation must be passed. This would take the form of a revision to the existing Traffic Bylaw No. 7200.
2. The policy would be comprised of the following major qualifying factors with respect to determining whether a residential parking permit program could be implemented in a particular area:
  - the requested area to be zoned as RPP must be designated as a single-detached or semi-detached housing area (i.e. permits would not be issued to residents of apartment buildings)
  - the non-resident parking that is occurring must be a result of a high parking generator



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 81**

- for an RPP to be considered for special event and exhibition-type facilities, the non-resident parking must occur for more than 12 days per year
  - the proposed RPP zone must consist of a minimum of ten square city blocks
  - the minimum level of support from residents of the proposed RPP must be no less than 70 percent.
3. The implementation process would consist of a number of steps, a large component of which would be undertaken by the applicant(s). These would be:
- upon receipt of a request for an RPP zone, staff would send out, to the applicant(s), criteria for establishing an RPP and a blank petition form requesting civic Administration to determine the need for an RPP zone based on the 70 percent support level from the local residents
  - assuming the criteria and petition requirements are met, the Transportation Department would conduct a parking study to determine the extent of the transient parking in the area -- transient parkers should be 70 percent or greater, when measured at two times during the day
  - if requirements are successfully met, all RPP's which are established shall, insofar as practical, employ a two-hour parking restriction applied consistently over the zone
  - notices would be sent to the area residents in the RPP of the impending installation of the two-hour parking restriction. Information provided includes a brief description of what the RPP entails, the office location and service hours to secure permits, the cost of the permit and the documentation required to secure the permit (i.e. proof of residency in the zone and proof of ownership of the vehicle).

**OPTIONS**

At this point, there are no options to consider with respect to implementing/not implementing the program as this has been resolved by Council. The one issue that may require further clarification is whether residents are charged for the permits. This issue requires resolution in order for the Administration to proceed with the proposed program.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

If the Committee endorses the draft policy as presented, a controversial issue may arise, that being charging residents for the permits. The Department has presented the policy such that residents will be charged a sufficient fee for the permits in order for the program

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 82**

to operate in a full cost recovery fashion. That is, all capital and operating costs will be fully recovered such that there is no impact upon current operating budgets within the Department. Previous work undertaken by the Department in this area has shown that it would be reasonable to expect the fee to be in the area of \$20 to \$25 annually. This would include administrative costs, signage and printing, but makes no allowance for additional costs for increased enforcement. Experience in other cities has shown that enforcement costs are typically offset by the revenues collected through fines from violators.

The charging of a fee for permits is a bit of a 'catch 22' situation. Until we know how many zones would be installed, it is difficult to estimate total costs. For example, if only a single zone were established in the first year, capital and operating costs would be substantial, thus creating a high permit fee. As more and more zones are created, economies of scale will lead to a decrease in the permit cost on an individual basis. In view of this uncertainty, it is recommended that the permit fee be set at the upper end and established at \$25 per permit, annually. It should be recognized that this fee represents a cost to residents, wishing to participate in the program, of approximately \$2 per month. If the residents do not consider this program to be of value at that cost, it probably is not worth implementing.

**COMMUNICATION**

To date, there has been no input into this proposed policy from the general public. The policy has been presented to and endorsed by the Parking Committee. There is a need to develop a communication strategy, which would likely involve the Community Associations. It is the Department's suggestion that once the draft policy is endorsed by the Committee, the Administration will meet with representatives of the areas surrounding the University of Saskatchewan and City Hospital to begin discussing their needs and desires for possible program implementation. These two areas are suggested as it is has already been established that parking concerns exist, and many of the area residents have expressed a desire for such a program. A final report would be submitted to the Committee and Council in due course.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

Since it is proposed that the residential parking permit program be expenditure neutral, there will be no impact upon current operating and capital programs.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Draft corporate policy for Residential Parking Permit Program

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 83**

2. Draft administrative policy for Residential Parking Permit Program
3. Copy of Clause 1, Report No. 17 - 1996 of the Planning and Operations Committee”

**3. Application for Water Service Boundary Extension  
Town of Martensville  
(File No. CK. 7781-5)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the request by the Town of Martensville for an extension of the boundaries of its water service area be approved;
  - 2) that the Saskatchewan Water Corporation be so advised; and
  - 3) that the Town of Martensville be requested to supply the City of Saskatoon with a revised long range Municipal Development Plan, in 1998, together with a 10 year annexation forecast.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed and concurs with the following joint report of the General Manager, Environmental Services Department and General Manager, Planning and Building Department dated April 28, 1997:

**“BACKGROUND**

The Town of Martensville has made a request for permission to extend the boundaries of its water service area. In that Council's resolution of December 2, 1996, to amend the policy on ‘Potable Waterline Connections’ applied only to rural development in the RM of Corman Park, Martensville's request has been considered in light of Policy C09-018 and precedence.

The Town is requesting an extension to include two parcels that contain 35.5 acres. This land was purchased by the Town some years ago in anticipation of future residential development needs. It would appear there will be an inadequate lot supply in 1997 and the water service boundary extension is required in order to facilitate development.

**JUSTIFICATION**

Policy C09-018 provides for the extension of service area boundaries on the condition that the extension will not affect the contract volume, as established in the Master Supply

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 84**

Agreement, by the Saskatchewan Water Corporation and the City of Saskatoon. City Council has considered and approved extensions for the Town of Martensville in 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994, for land parcels of 2.63, 58.4, 12.1, 9.44, and 3.9 acres, respectively.

The extensions to Martensville have not had a significant impact on the total water consumption of the north treated water line. Consumptions for the past years have been as follows:

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Annual Consumptions (Millions of Gallons)	341.7	297.5	315.2	283.4	274.8	290.1

The total 1995 consumption on the north treated line represents less than 60 percent of the contract volume and an approval of the extension will not, at this time, affect the contract volume of the Master Supply Agreement.

Sask Water has investigated the estimated water use and supports the request.

**OPTIONS**

There are no options.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The most obvious impact, albeit small, will be increased water utility revenues. The less obvious impact will lie in the economics of satellite community development.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Letter from Sask Water Corporation
2. Letter from Town of Martensville
3. Town Plan Map
4. Concept Plan 1
5. Population Changes”

**REPORT NO. 7-1997 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor D.L. Birkmaier, Chair  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor A. Langford  
Councillor H. Langlois  
Councillor K. Waygood

1. **City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events)**  
**From: W. Storozuk, C.A., Organizing Committee**  
**Requesting a Grant from the Unexpended Youth Sport Subsidy**  
**Funds to Assist in Hosting the Western Canadian Gymnastics**  
**Championships, April 18-21, 1997**  
**(File No. CK. 1720-8-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the Administration and Finance Committee recommend to City Council that a grant of \$2,500 (subject to actual facility rental costs) be approved under the Special Event Policy for the rental of the Prairieland Trade Centre for the Western Canadian Gymnastics Championships.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the following report of the General Manager, Leisure Services Department, dated April 10, 1997:

**“BACKGROUND**

City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events) states, in part:

Section 3.2 ‘Eligibility Criteria - Unexpended Youth Sports Subsidy Funds’

‘The following additional criteria shall be used for grants made from the unexpended funds remaining in the Youth Sports Subsidy Program:

- a) Eligible applicants will be restricted to those organizations receiving funding under the Youth Sports Subsidy Program.
- b) As indicated in the special events definition, funding must be applied to events that are non-recurring on an annual basis. However, groups applying for seed money to host recurring events for the first

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 86**

time would be eligible to apply on a one-time basis. Events that are now held on an annual basis would not be eligible for this funding.

- c) Funding must be used for the rental cost of facilities only.'

**JUSTIFICATION**

Three Saskatoon gymnastics clubs (Marian, CanAm, and Taiso) are requesting a grant from the unexpended Youth Sport Subsidy funds to assist the clubs in hosting the Western Canadian Gymnastics Championships, being held April 18-21, 1997 at the Prairieland Trade Centre. They are expecting approximately 250 athletes, and a total of 350 coaches, judges, and spectators.

Marian and CanAm Gymnastics Clubs meet the eligibility criteria set out in the above policy, and are, therefore, eligible for funding (Taiso Gymnastics Club does not receive funding from the Youth Sport Subsidy Program). The organizing committee has identified approximately \$2,500 in facility rental charges from the Prairieland Trade Centre; however, the actual rental charges for the event will not be known until the event is completed.

**OPTIONS**

The only option would be to deny the funding.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events) states, in part:

Section 3.3 'Applications'

'Applications must be submitted at least three (3) months in advance of the event...'

The purpose of this section of the policy is to allow staff time to review and process the application, and to give the organizing committee adequate time to make financial adjustments should City Council not support the request for funding.

Your staff received the request for funding on April 1, 1997; however, Mr. Storozuk subsequently sent the attached letter stating the organizing committee was not aware of the application deadline. Your staff sends a copy of City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events) to each of the eligible groups in the fall of every year; however, it is evident that the policy was not given to members of the organizing committee.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 87**

It is recommended that a grant of \$2,500 be approved for rental costs for the '97 Western Canadian Gymnastics Championships; however, the organizing committee is aware that City Council's decision will not be available until after the completion of the event.

Your staff have denied, and will continue to deny, requests for retroactive funding submitted to the Leisure Services Department for special events which are completed, unless otherwise directed by City Council.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

There is approximately \$23,000 in the reserve to meet this request.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Letter from Mr. W. Storozuk"

*Pursuant to earlier resolution, Item AA.22 of "Communications" was brought forward and considered.*

**IT WAS RESOLVED:** that the Administration and Finance Committee recommend to City Council that a grant of \$2,500 (subject to actual facility rental costs) be approved under the Special Event Policy for the rental of the Prairieland Trade Centre for the Western Canadian Gymnastics Championships

2. **Concerns Regarding Noise from Special Events in Parks**  
**(File No. CK. 186-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Upon receipt of various concerns regarding noise in riverbank parks from concerts and special events, your Committee asked that the Administration institute well-defined Administrative conditions for extensions of *The Noise Bylaw*.

The following report of the General Manager, Leisure Services Department, dated April 28, 1997 outlines the steps taken to understand the problem, and come up with a workable solution (The *Noise Guidelines for Park Special Events* is anticipated to be reviewed after events in order to

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 88**

validate the appropriateness of the guidelines):



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 89**

**“BACKGROUND**

During its September 16, 1996, meeting, the Administration and Finance Committee resolved in part that:

‘the Administration be directed to institute well-defined Administrative conditions for extensions of The Noise Bylaw’.

Responding to this directive, your staff prepared a consultation process that was approved during its meeting of January 20, 1997. The process involved consultation with event organizers and individuals who had written letters of complaint to City Council. Your staff are reporting on the guidelines that were developed as a result of that process.

**REPORT**

On Friday March 7, 1997, Leisure Services met with riverbank event organizers, representatives from the Nutana and Exhibition Community Associations, the Partnership, and individuals who had written letters to City Council regarding noise from park events. This group identified the range of noise issues related to park special events and gave input on guidelines that would be reasonable and effective for event organizers to utilize to control sound levels. The guidelines that were developed will be applied to this summer's park special events. At the completion of the event season, Leisure Services will meet with organizers to review and discuss the nature of all noise complaints received. The effectiveness of the guidelines will be assessed and any necessary revisions will be made prior to the next year's event season.

The following park special event noise issues were identified at the input meeting:

- Noise is associated with cars exiting and entering the event location. The volume of traffic entering and exiting Diefenbaker Park for the Canada Day celebration causes back-ups and congestion and is a nuisance to residents in the Exhibition area. (The Community Association has met with the Transportation Department and the Fire Department to discuss improvements to the traffic flow for this season's event.)
- The duration of loud noise from the incessant repetition of the same ads and the same type of music during the course of an entire day during a park event is an irritant.
- Amplification of commentary and music that is projecting sound beyond the boundary of the event location is unnecessary. An event should direct its amplification toward the immediate audience.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 90**

- The emphasis on base tones coming from the sound mix of amplified music is irritating to the elderly.
- It is frustrating for the public to have no one to complain to when they are irritated by noise from a park event.

The attached 'Noise Guidelines for Park Special Events' have been developed in consultation with event organizers.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Noise Guidelines for Park Special Events”
  
3. **Enquiry - Councillor Waygood  
October 10, 1995  
Social/Community Planning Issues;  
and  
Communication to Council  
From: Germaine Roussel, s.g.m.  
Date: (undated)  
Subject: Expressing concern regarding noise and vandalism in  
the Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood;  
and  
Organization Review  
Coordination of Community/Social Service Delivery  
and  
Social Planning Policy  
(File No. CK. 4110-1 and 1860-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that the City of Saskatoon respond to the community's request for assistance in addressing social issues by expanding its role in community development. This would encompass developing a proactive process of community coordination for the purposes of providing support services to non-government social serving agencies within our community; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 91**

- 2) that City Council review the Corporate Strategic Plan for the purpose of providing the Administration with direction regarding the City's role in social issues of hunger, poverty, and employment.

*ADOPTED.*

The following report of the General Manager, Leisure Services Department, dated April 23, 1997 responds to all the expressions of concern listed in the report above, and while your Committee supports the concept of a Social Development Coordinator, and an increased role in the development of social housing within the community, it has asked the Administration to provide a further report in terms of the development of an implementation plan for these aspects:

**“BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Waygood at the meeting of City Council held on October 10, 1995:

‘Would the Administration please report on how other cities deal with social/community planning issues and report on similarities and differences between other cities' approach to social planning compared to the City of Saskatoon.’

At its September 5, 1995, meeting, the Executive Committee dealt with a communication from Germaine Roussel regarding noise and vandalism in the Pleasant Hill neighbourhood. As a result, the Executive Committee requested the Administration to report on the following:

- a) How other cities of comparable size are addressing social issues structurally;
- b) What is included in their mandate?;
- c) What are some of the social issues facing the City of Saskatoon, as reflected in letters to Council, reports of advisory bodies, police statistics, etc.; and,
- d) What is the role of the City in primary prevention of the issues that have been identified?’

The Executive Committee further requested that the report include a statement on the mandate of the City of Saskatoon as set out in *The Urban Municipality Act*.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 92**

In addition, on July 24, 1995, the former Committee of the Whole Council resolved that the City Commissioner submit a report regarding responsibility for the coordination of community/social service delivery, including accessibility.

Also, during consideration of the matter of funding for the Saskatoon Crisis Intervention Service at its meeting held on March 10, 1997, the Administration and Finance Committee resolved, in part:

- '2) that the Administration be requested to report back by May 5, 1997, regarding the establishment of a Social Planning Policy for the City of Saskatoon.'

This report will address all of the above matters and recommend action to be taken by the City towards the City's involvement in social development.

**DISCUSSION**

**WHAT OTHER CITIES ARE DOING**

Most cities in western Canada are addressing social issues within their communities through their municipal structure. In many cases, they have a designated department which is responsible for dealing directly with social issues and/or playing a coordination role in the community to deal with them. In Alberta, the provincial government mandates the municipality to deliver some direct social services and, for example, the City of Edmonton has a Social Services Department that houses social workers who have defined individual case loads. A survey of cities in the lower mainland of British Columbia indicates that most of them have some form of a social development unit, as does the City of Regina, as found in Attachment B.

It should be noted that, while these cities do have separate social services units or departments, many of the things that they are doing are also being done by the City of Saskatoon through a number of different departments. The missing link in our city seems to be the coordination of services that deal with social issues in our community.

**SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT FOR THE CITY OF SASKATOON**

The purpose of this report is to provide a framework for determining the municipality's role in dealing with the social aspects of our community. In doing so, it is necessary to discuss the City's history in this area, its current involvement in the social aspects of our community, and a framework for where social issues fit into the overall community structure. Any recommendations for future initiatives will also need to incorporate the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 93**

community's vision and values as outlined in the City of Saskatoon's Strategic Plan.

Introduction

The City of Saskatoon provides a community infrastructure that creates, promotes, and supports opportunities for people to experience a quality lifestyle. We do this through the development and maintenance of our physical and social environment.

Services that ensure a safe and secure physical environment are tangible and something which everyone in the community can understand, see, and appreciate. Roadways, a safe and clean water supply, and waste management are examples of the City's direct contribution to the physical environment. Many of these services also contribute to the social aspects of our community.

Our social environment, on the other hand, deals with the people who live within our physical environment, and the opportunities available to them to make intelligent and wise choices about the way they live their own lives and how they choose to contribute to the collective good of the community. Because the need for such services differs from person to person, the nature and extent of the services required are more difficult to articulate, rationalize, and evaluate. Consequently, services which contribute to our social environment are less visible to the community as a whole, and therefore, are more difficult to advocate.

There is also a difference in the delivery approach for those services which impact upon our social environment. The delivery of these services must be targeted to those demonstrating the most need rather than providing a common service level for the community at large, as is the case for services which impact upon our physical environment.

General Scope of Social Development

A review of current literature and trends indicates that the scope of social issues within any community will generally include aspects which are individual in nature, but which affect the collective community. A general list is as follows:

1. Housing - affordable, maintained, accessible, and that which addresses special needs
2. Accessibility - to housing, transportation, employment, etc. for everyone including the disabled, minorities, and women
3. Transportation
4. Culture, recreation, physical health, and wellness
5. Safety and security

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 94**

6. Racism
7. Family violence
8. Prostitution
9. Hunger
10. Child care and welfare - child abuse and neglect
11. Poverty
12. Employment
13. Mental health - alcohol and drug addictions
14. Education and training
15. Stable human relationships and parental practices
16. Teen pregnancy and sexuality

Groups most often affected by these issues, based on literature and research, are as follows:

- Children
- Women
- Seniors
- Aboriginal & ethnic groups
- Economically Disadvantaged
- Youth
- Disabled
- Single Parents

The City's Role in Social Development

**History and Jurisdiction:**

Historically, the City of Saskatoon has not been directly involved in the delivery of social services to individual residents within the municipality. During the depression years, the civic administration participated, with senior levels of government, in programs to combat unemployment and provide relief to unemployed persons. However, the two senior levels of government took over the civic welfare role and related issues (like employment) in the 1940's. Since that time, the City of Saskatoon has not provided social services to individual residents.

The current *Urban Municipalities Act* has a number of sections which have an impact on the social well-being of the city's residents. However, a review of case law by the City Solicitor's office indicates that the courts interpretation of the City's role in promoting the health, safety, morality, and welfare of residents is very narrow and would not include involvement in such issues as family violence, prostitution, hunger, child care and welfare, poverty, employment, mental health, education and training, stable human relationships and parental practices, and teen pregnancy and sexuality (all as listed above). This is not to say that the City of Saskatoon could not take on other roles if the taxpayers of Saskatoon chose to do so,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 95**

but it does indicate that the *Urban Municipalities Act* does not mandate it to do so.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 96**

The *Urban Municipalities Act* does provide jurisdiction to municipalities for the health, safety, morality, and welfare of the city's residents as follows:

- sport, culture and recreation activities and facilities
- public parks, forest areas, green space
- museums, zoos, art galleries, etc.
- licensing and regulation of businesses
- housing and occupancy
- maintenance of buildings and structures
- noise, refuse, and waste control
- fire regulations and protection
- curfews for minors
- swimming pools
- water supply
- smoking
- public health bylaw subject to the *Public Health Act*

The City of Saskatoon's 1993 Strategic Plan includes, in its vision, a number of characteristics that, if achieved, will address the social aspects of our community and create opportunities for positive, individual lifestyle choices. The vision states that Saskatoon will be a city where:

- a. people will feel safe and secure;
- b. cultural, leisure, and fitness activities flourish year round;
- c. people enjoy excellent basic services such as water, transportation, and sewer collection;
- d. people have improved access to housing;
- e. each neighbourhood will be liveable and enjoyable;
- f. people will take charge and take responsibility for their own issues;
- g. people are free from hunger and poverty; and,
- h. we will create high quality employment through investment in our community.

All of these characteristics will create and promote quality of life, economic viability, managed growth, quilt of neighbourhoods, and positive environmental management for the City of Saskatoon.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 97**

**Current Initiatives:**

The City's current approach to providing services that address the social aspects of our community is one which emphasizes centralized coordination while delivering services in a decentralized manner. The City does not designate one department to be solely responsible for the social well-being of the City. The City provides a number of programs and takes a variety of actions to ensure the social well-being within the city through many different departments, boards, commissions, and committees of Council. A summary of these on-going initiatives is found in Attachment A.

The programs and services in Attachment A are grouped by common areas and placed under the jurisdiction of a segment of the Corporation which is in the best position to develop and deliver those programs and services. Similarly, coordination is assigned to an individual or work unit whose day-to-day contacts within the community make it both practical and effective to serve as a logical point of contact on a particular issue. One example is accessibility where an individual from the Leisure Services Department is appointed to coordinate issues related to this matter although a number of different departments contribute to the City's overall accessibility for our citizens.

The City also provides funding to Social Serving Agencies through the Assistance to Community Groups - Cash Grant Program Social Services. This Cash Grant program assists social serving agencies within the community to deliver services and crosses over a number of the social issues listed. The City increased their share of this program in 1996 when the Federal CAP program was cut back. This top-up by the municipality allowed the cash grant program to maintain itself at 1995 levels.

The City's current involvement in the scope of social issues in our community is shown in the chart on the next three pages. The chart provides a view of our community in the five areas which we believe create and sustain our physical and social environment. The areas are meant to show a hierarchy beginning with the physical infrastructure expanding to individual values. The chart also lists the social issues as described earlier in this report and provides an overview of the services the City provides to address them as described in detail in Attachment A. The chart also describes the role the City plays in addressing each social issue defined as follows:

1. Regulatory and/or Legislated  
Role is to regulate the physical environment and people's conduct and behaviour (e.g. laws, bylaws, housing standards, maintenance, etc.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
 PAGE 98**

2. Community Development and Volunteer Support  
 Role to supplement and support the community in its efforts to directly deliver programs and services (e.g. support grants to community groups, education and awareness, etc.)
  
3. Direct Delivery  
 Role is to directly provide a service where no one else is generally providing it (e.g. facilities, parks, transportation, protective services, water treatment, pest control, etc.)

**SOCIAL ASPECTS OF OUR COMMUNITY**

Note: Refer to Attachment A for details.

ASPECTS	Social Issues	SERVICES	ROLES
Individual Values (what is created from individual choices & behaviours)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Child care/welfare</li> <li>.. Family Violence</li> <li>.. Prostitution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communities for Children Mechanism</li> <li>• Police Services</li> <li>• Police Services; Mayor's Task Force on Child Prostitution; Communities for Children Mechanism</li> <li>• Nil</li> <li>• Nil</li> <li>• Nil</li> </ul>	2
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Mental Health - addictions</li> <li>.. Stable relationships\parental practices</li> <li>.. Teen pregnancies\sexuality</li> </ul>		1 1/2
ASPECTS	Social Issues	SERVICES	ROLES
Community Values (what the collective community cares about that shapes our community)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Culture (and heritage)</li> <li>.. Racism</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mendel Art Gallery; Cross - Cultural Training Heritage Policy</li> <li>• Race Relations Committee</li> </ul>	2/3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Housing</li> <li>.. Accessibility</li> </ul>		2
Social Infrastructure (what the community provides for the collective good of all, e.g. recreation, education, health, economic stability,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Housing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social Housing Committee and Facilitator; Condo Conversion Policy; Maintenance Issues; Property Maintenance and Occupancy Bylaw; Home Occupation Policy; etc.</li> <li>• Accessibility Subsidy Programs; Transportation; Urban Design; Barrier</li> </ul>	1/2/3
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.. Accessibility</li> </ul>		1/2/3

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
 MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
 PAGE 99**

ASPECTS	Social Issues	SERVICES	ROLES
etc.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recreation, Physical Health, &amp; Wellness</li> <li>• Employment</li> <li>• Hunger</li> <li>• Poverty</li> <li>• Education\training</li> </ul>	Free Facilities; etc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sport, culture, recreation, and parks; Community Development; Joint Use Agreement; Strategic Alliances; Aboriginal programs; subsidization; etc.</li> <li>• Major Employer within City; Employment Equity Program; Contribution to Tourism, EDA, Wanuskewin; etc.</li> <li>• Child Hunger &amp; Education; Summer Snack Program</li> <li>• Nil</li> <li>• Nil</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2/3</li> <li>1/2</li> <li>2</li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>
Governance of Behaviour (law, order, & justice - we are all governed as part of a community in terms of behaviour)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety &amp; security</li> <li>• Prostitution</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Police Services; Victim Services; Urban design; by-law inspection program; Property Mtce &amp; Occupancy Bylaw; etc.</li> <li>• Police Services; Communities for Children</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1/3</li> <li>1/2</li> </ul>
Physical Infrastructure (the physical environment in which we live)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transportation</li> <li>• Safety &amp; security</li> <li>• Accessibility</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public Transit; Roadways &amp; sidewalks</li> <li>• Fire &amp; Protective Services; Water Treatment; Waste Water Treatment; Solid Waste Management; Building &amp; Zoning Standards; Land Use Planning &amp; Development; etc.</li> <li>• Barrier-Free facilities, amenities &amp; services</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1/3</li> <li>1/3</li> <li>1/3</li> </ul>

**KEY - Potential Roles**

1. Regulatory\Legislated
2. Community Development/Volunteer support -
  - financial
  - volunteer
  - agencies
  - leadership

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 100**

3. Direct delivery

**Community Concerns:**

In recent years, many groups within our community have brought forward their concerns surrounding changes that are being made to the 'social safety net' at the provincial and federal levels. These changes include a reduction in funding, programs, and services previously delivered by these governments, and a reduction in government funding for programs delivered by non-governmental organizations (NGO's). Many social service agencies, consumers, and active citizens believe that the changes that have occurred, and are still to come, will result in an even greater need for the local government to take the leadership role in ensuring quality of life for its citizens and in involving itself in the social aspects of our community.

The Partners for Community Planning Committee, the United Way, and the Social Planning Council, other self-appointed committees, and adhoc groups have all advocated to City Council for some form of a coordinated, planned effort to deal with the social aspects of our community, and also to support non-government organizations who are already dealing with them and are providing services within the community.

The request from the community is for the City to play a broader community role in addressing the social aspects of our community. Undertaking a broad role to promote and support quality of life and provide opportunities for individuals to make the right lifestyle choices within our community is a different aspect to social development than directly assisting individuals to cope with the various social conditions which may or may not be the consequence of making a negative lifestyle choice.

In light of the community's request, the Administration undertook a review of the City's current role in social development and planning for the purpose of identifying areas where the City's involvement should be enhanced or expanded. The following section describes the results of this review.

**City of Saskatoon - Future Municipal Role in Social Development and Planning:**

A review of the City's involvement in the way we currently involve ourselves as a municipality in the social aspects of our community reveals the following shortcomings despite the many things we do:

1. We fail to document and publicize what we are already doing, and as a result, the community perceives that we are not doing anything.
2. We have been very reactive and have not defined the scope of social issues that we will involve ourselves in and whether that role will be a direct or indirect role.
3. We have failed to link and share our resources and expertise with the community at large, and with agencies and consumers.

The City of Saskatoon is already involving itself in social development activities, as evidenced in Attachment A, and the Administration suggests that the City continue to involve itself as it is currently in all of the efforts found in Attachment A. However, the Administration recommends that the City maintain, expand, or add activities in the future to try to alleviate the shortcomings of our current involvement as follows:

1. Strategic Plan

The vision set out in the City's Strategic Plan would indicate that City Council wishes to include issues around hunger, poverty, and employment as part of their direction for action for the Corporation. Since these issues fall outside of the scope of the *Urban Municipalities Act*, City Council may want to reconsider including them in the Strategic Plan. Contrarily, City Council may want to make a decision to include these three issues as part of the City's social development strategy. However, the provincial and federal governments have traditionally been mandated to deal with these three social issues, and Saskatoon taxpayers already make a large contribution to these efforts. Taking on these issues at the municipal level may be viewed by some as double taxation. It may, however, be feasible for the municipality to play a role in fostering a climate that encourages business and employment opportunities and to support non-governmental human service agencies (through funding under the Social Services Cash Grant Program) in their delivery of services (i.e. funding to Food Bank).

2. Regulatory and/or Legislated Physical Infrastructure

The City is governed by the *Urban Municipalities Act* and by local bylaws and policies that set the parameters for the regulation of the physical environment, facilities, parks, and people's conduct and behaviour related to health and safety.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 102**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 103**

The City must continue to create a safe and healthy, secure environment through the physical infrastructure and to develop land use plans and policies that balance the economic, environmental, cultural, and social aspects of our community (e.g. laws, bylaws, housing standards, maintenance, transportation, protective services, water treatment, waste management, pest control, emergency measures, accessibility subsidies, etc.)

3. Social Housing

Since housing is a health and economic issue, it is often the basic platform for the correction of social dysfunction. When families are safe and clean in permanent housing, health programming for children and adults can be rooted. When personal health is improved, education programming can be stabilized. When education is improved, workforce programming and job participation can improve enough to break the economic cycle of poverty.

Therefore, the Administration recommends that the City support and strengthen its involvement in social housing, including providing financial support to the on-going efforts of the Social Housing Facilitator and the Committee.

4. Community Development, Coordination, and Volunteer Support

The facilitation and coordination of services to address the changing social environment of our community and supporting the community volunteer in their efforts to directly deliver programs and services which contribute to a healthier and stronger community, are roles that the City should expand upon. This would involve an emphasis on increasing the capacities, and/or reducing the limitations of the community, as opposed to the individual.

If differences did not exist in the capacities of various segments of our community, and everyone was making positive lifestyle choices, the City wouldn't need to be a part of coordinating the social needs of our community. However, since this is not the case, the City should adopt a role that coordinates services within the departments, Boards, and Commissions of the City (where it makes sense to do so), and utilizes a community development approach to assist community social serving agencies in their efforts to deliver services that address various social issues within the community.

The City should expand our support services to volunteer social service agencies, through our existing community development model, that encourage non-profit organizations to be self-sufficient in their delivery of services within the community

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**  
**MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997**  
**PAGE 104**

because they can, and do, provide them in a more cost-effective way than the City can. This would include providing support to the Saskatoon Social Planning Council and other key players in the community to enable the community to liaise with the City of Saskatoon.

The City of Saskatoon does not believe the provision of social welfare programs is within the jurisdiction of the municipal government; however, we do feel that there can be a role for us to play in supporting volunteers who provide social programs through non-governmental organizations (NGO's). However, the City's role would not be directly related to the identification and implementation of services, as is the case when we provide support services to sport, culture, recreation, and community associations. Rather, our efforts would be to support volunteers on the condition that they can demonstrate an accountability to the community they serve (service priorities are to be community driven as opposed to being directed by the City or the volunteers).

To this end, the Administration recommends that the City provide, through its Community Development Branch in the Leisure Services Department, an additional staff member to assist social serving agencies in the community, as follows:

- leadership development and training for volunteers
- advice on organizational development when requested, (i.e. how to define a problem, determine solutions, and work with others to provide services)
- provide for volunteer forums and conferences when required by these groups
- administer Social Services Cash Grants to eligible groups
- a central contact point for coordinating city delivered services

5. Funding to Community Groups - Cash Grant Program

Financial assistance, through the City of Saskatoon Cash Grants Program, Social Services Component, should continue to be provided to assist community groups in the delivery and coordination of programs to meet the needs of the community and towards processes that coordinate the assessment, development, and delivery of services. The City should coordinate their funding with other community funders. The Administration would recommend that the City maintain the Cash Grants at the 1995 level, (including the Canada Assistance Plan portion) as an interim measure with a long-range plan of reviewing this fund with the involvement of community agencies in restructuring the adjudication and allocation of funds.

The Administration's position is that it is better to take a planned approach to dealing with the social aspects of our community instead of dealing with lobby groups and



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 105**

narrowly\focused action proposals. This adhoc approach has not met with much acceptance in the community and it is hoped that the above actions will alleviate the need for situational efforts.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

1. The strategy would require that one permanent, full-time consultant staff year be added to the Community Development Branch of the Leisure Services Department with qualifications and assignment for a social development portfolio at an annual cost of up to \$46,000, including payroll costs. Materials, supplies, and public consultation and coordination would cost approximately an additional \$6,500, for a total annual budget of \$51,500.
2. The strategy would require one permanent, full-time Social Housing Facilitator at a cost of \$66,950.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Attachment A - City of Saskatoon Current Initiatives
2. Attachment B - Other cities involvement"

**REPORT NO. 2-1997 OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF DEFINED CONTRIBUTION  
PLAN FOR SEASONAL AND NON-PERMANENT PART-TIME EMPLOYEES**

Composition of Committee

Mr. M. Baraniecki, Chair  
Mr. M. Sorensen  
Councillor K. Waygood  
Mr. P. Michie  
Ms. J. Schlechte  
Mr. W. Wallace

- 1. Amendments to Effective Date of Amending Bylaws  
Requested by Revenue Canada  
Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Pension Plan  
(File No. CK. 175-40)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council consider Bylaw No. 7642.

*ADOPTED.*

By way of Bylaw No. 7389 major amendments were made to the Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Pension Plan in order to comply with the coming into force of *The Pension Benefits Act, 1992*. The effective date of the amendments as specified in Bylaw No. 7389 was January 1, 1993. The Plan was subsequently amended by Bylaw No. 7471 which made certain amendments to the Death Benefits and Forms of Retirement Benefit provisions of the Plan. The effective date of these amendments was also January 1, 1993.

The effective dates of these Bylaws were in accordance with the requirements of the Superintendent of Pensions. However, the Trustees have been notified by Revenue Canada, through the Employee Benefits Manager, that the effective dates of Bylaw No. 7389 and Bylaw No. 7471 should be January 1, 1992 for the purposes of registration of the Plan as amended under the *Income Tax Act*. Revenue Canada has further indicated to the Employee Benefits Manager that a further Bylaw which amends the effective date of each of Bylaw No. 7389 and Bylaw No. 7471 to January 1, 1992 would be acceptable.

The Trustees at their meeting of April 17, 1997 approved an amendment to the Plan in order to comply with the request of Revenue Canada. *The Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Pension Registration (Consequential Amendment) Bylaw, 1997* amends the effective dates of both Bylaw No. 7389 and 7471.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 107**

**REPORT NO 3-1997 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor D. L. Birkmaier, Chair  
Councillor H. Langlois  
Councillor K. Waygood  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor Jill Postlethwaite  
His Worship the Mayor

**1. Request to Sell City-Owned Property  
Parcel E, Plan 96-S-55464  
Kenderdine Road, Erindale Neighbourhood  
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- a) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell Parcel E, Plan 96-S-55464 by public tender with a reserve bid of \$192,800; and
  - b) that should no acceptable bids be received for Parcel E, Plan 96-S-55464, it be added to the Land Branch Miscellaneous Price List for sale over-the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis, at an amount equal to the reserve bid.

*ADOPTED.*

At its meeting held April 28, 1997, City Council passed Bylaws 7606 and 7607 regarding rezoning of the Keevil Crescent area. from a B.4 zoning designation to a M.2 zoning designation Your Committee has considered the following report of the General Manager, Planning and Building Department dated January 24, 1997, and supports the proposal regarding the sale of Parcel E, as noted:

**“BACKGROUND**

On November 20, 1995, City Council approved the sale of a 3.85 acre parcel of land (Parcel C) zoned M3A located on Keevil Way, subject to the completion of a subdivision for the parcel to remove a buffer strip in order to provide access to Berini Drive. The sale price of the parcel was established at \$624,000.00.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 108**

Recently, the University Heights Replotting Scheme was registered in the Land Titles Office. This replot created the final configuration for the Keevil Crescent area, accommodating the commercial land owned by Westfair Foods, removing the buffer strip from the City-owned Parcel C and establishing the balance of the City-owned institutional parcels including Parcel E. (Under the replot Parcel C was also redesignated as Parcel H.)

Currently, a rezoning of the Keevil Crescent area is underway to bring it into conformance with the City's Development Plan. Included in the rezoning is the change to Parcel H from an M3A designation to M2.

**REPORT**

Servicing of the Keevil Crescent area is scheduled to be completed this summer. However, there appears to be an immediate demand for institutional land within the University Heights area. Therefore, we are seeking approval to sell Parcel E which is located on Kenderdine Road and serviced. The lot is approximately 0.4877 hectares in size and is intended for development under the M2 zoning designation. The sale price for the lot has been established as follows:

Services (Based on 1996 Prepaid Rate)	\$123,684
Land	58,203
Administration Fee (6% of services and land)	<u>10,913</u>
Sale Price	\$192,800

Parcel E will be offered for sale by public tender with a reserve bid equal to its sale price. If the lot is not sold by tender, it will be made available for sale over the counter in the Land Branch, on a first-come, first-serve basis.

At the same time we tender Parcel E, we will also tender Parcel H with a reserve bid equal to its previously approved price of \$624,000. Your staff have reviewed this sale price in light of the zoning change for the parcel and feel it accurately reflects the value of M2 land at this location. A plan identifying both Parcel E and Parcel H is attached for reference. (Attachment 1)

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plan showing Parcel E and Parcel H, Plan No. 96S55464."

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 109**

**REPORT NO. 7-1997 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chair  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor D. L. Birkmaier  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor A. Langford  
Councillor H. Langlois  
Councillor P. McCann  
Councillor J. Postlethwaite  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor R. Steernberg  
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Maximum Length of Service  
Visual Arts Placement Jury  
(File No. CK. 225-44)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the maximum total number of years that any person may serve on the Visual Arts Placement Jury be six years.

*ADOPTED.*

The terms of reference of the Visual Arts Placement Jury indicated that the maximum total number of any years that any one person may serve is five years. This is different than the maximum term of service on all other boards and committees, which is six.

In order to be consistent, your Committee puts forward the above recommendation.

**2. Application for Economic Incentive  
International Road Dynamics  
(File No. CK. 3500-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that a five-year tax abatement be granted to International Road Dynamics Inc. as follows:

- a) On the purchase of property described as 702 - 43<sup>rd</sup> Street East, the tax abatement is to be calculated on a

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 110**

sliding scale as follows:

50% in year 1  
40% in year 2  
30% in year 3  
20% in year 4  
10% in year 5

- b) On the expanded portion of the business located at 702 - 43<sup>rd</sup> Street East, the tax abatement is to be calculated on a sliding scale as follows:

100% in year 1  
80% in year 2  
70% in year 3  
60% in year 4  
50% in year 5

- c) On the purchase of property adjacent to 702 - 43<sup>rd</sup> Street East, to be acquired by IRD for the development of a new head office building, that Council recommend to its successor that a five-year tax abatement be applied once construction of the new building is initiated, provided that this construction commence prior to the end of 1999; and

- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate Bylaw and Agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered the attached business incentive application from International Road Dynamics.

**3. Application for Economic Incentive  
Bridon Pacific Limited  
(File No. CK.3500-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that a five-year tax abatement be granted to Bridon Pacific Limited as follows:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 111**

50% in year 1  
40% in year 2  
30% in year 3  
20% in year 4  
10% in year 5; and

- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate Bylaw and Agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered the attached business incentive application from Bridon Pacific Limited.

**4. Application For Economic Incentive  
WaveCom Electronics Inc.  
(File No. CK. 3500-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that a five-year tax abatement be granted to WaveCom Electronics Inc. as follows:

100% in year 1  
80% in year 2  
70% in year 3  
60% in year 4  
50% in year 5; and

- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate Bylaw and Agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered the attached business incentive application from WaveCom Electronics Inc.

**5. Appointments to Albert Community Centre  
Management Committee  
(File No. CK. 225-27)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Rolf Krohn and Darrel Epp be appointed to the Albert Community Centre Management Committee for the year 1997

*ADOPTED.*

There are two vacancies on the Albert Community Centre Management Committee.

**REPORT NO. 8-1997 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship the Mayor, Chair  
Councillor D. Atchison  
Councillor D. L. Birkmaier  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor A. Langford  
Councillor H. Langlois  
Councillor P. McCann  
Councillor J. Postlethwaite  
Councillor P. Roe  
Councillor R. Steernberg  
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Fire Suppression Agreement/Fee-for-Service Agreement  
with the Tribal Council of the Whitecap Indian Reserve  
(File No. CK. 290)**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that upon completion of discussions with the Whitecap Indian Reserve, the City of Saskatoon enter into an agreement with the Tribal Council of the Whitecap Indian Reserve for the rental/lease of a used fire engine and training;
- 2) that Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services provide fire inspection, fire safety education, and instructional services to the Whitecap Indian Reserve on a fee-for-service basis; and,
- 3) that upon completion of the negotiations with the Whitecap Indian Reserve, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 113**

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the General Manager, Fire and Protective Services dated April 17, 1997 and submits the above recommendation.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 114**

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,*

*THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted*

*CARRIED.*

**ENQUIRIES**

**Councillor Waygood  
Redevelopment Levy  
(File No. CK. 4140-1)**

Would the Administration please report on the feasibility and cost of implementing a Redevelopment Levy.

In other jurisdictions, a Redevelopment Levy has been introduced to cover costs incurred whenever there is a change in land use density.

The City of Saskatoon could consider a Redevelopment Levy as a fair charge for anticipated repairs and replacement of existing infrastructure. Currently these costs are born by all taxpayers.

In light of Plan Saskatoon's direction towards a more compact city and appropriate infill, (Plan Saskatoon Newsletter - May 8, 1997) a consideration of the Redevelopment Levy is timely.

**Councillor Langlois  
School Safety Patrols  
(File No. CK. 5200-1)**

School safety patrols have been a partnership among teachers, police, traffic engineers, parents, pupils, Blades, Hilltops and other community agencies for more than 20 years, irrespective of budget decisions. In 1994, when the police budget was reduced from 1993 levels, school safety patrols were continued.

Would the Board of Police Commissioners please respond to the following:

- 1) Will the Police Commission continue its support of the school safety patrols beyond the end of May, 1997 as a community partner to enhance the safety of our children?

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 115**

- 2) Will the Police Commission continue to provide resources for the training of patrols, the purchase of equipment and supplies for the program, the follow-up violations identified by school patrollers, and the supervision of the program?
- 3) Will the Police Commission continue to provide assistance to the teachers to provide incentives to pupils involved in the school safety patrol program.

**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw No. 7642**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7642, being "*The Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees Pension Plan Registration (Consequential Amendment) Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT Bylaw No. 7642 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7642.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 116**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7642 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7642 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7642 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 117**

**Bylaw No. 7643**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7643, being "*The Mill Rate Factors Amendment Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT Bylaw No. 7643 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7643.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7643 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 118**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,  
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,  
THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7643 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,  
THAT Bylaw No. 7643 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor  
and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 7644**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,  
THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7644, being "*Transit Fares  
Amendment Bylaw, 1997 (No. 2)*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 119**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,  
THAT Bylaw No. 7644 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,  
THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7644.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.  
Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7644 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,  
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,  
THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7644 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 120**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7644 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 7647**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7647, being "*The Broadway Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT Bylaw No. 7647 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7647.

CARRIED.



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 121**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7647 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7647 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7647 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 122**

**Bylaw No. 7648**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7648, being "*The Downtown Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,

THAT Bylaw No. 7648 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7648.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7648 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 123**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7648 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7648 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

**Bylaw No. 7649**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 7649, being "*The Riversdale Business Improvement District Levy Bylaw, 1997*" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 124**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langford,  
THAT Bylaw No. 7649 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,  
THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 7649.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Postlethwaite in the Chair.  
Committee arose.

Councillor Postlethwaite, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 7649 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Langlois,  
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,  
THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 7649 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
MONDAY, MAY 12, 1997  
PAGE 125**

Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite, Seconded by Councillor Waygood,

THAT Bylaw No. 7649 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

*Moved by Councillor Postlethwaite,*

*THAT the meeting stand adjourned.*

*CARRIED.*

The meeting adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

---

Mayor

---

City Clerk