

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, June 24, 2002
at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship Mayor Maddin, in the Chair;
Councillors Atchison, Fortosky, Heidt, McCann, Paulsen, Penner,
Roe, Steernberg and Swystun;
City Manager Richards;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
A/General Manager, Corporate Services Richards;
A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Drever
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Hewitt;
General Manager, Utility Services Munch;
City Solicitor Dust;
City Clerk Mann;
A/Councillors' Assistant Long.

PRESENTATION

His Worship the Mayor presented a plaque to the Cherneskey family in memory of the loyalty and dedication of Morris Cherneskey for his many years of service to the aims and objectives of the Trans Canada Yellowhead Highway Association.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on June 10, 2002 be approved.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

- 2a) Discretionary Use Application – Converted Dwelling (2 Units)
Lot 8, Block 86, Plan Q
615 University Drive – Nutana Neighbourhood
Applicant: Russell Sesula
(File No. CK. 4355-1)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that the necessary notification poster has been placed on the site and letters have been sent to adjacent land owners within 60 metres of the site.

Attached is a report of the Community Services Department dated May 13, 2002, recommending that the application submitted by Russell Sesula requesting permission to use Lot 8, Block 86, Plan Q (615 University Drive) for the purpose of a converted dwelling consisting of two units be approved, subject to:

- a) the applicant obtaining a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a two-unit converted building; and
- b) the owner/applicant being solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections.

Attached is a report of the Municipal Planning Commission dated May 29, 2002 advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendations.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department’s support of the application.

Mr. John Waddington, Member, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission’s support of the application.

Moved by Councillor Swystun, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 3**

THAT the application submitted by Russell Sesula requesting permission to use Lot 8, Block 86, Plan Q (615 University Drive) for the purpose of a converted dwelling consisting of two units be approved, subject to:

- 1) the applicant obtaining a development permit and all other relevant permits (such as building and plumbing permits) prior to the use of this site for the purpose of a two-unit converted building; and*
- 2) the owner/applicant being solely responsible for any work and expense associated with upgrades to water and sewer connections.*

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

- 1) Ed Holgate
Saskatoon, dated June 9**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to motor boats on the Saskatchewan River in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 5520-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Ed Holgate be heard.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Ed Holgate be heard.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 4**

Mr. Ed Holgate, Saskatoon, expressed concerns with respect to power boats being allowed on the Saskatchewan River in Saskatoon. He outlined issues such as noise pollution and danger to the wildlife, and requested that Council make efforts to close the boat launch.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Meewasin Valley Authority.

CARRIED.

**2) Kim Beaudin, Project Coordinator
Saskatoon Indian and Metis Friendship Centre, dated June 17**

Requesting permission for representatives of the Victim Restitution Project to make a presentation with respect to "Youth Works". (File No. CK. 5500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that representatives of the Victim Restitution Project be heard.

The City Clerk advised Council that Kim Beaudin has requested that his letter be withdrawn and resubmitted to the July 15, 2002 Council meeting.

**3) Lori Pulai
Saskatoon, dated June 17**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan. (File No. CK. 4000-1)

**4) Carol Bruce
Saskatoon, undated**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan. (File No. CK. 4000-1)

5) Ilsa Arnesen-Kun, dated June 18

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan. (File No. CK. 4000-1)

6) Cindy Popadynech, Administrative Assistant

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 5**

Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated June 19

Requesting permission for Fred Betker to address Council with respect to the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan. (File No. CK. 4000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Clause 1, Report No. 4-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered, and that the speakers be heard.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Clause 1, Report No. 4-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission be brought forward and considered, and that the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

“REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

**1. Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan
(File No. CK. 4000-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan dated May 10, 2002, be approved, in principle;
- 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department be instructed to report to City Council on the implementation of the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan; and
- 3) that prior to implementation of recommendations under Section 1.3.4 concerning the Reinvestment Area (RA), the Administration meet with the affected property owners to draft specific development standards for a revised RA District suitable for the properties identified and that once the proposed development standards are created, they be presented to City Council.

Your Commission has received a presentation with respect to the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan dated May 10, 2002. Copies of the report of the Community Services Department, including copies of the above Plan, were circulated to your Commission at its meeting held on June 18, 2002.

Recognizing that this is a community in crisis, your Commission is prepared to recommend approval, in principle, of the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan at this time, although it has not had an opportunity to fully review the entire report. In light of the major and significant problems that

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 6

need to be addressed immediately, your Commission also intends to carry on with this review and to discuss issues with other partners as to what can be done in terms of recommending options to City Council. Your Commission wants to ensure that there is an opportunity to put forward further recommendations with respect to this issue if it is found to be necessary in the future.

During review of the matter, your Commission was advised that the Administration is beginning discussions with respect to developing an action plan to deal with issues that could be addressed immediately and your Commission would encourage the City to continue to work on the list of priorities that is being developed. Your Commission supports the recommendation of the Administration with respect to a report to City Council on the implementation of the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan.

Further to this, your Commission concurs with the Administration that consultation with affected property owners must occur prior to the implementation of recommendations under Section 1.3.4 regarding the Reinvestment Area.

Attached is a copy of the above-noted report of the Community Services Department dated June 11, 2002, together with a copy of the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan Final Draft Report dated May 10, 2002.”

Ms. Lori Pulai, Saskatoon, outlined some of the problems in the Riversdale Community, and suggested that the City implement a tax-free zone for the residences in the area, to encourage people to buy homes. She asked that the community be an integral part of the decision-making process.

Ms. Carol Bruce, Saskatoon, spoke in support of the Local Area Plan and indicated that the Community Association sees it as the first step in creating a strong and vibrant community. She provided Council with a copy of her presentation, as well as copies of letters and a petition with approximately 28 signatures, from members of the community, expressing support for the Local Area Plan.

Ms. Ilsa Arnesen-Kun spoke in support of the Local Area Plan and pointed out some of the problems in the area.

Mr. Fred Betker, Riversdale Business Improvement District, indicated the Riversdale Business Improvement District's support of the Local Area Plan. He expressed personal concerns with respect to the possibility of rezoning his business on Avenue P South.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 7**

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

- 1) *that the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan dated May 10, 2002, be approved, in principle;*
- 2) *that the General Manager, Community Services Department be instructed to report to City Council on the implementation of the Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan; and*
- 3) *that prior to implementation of recommendations under Section 1.3.4 concerning the Reinvestment Area (RA), the Administration meet with the affected property owners to draft specific development standards for a revised RA District suitable for the properties identified and that once the proposed development standards are created, they be presented to City Council.*

CARRIED.

REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED

- 7) **Alice Farness**
Saskatoon, dated June 17

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to home inspections. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Alice Farness be heard.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT Alice Farness be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Alice Farness, Saskatoon, spoke with respect to home inspections and the dangers of allowing a stranger into your home.

Moved by Councillor Atchison , Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 8**

**8) Debby Claude, Market Coordinator, Saskatoon Farmers' Market and
Joanne Benesh, Industry Dev. Officer, Sask. Fruit Growers Association, dated June
19**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Saskatoon Berry Festival to be held on Saturday, July 27, 2002. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Debby Claude and Joanne Benesh be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT Debby Claude and Joanne Benesh be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Debby Claude, Saskatoon Farmers' Market, and Ms. Joanne Benesh, Saskatchewan Fruit Growers Association, outlined some of the plans for the Saskatoon Berry Festival to be held on Saturday, July 27, 2002. They asked that Council approve the closure of 4th Avenue between 23rd and 24th Street from 6:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. for the event. They invited members of Council to participate in the Festival.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the request for temporary closure of 4th Avenue, between 23rd and 24th Street, from 6:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., on July 27, 2002, be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

**9) Leanne Arnott, Vice President, Film & Television
Edge Entertainment Inc., dated June 19**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to use of the Gathercole Building. (File No. CK. 4215-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Leanne Arnott be heard.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT Leanne Arnott be heard.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 9**

Ms. Leanne Arnott, Edge Entertainment Inc., thanked Council for its support and for allowing the filming of the television series, "Body and Soul", in the Gathercole Building. She advised that she will be inviting Council to take a tour of "Century Hospital" and to meet the creator and director of the series.

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,
THAT the information be received.*

CARRIED.

AA. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Auto Check and Others, undated

Two letters expressing opposition to the resolution passed by a majority of municipalities at the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association (SUMA) Convention which called for new municipal revenue streams, over and above property taxes and user fees. (File No. CK. 1910-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,
THAT the information be received.*

CARRIED.

**2) Chris Beavis
Hotel Senator, Winston's English Pub, undated**

Requesting permission for temporary closure of the alley behind the Hotel Senator, from the alley entrance on 3rd Avenue to the hotel, on June 29, 2002, from 6:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m., in connection with a courtyard event. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,
THAT the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.*

CARRIED.

3) Evelyn Wojcichowsky

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 10**

Ukraine Day Committee, dated June 5

Requesting temporary closure of Spadina Crescent from 20th Street, south to the Victoria Bridge, on August 24, 2002 from 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., in connection with Ukraine Day in the Park festivities. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the request be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

**4) Ted Fortosky, Chair
St. Mary's Parish Church, dated June 10**

Requesting that Council refuse permission for the Red Rock Grill to relocate into the Pleasant Hill community, at the corner of 22nd Street and Avenue O. (File No. CK. 4355-1) (Note: For City Council's information, an application has not been received from the Red Rock Grill.)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received and that St. Mary's Parish Church be advised that no application has been received from the Red Rock Grill.

CARRIED.

**5) Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director
The Chamber, dated June 12**

Submitting a copy of a media release issued by the Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce on June 11, 2002, with respect to Commercial and Industrial Property Tax Assessment Base Growth. (File No. CK. 1920-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 11**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**6) Jeffrey J. Smart, Vice President and General Manager
Tacel Ltd., dated June 10**

Submitting comments with respect to the award of the tender for the Advanced Traffic Management System, and asking that the City tender the cabinets and controllers required. (File No. CK.1600-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

**7) Don B. Rogers
Kingston, Ontario, dated June 14**

Submitting comments regarding a police matter. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 12**

**8) Ron Osika
Saskatchewan Government Relations, dated June 11**

Submitting a copy of a letter addressed to Joseph Kuchta replying to questions regarding the Transit Assistance for the Disabled (TFD) Program operating funding for the City of Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 7305-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**9) John T. Nilson, Q.C.
Minister of Health, dated June 11**

Acknowledging receipt of letter with respect to Council's resolution of May 6, 2002 on Medicare. (Files CK. 277-1 and 3000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**10) Roy Romanow, Commissioner
Commission on the Future of Health Care in Canada, dated June 13**

Acknowledging receipt of letter with respect to Council's resolution of May 6, 2002 on Medicare. (Files CK. 277-1 and 3000-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 13**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**11) Janice Mann, Secretary to the Board
Board of Police Commissioners, dated June 18**

Providing information regarding the budgetary impact of the settlement with the City Police Association. (File No. CK. 4720-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**12) Marianne Vibert, Promotion Director
Rock 102 FM & 650 CKOM, dated January 6**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of August 19 to 25, 2002 as Cruise Week in Saskatoon, and submitting various requests regarding the 20th Annual 650 CKOM & Rock 102 FM Cruise Weekend to be held on August 22 to 25, 2002. (Files CK. 205-1 and 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council proclaim the week of August 19 to 25, 2002 as Cruise Week in Saskatoon;
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation on behalf of City Council; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 14**

- 3) that the requests in connection with the 20th Annual 650 CKOM & Rock 102 FM Cruise Weekend be approved, subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

- 1) *that City Council proclaim the week of August 19 to 25, 2002 as Cruise Week in Saskatoon;*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation on behalf of City Council; and*
- 3) *that the requests in connection with the 20th Annual 650 CKOM & Rock 102 FM Cruise Weekend be approved, subject to administrative conditions.*

CARRIED.

**13) Rev. Douglas L. Cooney, Pastor
Louise Street Community Church, dated June 17**

Expressing opposition to any expansion of casino gambling in the city or province. (File No. CK. 4110-23)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and joined to the file.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the information be received and joined to the file.

CARRIED.

**14) Buckley Belanger, Acting Minister
Environment and Resource Management, dated June 17**

Acknowledging receipt of letter dated May 20, 2002 regarding the establishment of a household hazardous waste disposal program using the stewardship approach. (File No. CK. 375-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 15**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received and forwarded to the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

**15) Janice Mann, Secretary to the Board
Board of Police Commissioners, dated June 18**

Submitting a report of the Chief of Police dated June 18, 2002, providing information with respect to the enquiry made by Councillor Penner at the meeting of City Council held on June 10, 2002, regarding a telephone survey being conducted on behalf of the Police Service. (File CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**16) G. Broste, Superintendent
Community Policing Support Division, dated June 17**

Announcing that the Saskatoon Police Service will be holding Class #2 of the Community Police Academy beginning in September 2002, and requesting a representative from City Council to take part in the academy. (Files CK. 5000-7 and 740-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Steernberg, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received and referred to the Executive Committee.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 16**

**17) Donna Bourk
Red Deer, Albert, dated June 18**

Suggesting that the bus terminal be moved beside the Police Station. (File No. CK. 7311-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**18) Kellen Ashworth
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**19) Norval Wells
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**20) Anne Luross
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**21) Dr. Darren R. Korbet
Saskatoon, dated June 10**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**22) Barbara A. Ashworth
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

23) Mike and Pearl Zalac

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 17**

Saskatoon, dated June 10

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**24) Dr. R.S. Ubhi
Saskatoon, dated June 10**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**25) Dr. S. Ubhi
Saskatoon, dated June 11**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**26) Mandey Ubhi
Saskatoon, dated June 11**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**27) Dianna and Harry Thompson
Saskatoon, undated**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**28) Brent and Laurie Norman
Saskatoon, undated**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**29) Lynda Schapansky
Saskatoon, dated June 5**

Expressing opposition to a fire hall in the Sid Buckwold Park. (File No. CK. 630-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 18**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and forwarded to the Administration to respond.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received and forwarded to the Administration to respond.

CARRIED.

**30) Janet Loran
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**31) Don Loran
Saskatoon, dated June 6**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**32) Denis Weinheimer
Saskatoon, dated June, 2002**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**33) Audrey Weinheimer
Saskatoon, dated June 8**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**34) Alec Postnikoff
Saskatoon, dated June 18**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 19**

**35) John Leach
Saskatoon, dated June 18**

Expressing opposition to a skateboard park in G.D. Archibald Park. (File No. CK. 610-8)

RECOMMENDATION that the information be received and forwarded to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT the information be received and forwarded to the Administration.

CARRIED.

**36) Cheryl Thompson
Saskatoon, dated June 13**

Expressing opposition to the relocation of the Bridge City Speedway to the Exhibition grounds. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

**37) Kent and Kathy Allen
Saskatoon, dated June 14**

Expressing opposition to the relocation of the Bridge City Speedway to the Exhibition grounds. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

**38) Curtis and Michele Johnson
Saskatoon, dated June 14**

Expressing opposition to the relocation of the Bridge City Speedway to the Exhibition grounds. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

**39) Kim Draeger
Saskatoon, dated June 14**

Expressing opposition to the relocation of the Bridge City Speedway to the Exhibition grounds. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

40) Nina Conkin

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 20**

Saskatoon, dated June 15

Expressing opposition to the relocation of the Bridge City Speedway to the Exhibition grounds. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and forwarded to the Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition Corporation Board of Directors.

Moved by Councillor Roe, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT the information be received and forwarded to the Saskatoon Prairieland Exhibition Corporation Board of Directors.

CARRIED.

**41) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated June 14**

Submitting notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing for property located at 519 Redberry Road. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**42) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated June 18**

Submitting notice of Development Appeals Board Hearing for property located at 131 Avenue L South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**43) Reginald G. Cawood
Cawood Walker Demmans Baldwin, dated June 19**

Regarding the safety of patrons at the Sutherland Hotel. (File No. CK. 281-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Atchison,
THAT the matter be referred to the Administration for a report.*

CARRIED.

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

**1) Diane Sawatzky, President
Caswell Hill Community Association, dated June 10**

Indicating that the Caswell Hill Community Association has no objection to the addition to the Holiday Inn Express and requesting a written agreement that a parking lot cannot be established to accommodate a larger commercial operation. Also requesting that a mail out of rezoning proposals be sent to community associations. (File No. CK. 4110-1) **(Referred to the Administration.)**

**2) John P. LeRoux
Saskatoon, dated June 11**

Suggesting that a skateboard park be located in the West Industrial Park and/or Riversdale. (File No. CK. 610-8) **(Referred to the Administration.)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,
THAT the information be received.*

CARRIED.

C. PROCLAMATIONS

**1) Jon Sookocheff, Senior Summer Employment Officer
Human Resource Centre of Canada for Students, dated June 18**

Requesting that Council proclaim the week of July 8 to 12, 2002 as Hire-A-Student Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and

- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

REPORTS

John Waddington, Member, Municipal Planning Commission, presented Report No. 4-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

General Manager, Community Services Gauthier presented Section A, Administrative Report No. 12-2002;

A/General Manager, Corporate Services Richards presented Section B, Administrative Report No. 12-2002;

A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Drever, presented Section D, Administrative Report No. 12-2002;

General Manager, Utility Services Munch presented Section E, Administrative Report No. 12-2002;

City Manager Richards presented Section F, Administrative Report No. 12-2002;

City Clerk Mann presented Section A, Legislative Report No. 11-2002;

City Solicitor Dust presented Section B, Legislative Report No. 11-2002;

Councillor Heidt, Member, presented Report No. 7-2002 of the Planning and Operations Committee;

Councillor Paulsen, Chair, presented Report No. 8-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee;

Councillor Steernberg, Chair, presented Report No. 4-2002 of the Audit Committee;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 23**

Councillor Heidt, Chair, presented Report No. 6-2002 of the Land Bank Committee; and
His Worship the Mayor, Chair, presented Report No. 9-2002 of the Executive Committee.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 4-2002 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Administrative Report No. 12-2002;*
- c) Legislative Report No. 11-2002;*
- d) Report No. 7-2002 of the Planning and Operations Committee;*
- e) Report No. 8-2002 of the Administration and Finance Committee;*
- f) Report No. 4-2002 of the Audit Committee;*
- g) Report No. 6-2002 of the Land Bank Committee; and*
- d) Report No. 9-2002 of the Executive Committee.*

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Atchison as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Commission

Ms. Colleen Yates, Chair
Mr. John Waddington, Vice-Chair
Councillor L. Swystun
Mr. Dieter André
Mr. Jack Billinton
Mr. Michael Chyzowski
Mr. Lloyd Hedemann
Mr. Don Lloyd
Ms. Jo-Anne Richter
Mr. Terry Smith
Mr. Nelson Wagner
Mr. Vern Waldherr
Mr. Jim Zimmer

**1. Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan
(File No. CK. 4000-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 9-2002

Section A - COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Request For Encroachment Agreement
2825 Miners Avenue
Lot 1, Block 864, Plan 78S01460
(File No. CK 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 2825 Miners Avenue (Lot 1, Block 864, Plan 78S01460);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and,
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized

to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

ADOPTED.

Saskatoon Realty Executives, on behalf of Handyman Rental Centre Ltd., the purchaser of the property located at 2825 Miners Avenue, have requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached Real Property Report, part of the building encroaches onto 52nd Street. The total area of encroachment is approximately 0.36m² and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.00.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Letter from Saskatoon Realty Executives dated May 15, 2002
2. Real Property Report dated May 10, 2002.

A2) Sale of Parking Lot No. 8
Parcel K, Plan No. 00SA11632
Idylwyld Drive and 22nd Street West
(File No. CK 4215-1)

RECOMMENDATION:

that the Land Manager be authorized to sell to NHR Construction Ltd., or assignee, 0.41 acres of serviced commercial land being Parcel K, Plan No. 00SA11632, under the following terms:

- 1) Purchase Price: \$235,000.00 plus GST;
- 2) Option To Purchase: NHR Construction Ltd. to enter into an Option to Purchase Agreement under the following terms:
 - a) Allowable Time of Option - a maximum of six months.
 - b) Required Option Fee – the option fee shall be 2% of the selling price of the lot optioned, plus GST, payable in advance. In the event an option is exercised within the option period, the option fee shall be credited to the purchase price of the lot. If the option is not exercised the option fee is forfeited. The option fee will be refunded if the Rezoning Application is not approved.
 - c) No Right of Possession Accompanies an Option – an optionee may, with permission from the Land

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 26

Branch, enter the property to conduct certain soil tests or other examinations for the purpose of planning their construction.

- d) An option may be assigned with the permission of the City of Saskatoon, Land Branch.
 - e) An option may only be exercised by the optionee within the allowable time of the option.
 - f) The option may be exercised by notice in writing accompanied by full payment of the balance of the purchase price.
 - g) In order to receive a Transfer of Title, the purchaser must provide full payment and any applicable right-of-possession charges.
- 3) Sale Conditions: Property sold “as is” with the exception of the perimeter fencing and parking meters which will be removed by the City Infrastructure Services Department prior to possession date, with the added condition that the purchaser be provided access to conduct a Phase II Environmental Study if desired; and
- 4) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

City Council at its meeting held on August 13, 2001, adopted Clause A2, Report 14-2001 of the Administrative Report which included the following recommendations:

- “1) that the Land Manager offer Parking Lot No. 8 for sale by public tender with a reserve bid of \$235,000.00 plus GST, and award the tender to the highest bidder;
- 2) that in the event the property is not sold as a result of the tender, it continue to operate as a parking lot and be re-tendered at a future date to be determined by the Infrastructure Services Department; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by the Mayor and City Clerk.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 27**

The property was offered for sale by public tender and no bids were received.

REPORT

The Land Branch has since been approached by the adjacent property owner Mr. Shaun Ng, who requested an option to purchase the land with the condition that the land be rezoned to a higher density zoning to permit the construction of a four-storey building. He agreed on the full purchase price of \$235,000.00 plus GST.

The Land Branch has negotiated the following terms for the sale of the land subject to City Council's approval:

- Purchase Price 235,000.00 plus GST
- Option to Purchase Agreement based on 2% down and full balance due upon exercising the option within a maximum six-month period. In the event the option is not exercised, the option fee is forfeited.
- Option fee to be applied to purchase price if option is exercised.
- Option fee to be refunded if re-zoning application does not get approval.
- Property sold "as is" with the exception of the perimeter fencing and parking meters which will be removed by the City Infrastructure Services Department prior to possession date.
- The purchaser be provided access to conduct a Phase II Environmental Study if desired.
- Possession allowed upon full payment of the balance of the purchase price.

The Manager of Parking Services has now advised that they are prepared to proceed with the disposal of the property vis a vis an Option to Purchase.

It should be noted that City Council approval to the sale does not constitute approval to the proposed re-zoning. City Council's approval to the sale will allow NHR Construction Ltd. to obtain an interest in the land in order to apply for their desired re-zoning.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan showing Parcel K, Plan No. 00SA11632 (Parking Lot No. 8 located at Idylwyld Drive & 22nd Street West.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 28**

**A3) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between May 31, 2002 to June 13, 2002
(For Information Only)
(File No. CK. 4000-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the following report be received as information.

ADOPTED.

Subdivision

- Application No. 32/02: 1007 Avenue J North
Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Karl Miller
Legal Description: Lots 24, 25 & 26, Block 11, Registered Plan G173
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Hudson Bay Park
Date Received: June 5, 2002

Subdivision

- Application No. 33/02: 3718 - 8th Street East
Applicant: George, Nicholson, Franko & Associates for
Elim Pentecostal Tabernacle Inc.
Legal Description: Parcels A & C, Registered Plan No. 75S01070
Current Zoning: RM4
Neighbourhood: Wildwood
Date Received: June 10, 2002

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 32/02
2. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 33/02

**A4) Lakeview Pavilion
Communications to Council:
Dave Taylor, President and Mary Ellen Cox, Secretary
Lakeview Community Association, dated July 18 , 1999
(File No. LS LA-4206)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 29**

REPORT

During its August 9, 1999, meeting, City Council received a copy of a letter from Dave Taylor, President and Mary Ellen Cox, Secretary of the Lakeview Community Association to the Community Services Department regarding termination of the management agreement for the Lakeview Pavilion. (See Attachment 1.) The letter requested that the Lakeview Community Association be included in all decisions with regard to what will replace the existing Pavilion and concrete decking, and indicated the expectation that the City will continue ice maintenance during the winter months as the lake is part of a district park. City Council resolved:

“that the information be referred to the Administration for a report.”

The Lakeview Community Association terminated their operating agreement with the City of Saskatoon for the operation of the Lakeview Pavilion, and wished to see the pavilion removed from the park. Increased graffiti, vandalism, maintenance costs, and lack of volunteer resources led the community association to make this recommendation. There was extensive consultation with the Community Support Services Branch, and with the public at large regarding the pavilion, and your staff recommended the demolition and removal of the Pavilion, and the restoration of the site with soft landscaping (turf and trees).

The demolition of the Pavilion was completed in early February of 2001. The remaining funds from the demolition of the Pavilion were used to restore the site. The Parks Branch, Infrastructure Services Department, recommended that the site be graded, the irrigation system reconfigured, and that sod be installed. This information was presented to the Lakeview Community Association at their regular meeting on Tuesday, March 13, 2001, for their input and approval. The association was in agreement with the recommendations as presented. Restoration of the site was completed in the spring of 2001.

The portion of the park surrounding the pond is designated as a district park; therefore, the City provides a standard level of service to maintain this program in the park, as is the case in all district parks. The City of Saskatoon has continued to provide ice maintenance services for the pond in Lakeview Park. Water services were disconnected with the demolition of the pavilion; therefore, water was trucked in to flood a suitable ice surface for skating on the pond. Snow removal has been provided on an as needed basis.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter dated July 18, 1999 to Paul Gauthier from the Lakeview Community Association.

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Enquiry – Councillor L. Swystun (March 25, 2002)
Community Bonds
(File No. CK. 1750-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Swystun at the meeting of City Council held on March 25, 2002:

“Given the discussion surrounding the borrowing of funds and strategies regarding the debenture issue, would the Administration report on the feasibility of creating a community bond, perhaps starting with a basic bond for a specific project (or some variation of examples that be found in existing municipalities) and report on this. This may be something that could be considered as part of alternative streams of revenue and worthy in such a regard.”

Current Practices in Over-The-Counter Debenture Issuance

Through discussions with some municipal underwriters and upon reviewing previous research, a few municipalities continue to issue debentures on an over-the-counter basis directly to the public. However, this method of sale has declined substantially over the years due to the cost-inefficiencies relative to issuing debentures through a fiscal agency and the significant resources required to develop an over-the-counter sales program. In addition, municipal financing requirements have increased to a point where the local community may have difficulty purchasing the entire debenture issue.

Over-the-counter debenture issuance has generally been employed by smaller municipalities where the total size of the debenture financing can be readily absorbed into the local community. Some municipalities have issued over-the-counter debentures as a necessary source of funds. Given potential credit quality concerns, such debenture issues may be difficult to sell in public markets due to the markets’ perception of default risk, and therefore, such municipalities may have limited financing options available.

Due to a low credit rating initially attached to their debentures, the City of Windsor began implementing an over-the-counter debenture sales program approximately twenty years ago. Over the years, the City of Windsor’s credit rating has steadily improved to a level where debenture issues are frequently sold through a fiscal agency. Nevertheless, the City of Windsor has continued with an over-the-counter sales program, albeit at a reduced offered amount, because the infrastructure for selling debentures has remained intact and the public expects annual sales of same. The City of Windsor’s offerings are typically priced at par to simplify the selling process and are priced competitively to other retail investment products (i.e. GICs, term deposits, CSBs). Initially, the City of Windsor had advertised for expressions of interest as an indicator of buying demand; the

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 31

advertising campaign attracted little enthusiasm among the general public. However, on date of issuance, the City of Windsor offered premium interest rates on their debentures which prompted large-scale purchases. Over the years, the City of Windsor has issued over-the-counter debentures on the same date each year; this timing strategy has cultivated a certain clientele willing to purchase debentures. By issuing securities on a consistent basis, investors are conditioned to expect an issue and they generally “roll over” matured funds into the new securities.

City of Saskatoon Over-The Counter Debenture Issuance

Although the City has offered debentures over-the-counter in the past, the City has not used this specific sales technique since the late 1970s. It would appear that this practice was discontinued due to the lack of investor response, high administrative costs, and the complexity of the sales campaign.

In order to assess the impact of selling debentures over-the-counter, one must analyze the impact to the City and to the citizens of Saskatoon. The advantages and disadvantages of implementing such a program are summarized below:

Advantages

- Local ownership provides an avenue to be involved in community development and promotes a sense of commitment and pride in the community.
- Over-the-counter debenture sales may be a good public relations vehicle for the community.

Disadvantages

- Increased costs associated with implementing an over-the-counter sales program relative to purchasing debentures internally by civic portfolios. Costs associated with advertising, receiving and recording orders and payments, handling customer inquiries, printing formal debenture certificates, and delivery of the debentures to registered holders may be in the range of \$10,000 to \$30,000.
- Long-term costs associated with staff resources servicing (i.e. prepare and issue interest provision cheques) the relatively small holdings of a large number of registered holders over the life of the debenture issue.
- Potentially a lengthy learning curve in educating potential investors about terms, conditions, and pricing behaviour of City of Saskatoon debentures.
- The City may incur a negative public relations image particularly if interest rates rose subsequent to debenture issuance. Debenture holders would experience realized/unrealized capital losses as debenture prices adjust to the higher yield levels. Debenture holders cannot approach the City and expect full redemption of their initial investment. The only options available are to hold the debentures to maturity or sell the debentures through investment dealers.
- Difficulty in forecasting the actual amount of debentures sold over-the-counter. If debenture sales are less than anticipated, the cost of issuing each \$1 of debentures increases

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 32

significantly as overhead costs are spread over a smaller amount of debentures. It generally takes time to develop a significant local market for a municipality's debentures.

- Any unsold debentures would have to be acquired by City reserves. Depending upon the amount unsold, the timing of such an acquisition may not coincide with optimum investment strategies for those civic portfolios.
- Potential mismatch with respect to duration of debt financing and preferred investment term. The City typically funds capital projects with financing terms of ten years or more; local investors have a strong preference for securities maturing within five years.

SUMMARY

Due to the considerable time and resources to implement an over-the-counter debenture sales program, your Administration recommends that debentures not be sold directly to the public. Your Administration believes that the number of hours required to effect an over-the-counter debenture sales campaign would be substantial and that administrative efforts could be productively employed elsewhere in the Corporation.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Administration to report to the Administration and Finance Committee on exploring creative ways to engage the community through bonds, perhaps electronically, and to report further with respect to the time and resources needed to implement a program.

**B2) Amendment to the Bank Account and Cheque Signing Bylaw
(File No. CK. 1660-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8129, The Bank Account and Cheque Signing Amendment Bylaw, 2002.

ADOPTED.

The City Treasurer receives authority from Bylaw 7266 to establish certain bank accounts and establishes the authorized signing authorities for these accounts. The bylaw also provides for other municipal employees to sign cheques on City of Saskatoon bank accounts when the City Treasurer is away from the office or otherwise unavailable to sign cheques.

Due to the recent reorganization in the Office of the City Treasurer, the City Treasurer requests that the Utility Services Manager position be designated as an authorized cheque signer for the City of Saskatoon. The Utility Services Manager reports directly to the City Treasurer. Proposed changes to The Bank Account and Cheque Signing Bylaw are as follows:

- Section 2(1) lists those authorized to sign as alternates to the City Treasurer. The amending bylaw would result in the Utility Services Manager position be added to this section.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 33

- Section 4(2) lists, for bank accounts requiring two signatures, those authorized to jointly sign cheques with the City Treasurer. The amending bylaw would result in the Utility Services Manager position be added to this section.

ATTACHMENT

1. The Bank Account and Cheque Signing Amendment Bylaw, 2002, Bylaw No. 8129.

Councillor Penner excused himself from the meeting at 9:25 p.m., during discussion of B3).

B3) Performance Measurement
(File No. CK. 1600-1-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

In 1999, the Administration identified the need to adopt a formal accountability reporting process. Preliminary research revealed that a number of cities in North America were currently examining performance measurement options, with some having successfully adopted and worked with a process for a number of years. What became clear was that there was no uniformity.

In June, 1999, Robert Prosser & Associates Inc. were contracted to undertake research into best practices in local governments' performance measurement and reporting. The report (tabled with the Audit Committee in early 2000) recommended over 200 key performance indicators for approximately 30 service areas, performance targets, annual surveys, annual reports, benchmarking and independent audits. It was identified that this would have required a full-time coordinator for the first year.

Your Administration tabled a report with the Audit Committee which agreed that the underlying framework of the report could direct performance reporting efforts in the future but that the number of indicators may not be manageable and the costs associated with the process would be prohibitive. It is important to note that City Council is the recipient of many reports during the year that are performance based, but provide a level of detail that is far greater than one would expect in a corporate performance reporting model. A most recent example is the 2001 report on pavement and sidewalk preservation (tabled June 10, 2002), a 23 page report that included unit costs, detailed project descriptions, detailed location identifiers, etc.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 34

Attached, for City Council's information, is the first annual performance measurement report for the City of Saskatoon. Your Administration has adopted the process currently legislated by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing with the Province of Ontario. The report provides 25 performance indicators. In addition, seven general economic/demographic indicators providing information about Saskatoon have been included.

The 2001 report, while providing some basic information for Council, should be considered as the beginning of an ongoing process. As such, this report provides the base information that will be used for future reports. This base will allow for prior year comparisons (i.e. trend analysis). This historical information will also be used for comparisons of data provided from Ontario (assuming their program is successful), and from our involvement in a National Water and Wastewater Benchmarking initiative.

ATTACHMENT

1. City of Saskatoon Performance Measurement, 2001 Results.

B4) Property Tax Liens - 2000 and 2001 **(File No. CK. 1920-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council instruct the City Solicitor to take the necessary action under the provisions of the *Tax Enforcement Act* with respect to properties with 2000 and 2001 tax liens.

ADOPTED.

The Tax Enforcement Act

The purpose of *The Tax Enforcement Act* is to secure payment of tax arrears under the threat of the loss of title to the property. The statute is not intended to provide a vehicle for the acquisition of property by the City. Each property owner (taxpayer) has certain fundamental rights concerning his/her land. The taxpayer must be kept fully aware of the proceedings being taken, and be given a reasonable time frame during which arrangements can be made for payment of the outstanding amount.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 35**

The proceedings under the *Tax Enforcement Act* are scheduled as follows:

1. **Section 10:** allows the City to register a tax lien against a property where taxes have been due and unpaid after the 31st day of December of the year in which the taxes were originally levied.
2. **Section 22 (1):** Where the taxes remain unpaid and the lien has not been withdrawn, the City may apply to Council to commence proceedings to take title after the expiration of six months following the registration of the tax lien at Information Services Corporation – Land Registry. (Previously the Land Titles Office.)
3. **Section 24:** Final application for transfer of title to the City may commence six months after the first application. The City must, at this point in the proceedings, obtain consent of the Provincial Mediation Board to obtain the title. The Board may, subject to certain conditions being met by the taxpayer, put the proceedings on hold, even after this consent is granted.

The Administration now requests authorization to proceed regarding those properties which became subject to tax liens in 2000 and 2001.

2001 Tax Liens (Attachment A)

With respect to the properties listed in Attachment ‘A’, proceedings under *The Act* commenced on September 29, 2001. At that time, the City of Saskatoon, in accordance with *The Act*, published in The StarPhoenix, the legal descriptions of all properties in arrears of property taxes subject to tax liens. The assessed owners were notified of the action being taken and were advised that if the taxes remained unpaid after 60 days following the date of the advertisement, a tax lien would be registered against the property on the official title held in ISC – Land Registry.

The City has made considerable effort to contact the assessed owners of the various properties identified in Attachment ‘A’ to obtain payment or to negotiate reasonable payment schedules. However, as of the date of this report, the City has not received payment from the respective owners and the property tax arrears are still outstanding.

These properties are now subject to first proceedings pursuant to Section 22 (1) of *The Act*. This action involves notification by registered mail to: each registered owner, each assessed owner; and all others with an interest set out on the Title to the property, that they have 60 days to contest the City’s claim.

Pursuant to Section 24, the next stage of *The Act*, six months following service of notices, the City will be in a position to make final application for title for any properties for which the arrears have not been cleared.

2000 Tax Liens (Attachment B)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 36**

Regarding 2000 tax liens, pursuant to Section 22(1), first application on 250 properties was approved by City Council on August 15, 2001. To date, 136 property owners have resolved their tax arrears. The remaining 114 properties, as listed in Attachment B continue to have outstanding tax arrears and, therefore, pursuant to Section 24, the City may apply to the Provincial Mediation Board to commence proceedings to take title.

Comparative Summary

Attachment 'C' provides a five-year summary of tax liens, comparing final application for 2000 liens against application requested for the previous four (4) years.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. 2001 Property Tax Liens – 'A'
2. 2000 Property Tax Liens – 'B'
3. 5 year Comparative Summary – 'C'

Section D – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**D1) Median Opening and Installation of Traffic Signals at
Campbell Avenue and 8th Street East
(File No. CK. 6320-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that approval be granted to close the existing median opening on 8th Street East, between Argyle and Campbell Avenues;
 - 2) that approval be granted for the construction of a new median opening and traffic signals on 8th Street East at the intersection of Campbell Avenue, complete with a 85 metre westbound left-turn lane and a 95 metre eastbound left-turn lane; and,
 - 3) that First Line Properties Ltd. and Dodge City Auto (1984) Ltd. fund all costs of the intersection construction, traffic signal installation/modifications, utility relocations, left-turn lane construction, curb and gutter construction, sidewalk construction, and all associated median and boulevard reconstruction at an estimated cost of \$155,000 and that this work be done to City of Saskatoon standards.

REPORT

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 37

The Administration has received a proposal from First Line Properties, for the redevelopment of the former Sherwood Chevrolet site located at 2323 and 2411 8th Street East. Since receiving the proposal, a building permit has been issued to construct a London Drugs Store on the site. The London Drug project is the first business to occupy the site. Due to the significant nature of the proposal, and the tentative redevelopment of the Dodge City site across the street, the Administration required the applicant to undertake a detailed traffic impact analysis of the proposed development. The applicant was further instructed to take into consideration redevelopment of the Dodge City site in their analysis.

A total of five uses are proposed on the former Sherwood Chevrolet site; a London Drugs store, two additional retail uses, a fast food restaurant and sit down restaurant. The total square footage is 90,670 ft². In addition, the planned redevelopment of the current Dodge City Auto site is anticipated to include a restaurant, a retail strip mall and three retail uses for approximately 47,500 ft². The combined retail area of these two projects, consisting of approximately 140,000 square feet, is considered significant and is greater than other developments that have occurred along major arterials where the creation of a new signalized intersection has occurred. Previous similar size projects have included Superstore on 8th Street (approximately 112,000 square feet) and Home Depot on Circle Drive (approximately 118,000 square feet). Developments such as these are considered significant traffic generators and can have major negative impacts on the surrounding transportation network if not dealt with properly.

The intent of the traffic impact study, conducted by Trialpha Consulting Ltd., was to examine the effect the developments would have on the operation of 8th Street and the surrounding roadway network. In particular, whether the installation of a new signalized intersection and the closure of the existing median opening between Argyle and Campbell Avenues was warranted. The impact to the overall operation of the 8th Street corridor was also examined.

Trip generation rates were acquired from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) – 5th Edition Trip Generation Manual for the developments on the former Sherwood Chevrolet and current Dodge City Auto sites. These rates were then combined with existing traffic volumes. An analysis was then done to forecast future traffic volumes with the proposed developments in place.

The volumes forecasted for the former Sherwood Chevrolet site were 320 ingress and 310 egress trips in the peak hour. The volumes forecasted for the proposed Dodge City Auto development were 185 ingress and 230 egress trips in the peak hour. The left turn volumes, in the peak hour, from 8th Street into the proposed developments on the Sherwood Chevrolet and Dodge City Auto sites, were 140 and 95, respectively. Based upon these volumes, Trialpha Consulting Ltd. concluded that a new, signalized intersection would be required on 8th Street at Campbell Avenue to safely and efficiently serve the needs of the developments and adjacent roadway system.

Upon review of the consultant's study, the Administration concurs with this recommended course of action. This proposed intersection will provide full access to these redeveloped commercial properties and is shown on Attachment 1. The Administration also undertook a review of the new intersection's impact on the operation of the 8th Street corridor. Minor traffic signal timing

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 38

adjustments will be required, but the creation of a new signalized intersection at Campbell Avenue will have no significant detrimental impact to traffic flows along 8th Street.

The proposed construction of a new intersection at Campbell Avenue will necessitate the removal of the existing median opening on 8th Street between Argyle and Campbell Avenue. This median opening is a remnant of a past access point to a drive-in theatre that existed along 8th Street. If a new median opening and signals were not provided at Campbell Avenue, operational and safety concerns would develop with improper turning movement at the existing median opening between Argyle and Campbell Avenues. As well, traffic wishing to access the new developments, could circulate through residential streets, as sufficient access would not be provided off of 8th Street.

The Administration's recommendation to close the existing median opening and construct a new signalized intersection at Campbell Avenue on 8th Street is consistent with City Policy C07-012 – Median Openings. Since the new intersection is being constructed for the sole purpose of providing access to commercial properties on each side of 8th Street, the applicants were informed that they would be solely responsible to cover all costs associated with the construction. To this end, First Line Properties Ltd. and Dodge City Auto (1984) Ltd. have agreed (Attachment 2) to pay all construction costs. The requirement of the applicant paying the cost of such construction is consistent with previous similar installations (Home Depot, Superstore, Mall at Circle and 8th, Preston Crossing) approved by City Council.

ATTACHMENT

1. 8th Street and Campbell Avenue Area Plan
2. Letter of agreement dated February 26, 2002, from First Line Properties Ltd. and Dodge City Auto (1984) Ltd

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the following be approved, subject to the appropriate consultation having taken place and there being no objection:

- 1) that approval be granted to close the existing median opening on 8th Street East, between Argyle and Campbell Avenues;*
- 2) that approval be granted for the construction of a new median opening and traffic signals on 8th Street East at the intersection of Campbell Avenue, complete with a 85 metre westbound left-turn lane and a 95 metre eastbound left-turn lane; and*
- 3) that First Line Properties Ltd. and Dodge City Auto (1984) Ltd. fund all costs of the intersection construction, traffic signal installation/modifications, utility relocations, left-turn lane construction, curb and gutter construction, sidewalk construction, and all associated median and boulevard*

reconstruction at an estimated cost of \$155,000 and that this work be done to City of Saskatoon standards.

Section E – UTILITY SERVICES

E1) Enquiry – Councillor Atchison (May 6/02)
Sale of Composters
(File No. CK. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Councillor Atchison made the following enquiry at the meeting of Council held on May 6, 2002:

“Would the Administration please report on the effect the composting program this April, 2002, of selling composters, has had on the retailers who sell composters in the private sector.”

In the early 90’s municipalities across North America began to focus waste diversion efforts on the organic component of the waste stream. The organic component which includes food wastes and yard trimmings represents at least one third of the residential waste stream. Many municipalities adopted backyard composting as an effective diversion strategy. The basic elements of this strategy include out reach, bin subsidization, and educational workshops.

Bin subsidization is an element in the strategy of virtually every municipality checked both in Canada and the United States. Some municipalities actually subsidize the entire cost of the bins, and others like Seattle, subsidize additional products such as mulcher mowers for grass clippings. Of ten Canadian municipalities checked, seven used either a single day sales event or sold the bins at civic facilities. Western Canadian cities that hold single day sales events include Winnipeg, Brandon, Calgary, Lethbridge, Vancouver, Burnaby, Abbotsford, Port Coquitlam, Victoria, and Nanaimo. Virtually all the cities in the United States, that were checked, utilized a bin subsidization program, with single day sales events being the most prevalent.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 40**

The Saskatoon bin subsidization program entails a one-day sales event at two locations. One day sales events have the benefit of concentrating the Solid Waste staff's related workload into a very short time frame, avoids creating cash handling and accounting concerns, and these one day events are reported to be most effective in sparking consumer interest. One-day events are designed on a "first come, first served basis, while supplies last". This creates an atmosphere of urgency and prevents residents from putting composting off to a future date. In 2002 the event was coordinated with National Composting Week, thus taking advantage of a national promotional campaign. The City of Calgary makes it a Father's Day Special.

The Solid Waste and Purchasing Branches prepare tender documents and request quotes from a list of suppliers capable of providing goods and services as per specifications that list the contractors' responsibilities (attached) with respect to:

- Number of bins
- Bin Specifications
- Sale price of the bins
- Promotion of the sales event
- Date and time
- Transportation and storage of containers
- Collection of monies and all records required

In 2002 a request for quotes was sent to nine suppliers, three of which were local firms who currently bid on garbage bins, and one firm which is the supplier for as many as five local retailers. Two bids were received and Norseman Plastics of Rexdale, Ontario was awarded the contract. Norseman has partnered with over 2,000 communities across North America in backyard composter distribution programs.

It is difficult to detail the impact that this program has had on local compost retailers, however, if that question is to be addressed, it should probably be done from a broader perspective than simply compost retailers.

There were 5,000 composters sold in 2001 and 3,300 sold in 2002. A general consensus within the solid waste industry is that many of these sales occurred because of the promotion of the event and would have not otherwise occurred. That suggests that the total bin sales lost to local retailers would be less than 8,300. Exact percentages, however, are not known. There are an estimated nine retail outlets in Saskatoon that sell composters and related products. There are seven big box retailers and two smaller specialty stores. Obviously the demand for any related composting products (start-up compost, process accelerator, aerating rods, videos, instruction booklets/magazines, etc.) is met by the local retailers. It is suspected that these spin-off sales are significant. Additionally, all requests and enquiries of the Solid Waste staff, by those who missed the one-day sales event, are referred to the local retailers. This demand may also not have occurred otherwise. The ongoing composting workshops that are subsidized by the City foster an interest that results in the purchase of composters and related products (including home building supplies) throughout the year.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 41

Local advertising retailers have benefited by the promotion and advertising sales that precede the one-day event. Local security retailers were used to monitor and secure the cash handling procedures. Snacks, drinks, and lunch, purchased from local retailers, were provided to all volunteer staff and security personnel. Volunteers were paid honorariums supporting local charities. A school group was able to raise funds on site by running a barbecue. The site served as an excellent venue for the Saskatoon Waste Reduction Council to promote recycling initiatives in general. Two employees of Norseman obtained overnight accommodation, vehicle rental, and meals from local retailers. Norseman transport vehicles would have purchased gasoline prior to leaving the city, and 8,300 vehicles likely consumed as much as 24,000 litres of gasoline in picking up composters.

A local retailer has expressed concerns with respect to a one-day event. Additionally, the Solid Waste Branch has been approached by the coordinator of Core Neighbourhood Youth Coop (CNYC) asking the City to use a portion of the subsidy funds to purchase the composters that CNYC youth build as part of a work program. Each proposed an alternate program, however, the Solid Waste Branch is of the opinion neither proposal is as effective as the one-day sales event.

A number of municipalities that use the bin subsidization strategy were contacted with respect to complaints from local retailers and/or special interest groups. Many municipalities carry out yearlong subsidization programs from civic facilities in addition to the one-day events. Those contacted indicated they had not received any complaints. The tendering process that the City used provided the opportunity for suppliers who received requests for quotes to present proposals jointly with the local retailers. A similar tendering process will be utilized in 2003. However, it is expected that alternatives, such as subsidizing sales at the local retail level will be considered after the one-day sales events lose their effectiveness. This is generally the case after the first 3-5 years of events.

ATTACHMENT

1. Specifications for One Day Sale of Composter Units

Section F – CITY MANAGER

F1) Municipal Enterprise Zone – Administrative Policy File No. CK. 4353-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the Administrative Policy for the Municipal Enterprise Zone be received as information.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 42**

BACKGROUND

On March 11, 2002, City Council resolved:

- “1) that City Council approve, in principle, the concept of Enterprise Zones as outlined in the discussion paper;
- 2) that the program be funded to a total of \$500,000 or for a two-year period, whichever comes first;
- 3) that the report be referred to Budget Committee for consideration of funding sources; and,
- 4) that the Administration enter into consultations with SREDA, the Riversdale BID, and the appropriate community associations to develop an implementation plan.”

REPORT

On May 17, 2002 a meeting was held between the following:

- City Manager
- Representative from SREDA
- Representative from QUINT
- Representative from Riversdale BID
- Councillor – Ward 1
- Councillor – Ward 2
- Representative from City Planning Branch
- Representative from the Saskatoon Housing Initiatives Partnership
- Housing Facilitator – Community Services Department
- Representative from the Saskatoon Home Builders Association
- Representative from the Mayfair/Kelsey Community Association
- Representative from the Westmount Community Association
- Representative from the Caswell Hill Community Association
- Representative from the Pleasant Hill Community Association

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the implementation of the proposed Enterprise Zone. The meeting produced consensus on the procedures and policies that SREDA and the City of Saskatoon will use to administer the Enterprise Zone incentives.

The attached Administrative Policy reflects the results of discussion around implementation of the Enterprise Zone. The key features of the Administrative Policy are:

- The creation of an Adjudication Committee.
- The identification of SREDA as the primary point of contact for all commercial, industrial and multi-unit applications.
- The creation of a comprehensive list of eligible uses.
- The identification of sites which are eligible for relocation assistance.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 43**

- The estimated costs of each type of incentive offered.
- The parameters governing how each incentive will be offered (i.e. full costs vs. negotiable incentives).

The Municipal Enterprise Zone will be announced to the public via a direct mail brochure, a media release near the end of June and information will be posted on the City's web-site. The Enterprise Zone will be fully functional commencing July 1, 2002.

ATTACHMENT

- 1) Administrative Policy – Municipal Enterprise Zone

The City Manager provided Council with a revised attachment to the report.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the Administrative Policy for the Municipal Enterprise Zone be received as information.

- F2) Visual Identity Policy Update
(File 366-15-65)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

In "The Organizational Review of the City of Saskatoon", Ernst & Young identified several key communication issues including the fact that "*employees do not have a sense of corporate identity - identity is primarily departmental. There is a need for leadership in the area of consistent and coordinated 'City Wide' external communication*". Chief among their recommendations was the establishment of a Communications Branch charged with the responsibility to "*act as a centralized 'quality control' resource to develop all communications and ensure City of Saskatoon 'image consistency' and coordination in Communications.*"

While several new communication policies and initiatives have been established to address this issue, the Corporate Visual Identity Policy remained a challenge.

The Corporate Visual Identity Policy (C02-014) prescribes that the official crest be used in an official capacity only (Mayor/Council/Legal), and the graphic symbol be used by administration. The existing administrative logo was first introduced in 1989.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 44**

A lack of administrative guidelines, centralized monitoring, and enforcement has led to inconsistent and unprofessional variations of the existing logo. In some cases, branches/departments have varied the existing logo (through design or colour), or have introduced a completely different logo graphic.

Visual Identity (VID) standards have many benefits. A corporate identity including the logo and all applications of the image to the various visual media such as stationery, signage, and vehicle markings can be thought of as “packaging the company”. Visual images need to be carefully controlled and consistent in order to communicate properly. Any company, organization, or product needs careful control over what it “wears” in order to communicate what it is. A secondary benefit of a controlled approach to visual communications is to achieve production economies by eliminating needless variations and duplications wherever possible.

In 2001, a VID Review Committee was established consisting of representatives from each of the five Departments and the Communications Branch:

Carla Blumers	Community Services Department
Bev Chicoine	Utility Services Department
Ron Beallie	Corporate Services Department
Bob McLeod	Infrastructure Services Department
Alyson Edwards	Fire and Protective Services Department
Chris Dekker	Communications Branch
Sandy Rempel	Communications Branch

The overall objective of the VID review was to design, implement, and manage a visual identification policy to maintain consistency throughout all civic communications, and to conduct a review of the existing logo with a view to either update its appearance, develop a new logo, or make no changes at all.

Specific and actionable issues were developed and reviewed. To further provide reference information for discussion, logos and VID guides from other cities¹ and private companies/institutions² across Canada were reviewed. Taking advantage of an internal survey of civic staff being conducted on a separate issue, two questions were included to solicit opinions on the current City logo.

¹ Victoria, Vancouver, Calgary, Edmonton, Regina, Winnipeg, Toronto, Hamilton, Ottawa, Montreal, Quebec City, Halifax.

² Saskatchewan Wheat Pool, Cameco, SIAST, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon Board of Education, Government of Saskatchewan, Government of Canada.

REPORT

Two patterns emerged from the secondary review. First, centralized and consistent visual identity was the cornerstone for all organizations. Second, all cities used a logo/wordmark rather than the city crest, with the exception of the City of Vancouver which is in the process of developing a separate logo, and the City of Calgary which alternates use of the crest with the “Heart of the New West” logo.

The principal reasons for not incorporating the crest as the administrative logo was due to the similarities among crests and the difficulty in reproducing their detail - especially at smaller scales. Crests were also viewed as being too formal and not conducive to promoting the variety of services and programs offered by municipalities.

While not overwhelming, the results of the internal survey indicate that civic employees are amenable to a logo upgrade, particularly if the costs are not excessive. Suggestions on improving the logo included making it more “exciting” and changing the colours.

The Committee agreed that the City’s VID policy needs to be updated, formalized, and widely communicated to the corporation.

The Committee determined that the existing colours are difficult to match and complement. The propriety and cost of a two-colour logo was questioned. There are too many (four) different versions of the black and white logo. The graphic was found to be dated, boxy, and too obscure for the average person to comprehend the reference to the bend in the river. It was also agreed that the box was too large.

Using two different fonts was considered unnecessary – over-complicating their use. The fonts used are obscure and difficult to find. The most important aspect of the logo, “Saskatoon”, is often over-shadowed by the large box graphic. When the circumstance calls for a small logo, “City of” often becomes unreadable.

Considering that the logo enjoys some recognition among citizens and that the perception among many is that logo changes are expensive, the Committee decided that a “logo evolution” would be pursued rather than a new logo.

The logo evolution involved fixing and updating the problems identified earlier while maintaining the overall look and feel of the existing logo. The Communications Branch issued a Request for Proposal to explore costs. While the budgets varied greatly among the proposals, a number were received that reflect the amount identified in the project plan.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 46**

TAP Communications Ltd., whose owners include a member of the company that designed the original City logo, was selected as the design firm. An updated design was selected and vetted through the Committee and civic staff (Attachment 1). The Communications Branch is now drafting the visual ID standards and application guidelines for Administrative approval.

The redesign, including trademark registration, totaled \$2,600 - well under the budget estimate. No, or very little, additional cost will be incurred in implementation. The updated logo will be implemented through the depletion of old stock and scheduled replacement of assets.

ATTACHMENT

1. Corporate Logo Standards and Production Guidelines

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 11-2002

Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

**A1) 2002 Saskatoon International Fringe Festival
(File No. CK. 185-9)**

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the 25th Street Theatre Centre Inc., to extend the time during which the 2002 Saskatoon International Fringe Festival may be conducted in the Broadway District during the following dates and times:

August 2	6:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.
August 3	12:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.
August 4	12:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.
August 5	12:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.
August 6	4:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.
August 7	4:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.
August 8	4:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.
August 9	4:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.
August 10	12:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.
August 11	12:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on June 10, 2002, approved the extension of time under the City's Noise Bylaw for the 2002 International Fringe Festival, subject to confirmation by the Administration that the hours are the same as last year and that the Community Association has been consulted.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 47**

Discussions with Mr. Robert Wyrna, Executive Director of the 25th Street Theatre Centre Inc., indicate that the Community Association has been consulted, and that, although there were two discrepancies between the 2002 times and the 2001 times, the intent was that there be no change from last year.

The times reflected in the above recommendation are the same as 2001.

**A2) City of Saskatoon Municipal Manual - 2002
(File No. CK. 369-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

I am pleased to present the 2002 City of Saskatoon Municipal Manual for the information of Council. The statistical information contained in the manual is the latest made available for the year ended 2001. The manual is used as a successful research tool by various libraries, school boards and others throughout Canada.

Copies of the Municipal Manual are available in the City Clerk's Office for a cost of \$5.35, including G.S.T.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2002 Municipal Manual

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) The International Road Dynamics Inc.
Incentive Bylaw, 2002
(File No. CK. 3500-13)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8090.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting on March 19, 2001, approved the application by International Road Dynamics Inc. ("IRD") for a five year abatement of property taxes on the property located at 710 - 43rd Street East, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan. The original application contemplated the construction of a new 30,000 square foot building on the property. IRD subsequently changed its plans and decided to expand in two phases. The first phase calls for a 15,000 square foot expansion to IRD's existing building at 702 - 43rd Street East. In order to accommodate this expansion, approximately

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 48

6,500 square feet of land was severed from the property at 710 - 43rd Street East and consolidated with the property at 702 - 43rd Street. The second phase calls for the construction of a new 15,000 square foot building on the property at 710 - 43rd Street East. The total expansion amounts to 30,000 square feet, which is the same expansion contained in IRD's original proposal. IRD has requested that the Incentive Agreement accommodate this phased approach.

The proposed abatement applies to the expanded portion of the building at 702 - 43rd Street East and the additional land consolidated from the adjacent parcel. The abatement also applies to the remaining land and new building to be constructed at 710 - 43rd Street East.

The abatement does not apply to the taxes currently levied on any other property owned by IRD. We have been advised that the construction of the expansion and the new facility will be completed prior to the end of this year. Accordingly, IRD has requested that the abatement begin in the 2003 taxation year.

Our Office has prepared the appropriate Business Incentive Agreement, and IRD has reviewed the Agreement and is prepared to sign the Agreement once City Council has approved same. We are pleased to submit Bylaw No. 8090 for City Council's consideration. The proposed Agreement is attached as Schedule "A" to the Bylaw.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8090.

B2) Enquiry - Councillor L. Swystun (March 25, 2002)
Pollution - West Central Industrial
(File No. CK. 375-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Councillor Swystun asked our Office to report on measures which could be taken, both administratively and legislatively, with respect to noise and other pollution generated by the Robin Hood Mill and businesses in the Central Industrial Area.

The area upon which the Robin Hood Mill and CSP Foods are situate as well as the West Industrial Area are zoned IH or Heavy Industrial. As stated in the Zoning Bylaw:

"The purpose of the IH District is to facilitate economic development through industrial activities that may have the potential for creating nuisance conditions during the normal course of operations."

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 49**

Both of these IH Districts have Residential Districts in close proximity to them. There are no limits in the Zoning Bylaw on nuisance emissions from businesses located in IH Areas.

There are also General Light Industrial (IL1) Areas around the Robin Hood Mill and in the West Industrial Area which are in close proximity to Residential Districts. With respect to IL1 Areas, the Zoning Bylaw states:

“The purpose of IL1 District is to facilitate economic development through a wide variety of light industrial activities and related businesses that do not create land-use conflicts or nuisance conditions during the normal course of operations.”

The Development Services Branch is responsible for the enforcement of the Zoning Bylaw. In the case of IL1 Areas, certain uses are prohibited (ie., uses that constitute a nuisance beyond the boundaries of the site because of dust, noise, vibration, etc.). Complaints of this nature will be investigated by the Development Services Branch. If there is a problem, the Development Services Branch will seek voluntary compliance from the business. This has succeeded in several instances. If voluntary compliance is not achieved, an Order can be written under *The Planning and Development Act, 1983*. This has happened on a few occasions. If the business owner does not comply with the Order, they can be prosecuted. This occurs on rare occasions, usually when the situation is an extreme example of nuisance.

Generally, it appears that the problem as described is one of “competing uses”. That is, the use of the land for its intended industrial purpose is at odds with the use of neighbouring land for its intended residential purpose. This is a common problem throughout the City where a residential district abuts a commercial or industrial district. Where this situation occurs, there is very little that can be done other than as described above. For future zoning, attention is being paid to the issue and buffers, etc. are being incorporated into the planning for the areas with Industrial zoning.

An example of the “competing use” problem can be found in the enforcement of the Noise Bylaw. In the Noise Bylaw, unnecessary or unusual noise is prohibited and what is an unnecessary or unusual noise is a question of fact for the court to decide. The problem is that what is considered to be unnecessary or unreasonable noise in a residential area may not be unreasonable nor unnecessary in a commercial or industrial area. Where a residential zone abuts either a commercial or an industrial zone, the people in the residential zone find the noise unnecessary or unreasonable to them, but the noise being made is not unnecessary or unreasonable for an industrial or commercial zone from which the noise is originating.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 50

In the context of noise, the issue of “competing uses” is currently being considered as part of the review of the Noise Bylaw. The review of the Noise Bylaw is being undertaken by representatives from the City Solicitor’s Office, the Infrastructure Services Department, the Saskatoon Police Service, the Development Services Branch and the Leisure Services Branch. The Committee is considering including decibel level limits in the Zoning Bylaw. For example, they are considering whether or not it would be a good idea to restrict the noise emanating from a commercial zone to a residential zone to a certain decibel level. Their report is expected to be ready by the fall of this year.

Also, the Neighbourhood Mediation Program may be a resource in a “competing use” situation. Neighbours who have a dispute which would not end up with a bylaw charge are referred to Saskatoon Community Mediation Services. If all parties volunteer to participate, Saskatoon Community Mediation Services runs a mediation and attempts to reach a suitable arrangement for both parties. Interested parties can be referred to the City Solicitor’s Office for more information.

Finally, over time it may be possible to take advantage of businesses moving to rezone incompatible properties, particularly in the older areas.

The issue of “competing uses” is challenging at best. Many industrial and commercial undertakings, when asked by their neighbours or the City, have voluntarily taken steps to reduce noise and other emissions from their premises. However, there is no simple legislative or administrative remedy to the problem.

B3) The Vanscoy Fire Protection Agreement Bylaw, 2002
(File No. CK. 2500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8130.

ADOPTED.

At its meeting held on May 6, 2002, City Council adopted the Report No. 5-2002 of the Planning and Operations Committee that recommended that Council agree to enter into an Agreement with the R.M. of Vanscoy No. 345 to provided fire fighting services for the portion of the municipality outlined on the map attached to the report. Council instructed this Office to prepare the appropriate Agreement and Bylaw so that the parties could enter into an Agreement.

This Office is pleased to submit Bylaw No. 8130, The Vanscoy Fire Protection Agreement Bylaw, 2002, with the Agreement appended thereto as Schedule “A”. This Bylaw gives effect to Council’s intention.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 51**

ATTACHMENTS

Proposed Bylaw No. 8130.

**B4) The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2002
(File No. CK. 7312-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8131.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting held on May 6, 2002, resolved that the following programs be approved:

1. A Transit Work Place Discount program.
2. Free public transit on each Sunday during the month of July.
3. The Off-Peak Discount Transit Fare Program for the month of August, 2002, from Monday to Friday, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

City Council further instructed our Office to prepare the appropriate amendments to the Transit Fares Bylaw No. 7449.

Our Office is pleased to present Bylaw No. 8131 for Council's consideration. This Bylaw provides for the Free Public Transit and Off-Peak Discount Transit Fare programs, and renumbers certain sections of the Bylaw in order to make them more accessible to the public. The amendments with respect to the Transit Pass Work Place Discount Program will be forthcoming at a later date.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8131.

REPORT NO. 7-2002 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor O. Fortosky
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor L. Swystun
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Saskatoon Downtown Parking Study – STANTEC
Provision of Parking for People with Disabilities
(File No. CK. 6120-4)**

RECOMMENDATION: that a letter be forwarded to SGI asking that the Provincial disability parking placard system be reviewed.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee dated April 30, 2002, regarding issues relating to the provision of parking for people with disabilities. The report was reviewed with the Administration and Ms. Sandy Preston, Member, Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee.

The Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee has requested that a review of the Provincial disability parking placard system be included in the Saskatoon Downtown Parking Study. Your Committee has been advised by the Administration that this is a Provincial program administered by SGI and therefore beyond the scope of the City to include in its review. In light of the concerns raised in the above report, your Committee is recommending that a letter be forwarded to SGI asking for a review of this system.

Your Committee has also been advised of further issues relating to the provision of parking stalls in the downtown area. It has been stated by Ms. Preston that the use of loading zones is inappropriate in that they need to be used by the businesses. In addition, the location of these spaces makes it difficult to exit the vehicle and gain access to the sidewalk. She recommended that parking stalls be provided in the downtown specifically designed for people with disabilities, taking into consideration the location and the provision of ramps to allow direct access to the sidewalk and away from traffic. During review of this matter, your Committee was advised by the Administration that the issue of parking in the downtown is part of the Downtown Parking Study and they will be submitting a report to the Special Needs Transportation Advisory Committee with respect to these concerns. Your Committee has also requested a copy of the report when it is available.

2. Automated Garbage Collection

**Conversion from Lane to Front Street – Massey Place
(File No. CK. 7830-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the Administration pursue a one-year trial for the conversion of automated lane garbage collection to automated front street garbage collection for all 850 residences in Massey Place, beginning in October, 2002.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the recommendation outlined in the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 13, 2002, with respect to a one-year trial for the conversion to automated front garbage collection for residences of Massey Place.

**3. 2001 Annual Report – Leisure Services Advisory Board
(File No. CK. 430-40)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the Leisure Services Advisory Board dated May 23, 2002, and is forwarding the 2001 Annual Report to City Council for information.

**4. 2001 Annual Report – Advisory Committee on Women’s Issues
(File No. CK. 430-35)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the information be received; and
2) that the Advisory Committee on Women’s Issues be authorized to go out into the public to hold a women’s forum on policy issues in municipalities.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the Advisory Committee on Women’s Issues dated May 16, 2002, and is forwarding the 2001 Annual Report to City Council for information. In addition, your Committee supports the request by the Advisory Committee on Women’s Issues to hold a women’s forum on policy issues in municipalities.

**5. 2001 Annual Report – Traffic Safety Committee
(File No. CK. 430-59)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the Traffic Safety Committee dated June 4, 2002, and is forwarding the 2001 Annual Report to City Council as information.

**6. 2001 Annual Report – Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 430-27)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the Municipal Heritage Advisory Committee and is forwarding the 2001 Annual Report to City Council for its information.

**7. 2001 Annual Report
Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 430-19)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the 2001 Annual report of the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee, copy attached, and is forwarding the report to City Council as information.

**8. Request to Purchase an Additional Two Meters of Nutana Kiwanis Park
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City Solicitor be authorized to advertise its intention to sell, in accordance with Section 168 of *The Urban Municipality Act, 1984*, an additional portion of two meters x 28.64 meters of Nutana Kiwanis Park (as shown on the map in Attachment 1 to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 30, 2002) to the Ukrainian Orthodox Senior Citizens' Society Ilarion Residence;
 - 2) that the sale of the property be considered by City Council after the appropriate advertising is conducted;
 - 3) that if the sale is approved, the Land Branch Manager be instructed to proceed to sell the land for \$4,594 plus G.S.T. and all other related costs; and
 - 4) that the funds from the sale of the land be placed in the Dedicated Lands Account and reserved to make improvements to the easterly portion of Nutana Kiwanis Park that is bordered by Porter Street and Arlington Avenue.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the recommendations outlined in the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 30, 2002, with respect to the above matter.

**9. Safe Sidewalks in Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 6220-4)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 10, 2002, with respect to the above matter, and is forwarding the report to City Council as information.

REPORT NO. 8-2002 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor T. Paulsen, Chair
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor P. McCann
Councillor P. Roe
Councillor
R. Steernberg

**1. 2002 Assistance to Community Groups – Cash Grants Program
Social Services Component
(File No. CK. 1870-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the grants totaling \$284,850 for the Social Services Component of the 2002 Assistance to Community Groups Cash Grants Program be approved, as outlined under the “A&F Recommend” column of Attachment No. 1; and
 - 2) that Cash Grants be increased to meet City of Saskatoon Policy C03-018 (Assistance to Community Groups) for the 2003 budget year to \$2 per capita.

ADOPTED.

The following is the report of the Chair, Social Services Subcommittee, dated May 14, 2002 forwarding the recommendations for the Social Services Component of the 2002 Assistance to Committee Groups Cash Grant Program, (which your committee has reviewed and supports the recommendations of the subcommittee):

“BACKGROUND

The Social Services Subcommittee is appointed, following the recommendation of Executive Committee to City Council and consists of Robb Watts - Chairperson (Department of Social Services), Gary Ulrich (Saskatoon Catholic School Board), Dan Yee (Saskatoon Public School Board), Sheri Benson (United Way), and Om Kochar (member-at-large). The committee reviews applications from not-for-profit and cooperative organizations pursuant to City Council Policy C03-018 (Assistance to Community Groups), ensuring the objectives of the policy are met.

JUSTIFICATION

The Subcommittee met on three occasions during April, 2002 to review 46 requests for funds totalling \$503,972.

The Review

The Subcommittee reviewed the requests for funds following City Council Policy C03 -018 (Assistance to Community Groups). The Subcommittee wishes to extend their thanks to the City of Saskatoon for increasing the Cash Grants Program - Social Services Component 2002 budget level to \$1.50 per capita for a total of \$313,900.

The Subcommittee evaluated the proposals giving priority to those projects and programs which provide a direct service to enhance the quality of life for Saskatoon residents, especially as they relate to strengthening and supporting the family and community; those which met demonstrated areas of current and urgent need; those that demonstrated consumer group involvement; those which demonstrated by the nature of their activities, the development and maintenance of a sustainable community; and those which interface with existing City of Saskatoon services.

In previous years, the Subcommittee has encouraged organizations to develop partnerships, coordinate programs and services, network, link, and interact to develop a co-operative and collaborative climate to better deliver services to the community. Organizations reflective of a community development focus and those that have developed partnerships with organizations and are delivering or developing joint programs generally were maintained or recommended increases in their allocations. A number of agencies were recommended for one-time allocations to assist with the development of an initiative.

Committee Deliberations

In 2002, our committee reviewed forty-six (46) applications totaling \$503,972. There was an increase of three (3) applications from 2001. Fourteen (14) new agencies applied for funding, of which one (1) agency who had applied in previous years reapplied.

The 2002 recommendation totaled \$284,850 out of \$313,900 available. The amount of \$29,050 remains for future allocation in 2002.

The amount of \$31,500 was recommended for eight (8) new requests. This is in keeping with Section 3.3(c) of Policy C03-018 that a minimum of five percent of the total grants allocation be set aside for new requests.

Two (2) of the applications recommended for funding are agencies under *The Cooperative Act of Saskatchewan*.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 58**

Grants ranging from \$1,500 to \$20,000 are being recommended.

Seven (7) agencies are not being recommended for funding. All agencies funded in 2001 were at least maintained at the previous year's level.

There are a number of issues the committee wishes to identify:

The Subcommittee has exercised due diligence in recommending allocations for 2002.

From deliberations, the amount of \$29,050 remains unallocated.

Your Subcommittee would recommend the remaining \$29,050 be made available for future initiatives related to Early Childhood Development.

The Subcommittee identified a gap with the 2002 applications with no proposals directed to 0 to 6 years.

Considerable community activity and priority setting has been identified by key stakeholders and initiatives in the Saskatoon community, such as the Regional Intersectorial Committee (RIC) and Kids First.

The Subcommittee would recommend the Chair of the Subcommittee meet with City Administration by September 1, 2002 on the development of an Early Childhood initiative.

Your Subcommittee would ask you recommend to City Council to meet Policy C03-18 3.3 Program Funding (the maximum global funding that will be available in each year will be \$2/capita) through an increase to \$2 per capita in 2003.

Your Subcommittee would ask for the 2003 application for Assistance to Community Groups (Cash Grants Programs) to show a time deadline of 5:00 p.m. on the application. It is suggested this will assist to eliminate any confusion related to late applications.

The City of Saskatoon is an important funder of many key human service agencies in Saskatoon. Continued leadership is necessary to support the City of Saskatoon Cash Grants Program to ensure services to Saskatoon residents are maintained.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 59**

As well, we are entering an era of more accountability for the funding provided to deliver human services. The voluntary sector will be asked to be more accountable for funding and the outcome of services for residents.

The Social Services Subcommittee has made specific comments relevant to each of the recommendations for the applicant profile. We would be pleased to answer any questions you have with respect to the recommendations.

The Subcommittee wishes to thank Henry Dutka, Community Consultant, and Joanne Wheler, Grant Services Clerk, for their excellent support to the Subcommittee.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Summary of Grant Recommendations for 2002 Assistance to Community Groups Cash Grant Program – Social Services Component”

2. **2002 Assistance to Community Groups – Cash Grants Program
Environmental Component
File No. CK. 1870-2**

RECOMMENDATION: that the grants totaling \$5,000 for the Environmental Component of the 2002 Assistance to Community Groups – Cash Grants Program be approved as outlined in Attachment 2 under the “Approved” column.

ADOPTED.

“BACKGROUND

The Assistance to Community Groups Cash Grant Environmental Component has an allocation of \$5,000 under City of Saskatoon Policy C03-018 (Assistance to Community Groups). For several years the Utility Services Department provided administration of the grant. With the Administrative re-organization of 1999, the responsibility for administering this grant was moved to the Community Services Department, Community Support Services Branch. During its September 25, 2000 meeting, the Administration and Finance Committee approved revised Evaluation Criteria for the Environmental Component of the Cash Grant Program, under City of Saskatoon Policy C03-018 (Assistance to Community Groups). See Attachment 1.

JUSTIFICATION

Community Services Department staff have reviewed the grant applications for the year 2002. See Attachment 2. Five applications for a total of \$15,000 in requests were received. These applicants were deemed eligible as follows:

1. Native Plant Society of Saskatchewan – project proposal for partial funding to cover costs of a native plant educator for fall and spring camp sessions at Brightwater Camp. Requesting \$5000.
2. Saskatoon Nature Society – project funding for workshops to educate children about birds and the environment. Requesting \$1,500.
3. SOS Elm Coalition – project funding for brochure development and a tree tour aimed at educating the public. Requesting \$2,000.
4. Saskatoon Horticulture Society – operational funding request to expand programming for youth initiatives. Requesting \$2,500.
5. Saskatoon Zoo Society – operational funding to contribute to environmental education (listed various projects such as tour guides, zoo camp, outreach program, program interpreters) to keep costs of program affordable for participants. Requesting \$4,000.

The Community Services Department recommends funding as follows (see Attachment 2):

1. Native Plant Society of Saskatchewan Inc. - \$1,250
2. Saskatoon Nature Society - \$750
3. SOS Elm Coalition - \$1,000
4. Saskatoon Horticultural Society - \$1,000
5. Saskatoon Zoo Society - \$1,000

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Since the funding is already in place, there will be no financial impact.

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 61**

Organizations will be notified regarding the amount recommended by the Administration and Finance Committee to City Council.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Eligibility Criteria
2. Year 2002 Grant Recommendations”

**3. 2002 (Offsite) Prepaid Service Rates
(File No. CK. 4216-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2002 Prepaid Service Rates be approved, as outlined in the attached report.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 6, 2002, forwarding information on the proposed 2002 Prepaid Service Rates (Offsite). As will be noted this increase of approximately 3.2% will result in an increase of about \$400 - \$450 per residential lot. Your Committee supports this proposed increase in rates.

**4. Application for Funding
City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events)
Saskatoon Myracles Baton Club
(File No. CK. 1870-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that a grant of up to \$10,300 (subject to actual facility rental cost) be approved under the Special Event Policy for the rental of Saskatchewan Place for the World and International Baton Twirling Championships.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 11, 2002 forwarding information on the above-noted application for funding under the Special Events Policy. Your Committee has reviewed this request with the Administration, and supports the grant of up to \$10,300.

**5. 2000 and 2001 Annual Report
Race Relations Committee**

(File No. CK. 430-29)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the Chair, Race Relations Committee dated June 13, 2002, which your Committee has reviewed with the Chair.

Your Committee wishes to acknowledge the work of the Committee, with thanks and appreciation.

REPORT NO. 4-2002 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor R. Steernberg, Chair
Councillor P. McCann
Councillor P. Roe
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor L. Swystun

- 1. External Audit Findings to December 31, 2001**
KPMG
(File No. CK. 1610-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated May 15, 2002 providing an Administrative Response to the attached Findings Report to December 31, 2001, as provided by KPMG.

Your Committee has reviewed the reports with the Administration and with representatives of KPMG, and is satisfied with the results.

**2. Follow-up Audit – Paved Streets Maintenance/Preservation
(File No. CK. 1600-23)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the Administration be requested to submit a progress report to City Council every two years, on their findings regarding roadway treatments.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated June 5, 2002 from Robert Prosser & Associates Inc., providing a follow-up report on the Audit recommendations for Paved Streets Maintenance/Preservation. Your Committee has reviewed this follow-up report with the Administration and with the auditor, and because of the lengthy implementation dates on recommendations regarding roadway treatments, the Committee is recommending that a progress report be submitted to City Council every two years. This will ensure that a status report is provided as to what is being done along the way.

**3. Audit Report – Assessment Office
(File No. CK. 1600-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of a letter dated June 5, 2002 from Robert Prosser & Associates Inc. forwarding the Audit Report – Assessment Office, as well as a copy of the Implementation Plan for this Audit Report. The Audit Report was provided to all members of Council and the Administration in the agenda for the Audit Committee meeting held on June 10, 2002, and has therefore not been recopied at this time. A copy of the Audit Report will be available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office.

Your Committee has reviewed this Report with the auditor and the Administration, and supports the actions taken with respect to the Audit recommendations.

Your Committee wishes to express its appreciation to the Administration for the significant assistance provided to the auditor by the assessment staff.

REPORT NO. 6-2002 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor R. Steernberg
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Disposal Strategy for Excess Properties Held in the
Land Bank and as Civic Properties
(File No. CK. 4138-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that parts of Section 35, Township 37, Range 5, West of the Meridian (lands adjacent to Wanuskewin Heritage Park), the NE Quarter Section 21, Township 36, Range 6, West of the 3rd Meridian (land used as a forestation site) and part of SE Quarter Section 14, Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd Meridian (residual land southeast of the Regina interchange), be declared excess land and be sold;
 - 2) that a further report on the City's raw land holdings be sent to the Land Bank Committee upon completion of the West Sector Study and confirmation of the City's development direction to reach a population of 400,000 people; and
 - 3) that the method of sale of excess raw land holdings, outlined in the report to the Land Bank Committee dated November 22, 2001, be confirmed.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the City Manager dated June 3, 2002 with the Administration, and after considerable discussion, the Committee agreed with the recommendations outlined above, as these lands are beyond the 25-year development horizon:

“BACKGROUND

At its meeting held December 14, 2001, the Land Bank Committee considered a report on a disposal strategy for excess raw land holdings held in the Land Bank (See Attachment No. 1). The Committee received the report as information and requested that the City Manager check with the General Managers to determine whether any of the land recommended for sale is required for civic purposes prior to making a decision regarding sale.

REPORT

The disposal strategy was presented at the General Manager’s meeting of January 15, 2002. The presentation included information on the raw land holdings as they relate to the City’s projected growth plan and the raw land holdings recommended for sale.

The Infrastructure Services Department strongly disagreed with the disposal of any City-owned raw lands that have been identified as future development areas (no matter the timing), and/or have a potential for future civic use (See Attachment No. 2).

East Side Lands

The Infrastructure Services response is that none of the Land Bank land in the northeast sector should be sold, as it will eventually be developed. The argument is that by owning the land we control the timing of development. Development of the City’s northeast land holdings is already anticipated and built into the prepaid rates. Infrastructure Services also recommend keeping the Land Bank raw land parcel adjacent to the Mickelson Yards in the east sector, as it will eventually be developed; but more importantly, they want it kept for a possible expanded City yards operation on the east side.

West Side Lands

Infrastructure Services correctly conclude that all land sales in the west sector should be deferred until the West Sector Study is complete, as again, the land may be required for future development. Portions of the land could also be required for surface storm drainage. The study is expected from the consultant by the end of June and recommendations to City Council should be forthcoming by the fall.

Infrastructure Services further discusses the serviceability of the Civic Lands adjacent to Wanuskewin Heritage Park and the need to ensure land requirements for the future right-of-way for the perimeter highway are maintained in this area. It has always been planned to take the necessary right-of-way before any sale.

Infrastructure Services Conclusion

The Infrastructure Services response concludes that, with the exception of two civic land parcels, all of the land recommended for sale in the November 22, 2001, report be held until either the North West Sector Study and/or an overall change to the City's Development Plan to 400,000 population declares the lands redundant for development purposes.

Response

The previous report presented to the Land Bank Committee answered specific questions asked in the Land Bank Audit. It projected the City's future suburban growth using the facts available. It then picked a 25-year time frame as a reasonable time period that the Land Bank could be expected to hold land and still be accountable for its cost. This answered the Audit question as to which Land Bank land should be sold, and which should be kept. As the report stated, there are other reasons, other than the land development business, for banking City-owned raw land. The main reason for City ownership of land, other than development for profit, is the ownership of land for the purposes of control.

Infrastructure Services correctly concludes that many of the parcels recommended for sale offer the City control of the future direction of development. They further suggest that the decision to sell any lands that offer development control be deferred until after the West Sector Study is available and the City's development directions are confirmed.

Conclusions

Although Phase I of the West Sector Study will be completed in June, the final decision to commit to a new suburban development area on the west side may take considerably longer. Therefore, it is recommended that only those civic lands by Wanuskewin, the forestation area west of Highway No. 7 and the residual parcel by the Regina Interchange, circled in black on the attached map, (see Attachment No. 3) be sold at this time. The sale of any other raw land parcels should be deferred until such time as a decision is made regarding development in the West Sector of the City.

It is further recommended that the disposal method that includes full market value, affect on property values, sales order, public reaction, Meewasin Valley Authority and sales process, as previously outlined in the Disposal Strategy Report of November 22, 2001, be accepted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report dated November 22, 2001, submitted to December 14, 2001, Land Bank Committee.
2. Memo on raw land holdings dated January 22, 2002 from General Manager, Infrastructure Services.
3. Map of raw land holdings"

2. Request to Purchase City-Owned Land
Pt. of Parcel L, Block 582, Plan No. 79S38425
Telus Mobility
(File No. CK. 4215-1)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Land Manager be authorized to sell to Telus Mobility, or assignee, 0.264 acres of institutional land, zoned M2, being part of Parcel L, Block 582, Plan No. 79S38425, under the following terms:
 - a) Purchase Price: \$46,000.00 plus GST;
 - b) Down Payment: Purchaser to provide 13% of purchase price plus GST upon approval by City Council and the execution of a sale agreement;
 - c) Sale Conditions: Property sold "as is". Subject to the purchaser obtaining a federal license for the operation of a cell tower on the property from Industry Canada. Subject to the purchaser obtaining a Phase One Environmental Assessment indicating that the property is acceptable;
 - d) Costs: Purchaser is responsible for the costs of subdivision to create and register a Plan, to provide for a transfer authorization of title. Purchaser is responsible for the costs of service extensions and connections to the property;
 - e) Closing Date: Upon the registration of plan to provide for a transfer authorization of title;
 - f) Possession: To coincide with possession, provided that the property has been paid in full and all sale conditions have been removed.
 - 2) that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary documentation for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 4, 2002 with the Administration, and believes that this is a good use for the property in question:

“BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on March 25, 2002, City Council authorized the sale of 12 City-owned properties located on Camponi Crescent by public tender at a reserve bid of \$165,000.00 per acre (\$3.79 per square foot).

The Land Branch will be advertising the tender for these properties in July.

REPORT

The Land Branch has been contacted by Telus Mobility, who is interested in acquiring property within the Camponi Crescent area for the construction of a cell tower. The Land Branch has negotiated a sale, subject to the approval of City Council, of land immediately adjacent the south boundary of the existing City of Saskatoon electrical substation located on Camponi Crescent, with the following terms and conditions:

Property:	0.264 acres (11,499.84 square feet) of Parcel L, Block 582, Plan 79S38425;
Purchase Price:	\$46,000.00 (\$4.00 per square foot) plus GST;
Down Payment:	Purchaser to provide 13% of purchase price plus GST upon approval of City Council and the execution of a sale agreement;
Sale Conditions:	Property sold “as is”. Subject to the purchaser obtaining a federal license for the operation of a cell tower on the property from Industry Canada. Subject to the purchaser obtaining a Phase One Environmental Assessment indicating that the property is acceptable,
Costs:	Purchaser is responsible for the costs of subdivision to create and register a Plan, to provide for a transfer authorization of title. Purchaser is responsible for the costs of service extensions and connections to the property.
Closing Date:	Upon the registration of plan to provide for a transfer authorization of title,
Possession:	To coincide with the closing date, provided that the property has been paid in full and all sale conditions have been removed.

Attachment No.1 of this report shows the Camponi Crescent area. Attachment No. 2 shows the property being proposed to be sold.

In the opinion of the Land Branch, the sale is a good opportunity to dispose of less desirable land located next to the electrical substation at full value. The intended use also provides for a more compatible use adjacent to the balance of lands to be marketed to the south.

It should be noted that City Council approval to the sale does not constitute approval to the construction of a cell tower on the property. Telus Mobility must apply to Industry Canada

for a federal licence to operate a tower on the property. Under City Policy C01-019, Telus is required to undertake a public consultation process prior to their submission of the federal license application. The federal licence application must include a Municipal Land Use Consultation Attestation.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan showing the Camponi Crescent area.
2. Plan showing 0.264 acres proposed to be sold to Telus Mobility.”

**3. Offer to Purchase City-Owned Land by
Boyчук Investments Ltd.
Part of Parcel L, Plan No. 96S13323
Brookhurst Crescent and Brookshire Crescent, Briarwood Neighbourhood
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the offer from Boyчук Investments Ltd. to purchase part of Parcel L, Plan No. 96S13323 for the price of \$229,295.00 plus applicable taxes, be approved subject to the terms and conditions outlined in this report; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate documents and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the documents under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 5, 2002 with the Administration, and supports the sale of this property as outlined in the report:

“BACKGROUND

Recently Mr. Ron Olson, Manager of Boyчук Investments Ltd., approached the Land Branch with a proposal to purchase a portion of unserviced lands (4.801 acres), which are intended for residential lot development on Brookhurst Crescent and Brookshire Crescent within the Briarwood Neighbourhood as indicated on Attachment No. 1. The land to the west and north of this unserviced land is being developed by Boyчук Investments Ltd. and is contiguous with the direction of servicing for this portion of the Briarwood Neighbourhood. This City-owned land would accommodate approximately 405 metres of saleable frontage and based on an average lot frontage of 15 metres would result in the development of 27 residential lots.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 70

Boychuk Investments wishes to purchase this land and continue a logical path of servicing and development for this area commencing with the servicing of 20 lots on Brookhurst Crescent in 2002. Depending upon sales activity, servicing of Brookshire Crescent, including the land currently owned by the City, would commence in 2003. If the requested purchase of this land were approved, Boychuk Investments would be better able to control the timing and sequence of development of this portion of the Briarwood Neighbourhood.

REPORT

The Offer to Purchase submitted by Boychuk Investments Ltd. is outlined on Attachment No. 2. The purchase price of \$229,295.00 was determined through negotiation and represents the current value of the lands. This value was determined as follows:

Market Value of land (404.9 metres x \$3,500 per metre):		\$1,417,150.00
Estimated Lot Servicing Costs including costs Related to extension of Briarwood Road:	\$865,275.00	
Survey Costs and Marketing:	<u>39,150.00</u>	
Total Site Development Costs	\$904,425.00	
Less Expected Return on Gross Revenues (20%):	<u>283,430.00</u>	
	\$1,187,855.00	<u>\$1,187,855.00</u>
Residual Current Value of Land:		\$ 229,295.00

The terms and conditions of sale if approved would be as follows:

Purchase Price:	\$229,295.00 plus applicable taxes.
Land Survey and Land Title Costs:	to be paid by Boychuk Investments Ltd.
Costs Related to Area Enhancements:	Costs shall be allocated and paid by Boychuk in accordance with the Briarwood Cost Sharing Agreement as approved by City Council on July 16, 2001.
Condition of Land:	“As is” with permitted encumbrances for utility easements.
Possession:	Upon payment in full and execution of a Sale Agreement.

This portion of City-owned land in Briarwood is not expected to be serviced for several more years. The City’s first phase of development in Briarwood was the Brookmore Crescent Area with a current unsold inventory of 10 lots. The second phase of development is the Beechmont Crescent area with a current unsold inventory of 9 lots. An additional 32 lots will be offered for sale through a lot draw scheduled for July of 2002.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 71

Servicing of the balance of the Beechmont Crescent area involving a further 89 lots will commence in 2002. Depending upon market conditions, servicing of the balance of City-owned lands from a north to south direction along Briarwood Road will occur in additional phases between 2003 and 2007 as indicated on Attachment No. 1.

It should be noted that the City purchased these lands in 1964 at a cost of \$204.00 per gross acre. Based on a 7% interest rate, compounded annually for 38 years, this cost would now be \$2,668.00 per gross acre. On a net acre basis this would be approximately \$4,402 per acre. The sale of this land for \$229,295.00 (\$60,885 per net acre) would provide an excellent return on investment for the Land Bank Program. For this reason, it is recommended that the Offer to Purchase as submitted by Boychuk Investments Ltd. be accepted.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Map of Briarwood Neighbourhood Showing Subject Lands and Remaining Unserviced City-owned Lands
2. Letter dated June 5, 2002, from Boychuk Investments Ltd.”

**4. Request to Exchange Land
Lots 5 and 6, Block 438, Plan 01SA04536
Milbrandt Homes Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the City exchange land with Milbrandt Homes Ltd. as outlined in this report; and,
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, the documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 5, 2002 with the Administration, and supports this land exchange as outlined in the report, as there would be no impact on the City’s land holdings being Lot 6 is available for sale and following the exchange Lot 5 would be available for sale:

“BACKGROUND

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 72**

At its meeting held on December 3, 2001, City Council authorized the Land Manager to offer for sale by public tender, six lots located on Heath Avenue, within the University Heights Suburban Centre, which included the above-noted Lots 5 and 6, Block 438, Plan 01SA04536.

On February 13, 2002, tenders were closed with only one bid received from Milbrandt Homes Ltd. for the purchase of Lot 5, Block 438. The bid received from Milbrandt was in the amount of \$310,000.00, which was \$1,254.16 above the reserve bid of \$308,745.84, not including GST. On May 1, 2002, Milbrandt provided payment of the balance of the purchase price, as required under the terms of sale, and ownership of Lot 5 was transferred to them.

The remaining five lots from the tender have been for sale over the counter at the Land Branch since the close of the tender.

Attachment No. 1 shows the six lots located on Heath Avenue within the University Heights Suburban Centre.

REPORT

Milbrandt Homes is now requesting to exchange Lot 5 currently owned by them for Lot 6 owned by the City. They have indicated that Lot 5 has proved to be too small for the project they are proposing. Their intention is to construct 48 apartment-style condominium units contained within four separate buildings.

Your staff has no objection to the land exchange. The City will retain the \$1,254.16 that Milbrandt bid over the reserve bid for Lot 5. In addition, they will pay the City the sum of \$54,021.43, being the difference in price between Lots 5 and 6. It should be noted that we discussed with Milbrandt the option of resubdividing the adjacent lots to provide them with additional land for purchase which would be consolidated with Lot 5, however, this option is too lengthy for Milbrandt's construction schedule.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan showing six lots located on Heath Avenue within the University Heights Suburban Centre."
 5. **Request to Sell City-Owned Property
Lots 4 to 9, Block 431, Lot 3, Block 432, Lot 6 to 10, Block 433,
All in Plan 01SA29464
Herold Road, Herold Terrace and Pawlychenko Lane
Lakewood Suburban Centre
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**
-

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002

PAGE 73

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Land Manager be authorized to sell Lots 4 to 9, Block 431, Lot 3, Block 432, Lot 6 to 10, Block 433, all in Plan No. 01SA29464 to the highest bidder through a tender process, with reserve bids as set out in this report;
- 2) that if the lots are not sold through the tender process they be placed for sale over-the-counter, on a first-come, first-served basis;
- 3) that the lots be sold with a condition of sale that would restrict residential construction densities as set out in this report; and,
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate documents and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the documents under the Corporate Seal to complete the sale by public tender.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 5, 2002 with the Administration, and supports the sale of these lots, as outlined in the report:

“BACKGROUND

The above-noted lots are located on Herold Road, Herold Terrace, and Pawlychenko Lane within the Lakewood Suburban Centre. (See Attachment No. 1) Lot 4, Block 431 is zoned B2 to provide for an intermediate range of commercial uses. Lots 8 and 9, Block 431, and Lots 6 to 10, Block 433 are zoned M3, allowing for a wide range of institutional and community activities as well as medium- and high-density residential uses. Lots 5 to 7, Block 431 and Lot 3, Block 432 are zoned RM4, accommodating medium/high density multiple-unit development.

Area grading and water and sewer work for the lots was completed last year. Completion of the roadwork for the area, including asphalt and sidewalks, is projected for mid-August this year.

It should be noted that City Council at its meeting held on February 25, 2002, approved the sale of approximately 0.06 hectares (0.148 acres) of Lot 6, Block 433, to SaskTel for the purpose of providing a switching station to service the area. The subdivision to create the lot for SaskTel is currently underway.

REPORT

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 74**

In anticipation of the completion of the servicing of the subject lots, the Land Manger is seeking approval to offer the lots for sale by public tender. Based on current sale prices for M3 zoned land within the University Heights Suburban Centre, and comparable sales data for B2 zoned land we have calculated reserve bids for each lot, as outlined in Attachment No. 2.

As a condition of sale we are recommending a minimum density restriction of 20 units per acre for the RM4 zoned land and 30 units per acre for the M3 zoned land. Promoting higher-density residential development within the Suburban Centre adheres to the long-term planning goal of the City's Development Plan.

With regard to the non-residential use permitted for the M3 zoned land, we do not propose any restrictions other than those contained in the M3 zoning designation.

The Land Manager will accept the highest bid over the reserve bid for each lot. In the event the highest bid is to be rejected, or if there is dispute over the bids received, the Land Manager will provide City Council with a report and recommendation.

If the lots do not sell through the tender process, they will be placed for sale over-the-counter.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan showing lots proposed for sale on Herold Road, Herold Terrace and Pawlychenko Lane.
2. List for proposed reserve bids for lots to be offered for sale."

**6. Request by Sunland Holding Ltd. to Remove Restrictive Covenant
Lot 5, Block 404, Plan No. 97S49157
825 Heritage Green
(File No. CK. 4215-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City Solicitor proceed to have a Restrictive Covenant Agreement registered against the title to Lot 5, Block 404, Plan No. 97S49157 which would restrict the development of this site for a maximum of 13 dwelling units per acre.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 12, 2002 with the Administration, and supports the proposed new Restrictive Covenant to allow for no more than 43 condominium units to be constructed on the subject property.

Pursuant to motion by Councillor Steernberg, and carried by a majority of members of Council, the hour of the meeting was extended beyond 10:30 p.m.

REPORT NO. 9-2002 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor J. Maddin, Chair
Councillor D. Atchison
Councillor O. Fortosky
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor P. McCann
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor P. Roe
Councillor R. Steernberg
Councillor L. Swystun
Councillor K. Waygood

**1. Citizen Appointments
Naming Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 225-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the following people be appointed to the Naming Advisory Committee to the end of 2004:

Fred Catterall
Mavis Moore
Donna Boots

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on May 6, 2002, established a Naming Advisory Committee and resolved that two Councillors and three citizen members be appointed through the usual appointment process. Councillors Atchison and Swystun were subsequently appointed to the Board.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Swystun,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

**Councillor P. Roe
Feasibility of Establishing a Noise Park
(File No. CK. 4205-1)**

Would the Administration report on the feasibility of establishing a noise park similar to one located in Regina. (I understand that there are noise parks located in the USA as well). All appropriate recreational sources of noise such as: radar-controlled planes, moto-cross bikes, skateboards, race cars, etc., are apparently located in these parks. The parks are designed to reduce the impact of this type of noise on the quality of life in city neighbourhoods.

**Councillor O. Fortosky
Alternative Approaches to Home Inspection for Assessment Purposes
(File No. CK. 1620-1)**

Would the Administration please report as soon as possible on alternative approaches to home inspections (eg: owner checklist and the viability of such alternatives).

**Councillor O. Fortosky
Possible Yield Signs on Avenues Q, R and S at 19th Street
(File No. CK. 6280-1)**

Avenues T, U and V have yield signs at their 19th Street intersections. Due to the number of collisions and general safety reasons, would the Administration please report on the possibility of yield signs on Avenues Q, R and S at their 19th Street intersections.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 8090

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8090, being "The International Road Dynamics Inc. Incentive Bylaw, 2002" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 78**

THAT Bylaw No. 8090 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8090.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8090 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Sternberg,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8090 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 79**

THAT Bylaw No. 8090 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8129

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8129, being “The Bank Account and Cheque Signing Amendment Bylaw, 2002 (No. 2)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Bylaw No. 8129 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8129.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 80**

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8129 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8129 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8129 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8130

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8130, being “The Vanscoy Fire Protection Agreement Bylaw, 2002” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 81**

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Bylaw No. 8130 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8130.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8130 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8130 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 82**

THAT Bylaw No. 8130 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8131

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor McCann,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8131, being “The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2002” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Roe,

THAT Bylaw No. 8131 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8131.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Atchison in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Atchison, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2002
PAGE 83**

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8131 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Steernberg,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8131 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Atchison, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8131 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Councillor Atchison,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 10:40 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk