

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, March 16, 2009
at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Lorje, Neault,
Pringle, and Wyant;
City Manager Totland;
City Solicitor Dust;
A/General Manager, Corporate Services Tarasoff;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gardiner;
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;
City Clerk Mann; and
Council Assistant Mitchener

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on March 2, 2009, be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Dubois as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Dubois in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Dubois, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 2**

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2009

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between February 19, 2009 to March 4, 2009
(For Information Only)
(File Nos. CK. 4000-5 and PL 4132, PL 4350)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No. 5/09: 230 Slimmon Road (71 Units New)
Applicant: Larson Surveys for Royalty Construction Inc.
Legal Description: Lot 3A, Block 434, Plan 101977869
Current Zoning: RM4
Neighbourhood: Lakewood Suburban Centre
Date Received: February 19, 2009

Rezoning

- Application No. Z4/09: Cornish Road/Willis Crescent
Applicant: City of Saskatoon Land Branch
Legal Description: Portion of SE ¼ Sec. 15, Twp. 36, Rge. 5,
W. 3rd MER.
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Zoning: M2
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: February 13, 2009
(no plan available at this time)

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 3**

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 5/09

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Preliminary Statement of Revenues and Expenditures
Year Ended December 31, 2008
(File No. CS 1704-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the reduction in the contribution to the Plan Review and Inspection Service Stabilization Reserve by \$845,950 to fund the remaining deficit over the balance in the Revenue Stabilization Reserve.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached for City Council's information, is a copy of the Preliminary Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the year ended, December 31, 2008. This statement, still subject to external audit, reveals a deficit of \$1,245,000.

The Revenue Stabilization Reserve was established to mitigate mill rate impacts from changes in revenues from year to year and normally has enough funds to offset a deficit. However, with the two previous year ends being deficits, only \$399,050 remains in the reserve. This balance is not sufficient to offset the entire deficit and as a result, the remaining \$845,950 needs to be funded through other means that would avoid adding this amount to the 2009 mill rate.

Your Administration is proposing to cover the remaining \$845,950 through a reduction in the contribution from the Building Permit Program through its Plan Review and Inspection Service Stabilization Reserve. This reserve has exceeded the maximum "cap" through previous City Council authorization in anticipation of future draws on the reserve resulting from increased staff levels to meet recent demands for services. The offsetting factor to this is that our fees are based on construction values; so as construction values continue to rise, so does the permit fee revenues. Although the residential permit applications have declined, permit applications for commercial, retail and institutional construction continues to be strong early in the new year. Based on the permit activity the department has witnessed to date, the 2009 permit fee revenue projections have been set \$900,000 below the record levels of 2008. Permit fee revenue projections have been projected to increase by 3% per year after 2009 in anticipation of construction cost increases.

The current preliminary contribution to the reserve, as a result of the 2008 surplus in the program, is \$1.12 million. City Council approved a \$400,000 transfer from this reserve to the

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 4

Affordable Housing Reserve in 2008, leaving \$700,000 to transfer to the Plan Review and Inspection Service Stabilization Reserve at 2008 year end. Using \$845,950 to cover the remaining deficit results in a net draw from the reserve of \$126,000, leaving \$2.37 million as a balance in the reserve to cover future program deficits, well in excess of the \$1 million cap as per the policy but authorized by Council. Reserve projections after the proposed withdrawal to cover the year-end deficit do not anticipate any permit fee changes until 2011 at the earliest. Permit fees for commercial, retail and institutional construction in Saskatoon are currently 10% below comparable rates in Regina and Prince Albert, and significantly below Red Deer, Edmonton and Calgary. The City of Saskatoon has not changed its permit fee rates or structure since 1996.

Enclosed with the statement is a letter of transmittal highlighting the major variances contributing to this deficit.

The year-end projection prepared by the Administration for the eight months to August 31, 2008, revealed a projected deficit of \$3.6 million. This estimate was based on the most current information at the time. At the time the projection was provided to Senior Management, the City Manager directed the Administration to hold all discretionary spending, as well as placed a hiring freeze on all non-essential external placements. While the amount is difficult to quantify, this assisted in considerable expenditure savings to help reduce the deficit.

The external audit of the financial statements is expected to be completed in April at which time the final financial statements will be forwarded to the Audit Committee and then City Council for approval.

Civic Year-End Results

While there are many variances between actual results and budget, which are explained in the attached Letter of Transmittal, the main factors for this deficit are related to increased fuel pricing and a reduction in interest earnings. Many of the variances that were anticipated at the time the forecast was conducted in late summer either were mitigated through actions undertaken by the Administration and/or favourable weather that helped in reducing the impact to the Snow and Ice Management Program.

Boards and Commission Year-End Results

All Boards and the Police Commission are reporting surpluses for 2008, subject to external audits.

TCU Place is reporting a surplus of approximately \$825,000; Credit Union Centre a surplus of \$2,383,000; the Mendel Art Gallery a surplus of \$42,200; and the Public Library a surplus of \$554,000. Any surplus funds are retained by these Boards and transferred into their respective stabilization funds or capital reserves as dictated by policy.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 5**

The Police Commission has a surplus of \$1,350,300. This surplus is included in the City's 2008 civic year-end results.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Preliminary Statement of Revenue and Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

- E1) Capital Project 2000 – 25th Street Extension/Rehabilitation
25th Street Extension Alignment and Tendering of Underground Utilities
File CK. 6000-1 and IS 6320-01**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the alignment for the 25th Street Extension, as shown on Attachment 1, be approved.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speaker. See Page No. 41.

- E2) Amendments to Bylaw 1523
Construction of Private Sewer and Water Service Connections
Water and Sewer Service Inspection Rates and Boulevard Condition Deposit
(File No. 6320-01, CK. 1905-1)**
-

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that Bylaw 1523, "A bylaw respecting the construction of private sewer and water service connections in the City of Saskatoon", be amended to add a provision for a refundable Boulevard Condition Deposit;
- 2) that the proposed 2009 Water and Sewer Inspection rates as described in the following report be approved; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary amendments to Bylaw 1523 for approval by City Council.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 6

BACKGROUND

The Construction Services Branch currently inspects all water and sewer service connections for compliance with construction standards, and to ensure that the integrity and safety of the public utility remains intact. The City provides and charges for these connection inspection services based on predetermined rates.

The rates for this service were last increased in February of 2008. Prior to that, rates had not been increased since 1985, Council, therefore, approved a recommendation that the Administration be authorized to phase in a full cost recovery of inspection services within the water/sewer connection inspection program by 2010.

REPORT

In 2007, approximately \$254,000 was spent inspecting and managing 1,088 connections in the cash connection system. Approximately \$82,000 was recovered using the 1985 rates, representing a 32.1% recovery rate. The remaining \$172,000 was subsidized by the water and sewer utility.

In 2008, approximately \$269,000 was spent inspecting and managing 1,159 connections in the cash connection system. Approximately \$159,000 was recovered using the 2008 rates, representing a 58.1% recovery rate. The remaining \$110,000 was subsidized by the water and sewer utility.

A large number of water and sewer connections involve redevelopment of a property. As part of the Demolition Permit process, an applicant must provide a refundable deposit which is returned when water and sewer connections that are on a City right-of-way are properly removed or properly reused, as permitted by the General Manager, Infrastructure Services. This deposit is only kept if the Administration must endeavour to accomplish this work via external or internal forces. The deposit represents the approximate cost of performing this work.

One issue currently encountered with demolition and redevelopment is damage to boulevards in front of properties where work is being done. This is the area from the property line to the street, which typically includes a grassed area, sidewalk and curb as part of the right-of-way. In many cases the property is demolished and redeveloped and the water and sewer utility is operational, however, the sidewalk and curb have been damaged. Currently, the City does not collect a deposit to ensure that damage is repaired, and pursuing delinquent redevelopers is prohibitive. The damage remains a liability and is added to the list of repairs funded by the operating budgets.

The Administration has previously recommended that there be no boulevard deposit for new developments as the amount of activity in a new subdivision is throughout, and the management/tracking of the exact cause or person responsible for damage would be difficult. In redevelopment, however, the means of obtaining the deposit and managing the analysis of 'before and after' condition of a boulevard would be relatively simple. There would be no addition of staff years for this process as it would only require adding minor incremental record keeping to the existing process.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
 MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
 PAGE 7**

The Administration is proposing that the current Water and Sewer Inspection Rates be adjusted for 2009 as outlined below, and that a refundable 'Boulevard Condition Deposit' be added.

	2008 Rates	Proposed New 2009 Rates
Residential Inspection of Connections Including Records (New, Disconnects, Repairs or Similar)	\$135/connection	\$170/connection
Residential Tapping (maximum 50mm) (Connection to water main by City Forces)	\$50/tapping	\$85/tapping
Commercial Inspection of Connections Including Records (New, Disconnects, Repairs or Similar)	\$50/hour regular hours \$100/hour overtime	\$85/hour regular hours \$170/hour overtime
Records (Technical drafting services)	\$110/connection	\$120/connection
Commercial Tapping (maximum 50mm) (Mueller tapping, all costs to work order)	\$50/tapping	\$85/tapping
Water Testing as Required TC & HPC bacteria	\$25/set plus Inspection time	\$30/set plus Inspection time
Refundable Deposit for Water & Sewer Service Cut Off as part of Demolition Permit	\$2,000 residential \$5,000 commercial	\$3,000 residential \$7,500 commercial
Refundable Deposit for Boulevard Condition Maintenance as part of Demolition Permit	\$0 \$0	\$150/front meter - residential \$200/front meter - commercial (rounded down to nearest \$100)

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

OPTIONS

No other options were considered.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The proposed rate increases are intended to cover increased costs and to attempt to recover 75% to 80% of the cost of inspection. The refundable deposits act as a means to ensure that the water/sewer system remains in safe operation and that boulevards are maintained.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E3) Surface Infrastructure Funding Reallocation
File: CK. 6000-1 and IS 6000-9

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the following funding be returned to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary:
 - a) \$805,474 from Capital Project 835 - Collector Road Preservation;
 - b) \$538,609 from Capital Project 836 - Arterial Road Preservation;
 - c) \$224,901 from Capital Project 1531 - Local Roads Preservation;
 - d) \$259,048 from Capital Project 1900 - Local Roads Deferred Maintenance Backlog; and
 - e) \$477,665 from Capital Project 1890 - Expressway Road Preservation;

 - 2) that the following over expenditures be funded from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary:
 - a) \$159,808 to the 2008 program in Capital Project 836 - Arterial Road Preservation;
 - b) \$470,800 to the 2006 program in Capital Project 1531 - Local Roads Preservation;
 - c) \$127,991 to the 2008 program in Capital Project 2249 - Street Reconstruction; and

 - 3) that the following infrastructure rehabilitation projects be funded from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary: :
 - a) \$805,474 to the 2009 program in Capital Project – 835 - Collector Road Preservation;

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 9

- b) \$378,801 to the 2009 program in Capital Project 836 - Arterial Road Preservation; and
- c) \$349,674 to the 2009 program in Capital Project 2249 - Street Reconstruction.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Roadways preservation projects funded in prior years from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary are complete, with expenditure variances as described in this report. It is the Administration's intent to close out the prior years' projects and return any remaining funding to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary, and to request additional funding to enhance the Surface Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program in 2009 and fund over expenditures as required.

REPORT

Capital Project 835 - Collector Road Preservation

Capital Project 835 has a net under expenditure of \$805,474 considering allocations to this project in 2006, 2007 and 2008. This positive variance is a result of contract cancellations due to extreme price escalations (the micro-surfacing contract in 2006, the Parkdale reconstruction contract in 2007 and the thin overlay contract in 2008). The Administration is recommending that \$805,474 be returned to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary. In order to address the locations still requiring micro-surfacing and thin overlay treatment due to these cancellations, the Administration is also requesting that \$805,474 be reallocated to Capital Project 835 to fund the 2009 program.

Capital Project 836 - Arterial Road Preservation

Capital Project 836 has a net under expenditure of \$538,609 considering allocations to this project in 2006 and 2007. The positive variance is a result of not expending contract contingencies, which are usually 10% of a contract's value and are used to cover unexpected occurrences, as well as the cancellation of the Attridge Drive reconstruction contract, the tenders of which were approximately 40% over estimate. The Attridge Drive reconstruction work was retendered and executed in 2008, resulting in an over expenditure of \$159,808 in the 2008 program. The Administration is recommending that \$538,609 be returned to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary, and that the over expenditure of \$159,808 in the 2008 program be funded from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary. In order to increase the number of treatment locations in the 2009 program, the Administration is also recommending that \$378,801 be allocated to the 2009 project. (As a result of this allocation, seven locations can be added to the Thin Overlay Program.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 10**

Capital Project 1531 - Local Roads Preservation and Capital Project 1900 - Local Roads Deferred Maintenance Backlog

Capital Projects 1531 and 1900 provide funding for rehabilitative work on residential roadways. Certain treatments, such as the blade level program, span both Capital projects. In 2006, some locations were charged to the incorrect project, resulting in an over expenditure of \$470,800 in the 2006 program of Capital Project No. 1531. The 2007 and 2008 programs of Capital Project 1531 are complete, with a net under expenditure of \$224,901 due to normal project variances such as not using all of the allocated contingencies, reductions in treatment areas, and the deferring of work due to conflicts with other projects such as the Main Street Watermain Replacement Project. As well, the 2006 and 2007 programs of Capital Project 1900 are complete, with a net under expenditure of \$259,048, also due to these normal project variances. The Administration is recommending that \$483,949 be returned to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary, and that funding from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary in the amount of \$470,800 be allocated to fund the over expenditure in the 2006 program of Capital Project 1531.

Capital Project No. 1890 - Expressway Road Preservation

Capital Project 1890 has a net under expenditure of \$477,665 considering allocations to this project in 2006 and 2007. This positive variance is a result of the cancellation of the contract for the reconstruction of Circle Drive approaching Millar Avenue, due to high tender pricing. The Administration is recommending the return of \$477,665 to the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary.

Capital Project No. 2249 - Street Reconstruction

Capital Project 2249 has an over expenditure of \$127,991 (2008 program) due to an additional area, beyond what was estimated in the tender, that was treated on Heritage Crescent because of the poor condition of the roadway. The Administration is recommending that this over expenditure be funded from the Infrastructure Reserve – Surface Discretionary and that additional funding in the amount of \$349,674 be allocated to Capital Project 2249 in order to address Marquis Drive near Costco, and Kenderdine Road near 115th Street.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommendations within this report are in accordance with Policy C03-001 – The Budget Process.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 11**

**E4) Enquiry – Councillor G. Wyant (December 1, 2008)
Traffic Control – Millar Avenue and 51st Street**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information is received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor G. Wyant at the meeting of City Council held on December 1, 2008:

“Would the Administration please report on the possibility of installing a left turn advanced arrow on the corner of Millar Avenue and 51st Street for traffic heading northbound on Millar Avenue wishing to make a left turn onto 51st Street. There is currently an advanced arrow for traffic travelling southbound on Millar Avenue wishing to turn left onto 51st Street, however; there is no corresponding signal for northbound traffic on Millar Avenue.

Further, would the Administration please report on the possibility of extending the cement median on 51st Street west of the Millar Avenue intersection, in order to prevent eastbound traffic on 51st Street making a left-hand turn into the Tim Horton’s parking lot on the north side of the street. Many people attempt this turn in advance of the intersection so as to avoid having to make a left-hand turn on 51st Street onto Millar Avenue to enter the Tim Horton’s parking lot off of Millar Avenue.”

REPORT

There are currently two lanes of traffic on Millar Avenue in both the north and south directions, but no dedicated left-turn lanes. The absence of exclusive left-turn lanes eliminates the possibility of accommodating both northbound and southbound left-turn arrows at the same time (simultaneous turns). When left-turning and through traffic is mixed in the inside lane, there is a possibility that the first vehicle in the queue will wish to proceed straight through the intersection, resulting in no one being able to move if both north and south arrows come up concurrently, wasting precious green time in the signal cycle.

Under these geometric conditions, it is only possible to utilize a leading left turn arrow on one approach, where the through traffic is also allowed to proceed while the arrow is active. A southbound arrow was installed in 2008 to assist this movement, which is very busy during the afternoon peak period. It was very well received by those motorists who make this turn, however, it precluded the installation of a left-turn arrow for northbound traffic, which is also busy, particularly in the morning peak period, and could benefit from it.

The ideal solution would be to widen the road to create dedicated north and south left-turn bays, at an estimated 2009 cost of \$600,000. The Administration will continue to request funding within the Capital Budget process.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 12**

Until funding is approved, the Transportation Branch will continue to investigate opportunities to improve the operation and efficiency of the intersection, including the possibility of alternating northbound and southbound arrows every second signal cycle; or during different times of the day, such as enabling activation of the northbound arrow in the morning and the southbound arrow in the afternoon.

The left-hand turn off 51st Street into the Tim Horton's parking lot is illegal and unsafe. This driveway is designed and intended to be right-in/right-out only. The Administration will review the existing design and implement the most appropriate measure to prevent vehicles from turning left into the parking lot.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E5) Renewal Taxicab Loading Zone Stands
File No. CK. 6145-1**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the Taxicab Loading Zone Stands by Saskatoon Radio Cab and United Cabs Limited, for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

City of Saskatoon Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 provides for Taxicab Loading Zone Stands by recommendation from the Infrastructure Services Department to City Council. The rates for taxicab stands are set out in Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 and are reflective of current parking meter rates.

REPORT

The following taxicab firms require Taxicab Loading Zone Stands as noted below for the period of January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009.

<u>Saskatoon Radio Cab</u>	<u>2009</u>
The Bus Depot (23 rd Street) – 2	\$ 3,500.00
Midtown Plaza (1 st Avenue)	<u>\$ 1,385.00 (March 15, 2009 installation)</u>
	\$ 4,885.00 (plus GST)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 13**

<u>United Cabs Limited</u>	<u>2009</u>
Sheraton Hotel (Spadina Cres.)	\$ 1,750.00
Bessborough Hotel (Spadina Cres.)	\$ 1,750.00
Midtown Plaza (1 st Avenue) – 2	\$ 3,500.00
Radisson Hotel (20 th Street)	<u>\$ 1,750.00</u>
	\$8,750.00 (plus GST)

The renewal requests have been reviewed by Infrastructure Services, who advise that no problems have been experienced with these Taxicab Loading Zone Stands in the past year; therefore, renewal is recommended.

There is no overall change in the total number of Taxicab Loading Zones from the previous year. However, Saskatoon Radio Cab has requested a Taxicab Loading Zone be installed adjacent to the Midtown Plaza on 1st Avenue (Attachment 1); and United Cabs Limited has notified the City that they no longer require a Taxicab Loading Zone adjacent to the Patricia Hotel on 2nd Avenue (Attachment 2). When a Taxicab Loading Zone is relinquished, Infrastructure Services installs a parking meter, if the competing taxicab firm has no interest in acquiring that location.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan 216-0040-004r001; and
2. Plan 210-0040-019r006.

**E6) Traffic Signage Installation Requests
October to December 2008
(File No. 6320-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on January 26, 2009, City Council delegated the authority to proceed with the installation of traffic control signage and the allocation of parking restrictions and parking prohibitions to the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department. The Administration advised that they will make the modifications and/or installations as required, and submit a report to Council for its information only.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 14**

This report is a summary of outstanding requests that have been addressed administratively for the months of October, November and December, 2008.

REPORT

The Administration ensures that the installation of any signage is in accordance with the appropriate policies, bylaws and guidelines and that all information related to the signage is documented for liability purposes and approved by the General Manager, Infrastructure Services. Attachment 1, “Request for Installation or Modification of Traffic Control Signage – Traffic Control – Stop and Yield”; and Attachment 2, “Request for Installation or Modification of Traffic Control Signage – Parking Restrictions and Parking Prohibitions”, are the templates that are being used and the following procedures have been implemented:

- Requests received from the public, either by mail, telephone or formal Communication to Council will be responded to directly, informing the interested party of the decision. A copy of the letter will be sent to the appropriate Ward Councillor.
- The Administration will continue to provide a formal response to all Council Enquiries pertaining to these types of signs. In order to avoid delays, the Administration will make the modifications and/or installations as required, and submit a report to Council for its information only.

Attachment 3 outlines all requests received between the months of October and December 2008, where signage has been installed or modified under the new practice.

The Administration will continue to compile a list of requests and will report to City Council annually for its information.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Request for Installation or Modification of Traffic Control Signage – Traffic Control – Stop and Yield;
2. Request for Installation or Modification of Traffic Control Signage – Parking Restrictions – Parking Prohibitions; and
3. 2008 Traffic Signage Installations/Modifications.

**E7) Servicing Agreement
Boychuk Investments Ltd. – Rosewood Neighbourhood
Subdivision No. 79/08
(File: CK. 4300-2-08-79 and IS 4111-48)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1) with Boychuk Investments Ltd., for a portion of the Rosewood Neighbourhood to cover Lots 1 to 11, Block 9; Lots 1-10, Block 10; Lots 1-40, Block 11; and Lots 2 to 34, Block 12, registered Plans No. 101875394 and No. 89-S-02055, all in Section 18, Township 36, Range 4, West of the 3rd meridian, be approved; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the corporate seal.

BACKGROUND

Boychuk Investments Ltd. has submitted a subdivision application to the City of Saskatoon to develop residential property in the Rosewood Neighbourhood. The developer has requested the City of Saskatoon enter into a Servicing Agreement to assign responsibility for the construction and payment of various servicing items.

REPORT

The Administration is recommending that an agreement be entered into to cover the development of Lots 1 to 11, Block 9; Lots 1-10, Block 10; Lots 1-40, Block 11; and Lots 2 to 34, Block 12, registered Plans No. 101875394 and No. 89-S-02055, all in Section 18, Township 36, Range 4, West of the 3rd meridian, subject to the following, which includes both standard and a number of non-standard clauses which are necessary due to the unique nature of the development, and have been agreed upon by the developer:

A. Standard Items:

1. Servicing of the development area is to be completed before December 31, 2008.
2. That the prepaid service rates be such rates as the Council of the City of Saskatoon may have in general force and effect for the 2008 season.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 16

B. Non-Standard Items:

1. That the Developer pay a proportionate share for the construction of a flyover interchange to be funded 50% by the owners, with the remaining 50% payable by the City.
2. The existing sanitary trunk sewer system for the neighborhood was originally designed to accommodate a lower flow rate. The Developer will pay a prorated share based on ownership multiplied by 15.61% of the total cost of construction for a remedial trunk sewer system to allow for the increased flow.
3. The City will reimburse the Developer for an all weather temporary entrance roadway to allow the City to construct large piping structures to alleviate reduced capacity issues upstream of the Rosewood neighborhood.
4. The Developer is responsible to prepare independent high ground water studies and to carry out any remediation procedures that the consultant's study and or City deem necessary.
5. The Developer will contribute to a trust fund for a share in
 - a. the cost to move a natural gas transmission line that bisects the neighborhood.
 - b. the cost to install crossing controls at the future intersection of Taylor Street and the Canadian Pacific Railway.
6. The overall neighborhood will have many amenities that the Developer has agreed to cost share with other developers at the time of construction.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The funding for any construction that is the responsibility of the City of Saskatoon is self-supporting and approved in the Prepaid Capital Budget.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Servicing Agreement.

Councillor Wyant excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and left the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

Councillor Wyant re-entered the Council Chamber.

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) 2009 Capital Project
Capital Project #1248
Wastewater Treatment Plant – Primary Digestion Process Upgrade
Award of Engineering Services
(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT 7990-68-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the award of engineering services to Stantec Consulting Ltd. for upgrades to the pollution control plant digesters at a total upset fee of \$410,438.22 (including P.S.T. and G.S.T.);
 - 2) that the 2009 design funding within Capital Project #2212 – Wastewater Treatment Plant – Operations Facility Upgrade, in the amount of \$200,000.00 be transferred to Capital Project #1248 – Wastewater Treatment Plant – Primary Digestion Process Upgrade – Digester #2; and,
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for the execution by His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

In 2003/04, Digester #3 was modified from a secondary to a primary digester. The existing gas mixing system was replaced with a mechanical mixing system to gain process efficiency and reliability. Included in that project was replacement of Digester Heat Exchanger #1, installation of a new Digester Heat Exchanger #3, and some repair of Digester Heat Exchanger #2.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 18

Since installation of the new mixing system in Digester #3, increased process performance has been confirmed in that digester. As a result, the decision has been made to upgrade to the new mixing system in the remaining two digesters, and to decommission the existing, less efficient mixing systems. Digester Heat Exchanger #2 has reached the end of its life and requires replacement.

REPORT

Utility Services issued a request for proposal for engineering services for the work proposed in Capital Project #1248. This commission provides for engineering services for the design, tendering, and construction supervision for the replacement Digester Heat Exchanger #2 in 2009, design and tendering for the upgrade of Digester #2 in 2010, and design and tendering for the upgrade of Digester #1 in 2011.

Proposals were received from three consulting firms as listed below:

CH2M Hill
Genivar
Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Following a systematic review of the proposals, based on the evaluation criteria outlined in the request for proposal, the Administration rated the proposal from Stantec Consulting Ltd. the highest of the three competing engineering consultants.

The net cost for engineering services described above, and within the proposal submitted by Stantec Consulting Ltd., is as follows:

Base Fees	\$350,353.00
Contingency	<u>35,035.00</u>
Subtotal	\$385,388.00
P.S.T. (on 30% of subtotal)	5,780.82
G.S.T.	<u>19,269.40</u>
Total Fees	\$410,438.22
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(19,269.40)</u>
Net Cost to City	<u>\$391,168.82</u>

Capital Project #1248 has 2009 approved funding of \$200,000.00 for design, and proposed 2010 funding of \$4,328,000.00, and 2011 funding of \$2,389,000.00 for construction.

The Administration proposes to delay the design function of Capital Project #2212 – Wastewater Treatment Plant – Operations Facility Upgrade – Storage/Maintenance Building to 2010 and transfer the \$200,000.00 approved funding to Capital Project #1248, to fully fund the engineering services proposal recommended in this report. Capital Project #2212 has the design component budgeted for 2009 and the construction component budgeted for 2011. The design component will be included in the 2010 capital budget process.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 19**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F2) 2009 Capital Budget
Capital Project #1208 - Water Treatment Plant – New Intake Facility
Construction Contract - Tender Approval
Engineering Services - Fee Adjustment
(File No. CK. 292-2-08-74 x CK. 7920-1 and WT 7960-55)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Westridge Construction Ltd. for Contract No. 8-0731, Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Supply System Intake and Pump Station, at a total cost of \$32,453,400.00 (including G.S.T.) be accepted;
 - 2) that the upset limit of the Engineering Services Agreement with Associated Engineering (Sask) Ltd., for Capital Project #1208 – Water Treatment Plant (WTP) – New Intake Facility be increased by \$748,360.00 (including G.S.T.);
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor; and,
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to amend the existing Engineering Services Agreement with Associated Engineering (Sask) Ltd. for the execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

As early as 1996, the Environmental Services Department had determined that the City of Saskatoon's existing raw water intake and pumping facilities could not be further expanded effectively. These facilities are currently shared with SaskPower Corporation at the Queen Elizabeth Power Station. There is also an additional intake facility immediately adjacent to the Avenue H Water Treatment Plant used to meet raw water peak demands, however, this facility is also not of adequate capacity to meet existing demands, has experienced silting problems, and is situated downstream of several storm water outfalls.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 20

Furthermore, the Water Treatment Plant intakes are downstream of the City's landfill site, and are downstream from a number of storm sewer outfalls. Reports of leachate migration from the City's landfill indicate movements in a direction that could encounter river flow upstream of the Queen Elizabeth intakes. There have been incidents involving sanitary sewage spills and questionable water quality during periods of high run-off.

The objective is to provide a source of high quality raw water that will meet the water supply needs and future capacity requirements in accordance with the future growth study. The project will ensure that all raw water intake occurs upstream of the City's storm sewer outfalls. The final design included a study of the river hydraulics which resulted in the raw water intake and pump station located on an enhanced spur on the east side of the river. The facility will provide adequate capacity to ensure that the current and future raw water peak demands are met.

REPORT

Capital Project #1208 - WTP – New Intake Facility involves the design and construction of a new upstream intake and pump station facility. The pump station will include a screen chamber, wet well, four vertical pumps, and an emergency generator. Although not included in the contract referred to in this report, the Capital Project includes a new supply main river crossing from the pump station to the existing supply main on Spadina Crescent.

Capital Project #1208 was initiated in 2001. The first phase was a feasibility study. In May 2001, Associated Engineering was commissioned to undertake a study to confirm the conclusions of Environmental Services and to assess the feasibility of constructing a new raw water intake system on the South Saskatchewan River capable of supplying the City's long term raw water needs. Further, this was to be done in comparison to expansion of the existing Queen Elizabeth facility. The feasibility study was also required to identify all reasonable options for the intake location, considered river characteristics, regulatory requirements, and future development.

In 2003, proposals from consultants were reviewed and Associated Engineering was awarded the engineering services agreement for detailed design and engineering services during construction. The upset limit of this initial contract was \$865,000.00. The contract was revised in 2007, with a total upset fee of \$1,489,260.00 (excluding taxes) due to scope change and a budget increase based on a 17% rate increase from 2003 to 2007. Engineering consultant tasks included public consultation, land acquisition, MVA approval, Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) approval, and Navigable Waters approval, as well as detailed design and preparation of tender documents, and conceptual estimate of construction services.

Fundamental mechanical components of the project were pre-selected and tendered in May 2008. The five components included: intake screen systems, slide gates, four vertical turbine pumps, four variable speed drives, and a natural gas generator. The cost of this equipment is included in the tender and totals \$4,316,669.14, plus G.S.T.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 21

The construction segment of the project commenced with a pre-qualification of the general contractors resulting in the selection of two contractors: Graham Construction and Westridge Construction Ltd. The tender for construction, called in October 2008, included construction of the intake, pump station, pre-selected components, and supply main from the pump station to the existing supply main on Spadina Crescent.

Tenders for Contract 8-0731, Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Supply Intake and Pump Station were received and opened on December 22, 2008. The consultant's estimate for total tender price, not including the river crossing and associated work, was \$26,619,252.45, including G.S.T. Two tenders were received as follows:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Tender Price</u>
Westridge Construction Ltd.	\$35,330,400.00
Graham Construction and Engineering JV	\$35,791,186.21

Associated Engineering performed a tender analysis and recommendation of award. Associated Engineering's analysis states "the similarity between two bids (within 2% of each other) suggests the bids are competitive and accurately reflect current market conditions for a specialized project of this magnitude". Their report goes on to comment on the higher than estimated cost by saying "the impact of market volatility over the expected 2-3 year duration combined with the risks associated with in-river works, and the limited number of qualified General Contractors available for bidding a large specialized project such as this, have also contributed".

Two provisional items: 1) a 1500 mm east side supply main, and 2) a cathodic protection system, were tendered with the option to remove the provisional items and award the Contract for only the remaining items. Associated Engineering recommends removing the provisional items as the prices for these are significantly higher than the pre-tender estimate and the work is not required until the time of a possible future water treatment plant on the east side of the river. The tender prices from the low bidder, Westridge Construction Ltd., for the two provisional items total \$2,740,000.00, plus G.S.T.

The Administration is recommending that the bid submitted by Westridge Construction Ltd. be accepted.

The net cost to the City for the bid submitted by Westridge Construction Ltd. would be as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$32,148,000.00
Contingency	1,500,000.00
Removal of Provisional Items	(2,740,000.00)
G.S.T.	<u>1,545,400.00</u>
Total Tender Price	\$32,453,400.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(1,545,400.00)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$30,908,000.00</u>

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 22

As the project shifts to the construction phase, Associated Engineering has submitted a detailed fee schedule for construction engineering services. These services include construction supervision, general engineering during construction, and project completion. Due to the extended project design time and scope changes implemented into the current tender package at the City's request, Associated Engineering has also requested an engineering fee budget adjustment for work already completed.

In review, the consultant is requesting an additional \$748,360.00, including G.S.T., for significant services provided outside the original scope of work during the pre-design, the detailed design, the multiple tendering stages (\$161,360.00), and the anticipated general engineering services during construction (\$587,000.00). The original cost estimate for construction services was very conceptual at the time of submission, in 2003 dollars, and was not adjusted since the original 2003 submission. The total revised fee of \$2,191,945.00 falls within APEGS schedule of recommended fees of 5.5% to 6% for projects of this magnitude and complexity, and therefore, is acceptable.

In the future, the deleted supply main and associated work will be tendered and constructed to complete the project. The estimate for the construction of the supply main is \$10,087,000.00.

2009 Capital Project #1208 – WTP – New Intake Facility has \$35,808,000.00 of approved funding designated for design, construction supervision, and construction. Approximately \$2,359,000.00 of actual expenditures has been incurred to January 31, 2009, resulting in a balance of \$33,449,000.00. As such, sufficient funding is in place to allow for the provision of the adjusted engineering services to proceed and to award Contract 8-0731. The cost for engineering services and construction of the supply main and associated work will be addressed once the river crossing portion of the project has been tendered.

OPTIONS

During the tender phase, the supply main and associated work was removed from the contract. Alternate construction methods to the original Sauerman method have been identified. The Administration felt it was prudent to investigate the alternates to obtain competitive bidding for this item. A recent communication with DFO indicated a more conventional construction technique, such as a coffer dam, is acceptable.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Prior to tendering, the engineer's estimate for this project was \$35,808,000. Administration believes that by modifying the river crossing work to utilize coffer-dam construction, the total net cost of this project will be approximately \$40,995,000.00 (excluding G.S.T.). The river crossing portion will be tendered in 2009, with anticipated construction commencing in July 2010. A

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 23**

further report will be provided at that time outlining potential financing options to complete the project as originally planned.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public notice hearings were held on January 17, 2005, and December 17, 2007. No additional Public Notice is required.

Section G – CITY MANAGER

**G1) Souleio Foods Inc. – Tax Abatement
(File No. CC 3500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve a five-year, 100% tax abatement for Souleio Foods Inc. at 263 Third Avenue South with the conditions as described in this report; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor prepare the appropriate agreement for signature of His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Souleio Foods Inc. will be opening a European style market at 263 Third Avenue South. It will offer a full service bakery, fresh meats, deli, grocery and ready-to-heat/ready-to-eat food selections. It is their position that the store will fill the gap that currently exists for downtown residents, as it will provide access to grocery staples and fresh foods. The store is scheduled to open May 1, 2009.

Souleio Foods Inc. has approached your Administration with a request for a property tax abatement. This business is not eligible for an incentive under Policy C09-014; however, City Council has the discretion of granting incentives outside this Policy.

City Council has, in the past, granted a tax abatement for a new business downtown which supported the vision and implementation of the downtown plan. A key component of that plan is a grocery store(s). There has been an absence of grocery stores downtown for some time, particularly stores selling fresh meat, dairy and produce. While Souleio Foods is not a full product line grocery store, their principal business is the retail sale of fresh meats, baked goods and food staples which, in the Administration's view, supports Council's desire for food store operations to open in the downtown.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 24**

The building, to be occupied by Souleio Foods Inc., has three floors and a basement, but Souleio will only be using the ground floor as the grocery store. Your Administration is recommending an abatement of 100% of incremental taxes related to this main floor only. The total cost of the abatement over the five years would be approximately \$34,500 (municipal share: \$13,800), or \$6,900 annually (municipal share: \$2,760).

Further recommended conditions, which shall apply throughout the term of this abatement, are as follows:

- 1) the Applicant must be a legally incorporated corporation, registered to carry on business in the Province of Saskatchewan;
- 2) the retail sale of fresh meats, baked goods and food staples must be the principal business carried on by the Applicant at the property;
- 3) the Applicant must be the legal owner of the property or, in the case of a lease, provide the City with a copy of the lease agreement showing that the Applicant is responsible for the payment of the property taxes on the property; and,
- 4) the property taxes not abated pursuant to this agreement must be paid in full on or before December 31 of the year in which they are levied.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**G2) Productivity Improvements - 2008
(File No. CC 100-27; CK. 430-71)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and be referred to the Budget Committee for its information.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting of February 7, 2005, adopted the following recommendations:

- 1) that City Council confirm its commitment to continually attempt to increase the corporation's productivity and efficiency; and
- 2) that City Council instruct the Administration to prepare a report annually on the efficiencies implemented in the previous year.

The attached report has been prepared by your Administration and includes productivity measures that span across the corporation and have created savings that positively impact customers and staff.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 25**

The savings are seen in capital costs, future costs, and/or operating costs. There are also increased customer service initiatives and increased staff efficiency. While not all savings translate into direct operational cost savings, it should be noted that over \$389,500 has been quantified in the attached report, which civic departments were able to provide, as direct mill rate reductions, in their 2009 Operating Budget estimates.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. City of Saskatoon – 2008 Productivity Improvements

**G3) 2009-2011 Corporate Business Plan
Year 2008 Annual Report
(File No. CK. 430-71 and CK. 430-72 and CC 100-14)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council receive the 2009-2011 Corporate Business Plan and the 2008 Annual Report.

ADOPTED.

At its annual planning session in January, 2009, senior management reviewed the Strategic Plan, and prepared the 2008 Annual Report, and the 2009-2011 Corporate Business Plan.

Your Administration is now pleased to present its ninth Annual Report (Attachment 1). As in the past, this report highlights the major accomplishments that took place in the year 2008.

Also, attached is the new three-year Corporate Business Plan for the years 2009-2011 (Attachment 2). This plan includes the objectives that will guide the Administration over the next three years.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Year 2008 Annual Report
2. 2009-2011 Corporate Business Plan

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 4-2009

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) Reserve Fund replacing Errors & Omissions Policy
(File No. CK. 1815-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City create and maintain an Errors and Omissions Liability reserve capped in the amount of \$1,000,000;
 - 2) that funding for the reserve be on an annual basis in the amount of \$100,000, from contributions made by those departments, boards and commissions as are indemnified by the City, on the same *pro rata* basis as insurance premiums are paid;
 - 3) that the reserve be dedicated to paying claims that would otherwise be covered by a Municipal Officials Errors and Omissions Liability Policy; and
 - 4) that the City Administrative Policy C03-003 be amended accordingly.

BACKGROUND

The City has maintained a Municipal Officials Errors and Omissions Liability Insurance Policy for over 20 years. Those covered by the Policy included City Council members, Board members and employees. The Policy was intended to protect the City against losses that were caused by anyone that the City was required to indemnify, for services provided while acting in an official capacity on behalf of the City.

REPORT

In general, and with the *proviso* that all claims under any policy must be evaluated for coverage issues, municipal errors and omissions policies typically cover economic loss only, arising out of an error made by an official. The City's Policy also covered some employment-related issues. Upon review of our loss history to premium payments ratio for this Policy, it became apparent that the coverage provided was not cost effective. In its 20-plus year history, there has been only one claim paid under this Policy, and that was in an amount that would not justify continuation of the Policy. It is more cost effective to self-insure for the potential losses covered by the Policy. After consulting with senior management, it was decided to discontinue the Policy, and to replace it with a reserve funded in the same way as the insurance premium was paid.

Your administration is recommending that an Errors and Omissions Liability Reserve be set up and operated along the same lines as the Policy. Each department or board would contribute to the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 27**

reserve through a charge back in the Risk Management budget, similar to the manner in which insurance premium costs and the deductible reserve account are recovered. In return, they would receive the same “coverage” from the reserve, as was formerly provided by the Policy. We are proposing that the reserve would be administered by the Risk Management Division of the City Solicitor’s Office.

Since the renewal quote for the Policy for this year was very close to \$100,000, we feel this would be a reasonable amount to set for the annual contribution to the reserve. The reserve would accumulate until we reach \$1,000,000, and contributions would be capped at that amount. There is \$100,000 in the Risk Management budget for premiums for 2009, as the budget was set when we were still investigating renewal options. It is proposed that this amount be transferred to the new reserve in 2009 as the first contribution.

The limit of insurance available under the Policy was \$3,000,000. Given the history of this Policy, we believe that \$1,000,000 should be an adequate cap for the reserve.

All Boards affected by this change to self-insurance on this Policy, have been notified.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the City create and maintain an Errors and Omissions Liability reserve capped in the amount of \$1,000,000 and that the reserve be reviewed in ten years;*
 - 2) *that funding for the reserve be on an annual basis in the amount of \$100,000, from contributions made by those departments, boards and commissions as are indemnified by the City, on the same pro rata basis as insurance premiums are paid;*
 - 3) *that the reserve be dedicated to paying claims that would otherwise be covered by a Municipal Officials Errors and Omissions Liability Policy; and*
 - 4) *that the City Administrative Policy C03-003 be amended accordingly.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 28**

**B2) Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 225-70)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No. 8741.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on July 16, 2007, resolved that the following recommendation of the Executive Committee be accepted:

- “1) that a Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee be established under the Terms of Reference outlined on Attachment 1, commencing January 1, 2008;” . . .

We would ask that Council consider Bylaw No. 8741, which sets the terms of reference for the Committee.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8741, The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 2).

**B3) Commercial Garbage Collection Rates - 2009
(File No. CK. 1905-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8752.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting on February 17, 2009, approved increases in the Commercial Garbage Collection Rates for 2009. Council instructed our Office to prepare the necessary amendments to The Waste Bylaw, 2004 to implement these changes effective April 1, 2009.

In accordance with Council’s instructions, we are pleased to submit Bylaw No. 8752, The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2009, for Council’s consideration.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 29**

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8752, The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2009.

REPORT NO. 5-2009 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Wyant, Chair
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Pringle

- 1. Traffic and Pedestrian Safety Issues
Boychuk, McKercher and Acadia Drives
(Files CK. 5200-1 and IS. 6150-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the installation of an Active Pedestrian Corridor at the intersection of McKercher Drive and Mount Allison Crescent be approved.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on September 4, 2007, considered Clause 1, Report No. 9-2007 of the Planning and Operations Committee with respect to concerns about the intersections of McKercher Drive and Mount Allison Crescent, and McKercher Drive and Degeer Street. Council referred the matter back to the Committee for further review to include Boychuk, McKercher and Acadia Drives. The Administration was asked to report further on the issue of pedestrian crossings in the area.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 24, 2009, responding to the above referral and recommending the installation of an Active Pedestrian Corridor at the intersection of McKercher Drive and Mount Allison Crescent.

Also attached, as background information, is a copy of Clause 1, Report No. 9-2007 of the Planning and Operations Committee, along with a copy of letter dated July 26, 2005, from Ms. Linda Crosson.

Following review of this matter, your Committee supports the recommendation of the Administration, as outlined above.

**2. Capital Project 0537 – Transit-Terminals
Construction Management Project Delivery System
Downtown Transit Terminal
(Files CK. 665-1 and IS. 600-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a construction management project delivery system be used to facilitate the construction of the Downtown Transit Terminal;
 - 2) that the Administration issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to secure construction management services for the Downtown Transit Terminal; and
 - 3) that the Administration report further prior to awarding the RFP for construction management services for the Downtown Transit Terminal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated February 24, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

REPORT NO. 4-2009 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor B. Pringle
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Capital Project 0993 – Circle Drive South Project
Request for Qualifications
(File No. CK. 6050-9)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Administration report further on the results of the Request for Qualifications process, specifically with a recommendation of the three contractors that will be invited to prepare final proposals for the design and construction of the Circle Drive South Project; and
 - 2) that the terms of reference for the Request for Proposals include the provision of an honorarium, in the amount of \$600,000, to be paid to each of the two unsuccessful bidders participating in the Request for Proposals process.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the City Manager dated March 5, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 32**

**2. Earth Hour
March 28, 2009
(File No. CK. 375-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon participate in Earth Hour; and
 - 2) that free transit service be offered the evening of March 28, 2009, commencing at 6:00 p.m. until approximately 1:00 a.m., to further support the City's climate protection initiatives."

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speaker. See Page No. 45.

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Alan Manson, dated March 4

Commenting on headlights on larger vehicles. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 33**

2) Bob Forward, President of SBCC, undated

Requesting the 400 block of 21st Street East be closed to display vehicles during the 11th Annual Brits by the Bus show and shine on Sunday, July 19, 2009, from 6 a.m. until 5 p.m.

RECOMMENDATION: that the request from the Saskatchewan British Car Club to close the 400 block of 21st Street East to display vehicles during the 11th Annual Brits by the Bus show and shine on Sunday, July 19, 2009, from 6 a.m. until 5 p.m. be granted subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT that the request from the Saskatchewan British Car Club to close the 400 block of 21st Street East to display vehicles during the 11th Annual Brits by the Bus show and shine on Sunday, July 19, 2009, from 6 a.m. until 5 p.m. be granted subject to any administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

3) Arnold Grambo, President, Hudson Bay Route Association, dated March 2

Submitting an invoice in the amount of \$200 for the 2009 membership fee and providing information about the annual convention in The Pas on April 3 and 4, 2009. (File No. CK. 155-7)

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2009 membership fee in the amount of \$200 for the Hudson Bay Route Association be paid.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the 2009 membership fee in the amount of \$200 for the Hudson Bay Route Association be paid.

CARRIED.

4) Jeff Krivoshen, Director of Operations and Lawrence Rychjohn, President, P.R. Hotels Ltd., dated March 5

Submitting comments on 25th Street extension and proposed removal of signalized intersection at the corner of 25th Street West and Idylwyld Drive North and requesting Council to defer its decision on the matter in order to allow the Administration to explore further options. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 34**

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be considered with Clause E1) of Administrative Report No. 5-2009.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the letter be considered with Clause E1), Administrative Report No. 5-2009.

CARRIED.

5) Barb Snider, President, Confederation Park Community Association, dated March 10

Requesting an extension of the time that amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw until 12:00 Midnight on Saturday, June 20, 2009, in conjunction with their 2nd annual tournament to raise money for park enhancement and playground upgrades. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the Confederation Park Community Association to extend the time that amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw until 12:00 Midnight on Saturday, June 20, 2009, in conjunction with their 2nd annual tournament to raise money for park enhancement and playground upgrades.

Moved By Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT permission be granted to the Confederation Park Community Association to extend the time that amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw until 12:00 Midnight on Saturday, June 20, 2009, in conjunction with their 2nd annual tournament to raise money for park enhancement and playground upgrades.

CARRIED.

C. INFORMATION ITEMS

1) Yang Pang, dated February 26

Expressing concern about at-risk kids during a harsh winter. (File No. CK. 150-1)

2) Kurt and Norma Tischler, dated February 26

Submitting copy of letter sent to Justice Minister Morgan with respect to possible knife ban. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 35**

3) Lorrie Haugen, dated March 4

Commenting on affordable housing. (File No. CK. 750-1)

4) Deirdra Ness, dated March 6

Commenting on funding to make Mayfair Pool reconstruction “shovel ready.”
(File No. CK. 613-7)

5) Don Head, Correctional Service Canada, undated

Thanking City Council for proclaiming Restorative Justice Week and submitting additional information which is available for viewing in the City Clerk’s Office. (File No. CK. 205-5)

6) Yolanda van Petten, dated March 6

Commenting on a passenger train between Saskatoon and Regina. (File No. CK. 7000-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Alex Leavitt, dated February 26

Commenting on the mailing address of the Tower at Midtown. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

2) Rich Lintott, dated March 5

Commenting on difficulties encountered trying to cross Circle Drive and Warman Road. (File No. CK. 6150-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 36**

3) Angie Garcia, dated March 6

Commenting on the actions of a transit driver. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

4) Bob McGinnis, dated March 6

Commenting on the condition of a garbage receptacle. (File No. CK. 7830-3) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

5) Carol Koberinski, dated March 9

Commenting on sidewalk clearing. (File No. CK. 6290-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

6) Randy Noble, dated March 10

Commenting on traffic congestion. (File No. CK. 6000-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

7) Barb Snider, President, Confederation Park Community Association, dated March 10

Requesting that the ball diamond fees be waived for 2nd annual tournament to raise money for park enhancement and playground upgrades to be held on June 20, 2009. (File No. CK. 205-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action and response to writer.)**

8) Jack Brower, dated March 11

Commenting on bus service for the Eagles concert at CUC. **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)** (File No. CK. 7300-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 37**

E. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Christine Epp, Coordinator, Volunteer Saskatoon, undated

Requesting City Council proclaim April 19 to 25, 2009 as Volunteer Celebration Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Kelly Harrington, President, Saskatoon & District Labour Council, dated February 28

Requesting City Council proclaim April 28, 2009 as Annual Day of Mourning. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Patti Tweed, dated March 9

Requesting City Council proclaim the week of May 10, 2009 as Leave a Legacy Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

4) Kelly Ries, Co-Chair, Saskatoon Diversity Network, dated March 2

Requesting City Council proclaim June 7 to 13, 2009 as LGBT Pride Week and requesting to fly their flag at City Hall. (File No. CK. 205-5)

5) Taylor Marlowe, dated March 9

Requesting City Council proclaim March 28, 8:30 to 9:30 p.m. as Earth Hour and requesting the lights on the Traffic Bridge be turned off for that hour. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council;
 - 3) that the flag raising request be approved subject to any administrative conditions; and
 - 4) that the lights on the Traffic Bridge be turned off for Earth Hour, March 28, 2009, at 8:30 p.m.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 38**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council;*
- 3) *that the flag raising request be approved subject to any administrative conditions; and*
- 4) *that the lights on the Traffic Bridge be turned off for Earth Hour, March 28, 2009, at 8:30 p.m.*

CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- 9a) Enquiry – Councillor M. Heidt (December 15, 2008)
Smoke Detectors in Rental Properties
(File No. CK. 2500-1)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Department dated February 3, 2009 regarding the above matter. City Council, at its meeting held on March 2, 2009, deferred consideration of the matter to this meeting.

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor M. Heidt at the meeting of City Council held on December 15, 2008:

“Regarding fire alarms in rental properties, currently we have a bylaw in Saskatoon where it is the landlords who are responsible for smoke alarms only.

Would the Administration look at this policy for non hard wired smoke detectors that have been altered, with batteries removed or gone dead.

Would the Administration look at the policy for non hard wired smoke detectors to be inspected at the time the property is rented out, and once a year to ensure it is still there and working; that the tenant is responsible to

keep it working and document if they can't get it fixed by the landlord if it is defective.”

REPORT

City of Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services Bylaw 7990 mandates the frequency of testing smoke alarms (battery operated, tamper-proof and hard wired). Bylaw 7990 also clearly identifies the requirement for recording inspections, testing, and maintenance of smoke alarms.

When a complaint is received regarding a smoke alarm that does not work, normally a Fire Inspector will attend the address, check the smoke alarm to identify whether the smoke alarm has been disconnected, batteries removed, or the smoke alarm does not work or in fact has a dead battery. The Fire Inspector will contact the owner of the property asking to see the smoke alarm records. When the owner produces the smoke alarm records, and they are found to be in conformance with the bylaw, the tenant may then be ticketed. If the owner does not provide adequate records, the owner would be ticketed.

Following is an excerpt from Bylaw 7990 as it pertains to the inspection of smoke alarms and record of inspections:

- “(4) Smoke alarms shall be inspected:
- (a) in the case of alarms installed by permanent connection to an electrical circuit, at least once every 12 months;
 - (b) in the case of tamper-proof alarms with 10 year batteries, at least once every 12 months; or
 - (c) in the case of any other battery operated alarms, at least once every 3 months.
- (5) Notwithstanding Subsection (4), if a tenancy is terminated, all smoke alarms in a dwelling unit shall be inspected before the dwelling unit is re-occupied.
- (6) Inspections under this Section shall be carried out by the owner or the owner's authorized agent.
- (9) A record shall be kept of all inspections required by Subsection (4), and such records shall be retained for examination by the Department in conformance with Article 1.1.1.2 of *The National Fire Code*.”

There are sections in the Bylaw requiring that written records shall be kept of all inspections, maintenance, and corrective measures as required regarding smoke alarms. Additionally, the Bylaw requires the signature of the tenant evidencing that the inspection and/or corrective maintenance has occurred.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 40

The purpose of this is two-fold. Firstly, to provide information to the Authority Having Jurisdiction that smoke alarms are being inspected. Secondly, if the records show proper maintenance being conducted, the Fire Inspector can issue the tenant a ticket for tampering or disconnecting a smoke alarm, removing the smoke alarm, or placing anything over a smoke alarm so as to render it inoperable.

Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services maintains a zero tolerance approach to the installation, inspection, and maintenance of smoke alarms. In addition to the Department's aggressive inspection program, all frontline apparatus carry loaner smoke alarms (10-year lithium battery) and instructions for occupants relating to a required inspection and corrective measures should a problem be identified after normal working hours. This service provides tenants and/or home owners the security of an operating smoke alarm until the system is properly repaired.

Since the current Bylaw and the overall requirements concerning Smoke Alarms have been in effect, Fire and Protective Services' experience indicates a much greater level of compliance compared to five years ago.

Your Administration is of the opinion that with the ongoing education being provided to the owner of the property and the tenant of the property, Bylaw 7990 does address the concerns outlined in Councillor Heidt's enquiry.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.””

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

**Enquiry - Councillor B. Dubois (March 16, 2009)
Transit – Eagles Concert – March 10, 2009
(File No. CK. 7300-1)**

Would the Administration please report on the transit circumstances that occurred at the Credit Union Centre at the recent sold out Eagles concert on March 10, 2009.

Would the Administration please report on future plans to alleviate these issues in the future, including researching other cities and their procedures with Transit and very large events.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2009 – continued

**E1) Capital Project 2000 – 25th Street Extension/Rehabilitation
25th Street Extension Alignment and Tendering of Underground Utilities
File CK. 6000-1 and IS 6320-01**

RECOMMENDATION: that the alignment for the 25th Street Extension, as shown on Attachment 1, be approved.

BACKGROUND

25th Street is an arterial roadway in the Central Business District accommodating approximately 17,000 vehicles per day. Currently it terminates at a T-intersection on 1st Avenue.

Completion of the extension of 25th Street west to Idylwyld Drive will provide a critical east-west linkage through the Central Business District. It is projected that, upon completion, up to 20,000 vehicles will use this road per day. It will promote the development of new land in the Downtown Warehouse District and provide a safe and efficient alternative for motorists to access Idylwyld Drive.

The Administration has been working with Canadian National and Canadian Pacific Railways (CN and CP) over the past few years to develop a road and intersection alignment that is agreeable to all parties.

REPORT

The scope of the 25th Street Extension Project involves extending 25th Street from 1st Avenue to Idylwyld Drive as a four-lane roadway with a median and on-street parallel parking. Streetscaping will be provided and underground utilities will be extended, not only to service new land parcels which will be developed along the roadway, but to also address limited storm water capacity in the downtown area.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009

PAGE 42

The key design constraints include the presence of a mainline railway crossing at Idylwyld Drive and the ability to design a safe intersection layout at Idylwyld Drive and 25th Street, which requires a complex traffic/railway pre-emption signal and safety gate system. In addition, there is currently a railway spur line to the west of the 1st Avenue and 25th Street intersection being used as an interchange facility between CN and CP to exchange railway cars. The Administration is in discussions with CN and CP regarding relocation of this spur line and will report further once an agreement has been reached.

The 25th Street Extension Project has been addressed in a number of Local Area Plans, including Caswell Hill and the Downtown Warehouse District. In each of these plans, the project has been identified as a major catalyst that will stimulate redevelopment of the area. However, it should be noted that both plans also expressed concerns that the design must minimize the traffic impact on adjacent neighbourhoods.

An alignment (Attachment 1) has been developed which satisfies the physical constraints, as well as the goal to minimize traffic impacts in adjacent neighbourhoods. It also ensures that safety is of the utmost importance. The proposed alignment also meets the needs of both CN and CP. The Administration is continuing to work with both railways to finalize the details of the design and determine construction costs.

In order for the extension of the roadway to be completed by the end of 2010, construction of underground utilities must occur in 2009, with the road construction and streetscaping scheduled for 2010. All rail track realignments also need to occur in 2010.

OPTIONS

Various options were considered for both the intersection layout and the rail interchange tracks. The proposed alignment is the only option that is acceptable to the Administration, CN and CP.

STAKEHOLDER

The primary stakeholders in this project are CN and CP. In addition, adjacent landowners that will be affected by the project have been contacted and discussions are underway to accommodate their requirements. As per the Caswell Hill and Downtown Warehouse District Local Area Plans, the Caswell Hill Community Association has also been informed of the project and their input has been obtained.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 43**

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The estimated cost for the extension of the roadway from 1st Avenue to Idylwyld Drive, streetscaping and the underground utility work is \$9,850,000. Funding for the project has been approved in Capital Project 2000 – 25th Street Extension/Rehabilitation.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 25th Street Extension Roadway Alignment.

Pursuant to earlier resolution Item B4 of Communications to Council was brought forward.

**“B4) Jeff Krivoshen, Director of Operations and Lawrence Rychjohn, President,
P.R. Hotels Ltd., dated March 5”**

Submitting comments on 25th Street extension and proposed removal of signalized intersection at the corner of 25th Street West and Idylwyld Drive North and requesting Council to defer its decision on the matter in order to allow the Administration to explore further options. (File No. CK. 4125-1)”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Raeanne Van Beek dated March 11, 2009 requesting permission to address Council.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Raeanne Van Beek be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Raeanne Van Beek, Caswell Hill Community Association, expressed concerns with the proposed alignment indicating that it could cause traffic flow and pedestrian traffic issues in the Caswell area such as:

- *Increased traffic on the other residential streets*
- *Changes in transit routes to access bus barns*
- *Possible lack of pedestrian crossing at 25th Street*
- *Emergency response times may be affected*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 44**

Ms. Van Beek asked that approval of this proposed alignment be deferred until more consultation with the community can take place and at the minimum, if the approval is given, that the community association be involved in the ongoing process of design. She provided Council with a copy of her presentation.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 1) *that the alignment for the 25th Street Extension, as shown on Attachment 1, be approved;*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 2) *that the Administration be authorized to tender for the underground work to proceed;*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 3) *that the specific design issues of the intersection of 25th Street and Idylwyld be referred back to the Administration for further consultation with the affected community association, businesses, and other stakeholders as appropriate to review pedestrian, cyclist and vehicular access along Idylwyld and its impact on the neighbourhood and adjacent businesses; and*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 4) *that the Administration report back to Council on the results of their consultation on or before May 15.*

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – continued

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Sasha Hanson-Pastran, dated March 10

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to Earth Hour. (File No. CK. 375-4 x CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that Clause 2 of Report No. 4-2009 of the Executive Committee be brought forward and that Sasha Hanson-Pastran be heard.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Clause 2, Report No. 4-2009 of the Executive Committee be brought forward and that Sasha Hanson-Pastran be heard.

CARRIED.

“REPORT NO. 4-2009 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**2. Earth Hour
March 28, 2009
(File No. CK. 375-4)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the City of Saskatoon participate in Earth Hour; and
- 2) that free transit service be offered the evening of March 28, 2009, commencing at 6:00 p.m. until approximately 1:00 a.m., to further support the City’s climate protection initiatives.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated February 25, 2009.”

Ms. Sasha Hanson-Pastran and other representatives of Roadmap 2020 expressed appreciation to the City for its initiative with respect to Earth Hour.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 1) that the City of Saskatoon participate in Earth Hour; and*
- 2) that free transit service be offered the evening of March 28, 2009, commencing at 6:00 p.m. until approximately 1:00 a.m., to further support the City's climate protection initiatives.*

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8741

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8741, being "The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 2)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8741 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Dubois Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8741.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Dubois in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 47**

Councillor Dubois, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8741 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8741 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Bylaw No. 8741 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8752

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8752, being “The Waste Amendment Bylaw, 2009” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8752 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 48**

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Dubois Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8752.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Dubois in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Dubois, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8752 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8752 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Bylaw No. 8752 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, MARCH 16, 2009
PAGE 49**

Moved by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 7:58 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk