

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, August 17, 2009
at 6:02 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Lorje, Neault,
Paulsen, Pringle, Penner, and Wyant;
City Manager Totland;
A/City Solicitor Manning;
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Sexsmith;
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;
City Clerk Mann; and
Council Assistant Mitchener

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the minutes of meetings of City Council held on July 13 and 16, 2009, be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Paulsen as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“REPORT NO. 3-2009 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

Composition of Commission

Mr. Brad Sylvester, Chair
Mr. Kurt Soucy, Vice Chair
Mr. Gord Androsoff
Councillor Bev Dubois
Ms. Carole Beitel
Mr. Bruce Waldron
Ms. Debbie Marcoux
Mr. Art Evoy
Mr. Randy Warick
Mr. Fred Sutter
Ms. Janelle Hutchinson
Ms. Leanne DeLong
Mr. Stan Laba

**1. New Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards
(File No. CK. 4110-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered with Clause 4, Report No. 11-2009 of the Executive Committee.

ADOPTED.

Your Commission has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 22, 2009 on the above matter with the Administration. Your Commission supports approval of the Sustainable Development Guiding Principles Workbook as a required component of applications for new Neighbourhood Concept Plan proposals and major infill development proposals. Your Commission also supports the submission of a further report on a strategy for implementing the New Neighbourhood Design Development Standards Report recommendations after an appropriate stakeholder and public consultation process, as discussed by the Administration.

This matter is also being reported on under Clause 4, Report No. 11-2009 of the Executive Committee. The Final Report on the New Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards is attached under the Executive Committee report.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 3

- 2. Proposed Rezoning from R1A to M2**
Portion of SE ¼ Sec. 15, Twp. 36, Rge. 5, W3M
Willis Crescent – Stonebridge Neighbourhood
Applicant: City of Saskatoon Land Branch
(File No. CK. 4351-09-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to rezone a portion of the South East Quarter Section 15, Township 36, Range 5, West of the 3rd Meridian (as shown on the plan attached to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 18, 2009) from an R1A District to an M2 District;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
 - 4) that at the time of the public hearing City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the rezoning be approved.

ADOPTED.

Your Commission has considered the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 18, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

Your Commission has reviewed the proposal with the Administration and is supporting the above recommendations.

REPORT NO. 4-2009 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Commission

Mr. Brad Sylvester, Chair
Mr. Kurt Soucy, Vice Chair
Mr. Gord Androsoff
Councillor Bev Dubois
Ms. Carole Beitel
Mr. Bruce Waldron
Ms. Debbie Marcoux
Mr. Art Evoy
Mr. Randy Warick
Mr. Fred Sutter
Ms. Janelle Hutchinson
Ms. Leanne DeLong
Mr. Stan Laba |

1. **Proposed Official Community Plan Amendments
Land Use Map Amendment from District Commercial to Residential; and
Phasing Map Amendment from “Phase II” to “Phase I”
Portions of Sec. 7-37-4-W3M, Sec. 12-37-5-W3M, Sec. 18-37-4-W3M
Evergreen Neighbourhood
Applicant: City of Saskatoon Land Branch
(File No. CK. 4351-09-7)**
-

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 to re-designate the lands shown from “District Commercial” to “Residential” (Attachment 2 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 13, 2009);
 - 2) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend the City of Saskatoon Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 7799, Phasing Map to re-designate the lands shown from “Phase II” to “Phase I” (Attachment 3 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 13, 2009);
 - 3) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 5**

- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
- 5) that at the time of the Public Hearing, City Council consider the Commission's recommendations to approve the proposed amendments to the Official Community Plan.

ADOPTED.

Your Commission has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 13, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

**2. Proposed Text Amendments to Section 12.7
of the Zoning Bylaw – MX1 – Mixed-Use District
Applicant: City of Saskatoon – Community Services Department
(File No. CK. 4350-09-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to amend Section 12.7 - MX1 District in the Zoning Bylaw;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendment;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaw; and
 - 4) that at the time of the Public Hearing, City Council consider the Commission's recommendation that the Zoning Bylaw amendment be approved.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 20, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

Your Commission has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports the recommendations outlined above.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 6**

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-2009

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between July 3 to August 5, 2009
(For Information Only)
(File Nos. CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL 4300, and PL 4355-D)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No.: 11/09 1013 Victoria Avenue
Applicant: Simon Weseen
Legal Description: Lots 4 and 5, Block 58, Plan B1558
Current Zoning: R2A
Neighbourhood: Nutana
Date Received: July 31, 2009

Discretionary Use

- Application No.: 12/09 202 Lewis Crescent
Applicant: Agnes Lopez
Legal Description: Lot 534, Block 452, Plan 87S10852
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Use: Care Home
Neighbourhood: Westview
Date Received: July 8, 2009
- Application No.: 14/09 1302 Avenue O South
Applicant: Patricia Fortosky
Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2, Block R, Plan G1777
Current Zoning: R2
Proposed Use: Bed and Breakfast
Neighbourhood: Holiday Park
Date Received: July 31, 2009

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 7

Subdivision

- Application No: 44/09 Trans Gas Meter Station in CN Yards
Applicant: Meridian Surveys for City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan 101444396
Current Zoning: AG
Neighbourhood: Canadian National Yards Management Area
Date Received: June 30, 2009
- Application No.: 45/09 307 Shillington Crescent
Applicant: Digital Mapping Systems for the City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Part Parcel M, Plan 101908964
Current Zoning: M3
Neighbourhood: Blairmore Suburban Centre
Date Received: June 30, 2009
- Application No.: 46/09 418 - 111th Street
Applicant: Larson Surveys for Bridgewater Management
Legal Description: Lot 10, Block 21, Plan G104
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Sutherland
Date Received: July 9, 2009
- Application No.: 47/09 334 Avenue T South
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Line-X Spray-On Truck Bedliner
Legal Description: Tie Code Removal for Lot 44, Block 14, Plan G3978
from Lot 45, Block 14, Plan G3978 and Lot 64,
Block 14, Plan 101287368
Current Zoning: R2A
Neighbourhood: Pleasant Hill
Date Received: July 10, 2009
- Application No.: 48/09 351 Bornstein Crescent
Applicant: Webb Surveys for the City of Saskatoon and
Lorne and Doris Lubyk
Legal Description: Lot 60, Block 312, Plan 86S48638 consolidated with
Walkway W3
Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Erindale
Date Received: July 13, 2009

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 9**

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Paulsen during the April 7, 2008 meeting of City Council:

“Could the Administration please report on the percentage or number of rental units in Saskatoon that are not included in the primary rental market as defined by Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation.”

REPORT

In 2008, Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) expanded their October Rental Market Survey to include information on both the primary and secondary rental markets. The primary rental market included privately initiated row and apartment structures with three or more units.

The secondary rental market considered the following types of units:

- a) rented single-detached houses;
- b) rented double (semi-detached) houses;
- c) rented freehold row/town houses;
- d) rented duplex apartments;
- e) rented accessory apartments; and
- f) rented apartments that are part of a commercial or other type of structure containing one or two dwelling units. (Please refer to Attachment 1 – Excerpt from October, 2008 Saskatoon Rental Market Survey – Survey Methodology.).

This survey did not include public and non-profit housing such as the units operated by the Saskatoon Housing Authority or the University of Saskatchewan.

This report identified a primary rental market universe of 12,949 units and a secondary rental market universe of 12,600 units. CMHC has noted that the statistical reliability of their market estimates in the Saskatoon report has their highest rank (excellent).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Excerpt from October 2008 Saskatoon Rental Market Survey – Survey Methodology

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 10**

**A3) Enquiry – Councillor Gordon Wyant – Condominium Conversion Policy
(File No. CK. 4132-26)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council amend Section 3.2 b) iii) of City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals), as outlined in this report.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Councillor Wyant made the following enquiry at the meeting of City Council held on August 11, 2008:

“With regards to the city condominium conversion policy and in particular, the exemption provision allowing a vote by the tenants, given the recent use of this exemption by the owners of the Candlewood Apartments, what if any recommendations would the administration suggest to improve the process and/or streamline the policy.”

In the spring of 2008, City Council amended City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals), to provide that when the vacancy rate is below 1.5 percent that an occupied building may only be approved for conversion to a condominium when the owner obtains the consent of 75 percent of the tenants in occupation of the premises at the time of application for conversion. Please refer to Attachment 1 – City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals).

Four tenant consent votes were conducted by the civic administration in relation to properties at:

- 1) 537 4th Avenue North (59 units);
- 2) 1024 Avenue C North (12 units);
- 3) 2613 Broadway Avenue (9 units); and
- 4) 415 3rd Avenue North (18 units).

In all four instances, the tenants voted in favour of the conversion.

The Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) Fall 2008 Rental Market Report identified a vacancy rate in Saskatoon of 1.9 percent, thereby negating the requirement for tenant consent votes. The Spring 2009 CMHC Rental Market Report also identified a vacancy rate in Saskatoon of 1.9 percent.

REPORT

1) Experience With Tenant Consent Votes

The Civic Administration has noted the following experiences with tenant consent votes:

- a) It is very important to both the owners and the tenants that the voting process be seen as fair and transparent. To this end, the Administration has exercised significant diligence in ensuring that this is done.
- b) The requirement that 75 percent of the tenants must consent to the conversion, with the implication that not voting has the effect of voting against the conversion, has placed a significant burden on the Administration in ensuring that tenants have a variety of means of delivering their votes to the City of Saskatoon.
- c) Meeting and voting logistics are often very challenging as few apartment buildings have meeting rooms to conduct information meetings resulting in the Administration making alternate arrangements at a nearby school or church for such a meeting.
- d) All votes, held to date, have been conducted in good faith by both owners and tenants. Should one of the groups choose not to act in good faith, the Administration could readily be placed in a very difficult position.
- e) Implementation of tenant compensation packages has been challenging, with the Civic Administration being required to assume the role of facilitator between tenants and owners.

2) Proposed Amendment to the Tenant Consent Vote

To date, the Administration has been able to appropriately address the challenges noted above. It is recommended, however, that Section 3.2 b) iii) of City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals) be amended to require the consent of 75 percent of the voting tenants rather than the current requirement which requires the consent of 75 percent of all tenants. The effect of this amendment, in addition to addressing the concerns noted above, would be to determine the outcome of the tenant consent procedure based on the wishes of the tenants who actually involve themselves in the voting process.

OPTIONS

City Council has the option of adopting the proposed policy amendment as contained in this report or providing further instructions to the Administration respecting this matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 12**

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The adoption of the recommendation contained within this report will result in amendments to City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals).

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Condominium conversion application fees are based on a 100 percent cost recovery objective. These fees will be reviewed next year as part of the overall review of planning fees.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

Following the approval of this amendment by City Council, a notice will be placed on the City of Saskatoon's web site and in *The StarPhoenix* advising the public of revisions to Section 3.2 b) iii) of City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Policy).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. City of Saskatoon Policy C09-004 (Condominium Approvals).
2. Tenant Consent Process.

**A4) Naming Report – “Hampton Village, Stonebridge, and Willowgrove Park Names”
(File No. CK. 6310-1; PL. 4001-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The park name plans for the Hampton Village, Stonebridge, and Willowgrove neighbourhoods were provided to the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator from the Parks Branch (see Attachments 1, 2, and 3).

The Parks Branch has made a request for names to be applied to one park in Hampton Village, nine parks in Stonebridge, and three parks in Willowgrove. His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison has selected 13 names from the Names Master List, which are indicated on the attached plans. The names selected comprise:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 13

- a) Rik Steernberg – Alderman 1994 to 2003 – Hampton Village Pocket Park on Hargreaves Green;
- b) Walter Wood – Alderman 1922 to 1924 – Stonebridge Linear Park, MR6;
- c) Alfred Bence – Alderman 1916 to 1918 – Stonebridge Linear Park, MR7;
- d) William Anderson – Alderman 1922 to 1924 – Stonebridge Linear Park, MR8;
- e) Alexander MacGillvray Young – Alderman 1913 to 1914 and Mayor 1920 to 1921 – Stonebridge Neighbourhood Core Park, MR10;
- f) John Cameron – Alderman 1931 to 1938 – Stonebridge Linear Park, MR11;
- g) Blair Nelson – Alderman 1958 to 1965 – Stonebridge Secondary Core Park, MR12;
- h) Donald Koyl – Alderman 1962 to 1964 and 1967 to 1973 – Stonebridge Pocket Park, MR13;
- i) Patricia Roe – Alderman 1994 to 2003 – Stonebridge Special Use Park, MR17;
- j) Mark Thompson – Alderman 1985 to 1994 – Stonebridge Special Use Park, MR18;
- k) Rouillard – Denis Rouillard – Long-time resident of Sutherland, Denis and four brothers worked for the Canadian Pacific Railway. Many streets in Sutherland area are named for friends and neighbours of the Rouillard family. – Willowgrove Linear Drainage Area, west;
- l) Varley – Lay and Rose Varley and children (Lawrence, Kenneth, Randall and Phyllis) – The Varleys bought a farm north of the Forestry Farm in 1933 and later moved to Sutherland. They were active in the community and supported many area projects. – Willowgrove Linear Drainage Area, east; and
- m) Kershaw – Lorne Barclay Kershaw (1917-2005) – Born and raised in Saskatoon, he trained as a pilot during World War II, flew supplies for the Canadian Army and United States Army to the far north. After the war, he was co-owner of Saskatoon furniture and appliance store “Moore Smith & Company”. – Willowgrove Pocket Park, south.

According to City of Saskatoon Policy C09-008 (Naming of Civic Property and Development Areas), all requests for park names from the Names Master List will be selected by His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison. The names on the Names Master List have been previously screened by the Naming Advisory Committee and meet City Council’s guidelines for name selection.

His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison notified the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator of the names selected, the selection was then forwarded to the Land Branch and the Parks Branch, and the families have been notified.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 14**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Map of Hampton Village Park Name
2. Map of Stonebridge Park Names
3. Map of Willowgrove Park Names

**A5) Request For Encroachment Agreement
233 4th Avenue South
Lots 9 to 12, Block 156, Plan (Q2) C195
(File No. CK. 4090-2 and PL 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 233 4th Avenue South (Lots 9 to 12, Block 156 Plan (Q2) C195);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate Encroachment Agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

ADOPTED.

The owner of the property located at 233 4th Avenue South, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached Real Property Report, a new barrier free ramp and step will encroach onto City of Saskatoon property by 0.55 metres near the entrance to the building. The total area of encroachment is approximately 3.97 square metres and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021, (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 15**

ATTACHMENTS

1. Application on behalf of the owner, dated June 12, 2009
2. Copy of a sketch drawing indicating proposed attachment of barrier free ramp

**A6) Request For Encroachment Agreement
306 26th Street East
Lot 59, Block 184, Plan 99SA24455
(File No. CK. 4090-2; PL. 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 305 26th Street East (Lot 59, Block 184, Plan 99SA24455);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

The owner of the property located at 305 26th Street East, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon (City). As shown on the attached Real Property Report, part of the facia on the south side of the building encroaches onto the City's property along 26th Street by 0.02 metres, and part of the facia on the east side of the building encroaches onto the City owned lane by 0.14 metres. There is currently an Encroachment Agreement in place, however, the property is changing ownership and a new agreement is required in the new owner's name. The total area of encroachment is approximately 0.265 square metres and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter of request on behalf of the owner, dated July 3, 2009.
2. Copy of the Real Property Report dated June 29, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 16**

Councillor Wyant excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and left the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation be adopted.

**A7) Request For Encroachment Agreement
445 3rd Avenue North
Lot 49, Block 178, Plan 99SA24455
(File No. CK. 4090-2; PL. 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 445 3rd Avenue North (Lot 49, Block 178, Plan 99SA24455);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

The owner of the property located at 445 3rd Avenue North, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon (City). As shown on the attached Real Property Report, the fire escape, concrete step, window well and coal chute encroaches onto the City owned lane by varying amounts to a maximum of .076 metres and the building encroaches onto the City's property along 26th Street by .22 metres. There is currently an Encroachment Agreement in place, however, the building is changing ownership a new Encroachment Agreement is required. The total area of encroachment is approximately 12.14 square metres and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$50.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter of request on behalf of the owner, dated July 3, 2009.
2. Copy of the Real Property Report dated June 30, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 17**

Councillor Wyant excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and was not present in the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation be adopted.

**A8) Request For Encroachment Agreement
444 5th Avenue North
Lots 25 and 26, Block 181, Plan 99SA24455
(File No. CK. 4090-2; PL. 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 444 5th Avenue North (Lots 25 and 26, Block 181, Plan 99SA24455);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

The owner of the property located at 444 5th Avenue North, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon (City). As shown on the attached Real Property Report, the eave encroaches onto the City's property along 26th Street by 0.58 metres, the attached shed on the east side of the building encroaches onto the City owned lane by 0.59 metres and the entrance on the west side of the building encroaches onto the City's property along 5th Avenue North by 0.09 metres. The encroachments have likely been in existence since the building was constructed prior to 1930. The total area of encroachment is approximately 25.87 square metres and will, therefore, be subject to an annual charge of \$84.08.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter of request on behalf of the owner, dated July 3, 2009.
2. Copy of the Real Property Report dated June 29, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 18**

Councillor Wyant excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and was not present in the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation be adopted.

**A9) Request For Encroachment Agreement
445 5th Avenue North
Lot 49, Block 180, Plan 99SA24455
(File No. CK. 4090-2; PL. 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 445 5th Avenue North (Lot 49, Block 180, Plan 99SA24455);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

The owner of the property located at 445 5th Avenue North, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached Real Property Report, the building eaves and fire escape encroach onto the City owned lane by varying amounts to a maximum of 0.79 metres; the window cantilever and eaves encroach onto the City owned boulevard on 26th Street East by varying amounts to a maximum of 0.74 metres; and the building, building eaves, window cantilever and roof over entrance encroach onto the City's boulevard on 5th Avenue North by varying amounts to a maximum of 0.95 metres. There is currently an encroachment agreement in place; however, the building is changing ownership and a new encroachment agreement is required. The total area of encroachment is approximately 46.38 metres squared and will therefore be subject to an annual charge of \$150.74.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter of request on behalf of the owner, dated July 3, 2009.
2. Copy of the Real Property Report dated June 30, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 19**

Councillor Wyant excused himself from discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and was not present in the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation be adopted.

**A10) Pleasant Hill Revitalization Project
Property Acquisition
Grover House – 408 Avenue P South
File No.: CK. 4131-31; LA. 4020-06-4, PL. 951-22**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the purchase of 408 Avenue P South at a purchase price of \$105,000;
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary Purchase Agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the corporate seal; and
 - 3) that the purchase be funded from the Property Realized Reserve in the amount of \$105,000 plus other legal costs and disbursements.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

On July 16, 2007, City Council adopted a redevelopment Concept Plan for the Pleasant Hill Revitalization Project, now known as “Pleasant Hill Village”.

On October 18, 2007, City Council resolved:

- “1) That the Administration be authorized to pursue a revised strategy to include the Olfert Trucking property and the house owned by Jack Grover, as shown as a potential redevelopment site on the concept plan endorsed by City Council July 16, 2007.”

The aim is to enhance the Pleasant Hill Village Project to include available adjacent properties and create more redevelopment opportunities, in accordance with the endorsed concept plan.

The house at 408 Avenue P South is currently owned by Jack Grover. It is rented and occupied. The house has been for sale for a couple of years. The house was built as a residential dwelling in 1953 and has remained primarily unchanged until present.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 20**

Attachment 1 illustrates the subject property.

REPORT

Since 2007, the City of Saskatoon (City) has been interested in the purchase of the Grover house. As part of the City's interest in acquiring this site, a Phase 1 environmental screening has been conducted on the property. The results of this screening are presented below:

Phase 1 Environmental Screening

Although the house has remained a dwelling since 1953, there was likely a heating oil or coal heating system used prior to natural gas being made available in 1955. The consultant recommends that a Phase 2 environmental screening be conducted on this site, if purchased by the City of Saskatoon to check for the presence of hydrocarbons.

A standard phase 2 screening of the Grover House may, at worst, lead to the excavation of some soil which was affected by home heating oil, in a similar fashion to what occurred on the Wolfe properties in the 300 block of Avenue O South. The risk of finding any other contamination, which would halt development and prevent it from becoming part of the Pleasant Hill Village project, appears to be very minimal.

Option to Purchase

An external real estate company, Saskatoon Real Estate Services, was retained to obtain an Option to Purchase Agreement to acquire the Grover property. Once secured, the Option Agreement was then assigned to the City of Saskatoon.

Specific terms and conditions of the Option Agreement is as follows:

1. Purchase Price
The purchase price is \$105,000.
2. Option Fee
The non-refundable fee is \$2,000. If the Option to Purchase is exercised, the option fee will be applied as a credit to the purchase price.
3. Possession Date
Vacant possession shall be September 1, 2009.
4. Closing Date
Closing Date shall coincide with the Possession Date.
5. Adjustments
Taxes and all other adjustments shall be made as of the Closing Date.
6. Legal Costs and Disbursements
Each party shall be responsible for its own legal costs.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 21**

Your Administration is recommending the purchase of this site. The purchase price is reasonable in the context of the Pleasant Hill and Saskatoon market. The purchase price represents a cost of \$12.06 per square foot for the Grover property, with an existing house.

Enhanced Concept Plan

The purchase of the Grover house opens up an opportunity to enhance the Pleasant Hill Revitalization Project in a meaningful way. The Grover property would add 0.2 acres to the Pleasant Hill Village project. Combined with the Olfert Trucking Site (purchase approved July 13, 2009), this would add a combined area of 2.14 acres to the Pleasant Hill Village project and create more housing opportunities, and some additional greenspace. Most of the greenspace will be located along the railway as a 30 metre linear buffer between any residential development and the railway. It should be noted that the added area does not lend itself well to use as greenspace for the new St. Mary School. Its configuration as a 30 metre linear buffer and its location adjacent to the railway make it a poor choice for a school playground. The new St. Mary School will still require the 0.98 acres where the current St. Mary School is situated.

If this purchase is successful, the Community Services Department will take the necessary steps to integrate this site into the Pleasant Hill Village Concept Plan:

- consultation with the Pleasant Hill Community;
- identify all proposed infrastructure and surface improvements needed to develop the property;
- develop a proposed budget to undertake the necessary improvements; and
- develop a timeline for the eventual release of the site for new development.

If all goes as intended, the property could be subdivided, and rezoned to allow a Request for Proposals to be issued at some point in 2010.

OPTIONS

1. Approve the purchase of this site and incorporate the new land into the Pleasant Hill Village Project.
2. Decline to purchase the property and forfeit the \$2,000 option fee. In this instance, the house at 408 Avenue P South will remain for sale. However, the opportunity to incorporate this property into the Pleasant Hill Village project will diminish over time.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Pleasant Hill Revitalization Project has its own funding source from the Urban Development Agreement (\$3.3 million) for the approved Concept Plan. However, no funding source has been identified to include the Grover house purchase. Given the timelines contained in the Sale Agreement, the Administration is recommending the Property Realized Reserve be used to purchase the Grover house until such time as a budget can be established for the enhanced concept within the Pleasant Hill Village project.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Location Map of subject site

A11) Request for Boundary Alteration (Annexation) – East, University Heights, and North Industrial Sectors
(File No. CK. 4060-1)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council agree to the annexation of lands identified in Attachment 1;
 - 2) that the assessed owners and school divisions affected by the annexation be served notice in accordance with Section 43 of *The Cities Act*;
 - 3) that the City Clerk be instructed to request a complementary resolution from the Rural Municipality of Corman Park;
 - 4) that the effective date of annexation and taxation be set at January 1, 2010;
 - 5) that compensation in the amount of \$1,485,300, being 15 times the municipal portion of the taxes levied on the subject lands in 2008, be paid to the Rural Municipality of Corman Park upon annexation of the subject lands; and

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 23

- 6) that in the event that an objection to the annexation is received by October 5, 2009, that the Administration be authorized to advertise a public meeting, in accordance with Section 43 of *The Cities Act*, to be held on November 16, 2009.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on January 16, 2006, the Executive Committee resolved, in part, “that the Administration report back to the Executive Committee on future growth and recommended timing for annexation in due course.” The City of Saskatoon (City) and Rural Municipality (RM) of Corman Park Councils and Administrations subsequently worked to clarify the City’s growth strategies and develop an annexation proposal, now referred to in *The Cities Act* as “boundary alterations” to implement those growth strategies.

During its December 1, 2008 meeting, City Council passed the following resolution:

- “1) that the annexation proposal shown on Attachment 1 of this report be endorsed in principle;
- 2) that the City Administration be instructed to work with the Rural Municipality of Corman Park Administration to take the steps necessary to proceed with the annexation;
- 3) that the Administrations be instructed to review and recommend a compensation formula for annexation to the municipal Councils by April 30, 2009, or such later date as may be mutually agreed to by the municipal Councils; and
- 4) that in the event a compensation formula cannot be agreed upon by both municipal Councils by May 31, 2009, or such later date as may be mutually agreed to by the municipal Councils, the compensation of five (5) years of taxes for agricultural and residential property and ten (10) years of taxes for commercial and industrial property shall be used for the annexation.”

REPORT

In accordance with City Council’s instructions, the Administration has met with the RM of Corman Park.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 24**

Planned Growth

The RM and the City agree on the importance of continuing to plan for the growth of Saskatoon. Expansion of the City boundaries has a significant effect on both municipalities as well as the land owners in the annexed areas. Annexations that are done in an ad hoc way are not in the best interests of the municipalities, the land owners, or the development industry. This annexation proposal is consistent with the growth strategy identified in the “Future Growth of Saskatoon” study, which was endorsed by City Council and the RM Council in 2000. The proposed annexation will allow the City to proceed with more detailed land use and servicing plans in preparation for development in the East Sector, the remainder of the University Heights Sector, and portions of the North Industrial Sector.

Compensation

The requirements for annexation are set out in *The Cities Act*. There are no legislative requirements for payment of compensation for annexation; however, for more than 30 years, the City has paid compensation to the RM for annexation. Compensation has been paid for the following reasons:

- 1) to compensate for loss of municipal tax revenue and allow time for the RM to adjust to the loss of revenue and/or expand its tax base;
- 2) to compensate for lost investment (for example, to compensate for infrastructure that was developed by the RM); and
- 3) to prevent hardship (for example, to compensate when significant development was being removed from the RM’s tax base).

Compensation has been paid on the municipal portion of the taxes, not the education portion of the taxes. The financial effects of annexation on the school divisions will be addressed by the province.

This annexation proposal is the predictable result of the City’s identified future growth strategy. For annexations like this, the traditional compensation arrangement has been five years of taxes for agricultural and residential property and ten years of taxes for commercial and industrial property.

The RM expressed concerns with the traditional compensation arrangement and requested that a formula be developed that reflects the current best practices of other Canadian municipalities. The Administrations conducted an extensive review, but the review did not yield a clear numerical formula or a conclusive best practice. To move forward with the current annexation proposal in a timely way, it is proposed to compensate the RM with 15 times the previous year’s taxes, and work with the RM over the next five months on a tax loss compensation formula for future annexations.

This increase to the traditional compensation arrangement recognizes the compensation arrangements made by other Canadian municipalities and the efforts the RM has made to ensure that the City’s future growth areas have been protected from incompatible land use and development. These efforts have been of significant benefit to the Saskatoon region because they have ensured that the City can grow in a cost-effective way and minimized the effect of urban expansion on rural developments.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 25**

In summary, the recommended terms of the annexation are:

- 1) the City will provide a one-time payment of \$1,485,300 as compensation for the annexation of land, payable January 1, 2010;
- 2) the compensation payment will not include the education portion of taxes; and
- 3) the City will pay out any tax arrears owed to the RM as of January 1, 2010.

As of July 15, 2009, the tax arrears owed to the RM are \$9,172.82.

Complementary Resolution from the RM of Corman Park

If City Council agrees to the recommendations, the City Clerk will request a complementary resolution from the RM Council. The RM will refer the annexation proposal to the Saskatoon District Planning Commission for review and recommendation. If the RM Council passes a complementary resolution, the City will submit the annexation request to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for approval. If the RM Council does not pass a complementary resolution but City Council wishes to proceed with the annexation, the annexation request will be submitted to the Saskatchewan Municipal Board for a decision.

OPTIONS

1. City Council may approve the annexation proposal and the compensation figure. (Recommended)
2. City Council may direct that a different annexation proposal be pursued and that a different compensation figure be used. This option is not recommended because the City and the RM benefit from a positive working relationship, and this could be expected to create uncertainty. (Not Recommended)

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The land component of the annexation proposal has no policy implications because it is consistent with the identified future growth strategies of the City. The compensation component of the annexation proposal does not have policy implications, but it can be expected to influence compensation for future annexations, even if the Administrations' work in the upcoming months does not result in a new tax loss compensation formula.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The main financial impact of the annexation proposal is the compensation of \$1,485,300 to be paid to the RM. If the annexation proposal proceeds, the City will be responsible for service delivery in the annexed area, but it will receive tax revenues from the area in return.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 26**

PUBLIC NOTICE

The Cities Act prescribes the Public Notice requirements for annexations (referred to as ‘boundary alterations’ in the legislation). If City Council directs the Administration to proceed with the annexation proposal, each assessed owner of land in the annexation area and each affected school division will be notified by mail, and a notice will be published in two editions of The StarPhoenix. If an objection is received within four weeks of the last published notice, City Council must advertise and hold a public meeting. The annexation schedule would provide for a public meeting to be held in conjunction with the November 16, 2009 City Council meeting.

In addition to the legislative requirements, a ‘Frequently Asked Questions’ brochure has been developed and will be mailed with the formal annexation notice. The brochure addresses common questions about the annexation process and about urban service delivery (for example, contacts for emergency services, taxation, and road maintenance). If the annexation proceeds, the assessed owners and the school divisions will be notified when the annexation takes effect.

ATTACHMENT

1. Map of Proposed Annexation

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) External Borrowing
(File Nos. CK. 1750-1; CS. 1750-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council consider Borrowing Bylaw No. 8787 which conforms to the terms and conditions of the transaction, and specifically authorizes a \$2,290,000 fifteen-year loan from the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) Infrastructure Program; and
 - 2) that the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, the City Solicitor, and the City Treasurer be instructed to take all such steps to execute and sign further documentation as may be necessary to complete the loan transaction.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on May 4, 2009, City Council granted authorization to the General Manager, Corporate Services to secure capital financing utilizing loans from the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) Infrastructure Program. Project funding was subsequently secured

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 27**

for the New Intake Facility for the Water Treatment Plant and was approved by City Council through the adoption of Borrowing Bylaw No. 8777.

REPORT

In late April, your Administration submitted a loan application to CMHC requesting funding for specific capital projects. One of these specific projects involved the landscape development under the River Landing Phase II project. The total financing commitment for this project is \$2,290,000. The loan application was approved by CMHC in early June.

Your Administration recently received the interest rate and corresponding repayment schedule from CMHC with respect to the \$2,290,000, fifteen-year loan. The interest rate assigned to the loan will be fixed at a nominal rate of 3.98%, compounded annually. The loan begins accruing interest on August 1, 2009, with the first repayment of principal and interest scheduled for August 1, 2010. Loan proceeds will be disbursed to the City upon CMHC receiving all required loan documentation.

Your Administration recommends that City Council approve the aforementioned borrowing largely due to the favourable rate of interest. The City of Saskatoon is unable to provide a competitive interest rate through its normal methods of borrowing. In the current market environment, a fifteen-year serial debenture issue would likely result in an all-in borrowing cost of approximately 4.75%.

PUBLIC NOTICE

A Public Notice Hearing was held for this project at the City Council meeting held on July 13, 2009.

ATTACHMENT

1. Borrowing Bylaw No. 8787.

**B2) Incentive Application
InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd.
(File Nos. CK. 3500-13; CS. 3500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the application from InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd. for a five-year tax abatement on the incremental portion of taxes at 1438 Fletcher Road, be approved as follows:

100% in Year 1
80% in Year 2
70% in Year 3
60% in Year 4
50% in Year 5; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate bylaw and agreement.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered along with the presentation of the speaker.
See Page No. 113.*

**B3) Consultant Services - Taxi Industry in Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 307-4; CS. 307-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council authorize Administration to engage the services of a consultant to undertake a comprehensive study of the taxi industry in Saskatoon; and
 - 2) that the study be funded from a reallocation of funds resulting from the Municipal Economic Enhancement Program revenue.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered along with the presentations of the speakers.
See Page No. 115.*

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**E1) Enquiry – Councillor D. Hill (December 1, 2008)
Possible Four-Way Stop – Intersection of 7th Avenue and Princess Street
(File No. CK. 6280-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 29**

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor D. Hill at the meeting of City Council held on December 1, 2008:

“Numerous residents have expressed concern about the speed and volume of traffic at the intersection of 7th Avenue and Princess Street. Many people have told of countless near misses between vehicles and pedestrians. The current traffic calming measures are not adequate.

Would the Administration please report on turning the intersection into a four-way stop.”

REPORT

The Administration has reviewed the installation of a four-way stop at the intersection of Princess Street and 7th Avenue which included a collision analysis and speed and volume study.

Princess Street is classified as a local residential roadway, and 7th Avenue is classified as a collector roadway. Both have a posted speed limit of 50 kph. It is typically acceptable to have up to 1,000 vehicles per day (vpd) on a local residential roadway and up to up to 5,000 vpd on a collector roadway. The intersection of Princess Street and 7th Avenue is currently controlled by two-way stop signs on Princess Street, giving right-of-way to 7th Avenue.

The most recent five-year collision history (2003 to 2007) for the intersection indicates that there were three left-turn collisions, with no collisions in the last 12 months. Policy C07-007 – Traffic Control – Use of Stop and Yield Signs, states that an intersection may warrant a four-way stop if at least five collisions resulting from intersecting traffic streams (right-angle, left-turn, or right-turn collisions) occur in the last 12 reported months. Since there were no collisions in the last reported 12 months, the current right-of-way rules at the two-way stops on 7th Avenue appear to be providing adequate traffic control.

Speed studies measure the 85th percentile speed (the speed at which 85 percent of vehicles are travelling at or less than), as well as the average daily traffic (ADT). A speed study was conducted along Princess Street from May 21 to May 27, 2008; and from June 1 to June 7, 2009 along 7th Avenue. The studies showed that the 85th percentile speed is 47 kph for Princess Street and 50 kph for 7th Avenue. It is acceptable for the 85th percentile speed to be 5 kph over the posted speed limit. According to the study, most motorists are complying with the speed limit.

The ADT at the intersection was 5,306 vehicles. Policy C07-007 states that an intersection warrants a four-way stop if the total number of vehicles entering the intersection from all approaches exceeds 6,000 vehicles per day.

A four-way stop can be an effective traffic control device at an intersection if it is found that the current right-of-way rules do not provide safe, convenient and efficient traffic movement. Signing

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 30**

is not typically recommended as a stand-alone traffic calming measure as the primary purpose of regulatory signs is to regulate traffic movement, and the unwarranted use of Stop and Yield signs has been shown to have little effect on vehicle speeds and volumes. Speeding and high traffic volumes are concerns typically addressed by traffic calming devices.

Since the collision, speed and volume studies indicate that there are no issues regarding right-of-way control at the intersection of Princess Street and 7th Avenue, the location failed to meet the criteria necessary to warrant the installation of a four-way stop, as per Policy C07-007. As there is no evidence of speeding or excessive traffic volumes, the Administration is also not recommending the installation of any additional traffic calming devices.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Administration to review the possibility of enhancing traffic calming in this intersection.

**E2) Enquiry – Councillor D. Hill (October 27, 2008)
Traffic Calming Measures –Churchill Drive
(File No. CK. 6320-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor D. Hill at the meeting of City Council held on October 27, 2008:

“Would the Administration please report on potential traffic calming measures on Churchill Drive from Warman Road to Ravine Drive.”

At its meeting held on December 15, 2008, City Council received a report of General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, advising that a traffic study would be conducted in the spring of 2009, and that a report would be submitted providing information from the study and recommendations.

REPORT

Traffic calming devices are often used to reduce speeding; to enhance the safety and the perception of safety for pedestrians; and to reduce short cutting through residential neighbourhoods.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 31**

Churchill Drive is classified as a minor collector roadway which can be expected to carry up to 5,000 vehicles per day. It runs east and west, connecting Warman Road to Ravine Drive. Right-of-way is assigned by stop signs on Churchill Drive at Ravine Drive. There are no other traffic control devices, therefore, the right-of-way rule is applicable on all other intersecting streets.

A traffic volume and speed study was completed from May 20 to 26, 2009 along Churchill Drive between Churchill Court and St. Laurence Crescent. The posted speed limit on this street is 50 kph. The 85th percentile speed (the speed at which 85 percent of the vehicles are travelling at or less than) was measured at 55 kph. Ideally, it is desirable for the 85th percentile speed to be no more than 5 kph above the speed limit. The average daily traffic (ADT) was measured at 1,400 vehicles per day.

The five-year collision history (2003 to 2007) along Churchill Drive between Warman Road and Ravine Drive indicates that there were 17 collisions, none of which involved pedestrians, and no injuries or fatalities were reported. The major contributing factors included inattentive drivers, packed snow and ice, failing to yield and driving too fast for road conditions.

Traffic volumes on Churchill Drive are within the expected range of vehicles for minor collector roadways, and the 85th percentile speed is within the range expected; therefore, the Administration does not recommend any traffic calming measures on Churchill Drive at this time.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E3) Enquiry – Councillor D. Hill (January 12, 2009)
Pedestrian Safety – Spadina Crescent and Duke and Duchess Street
(File No. CK. 6150-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor D. Hill at the meeting of City Council held on January 12, 2009:

“I have received numerous calls regarding pedestrian safety while crossing Spadina Crescent in the City Park neighbourhood.

Would the Administration please report on possible traffic calming and pedestrian safety measures for the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duke Street, and/or Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 32**

REPORT

Spadina Crescent is classified as a minor arterial roadway that can typically be expected to carry traffic volumes up to 12,000 vehicles per day. The posted speed limit on this street is 50 kph with right-of-way assigned by stop signs on Duke Street and Duchess Street. The intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street has a marked zebra crossing on the south approach; while the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duke Street has a marked zebra crossing on the north approach. Both crosswalks are routes to the Meewasin trail adjacent to the river.

A traffic volume and speed study, which measures the 85th percentile speed (the speed at which 85 percent of the vehicles are travelling at or less than) was conducted along Spadina Crescent between Duke Street and Duchess Street from June 1 to 7, 2009. It is desirable for the 85th percentile speed to be no more than five kilometres above the speed limit. The speed study showed the 85th percentile speed to be 55 kph. The average daily traffic (ADT) was 10,500 vehicles.

The five-year collision history (2003 to 2007) at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duke Street identified four collisions, while three collisions were identified at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street. Of the seven accidents, five were rear-end collisions. Typically, rear end collisions at pedestrian crossings are the result of a vehicle stopping for a pedestrian and the vehicle behind failing to respond accordingly. No pedestrian collisions, injuries or fatalities were reported.

Pedestrian counts were conducted at the two intersections during the peak hours of 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.; 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.; and 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. to determine if pedestrian devices are warranted. The count at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street, conducted on June 1, 2009, showed 37 pedestrians crossing at the intersection. The count, conducted on June 8, 2009, showed 24 pedestrians crossing at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duke Street.

Additional counts were completed during the recreational hours of 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street on June 8, 2009, which, showed 74 crossings; and at the intersection of Spadina Crescent and Duke Street on July 13, 2009, which showed 42 crossings.

The data collected was used in warrant calculations to assess the need for a pedestrian corridor, active pedestrian corridor or pedestrian actuated traffic signals. The warrant for a pedestrian corridor determines the number of 15-minute periods of pedestrian activity during which the installation of this facility may prove effective at enhancing pedestrian safety. A warrant of at least one-15 minute period is required for the installation of a pedestrian corridor and three 15-minute periods is required for an active pedestrian corridor. The minimum requirement for consideration of installation of a pedestrian actuated traffic signal is a warrant rating of 100 points or greater. Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street received zero points for a pedestrian corridor and active pedestrian corridor and 57 points for an actuated pedestrian signal. Spadina Crescent and Duke Street received zero points for a pedestrian corridor and active pedestrian corridor and 35 points for a pedestrian actuated traffic signal. Thus, neither location warrants a pedestrian device.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 33**

It was noted that vehicles parked on the west side of Spadina Crescent, on the southwest corner of Duchess Street and on the northwest corner of Duke Street, reduce visibility for pedestrians waiting for southbound drivers. Parking is not allowed on the east side of Spadina Crescent.

Traffic calming devices are often used to reduce speeding, enhance the safety and the perception of safety for pedestrians and reduce short cutting through residential neighbourhoods. Because these intersections are direct pedestrian routes to the Meewasin trail, the Administration will install temporary traffic calming devices (curbing) this year at the southwest corner of Duchess Street and the northwest corner of Duke Street to improve pedestrian visibility. The traffic calming will be made permanent once it has proven to be effective and when there is sufficient funding available.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is sufficient funding within Capital Project 631 – Traffic Safety Improvements for the installation of temporary curbing at the intersections of Spadina Crescent and Duchess Street and Spadina Crescent and Duke Street, which is estimated at approximately \$1,500.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E4) Proposed Service Upgrade to SaskWater Connection -
Clarence Avenue
File No. CK 7900-1**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Master Water Supply Agreement with Saskatchewan Water Corporation (SaskWater) be amended as it applies to the Clarence Avenue (Saskatoon East) Delivery Point 2, to increase the maximum daily rate of flow from 430 Imperial gallons/minute to 1,043 Imperial gallons/minute;
 - 2) that \$24,955.44 be deducted from SaskWater's future cost-sharing charges related to the upgrade to the Clarence Avenue (Saskatoon East) Delivery Point; and
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to amend the Master Water Supply Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 34**

BACKGROUND

Since 1983, the City has had an agreement with SaskWater to supply water for distribution to customers beyond Saskatoon's city limits. This Master Water Supply Agreement has been amended, as required, to add new points of delivery or to alter other conditions.

SaskWater's Delivery Point 2 (Saskatoon East) is located at their Clarence Avenue pump house, and is serviced by a watermain along Cartwright Road from Lorne Avenue.

At its meeting held on May 21, 2002, City Council considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, explaining that SaskWater had approached the Administration for an increase in flow volumes currently supplied for their Saskatoon East Supply Line. The report went on to explain that, while an increase in supply is feasible, it cannot be accommodated with the current piping system on Lorne Avenue. The report also stated that the request should be considered in light of the City's future need for water supply to the developments proposed south of Circle Drive between Lorne Avenue and Highway 11. Development of the Stonebridge neighbourhood was far enough in the future that SaskWater was considered to be the sole beneficiary of the upgrade at that time, therefore, all costs associated with the design and construction of the line upgrade were to be the sole responsibility of SaskWater. In order for SaskWater's evaluation process to proceed, they needed to review the detailed designs and cost estimates, therefore, they requested that the City prepare the necessary upgrade design and cost estimates. All costs associated with the work were to be at their expense. Council approved the recommendation that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement between SaskWater and the City for the detailed design of the proposed SaskWater Saskatoon East Supply Line Upgrade.

In July, 2003, SaskWater submitted a "Saskatoon Area Water Development Plan" which included their formal request for a maximum daily rate of flow of 1,043 Imperial gallons/minute at Clarence Avenue. SaskWater, by agreement, would pay for the upsizing required to accommodate the increased flow rate.

By early 2004, it became apparent that a primary watermain on Clarence Avenue would serve not only SaskWater's needs but, due to the advancement of the Willows and Stonebridge neighbourhoods, the City's needs as well. Discussions between SaskWater and the City of Saskatoon, therefore, began to focus on cost-sharing this jointly-needed watermain. Agreement was reached in October, 2004, that SaskWater would fund all costs for design of this new primary watermain, with the City to later reimburse them for the City's share.

The Stonebridge primary watermain is now being constructed in stages under Capital Budget Project 777 – Primary Watermain – Stonebridge. On June 9, 2008, City Council awarded the contract for construction of the second stage of construction. Although the report referred to the flow rate increase, it did not formally request approval.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 35**

REPORT

In July, 2003, SaskWater requested an upgrade to the service at their Clarence Avenue pump house from a flow rate of 430 Imperial gallons/minute to 1,043 Imperial gallons/minute. This request was accommodated in the design of the Stonebridge primary watermain which is being constructed under Capital Budget Project 777 – Primary Watermains - Stonebridge.

According to the terms of the Master Water Supply Agreement, SaskWater would normally be required to pay a primary watermain levy based on the additional flow requested. In 2004, SaskWater was advised that this would be approximately \$135,000. However, this levy was dropped, as it would effectively require a double payment by SaskWater who were also paying the incremental construction cost to accommodate their demand.

The agreement reached in October, 2004 stipulated that SaskWater would fund all costs for the design of the new primary watermain, with the City reimbursing them for the City's share. As of 2008, SaskWater had paid \$24,955.44. To date, no reimbursement has been provided by the City. Given the evolution of this watermain from a supply source solely for SaskWater, with future benefits to the City of Saskatoon, to a joint project which would accommodate Saskatoon's development south of Circle Drive, the Administration is recommending that SaskWater's original design payment be accounted for in future cost-sharing charges to them.

A subsequent cost-sharing arrangement was agreed to, to cover construction costs. A report to City Council on June 9, 2008, explained that although during initial budget preparation for Capital Project 777, a split of 50/50 was designated, various sections of piping had since been modeled, and a benefiting cost assigned to SaskWater, resulting in the original portion identified from SaskWater being decreased by \$489,000 from \$677,000 to \$188,000.

The actual cost-sharing proportions, as indicated in the following table, are included in the construction cost-sharing agreement developed in 2008 and signed in May 2009. As shown, SaskWater has already paid a portion of the construction costs to date based on this cost-sharing arrangement.

Section	SaskWater Cost Sharing %	Invoiced	Still Owing
Ruth St to Circle Dr	23.60%	397,262.91	6,823.5
Circle Dr to Brand Rd	23.60%	205,051.00	0.00
Brand Rd to Clarence Av	27.50%	387,461.03	2,876.95
Clarence Av to SaskWater	72.10%	0.00	480,931.28*

* Estimated amount for this portion of the construction.

In May, 2009, a letter agreement with SaskWater was signed by the General Manager, Infrastructure Services which defines each agency's responsibilities regarding the design and construction of this primary watermain. According to the agreement, one of the City's responsibilities is to reflect SaskWater's identified peak day flow capacity of 79.03 l/s (1,043

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 36**

Imperial gallons per minute) from the Clarence Avenue Primary Water Main in the Master Water Supply Agreement.

The design of the primary watermain and cost-sharing arrangements with SaskWater have been based on this revised flow rate. Formalization of this request, through amendment of the Master Water Supply Agreement, is the final step in the process.

OPTIONS

There are no other options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There will be a small increase in water utility revenues from the increased sale of water to SaskWater.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E5) Post Budget Approval – Capital Project 0655
Arterial Road –McOrmond (Highway 5 – Perimeter Road)
(File No. CK. 6000-1; IS 6005-37)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that an increase of \$200,000 to Capital Project 0655 – Arterial Road – McOrmond (Highway 5 - Perimeter Road) for the construction of a turning bay at the intersection of McOrmond Drive and Highway 5 be approved; and
 - 2) that the \$200,000 be funded from a contribution from the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure of Saskatchewan.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

A turning bay at the intersection of McOrmond Drive and Highway 5 has been designed at the request of the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure of Saskatchewan. The turning bay will allow vehicles traveling east bound on Highway 5 to turn southbound onto McOrmond Drive without impeding east bound traffic.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 37**

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

A public tender has been sent out and will be awarded in August, 2009, with construction to commence shortly after, subject to approval of the \$200,000 contribution from the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure of Saskatchewan being applied to the Capital Project 0655.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E6) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way adjacent to 182 and 202 Whitecap Crescent
(File CK. 6295-09-4)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw 8781.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on March 30, 2009, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 182 and 202 Whitecap Crescent and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 182 and 202 Whitecap Crescent be closed;
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
- 4) that upon closing the portion of the right-of-way it be sold to Maureen Hurley and Geoff Major for \$1,000.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 38**

REPORT

The Administration has now received the Plan of Walkway Closure and Consolidation prepared by Webb Surveys, dated June 15, 2009 (Attachment 2).

As shown on Plan 240-0060-009r001 (Attachment 3), Area 1 (Lot 23, Block 889, Plan 77S09462) will be transferred to Maureen Hurley and Geoff Major of 182 Whitecap Crescent.

SaskEnergy and SaskPower have existing facilities with easements within the area and have approved the proposed closure.

This walkway is owned by the City of Saskatoon; therefore no consent from the Minister of Highways is required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Bylaw 8781;
2. Plan of Walkway Closure and Consolidation, dated June 15, 2009; and
3. Plan No. 240-0060-009r001.

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) Enquiry – Councillor P. Lorje (March 2, 2009)
Styrofoam Packing Material Waste
(File No. CK. 7830-5 and WT-7832-10)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor P. Lorje at the meeting of City Council held on March 2, 2009:

“Will Administration please report on the possibility of handling Styrofoam packing material waste in a manner that could reduce the bulk and waste of this material in the landfill. Specifically, are there any machines that could reduce the bulk of Styrofoam and allow it to be continually recycled. If so, what is the cost and availability of such machines, as well as the operational implications, and is the Administration planning to budget for such a purchase.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 39**

REPORT

Expanded polystyrene foam (EPS), commonly known as ‘Styrofoam’, is used to make a number of consumer packaging products such as cups, food trays, form-molded protective shells, and packing ‘peanuts’. EPS products are very popular as packaging material because of their insulating value, light weight, low cost, and effectiveness in protecting valuable commodities. As a waste product, EPS is difficult to recycle due to its expense to ship, tendency to blow into the environment, and low commodity value. Although approximately 30% of packing peanuts are reused at least once, EPS products generally have a very low recycling rate.

The most common first step in EPS recycling is to make the lightweight products denser. This can be accomplished using a machine that first breaks up the EPS, and then processes it through a screw press, which effectively melts the product. A tube of condensed polystyrene is extruded, which is approximately 90 times denser than EPS. The condensed polystyrene can then be shipped to processing facilities that can use it to make numerous plastic items. The machine can be purchased for approximately \$40,000, and is approximately the size of an office desk.

The difficulties in recycling EPS are two-part. Firstly, the product must be collected. Very few curbside recycling programs collect EPS for the reasons stated above. Accepting EPS in drop-off recycling depots is possible, however vigilance must be maintained in keeping the material from over-flowing bins and entering the environment, as EPS packaging will often break into small beads and be spread widely by wind. Staffed recycling facilities would be the most effective for collecting EPS. Secondly, EPS requires a facility for processing. Most material recycling facilities will not handle EPS because it tends to contaminate other recyclables, and is difficult to contain. Although the equipment required to condense EPS is relatively inexpensive, handling the material is decidedly more difficult than with most other recyclables.

In the waste stream, EPS takes up about one half of one percent of the landfill by weight and 1% to 5% by volume. In contrast, yard waste accounts for approximately 20% of landfill waste, and recyclable paper and fibrous products such as newspaper and cardboard account for approximately 22%. In terms of EPS’s environmental impact as a landfilled material, it is as inert as concrete or brick.

Administration has discussed the potential of polystyrene recycling with our partners at Cosmopolitan Industries, and we are willing to consider a partnership to recycle this material when the collection and processing issues can be adequately addressed. Because the potential exists to condense EPS, its viability for recycling will be considered as the City develops recyclable collection and processing capabilities.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred to the Administration and Finance Committee.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 40**

**F2) Capital Project #0583
Transit Bus Replacement
Award of Tender
(File No. CK. 1402-1 and WT 1402-16)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Nova Bus for the supply of three articulating transit buses, at a total cost of \$2,254,970 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.), be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services Branch, issue the appropriate purchase order.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #0583 - Transit Bus Replacement provides funding for the purchase of four articulating transit buses. In 2008, the Saskatoon Transit bus replacement plan identified the need to replace its aging fleet including vintage buses (1977 – 1989) currently in operation and 1995 and 1997 Low Floor 40' buses that are declining at an accelerated rate (body and mechanical) and are subsequently costly to repair. Articulating buses accommodate 62 seated riders compared to 40 on a low floor bus and will contribute to addressing the growing bus service demands of neighbourhoods in and around the University and DART routes.

REPORT

A Public Tender for the supply of two, and up to four, articulating buses closed on June 25, 2009, resulting in the following two submissions:

Nova Bus, A Division of Volvo Group Canada Inc. (Saint-Eustache, Quebec)
New Flyer Industries (Winnipeg, Manitoba)

The proposals have been evaluated based on the proposal evaluation criteria (bus specifications and price). Based on this evaluation, Nova Bus from Saint-Eustache, Quebec has been selected as the most qualified company that meets the minimum required specifications at the lowest price. Subsequently, Saskatoon Transit is recommending accepting the tender submitted by Nova Bus to supply three articulating buses.

In the 2009 capital budget, Transit's plan was to purchase four articulating buses at an estimated cost of \$2.4M. The actual tender quotation for four buses is \$2,982,482 (including taxes).

OPTIONS

Saskatoon Transit purchase four articulating buses at a cost of \$2,985,482. This option is not recommended as it would be over the available budgeted amount by \$585,248.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 41**

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are adequate funds in the approved 2009 Capital Project #0583 for the purchase of three articulating buses. A breakdown of the costs is indicated below:

Nova Bus (3 buses)	\$2,049,972
G.S.T. @ 5%	102,499
P.S.T. @ 5%	<u>102,499</u>
Total Cost to the City	\$2,254,970
Less Input Tax Credit	<u>102,499</u>
Net Cost to the City	\$2,152,471

Nova Bus proposal includes: ZF transmission; 2007 EPA Cummings Engine; Carrier RF353 HVAC; and hydraulic cooling. A breakdown of the cost of \$2,049,972 for the three units is as follows:

Two (2) 60' low floor articulating diesel transit buses with stainless Steel frame and body construction @ \$693,460/unit	\$1,386,920
Price for additional one or two buses as above @ \$660,538/unit	660,538
Three (3) Artic insulation packages @ \$838/unit	<u>2,514</u>
Total	\$2,049,972

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F3) U-Pass Agreement
(File No. CK. 7312-1 and TR 7312-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City enter into an agreement with the University of Saskatchewan Students Saskatchewan Students Union (USSU) which specifies the terms and conditions of the Universal Bus Pass Program (U-Pass) for undergraduate students at the University of Saskatchewan;
 - 2) that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the attached Agreement on behalf of the City;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 42**

- 3) that the transit fare for the U-Pass be increased to \$67.50, effective September 1, 2009; and
- 4) that Bylaw No. 8788, The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2009, be considered.

ADOPTED.

On November 6, 2008, University of Saskatchewan undergraduate students held a referendum and voted in favour of permanently extending the U-Pass Program with Saskatoon Transit. The referendum vote also confirmed an annual price adjustment to the U-Pass, every September, and that it be consistent with the January percentage increase to the Saskatoon Consumer Price Index.

REPORT

As part of the Program, a formal Agreement is required to be signed between the two parties that outlines the terms and conditions (Attachment 1). This Agreement has been drawn up and reviewed by the City Solicitor's Office and is being recommended to City Council for approval and subsequent execution by the Mayor and City Clerk. The following are the key terms of the Agreement:

- the term of the Agreement commences on September 1, 2009, and continues unless terminated by either party;
- all undergraduate students at the U of S, except for a small category that are exempt, would receive a U-Pass entitling them to unlimited use of the Saskatoon Transit System;
- in the first year of this Agreement, the City would receive \$67.50 per student per term (Sept. to Dec. and Jan. to April) for every U-Pass issued;
- the USSU would be responsible for collecting these fees and remitting the appropriate amount to the City;
- there is the ability to cancel a U-Pass if the student is no longer enrolled at the U of S and the university term has not expired;
- the Agreement provides for the microchip technology that is forthcoming;
- the USSU is responsible for the distribution of U-Pass stickers or cards; and,
- the Saskatoon Transit rider code of conduct applies and the City can continue to discipline riders regardless of whether or not they have a U-Pass card.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

A detailed cost analysis has been completed by Saskatoon Transit to determine the cost of the U-Pass Program. The premise behind the U-Pass Program was that the cost of the U-Pass would be revenue neutral for Saskatoon Transit, based upon our existing university undergraduate ridership numbers. Using this principle the cost of the U-Pass to the student is \$69.50 per semester inclusive of the \$2.00 USSU administration fee. The first year (September 2009 -August 2010) the City estimates the U-Pass Program to generate \$1,809,000 in revenue.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 43**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No.C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. U-Pass Agreement
2. Bylaw No. 8788, The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2009.

**F4) 2009 Capital Budget
Capital Project #1054–28 - Water Treatment Plant – Asset Replacement
Avenue H Reservoir Line
Emergency Repair Purchase Requisition
(File No. CK. 7290-1 and WT 7960-90-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the sole source cost for the emergency replacement and surface restoration of the Avenue H Reservoir Line by Hamm Construction Ltd. at a total price of \$600,165.82 (including G.S.T.) be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services Branch, issue the purchase order.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #1054, Water Treatment Plant - Asset Replacement, provides funding to refurbish or replace various Water Treatment Plant assets including equipment and structures that are approaching the end of their useful life or require preventative maintenance to extend their life. Sub project 28, Avenue H Reservoir Line, involves replacing the water main and valves connecting the Water Treatment Plant and Avenue H Reservoir. The project was originally funded in the amount of \$838,000 in 2008 with an additional \$120,000 added in the 2009 Capital Budget. Implementation of the project would have normally included selecting an engineering consultant, design review, tender preparation and award, and construction during the off-peak season of October and November of 2009.

REPORT

A break in the water main occurred on April 25, 2009, and was repaired by Public Works staff by April 29. Water Treatment Plant management were concerned that another break during the high demand season would severely compromise the ability to meet peak demand. The decision was taken to replace the Avenue H Reservoir Line on an emergency basis. Time was of the essence as peak demand season normally commences in late May. A reputable local contractor, Hamm

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 44

Construction Ltd., with the resources to complete the work was hired. During construction, deficiencies to the storm drainage system and trunk sanitary system in the vicinity of the work, which could have resulted in cross-connections, were discovered and corrected. The underground work was completed on June 19 and the site restoration was completed on July 13.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The final cost for the replacement of the Avenue H Reservoir Line, completed by Hamm Construction Ltd., is as follows:

Equipment and Labour	\$297,011.13
Materials	186,148.75
Street/Sidewalk Restoration Sub-Contractor	88,426.62
G.S.T.	<u>28,579.32</u>
Total	\$600,165.82
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(28,579.32)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$571,586.50</u>

The original estimate for the work, not including restoration or G.S.T., was \$131,807.50 for materials and \$72,240.00 per week for labour and equipment.

Capital Project 1054-28 Water Treatment Plant – Asset Replacement – Avenue H Reservoir Line has sufficient funding.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 45

F5) 2009 Capital Budget

Capital Project #1054 – 64, 67 Water Treatment Plant – Asset Replacement

Lime Feeding System, Potassium/Fluoride Feeders

Engineering Services - Contract Approval

(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT 7960-92-5)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the proposal for providing engineering services for the Water Treatment Plant Chemical Feed System Upgrade, from CH2M HILL Canada Limited, for a total upset fee of \$511,754.78 (including G.S.T.) be accepted; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The Chemical Storage Building at the Water Treatment Plant is more than 50 years old, and the chemical feeders have reached the end of their useful service life as they were installed in the mid 1980's. Capital Project #1054 – WTP - Asset Replacement, provides funding to refurbish or replace various Water Treatment Plant assets including equipment and structures that are approaching the end of their useful life or require preventative maintenance to extend their life. Sub Project 67, Potassium/Fluoride Feeders, provide funding for the replacement of those feeders and was originally funded in the amount of \$200,000 in 2008. Sub Project 64, Lime Feeding, provides funding for the replacement of the four lime feeders and was funded in the amount of \$1,500,000 in the 2009 Capital Budget.

REPORT

The chemical feeders at the Water Treatment Plant have reached the end of their useful service life. Some of the equipment in use is discontinued and there is a scarcity of critical replacement parts. The separate pieces of equipment have non-compatible control systems and their alarms cannot be monitored by the plant SCADA system. Deficiencies in the lime system have resulted in increased maintenance time and the lime dust collection is non-compliant with existing standards.

A need to review the entire chemical feed system resulted in drafting a Terms of Reference and consulting engineering firms were invited to submit proposals to provide engineering services including a technology review, preliminary design, detailed design, tendering, and construction management. During the proposal period two addendums were issued clarifying award criteria,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 46**

providing additional information, and adding requirements for the ferric sulphide coagulant handling equipment. Responses were received from the following firms:

- Genesis Network – SNC – Lavalin Inc. (Saskatoon, SK)
- CH2M HILL Canada Limited (Calgary, AB)
- Associated Engineering Ltd. (Saskatoon, SK)

Following a rated criteria evaluation by Water Treatment Plant management and project management engineers, the proposal submitted by CH2M HILL Canada Limited was rated as most favourable for the project.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The upset fee for engineering services for the project, and the net cost to the City would be as follows:

Basic Upset Fee	\$440,424.00
Contingency	<u>44,042.00</u>
Subtotal	\$484,466.00
P.S.T. (on 30% of design (\$204,365))	3,065.48
G.S.T. @ 5%	<u>24,223.30</u>
Total Upset Fee	\$511,754.78
G.S.T. Rebate @ 5%	<u>(24,223.30)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$487,531.48</u>

Capital Projects #1054-64 and #1054-67 have sufficient funding to cover the costs for the engineering services to design, tender, and provide construction management for the Water Treatment Plant chemical feed system upgrade.

The engineering services provided will result in a chemical feed system consistent with a modern lime-softening plant. During the review of the proposals it became apparent the approved project funding will not be sufficient as upgrades to the entire chemical feeding system expand the original scope from lime, potassium permanganate, and fluoride to include the ferric sulphide and ammonium systems as well as the building HVAC system. The detailed design phase of the work will provide cost estimates for the 2010 budget cycle.

OPTIONS

Administration has reviewed feasible solutions and concluded that this is the most appropriate solution for the Water Treatment Plant. The Consultant's work will provide costs for the entire chemical feed system upgrade.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F6) 2009 Capital Budget
Capital Project #0687 – 63, Wastewater Treatment – Asset Replacement
Digester Heat Exchanger #2
Contract No. 9-0579 Heat Exchanger Installation
Tender Award
(File No. CK. 292-09-61 x CK. 7920-1 and WT 7990-68-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Suer & Pollon Mechanical Partnership for H. M. Weir Pollution Control Plant, Primary Digestion Process Upgrade – Stage 1, Heat Exchanger Installation, Contract No. 9-0579, at a total estimated cost of \$433,965.00 including G.S.T. be accepted;
 - 2) that a portion of 2009 construction funding within Capital Project #1245 – Wastewater Treatment - Grit & Screen Facility, in the amount of \$450,000 be transferred to Capital Project #0687-63 – Wastewater Treatment - Asset Replacement – Digester Heat Exchanger #2; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.

BACKGROUND

As part of the overall Long-Term Capital Improvement Plan at the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), Capital Project #0687 provides funding to refurbish or replace various assets including equipment and structures that are approaching the end of their useful life or require preventative maintenance to extend their life. Sub Project 63, Digester Heat Exchanger #2, provides funding for the replacement of that heat exchanger and was originally funded in the amount of \$150,000 in 2008. Replacement of the old heat exchanger is necessary due to its poor condition and development of many leaking cracks. The purchase of the heat exchanger was authorized as a sole source purchase by Council in May 2009. The early purchase was required to obtain a unit that matched the existing units and to assure availability when the installation design was complete and installation tender awarded. The net cost to the City for the purchase of the heat exchanger was \$139,524.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 48

The work under Capital Project #0687-63 is closely related to the work of Capital Project #1248, WWT – Primary Digestion Process Upgrade. This primary digestion process upgrade involves the design and installation of mechanical mixing systems for digesters #1 and #2. As part of a 2002 project, mechanical mixing equipment was installed in digester #3 and has proved to be very effective in increasing digester capacity. The engineering services for the heat exchanger design and installation contract, as well as the mixing systems designs and construction contracts, were combined into a single Request for Proposal. Council approved the award of engineering services to Stantec Consulting Ltd. at its meeting held on March 16, 2009.

REPORT

Tenders for Contract No. 9-0579, H. M. Weir Pollution Control Plant, Primary Digestion Process Upgrade – Stage 1, Heat Exchanger Installation were advertised and opened publicly on July 29, 2009. Two tenders were received, and are listed below:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>TOTAL TENDER PRICE</u>
Suer & Pollon Mechanical Partnership (Saskatoon, SK)	\$433,965.00
Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. (Saskatoon, SK)	\$590,625.00

The Consultant's estimate of the total tender price was \$526,050.00, including G.S.T.

Suer & Pollon Mechanical Partnership have successfully completed work of a similar nature for the City in the past.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the bid, submitted by Suer & Pollon Mechanical Partnership, is calculated as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$363,300.00
Contingency	50,000.00
G.S.T.	<u>20,665.00</u>
Total Tender Price	\$433,965.00
G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(20,665.00)</u>
Net Cost to City	<u>\$413,300.00</u>

There is not adequate funding in the Capital Budget, Project 0687-63, WWT – Asset Replacement – Digester heat Exchanger #2. The 2008 approved funding of \$150,000 is committed to the purchase of the heat exchanger. At the time of budgeting, it was thought that the new unit would simply replace the existing unit and would require minimal tie-in work. The consultant's design resulted in leaving the existing heat exchanger in place for increased heating redundancy, installing the new heat exchanger in an empty space, modifying piping to allow flexibility in heat exchanger use amongst the digesters, and the use of glass lined piping and valves to mitigate the formation of struvite.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 49**

Capital Project #1245, WWT – Grit & Screen Facility, has approved funding of \$16,802,000 with \$4,482,000 remaining as of the end of June. The major component of this project, the Grit & Screen Facility at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, is complete. The other components of the project, Landfill Heavy Grit Facility and Primary Effluent Pumphouse Upgrade, are in the preliminary design phase and the most recent estimates of their costs are \$2,000,000 and \$1,500,000 respectively. The Administration proposes transferring \$450,000 of the excess funding in Capital Project #1245 to Capital Project #0687-63.

OPTIONS

Administration and the Consultant have reviewed the feasible solutions and concluded that this is the most appropriate.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the tender submitted by Suer & Pollon Mechanical Partnership for H. M. Weir Pollution Control Plant, Primary Digestion Process Upgrade – Stage 1, Heat Exchanger Installation, Contract No. 9-0579, at a total estimated cost of \$433,965.00 including G.S.T. be accepted;*
 - 2) *that the estimated over-expenditure of \$450,000 be funded by deferring the Boiler Stack refurbish component of Project 687, which will be re-budgeted in 2010; and*
 - 3) *that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 50**

**F7) 2009 Capital Budget
Capital Project #1054 – 38
Water Treatment Plant – Asset Replacement – Acadia Reservoir Structural Repair
Tender Award Contract No. 9-0394 Acadia Reservoir Interior Repairs – Phase 1
(File No. CK. 292-09-33 x CK. 7840-1 and WT 7960-87-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. for Contract No. 9-0394, Acadia Reservoir Interior Repairs – Phase 1, at a total estimated cost of \$440,527.50 (including G.S.T.) be accepted; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #1054, Water Treatment Plant - Asset Replacement, provides funding to refurbish or replace various Water Treatment Plant assets including equipment and structures that are approaching the end of their useful life or require preventative maintenance to extend their life. Sub project 38, Acadia Reservoir Structural Repair, includes routing and filling cracks in the interior of the reservoir. The project was originally funded in the amount of \$403,000 in 2006.

REPORT

Tenders for Contract No. 9-0394 Acadia Reservoir Interior Repairs – Phase I were advertised and opened publicly on May 28, 2009. Four tenders were received and are listed below:

Bidder	Location	Total Tender Price	Separate Price #1	Separate Price #2
Saskcon Repair Services Ltd.	Saskatoon	\$435,487.50	\$3,000.00	\$1,800.00
C.M. Construction Management Ltd.	Saskatoon	\$791,990.00	-	-
Allan Construction	Saskatoon	\$893,945.80	\$8,250.00	\$1,100.00
BYZ Construction Inc.	Medicine Hat	\$1,582,140.00	-	-

The tenders submitted by C.M. Construction Management Ltd., Allan Construction, and BYZ Construction Inc. were incomplete.

Addendum #3 requested separate prices for fibre reinforced plastic (FRP) stairs (#1) and ladder (#2). The separate prices submitted by the lowest qualified bidder are reasonable and will be included for a revised total tender price of \$440,527.50.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 51**

The Consultant's estimate of the total tender price was \$578,812.50, including G.S.T.

Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. successfully completed a similar project at the 42nd Street Reservoir in the past.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the bid, submitted by Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. is calculated as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$374,750.00
Contingency	40,000.00
Separate Price #1	3,000.00
Separate Price #2	1,800.00
G.S.T.	<u>20,977.50</u>
Total Tender Price	\$440,527.50
G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(20,977.50)</u>
Net Cost to City	<u>\$419,550.00</u>

The engineering consultant for this project, Catterall & Wright, has submitted a proposal for design, tendering, and construction management at a total upset limit of \$62,000, not including taxes, which brings the total cost of the project to \$481,550. Capital Project #1054-38 (Water Treatment Plant – Asset Replacement – Acadia Reservoir Structural Repair) has \$403,000 of funding first budgeted in 2006. The Administration proposes to use funding from projects within Program 1054 that are completed and have remaining funding to provide the shortfall.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 13-2009

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

B1) Beekeeping within City Limits
(File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the following be received as information.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered along with the presentation of the speaker.
See Page No. 118.*

B2) Fire Bylaw - Community Organizations
(File No. CK. 2500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8782.

ADOPTED.

At present, the Fire and Protective Services Bylaw provides that persons cannot discharge low hazard fireworks except on Victoria Day, Canada Day, Labour Day, or New Year's Eve. City Council has asked that amendments be made to the Bylaw to allow community associations to obtain a fireworks display permit at any time of the year and to permit private individuals to come before Council to request an exemption from the date and time restrictions of the Bylaw in a manner similar to that found in the Noise Bylaw.

We are pleased to enclose Bylaw No. 8782 amending the Fire and Protective Services Bylaw to reflect these changes.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8782, The Fire and Protective Services Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 3).

REPORT NO. 13-2009 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Wyant, Chair
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Pringle

1. Beekeeping within City Limits
(File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered with Clause B1, Legislative Report No. 13-2009.

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered along with the presentation of the speaker.
See Page No. 118.*

2. Additional Youth Sport Subsidy Event Applications for Funding
City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events)
(Files CK. 1720-3-1 and LS. 1870-12-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the eligible Youth Sport Subsidy Program sport organization receive a Special Event Grant as outlined below:

- 1) that Saskatoon Fencing Club receive a grant of up to \$7,382.96 to host the Junior Nationals and Senior Canadian Select Circuit #1, June 25 to 28, 2009; and
- 2) that Saskatoon Fencing Club receive a grant of up to \$5,550.60 to host the Canadian Select Circuit #1 Cadet/Junior & Canadian Select Circuit #2 Senior, September 18 to 20, 2009.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 22, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

**3. Innovative Housing Incentive Application Capital Assistance and
Mortgage Flexibility Support Program
Innovative Residential Inc. – 209 and 210 Camponi Place
(Files CK-750-1 and PL. 951-62)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that funding of ten percent of the total project cost for the renovation and development of up to 50 affordable housing units for homeownership by Innovative Residential Inc., estimated at \$800,000, be approved under City of Saskatoon Policy C09-002 (Innovative Housing Incentives); and
 - 2) that the project be designated under the Mortgage Flexibility Support Program, as defined in Section 3.8 of City of Saskatoon Policy C09-002, subject to program approval from the appropriate officials in the education system.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 26, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

**4. City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events)
Request for Funding – 2009 Fireworks Festival and 2010 Winter Cities Summit
(Files CK. 1870-1 and LS. 1870-12-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events) be revised to state that “groups upon first application for funding can apply for seed money, on a one-time basis, to host recurring events”;
 - 2) that should the recommendation outlined above be approved, funding in the amount of \$25,000 be allocated to the 2009 Fireworks Festival as it would then meet City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events) criteria, subject to the submission of an approved business plan to the Administration; and
 - 3) that the application for funding from the 2010 Winter Cities Summit be denied, as it does not meet the eligibility criteria as outlined in City of Saskatoon Policy C03-007 (Special Events).

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 55

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 30, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and has received a presentation from Mr. Terry Scaddan, representing The Partnership, with respect to the 2010 Winter Cities Summit.

Your Committee was provided with clarification with respect to the intent Recommendation 1), in that it was not necessarily for events that were being held for the first time but rather it was the first time that an application for funding was being submitted. For instance, it may be for an event that has occurred for a year or two but has grown to such an extent that additional funding is required. The application would have to meet the other criteria identified in the policy. It was also confirmed that the funding would be on a one-time basis only. The recommendation has been revised to clarify the above intent.

In reviewing the matter of the application for funding for the 2009 Fireworks Festival, it was determined that a written business plan had not yet been submitted to the Administration. Therefore, your Committee is recommending that the application for funding be approved, subject to the submission of an approved business plan to the Administration.

Your Committee also reviewed the application for funding for the 2010 Winter Cities Summit and the recommendation for denial of the application. It was determined from Mr. Scaddan that further work would be done with respect to the matter, with a focus on the concept of making Saskatoon an outstanding winter city and that a further submission would be forwarded in the future with respect to other options for possible partnerships with the City on such an initiative. Your Committee supports the recommendation of the Administration, as outlined in their submitted report, for denial of this application for funding.

REPORT NO. 6-2009 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen

**1. 2008 Annual Report – Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee
(File No. CK. 430-19)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and is forwarding the attached 2008 Annual Report of the Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee to City Council as information. The attachment can be found on the City's website at www.saskatoon.ca/org/clerks_office (Reports and Publications).

**2. The Saskatoon John G. Diefenbaker International Airport
Tax Exemption Agreement
(File No. CK. 1965-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Saskatoon Airport Authority be granted a five-year property tax exemption on runways, taxi-ways and aprons at the Saskatoon Airport; and
- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary documents.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated June 21, 2009, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration. Clarification was provided that the proposed five-year property tax exemption relates specifically to the runways, taxi-ways and aprons at the Saskatoon Airport.

Following review of this matter, your Committee supports the above recommendations.”

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

- 7a) Discretionary Use – Child Care Centre
Lot 25, Block 828, Plan No. 76S085720
122 Willoughby Crescent – R1A Zoning District
Wildwood Neighbourhood
Applicant: Lisa Paul
(File No. CK. 4355-09-11)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that notification posters have been placed on site and letters have been sent to all adjacent property owners within 75 metres of the site.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 23, 2009 recommending that the application submitted by Lisa Paul requesting permission to use 122 Willoughby Crescent for the purpose of a child care centre be approved subject to the following:
 - a) the child care centre accommodating a maximum of 12 children; and,
 - b) the applicant obtaining all relevant licenses prior to the use of this site as a child care centre.
- Letter dated July 13, 2009 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Letter dated July 20, 2009, from Ed and Irene Beitel submitting comments.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Community Services Department, reviewed the discretionary use application and expressed the Department's support.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 58**

Councillor B. Dubois, member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the discretionary use application.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the submitted reports and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the application submitted by Lisa Paul requesting permission to use 122 Willoughby Crescent for the purpose of a child care centre be approved subject to the following:

- a) the child care centre accommodating a maximum of 12 children; and*
- b) the applicant obtaining all relevant licenses prior to the use of this site as a child care centre.*

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 59**

- 7b) Proposed Rezoning from B1B and RM3 to B2
by Agreement and Proposed Concept Plan
Amendment from 'Residential' to 'Commercial'
Lot 38, Block 1, Plan 101928472 Ext 0, and
Part of NW ¼ Sec. 6, Twp. 37, Rge. 5, W3rdM
3014 McClocklin Road
Hampton Village Neighbourhood
Applicant: Commerce Holdings Limited
Proposed Bylaw No. 8783
(File No. CK. 4351-09-4)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8783.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8783;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 9, 2009 recommending that:
 - a) the rezoning of Lot 38, Block 1, Plan 101928472 Ext 0, and Part of NW 1/4 Sec. 6, Twp. 37, Rge. 5, W3M from B1B and RM3 District to a B2 District by Zoning Agreement be approved; and
 - b) the Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan be amended from 'Residential' to 'Commercial', as shown on the plan attached to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 9, 2009.
- Letter dated July 3, 2009 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of August 1 and August 8, 2009.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed rezoning and expressed the Department's support.

Councillor B. Dubois, member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed rezoning.

Mr. Keith Webb, proponent, advised he was present in the gallery to answer questions.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the submitted reports and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8783.

CARRIED.

- 7c) Proposed Official Community Plan – Land Use Policy Map Amendment
From ‘Low Density Residential – No Conversions’
To ‘Low/Medium Density Residential’
Lots 26 and 27, Block 19, Plan G4995
(Surface Parcels 120309511 and 119951893)
341 Avenue T South – Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood
Applicant: Governing Council of the Salvation Army in Canada
Proposed Bylaw No. 8784
(File No. CK. 4351-09-6)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

The purpose of this hearing to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8784.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8784;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 19, 2009, recommending that the proposed amendment to the City of Saskatoon’s Official Community Plan, Pleasant Hill Land Use Policy Map, to redesignate Lots 26 and 27, Block 19, Plan No. G4995 (341 Avenue T South) from ‘Low Density Residential – No Conversions’ to ‘Low/Medium Density Residential’ be approved;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 61**

- Letter dated July 13, 2009, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of August 1 and August 8, 2009.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Mr. Jay Bru, dated August 11, 2009, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan Amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Councillor B. Dubois, member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Official Community Plan Amendment.

Mr. Ken Wilson, Wilson Architects, and Ms. Rhonda Smith, Salvation Army, provided Council with an update on the project and provided a copy of a letter dated July 29, 2009, from Bullée Consulting Ltd. regarding fire flow requirements.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the submitted reports and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8784.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 62**

- 7d) Proposed Rezoning from R2 to RM1 by Agreement
Lots 26 and 27, Block 19, Plan G4995
(Surface Parcels 120309511 and 119951893)
341 Avenue T South – Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood
Applicant: Governing Council of the Salvation Army in Canada
Proposed Bylaw No. 8785
(File No. CK. 4351-09-6)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

The purpose of this hearing to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8785.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8785;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 19, 2009, recommending that the proposal to rezone Lots 26 and 27, Block 19, Plan No. G4995 (341 Avenue T South) from an R2 District to an RM1 District by agreement be approved (**See Attachment 7c**);
- Letter dated July 13, 2009, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation (**See Attachment 7c**); and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of August 1 and August 8, 2009.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Mr. Jay Bru, dated August 11, 2009, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Stewart, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Councillor B. Dubois, member, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted reports and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8785.

CARRIED.

MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE

- 8a) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way adjacent to 135 and 139 Manning Crescent
and 387 and 407 Bowman Crescent
(File No. CK. 6295-09-10)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 22, 2009:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway adjacent to 135 and 139 Manning Crescent and 387 and 407 Bowman Crescent be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
 - 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land will be sold to Marianne Hydomako of 135 Manning Crescent, and Enrique Perez of 407 Bowman Crescent for \$1,000 each.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 1, 2008, Council determined that while a new policy was adopted for reviewing requests for walkway closures, outstanding requests would be given the option of proceeding with either the new policy or the former policy. The residents submitting the request for closure of the walkway between 135 and 139 Manning Crescent and 387 and 407 Bowman Crescent have opted to proceed with the former policy.

The Planning and Operations Committee, at its meeting on June 16, 2009, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated May 28, 2009 (Attachment 1) and approved the recommendation that the Administration proceed with Public Notice for the closure a portion of the walkway right-of-way adjacent to 135 and 139 Manning Crescent and 387 and 407 Bowman Crescent in the Dundonald Neighbourhood.

REPORT

In order for a walkway to be closed under former Policy C07-017, Walkway Closure Fee Assistance, which was in effect until December 1, 2008, all fees must be collected before proceeding to Public Notice. The fees have not been received, but will be collected before the closure is submitted to a legal surveyor for final consolidation plans.

Once the closure has been approved, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. This process can take between six and eight months. Once all the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

As shown in Plan 242-0009-001r001, Area 'A' will be sold to Marianne Hydomako of 135 Manning Crescent for \$1,000; and Area 'B' will be sold to Enrique Perez of 407 Bowman Crescent for \$1,000.

The adjacent property owners will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 65**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The Star Phoenix and Sun on the weekends of August 8 and 9 and August 15 and 16, 2009;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 7, 2009;
- Posted on City Website on Friday, August 7, 2009; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday August 6, 2009.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Excerpt from the minutes of meeting of the Planning and Operations Committee dated July 16, 2009;
2. Plan 242-0009-001r001; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.”

A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Sexsmith presented the Department’s report.

Mr. Carl Peppin, resident adjacent to the walkway, spoke in favour of the walkway closure.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 1) *that the walkway adjacent to 135 and 139 Manning Crescent and 387 and 407 Bowman Crescent be closed;*
- 2) *that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) *that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 66**

- 4) *that upon closure of the walkway, the land will be sold to Marianne Hydomako of 135 Manning Crescent, and Enrique Perez of 407 Bowman Crescent for \$1,000 each.*

CARRIED.

**8b) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent
and 105 and 109 Lloyd Crescent
(File No. CK. 6295-09-9)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 23, 2009:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent and 105 and 109 Lloyd Crescent be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
 - 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent be sold Paulette Capenhurst of 345 Mowat Crescent, and the land adjacent to 105 Lloyd Crescent be sold to Cress Housing, represented by Barry Downs, for \$1,000 each.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 1, 2008, Council determined that while a new policy was adopted for reviewing requests for walkway closures, outstanding requests would be given the option of proceeding with either the new policy or the former policy. The residents submitting the request for closure of the walkway between 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent and 105 and 109 Lloyd Crescent have opted to proceed with the former policy.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 67

The Planning and Operations Committee, at its meeting on June 16, 2009, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated April 15, 2009 (Attachment 1) and approved the recommendation that the Administration proceed with Public Notice for the closure a portion of the walkway right-of-way adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent and 105 and 109 Lloyd Crescent in the Pacific Heights Neighbourhood.

REPORT

In order for a walkway to be closed under former Policy C07-017, Walkway Closure Fee Assistance, which was in effect until December 1, 2008, all fees must be collected before proceeding to Public Notice. The fees have not been received, but will be collected before the closure is submitted to a legal surveyor for final consolidation plans.

Once the closure has been approved, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. This process can take between six and eight months. Once all the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

As shown in Plan 242-0012-002r001, Area 'A' will be sold to Paulette Capenhurst of 345 Mowat Crescent for \$1,000; and Area 'B' will be sold to Cress Housing, represented by Barry Downs, of 105 Lloyd Crescent for \$1,000.

The adjacent property owners to the walkway will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The Star Phoenix and Sun on the weekends of August 8 and 9 and August 15 and 16, 2009;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 7, 2009;
- Posted on City of Saskatoon website on Friday, August 7, 2009; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, August 6, 2009.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Excerpt from the minutes of the Planning and Operations Committee, dated June 16, 2009;
2. Plan 242-0012-002r001; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.””

A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Sexsmith presented the Department’s report.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Pringle, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) *that the walkway adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent and 105 and 109 Lloyd Crescent be closed;*
- 2) *that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) *that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*
- 4) *that upon closure of the walkway, the land adjacent to 341 and 345 Mowat Crescent be sold Paulette Capenhurst of 345 Mowat Crescent, and the land adjacent to 105 Lloyd Crescent be sold to Cress Housing, represented by Barry Downs, for \$1,000 each.*

CARRIED.

**8c) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Portion of Public Right-of-Way Adjacent to 15 and 19 Peeling Avenue
and 22 and 26 Diggle Place
(File No. CK. 6295-09-8)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 23, 2009:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway adjacent to 15 and 19 Peeling Avenue and 22 and 26 Diggle Place be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
 - 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land will be sold to Willie and Norma Marks of 15 Peeling Avenue, Doug and Lana Bueckert of 22 Diggle Place, and Ross and Kathy Kidd of 26 Diggle Place for \$1,000 each.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 1, 2008, City Council determined that while a new policy was adopted for reviewing requests for walkway closures, outstanding requests would be given the option of proceeding with either the new policy or the former policy. The residents submitting the request for closure of the walkway between 15 and 19 Peeling Avenue and 22 and 26 Diggle Place have opted to proceed with the former policy.

The Planning and Operations Committee, at its meeting on June 16, 2009, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated May 28, 2009 (Attachment 1) and approved the recommendation that the Administration proceed with Public Notice for the closure of a portion of the walkway right-of-way adjacent to 15 and 19 Peeling Avenue and 22 and 26 Diggle Place in the Fairhaven Neighbourhood.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 70**

REPORT

In order for a walkway to be closed under former Policy C07-017, Walkway Closure Fee Assistance, which was in effect until December 1, 2008, all fees must be collected before proceeding to Public Notice. The fees have not been received, but will be collected before the closure is submitted to a legal surveyor for final consolidation plans.

Once the closure has been approved, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. This process can take between six and eight months. Once all the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

As shown in Plan 240-0014-005r001 (Attachment 2), Area 'A' will be sold to Willie and Norma Marks of 15 Peeling Avenue for \$1,000; Area 'B' will be sold to Ross and Kathy Kidd of 26 Diggle Place for \$1,000; and Area 'C' will be sold to Doug and Lana Bueckert of 22 Diggle Place for \$1,000.

The adjacent property owners will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The StarPhoenix and Sun on the weekends of August 8 and 9 and August 15 and 16, 2009;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 7, 2009;
- Posted on City of Saskatoon website on Friday, August 7, 2009; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, August 6, 2009.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Excerpt from the minutes of meeting of the Planning and Operations Committee, dated July 16, 2009;
2. Plan 240-0014-005r001; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 71**

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Ms. Shannon Underwood, dated August 17, 2009, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

A/General Manager, Infrastructure Services Sexsmith presented the Department's report.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 1) that the walkway adjacent to 15 and 19 Peeling Avenue and 22 and 26 Diggle Place be closed;*
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land will be sold to Willie and Norma Marks of 15 Peeling Avenue, Doug and Lana Bueckert of 22 Diggle Place, and Ross and Kathy Kidd of 26 Diggle Place for \$1,000 each.*

CARRIED.

**8d) Proposed Closure of Portion of Public Right-of-Way
Portion of Boulevard on 1302 Empress Avenue
(File No. 6295-09-11)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 23, 2009:

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8780;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 72**

- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure;
- 3) that upon closure of the portion of right-of-way as described in Plan of Proposed Road Closure as prepared by Webster Surveys, dated April 6, 2009, it be sold to Tim Ryan of 1302 Empress Avenue for \$18,557.24, plus GST; and
- 4) that all costs associated with this closing be paid by the applicant.

An application has been received from Tim Ryan of 1302 Empress Avenue to close and purchase a portion of the public right-of-way along Oxford Street. As indicated in the Plan of Proposed Road Closure dated April 6, 2009, as prepared by Webster Surveys (Attachment 1), it is proposed that the right-of-way be consolidated with 1302 Empress Avenue.

Shaw Cablesystems, SaskTel, and Saskatoon Light & Power have existing facilities within the area and have approved the proposal provided that easements are granted for the existing facilities.

Approval has been received from the Minister of Highways (Attachment 2).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The Star Phoenix and Sun on the weekends of August 8 and 9, and 15 and 16, 2009;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 7, 2009; and
- Posted on the City's website on Friday, August 7, 2009.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan Showing Proposed Road Closure, dated April 6, 2009;
2. Copy of letter from Department of Highways, dated April 29, 2009;
3. Proposed Bylaw No 8780; and
4. Copy of Public Notice.””

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 73**

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT consideration of the matter be deferred to the September 14, 2009, meeting.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 11-2009 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor B. Pringle
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Station 20 West – Request for Extension
(File No. CK. 4131-28)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the deadline for the requirement for Station 20 West Development Inc. to obtain a foundation certificate for Site 2 be extended to September 3, 2010, and that the City of Saskatoon have until February 27, 2011, to purchase back the site if no foundation certificate is obtained; and
 - 2) that City Council direct the Administration not to issue any form of building permit until a complete and verifiable capital plan is presented in confidence to the satisfaction of City Council.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 2, 2009:

“BACKGROUND

At its regular meeting of August 13, 2007, City Council adopted the following recommendation of the Executive Committee:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 74**

“That City Council authorize the Administration to proceed with the direct sale of Lot 35, Block 7, Plan 101904397 (230 Avenue L South, formerly 1120 – 20th Street West), to the Station 20 West Corporation Inc. subject to conditions outlined in the report for the development of a Community Enterprise Centre comprising of offices, retail space, and a public plaza.”

Attachment 1 and 2 are copies of the supporting Administrative report for this resolution and the associated sale agreement.

In late March 2008, the Station 20 West Development Corporation Inc. was advised that the \$8 million capital funding from the Government of Saskatchewan was being withdrawn.

In light of this reality, representatives from the Station 20 West Development Corporation Inc. requested an extension of one year to the construction requirement in the existing sales agreement in order to allow time to redesign the proposed Community Enterprise Centre plans and amend the related business plans accordingly.

At its regular meeting on May 5, 2008, City Council adopted the following recommendation from the Executive Committee:

“That the deadline for the requirement for Station 20 West Development Inc. to obtain a foundation certificate for Site 2 be extended to September 3, 2009 and that the City have until February 27, 2010 to purchase back the site if no foundation certificate is obtained.”

Attachment 3 is a copy of the briefing notes provided by the General Manager, Community Services Department, to members of the Executive Committee as background information for the Committee members’ consideration for their April 28, 2008 meeting. Attachment 4 is a copy of the amending agreement resulting from City Council’s resolution of May 5, 2008.

On June 25, 2009, your Administration received a communication from representatives of Station 20 West “formally requesting a one year extension on our agreement to build.” A revised business plan was submitted with this request (See Attachment 5).

REPORT

Business Plan Assessment

Attachment 5 is the revised business plan for the Station 20 West Community Enterprise Centre dated June 2009.

The goals, objectives, and location, remain consistent with those contained in the original proposal. The building footprint has undergone a number of conceptual design changes since the provincial funding was withdrawn. It has been revised from its original design of

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 75**

41,770 square feet (over 5 stories), to 18,900 square feet (on two stories) in June 2008, to the current proposal of 28,961 square feet. Consequently, leasable space has changed from 35,174 square feet in the original design to 17,300 square feet, in the June 2008 proposal to 20,472 in the current business plan submission.

The projected cost of the latest building design is \$4.81 million. The current cost projection equates to a construction cost of \$165.50 per square foot (including improvements). Without City Council having seen any plans, it is difficult to advise the Executive Committee on the reasonableness of these costs projections. However, your Administration is of the understanding that Station 20 West has developed these estimates with the assistance of professional Architects. The developers understand that any capital shortfall related to these estimates, will place additional pressure on their fundraising efforts or require increased rents from tenants to carry any additional borrowing.

No mention is made of site development costs however, it is noted that their financial plan provides for an overall capital investment of \$5 million. The funding proposal above the cost of building construction, may be the source of funding for these site development costs. In the June 2008 proposal, Station 20 West officials held a high expectation for a significant amount of volunteer, labour, and equipment, to provide in-kind support for site improvement work. This labour and equipment will come from members of the community and numerous friends of Station 20 West. The Officials from Station 20 West have confirmed that this expectation is still relevant and reasonable.

A majority of the original organizations and agencies that were committed to be tenants in the original proposal are listed as committed tenants within this plan. The charges to cover occupancy, management and governance, as well as other expenses, have remained constant. Officials of Station 20 West identify within their submission that they “will be at capacity from the start of operations” and have therefore, not included any vacancy provision within their operating estimates. They also note that “even if one tenant were to withdraw from the project, there are other potential tenants who will lease the space.”

Lease rates are projected at \$4 per square foot for retail space and \$18 per square foot for commercial space. In addition to these lease rates, tenants will be assessed operating costs currently projected at \$8.50 per square foot. This represents a significant increase in lease rates to tenants from past business plans. If these lease rates can be realized and operating costs can be recovered at the projected levels, the projected operating statements anticipate a surplus ranging from \$183,000 in year 1 to \$222,400 in year five.

The intent is to direct this surplus to two key financial strategies for the long-term sustainability of the Station 20 West Enterprise Centre:

- a) Repayments (\$177,720 per year) on a \$2 million mortgage amortized over 20 years at 6.5 percent interest; and
- b) Provisions to a capital reserve fund (\$50,000 per year) “to handle longer term capital commitments and short-term fluctuations in market forces.”

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 76

Although these two funding strategies exceed the projected surplus in the early years of operation, it must be noted that the City of Saskatoon has committed to property tax abatements during the first five years of operation. These tax abatements are forecasted by officials from Station 20 West to range from \$39,000 in year one to \$43,895 in year five. This will provide the financial leverage to achieve both of these financial strategies during the first five years of projected surplus. From year six and beyond, property taxes will be payable in full. By this time, surplus projections would be sufficient to fund both financial strategies.

The Station 20 site does not have a zoning requirement for parking. However, given the uses planned on the site, your Administration has encouraged the proponents to try and provide for 40 parking stalls on site and on the adjacent properties. The proponents are proposing to provide 10 stalls on site. To date, negotiations for parking on adjacent properties have not been successful.

Your Administration has been working towards a plan of turning a portion of Avenue L into a parking mall. At this point in time, it appears feasible and could very well generate at least 30 stalls on Avenue L. The Amended Sale Agreement continues to carry a condition which gives Station 20 West Development Corporation Inc. up to one year to establish a suitable parking plan. Your Administration has also recently received a request from Saskatchewan Housing Corporation for use or acquisition of a portion of the proposed site, for the Station 20 West Enterprise Centre to address parking issues being experienced by them.

With respect to the capital financing plan, the recent communication from Station 20 West officials states that their current fundraising efforts to date: have yielded \$1.1 million in cash donations and \$550,000 in seven year interest free loans. As noted above, the projected operating surplus will support a \$2.0 million dollar mortgage. This leaves a \$1.350 million shortfall to finance the total capital costs of this project. As indicated in their June 2009 Business Plan submission, they plan to fund-raise an additional \$500,000 prior to beginning construction and then continue fundraising throughout the building process to fund the remaining \$850,000 and to reduce the future liability associated with the interest free loans.

The proponents have been advised from real estate professionals that their planned lease rates are at the low end of the market scale. Consequently, they are confident that any fundraising shortfall beyond the September 2009 goal of \$500,000 can be financed through further increases to tenants lease rates.

Response to request to extend deadline for issue of a foundation certificate

I have received assurances once again that Station 20 West Development Corporation Inc. will not approach the City of Saskatoon for any additional funding support in the event that the venture does not proceed or operate as planned. With that understanding, your Administration does not have any issue with extending the deadline for obtaining a

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 77**

foundation certificate and the associated timeline to purchase back the site if the foundation certificate is not obtained.

However, your Administration does have concerns with issuing a foundation certificate and any other building permit without having greater certainty around the capital financing plan for the entire Station 20 West Enterprise Centre project. Given that the land component for this project has been provided for a cost of only one dollar and an agreement is in place that allows the City to repurchase the site should the project not be able to proceed, your Administration cannot rationalize any further investment or risk exposure with regard to the financial reality of this project. Consequently, your Administration is advising City Council to direct the Administration not to issue any form of building permit until a complete and verifiable capital plan is presented in confidence to the satisfaction of City Council.

It should further be noted that officials from Station 20 West cannot speak for their tenant organizations as they are autonomous entities.

OPTIONS

The only option is to deny any amendments to the current sale agreement. If the deadline to extend obtaining a foundation agreement is granted, City Council could also amend recommendation 2) by allowing the issuance of a building permit upon reaching a certain level of cash donations, such as \$1.6 million (\$1.1 million is already in hand, plus the September 2009 goal of \$500,000) by a certain date.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact on the City of Saskatoon other than the property tax, and site development incentives previously provided.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. City Council resolution and supporting report on direct sale of 230 Avenue L South to Station 20 West Development Corporation Inc.
2. Sale Agreement – City of Saskatoon to Station 2 West Development Corporation – 230 Avenue L South as amended by City Council on May 5, 2008.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 78**

3. Briefing Notes – History of Station 20 West from General Manager, Community Services Department.
4. Revised Business Plan for Station 20 West Community Enterprise Centre dated June 2009.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Ms. Cherrie Martel, dated August 14, 2009, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

Item A2) of Communications to Council was brought forward.

**“A2) Paul Wilkinson, Project Coordinator, Station 20 West Development Corporation
dated July 16”**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to land at 20th Street and Avenue L South. (File No. CK. 4131-28)”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Paul Wilkinson be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Sheila Pocha and Mr. Len Usiskin, Station 20 West, spoke regarding the request for an extension on the land at 20th Street and Avenue L South and asked for Council’s support. They provided Council with a copy of Station 20’s most current newsletter, as well as promotional buttons.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

- 1) *that the deadline for the requirement for Station 20 West Development Inc. to obtain a foundation certificate for Site 2 be extended to September 3, 2010, and that the City of Saskatoon have until February 27, 2011, to purchase back the site if no foundation certificate is obtained;*
- 2) *that City Council direct the Administration not to issue any form of building permit until a complete and verifiable capital plan is presented in confidence to the satisfaction of City Council; and*
- 3) *that His Worship the Mayor send a letter to the other levels of government advising of the extension and confirming City Council’s support for the project.*

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 79**

**2. Sponsorship Policy C09-028 Amendment
(File No. CK. 4129-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Policy C09-028, “Sponsorship”, be amended as outlined in Attachment 1 to this report; and
 - 2) that the Master Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and Meewasin Valley Authority be amended to include Naming Rights under Section 6.1 “Sponsorship”: The parties agree that the City of Saskatoon’s Sponsorship Policy (C09-028) and Gift and Memorial Program Policy (C09-027) will apply to any facility as defined in the MVA Master Agreement.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Manager dated July 2, 2009:

“BACKGROUND

During its February 23, 2009, meeting, the Executive Committee requested that the Administration review Policy C09-028 “Sponsorship” to ensure that it applies to all facilities owned by the City.

Municipalities are faced with growing pressures to provide facilities and services. Sponsorship represents an alternative approach to generating new revenue while providing public-spirited individuals, businesses, corporations, foundations, and community groups with the opportunity to sponsor the delivery of public services. In recent years, the City of Saskatoon and its Boards have become proactive in seeking out naming rights sponsorship for current and new facilities. The City of Saskatoon has approved naming rights sponsorship for Credit Union Centre (formerly Saskatchewan Place), TCU Place (formerly Centennial Auditorium) and the Shaw Centre.

Your Administration, in its review of the Sponsorship Policy C09-028, has made amendments that reflect the approval process that was followed to secure naming rights sponsorship for the Shaw Centre. This process will apply to all City-owned assets including assets of a civic partner that has a formal and legal relationship to provide services, programs, and/or manage and care for City assets in conjunction with, or on behalf of, the City of Saskatoon.

REPORT

To set the context of this policy, the general principles of the current Sponsorship Policy remain the same, such as:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 80**

A “sponsorship arrangement” is a mutually beneficial business arrangement between the City and an external party where the external party contributes funds, goods, or services to a civic facility, public park, program, or event in return for recognition or other promotional consideration.

The sponsorship policy would ensure that any sponsorship arrangements support the goals, objectives, policies, and bylaws of the City of Saskatoon. The City would select the most appropriate sponsors, negotiate the appropriate recognition, and ensure that the recognition provided meets acceptable standards.

The General Manager for each department shall approve sponsorship arrangements with a value up to \$100,000. City Council would approve all sponsorship and naming rights proposals that may be sensitive or are valued greater than \$100,000.

Attachment 1 provides the Sponsorship Policy proposed revisions that are being recommended by your Administration. Significant highlights of the changes include:

Purpose: To provide policy guidelines which facilitate and support opportunities for entering into sponsorship agreements and naming rights agreements for City-owned and civic partner controlled assets for the purpose of enhanced financial sustainability.

Naming Rights: a type of sponsorship where a sponsor purchases the exclusive right to name an asset (e.g. event, facility). Naming rights are considered a commercial opportunity whereby the naming right is sold for significant cash or other revenue support. This arrangement is documented in an agreement signed by the interested parties and has a specified end date to the contractual obligation.

Civic Partner - An arms-length, not-for-profit organization that has a formal and legal relationship to provide services, programs, and/or manage and care for City assets in conjunction with, or on behalf of, the City of Saskatoon.

The most significant change to the policy is the approval process, now clearly defined at each stage where City Council approval is needed:

Procedure for Naming Rights Agreement for City-owned, City-operated assets:

- a) A written report to City Council for concept approval will include:
 - i) the list of assets for sale, value of the asset in the marketplace;
 - ii) length of term of the sponsorship (up to a maximum of ten years);
 - iii) list of potential sponsors; and,
 - iv) benefits the sponsor will receive for their purchase.

City Council approval is required prior to sponsors being approached.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009

PAGE 81

- b) Once Council approval is received, Administration will seek out sponsors. When a sponsor(s) is found, a Letter of Intent from the potential sponsor, which includes the proposed term, proposed fee, defined list of rights and privileges, will be reported to City Council for approval prior to proceeding with negotiation of an agreement.
- c) Administration will then enter into negotiations, and return to City Council for approval of the final agreement.

Exclusions to the Policy:

With the exception of naming rights, the policy shall not apply to Boards. Boards already have their own guidelines and processes to deal with such arrangements.

Meewasin Valley Authority Master Agreement

The Meewasin Valley Authority (MVA) is independent from the City of Saskatoon, and thus, they would not be governed by our civic policies. On October 31, 2007 the City of Saskatoon entered into an Agreement with the Meewasin Valley Authority regarding the construction and maintenance of facilities in the MVA zone. Attached to the Master Agreement is a list of facilities (Attachment 2) covered by the Agreement. It is intended that new projects in the MVA zone will be added to the list. Your Administration is recommending that a new clause be added to this Agreement to provide clarity that facilities built in the MVA zone will be covered by the City's Sponsorship Policy and/or Gifts and Memorials Policy. This amendment will require the consent of the Meewasin Valley Authority. For the information of the Executive Committee, the Gift and Memorial Program Policy C09-027 (Attachment 3) applies to any philanthropic donations that may result in naming rights for a specific asset. City Council approval is required.

OPTIONS

- 1. Your Administration recommends the acceptance of the Sponsorship Policy amendments as it provides clarity regarding the role of civic partners and a procedure for reporting to City Council regarding naming rights for City-owned and City-operated assets.
- 2. Keep the current policy as is.

STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

A draft of the policy amendments was presented for comment to the Executive Directors of Credit Union Centre, TCU Place, Mendel Art Gallery, and the Facility Supervisor of the Saskatoon Forestry Farm Park & Zoo. There were no objections to the proposed policy amendments.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 82**

The policies and programs of the cities of Vancouver, Calgary, Edmonton, Winnipeg, Regina, and Ottawa were reviewed in advance of this report. Most of those cities, with the exception of Calgary, do not have a proactive sponsorship process as does Saskatoon.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Proposed Amendments to Sponsorship Policy C09-028
2. Copy of facilities listed in the MVA Master Agreement
3. Copy of Gifts and Memorial Program Policy C09-027”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

**3. Policy C0-021 – Public Notice
(File No. CK. 255-2-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Policy C-01-021, “Public Notice”, be amended by deleting the requirement for notice to be published in the *Saskatoon Sun*.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Clerk dated July 6, 2009:

“The Cities Act requires City Council to adopt a Public Notice Policy to set out the minimum notice requirements, the methods of notice to be followed and the minimum time for giving notice with respect to any matters for which public notice is required to be given under The Cities Act.

The Public Notice Policy currently requires notice to be published in the *StarPhoenix* and the *Sun* on the two weekends immediately prior to the meeting at which Council will initially consider the matter, as well as to be posted at City Hall and on the City’s website at least ten days prior to the meeting at which Council will initially consider the matter.

Occasionally a notice is missed from being published, which is sometimes the City’s fault and sometimes the publication’s fault. Whenever this happens the matter has to be re-advertised for consideration at a later date. Aside from the cost of re-advertising (an

average insertion in both publications totals approximately \$1,000) the delay in dealing with the matter can adversely affect the City and/or applicant.

It is recommended that the requirement for notice to be placed in the *Sun* be eliminated from the Public Notice Policy. While the City will continue to place public notices in the *Sun*, the elimination of the requirement in the policy will mean that inadvertent omissions from the *Sun* will not result in the delay of consideration of the matter. This amendment will also bring the public notice requirements for *Cities Act* matters in line with those for *Planning and Development Act* matters.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

4. New Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards
(File No. CK. 4110-1)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Sustainable Development Guiding Principles Workbook, which is a major component of the overall project, be approved as a required component of applications for new Neighbourhood Concept Plan proposals and major infill development proposals; and
 - 2) that the Administration be directed to develop and report back on a strategy for implementing the New Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards Report recommendations, in due course, after an appropriate stakeholder and public consultation process.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 29, 2009. The document entitled “New Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards, Final Report, Part I, Summary of Recommendations” can be viewed in the City Clerk’s Office, all public library locations, and is also available on the City Clerk’s website www.saskatoon.ca, click on “c” for City Clerk’s Office and look under Reports and Publications.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

**5. 2010 Olympic Torch Relay
(File No. CK. 205-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that \$50,000 be allocated from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund to fund the 2010 Olympic Torch Relay Community Celebration.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 30, 2009:

“BACKGROUND

In May 2008, the City of Saskatoon was approached by the Vancouver Olympic Organizing Committee (VANOC) to submit an application to be an Olympic Torch Relay site for the 2010 Olympic Torch Relay.

At its meeting held on August 13, 2008, the Executive Committee approved:

“that the City of Saskatoon enter into an agreement with the Vancouver Organizing Committee for the 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games to host a Torch Relay celebration in January 2010.”

The official announcement was made in November 2008. Subsequently, a local Community Task Force was struck to organize activities up to and including the Torch’s arrival in Saskatoon.

REPORT

The Olympic Torch Relay celebrates the coming of the Olympic Games. The relay consists of approximately 100 days on the road, 12,000 torchbearers, and up to 1,000 communities visited across every province and territory.

VANOC has identified the vision for the Torch Relay as “A unifying journey that lights the land, generates pride in our nation, and celebrates the possible.” The mission is “To create excitement and build momentum for the Vancouver 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games by producing torch relays that engage and inspire Canadians”.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 85**

The Olympic Torch will pass through Saskatoon on its cross-Canada run on Monday, January 11, 2010 over the noon hour. It will arrive at the Community Celebration site in Kiwanis Park in front of the Vimy Memorial Band Shell, where VANOC will have set up their stage on the street, and RBC and Coca Cola will have their sponsor areas.

The community celebration event is two hours in length. The Saskatoon Community Task Force is required to provide 50 minutes of local entertainment on the stage; VANOC does the rest of the programming. VANOC has suggested that 5,000 to 20,000 people could be in attendance.

The Community Task Force has identified three areas of activities: first, to have a lead-up event to get the community excited about the torch's arrival; second, to plan activities along the Torch Route; and third, to do programming at the celebration site. The Task Force has issued a Request For Proposal for an Artistic Director to create and produce the entertainment on stage with local cultural groups. The entertainment will showcase Saskatoon as a diverse, exciting, globally-connected city, and will celebrate Saskatoon's connections and contributions to sport and culture. Saskatoon artists and performers will be showcased on stage prior to the arrival of the Torch to create a celebratory atmosphere and build anticipation for the 2010 Olympic Winter Games.

OPTIONS

The option is to deny the request for funding. This is not a recommended option as there are no alternate sources of funding for hosting this event given the exclusivity of the presenting sponsors, making it virtually impossible to secure funding through other sponsorship opportunities.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The national relay is a self-funded event with a budget derived through sponsorships and Government support. Coca-Cola and RBC are the presenting sponsors, and have exclusivity to sponsorship and sponsor recognition at the community celebration site. VANOC and the presenting partners will book and pay for all travel, meals, and hotels and related expenses for the Torch Relay and crews. The community is responsible for any costs for the community celebration, including and not limited to, snow clearing of the site, heated tents, portapotties, refreshments, and entertainment. See Attachment 1 for the 2010 Olympic Torch Relay Community Celebration Budget.

The Provincial Ministry of Tourism, Parks, Culture, and Sport has provided Saskatoon with \$45,000 for funding of a celebration that uses locally based arts and culture projects, increases community access to art, culture and heritage, and creates some form of work or

activity that leave a legacy. This funding will be used for the hiring of an artistic director for the creation and staging of the community entertainment, as well as honorariums for performers.

The Community Task Force has also applied for \$15,000 in funding from Federal Government Heritage Canada. Although no decision has been made regarding the receipt of that funding, it is anticipated that the application will be approved.

STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The Task Force is comprised of civic staff, along with member of the community representing the arts, sports, culture, Aboriginal, schools, the RCMP, Saskatoon Police Services, and business communities.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION PLAN

All media releases or other public communications must be approved by VANOC. The Community Task Force will liaise directly with VANOC in the development and implementation of the public communication strategy.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Precautions will be taken to ensure there is no permanent damage to Kiwanis Park when erecting any of the temporary structures.

PRIVACY IMPACT

The actual torch route through Saskatoon will not be publicly released by VANOC until one to two weeks before its arrival date.

SAFETY [Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)]

The Saskatoon Police Services and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police are members of the Task Force and are working very closely with the security personnel assigned from VANOC to ensure all safety precautions are taken.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. 2010 Olympic Torch Relay Community Celebration Budget.”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

**6. Enquiry – Councillor M. Neault (May 19, 2009)
Policy on Paving Back Lanes – Blakeney Crescent
AND
Capital Project 0837 – Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements
Neighbourhood Land Development Fund
Paving of Blakeney Crescent Back Lanes
(File No. CK. 6000-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the lanes located on Blakeney Crescent be paved;
 - 2) that funding to pave the lanes on Blakeney Crescent, in the amount of \$100,000, be allocated from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund in 2010; and
 - 3) that any remaining costs be funded from Capital Project 0837 – Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 29, 2009:

“BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor M. Neault at the meeting of City Council held on May 19, 2009:

“Understanding the 1989 policy of the City of Saskatoon’s Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards (if lanes are provided they shall be constructed as an asphalt, concrete on granular base roadway covering the entire six meters of right-of-way); Blakeney Crescent was designed as a walking crescent with square curbs and no front driveways allowed. The crescent is made up of 25 foot lots with parking to be off the back lane, making these lanes used very heavily and beat up. The crescent was developed between 2003 and 2006. Two other crescents of similar design were created in the same time period, both having paved back lanes. What happened to the Blakeney Crescent lanes, re: paving and policy?”

The design of these neighbourhoods call for back lane paving because of heavy use of the lanes. The two other crescents that have paved back lanes

have few issues. Blakeney Crescent, which has unpaved lanes, has many issues – parking, accesses on lanes, parking tickets, snow removal, street sweeping.”

REPORT

At its meeting held on September 12, 2003, the Land Bank Committee considered a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated August 27, 2003, regarding paving of lanes on Blakeney Crescent. The report explained that the City Land Branch and Dundee Development Corporation, on behalf of Confederation Developments Ltd., were jointly developing Blakeney Crescent, and that the approved subdivision application for the development did not require the pavement of the lanes as the six metre wide gravel lanes were consistent with the existing gravel lanes found throughout the neighbourhood, and also consistent with the most recent neighbourhood development on Carter Crescent, which borders Blakeney Crescent to the south. It outlined the reasons that Dundee did not support the upgrading of the lanes to a paved standard, including the negative effect it would have on sales in the area; and that it was important to try to match the existing design and development standards of the Confederation neighbourhood, including the adjoining crescents, as it would lead to confusion as to how new development is funded and ultimately cause homeowners to request that their lanes be paved at no cost to them.

The report went on to explain that although lanes in other new neighbourhoods were being paved (Sutherland Infill, Willowgrove and Hampton Village); those areas were separate and distinct from the existing developments in the area and did not share a common lane with adjacent lots.

The report concluded by pointing out that it would be difficult to impose a requirement for the paving of the lanes now that the subdivision had been approved and the requisite Development and Servicing Agreement had been executed.

The Land Bank Committee supported the rationale outlined in the report.

In order to bring the lanes up to the Neighbourhood Design and Development Standards which were approved in 1989, the Administration is recommending that the lanes on Blakeney Crescent be paved.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The total cost of paving lanes on Blakeney Crescent will not be known until tenders have been received; however, it is estimated to be approximately \$139,000. The Administration is recommending that \$100,000 be allocated from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund in 2010, and that any remaining costs be funded from Capital Project 0837 – Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

**7. Pleasant Hill Redevelopment
Budget Update and Financing Request
(File No. CK. 4131-31)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that \$2,705,000 from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund be transferred to the Pleasant Hill Redevelopment project; and
 - 2) that the distributions of these funds occur \$1,000,000 in 2010, \$750,000 in 2011, and \$955,000 in 2013.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 29, 2009:

“BACKGROUND

In 2004, a landowner approached the City of Saskatoon with an offer to purchase 29 individual properties within a one block area. These properties are concentrated between Avenues O and N. On February 12, 2007, City Council approved the purchase of these 29 properties.

Using the 2002 Pleasant Hill Local Area Plan as a basis, a redevelopment concept was developed for the sites and was approved by City Council during its regular July 16, 2007 meeting (see Attachment No. 1). The redevelopment concept envisages five parcels of residential redevelopment ranging from a high-density/multi-use with some commercial services fronting 20th Street (Parcel E), low-density townhouse units (Parcel A, B, and C), and a medium-density residential development (Parcel D). The attached Concept Plan required the purchase of three additional properties on 20th Street to complete Parcel E. Two of these three properties have since been acquired and negotiations are ongoing to acquire the last parcel.

Table 1 reflects the initial estimates to acquire all 32 properties, demolish the houses, and provide infrastructure to accommodate the proposed new uses on these five parcels.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
 MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
 PAGE 90**

The projected infrastructure costs were to be equally cost-shared for this amount by the Federal and Provincial Governments through an Urban Development Agreement endorsed by City Council during its regular February 12, 2007 meeting. The land acquisition costs were to be borne by the City of Saskatoon: \$1 million from the aforementioned Urban Development Agreement and an additional \$1 million from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund approved by City Council during its regular February 26, 2007 meeting. This approval augmented our initial land acquisition allocation by \$285,000 (see Table 1).

During its regular May 20, 2008 meeting, City Council approved the waiving of offsite levies for specific affordable housing and neighbourhood revitalization projects. The Pleasant Hill Revitalization Area was designated as such a site. Consequently the initial budget for these costs was reallocated to the general infrastructure upgrade and reconstruction budget items.

Table 1. Infrastructure and Land Acquisition Costs

Infrastructure Costs			\$ 1,288,940
Off-site Levies:			
Parcel A	\$ 91,130		
Parcel B	\$ 159,645		
Parcel C	\$ 52,075	\$ 302,850	
Upgrade and Reconstruction:			
Upgrading of Existing Services	\$ 398,552		
Reconstruction of Existing Services	\$ 587,538	\$ 986,090	
Site Preparation, Demolition, Clean Up			\$ 290,000
Land Acquisition Costs			\$ 2,000,000
Block of 29 Properties	\$1,461,500		
Other Properties	\$ 220,000		
Other Miscellaneous	\$ 33,500		
Land Acquisition Contingency	\$ 285,000		
Grand Total			\$ 3,578,940

The purpose of this report is to provide a summarized update on the land acquisitions for this project and a current estimate of the anticipated infrastructure costs as development projects have now materialized and infrastructure upgrades, and renovations are now more clearly defined.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 91**

REPORT

Phase 1

Since February 2007, your Administration has proceeded to acquire all properties identified within the approved Concept Plan, with the exception of the Kubica Glass property. Negotiations are ongoing for this property.

In accordance with the approved Concept Plan, your Administration has undertaken the following:

- a) demolished buildings on all parcels except those fronting 20th Street;
- b) remediated to residential standards all parcels referred to in a) above;
- c) subdivided all five development parcels, the new elementary/community centre site, and the revised municipal reserve parcels;
- d) received approval to close portions of Avenue O South and 19th Street;
- e) entered into two development agreements for parcels A and D; and
- f) entered into negotiations with a third party interested in developing parcel E.

Table 2. Funding Shortfall

Costs	2007	2009	Shortfall
Upgrade and Reconstruction:	\$ 1,288,940	\$ 1,575,440	\$ 286,500
Undefined (Previously Offsite Levies)	\$ 302,853		
Roadways	\$ 398,552	\$ 329,628	
Water and Sewer	\$ 587,538	\$ 300,000	
Curbs and Sidewalks		\$ 365,165	
Testing, Survey, Design, Administration		\$ 189,647	
Sanitary Manhole		\$ 15,000	
Power Line Relocation		\$ 55,000	
Power Line Burial		\$ 116,000	
Contingency		\$ 205,000	
Site Preparation, Demolition, Clean Up	\$ 290,000	\$ 719,200	\$ 429,200
Demolition		\$ 468,000	
Environmental Assessment		\$ 168,600	
Environmental Remediation		\$ 66,600	
Survey Costs		\$ 16,000	
Land Acquisition Costs	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,880,600	\$ 880,600
Block of 29 Properties	\$ 1,461,500	\$ 1,964,100	
Other Properties	\$ 220,000	\$ 820,000	

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 92**

Other Miscellaneous	\$ 33,500	\$ 96,500	
Land Acquisition Contingency	\$ 285,000		
Demolition/Upgrade Costs Not covered by UDA		\$ 144,100	\$ 144,100
IS Project Management		\$ 55,100	
Environmental Service Branch		\$ 18,500	
Water/Sewer Service Cut Off		\$ 55,400	
Other		\$ 2,100	
Contingency		\$ 13,000	
Grand Total	\$ 3,578,940	\$ 5,319,340	\$ 1,740,400

These actions have required the expenditure of funds and brought a great deal of clarity to the expenditures still required to complete the acquisition, remediation, and infrastructure upgrades and reconstruction required on all parcels to meet the requirements of their ultimate use. Despite this, your Administration still believes that a \$200,000 contingency is still advisable for infrastructure related costs. Table 2 summarizes the funding shortfall to complete the Pleasant Hill Redevelopment Project. Since the Federal and Provincial funding under the UDA Agreement have remained constant, the entire shortfall falls to the City of Saskatoon.

Phase 2

On July 16, 2007, City Council adopted the redevelopment Concept Plan for the Pleasant Hill Revitalization Project now known as “Pleasant Hill Village”.

On October 15, 2007, the Executive Committee (In-Camera) resolved:

“that the Administration be authorized to pursue a revised strategy to include the Olfert Trucking property and the house owned by Jack Grover, as shown as a potential redevelopment property site on the Concept Plan endorsed by City Council on July 16, 2007.”

Initial estimates to acquire these properties, remediate the sites, and reinvest in the existing infrastructure were \$955,000. A recent report to City Council has already shown that our acquisition projections are understated by about \$100,000. Consequently, the final costs for this phase may well exceed \$1,000,000. However, in order to proceed, your Administration wishes to put in place a significant amount of the eventual funding required to redevelop these two properties. Consequently, we are recommending funding this phase to the level of our original estimate at this time.

OPTIONS

As this project is well underway, no options are presented.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Your Administration has kept members of the Executive Committee informed of developments as they have unfolded. As a result of our experience with land acquisitions during 2007, your Administration requested that a further amount of \$1,000,000 be approved in principle from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund. This request was approved by City Council during its regular March 3, 2008 meeting.

As we enter into the final steps of land acquisition, building demolition, land remediation, and infrastructure upgrades and reconstruction, your Administration wishes to finalize the required funding based on the information we now have available to us.

Given that a significant amount of the remaining expenditures will be tied to the construction of the new elementary school/community centre, your Administration is suggesting that the distribution of these funds occur during 2010 and 2011.

For Phase 2, the intent is to provide a substantial amount of funding to allow the Administration to proceed with the acquisition, remediation, and infrastructure reinvestment. If additional funding is required, it is anticipated that it will be well within the means of the City of Saskatoon to fund the shortfall through its normal capital budgeting process or to secure supplementary funding from senior levels of government and/or through a discounted sale of the new site(s).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Approved Concept Plan”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-2009 – continued

Section G – CITY MANAGER

**G1) River Landing – Capital Budget Update
File No. CK. 4129-5, CC. 4130-2**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received; and
 - 2) that River Landing Phase II Capital Project No. 1787 receive a post budget increase of \$6.3 million to be funded as outlined in Attachment 1 of this report.

BACKGROUND

The River Landing redevelopment project is designed to enhance the sustainability of the city's downtown and core neighbourhoods by reclaiming and developing under-utilized areas of the city adjacent to the riverbank.

The original budget estimates were established in 2004 based on the South Downtown Concept Plan. The budget was subsequently updated and approved by City Council in February 2009 as the Administration was able to establish budget estimates particularly as it related to brownfield redevelopment, underground foundations, walls, and abandoned utilities.

Since your Administration last reported, the River Landing Phase II budget has various projected cost increases required to complete Phase II. This report updates progress achieved to-date and outlines the need for additional capital, along with a source for the required funds.

REPORT

Status Report on River Landing Phase I and II Construction Budget

River Landing Phase I

Riverfront

Construction and installation projects in 2009 include: the boat dock, the natural gas fire pit, permanent site furniture at the pavilion, bike parking area, completion of the backshore paving stone walkway toward Saunders Road including irrigation and landscaping, and the extension of the water supply pipe that will feed the future fountain.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 95**

Streets and Streetscape

The parking lot under the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge will include the installation of an electrical connection and pay station parking meters that should be completed by the end of August 2009.

Construction for the remaining sidewalks and streetscape surrounding Parcel "Y" is included in the budget and will begin in 2012 following construction of the River Landing Urban Village.

River Garden and Tributary Walk

In April 2009, the River Garden and Tributary Walk project was approved for funding (\$500,000) under the Urban Development Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Government of Saskatchewan. The Tributary Walk is an enhanced pedestrian route through the River Garden that is a vital link to parking areas, downtown, and to Phase II River Landing including connections to the "Market Walk" and the Farmers' Market. This is a broad, tree-lined, accessible route featuring paving stones, dark-sky compliant lighting, and artistic tree grates that will interpret the flora/fauna of the South Saskatchewan River. Construction will begin this fall through a sequenced tendering process and will be completed in 2010.

River Landing Phase II

Riverfront Park

The first two contracts focused on hardening the river edge, retaining walls, underground services, and construction of the pedestrian bridge and pathway. The pedestrian bridge and pathway is scheduled to open in August 2009.

City Council during their Special Meeting held on July 16, 2009, approved award of Contract 3 to Allan Construction. Contract 3, in addition to the construction tender, has a number of auxiliary cost items including: the construction contingency, consulting/project management, electrical services, fencing and site furniture which increases the cost of the total project to \$3,642,000. Contract 3 requires an additional \$2 million to complete the work. Contract 3 begins in August 2009 and will include site preparation and remediation, backshore pedestrian linkages to Avenues B and C, paving, concrete retaining wall, irrigation and soft landscaping, site furniture, and electrical services.

Contract 4 which includes the area around the existing lift station, east accessible pedestrian route, boat dock, and activity areas will be completed following removal of the lift station and the installation of the force main. The project cost to complete this work including contingency, project management, consulting, electrical services, site furnishings, and tree grates is estimated at \$2.3 million.

Unfunded items that can be addressed in the future are the public art and interpretation, terraced seating at the east slope for the program stage, and a potential addition to the pumphouse.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 96**

Environmental Cleanup

The remediation of soil, under the permit issued by the regulatory authorities, for both the riverfront and backshore, continues to be challenging as the soil conditions vary within two metres of any particular location. In 2009, a significant volume of soil requiring removal and burial at the landfill site as special waste has caused an increase in the cost to the budget. Also included in the cost, is the requirement for testing under the industrial effluent permit. It is anticipated that \$175,000 will be required to complete Phase II. This cost is funded from the contingency identified in the budget.

Project Management/Consulting

Included in project management and consulting is all global reports, marketing, audit reports, staff salaries and benefits, consulting, and public opening events to the completion of Phase II in 2010. It is anticipated that an additional \$100,000 is required to complete Phase II. This cost is funded from the contingency identified in the budget.

Pedestrian & Vehicle Connections (19th Street Reconfiguration)

The new 19th Street roadway, as well as the new First Avenue entry onto the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge was opened in December 2008. The work continues on the streetscape (site furniture, paving stone, directory, tree grates) landscaping, removal of the concrete retaining wall, underground infrastructure, First Avenue northbound asphalt off-ramp, concrete sidewalk, and barrier curbs on the south side of 19th Street. The project is scheduled for completion by the end of August 2009. An additional \$200,000 is required to resolve drainage issues relating to the Senator Sid Buckwold overpass, rebuild the approach slab at the First Avenue off-ramp, column work due to lowering the level of Midtown Plaza's parking lot, and to install electrical ducts and pay stations for the public parking under the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge.

Servicing

The streetscape designs and construction for Avenues A, B, and C and Spadina Crescent south of Sonnenschein Way will be completed in 2009. An additional \$1.8 million is required to complete the project. The cost increase is due to an under estimation in the quantity of material required for road and walking surfaces and items that were not identified in the original budget including parking pay stations, electrical fixtures and installation, site furniture, tree grates, and inspections.

Farmers' Market/Ideas Inc./Market Square

Ideas Inc., the business incubator, is fully operational with all commercial retail units leased and open for business. Public use of Market Square has been impacted by ongoing construction at River Landing. With the completion of the backshore roadways and riverfront pathway, it is anticipated that public use of Market Square will increase. The Farmers' Market has experienced record crowds with their Saturday market. They are looking at other opportunities to expand the

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 97

public and Farmers' Market component on Sunday and during the week. An additional expense of \$10,000 is anticipated to deal with cooling of the Farmers' Market building in summer.

Isinger Park/Substation Mitigation

The irrigation is complete and the sod is laid. Fencing will remain in place during July in order for the sod to take root. Paving of the access road to the substation is complete. Isinger Park will open in August 2009.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Attachment 1 is the revised capital budget for the completion of both River Landing Phase I and II. River Landing Phase I costs include the recently approved River Garden and Tributary Walk project (\$500,000) that is fully funded by the Provincial Government and the City of Saskatoon. No additional funding is required for Phase I. The appraised value of land revenue line item has been adjusted to include Parcel "Y", interest, and the lane adjacent to Parcel "Y". With these adjustments, the River Landing Phase I projected budget surplus is \$891,500.

River Landing Phase II cost increases result in an additional funding requirement of \$6.3 million. This is primarily due to Riverfront Contract 3 (\$2 million); Contract 4 (\$2.3 million); streetscape design and construction of Avenues A, B and C including Spadina Crescent south of Sonnenschein Way (\$1.8 million); and 19th Street Reconfiguration (\$200,000).

An independent appraisal has been prepared by Suncorp Valuations for the land value for various parcels located in River Landing Phase II. The values were based on the following assumptions: streetscape is complete along Avenues A, B, C, and 19th Street; the intersection of 1st Avenue and 19th Street is redeveloped to grade level; all infrastructure/servicing is in place; Lake Placid's Urban Village is developed; landscape and improvements along the riverfront are complete; and Parcel "E" (Allstream site) is demolished providing for a vacant site ready for development.

Your Administration adjusted the revenue projections for River Landing Phase II to include a previous agreed-to price for the PEDCO site and the full amount identified in the appraisal for Parcels "BB" (A. L. Cole site), Parcel "D" (site parallel to Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge). The total revenue from land sales is estimated to be \$15,346,300. This estimate excludes Parcel "E", as the City at this time has a long-term lease with Allstream for use of this site.

The appraised value of land would provide sufficient revenue, upon sale, to offset the additional funding requirement of \$6.3 million to complete Phase II. Assuming sale of the parcels identified above, it is estimated the budget surplus would be \$2.4 million. Your Administration has developed a strategy to bridge finance these projects through the Property Realized Reserve, should there be a delay in marketing the parcels.

Should the financial downturn create difficulties for major developers to obtain financing for the purchase and development of River Landing parcels, your Administration is proposing to mitigate risk by deferring Contract 4 at a cost of \$2.3 million. Contract 4 is scheduled for construction in

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 98**

2010 and includes the existing lift station area, an east accessible pedestrian route, boat dock, and activity areas.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. River Landing Phase I and II Financial Report dated June 30, 2009.

The City Manager tabled a letter dated August 17, 2009, from Mr. Ken Lobsinger, Lake Placid Developments, requesting an extension for payment to October 31, 2009.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 1) *that the information be received;*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 2) *that River Landing Phase II Capital Project No. 1787 receive a post budget increase of \$4 Million to be funded as outlined in Attachment 1 of the report of the City Manager;*

YEAS: Councillors Clark, Dubois, Penner, Pringle and Wyant 5

NAYS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Heidt, Hill, Lorje, Neault, and Paulsen 6

DEFEATED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 99**

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Wyant,

- 2) *that River Landing Phase II Capital Project No. 1787 receive a post budget increase of \$6.3 million to be funded as outlined in Attachment 1 of this report.*

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Lorje,
Penner, Pringle, and Wyant* 7

NAYS: Councillors Hill, Neault, and Paulsen 3

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 3) *that the Administration report further at the appropriate time regarding a possible alternate funding strategy.*

CARRIED.

**G2) Meewasin Valley Authority Agreement for Construction of the River Garden and Tributary Walk - River Landing Phase I
File No. CK. 4129-3; CC. 4130-2**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into a funding agreement with the Meewasin Valley Authority in the amount of \$500,000 for the construction and project management of the River Garden and Tributary Walk on River Landing Phase I; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate Agreement, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the document under the Corporate Seal.

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon and the Meewasin Valley Authority (Meewasin) each have approved a long-term master plan for the development of the River Landing Phase I riverfront park. On October 5, 2006, the City and Meewasin entered into an Agreement which deals with the construction, operation and maintenance of the riverfront park. The City of Saskatoon provides funding for the construction, operation and maintenance and Meewasin manages and oversees construction of the riverfront park (with the exception of the Feature Building).

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 100**

City Council during its meeting of June 1, 2009, approved the River Landing River Garden and Tributary Walk as one of several projects to be funded under the Urban Development Agreement. Subsequently, an Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Government of Saskatchewan effective June 22, 2009, provided a grant for the River Garden and Tributary Walk for the riverfront at River Landing Phase I in the amount of \$500,000. The City of Saskatoon's contribution is \$118,172 funded from the Neighbourhood Land Development Fund and the Government of Saskatchewan's contribution is \$381,828.

The River Garden and Tributary Walk are essential elements of the plans for the riverfront at River Landing Phase I. This development area is located at the westerly edge of the site and bounded by the "backwater area" and river to the south, the Senator Sid Buckwold Bridge to the west, the Pavilion and Destination Centre site to the east, and 19th Street/downtown to the north.

REPORT

Your Administration is recommending the City continue the arrangement with Meewasin to manage and oversee the construction of the River Garden and Tributary Walk project that is located in the Phase I riverfront park. The City will make progress payments from the \$500,000 to Meewasin as per the funding agreement signed with the Government of Saskatchewan under the Canada-Saskatchewan-Saskatoon Urban Development Agreement for the River Garden and Tributary Walk project (Attachment 1).

Meewasin shall provide:

- Summaries of expenditures and paid invoices to the City for review;
- The City shall review the documentation and if acceptable shall submit the material to the Government of Saskatchewan for payment under the Funding Agreement; and,
- Once funds are received from the Government of Saskatchewan, the City shall submit the funds to Meewasin.

Meewasin is responsible to limit the spending on the River Garden and Tributary Walk to the amount specified in the budget. The budget for this project is identified on page 9 of the Agreement signed between the Government of Saskatchewan and the City of Saskatoon.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Funding Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Government of Saskatchewan dated June 22, 2009.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

- 1) **Paul Melia, President and CEO, Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport, dated June 29**

Providing information on True Sport Movement. (File No. CK. 247-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the letter be referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

- 2) **Michael Sova, dated July 8**

Commenting on rodent control substances used in public parks. (File No. CK. 4200-7)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the letter be referred to the Administration for a report.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 102**

3) Krista Porter, dated July 14

Requesting an extension of the time that amplified noise can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, September 13, 2009, for the Lakeridge Community Association Movie Night in the Park. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension of the time that amplified noise can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, September 13, 2009, for the Lakeridge Community Association Movie Night in the Park be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the request for an extension of the time that amplified noise can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, September 13, 2009, for the Lakeridge Community Association Movie Night in the Park be granted.

CARRIED.

4) Ken Achs, President, Mid-West Development (2000) Corp., dated July 21

Commenting on issues with vagrants in the core downtown area. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the letter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners and the Administration.

CARRIED.

5) Slawko J. Kindrachuk, Event Chair, Ukraine Day in the Park, dated July 22

Two letters; requesting that Spadina Crescent be closed between the Broadway Bridge and 21st Street and that time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw be extended until 11:00 p.m. on August 22, 2009 for the annual Ukraine Day in the Park being held in South Kiwanis Park. (File Nos. CK. 185-9 and 205-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 103**

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to close Spadina Crescent between the Broadway Bridge and 21st Street subject to any administrative conditions, and that the request for the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw be extended until 11:00 p.m. on August 22, 2009 for the annual Ukraine Day in the Park being held in South Kiwanis Park be approved.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to close Spadina Crescent between the Broadway Bridge and 21st Street subject to any administrative conditions, and that the request for the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw be extended until 11:00 p.m. on August 22, 2009 for the annual Ukraine Day in the Park being held in South Kiwanis Park be approved.

CARRIED.

6) Ryan Peet, Original Joe's, dated August 4

Requesting an extension to the time where amplified music can be heard under the Noise Bylaw until 1:00 a.m. on August 22, 2009 on their patio located on 8th Street East in conjunction with Cruise Night. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the request from Original Joe's for an extension of the time that amplified music can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on August 22, 2009, in conjunction with Cruise Night, be granted to the same time that Cruise Weekend was granted.

CARRIED.

7) Wylma Pilling, Easter Seals Drop Zone Planner, Saskatchewan Abilities Council, dated August 10

Requesting an extension to the time where amplified music can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. for the 4th Annual Easter Seals Drop Zone being held at the Carlton Tower on Thursday, September 3, 2009.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 104**

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the request for an extension of the time that amplified music can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Thursday, September 3, 2009, from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. for the 4th Annual Easter Seals Drop Zone being held at the Carlton Tower be granted.

CARRIED.

8) Gale Hagblom, Executive Director, Broadway BID, dated August 7

Requesting permission to be the sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations in conjunction with the 26th Annual Broadway Street Fair, to be held on Saturday, September 12, 2009. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request by the Broadway Business Improvement District to be the sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations in conjunction with the 26th Annual Broadway Street Fair, to be held on Saturday, September 12, 2009, be approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the request by the Broadway Business Improvement District to be the sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations in conjunction with the 26th Annual Broadway Street Fair, to be held on Saturday, September 12, 2009, be approved.

CARRIED.

C. INFORMATION ITEMS

1) Kim Ali, Celebrate Sutherland 100 Project Coordinator, dated July 6

Extending invitations and offering information with respect to 100th Anniversary of Sutherland being incorporated as a community. (File No. CK. 205-1)

2) Doreen McLellan, dated July 8

Commenting on various civic issues. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 105**

3) Tiffany Koback, Saskatoon SPCA, dated July 9

Submitting response to letter with respect to volunteering at the SPCA. (File No. CK. 151-15)

4) Robert Holmes, President, BC Civil Liberties Association, dated June 5

Commenting on proposed Olympic Torch Relay regulations. (File No. CK. 205-1)

5) Kim MacLean, Manager of Operations, Canadian Red Cross, North/Central Saskatchewan, dated July 8

Expressing gratitude for 2009 cash grant and tax credit. (File No. CK. 1871-3-1)

6) Betty Trapp, dated July 13

Commenting on 19th Street between Vancouver and Winnipeg Avenues. (File No. CK. 6315-1)

7) Doug Wolfe, dated July 13

Commenting on parking ticket received for parking in an alley. (File No. CK. 6120-1)

8) Rita McLeod, dated July 14

Sharing the recent successes of the Saskatoon Children's Choir. (File No. CK. 150-1)

9) Barb Cox-Lloyd, Executive Director, Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon, dated July 15

Expressing gratitude to City Council with respect to a donation of homes for resale and salvage. (File No. CK. 4131-31)

10) Jamie McKenzie, dated July 19

Providing information on rules of the road for motorized and wheelchair scooters. (File No. CK. 7000-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 106**

11) Shib Potter, dated July 25

Commenting on living accommodations in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 100-1)

12) K. Kuemper, dated July 27

Commenting on backyard fire pits. (File No. CK. 2500-6)

13) Cathy Holtslander, dated July 28

Commenting on newly created bicycle lanes in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

14) Brendan Brown, dated July 28

Commenting on street noise while attending Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan performances. (File No. CK. 375-2)

15) Renita Lefebvre, dated July 30

Commenting on cancellation of chartered busses to the Saskatoon Exhibition. (File Nos. CK. 7300-1 and 205-1)

16) Brad Sylvester, Chair, Optimist Canada Day 2010, dated July 28

Extending gratitude for City support for Optimist Club events. (File No. CK. 205-1)

17) Michelle Goulden, dated July 31

Extending bouquet to Field House staff as well as the City of Saskatoon for programs offered. (File No. CK. 150-1)

18) Crystal Howells, Constituency Assistant, Hon. Lynne Yelich, dated July 31

Responding to a letter sent by Councillor Hill regarding municipal program grants. (File No. CK. 1860-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 107**

19) Marvin Phan, dated August 4

Commenting on transit services in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 7300-1)

20) Charlie Bueckert, dated August 6

Commenting on the benefits of countdown walk lights. (File No. CK. 6150-1)

21) Joe Kuchta, dated August 7

Commenting on Mendel Art Gallery and proposed Art Gallery of Saskatchewan. (File No. CK. 4129-15)

22) Colin McFadzean, dated August 10

Commenting on the flower pots downtown. (File No. CK. 4139-1)

23) Mary McGregor, dated August 11

Commenting on the closure of the outdoor pools. (File No. CK. 613-1)

24) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 7

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 221 Avenue M North. (File No. CK 4352-1)

25) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 14

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 217 Churchill Drive. (File No. CK 4352-1)

26) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 20

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 814 22nd Street West. (File No. CK 4352-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 108**

27) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 22

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 1201 9th Street East. (File No. CK 4352-1)

28) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 27

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 304 33rd Street East. (File No. CK 4352-1)

29) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 29

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 414 45th Street East. (File No. CK 4352-1)

30) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated August 4

Submitting notice of hearing of Development Appeals Board with respect to the property located at 603 51st Street East. (File No. CK 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT, with respect to Item C8), a letter be sent from the Mayor, on behalf of Council and the citizens of Saskatoon, congratulating the Saskatoon Children's Choir on its successes.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Ken Steinsvoll, dated July 7

Commenting on garbage collection in the Exhibition area. (File No. CK. 7830-3) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

2) Robert Grohs, dated July 8

Commenting on garbage collection on Hilliard Street West. (File No. CK. 7830-3) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

3) Phyllis Johnston, dated July 13

Commenting on a tree on City property. (File No. CK. 4139-4) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer – copy of the response, dated August 7, 2009, is attached.)**

4) Lee Harding, Saskatchewan Director, Canadian Taxpayers Federation, dated July 14

Advising of a program where unwanted items can be given away rather than these items being disposed of in the trash. (File No. CK. 205-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

5) David King, dated July 15

Commenting on parking issues on Maguire Crescent. (File No. CK. 6120-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

6) Ryan Coquet, dated July 15

Commenting on a parking ticket. (File No. CK. 6120-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

7) Cindy Pavelich, dated July 15

Commenting on issues involving parking meters. (File No. CK. 6120-3) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 110**

8) David Kocur, dated July 20

Commenting on youth activity in River Heights. (File No. CK. 5000-1) **(Referred to Board of Police Commissioners for further handling.)**

9) Robin East, dated July 19

Submitting an article on transit and accessibility. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee for further handling.)**

10) Robin East, dated July 21

Submitting an article with respect to calling out requested and major bus stops. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee for further handling.)**

11) Robin Hamm, dated July 23

Commenting on pavement on 51st Street and timers on traffic lights. (File No. CK. 6000-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

12) Derek Tiessen, dated July 24

Commenting on elm trees near River Landing. (File No. CK. 4129-5) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

13) Mohmood Shafqat, dated July 24

Requesting to be issued a taxi license plate. (File No. CK. 307-4) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

14) Judee Strickland, dated July 31

Commenting on the condition of streets in Varsity View. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 111**

15) James Scott, dated July 28

Commenting on service received on City Water Trouble Line. (File No. CK. 7500-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

16) Karla Bird, dated August 1

Commenting on parking during the Fringe Festival. (File No. CK. 6120-2) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

17) Scott Epp, dated August 3

Commenting on graffiti on street sign. (File No. CK. 5000-3) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

18) Pat Danyluk, dated August 6

Commenting on the condition of Kenderdine Road. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

19) Hugh Blakeney, dated August 7

Commenting on a note left on his vehicle in the RUH parking lot. (File No. CK. 6120-1) **(Referred to RUH Parking Administration for further handling.)**

20) Michael Peters, dated August 11

Commenting on parking restrictions during Exhibition Week. (File No. CK. 6120-2) **(Referred to Administration for consideration and response to the writer.)**

21) Gloria Onishenko, dated August 11

Requesting an exception to install a bronze plaque flat marker at Woodlawn Cemetery. (File No. CK. 4080-1) **(Referred to the Administration for consideration.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 112**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

- 1) *that, with respect to item D21), Councillor Hill be provided a copy of the response;
and*
- 2) *that the information be received.*

CARRIED.

E. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Lorna Scott, Saskatoon Supporting LIVESTRONG™, dated July 9

Requesting City Council proclaim October 2, 2009 as LIVESTRONG day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Maxine Anderson, Revenue Development Coordinator, Prairies, Muscular Dystrophy Canada, dated July 15

Requesting City Council proclaim September as Muscular Dystrophy Awareness Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Michael Rosen, President, Tree Canada, dated July 20

Requesting City Council proclaim September 23, 2009 as Maple Leaf Day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

4) Connie Peters, Volunteer, Walk A Mile in My Shoes, dated July 21

Requesting City Council proclaim October 25 to 31, 2009 as International Epidermolysis Bullosa Awareness Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

5) Slawko J. Kindrachuk, Event Chair, Ukraine Day in the Park, dated July 22

(Four letters dated July 22, 2009.) Requesting City Council proclaim August 17 to 23, 2009 as Ukraine Week, requesting that their flag be flown during this week, and inviting City Council to attend the event. (File Nos. CK. 205-5 and 205-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 113**

6) Mark Regier, CEO, Prairieland Park, dated August 12, 2009

Requesting Council proclaim September 5, 2009 as Saskatchewan Derby Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the flag raising request be approved subject to administrative conditions;
 - 2) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and
 - 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 1) that the flag raising request be approved subject to administrative conditions;*
- 2) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and*
- 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-2009 – continued

**B2) Incentive Application
InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd.
(File Nos. CK. 3500-13; CS. 3500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the application from InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd. for a five-year tax abatement on the incremental portion of taxes at 1438 Fletcher Road, be approved as follows:

100% in Year 1
80% in Year 2
70% in Year 3
60% in Year 4
50% in Year 5; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate bylaw and agreement.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 114**

REPORT

Attached is a report from John Cross, Chair, Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority (SREDA) Board of Directors. The report is self-explanatory and provides the required information for City Council to consider the request by InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd. for a five-year tax abatement.

It should be noted that while the estimated value of the incentive is currently zero, InfraReady Products would appreciate City Council's approval of the abatement to ensure that any actual incremental taxes can be abated.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Report – Chair, SREDA Board of Directors.

Item A4) of Communications to Council was brought forward.

“A4) Mark Pickard, InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd., dated August 11

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to property tax incentive. (File No. CK. 3500-13.)”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Mark Pickard be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Mark Pickard, President, InfraReady Products, provided information about InfraReady Products and indicated that the recommended incentive will have no benefit to the company.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the matter be referred back to the Administration to discuss with the applicant and report back at the appropriate time.

CARRIED.

**B3) Consultant Services - Taxi Industry in Saskatoon
(File No. CK. 307-4; CS. 307-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council authorize Administration to engage the services of a consultant to undertake a comprehensive study of the taxi industry in Saskatoon; and
 - 2) that the study be funded from a reallocation of funds resulting from the Municipal Economic Enhancement Program revenue.

BACKGROUND

The taxicab industry in Saskatoon has, for many years, operated in a manner requiring minimal intervention by City Council. However, increasingly, issues and concerns regarding taxi availability within the City have been brought forward for which opposing views exist within the stakeholder groups including the taxi industry itself.

Also, at its meeting held on February 17, 2009, City Council considered a letter from Krisan Macas regarding temporary taxi licenses. Council passed a motion that the matter be referred to the Administration to report to the Executive Committee, and that the report include information on options for non-transferable as well as owner-operated licenses.

Your Administration has been hearing these concerns and researching possible solutions. Through this research, and discussions with administration of other jurisdictions, what has become increasingly apparent is that there are no simple solutions to these complex issues. A comprehensive study is warranted to fully understand the current situation, and provide to City Council recommendations for improvement.

REPORT

The concerns that have arisen in the City of Saskatoon are similar to those experienced in other jurisdictions in North America. Many of these, including the cities of Regina, Edmonton, Winnipeg, Burlington and London, Ontario have engaged professional consultant services to study their local situations and provide recommendations.

The objective of a comprehensive study will be to review the central issues facing the City of Saskatoon's taxi industry and to determine the most viable options available to most effectively deliver the service.

Purpose

The scope of the project will include a review of the current industry model, as well as the feasibility of other industry models.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 116**

Current Model: The consultant will review the taxi industry as it currently operates in the City of Saskatoon. Recommendations will be required for: the optimum number of taxi licenses; types of taxi licenses to be issued (i.e. permanent, temporary, seasonal); and, a distribution method for future taxi licenses issued.

Other Models: The consultant will be asked to assess the feasibility of:

1. Establishing a Taxi Commission in the City of Saskatoon.
2. Deregulating the taxi industry in the City of Saskatoon.

The consultant will be required to invite participation and input from citizens, taxi industry members, Saskatoon Airport Authority, Civic Administration, representatives of the Public and Separate School Boards, customers of the taxi industry, and any other affected parties.

Timeline

Pending Council's approval, your Administration anticipates that a Request for Proposal would be issued in early September 2009 with a closing date of October 24, 2009. The proposals would be evaluated with the objective of having City Council approve the awarding of the contract by November 30, 2009. The date of the commencement of the work will be somewhat dependent on the successful applicant's availability, but your Administration's objective is for this to be December 15, 2009. The study is anticipated to take between three to five months.

JUSTIFICATION

The consultant service will contribute expertise in the North American taxi industry and will develop recommendations based on that experience along with their study of our local Saskatoon taxi industry. It is anticipated the study will provide information that can serve as a baseline for future industry growth in the City of Saskatoon.

OPTIONS

City Council may opt to approve the recommendation and instruct Administration to issue a Request for Proposal for a consultant's study of the Saskatoon taxi industry; or to decline the recommendation.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Should Council approve the recommendation, upon completion of the report, options will be available for City Council's consideration. If Council chooses to implement any of the consultant's recommendations, changes to policy and/or Bylaw 6066 may be required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 117**

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The cost of the consultant's report is expected to be between \$50,000 and \$70,000 and is to be funded from the reallocation of funds resulting from the Municipal Economic Enhancement Program revenue.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Dale Gallant, dated August 13, 2009, submitting comments regarding the above matter;*
- *James Robinson, dated August 14, 2009, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *Scott Suppes, President, United Cabs, dated August 14, 2009, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *Krisan Macas, dated August 16, 2009, requesting permission to address Council; and*
- *Ken Yuzik, requesting permission to address Council.*

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT James Robinson, Scott Suppes, Krisan Macas and Ken Yuzik be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. James Robinson spoke regarding acquisition of taxi licenses and indicated that a judicial or police enquiry into acquisition of taxi licenses would be more appropriate.

It was noted that Scott Suppes was not present in the gallery.

Mr. Krisan Macas spoke in support of a taxi industry review and also indicated that the taxi situation at the airport is not adequate.

Mr. Ken Yuzik suggested that City Council review the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated July 28, 2008, before hiring a consultant.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Hill,

THAT the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

CARRIED.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 13-2009 - continued

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) Beekeeping within City Limits
(File No. CK. 151-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the following be received as information.

At its meeting of June 22, 2009, City Council passed an amendment to The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999, to address the more problematic aspects of hobby beekeeping. City Council also referred the matter back to the Planning and Development Committee to review the issue of whether City Council should consider a detailed bylaw.

At its meeting of July 14, 2009, the Planning and Development Committee reviewed the issue of having a detailed bylaw to address hobby beekeeping that included a codified set of guidelines.

The Committee reviewed the matter and considered a report of the Office of the City Solicitor (copy attached) and representations of Dr. Hank Drexler (copy of material presented attached).

The Committee indicated that it supports the bylaw passed by City Council on June 22, 2009, and asked that the situation be monitored and if there are future concerns the matter could be reviewed once again.

During the meeting of the Committee, there was a suggestion that the definition of honeybee, as used in the Bylaw was not sufficiently generic and that other domestic bees might not be captured by this definition.

The definition of bee in the Bylaw is as follows:

“bee” means the honey bee, *Apis mellifera* belonging the family *Apidae*, order *Hymenoptera*.

The definition parallels the one used in the Provincial legislation, *The Apiaries Act, 2005*:

“bee” means honey bee (*Apis mellifera*).

The City’s Entomologist assisted with the drafting of the definition, and advises as follows:

There are many different strains/subspecies of honeybees (like breeds of dogs) but all are subspecies with one species *Apis mellifera*. Using *Apis mellifera* covers us for all honeybees used in backyard beekeeping. Lots of bees and other insects are used for commercial purposes even honey production in other places in the world but the only honeybees we will ever have in Saskatoon would be the species *Apis mellifera*.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 119**

We trust that has answered the question posed.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report from The Office of the City Solicitor to the Planning & Operations Committee dated April 20, 2009; and
2. Letter from Dr. Hank Drexler to City Council dated August 10, 2008.

REPORT NO. 13-2009 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

**1. Beekeeping within City Limits
(File No. CK. 151-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered with Clause B1, Legislative Report No. 13-2009.

City Council, at its meeting held on June 22, 2009, passed Bylaw No. 8776, copy attached, with respect to beekeeping in the city. The matter was also referred back to the Planning and Operations Committee for further review.

Your Committee has considered the attached report of the City Solicitor dated July 6, 2009, providing clarification that the bylaw passed by City Council was the same as the former City of Richmond Bylaw and since that time, the City of Richmond has changed their bylaw to incorporate the Vancouver guidelines into an enforceable bylaw. This option was outlined to the Committee as Option 3 under the April 20, 2009 report of the City Solicitor (copy attached).

Your Committee has also received a further presentation from Mr. Drexler, asking for further regulation of bees, through requirements for licensing or registration to provide for easier enforcement, limiting the number of hives allowed to two, ensuring that the bylaw applies to all types of honey bees and requiring beekeepers to provide an adequate water supply and safe place for bees. A copy of Mr. Drexler's presentation to the Committee on July 14, 2009 is attached, along with a copy of this presentation to City Council on June 22, 2009.

Following review of this matter, your Committee supports staying with Bylaw No. 8776 and continuing to monitor the situation. If there are future concerns regarding beekeeping in general, the matter could be reviewed again. Your Committee would also note that there are other processes through the City Solicitor's Office that might be able to facilitate resolution of Mr. Drexler's concerns if they are not resolved through the bylaw.

In the meantime, the City Solicitor has been asked to clarify the definition of bees as provided in the bylaw to ensure that it captures all types of bees that would be kept by individuals and to report

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 120**

that to Council at the same time as this report goes forward to City Council. This is being reported under Clause B1, Legislative Report No. 13-2009.

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Mr. Lee Genereux, dated August 16, 2009, requesting permission to address Council.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Lee Genereux be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Lee Genereux, Saskatoon Bee Club, indicated that the Club would like to see the guidelines for beekeeping along with the Bylaw.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – continued

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Jamie McKenzie, dated July 8

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to accessibility at bus stops. (File No. CK. 6220-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Jamie McKenzie be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Jamie McKenzie be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Jamie McKenzie spoke regarding the condition of some sidewalks in the city and asked that the Police Service be made aware that sometimes the sidewalks are in such disrepair, that a person in a wheelchair has to use the street and therefore shouldn't be charged.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 121**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**2) Paul Wilkinson, Project Coordinator, Station 20 West Development Corporation
dated July 16**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to land at 20th Street and Avenue L South. (File No. CK. 4131-28)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 73.

3) Robert Flamand, dated July 20

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to water demand management. (File Nos. CK. 7900-1 and 375-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that Robert Flamand be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Robert Flamand be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Robert Flamand spoke regarding water conservation and greenhouse gases.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Mark Pickard, InfraReady Products (1998) Ltd., dated August 11

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to property tax incentive. (File No. CK. 3500-13.)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 114.

ENQUIRIES

**Councillor D. Hill
Crosswalk – Balmoral Street and 7th Avenue East
File No. CK. 6150-1**

Many residents have expressed concerns about the crosswalk located at Balmoral Street and 7th Avenue East. It has been reported that vehicles rarely stop for pedestrians. Would the Administration report on either enhanced signage or traffic calming measures to improve pedestrian safety.

**Councillor B. Pringle
Crosswalk – Wilson and Riel Crescents
File No. CK. 6150-1**

Given the increasing numbers of young school children crossing Wilson Crescent (with its increasing traffic) on their way to Prince Phillip School, would the Administration please consider safer crosswalk provisions at the Corner of Wilson and Riel Crescents.

**Councillor B. Pringle
Painting of Sidewalk Ramps
File No. CK. 6220-1**

Last year as a pilot project in Eastview, the City brightly painted some sidewalk ramps so that citizens with poorer eyesight, those using walkers or in wheelchairs, could safely access the sidewalk rather than hitting the curb and getting hurt or risking serious injury.

I have received excellent feedback from the Eastview Advisory Committee on Making Eastview Senior-Friendly, so I am requesting the Administration explore continuation of this practice across the city.

**Councillor B. Dubois
Request for Turning Arrows – 115th Street and Central Avenue
File No. CK. 6250-1**

Would the Administration please look at installing north and south turning arrows on 115th Street and Central Avenue. The volume of traffic in the area has increased considerably with all the growth in the northeast and I believe these are warranted to improve safety at a very busy intersection.

**Councillor B. Dubois
Bus Shelter –Place Riel
File No. CK. 7311-1**

Would the Administration please look at installing a bus shelter on the east side of Place Riel on the University of Saskatchewan campus. I have heard from many students on the safety issues of catching the bus at this location. Especially with the University school term this shelter is warranted.

**Councillor C. Clark
Public Notice Process
File No. CK. 255-2-1**

Would the Administration please report on options for revising the Public Notice process for the sale of city right-of-ways or boulevards to include information about the proposed price of the land for sale, the dimensions of the land for sale, and finally to comment on revising the Public Notice period from one week to two weeks.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8781

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8781, being “The Street Closing Bylaw, 2009 (No. 8)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 124**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8781 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8781.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8781 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8781 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8781 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 125**

Bylaw 8782

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8782, being “The Fire and Protective Services Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 3)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8782 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8782.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:
That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8782 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 126**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8782 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8782 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8783

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8783, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 5)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8783 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8783.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 127**

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8783 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8783 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8783 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8784

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8784, being "The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2009", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8784 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 128**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8784.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8784 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8784 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8784 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 129**

Bylaw 8785

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8785, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2009 (No. 6)”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8785 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8785.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8785 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 130**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8785 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8785 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8787

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8787, being “A bylaw of The City of Saskatoon to raise by way of term loan the sum of Two Million Two Hundred & Ninety Thousand (\$2,290,000.00) Dollars to pay a portion of the cost to develop the riverfront park in River Landing Phase II, in the City of Saskatoon”, and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8787 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8787.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 131**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8787 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8787 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8787 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8788

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8788, being "The Transit Fares Amendment Bylaw, 2009", and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 132**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8788 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8788.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8788 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8788 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8788 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, AUGUST 17, 2009
PAGE 133**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 10:40 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk