

Council Chambers  
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.  
Tuesday, October 12, 2010  
at 6:00 p.m.

## **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;  
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Lorje, Neault,  
Paulsen, Penner, and Pringle;  
City Manager Totland;  
City Solicitor Dust;  
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;  
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;  
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;  
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek;  
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;  
City Clerk Mann; and  
Council Assistant Mitchener

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on September 27, 2010, be approved.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,*

*THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.*

*CARRIED.*

*His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Heidt as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.*

*Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Heidt in the Chair.*

*Committee arose.*

*Councillor Heidt, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:*

*THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:*

**“REPORT NO. 8-2010 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

Composition of Commission

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair  
Mr. Leanne DeLong, Vice Chair  
Councillor Bev Dubois  
Ms. Carole Beitel  
Ms. Joy Crawford  
Mr. Art Evoy  
Mr. Aditya Garg  
Ms. Janelle Hutchinson  
Mr. Stan Laba  
Ms. Debbie Marcoux  
Mr. Bruce Waldron  
Ms. Kathy Weber  
Mr. James Yachyshen

- 1. Proposed Official Community Plan – Land Use Policy Map Amendment from ‘Low/Medium Density Residential’ to ‘Office/Institutional’; and Proposed Rezoning from RM1 to M2 Parcel F, Block 9, Plan No. F5554, as shown on the Plan of Proposed Subdivision 412 Avenue P South – Pleasant Hill Neighbourhood Applicant: City of Saskatoon, Neighbourhood Planning Section (File No. CK. 4351-010-11)**
- 

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising regarding the proposed amendment to the City of Saskatoon Bylaw 8769 (Official Community Plan), Pleasant Hill Land Use Policy Map, to redesignate proposed Parcel F, Block 9, Plan No. F5554, as shown on Plan of Proposed Subdivision (412 Avenue P South) from ‘Low/Medium Density Residential’ to ‘Office/Institutional’;
  - 2) that City Council approve the advertising regarding the proposal to rezone proposed Parcel F, Block 9, Plan No. F5554, as shown on Plan of Proposed Subdivision (412 Avenue P South) from an RM1 District to an M2 District;
  - 3) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed amendments;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 3**

- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws; and
- 5) that at the time of the Public Hearing, City Council consider the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendation that the proposed City of Saskatoon Bylaw 8769 (Official Community Plan) land use map amendment and rezoning be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated September 13, 2010 with respect to the above.

Your Commission has reviewed the report with the Administration and is supporting the above recommendations.

**ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 16-2010**

**Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

- A1) Land Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department  
For the Period Between September 16, 2010 to September 29, 2010  
(For Information Only)  
(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4355-D, PL. 4115, PL. 4350, and PL. 4300)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No. 9/10: 419 Nelson Road (55 Units – New)  
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Aqua Terra Developments Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lot 4, Block 436, Plan 01SA04536  
Current Zoning: M3  
Neighbourhood: University Heights Suburban Centre  
Date Received: September 15, 2010

# MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010

PAGE 4

## Discretionary Use

- Application No. D15/10: 203A and 203B Cruise Street  
Applicant: Salvation Army – Bethany Home  
Legal Description: Lot 24, Block 11, Plan 101455275 and  
Lot 26, Block 11, Plan 101455286  
  
Current Zoning: R2  
Proposed Use: Type II Care Home  
Neighbourhood: Forest Grove  
Date Received: September 14, 2010
- Application No. D16/10: 1407 20<sup>th</sup> Street West  
Applicant: Northridge Development Corporation for  
K.C. Charities Inc.  
  
Legal Description: Parcel E, Plan 102036642  
Current Zoning: B5  
Proposed Use: Special Needs Housing  
Neighbourhood: Pleasant Hill  
Date Received: September 23, 2010
- Application No. D17/10: 502 Paton Crescent  
Applicant: D & S Developments Inc.  
Legal Description: Lot 3, Block 539, Plan 101928405  
Current Zoning: R1A  
Proposed Use: Type II Care Home  
Neighbourhood: Willowgrove  
Date Received: September 29, 2010

## Official Community Plan

- Amendment No. OCP 25/10: 902 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue North  
Applicant: Meridian Developments Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Plan 99SA06423  
Current Land Use Designation: Low Density Residential – No Conversions  
Proposed Land Use Designation: Office/Institutional  
Neighbourhood: City Park  
Date Received: September 28, 2010

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010**

**PAGE 5**

Rezoning

- Application No. Z29/10: 313 and 321 Avenue D South  
Applicant: Shift Development and Quint Development  
Legal Description: Lot 42, Block 20, Plan 101379854; Lots 10, 11, and 12, Block 20, Plan 101379843; and Lot 43, Block 20, Plan E5618  
  
Current Zoning: R2  
Proposed Zoning: RM4 by Agreement  
Neighbourhood: Riversdale  
Date Received: September 24, 2010
- Application No. Z30/10: 1014 Main Street  
Applicant: Blackrock Developments Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lots 27 and 28, Block 4, Plan G18  
Current Zoning: R2  
Proposed Zoning: RM3  
Neighbourhood: Varsity View  
Date Received: September 28, 2010

Subdivision

- Application No. 68/10: 620 Weldon Avenue  
Applicant: Atlas Geomatics Ltd. for 1386686 Alberta Ltd.  
Legal Description: Lots 31 to 34 and Lane, Block 7, Plan H771  
Current Zoning: IL1  
Neighbourhood: West Industrial  
Date Received: September 23, 2010
- Application No. 69/10: 425 Avenue P South  
Applicant: Atlas Geomatics Ltd. for 1386686 Alberta Ltd.  
Legal Description: Parcel A, Plan 60S08336  
Current Zoning: MX1  
Neighbourhood: Pleasant Hill  
Date Received: September 23, 2010

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 6**

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 9/10
2. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. 15/10
3. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. 16/10
4. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. 17/10
5. Plan of Official Community Plan Amendment No. OCP 25/10
6. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z29/10
7. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z30/10
8. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 68/10
9. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 69/10

**A2) Predesignated Residential Care Home Sites in New Neighbourhoods  
(Files CK. 4350-20 and PL. 4350-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the following report be received as information.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

During its September 13, 2010 meeting, City Council considered a Discretionary Use Application at 210 Dawson Crescent for the purpose of constructing a child care centre with a maximum of 32 children under care. During the deliberation of this item, it was suggested that Administration consider directing child care centres and preschools to sites which are predesignated for type II residential care homes.

**REPORT**

Sites in new neighbourhoods are currently predesignated for the development of type II residential care homes. These predesignated sites are identified on the Neighbourhood Concept Plan. Marketing information, including on-site signs, identify this potential future use. After a period of one year from the date of the public tender, if any of the predesignated sites are not purchased for the purpose of a type II residential care home, they are sold over-the-counter for the construction of one-unit dwellings on a first-come, first-serve basis.

To date, this initiative has worked well in facilitating the development of type II residential care homes, as developers and prospective property owners are aware of the potential care home locations prior to any purchasing decisions.

In low-density residential zoning districts, child care centres or preschools are a permitted use when accessory to a place of worship, elementary or high school, community centre conversion, or community centre. Stand-alone child care centres or preschools in low-density residential zoning districts are only permitted at the discretion of City Council.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 7**

To provide options for the development of child care centres and preschools in new neighbourhoods, the Land Branch will be expanding the sale of predesignated sites for type II residential care homes to include child care centres and preschools. These changes would apply to the Evergreen and Kensington neighbourhoods, and any other new neighbourhoods going forward. The Concept Plans and signs placed on the predesignated sites will be revised to incorporate these changes.

In the near future, discussions will be held with private sector developers regarding this issue.

**OPTIONS**

The option would be to maintain the current policy of designating sites for residential care homes only.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Concept Plans and marketing information for the Evergreen and Kensington neighbourhoods will show that predesignated sites are intended for the development of type II residential care homes, child care centres, and preschools.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

There is no financial impact.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**A3) The Building Permit Inspection Program Policy  
(Files CK. 530-0 and PL. 541-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City of Saskatoon Policy C09-029 (Building Inspection Program) be amended, as outlined in Attachment 1 of the following report.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 8**

**BACKGROUND**

During its November 19, 2001 meeting, City Council approved the City of Saskatoon Policy C09-029 (Building Inspection Program) which defined the list of “building code items” that will be reviewed at the plan review stage and inspected at one of the mandatory inspections for new one and two-unit dwellings, including new secondary suites in existing one-unit dwellings.

Administration took forward a report to City Council on June 14, 2010, to amend the current policy due to the adoption of the 2005 National Building Code. The changes were essentially format changes, expansion of the program to inspect certain items that would not normally be visible at a mandatory inspection stage but would now be inspected if the inspector had reason to suspect there was a contravention, expansion of the program to include all development types for ground-oriented dwellings and accessory buildings, clarification as to the level of inspections, confirmation of the mandatory inspection stages for all buildings under the program, and enforcement provisions.

During its June 14, 2010 meeting, City Council adopted Clause 12, Report No. 9-2010 of the Planning and Operations Committee, dated May 25, 2010, as partially reproduced below:

- “5) that the Administration prepare revisions to the current City of Saskatoon Policy C09-029 (Building Inspection Program) setting out the items that will be reviewed and inspected by the Building Standards Branch and include provisions for the “level of inspection” as outlined in the report.”

Attached is the amended City of Saskatoon Policy C09-029 (The Plan Review and Building Inspection Program) which incorporates the revisions approved in principle by City Council.

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. The Plan Review and Building Inspection Program – Proposed Amended Policy
2. Report of the General Manager of the Community Services Department, dated May 25, 2010

**Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES**

- B1) Contract Award Report  
May 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010  
(Files CK. 1000-1 and CS. 1000-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 9**

**REPORT**

In accordance with Policy C02-030, Purchase of Goods, Services and Work, your Administration is required to report three times a year on the award of contracts and requests for proposals between \$50,000 and \$100,000. The attached report has been prepared detailing the contract awards for the period May 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Contract Award Report May 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010.

**B2) Enquiry – Councillor P. Lorje (August 18, 2010)  
Automatic Transfer to Property Owner of Utility  
Account Payment Responsibility  
(Files CK. 1550-2 and CS. 1550-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

The following enquiry was made by Councillor P. Lorje at the meeting of City Council held on August 18, 2010:

“Will the Administration please report upon the possibility of a program of automatic transfer of utility account payment responsibility to the registered property owner when it is rental property and the tenant vacates? This is particularly important in the winter-time to avoid property from freezing up if a property owner should happen to be away from the city when the property is vacated. Please note that SaskEnergy already has such a program in place that could serve as a template for a program of automatic transfer of utility responsibility to the property owner.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 10**

**REPORT**

At its meeting of May 30, 2005, City Council considered a report of the General Manager, Corporate Services (Attachment No. 1) and resolved, in part:

- 1) that City Council agree to initiate a Landlord-Tenant Agreement pilot project, with the longer-term objective of fully implementing the program;
- 2) that City Council instruct the City Solicitor to amend Bylaws 2085 and 7567 to waive application fees for customers that enter into a Landlord-Tenant Agreement with the City of Saskatoon.

At its meeting held on December 18, 2006, City Council approved Capital Project 2088 which included the amount of \$52,000 for the automation of the Landlord-Tenant Agreement process.

A manually administered pilot project has been in place since June 2005 that has grown to include 45 landlords covering 378 units. In addition, a list is being compiled of additional property owners and property management companies interested in participation once program implementation is complete.

The pilot project has proven its benefits to both the customers and the City of Saskatoon. As a result, your Administration is prepared to move to full implementation. A delay was initially experienced as your Administration was not successful with its attempts to secure external resources with the necessary expertise to do the programming within our utility billing system. However, during this period, in-house expertise has been sufficiently developed, and the Corporate Information Services Branch has scheduled the programming required for full automation to begin in November 2010, with a goal of implementation in September 2011. The program will be offered to all landlords upon completion of the automated process.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

City Council, at its meeting of December 18, 2006, approved funds in the amount of \$52,000 for the automation of the Landlord-Tenant Agreement process.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Copy of Administrative Report No. 11-2005, May 30, 2005.

**Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES**

**E1) Post Budget Approval  
Capital Project 1357 –V&E Replacement – Vehicles and Equipment  
Replacement Police Vehicles  
(Files CK. 1390-1 and IS. 1390-1)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a post budget increase in the amount of \$490,000 be approved for Capital Project 1357 - V&E Replacement - Vehicles and Equipment for the purchase of 14 replacement police vehicles; and
  - 2) that the post budget increase in the amount of \$490,000 be funded from the 2011 allocation to the Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT**

Approved 2010 Capital Project 1357 – V&E Replacement - Vehicles and Equipment includes funding in the amount of \$4,000,000 for the planned replacement of fleet vehicles and equipment. The 2011 submission identifies the replacement of 42 units, at a total estimated value of \$4,325,000; 14 of which are Police patrol cars valued at \$490,000.

Saskatoon Police Service has specified that the Ford Crown Victoria is their choice for the 14 replacement vehicles planned for in 2011, which will be used as patrol cars. The Administration has been in contact with Ford, who has advised that 2011 will be the last production year for the Crown Victoria. Ford also advised that many police agencies across North America have indicated that they will be purchasing this model prior to the production end date. In order to ensure we receive the required 14 vehicles, they have recommended that we place our order no later than November 1, 2010, in order to meet Ford's 2011 production and delivery deadlines, as well as to avoid an expected "sell out" due to the demand for this model.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The Administration is recommending a post budget increase to Capital Project 1357 – V&E Replacement - Vehicles and Equipment in the amount of \$490,000, to be funded from the 2011 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve allocation.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no environmental implications.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 12**

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E2) 2010 Capital Budget - Capital Project 1417  
Blairmore Sector Storm Water Retention Pond 1  
Award of Engineering Services  
(File No. CK. 7820-2)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal for engineering services submitted by Catterall & Wright for the detailed design of the Blairmore Sector Storm Water Retention Pond 1 and associated inlet/outlet piping and control structures, on a time and expense basis, at an estimated cost of \$159,300 (plus G.S.T.) be accepted; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the corporate seal.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT**

On September 3, 2010, Infrastructure Services issued a Request for Proposals for the detailed design of Capital Project 1417 – Blairmore Sector Storm Water Retention Pond 1. The proposed location of the retention pond is north of 33rd Street and west of Hughes Drive.

The retention pond and associated inlet/outlet piping and control structures will serve the northern region of the Kensington neighbourhood and the southern region of Blairmore neighbourhood 2. This retention pond will also provide some relief to the existing adjacent neighbourhoods during more intense rainfall events. A future connection from this pond to the Diefenbaker storm trunk system will be required and provided for through a separate commission.

Proposals were received from the following four local consulting firms:

AECOM;  
Associated Engineering;  
Catterall & Wright; and  
Clifton Associates Ltd.

After a systematic evaluation of the proposals, the Administration rated the proposal from Catterall & Wright as being superior.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 13**

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

Storm water retention ponds have a positive environmental impact as they reduce the peak flow to the receiving water and improve the quality of storm water runoff. Ponds also create aquatic habitat within the urban environment.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

The proposal from Catterall & Wright was priced on a time and expense basis, at an estimated total cost of \$159,300 (plus G.S.T.). The estimated net cost to the City for the engineering services would be as follows:

Base Fees	\$	159,300.00
G.S.T.	\$	7,965.00
Total Fees	\$	167,265.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate	\$	<u>7,965.00</u>
Net Cost to City	\$	<u>159,300.00</u>

There is sufficient funding within Capital Project 1417 - Blairmore Sector Storm Water Retention Pond 1 to allow for the provision of the engineering services to proceed.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E3) Request for Change Order - Contract 9-0074  
Cosmopolitan Park Slope Remediation  
(Files CK. 4000-1, x CK. 292-09-58 and IS. 6315-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that a Change Order, in the amount of \$178,929.45, for Contract No. 9-0074, Cosmopolitan Park Slope Remediation, be approved.

*ADOPTED.*

**REPORT**

Capital Project No. 2427 – Repair Cosmo Park Slope Failure includes funding for the construction of a shear key to address the slope failure at Cosmopolitan Park. Contract 9-0074 - Cosmopolitan Park Slope Remediation, was publicly tendered with Acadia Construction, the lowest bidder at an estimated amount of \$1,459,055.17, being chosen. Currently, the contract, including approved extra work, totals \$1,812,878.33.

For safety reasons, the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp at the east end of the University Bridge was closed in 2008 while the shear key was being constructed.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 14**

After the contract was awarded, it was determined that there was sufficient budget remaining to either permanently close or rebuild the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp to address visibility concerns, improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists, and to enhance the aesthetics of the landscaping. At its meeting held on July 2, 2010, Council considered a report of the Planning and Operations Committee regarding the possibility of removing the off-ramp permanently, and approved the recommendation that the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp at the east end of the University Bridge remain open, and that the Administration work on the final configuration to be as close to the existing footprint as possible.

Additional materials and services, totaling \$178,929.45, were added to the contract with Acadia Construction to ensure that the improvements to the area could be completed prior to the end of the construction season. These improvements include, but are not limited to, excavation; removal of existing trees, sidewalks, curbs, paths, asphalt and boulders; and supply and installation of pathway pavers, boulders, textured cross walk, a 1.5 metre wide concrete sidewalk, bench pad, vertical curb and gutter, irrigation sleeves, and pedestrian ramps.

The increase of \$178,929.45 brings the total contract to \$1,991,807.78. Since this increase is greater than 25% of the original contract amount, Council approval is required for the Change Order.

**OPTIONS**

There are no options.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

The requested approval of the Change Order is in accordance with Policy A02-027 – Corporate Purchasing Procedures.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

There is no environmental impact.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

There are sufficient funds within the approved Capital Project No. 2427 – Repair Cosmo Park Slope Failure.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**Section F – UTILITY SERVICES**

**F1) 2010 Capital Budget  
Capital Project #0876-10 - New Cell Development  
Award of Sole Source for Ash Removal from Landfill  
(Files CK. 7830-4, CK. 6050-9 and WT. 7970-59)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the sole sourcing of construction services to Graham-Flatiron Joint Venture for removal of approximately 58,000 m<sup>3</sup> of ash material from the landfill in the amount of \$277,820 (excluding GST);
  - 2) that the required funds for this project be reallocated from Capital Project #0876-06 – Landfill Cell Closures; and,
  - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, under the Corporate Seal, the appropriate contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor.

*ADOPTED.*

**BACKGROUND**

In 2004, the City purchased several parcels of land from SaskPower at the Queen Elisabeth Power Station located adjacent to the landfill. Ash material from SaskPower's operations was situated on Parcel H, which is now referred to as the Cell H expansion area of the landfill. Until now, this area of the landfill has been used primarily for stockpiling daily cover material. A new landfill cell expansion is scheduled for the summer of 2012, and as such will require the ash material to be removed from this area prior to construction. The ash volume has been estimated at being between 48,000 and 68,000 m<sup>3</sup>. Identified disposal options for the ash include hauling to another location (estimated at \$500,000 to \$700,000) or landfilling (estimated at an airspace value of \$2,000,000). Past attempts to use the ash as daily cover material were unsuccessful due to difficulties with the fine material properties.

**REPORT**

Road construction for the nearby Circle Drive South project and new landfill access road is currently underway, and this project requires large volumes of fill material. Staff members from Environmental Services and Graham-Flatiron Joint Venture (GFJV) have identified the potential for using the ash from Cell H as fill material for this roadwork. The ash was tested in the summer of 2010 and has been approved for this use. This work would commence immediately and continue into the 2011 construction season.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL**

**TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010**

**PAGE 16**

Since the opportunity for reuse of the ash material in a nearby construction project was identified as a unique solution, this work was not publicly tendered. At the request of Administration, GFJV submitted a proposal to remove and haul the ash from the Cell H expansion area of the landfill at a unit rate of \$4.79/m<sup>3</sup>. The total cost for this work based on an estimated 58,000 m<sup>3</sup> of material is \$277,820.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The estimated net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Graham-Flatiron Joint Venture is calculated as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$277,820.00
G.S.T.	<u>13,891.00</u>
Total Tender Price	\$291,711.00
G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(13,891.00)</u>
Net Cost to City	<u>\$277,820.00</u>

Funding has not yet been allocated to Capital Budget, Project #0876-10, Regional Waste Management Facility – New Cell Development. In past budget cycles, the opportunity for the removal of the ash material prior to 2012 had not been identified. By partnering with GFJV for ash removal during the current and ensuing construction seasons, the overall cost of having this material removed will be significantly lower than if it were to be hauled to another location or landfilled.

Capital Project #0876-06, Regional Waste Management Facility – Landfill Cell Closures has approved funding of \$2,160,000 with \$1,409,000 remaining as of the end of August 2010. The major components of this project have been completed and the next cell closure will not be required until 2012. The Administration proposes utilizing a portion of the remaining funding in Capital Project #0876-06 - Landfill Cell Closures to cover the immediate expenses involved with this ash removal.

**OPTIONS**

Administration has reviewed the feasible solutions and concluded that this option is the most appropriate.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

There are no policy implications.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

Locally sourced fill material reduces greenhouse gas emissions associated with longer haul distances both for obtaining fill material for the Circle Drive South project as well as otherwise having to haul the ash to a different disposal location. Reuse of this material also reduces airspace



**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 17**

consumption thus extending the life of the current landfill. The overall reduction in greenhouse gas emissions is estimated to be 420 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 13-2010**

**Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK**

**A1) City Response to Review Report No. LA-2010-001  
Office of the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner  
(File No. CK. 416-9-04)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received; and
  - 2) that City Council write to the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner, with a copy to the Minister of Justice, reiterating its concern at the length of time between requests for review and reports, and encouraging the Information and Privacy Commissioner to look for solutions, including potentially a more efficient process for the reviews.

*ADOPTED.*

This report provides a response to Review Report No. LA-2010-001 of the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner, a copy of which is attached. This is the first report that the City has ever received from the Access and Privacy Commissioner regarding an access to information request, and relates to two access requests for records relating to the development of the South Downtown that were dealt with by the City in 2004. This response has been forwarded to the Access and Privacy Commissioner (OIPC) and to the applicant as required by Section 45 of *The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

**BACKGROUND**

The two access requests were submitted to the City on February 11, 2004 and on August 3, 2004. There were approximately 145 pages of records that were responsive to the access requests, and none of them were released. Four pages were withheld due to solicitor-client privilege, and the rest were withheld because they contained advice, proposals, recommendations, analyses or policy options developed by or for the City, or because they contained consultations or deliberations involving officers or employees of the City.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 18**

There were several letters and memos, but the majority of the records were emails. In 2004 the City's position was that emails were an informal means of communicating, taking the place of the telephone, and they were thus considered to be transitory records that were not part of the City's official file. (This position has changed since 2004.)

On August 29, 2004 the applicant applied to the OIPC for review pursuant to section 38 of the *Act*, and the City was advised of this review by the OIPC in a letter received on September 13, 2004. On September 30, 2004 the City forwarded to OIPC copies of all of the records in question, as well as a list of people involved and acronyms and terms used in the correspondence.

On August 26, 2009 OIPC wrote to the City and put forward a fifteen-page analysis as well as a draft recommendation for each page of records, as to whether or not to release. As a result of receiving the analysis and draft recommendations, a page-by-page review of the records was undertaken. Since the initial refusal to release the records was based on practices and understanding of access rules in 2004, our analysis of the records started over again, based on 2009 practices.

On January 21, 2010 the City released 102 pages of records. Approximately one half of these records were released in full, several had certain portions severed, and the remainder had the email content withheld, with just the email headers released.

There were nine pages on which we did not agree with the draft recommendation of OIPC, and withheld either portions or the entire content of the emails. At the applicant's request the OIPC undertook a final review and the attached Report deals with the nine pages in dispute. The Report agrees with the City's decision regarding three of the pages, and disagrees with the City's decision regarding six pages.

The Report sets out recommendations for the consideration of the head (the person responsible for administering the *Act*, which in Saskatoon has been delegated from the Mayor to the City Clerk). The City Clerk must decide within 30 days whether to follow the recommendations or not, and the Commissioner and the applicant must be informed of the City Clerk's decision. The applicant or a third party has the right to appeal to the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days of receipt of the decision of the City Clerk.

**REPORT**

The exemptions relied upon by the City in withholding the six records in question (i.e. pages 1, 3, 16, 46, 101 and 102) are discretionary exemptions. This means that the City Clerk must consider for each record whether it should be released or withheld. That decision is based on a consideration of the public interest in open government versus a government's need to withhold some documents in order to function properly.

After having carefully reviewed the Report and recommendations, it is our decision that we will continue to withhold the six records in question for the following reasons:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 19**

Pages 1, 16, 46, 101 and 102 are withheld in accordance with section 16(1)(b) of the *Act*, which states that the head may refuse to give access to a record that could reasonably be expected to disclose consultations or deliberations involving officers or employees of the local authority. All of these records contain consultations involving civic employees and consultants or other bodies relating to development of the South Downtown.

The words severed from Page 3 are not withheld in order to prevent embarrassment. The purpose is to ensure that harm is not caused by a purely personal opinion. This is a valid basis for severance.

We have one further issue to address in this report, which is that of undue delay. The Report addresses two access requests that were dealt with six years' ago. There are five more requests for review from 2005 and 2006 in the OIPC awaiting a report. Access laws are ever changing – practices and processes continue to evolve as Court decisions are issued. We feel that reviews of access decisions should be contemporary. It does a disservice to the applicant and also to the local authority to have recommendations come forward so many years after the initial access request. The applicant has been unable to exercise his/her right of appeal to the Court, and the municipality is judged on decisions made many years before under a different set of circumstances.

We have discussed this issue of delay with the Information and Privacy Commissioner, and have requested that he find a solution. We have suggested that he consider a less legalistic, more efficient process for reviews. We are asking that City Council reiterate our request for a solution to the problem.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Review Report No. LA-2010-001 of the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner.

**Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR**

- B1) Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw  
(File No. CK. 255-2)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Council consider Bylaw No. 8888.

*ADOPTED.*

City Council, at its meeting held on September 27, 2010, resolved that The Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw be amended to provide that speakers are heard prior to 7:00 p.m., time permitting.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 20**

Our Office was instructed to amend The Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw to allow for this change in the Order of Business of Council.

We are submitting Bylaw No. 8888, The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 2) for Council's consideration. This Bylaw changes the Order of Business of Council to allow speakers to be heard prior to 7:00 p.m., time permitting.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Bylaw No. 8888, The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 2).

**B2) Proposed Amendments to Bylaw 7200 - The Traffic Bylaw  
Multi-Space Parking Meters  
(File No. CK. 6120-3)**

---

**RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council consider proposed Bylaw No. 8889.

*ADOPTED.*

City Council, at its meeting held on July 21, 2010, instructed the City Solicitor to prepare an amendment to Bylaw No. 7200, The Traffic Bylaw, to include the use of multi-space parking meters, which are now being located in various parts of the City.

The attached Bylaw makes the required amendment to Bylaw No. 7200.

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**ATTACHMENT**

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8889, The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 5).

**REPORT NO. 15-2010 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. Lorje, A/Chair  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor C. Clark  
Councillor B. Pringle  
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Golf Course: Recommended Appropriate Attire and Footwear**  
**(Files CK. 4135-1 and LS. 4135-1-0)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the Administration proceed with a Public Education Plan on appropriate attire for golfers at Saskatoon Municipal Golf Courses.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated September 10, 2010 proposing a public education plan on appropriate attire for golfers at the Saskatoon Municipal Golf Courses.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is supporting the above recommendation.

**2. Three-Year Land Development Program**  
**(Files CK. 4110-1 and LA. 440-3)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated September 7, 2010, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and is forwarding the report to City Council for information.

Copies of the servicing plans for the Evergreen, Hampton Village, Kensington, Rosewood, Stonebridge, Willows and Marquis Industrial Neighbourhoods have already been provided to City Council members. Copies are available on the City's website [www.saskatoon.ca](http://www.saskatoon.ca) as part of the Council agenda and are available for review in the City Clerk's Office.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 22**

**3. Sound Attenuation Program Update  
(File No. CK. 375-2)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Administration proceed with design of the sound attenuation retrofit for Location A – Highway 16 (Circle Drive to Boychuk Drive) in 2011;
  - 2) that the matter be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration during the Capital Budget deliberations; and
  - 3) that the matter of enforcement issues relating to truck traffic and the use of air brakes within City limits be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

*ADOPTED.*

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated September 8, 2010, providing an update on the sound attenuation program and recommending that the design of the sound attenuation retrofit for Highway 16 from Circle Drive to Boychuk Drive proceed in 2011.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration. Your Committee has also received the attached correspondence from residents in the area and has heard several presentations from residents of the Lakeview and Lakeridge areas asking that the City proceed with the construction of the sound wall along Highway 16 from Circle Drive to Boychuk Drive as soon as possible. Your Committee is recommending that this matter be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration during Capital Budget deliberations.

During the presentations from residents, your Committee also heard concerns about the impact of the increased traffic on Circle Drive, particularly relating to truck traffic, including the use of air brakes or “jake brakes”. Your Committee is recommending that enforcement issues relating to the use of air brakes be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners for consideration.

Following consideration of this matter, your Committee is forwarding the above recommendations for City Council’s consideration.

**REPORT NO. 14-2010 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor D. Hill  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor T. Paulsen

**1. Property Tax Exemption - 602 (Lynx) Wing Saskatoon R.C.A.F Association  
(File No. CK. 1965-11)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the 602 (Lynx) Wing Saskatoon R.C.A.F. Association be granted a further five-year property tax exemption; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary bylaw and agreement.

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated September 15, 2010 and supports a further five-year property tax exemption for the 602 (Lynx) Wing Saskatoon R.C.A.F. Association.

**2. Tall Wind Turbine Initiative -Waste Mechanics Study at Landfill  
Capital Project #2306 -SL&P -Wind Turbine Energy  
(File No. CK. 2000-5)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by the University of Saskatchewan for the supply of engineering and field services to perform a Waste Mechanics Study and Preliminary Design for a Tall Wind Turbine Foundation at the Saskatoon Landfill Site be accepted, for a total cost of \$152,163.90, including taxes; and
  - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

*ADOPTED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 24**

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated September 15, 2010, regarding a Waste Mechanics Study and Preliminary Design for a Tall Wind turbine Foundation at the Saskatoon Landfill Site. As indicated in the report, further public consultation will occur prior to a report and final recommendations coming forward to City Council on this project.

**3. Evergreen Neighbourhood LED Roadway and Park Pathway Lighting  
(File No. CK. 2000-5 x 411-41)**

---

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Saskatoon Light & Power install Light Emitting Diode (LED) roadway and park pathway fixtures in the Evergreen Neighbourhood on a large scale pilot project basis;
  - 2) that the performance and operational impacts of the LED lighting be evaluated one year following the installation;
  - 3) that until such time as the evaluation has been completed, consideration continue to be given on a case by case basis to installing LED lighting in other areas of the City through a report to the Administration and Finance Committee;
  - 4) that a post budget increase of \$25,000 be approved to Capital Project 1405 – Land Development – Evergreen from the Land Development fund for the incremental cost of supplying LED lighting for Phase I of Evergreen (495 lots);
  - 5) that the proposal submitted by Jebco Agencies Inc. for the supply of LED fixtures be accepted at a total cost of \$140,571.53, including taxes; and
  - 6) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation

*ADOPTED.*

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services regarding the installation of LED roadway and park pathway lighting in the Evergreen Neighbourhood.

As noted in the report, this is a large scale pilot project to be evaluated one year following the installation. Your Committee is of the opinion that this should not preclude continued consideration for the installation of LED lighting in other areas of the City. As such, your Committee is also recommending that it receive a report on a case by case basis, of potential installations of LED lighting.



**REPORT NO. 1-2010 OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES - CITY OF SASKATOON -  
GENERAL SUPERANNUATION PLAN**

Composition of Board

Mr. H. Langlois, Chair  
Mr. F. Smith, Vice-Chair  
Mr. G. Gross  
Dr. A. Whitworth  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Ms. S. Sokalofsky  
Mr. M. Totland  
Mr. S. Saunders  
Mr. R. Parsley

**1. Actuarial Valuation Report as at December 31, 2009  
General Superannuation Plan (the "Plan")  
(File No. CK. 4730-6)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*ADOPTED.*

The Board of Trustees – General Superannuation Plan considered the attached actuarial valuation report for the Plan as at December 31, 2009, (Attachment A), at its meeting held on September 29, 2010. The Trustees were made aware of the financial position of the Plan and as Plan Administrator, needed to make a determination on the following:

1. Whether an actuarial valuation should be filed for the Plan as at December 31, 2009.

During the discussion on this matter, the Trustees noted:

- a) the last Actuarial Valuation for the Plan which was filed with the regulators was prepared as at December 31, 2007, and since mandatory filing for these reports is required every three years, the next required valuation for filing purposes would be due as at December 31, 2010 (ie. filed by September 30, 2011);

[The valuation as at December 31, 2009 revealed a going-concern surplus position of \$9,681,000 (before contingency reserve), and a solvency deficiency of \$87,855,000.]

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 26**

- b) that if a valuation for the Plan is not filed as at December 31, 2009, the Plan will be required to file a valuation as at December 31, 2010, at which time it is expected that a contribution rate increase would be required to address the expected deficiencies at that time.
2. On the question of whether an election for temporary solvency relief should be made, the Board reviewed the following.

On May 26, 2009, the Saskatchewan government announced that temporary solvency relief will be provided to all defined benefit pension plans registered in Saskatchewan in the form of a three year moratorium on solvency payments. Plan sponsors will have the option of applying for solvency relief for valuation dates between December 31, 2008 and January 1, 2011. Note that an application for relief must be submitted and accepted by the Saskatchewan Superintendent. Certain conditions must be followed once an application for solvency relief is accepted:

- Written notice of the solvency relief application must be provided to all plan members.
- Should a going-concern surplus exist during the moratorium, these surplus assets cannot be used towards a contribution holiday.
- Plan improvements are not allowed during the moratorium period.
- If a plan is wound-up, the plan sponsor is required to fund any solvency deficiencies that exist at the plan termination date.

With respect to the Plan, a valuation may be prepared and filed on either of December 31, 2009 or December 31, 2010. In each case, the solvency moratorium would be applicable for the three year period following the valuation date.

As outlined in the valuation report for the Plan as at December 31, 2009, the Plan had a solvency deficiency of \$87,855,000. In order to meet minimum funding requirements as prescribed by provincial legislation, this deficiency would need to be amortized (i.e. paid for) over a period of no more than 5 years and would translate into a total increase in contribution rates of 14.2% of pensionable earnings. The Trustees passed a motion to file this Actuarial Valuation Report with the regulatory agencies by the September 30, 2010 filing date.

Being aware that this level of contribution rate increase would be detrimental to both members and the City, the trustees also passed a motion to file an election for solvency relief. Attached is a copy of the letter requesting solvency relief (Attachment B), which was signed by the Board Chair and Vice-Chair and submitted to the Superintendent of Pensions along with the Actuarial Valuation Report as at December 31, 2009.

Note that, since the actuarial valuation has disclosed a solvency deficiency, a portion of any money transferred out of the plan on termination or death will need to be held back to account for the fact that the plan was not fully funded. The amount of money being held back is known as the transfer deficiency. This transfer deficiency will be paid out, including interest, at the end of 5 years following the member's date of payout, or sooner if a valuation reveals a solvency surplus. Since the solvency ratio for the Plan as at December 31, 2009 was equal to 0.84, then 16% of any money transferred out of the Plan on or after October 1, 2010 will be held back and will be paid out, including interest, at the end of the 5 year period following the member's date of payout, or sooner if a valuation reveals a solvency surplus. This holdback does not apply to members who retire and elect to receive a pension from the plan.

Another thing to note is that part of the conditions of applying for Solvency Relief is that Plan members are required to be notified of this application within 60 days. Aon Consulting was asked to draft an appropriate notice, to be submitted to Plan members.

Attachment "A" has had limited distribution and will be available on the website under Council Agendas or in the City Clerk's Office.

**REPORT NO. 14-2010 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair  
Councillor C. Clark  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor D. Hill  
Councillor P. Lotje  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor B. Pringle  
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Recording of Public Meetings**  
**(File No. CK. 365-1)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that no person, other than the media, be permitted to take or attempt to take a photograph, motion picture, audio recording or other record capable of producing an oral or visual

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 28**

reproduction by electronic or other means at any civic public meeting; and

- 2) that Policy C01-017 be amended accordingly.”

*IT WAS RESOLVED: that matter be considered with the presentation from the speaker. See Page No. 37.*

*His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,*

*THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.*

*CARRIED.*

**INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS**

**Bylaw 8888**

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8888, being “The Council and Committee Procedure Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 2)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8888 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8888.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 29**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Heidt in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Heidt, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8888 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8888 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8888 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**Bylaw 8889**

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8889, being "The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 5)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 30**

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8889 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8889.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Heidt in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Heidt, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8889 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8889 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Bylaw No. 8889 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL**

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

**B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

**1) Patricia L. Clarke, dated September 22**

Commenting on seniors' admission rates at leisure facilities. (File No. CK. 1720-3)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Paul C. Hamilton, undated**

Commenting on a recently-constructed broadcasting tower. (File No. CK. 4350-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the direction of Council issue.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**3) Barb Macpherson and Darlene Bessey, YWCA, dated September 30**

Requesting support for "Fun Day in the Park" to be held in June 2011. (File No. CK. 205-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the City Clerk be authorized to indicate the City's support for "Fun Day in the Park" to be held in June 2011.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the City Clerk be authorized to indicate the City's support for "Fun Day in the Park" to be held in June 2011.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 32**

**C. INFORMATION ITEMS**

**1) R. Bruce Chamberlin, dated September 22**

Commenting on new school zone and cross walk. (File No. CK. 5200-5)

**2) Larry Sloan, dated September 23**

Commenting on traffic violations. (File No. CK. 5300-1)

**3) Lisa Mooney, dated September 23**

Commenting on traffic calming measures near Avenue F South. (File No. CK. 5200-5)

**4) June Eskra, dated September 28**

Commenting on construction preventing quick access to Valley Road or Spadina Crescent West.  
(File No. CK. 6000-1)

**5) Dallas Halvorson, dated September 30**

Commenting on parking on Saturdays. (File No. CK. 6120-1)

**6) Danny Lewis, dated October 1**

Commenting on the yearly phone book. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

**7) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated October 5**

Advising of Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board respecting the property located  
at 23 McAskill Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*



**D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION**

**1) Susan Likiwski, dated September 22**

Commenting on park and ride programs. (File No. CK. 4130-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**2) Graham Ketcheson, Executive Director, Paddle Canada, dated September 22**

Commenting on proposed white water rafting/hydro electric proposal. (File No. CK. 2300-1) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**3) Karen Walter, dated September 25**

Commenting on areas requiring more traffic control. (File No. CK. 6250-1) ) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**4) Todd Joyes, dated September 26**

Commenting on transit issues. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**5) Colin McFadzean, dated September 27 (2 letters)**

Commenting on benches missing from downtown area. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**6) Julian Bodnar, dated September 29**

Commenting on curb cuts on Lenore Drive. (File No. CK. 6220-1) **(Referred to Saskatoon Accessibility Advisory Committee for further handling.)**

**7) John Botari, dated September 18**

Commenting on the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 34**

**8) Vern and Gail Afseth, dated September 24**

Commenting on the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**9) Doris Lemke, dated September 30**

Commenting on the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**10) Rita Hirschhorn, dated October 5**

Commenting on the Traffic Bridge. (File No. CK. 6050-8) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**11) Helen Zerr, dated September 6**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**12) Curtis Salewich, dated September 9**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**13) Karen Kelly, dated September 9**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**14) Jackie Smith, dated September 9**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 35**

**15) Brenda Temple, dated September 13**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**16) Sam Bueckert, undated**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**17) Sheri Lowe, undated**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**18) Shelley and John Bartram, undated**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**19) V. Romancia, dated October 3**

Commenting on barking dogs, Traffic Bridge and blue alarm LEDs. (File Nos. 152-1 and 6050-8)  
Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**20) Al and Joan Anderson, dated September 2**

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration for further handling.)**

**21) Cynthia van Seters, dated October 4**

Commenting on transit issues. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 36**

**22) Todd Brandt, President & CEO, Tourism Saskatoon, dated September 29**

Requesting financial assistance to secure bid on the Canadian Men's Curling Championships being held March 3 – 11, 2012. (File Nos. CK. 205-1 and 1870-15) **(Referred to Executive Committee.)**

**RECOMMENDATION:** that the information be received.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**E. PROCLAMATIONS**

**1) Bryan Say, Heart & Stroke Foundation of Saskatchewan, dated September 24**

Requesting City Council proclaim February 2011 as Heart Month and requesting a flag raising. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**2) Jim McKinny, Secretary Remembrance Day Committee, dated September 27**

Requesting City Council proclaim the week of November 5 to 11, 2010, as Veterans' Week.

*The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letter.*

**3) John Parry, dated October 7**

Requesting Council proclaim October 24, 2010, as United Nations Day in Saskatoon and that the City Hall raise the United Nations Flag on that day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council and;
- 3) that the flag raisings be approved subject to administrative conditions.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 37**

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,*

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E;*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council and;*
- 3) *that the flag raisings be approved subject to administrative conditions.*

*CARRIED.*

**REPORT NO. 14-2010 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair  
Councillor C. Clark  
Councillor B. Dubois  
Councillor M. Heidt  
Councillor D. Hill  
Councillor P. Lorje  
Councillor M. Neault  
Councillor T. Paulsen  
Councillor G. Penner  
Councillor B. Pringle  
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Recording of Public Meetings  
(File No. CK. 365-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that no person, other than the media, be permitted to take or attempt to take a photograph, motion picture, audio recording or other record capable of producing an oral or visual reproduction by electronic or other means at any civic public meeting; and
  - 2) that Policy C01-017 be amended accordingly.

City Council Policy C01-017 prohibits the recording of meetings of City Council and Committees, except for the media and in the case of City Council meetings, the City Clerk's Office.

The Policy does not apply to public meetings that are organized by the Administration to undertake public consultation. In order to provide consistency, your Committee recommends that the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 38**

provisions of Policy C01-017 regarding recordings apply to public meetings as well as to meetings of City Council and its Committees.

*The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:*

- *Marjaleena Repo, dated October 12, 2010, requesting permission to address Council on the above matter; and*
- *Sonja Ahenakew, dated October 12, 2010, submitting comments.*

*Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT Marjaleena Repo be heard.*

**CARRIED.**

*Ms. Marjaleena Repo spoke against the banning of recording and taking photos at public meetings by anyone other than the media, indicating that to do so is denying the public the means of documenting the public meeting and providing for the accountability of civic officials. She provided Council with copies of her presentation.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,*

- 1) *that no person, other than the media, be permitted to take or attempt to take a photograph, motion picture, audio recording or other record capable of producing an oral or visual reproduction by electronic or other means at any civic public meeting; and*
- 2) *that Policy C01-017 be amended accordingly.*

**IN REFERRAL**

*Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT the matter be referred back to the Executive Committee for further review.*

**THE REFERRAL MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.**

**PRESENTATION**

*His Worship the Mayor, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon, and Bruce Siemens on behalf of I.A.F.F. Local 80, presented the 2010/2011 Budz-Guenter Memorial Scholarship to Toni Leedahl.*

**COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED**

**A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL**

**1) Keith Moen, Executive Director, North Saskatoon Business Association, dated September 22**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to 45<sup>th</sup> Street Crosswalk.  
(File No. CK. 6150-1)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Keith Moen be heard.

*His Worship the Mayor noted that Mr. Moen had withdrawn his request to speak to Council at this time.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Hill,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**2) Irene Michaud, dated September 29**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to replacing the Traffic Bridge.  
(File No. CK. 6050-8)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Irene Michaud be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT Irene Michaud be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*His Worship the Mayor noted that Ms. Michaud was not present in the gallery.*

*Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,*

*THAT the information be received.*

*CARRIED.*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2010  
PAGE 40**

**3) Keith McLachlan, Saskatoon Lions Clubs, dated September 29**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proclaiming November 13, 2010 as World Diabetes Day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**RECOMMENDATION:** that Keith McLachlan be heard.

*Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,*

*THAT Keith McLachlan be heard.*

*CARRIED.*

*Mr. Keith McLachlan, Saskatoon Lions Clubs, requested that Council proclaim November 13, 2010, as World Diabetes Day in Saskatoon.*

*Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,*

- 1) that City Council proclaim November 13, 2010, as World Diabetes Day in Saskatoon; and*
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in standard form, on behalf of City Council.*

*CARRIED.*

*Moved by Councillor Heidt,*

*THAT the meeting stand adjourned.*

*CARRIED.*

*The meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.*

---

Mayor

---

City Clerk