

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Wednesday, August 17, 2011
at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Donauer, Dubois, Heidt, Hill, Loewen, Lorje,
Paulsen, and Penner;
City Manager Totland;
City Solicitor Dust;
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek;
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;
City Clerk Mann; and
Council Assistant Mitchener

A minute of silence was held in honour of the late Councillor Maurice "Moe" Neault and His Worship the Mayor shared a few words regarding Councillor Neault.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on June 27, 2011, be approved.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

- 3a) Proposed Rezoning from R1A to R1B, RMTN, RMTN1, RM3 and B1B
Part of NE ¼ 12-37-5W3rdM; Part of NW ¼ 7-37-4-W3rdM;
Part of LSD 4-18-37-4-W3rdM; Part of East/West Road Allowance between
NW ¼ 7-37-4-W3rdM and LSD 4-18-37-4-W3rdM; Part of W ½ 7-37-4-W3rdM;
Part of NE ¼ 12-37-5-W3rdM; Part of Parcel B, Reg. Plan No. 90S28009 and
Part of North/South Road Allowance between the two Townships
Evergreen Neighbourhood
Applicant: City of Saskatoon, Land Branch
Proposed Bylaw No. 8948
(File No. CK. 4351-011-07)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 2**

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8948.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8948;
- Clause 1, Report No. 3-2011 of the Municipal Planning Commission, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 24, 2011; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 25 and July 2, 2011.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed rezoning and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed rezoning.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8948.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 3**

- 3b) Discretionary Use – Shopping Centre with Gross Leasable Floor Area of 5,000 Square Meters or Greater
Lots 7, 8 and 9, Block 469, Plan No. 61S20645
829 – 51st Street East and 2715 Millar Avenue
North Industrial Neighbourhood – IH Zoning District
Applicant: Concorde Holdings Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-011-4)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that notification posters have been placed on site and letters sent to all adjacent landowners within 150 metres of the site.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 23, 2011, recommending that the application submitted by Concorde Holdings Ltd. requesting permission to use 829 51st Street East and 2715 Millar Avenue for the purpose of a shopping centre be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1) the shopping centre having a maximum gross leasable floor area of 6,000 square metres;
 - 2) the applicant having a Development Permit, and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) and licenses;
 - 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application; and
 - 4) the applicant satisfying the following conditions of the Infrastructure Services Department:
 - i) There are five water and sanitary sewer connections that service the two properties. When the demolition permit is applied for on the existing four buildings, the Infrastructure Services Department will require a security deposit of \$35,000 (\$7,000 per connection x 5 connections), as well as a boulevard deposit of \$36,000 (\$150 per metre x 240 metres); and
 - ii) the two properties must be consolidated into one parcel.
- Letter dated August 9, 2011 from the Secretary to the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 4**

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Councillor Heidt excused himself on discussion and voting on the matter due to a conflict of interest and left the Council Chamber.

Mr. Tim Steuart, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed discretionary use application and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the discretionary use application.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the application submitted by Concorde Holdings Ltd. requesting permission to use 829 51st Street East and 2715 Millar Avenue for the purpose of a shopping centre be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1) the shopping centre having a maximum gross leasable floor area of 6,000 square metres;*
- 2) the applicant having a Development Permit, and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) and licenses;*
- 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application; and*
- 4) the applicant satisfying the following conditions of the Infrastructure Services Department:*

- i) *there are five water and sanitary sewer connections that service the two properties. When the demolition permit is applied for on the existing four buildings, the Infrastructure Services Department will require a security deposit of \$35,000 (\$7,000 per connection x 5 connections), as well as a boulevard deposit of \$36,000 (\$150 per metre x 240 metres); and*
- ii) *the two properties must be consolidated into one parcel.*

CARRIED.

Councillor Heidt re-entered the Council Chamber.

MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE

- 4a) Proposed Closure of Portion of Public Right-of-Way
Avenue K South North of 20th Street West and the CPR Railway
(File No. CK. 6295-011-2)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“Attached is a copy of an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on May 9, 2011 and attachments referred to therein regarding the above matter. Council passed a motion that the hearing be adjourned to the July meeting of City Council.

Also attached is a copy of a letter from Brenda Schlosser, Saskatchewan Housing Corporation, dated June 28, 2011, advising that a representative from Saskatchewan Housing Corporation will be present to answer any questions.”

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

Mr. Rick Mackie, owner of an adjoining property to the proposed closure, indicated that he has no issue with the closure but has concerns regarding removal of the sidewalk and boulevard at the front of his property for two parking spots, that it would decrease the value of his property.

A representative from Saskatchewan Housing Corporation responded to questions of Council.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 6**

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT consideration of the matter be deferred until the next meeting, and that the Administration report at that time on the effect, if any, the proposed closure will have on the neighbouring property.

CARRIED.

**4b) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South
(File No. 6295-1)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 28, 2011:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the Administration be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
 - 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Nadine Skakun of 135 Witney Avenue South for \$1,000.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 1, 2008, Council determined that while a new policy was adopted for reviewing requests for walkway closures, outstanding requests would be given the option of proceeding with either the new policy or the former policy. The residents submitting the request for closure of the walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South have opted to continue with the former policy.

The Planning and Operations Committee, at its meeting on December 14, 2010, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Service Department, dated December 1, 2010 (Attachment 1), and approved the recommendation that the Administration proceed

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 7**

with Public Notice for the closure of the walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South, in the MeadowGreen neighborhood.

REPORT

If the closure is approved by City Council, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. This process can take between six and eight months. Once all the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

Upon closing the walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South, the land will be sold to Nadine Skakun of 135 Witney Avenue South for \$1,000. The owners of 139 Witney Avenue South are not interested in purchasing a portion of the walkway.

The adjacent property owners will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

ENVIROMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in the StarPhoenix and Sun on the weekends of August 6 and 7 and August 13 and 14, 2011;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 5, 2011;
- Posted on City of Saskatoon website on Friday, August 5, 2011; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday August 4, 2011.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated December 1, 2010; and
2. Copy of Public Notice.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 8**

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter dated August 16, 2011 from Pat Tymchatyn, President, Meadowgreen Community Association, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

- 1) that the walkway between 135 and 139 Witney Avenue South be closed;*
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the Administration be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Nadine Skakun of 135 Witney Avenue South for \$1,000.*

CARRIED.

**4c) Evergreen Neighborhood Development
Proposed Closure of Range Road 3045 between Keedwell Street and Agra Road
(File No. CK. 6295-1)**

The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated August 5, 2011:

- “RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Council consider Bylaw 8955, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 8);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to complete the closing and obtain title in the name of the City of Saskatoon.

REPORT

A request has been made by the Community Services Department, Land Branch, as the developer of the Evergreen Neighbourhood, to close Range Road 3045, between Keedwell Street and Agra Road. The closure is required to relocate a 138 kV SaskPower

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 9**

line, currently located west of Range Road 3045, to allow for further development of the Evergreen Neighborhood.

The adjacent property owners that will be affected by this closure are Agriculture Canada and the University of Saskatchewan, who own farm land adjacent to Range Road 3045; and a resident who lives at the south west corner of the intersection of Range Road 3045 and Agra Road. The University of Saskatchewan has no objections to the closure, as they have an alternate access to their property, located east of Range Road 3045. Agriculture Canada requires the use of Range Road 3045 until such time as McOrmond Drive is constructed to their entrance, located north of Agra Road. The farm equipment they typically use is too large to travel through city streets. Agriculture Canada has indicated that they have no objection to the closure of Range Road 3045, as long as they have access to their property. The Administration is, therefore, willing to enter into an access agreement with Agriculture Canada, to allow them to use Range Road 3045 until McOrmond Drive is constructed to their entrance. The resident located at the corner of Range Road and Agra Road has been notified by letter and telephone of the proposed closure and, to date, has not expressed opposition. The residents in Willowgrove Neighbourhood, located adjacent to Range Road 3045, have also been informed of the proposed closure.

Plan 126-0083-001r001 (Attachment 2) shows alternate routes for those located north of Agra Road, as well as the location of the future extensions of McOrmond Drive and Fedoruk Drive.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

OPTIONS

No other options were considered.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in the StarPhoenix on the weekends of August 6 and August 13, 2011;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Thursday, August 4, 2011;
- Posted on the City of Saskatoon website on Thursday, August 4, 2011; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, August 4, 2011.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Copy of Bylaw 8955;
2. Plan 126-0083-001r001; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Janet Crouch, Chair, Hamlet of River’s Edge Board, dated August 10, 2011, submitting comments and attaching a petition containing approximately 64 signatures in favour of keeping Range Road 3045 from Keedwell Street to Agra Road open and accessible;*
- *Lorraine Sturgeon dated August 16, 2011, submitting comments;*
- *Ken and Elsie Rosaasen dated August 16, 2011, submitting comments; and*
- *Cindy Moleski and Howard Crossman dated August 16, 2011, submitting comments.*

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

Ms. Janet Crouch, Chair, Hamlet of River’s Edge Estates, distributed copies of a map highlighting the areas of concern. She indicated that SaskPower has advised her that it is not a requirement to close Range Road 3045 in order to install power lines. She asked that a safe and efficient road be made available to residents before closing this portion of roadway.

Mr. Ken Rosaasen, resident at River’s Edge, suggested that large truck signage be placed on Range Road 3045 and that McOrmond Road be finished ahead of the closure because of it being a main access route. He expressed the opinion that the current alternate route is not reasonable.

Mr. Tavis McPherson, resident at River’s Edge, expressed that he is looking for a safe route and that money should be spent making Range Road 3045 a safe route.

Ms. Lorraine Sturgeon, resident at River’s Edge, indicated that she submitted an informal petition containing approximately 64 signatures in favour of keeping Range Road 3045 from Keedwell Street to Agra Road open and accessible.

Mr. Dwayne Sturgeon, resident at River’s Edge, questioned why the road needs to be closed for power lines.

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek responded to questions of Council and provided a suggested solution regarding the alternate routes. He also indicated that Range Road 3045 could possibly remain open to traffic until the McOrmond Road extension to Agra Road has been dealt with, although it may delay the sale of some lots in Evergreen.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT consideration of the matter be deferred until such time as the Administration reports on the timing of the completion of the temporary extension of McOrmond Drive.

CARRIED.

**4d) Proposed Permanent Traffic Restriction
33rd Street Eastbound Curb Lane – Warman Road to 5th Avenue and
Portion of Eastbound Through Traffic Lane between
Warman Road and 2nd Avenue
(File No. CK. 6295-1)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 28, 2011:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the eastbound curb lane on 33rd Street, from Warman Road to 5th Avenue, be permanently restricted, as outlined in Plan 240-0039-003r001 (Attachment 1); and
 - 2) that a portion of the eastbound through traffic lane on 33rd Street, between Warman Road and 2nd Avenue, be permanently restricted, as outlined in Plan 240-0039-003r001 (Attachment 1).

BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting of June 27, 2011, considered the attached report of the Planning and Operations Committee (Attachment 2) regarding the proposed 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor Master Plan, and approved, in part, that the Administration proceed to Public Notice for the removal of the eastbound traffic lane on 33rd Street, from Warman Road to 5th Avenue.

REPORT

City Council has approved the 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor Master Plan in principle, which consists of a multi-use pathway from the University of Saskatchewan to SIAST Kelsey Campus along the south side of 33rd Street. In order to accommodate the multi-use pathway along 33rd Street, and to meet the minimum clearance guidelines from CP Rail, the Administration is recommending that the eastbound curb lane on 33rd Street, from Warman Road to 5th Avenue, be permanently restricted.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 12**

Although not outlined in the report that was submitted to Council at the June 27th meeting, it has been identified that a small portion of the most southerly eastbound lane, located on the west side of the 33d Street and 2nd Avenue intersection (between Warman Road and 2nd Avenue), as shown on Plan 240-0039-003r001, will also need to be closed in order for traffic to safely transition from two lanes to one. The current island located on the southwest side of the intersection will also be extended in order to prevent traffic running into the new curb and pathway on the southeast side of the intersection. The closure of this lane will not affect traffic operations at the intersection.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The StarPhoenix on the weekends of August 6 and 13, 2011;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, August 5, 2011;
- Posted on City of Saskatoon website on Friday, August 5, 2011; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, August 4, 2011.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan 240-0039-003r001;
2. Excerpt from the Minutes of Meeting of City Council held on June 27, 2011; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter dated August 11, 2011, from V. Romancia submitting comments in opposition to the above matter.

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 13**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

- 1) *that the eastbound curb lane on 33rd Street, from Warman Road to 5th Avenue, be permanently restricted, as outlined in Plan 240-0039-003r001 (Attachment 1); and*
- 2) *that a portion of the eastbound through traffic lane on 33rd Street, between Warman Road and 2nd Avenue, be permanently restricted, as outlined in Plan 240-0039-003r001 (Attachment 1).*

CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

**5a) Landfill Optimization
(File No. CK. 7830-4)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“Attached is a copy of an excerpt and attachments referred to therein from the minutes of meeting of City Council held on June 13, 2011. Due to time constraints, Council deferred consideration of the matter to the next regular meeting of City Council.

It is in order that Council consider the following recommendation of the Administration and Finance Committee:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed changes in the design and operations of the Saskatoon Waste Management Facility (Spadina Landfill) be adopted as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011, to protect the lifespan of the facility to forty (40) years and beyond;
 - 2) that a post-budget capital project for Landfill Optimization of \$1.45 million be funded from the Landfill Replacement Reserve based on the sufficiency plan included in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011; and
 - 3) that the operating implications outlined in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011, including the addition of 5.05 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions, be included in the proposed 2012 operating budget.”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 14**

General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson presented his report.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

- 1) that the proposed changes in the design and operations of the Saskatoon Waste Management Facility (Spadina Landfill) be adopted as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011, to protect the lifespan of the facility to forty (40) years and beyond;*
- 2) that a post-budget capital project for Landfill Optimization of \$1.45 million be funded from the Landfill Replacement Reserve based on the sufficiency plan included in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011; and*
- 3) that the operating implications outlined in the report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated May 16, 2011, including the addition of 5.05 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions, be included in the proposed 2012 operating budget.*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Penner as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 14-2011

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between June 16, 2011 to June 29, 2011
(For Information Only)
(Files: CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4355-D and PL. 4300)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No. 1/11: 1132 College Drive (32 New Residential and one New Commercial)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Kolisnek Developments Inc.
Legal Description: Lots 27 to 34, Block 13, Plan F5527
Lots 35 to 37, Block 36, Plan F5527
Lot 42, Block 36, Plan 101399036
Lot 44, Block 13, Plan 101933115
Lot 45, Block 13, Plan 101399104
and Lot 46, Block 13, Plan 101399069
N.W. ¼ 27-36-5 W3
Current Zoning: M2
Neighbourhood: Varsity View
Date Received: June 22, 2011
- Application No. 2/11: 419 Ludlow Street (3 New Commercial Units)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for 1010635685 Saskatchewan Ltd.
Legal Description: Bareland Condominium Unit 1, Plan 101882954
Current Zoning: M3
Neighbourhood: University Heights Suburban Centre
Date Received: June 27, 2011

Discretionary Use

- Application No. D6/11: 46 Harvard Crescent
Applicant: William and Deborah Judt
Legal Description: Part Lot 11 and all Lot 12, Block 609, Plan 66S19386
Current Zoning: R1
Proposed Use: Bed and Breakfast
Neighbourhood: College Park
Date Received: June 24, 2011

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011

PAGE 16

Subdivision

- Application No. 38/11: Ledingham Drive
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments
Legal Description: Parcel AA, Plan 101875394
Current Zoning:
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: June 17, 2011

- Application No. 39/11: 923 University Drive
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Patrick Wolfe
Legal Description: Lots 19, 20 and 21, Block 125, Plan G461
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Nutana
Date Received: June 22, 2011

- Application No. 40/11: Rosewood – Phase 4
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments
Legal Description: Parcel F, Plan 94S17318, and Parcel AA, Plan 101875394
Current Zoning:
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: June 22, 2011

- Application No. 41/11: 410 Ledingham Way
Applicant: Jastek Wedgewood Homes Inc.
Legal Description: Lot 16, Block 9, Plan 102039937
Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: June 22, 2011

- Application No. 42/11: 111 – 269 Ashworth Crescent
Applicant: Jastek Sandpointe Homes Inc.
Legal Description: Parcel 169, Plan 102041783 and Parcel 170, Plan 101961828
Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: June 22, 2011

- Application No. 43/11: 105 Rossmo Road
Applicant: Larson Surveys Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 2, Block 176, Plan 82S26860
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Forest Grove
Date Received: June 23, 2011

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 1/11
2. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 2/11
3. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D6/11
4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 38/11
5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 39/11
6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 40/11
7. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 41/11
8. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 42/11
9. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 43/11

A2) Enquiry – Councillor Lorje (April 18, 2011)
Utility Bill Stuffer – House Numbers in Back Alleys
(Files: CK. 365-1 and PL. 365-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Lorje at the meeting of City Council held on April 18, 2011:

“Will the Administration consider the possibility of doing a utility bill stuffer promoting the installation of house numbers in the alleys as well on the front of homes. House numbers installed on both the front and back of properties have the potential for assisting the Police as well as Fire and Protective Services in the provision of safety and security services.”

In 2008, the Planning and Development Branch of the Community Services Department created a booklet titled Safe at Home for distribution. This booklet is still current and is available on the City of Saskatoon website under Community Services/Planning and Development/ Neighbourhood Planning/Neighbourhood Safety. There are several Neighbourhood Safety resource materials available there. A reference to house numbers placed in laneways is on Page five of the Safe at Home booklet (see Attachment 1). This booklet was distributed to certain areas of the city as well as provided to Realtors to distribute to new home owners.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 18**

Similar recommendations have been approved by City Council in a number of Local Area Plans and Neighbourhood Safety reports. A mail drop was recently completed in Riversdale and in Sutherland (around a park) to address City Council-approved recommendations that encourage residents to add house numbers at the rear of their properties.

REPORT

A utility bill insert can be created and would reach 80,000 households. These inserts are booked with the Communications Branch, and the earliest time frame currently available is September.

OPTIONS

Continue to use the current neighbourhood safety resource materials to promote the installation of house numbers in the alleys of homes.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of a utility bill insert is \$1,650. This includes printing, design time, and distribution.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Page 5 of the Safe at Home booklet

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) 2010 Financial Reports
(File No. CK. 1895-3 and CS.1895-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the attached reports be received as information.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached for City Council's information, are the 2010 City of Saskatoon Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Trust Fund Financial Statements.

The audited 2010 City of Saskatoon Financial Report has been prepared in accordance with the financial reporting recommendations of the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Institute of Chartered Accountants. The Audit Committee approved the audited financial statements at its meeting on July 7, 2011.

The City of Saskatoon 2010 year-end results were finalized with a surplus of \$420,000 as previously reported to City Council. This surplus will be transferred to the City's Revenue Stabilization Reserve. One major restatement was required related to the recording of the City's Tangible Capital Assets under the new accounting standard that came into effect last year. The City's Land for Resale inventory values were over-stated in 2009 due to a valuation method used in prior years that included the costs of servicing in the value. However, with the separate reporting of the City's assets such as underground water mains, sewers, roads and other infrastructure under the new accounting standard, the amounts associated with these assets that were included in the land inventory values were essentially double-counted. As a result a revised valuation method was implemented and a downward adjustment of \$53.2 million (2% of total assets) was necessary bringing the total City assets to \$2.3 billion with a restated 2009 value of \$2.1 billion.

As a result of another new auditing standard that requires the external auditors to continue to review transactions until the Audit Committee has approved and City Council has received the statements, only the attached consolidated statements are presented to Council at this time. In the past, the full Annual Report was tabled with Council as part of the approval process. This process change, which took effect with the 2010 financial year-end, requires the statements to be presented first to Council and then incorporated into the City's 2010 Annual Report. The Annual Report will be submitted to City Council in its final printed form at a later date.

In addition to the 2010 Financial Report, copies of the following reports are also attached:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 20**

2010 City of Saskatoon Public Accounts
2010 Capital Status Report
2010 Financial Reports – Superannuation Plans

The Public Accounts document is legislated by *The Cities Act* and is to be generated each year by municipalities and lists, among other things, salaries of employees and its boards and commissions over \$50,000, as well as salaries for all elected officials. Included in the salaries figure are all amounts paid related to employment including severances, overtime and any adjustments. New regulations passed by the Provincial Cabinet came into effect for the 2010 year-end whereby the salary limits were increased from \$20,000 to \$50,000 to match the Provincial Public Accounts. In addition, the requirement for reporting on travel and other re-imbursments was deleted.

The Audited Financial Statements for the Saskatoon Public Library will be submitted at a future date following approval by the Library’s Board of Directors likely in September.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2010 City of Saskatoon Audited Consolidated Financial Statements.
2. 2010 City of Saskatoon Public Accounts.
3. 2010 Capital Status Report.
4. 2010 Financial Reports – Superannuation Plans.

Section C – FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

**C1) Inspection Services Agreement between
Ministry of Social Services and The City of Saskatoon
Home First Program
(File No. CK. 3000-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 21**

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon, through Fire and Protective Services, currently has an Inspection Services Agreement with the Ministry of Social Services for the Home First Inspection Program. This Agreement is in place to ensure that housing and accommodations rented to families or individuals that are clients of Social Services are inspected to identify that the rental accommodation meets the basic requirements for fire and life safety prior to or just after taking possession. The Home First Inspection Agreement has been in place since September 2005 and has been renewed on an annual basis.

REPORT

The current Agreement expired on March 31, 2011, and has been renewed for the period April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012.

The terms of the Agreement have not changed. The Ministry agrees to pay the City the same fixed fee of \$83,200.00. This fee is compensation for inspection services during the term of the Agreement, up to a maximum of 1,040 inspections, based on an average of 20 inspections per week at a cost of \$80.00 per inspection. For each inspection or required re-inspection for compliance in excess of the maximum number, the Ministry shall pay the City an additional fee of \$80.00 per inspection.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Agreement between the Ministry of Social Services and The City of Saskatoon for the term April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012.

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**E1) Award of Professional Consultant Services
Capital Project 1135 – Field House Roof Replacement
(Files: CK. 612-2 and IS. 612-11-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal from ADA Architecture Inc., to provide professional consultant services for the Field House Roof Replacement, for a total cost of \$133,717.50 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) be approved; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement for execution by the Mayor and the City Clerk, under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Project 1135 - Field House Roof Replacement includes approved funding in the amount of \$2,311,000 for the replacement of approximately 84,500 square feet of roof at the Saskatoon Field House, which includes a review of the roof structure and a proposed fall protection system.

A Request for Proposal (RFP) was prepared to commission a prime consultant; which was sent to all Saskatoon based architectural firms and roofing specialists. The selection criteria included demonstrated experience in roof renovations; references from other clients regarding similar projects; previous City of Saskatoon re-roofing experience; the schedule for the upgrade; and the professional consulting fees.

Six submissions were received from the following firms:

- ADA Architecture Inc.
- AODBT Architecture
- Concentric
- March Schaffel Architects Ltd.
- HDH Architects
- SEPW Architects

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 23**

After a systematic evaluation of the proposals, the Administration rated the proposal from ADA Architecture Inc. as being superior. Construction drawings are to be completed by the end of July 2011, with construction planned to commence in September 2011.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The net cost to the City of Saskatoon for consultant services by ADA Architecture Inc. is as follows:

Consultant Fee	\$126,000.00
G.S.T.	\$ 6,300.00
P.S.T.	<u>\$ 1,417.50</u>
Total Fee	<u>\$133,717.50</u>
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(\$ 6,300.00)</u>
Net Cost to City of Saskatoon	<u>\$127,417.50</u>

Construction costs are estimated to be \$1,800,000.

There is sufficient funding within Capital Project 1135 – Field House Roof Replacement.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E2) Enquiry – Councillor M. Neault (November 30, 2009)
Nose-In Parking – Streb Crescent
(File No. CK. 6120-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor M. Neault at the meeting of City Council held on November 30, 2009:

“Nose in parking at 322, 324 and 326 Streb Crescent in Parkridge - With no back lanes for backward access for parking and these homes being on the curve of the crescent, with the indent that invites nose in parking; generally I have noticed that homes located on curves of

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 24**

crescents are pie lots with 2 to 2 ½ lots per curve. In this case there are 3 full pie lots in the curve with the centre lot being a duplex. There is no fire hydrant or other operational or safety concern with nose in parking at this location that I am aware of. Could this be looked into.”

REPORT

Nose in parking is not permitted on any crescent within the City of Saskatoon, as it may prevent efficient garbage collection and may constrict the roadway, possibly eliminating through traffic for large emergency vehicles. Tickets are issued when Parking Enforcement is advised of a violation.

Streb Crescent is classified as a local roadway which can be expected to carry up to 1,000 vehicles per day. The current roadway and parallel parking configuration was designed in such a way that essential and safety services can be provided. Environmental Services requires sufficient right-of-way for proper placement of garbage containers adjacent to the curb, and to manoeuvre garbage trucks. Emergency services (Fire, Police and MD Ambulance) require the space to safely manoeuvre vehicles. All properties in the area, with the exception of a duplex, have off-street parking to accommodate their needs.

The Administration does not recommend any changes to the current parallel parking configuration.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E3) Appointment of Weed Inspector – 2011 - *The Noxious Weed Act*
AND
Appointment of Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors – 2011
Dutch Elm Disease Control Regulations, *The Forest Resources Management Act*
(Files: CK. 4200-8, CK. 4200-4, IS. 4200-1, IS. 4200-2 and IS. 4510-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that Mr. Jeff Boone of the Infrastructure Services Department be appointed as the City of Saskatoon’s 2011 Weed Inspector and as the 2011 Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspector, effective immediately, to replace Mr. David McKee; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 25**

- 2) that Mr. Jessie Stolar of the Infrastructure Services Department be appointed as the City of Saskatoon's 2011 Weed Inspector, effective immediately, to replace Ms. Charity Williams.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Section 7, Article 1 of *The Noxious Weed Act* (Saskatchewan) requires that City Council appoint a weed inspector(s) annually; and Section 8, Article 2 of the *Forest Resources Management Act* requires that Council appoint one or more Municipal Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors annually. At its meeting held on April 18, 2011, Council considered reports of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, recommending the appointments for 2011, and approved a recommendation that Mr. David McKee and Ms. Charity Williams be appointed as the 2011 Weed Inspectors, and that Mr. Geoff McLeod and Mr. David McKee be appointed as the 2011 Dutch Elm Disease Inspectors.

David McKee has accepted another position, and, therefore, is unable to carry out his appointed duties. In addition, Charity Williams has resigned. The Administration is recommending that Jeff Boone be appointed to replace David McKee as Weed Inspector and Dutch Elm Disease Inspector for 2011, and that Jessie Stolar be appointed to replace Charity Williams as Weed Inspector for 2011.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

- E4) Post Budget Increase**
Capital Project – 1417 - Trunk Sewers – Blairmore
Capital Project 1667 – Flood Protection
Blairmore Sanitary Sewage Force Main
(Files: CK. 7820-4 and IS. 4111-47-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:** 1) that funding for the Blairmore Sanitary Sewage Force Main project be increased from \$22,447,000 to \$24,447,000; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 26**

- 2) that the post budget increase of \$2,000,000 be funded from the Trunk Sewer Reserve.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Projects 1417 – Trunk Sewers – Blairmore, and 1678 – Flood Protection includes funding in the amount of \$19,753,000 and \$2,694,000 respectively, for a total of \$22,447,000, for the construction of the Blairmore Sanitary Sewage Force Main. This essential project will provide sanitary trunk sewer servicing for the future Kensington neighbourhood and the future Blairmore 2 neighbourhood. It will also provide flood protection for the Confederation neighbourhood and the area west of 33rd Street. In addition, by re-routing sanitary sewage loadings from the Confederation neighbourhood, the main sewage interceptor will be relieved to allow for future downtown development.

Prior to tender of construction of the force main, the construction of a 675 millimetre diameter gravity sanitary trunk sewer to service the future Kensington 2 neighbourhood was identified. This trunk sewer will not be required for approximately five years; however, it must be installed four to eight metres deeper than the Blairmore force main, and on the same alignment. It would be extremely difficult and expensive to construct the gravity sewer after the force main; therefore, a decision was made to install it prior to the installation of the force main.

It is estimated that with the construction of the force main and gravity sanitary trunk sewer, a future odour control structure and further design engineering, survey and construction management costs, the total project costs will be \$2,000,000 higher than the \$22,447,000 originally budgeted. The Administration is, therefore, recommending a \$2,000,000 increase to be funded from the Trunk Sewer Reserve.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are sufficient funds within the Trunk Sewer Reserve.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E5) Storm Water Utility Rate Structure
(File No. CK. 1905-2)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that implementation of the storm sewer rate structure, outlined in the Storm Sewer Audit which was approved by Council in 2001, proceed directly to Phase III, with an implementation date of January 1, 2012;
- 2) that a new Storm Water Utility system be created to charge property owners the storm water utility fee based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- 3) that a separate utility bill and billing system be created to charge the storm water utility fee to commercial and industrial properties and to property owners without a water meter based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- 4) that single detached homes pay a fixed nominal base rate of one Equivalent Runoff Unit for the storm water utility;
- 5) that all other properties pay a storm utility rate based on the estimated amount of impervious area on their property, but not less than a rate of two Equivalent Runoff Units for the storm water utility;
- 6) that Rate Strategy Option 1 – Re-Adjustment with Rising Cap and Rate Increases, be implemented for commercial and industrial properties;
- 7) that a recalculation procedure be implemented with the new utility structure to allow property owners to receive fee reductions for storm water improvements such as private storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other “soft” landscaping;
- 8) that the storm water utility be phased in over seven years, with full implementation by January 1, 2018; thereby generating approximately \$3.1 million in additional revenue for the utility;
- 9) that the storm water utility be revenue neutral in the first year of implementation (2012); and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 28**

- 10) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speaker. See Page No. 100.

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) Recycling Request For Proposals
(Files: CK. 7830-5 and WT 7832-19)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Draft Recycling Request for Proposals (RFP) document attached to this report be finalized by Administration and then issued through the City of Saskatoon Purchasing Department in accordance with the identified time-lines; and,
 - 2) that the Evaluation Committee report back to City Council with a recommendation related to award of contract.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentations from the speakers. See Page No. 95.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-2011

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between June 30, 2011 to August 2, 2011
(For Information Only)
(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4355-D and PL. 4300)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 29**

Discretionary Use

- Application No. D7/11: 1515 Edward Avenue
Applicant: Lorraine Sadler
Legal Description: Lots 45 and 46, Block 1, Plan G107
Current Zoning: R2
Proposed Use: Bed and Breakfast
Neighbourhood: North Park
Date Received: June 30, 2011

Subdivision

- Application No. 44/11: 2514/2518 Kelvin Avenue
Applicant: Larson Surveys Ltd. for New Look Renovations and Bellevue Construction
Legal Description: Lots 11, 12, and 13, Block 17, Plan G234
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Avalon
Date Received: July 14, 2011
- Application No. 45/11: 209 3rd Street East
Applicant: Larson Surveys Ltd. for Prime Developments Ltd.
Legal Description: Lots 35, 36, and 37, Block 21, Plan G229
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Buena Vista
Date Received: July 14, 2011
- Application No. 46/11: 357 LaRonge Road
Applicant: Webb Surveys for 101000639 Sask. Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 10, Block 662, Plan 77S25116
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: River Heights
Date Received: July 13, 2011
- Application No. 47/11: 3403, 3415 and 3427 Faithfull Avenue
Applicant: Webb Surveys for West Corr Holdings Ltd.
Legal Description: Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 869, Plan 01SA25986
Current Zoning: IL1
Neighbourhood: Hudson Bay Park
Date Received: July 13, 2011

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 30**

Subdivision

- Application No. 48/11: 419 Brand Road
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Vaughn Wyant Investments Ltd.
Legal Description: Part of Lot 2, Block 427, Plan 00SA01739
Current Zoning: AM
Neighbourhood: CN Industrial
Date Received: July 21, 2011

- Application No. 49/11: MacInnes Street/Cornish Road/Lewin Crescent
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dundee Realty Corp.
Legal Description: Part S.E. ¼ Sec. 15-36-5-W3M and
Part N.E. ¼ Sec. 10-36-5-W3M
Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: July 29, 2011

- Application No. 50/11: 2008 Coy Avenue
Applicant: Altus Geomatics for 101054472 Sask. Ltd.
Legal Description: Lots 37, 38, and 39, Block 20, Plan H4128
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Exhibition
Date Received: July 29, 2011

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D7/11
2. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 44/11
3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 45/11
4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 46/11
5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 47/11
6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 48/11
7. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 49/11
8. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 50/11

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 31**

**A2) Application to Amend DCD1 District – Victory Majors Investments Corporation
(Files CK. 4350-011-3 and PL. 4350-Z7/11)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Victory Majors Investments Corporation has submitted a revised development proposal with respect to Parcel YY. This revised proposal includes an office building which includes retail space at grade, a residential tower, and a hotel. The proposal also includes a large plaza, which fronts onto Spadina Crescent. All parking is proposed to be provided underground. Please refer to Attachment 1 – Proposed Building Elevations and Site Plan – 200 Spadina Crescent East.

In order to facilitate this proposed development, Victory Majors Investments Corporation has submitted an application to amend the following provisions of the DCD1 District:

- 1) Section 13.1.3.1 a) Offices – Increase the maximum gross floor area of offices from 30 percent up to a maximum of 100,000 square feet to 40 percent up to a maximum of 250,000 square feet per site;
- 2) Section 13.1.3.3 a) Maximum Building Height – Increase the maximum building height on Parcel YY from 68 metres to 95 metres;
- 3) Section 13.1.3.3 b) Building Setbacks – Provide the flexibility to reduce or eliminate building setbacks based on the merit and intent to the overall urban design, wind mitigation, accessibility, and at-grade openness; and
- 4) Section 13.1.3.3 c) Maximum Floor Space Ratio – Increase the maximum floor space ratio on Parcel YY from 4:1 to 6:1.

The Community Services Department will be arranging a community engagement process to provide the opportunity for public input regarding these proposed amendments. This consultation process will take place in September. It is anticipated that City Council will consider these proposed amendments in November or December of this year. Should these amendments be approved, the application for a Development Permit for this development will be brought forward for City Council's consideration early in the new year.

It should be noted that your Administration is also considering several other amendments to the DCD1 regulations that would apply to sites in the area. It is anticipated that these amendments will be considered in a separate, but parallel, track to the Victory Majors Investment Corporation's application.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Building Elevations and Site Plan – 200 Spadina Crescent East

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Incentive Application
Yanke Group of Companies
(Files CK. 3500-13 and CS. 3500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the application from Yanke Group of Companies for a five-year tax abatement on the incremental portion of taxes at 1359 Fletcher Avenue, be approved as follows:

100% in Year 1
80% in Year 2
70% in Year 3
60% in Year 4
50% in Year 5; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate agreement.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached is a report from Bryan Leverick, Chair, Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority Inc. (SREDA) Board of Directors. The report is self-explanatory and provides the required information for City Council to consider the request by Yanke Group of Companies for a five-year tax abatement.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Report – Chair, SREDA Board of Directors

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 33**

B2) Communications to Council
From: Henry Dayday
Date: May 10, 2011
Subject: Civic Spending
(Files CK. 1500-1 and CS. 1500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

At its meeting held on May 24, 2011, City Council considered the above-noted letter (Attachment 1) with respect to civic spending. Council passed a motion that the letter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

Your Administration has responded to Mr. Dayday and is attaching a copy of that letter (Attachment 2) for Council's information.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter dated May 10, 2011 from Henry Dayday
2. Letter dated August 8, 2011 from Marlys Bilanski to Henry Dayday

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Henry Dayday, dated August 14, 2011, requesting further clarification of City spending.

General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski clarified civic spending with respect to various projects.

- IT WAS RESOLVED:*
- 1) *that the letter dated August 14, 2011, from Henry Dayday be received as information;*
 - 2) *that the matter of a communication strategy to deal with inaccurate information be referred to the next public Executive Committee; and*
 - 3) *that the City Manager be directed to contact the StarPhoenix to request that the City's response be printed.*

Section D – HUMAN RESOURCES

**D1) 2010 Contract Negotiations
Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 859
(File No. CK. 4720-6)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the proposed changes set out in the attached report dated July 15, 2011, with respect to the 2010 - 2012 contract with the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 859; and,
 - 2) that City Council authorize completion of the revised contract incorporating all the changes for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached is a report dated July 15, 2011, detailing conditions agreed upon by the bargaining team of the City and the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 859.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Report dated July 15, 2011

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**E1) Post Budget Increase
Capital Project 625 – McOrmond Drive Sanitary & Storm Sewer Trunks
Award of Owner’s Engineering Services
(Files CK. 7820-2 and IS. 7820-71)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a post budget increased from \$175,000 to \$650,000 be approved for Capital Project 625 - McOrmond Drive Sanitary and Storm Sewer Trunks;
 - 2) that the post budget increase of \$475,000 be funded from the Trunk Sewer Reserve;
 - 3) that the proposal submitted by CH2M Hill Canada Ltd. for Owner’s Engineer Services for the McOrmond Drive Sanitary & Storm Sewer Trunks, on a time and expense basis, at an estimated total cost of \$637,732 (including G.S.T. and applicable P.S.T.) be accepted; and
 - 4) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Project 625 - Trunk Sewer – North East Sector, includes approved funding in the amount of \$175,000.

In order to develop the east sector of Saskatoon, sanitary and storm sewer trunk servicing is required in the area. These trunks will be located under McOrmond Drive, beginning at Highway 5 and connecting with the existing trunks at Attridge Dr. The overall project will consist of two large diameter sewer pipes, each 1.5 kilometres in length. The estimated cost of construction is \$30,000,000.

Due to the complex nature of such a construction project in a developed neighbourhood, the Administration has determined that the project would best be delivered through a design-build approach.

A request for proposal (RFP) for Owner’s Engineering Services to provide design-build support and construction services for the sanitary and storm sewer trunks closed on July 7, 2011. Four proposals were received as follows:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 36**

- AECOM Canada Ltd.;
- Associated Engineering Ltd.;
- CH2M Hill Canada Ltd.; and
- Stantec Consulting Ltd.

After a thorough evaluation of the submissions, the Administration is recommending that the proposal submitted by CH2M Hill Canada Ltd. be accepted, at a total cost, on a time and expense basis, estimated at \$637,732 (including G.S.T. and applicable P.S.T.).

Timely initiation of this project by CH2M Hill Canada Ltd. will allow for the selection of a design build team by March 31, 2012, with construction of the trunk sewers to be completed to provide service for the east sector developments in late 2014.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The estimated net cost to the City for the proposal from CH2M Hill Canada Ltd. is as follows:

Estimated Cost	\$598,800.00
P.S.T. (5% of 30%)	\$ 8,982.00
G.S.T.	<u>\$ 29,940.00</u>
Total Fees	\$637,732.00
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>\$(29,940.00)</u>
Total	<u>\$607,782.00</u>

There is funding available within Capital Project 625 - Trunk Sewer – North East Sector in the amount of \$175,000. It is recommended that a post budget increase in the amount of \$475,000, to be funded from the Trunk Sewer Reserve, be approved to cover the shortfall of \$462,732 for the Owner's Engineering Services, as well as \$12,268 for additional administrative in-house expenses.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E2) Proposed Amendments to Bylaw 7200, The Traffic Bylaw
Speed Limit Changes
(File No. CK. 6320-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City Solicitor be instructed to amend Bylaw 7200 – The Traffic Bylaw, Schedule 4 – Maximum Speeds to reflect the following:

- a) 60 km/hr –Lowe Road from a point 600 metres north of Nelson Road to a point 200 meters south of Atton Crescent;

- b) 80 km/hr – Lowe Road from Agra Road to the North City Limit;
- c) 80 km/h - McOrmond Drive from 8th Street East to College Drive/Highway 5;
- d) 80 km/hr – Zimmerman Road from College Drive/Highway 5 to the South City Limit;
- e) 80 km/hr –Blackley Road from Highway 41 to the North City Limit;
- f) 80km/hr – Fleury Road from Range Road 3045 to the East City Limit;
- g) 80 km/hr – Central Avenue from Agra Road to the North City Limit;
- h) 100 km/hr –Highway 41 from Highway 5 to the East City Limit;
- i) 60 km/hr – Attridge Drive from Circle Drive to McOrmond Drive;
- j) 90km/hr –College Drive/Highway 5 from a point 450 metres east of Central Avenue to a point 1,617 metres east of Central Avenue;
- k) 100 km/hr – College Drive/Highway 5 from a point 1,617 metres east of Central Avenue to the East City Limit; and
- l) 80 km/hr – Millar Avenue from 71st Street to the North City Limit.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon recently annexed roadways which fell under provincial traffic bylaws and must now be included in the Traffic Bylaw, 7200.

The recommended maximum allowable speeds for new and/or modified roadways are based on road classification, adjacent land use, driver behaviour and familiarity, and/or safety concerns. The goal is to establish a reasonable and safe speed limit that is appropriate for a particular roadway based on its design and classification. The posted speed limit should also ensure continuity and reflect the behaviour of the majority of drivers under favourable conditions.

REPORT

The speed limits of roadways outside of city limits are governed by *The Traffic Safety Act*, which states that the speed limit on any provincial roadway that lacks speed limit signage is 80 km/hr. In order to maintain driver familiarity and ensure safe driving conditions, the Administration is recommending that the speed limit on the following roadways be maintained when included in Bylaw 7200, since no significant changes to adjacent land use have been made since the annexation:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011

PAGE 38

- Lowe Road from Agra Road to the North City Limit (80 km/hr);
- McOrmond Drive from 8th Street East to College Drive/Highway 5 (80 km/hr);
- Zimmerman Road from Highway 5 to the South City Limit (80 km/hr);
- Blackley Road from Highway 41 to the North City Limit (80 km/hr);
- Fleury Road from Range Road 3045 to the East City Limit (80 km/hr);
- Central Avenue from Agra Road to the North City Limit (80 km/hr);
- Highway 41 from Highway 5 to the East City Limit (100 km/hr);
- College Drive/Highway 5 from a point 450 metres east of Central Avenue to a point 1,617 metres east of Central Avenue (90 km/hr);
- College Drive/Highway 5 from a point 1,617 east of Central Avenue to the East City Limit (100 km/hr); and
- Millar Avenue from 71st Street to the North City Limit (80 km/hr).

It is recommended that the following changes to speed limits be applied to the following roadways:

Lowe Road and Central Avenue, north of Agra Road to a point 1,617 metres north of Agra Road (from 60km/hr to 80 km/hr)

Currently, the speed limit on Lowe Road and Central Avenue, north of Agra Road to a point 1,617 metres north of Agra Road (i.e. the old City Limits), is posted at 60 km/hr. In order to remain consistent with all other grid roads, the Administration is recommending that the speed limits on these sections of Lowe Road and Central Avenue be increased to 80 km/hr, since no development has yet occurred in these areas.

Lowe Road from a point 200 metres south of Atton Crescent to Agra Road (60 km/hr to 50 km/hr)

Given the roadway's future use as a route to the new Evergreen neighbourhood, the Administration is recommending that the posted speed limit of 60 km/hr be reduced to 50 km/hr at the neighbourhood entrance. The speed limit on Lowe Road will resume at 80 km/hr at Agra Road to the North City Limit.

Attridge Drive from Circle Drive to Rever Road (70 km/hr to 60 km/hr)

The Administration is recommending that the current posted speed limit of 70 km/hr be reduced to 60 km/hr to ensure consistency with Attridge Drive from Rever Road to McOrmond Drive, as well as to improve safety of left turns at the Circle Drive overpass and at the intersection of Attridge Drive and Central Avenue.

Speed limits in the annexed land and surrounding areas are illustrated in Attachment 1.

OPTIONS

No other options were considered.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Implementation costs are nominal and are provided for in the existing Operating Budget.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Annexed Land and Surrounding Area Speed Limits

**E3) School Signing Revisions
(File No. CK. 5200-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the school signing revisions, as set out in the following report, be approved.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Infrastructure Services has received a request to expand the school speed zone at Princess Alexandra School.

Avenue G is located at the rear of Princess Alexandra School, and is a secondary access for pedestrians. Reducing the speed on this street during school hours will enhance the safety of pedestrians along this roadway.

The Administration is recommending that a school speed zone be installed on Avenue G, adjacent to the Princess Alexandra School playground located between 20th Street and 21st Street, as shown on Plan 212-0042-001r-004 (Attachment 1).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The proposed location of this school speed zone complies with Policy C07-015 - Reduced Speed Zone for Schools.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan 212-0042-001r004

**E4) Proposed Bylaw No. 8954
Closure of Right-of-Way
Walkway adjacent to 67 and 71 Bence Crescent
(File CK. 6295-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No 8954, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 7).

ADOPTED.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on March 14, 2011, during consideration of Matters Requiring Public Notice, considered a request for closure of the walkway adjacent to 67 and 71 Bence Crescent and resolved:

- “1) that the walkway adjacent to 67 and 71 Bence Crescent be closed;
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Gerald and Cindy Hubic of 71 Bence Crescent, for \$1,000.”

The Administration has received the Plan of Proposed Subdivision, prepared by Webb Surveys, dated April 28, 2011. SaskPower has advised that they have existing facilities with easements within the area and approve the proposed closure. The Administration is, therefore, recommending that Bylaw 8954, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 7) be approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 41**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw 8954, The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 7)

**E5) 2011 Connection Rehabilitation
(File No. CK-7780-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by Brunner's Construction for the 2011 Connection Rehabilitation, at a total estimated cost of \$1,178,698.50, be accepted; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The repair and replacement of water and sewer connections to residences in Saskatoon is contracted annually.

On July 13, 2011, a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the replacement of failed water and sewer connections was issued. This contract is to run from July 25, 2011 to April 30, 2012.

One proposal was received from Brunner's Construction, which after review was determined to be acceptable.

The Administration is recommending that the proposal submitted by Brunner's Construction for the 2011 Connection Rehabilitation contract, at a total estimated cost of \$1,178,698.50, be approved.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Brunner's Construction is as follows:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 42**

Base Fee	\$1,122,570.00
G.S.T.	<u>\$ 56,128.50</u>
Total Fees	\$1,178,698.50
Less Home Owner Cost	(\$ 361,600.00)
Less Home Owner G.S.T.	(\$ 18,080.00)
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(\$ 38,048.50)</u>
Net Cost to City	\$ 760,970.00

In this contract, the City is to pay 60% of the cost of the rehabilitation to a home, and the homeowner is to pay 40%, to the maximum of \$2,250. The homeowner has the option to pay Brunner's Construction directly, or have the amount added to their property taxes.

There are sufficient funds within the Operating Budget and Capital Project 1615 – Water Distribution.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E6) Post Budget Increase

Capital Project – 1417 – Trunk Sewer – Blairmore

Award of Tender – Contract 11-0042 - Blairmore Sewage Pumping Station

(Files CK. 7820-4 and IS. 4111-47-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a post budget increase of \$1,881,000 to Capital Project 1417 – Trunk Sewer – Blairmore be approved;
 - 2) that \$790,000 of the post budget increase be funded from the Lift Station Reserve and \$1,091,000 be funded from the Prepaid Service Reserve;
 - 3) that the tender submitted by Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. for Contract 11-0042 - Blairmore Sewage Pumping Station, Option #2, at a total tender cost of \$10,379,250 (including P.S.T. and G.S.T.) be accepted; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 43**

- 4) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Project 1417 – Trunk Sewers – Blairmore and Capital Project 1678 – Wet Weather Systems - Wet Weather Inflow Remediation include funding in the amount of \$7,522,000 and \$1,282,000 respectively, for a total of \$8,804,000, for the construction of the Blairmore Sewage Pumping Station. This project will provide sanitary trunk sewer servicing for the future Kensington neighbourhood and the future Blairmore 2 neighbourhood. It will also provide flood protection for the Confederation neighbourhood and the area west of 33rd Street. In addition, by re-routing sanitary sewage loadings from the Confederation neighbourhood, the main sewage interceptor will be relieved to allow for future downtown development.

Tenders were opened publicly on July 12, 2011 for Contract 11-0042 – Blairmore Sewage Pumping Station. Four tenders were received and the results are listed below:

BIDDER	Option #1 TOTAL TENDER PRICE	Option #2 TOTAL TENDER PRICE
Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. Saskatoon, SK	\$10,316,250	\$10,379,250
Ledcor Construction Ltd. Saskatoon, SK	\$11,466,934	\$11,537,000
Graham Construction Saskatoon, SK	\$13,020,000	\$13,079,827
Allan Construction Saskatoon, SK	\$13,356,000	\$13,415,850

Two options were tendered using different pump systems. Both systems can handle the needs of the facility; however, they have different requirements for cooling which requires different sizes of ventilation equipment. Option #1 just meets the capacity requirements of the design flows, while Option #2 comfortably exceeds the capacity requirements and will reduce maintenance and increase the reliability of the facility. Option #2 may also extend the time until another lift station will be required to service the City's long-term sewage needs for the west side of Saskatoon.

It is the Administration's opinion that the long term value, which has been deemed sufficiently greater for Option #2, justifies the slightly greater cost.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011

PAGE 44

It is recommended that the low bid for Option #2, from Saskcon Repair Services Ltd., in the amount of \$10,379,250 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) be accepted. Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. has performed similar work for the City in the past and has provided the required bid bond and consent of surety.

The engineer's final estimate of the total tender price was \$9,610,000 (including G.S.T.).

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the low bid submitted by Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. for Option #2 is as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$9,885,000
G.S.T.	<u>494,250</u>
Total Tender Price	\$10,379,250
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(494,250)</u>
Net Cost to City	\$9,885,000

The construction costs for the project as identified in the low bid submitted by Saskcon Repair Services Ltd. are \$9,885,000. When consulting fees and project administration costs are included with the construction costs, the total estimated project costs are expected to be \$1,881,000 higher than the \$8,804,000 originally budgeted. A post-budget increase to Capital Project 1417 – Trunk Sewer – Blairmore, from \$8,804,000 to \$10,685,000 is, therefore, being requested, with \$790,000 to be funded from the Lift Station Reserve and \$1,091,000 to be funded from the Prepaid Service Reserve.

There are sufficient funds within the Lift Station Reserve and Prepaid Service Reserve.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E7) Request for Change Order
Contract 11-0041 - Blairmore Storm Pond #1
(Files CK. 292-011-27 and IS. 4111-47-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that a Change Order in the amount of \$543,460 for Contract No. 11-0041, Blairmore Storm Pond #1, be approved.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

On May 2, 2011, the tender from Lux Construction Ltd., for Contract 11-0041 – Blairmore Storm Pond #1, was awarded, at a total net cost of \$1,597,092.10 (excluding G.S.T.). The project is to be funded from Capital Project 1417 – Trunk Sewers – Blairmore.

The original tender specified that material excavated from the storm pond be stockpiled at a designated location. It has now been identified that it would be more efficient to use this material to fill in low areas surrounding the pond, which will need to be filled for future neighbourhood development in the area. By filling in these low areas now, the City would save on the cost by not having to handle the material twice, as well as save on any increased costs to do the work in the future.

As the area to be filled is not scheduled for development for approximately five years, it will be seeded to reduce weed growth and dust during that time.

The proposed estimated work to be included in the change order is as follows:

- 28,500 m³ of additional topsoil stripping;
- 100,400 m³ of excavation to embankment; and
- 35.2 acres of grass seeding.

The cost of the additional work, as outlined above, totals \$563,460, which is greater than 25% of the tendered contract price.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are sufficient funds within approved Capital Project 1417 – Trunk Sewers – Blairmore.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E8) Proposed Land Exchange Agreement
Between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Regional Health Authority
Part of Parcel X, Plan 02SA04690 (City of Saskatoon) and
Part of Parcel A, Plan 89S03644 (Saskatoon Regional Health Authority)
(Files CK. 4020-1 and LA. 4020-53)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve an exchange of lands between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Regional Health Authority, as shown on Schedule A entitled, "Land Exchange: City of Saskatoon and Saskatoon Regional Health Authority (SHR)" (Attachment 1); and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary agreements for execution by His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held May 25, 2010, City Council considered Clause E4, Report No. 9-2010 of the Infrastructure Services Department, regarding a post budget adjustment for the 25th Street Extension, and adopted, in part, that \$1,118,000 of the post budget adjustment be funded from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve. The report indicated that a portion of the post budget adjustment to be funded from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve was required for the replacement of the Saskatoon Health Region (SHR) lands.

REPORT

The Corporate Project Team, in conjunction with the City Solicitor, has negotiated a Land Exchange Agreement with the SHR, subject to Council approval, whereby two parcels of land (shown as "(3)" and "(4)" on Attachment 1), will be provided to SHR in exchange for the lands required for the 25th Street Extension (shown as "(1)" and "(2)" on Attachment 1).

In addition to the exchange of land, the City will also compensate the SHR for the following:

1. Loss of Parking Stalls
The sum of \$60,000 will be paid to the SHR. This value represents compensation for the loss of six parking stalls (\$10,000 per stall) as a result of the land exchange.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 47**

2. Loss of Revenue from Billboard Lease
The City shall compensate the SHR for its loss of gross revenue from the billboard lease in the amount of \$10,000 per year remaining in the term of the lease between the SHR and the billboard company. Compensation for the year 2011 shall be prorated from the date of the lease termination. Approximately five years are remaining on the term of the lease.

3. Angle Parking Along Rear of SHR Site
To allow for improved traffic flow on Wall Street north of 24th Street, the parking stalls behind the SHR building will be converted to angle parking. As a result of the existing nose-in parking stalls being converted to angle parking, the City will compensate the SHR for the loss of one parking stall due to the conversion at a rate of \$10,000 per stall.

Attachment 1 illustrates the land exchange details between the City of Saskatoon and the SHR. Also attached is the Plan of Proposed Subdivision (Attachment 2).

Significant terms and conditions of the Land Exchange Agreement are as follows:

1. Closing Date
Will be the earlier of: that date which is 5 business days following notification from the City to the SHR that titles to the lands exchanged have been raised as a result of the subdivision process; or January 16, 2012.

2. Temporary Parking
The City shall construct a temporary parking facility on City lands shown as “(3)” on Attachment 1, and as Parcel H on Attachment 2. This temporary parking facility will accommodate the SHR site parking that will be displaced by the extension of 25th Street and the requirement of the SHR land for the 25th Street roadway.

3. Permanent Parking
The City shall construct a permanent paved and electrified parking facility on the City lands shown as “(3)” on Attachment 1. Upon completion of this permanent parking facility, the SHR shall relocate its displaced parking to this permanent parking facility.

4. Possession Date
The City shall be entitled to possession of the SHR lands shown as “(1)” on Attachment 1 on the date which is two business days following notice to the SHR that the temporary parking facility is ready for occupation.

The SHR shall be entitled to possession of the City lands shown as “(3)” on Attachment 1 two business days following notice to the SHR that the permanent parking facility is ready for occupancy.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 48**

The SHR shall be entitled to possession of the City lands shown as “(4)” on Attachment 1 on the closing date of the Agreement.

5. Option to Re-Purchase

In the event that the SHR no longer requires Parcel H as shown on Attachment 2 for parking purposes, the City shall have the option to re-purchase the land for the sum of \$350,000.

6. Alternate Configuration of Parcel H

The City may, at its sole option, designate an alternative configuration for Parcel H as shown on Attachment 3. This optional configuration of Parcel H allows for an alternative building access strategy and parking configuration in and around Wall Street and Pacific Avenue.

7. Other Terms

- (1) The City shall be responsible for all survey, subdivision and land registry fees in respect of this land exchange.
- (2) The lands being exchanged are being transferred on an “as is, where is” basis.
- (3) Each party shall be responsible for its own legal costs.

The total estimated cost of all conditions is \$245,000.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As approved by City Council in May 2010, the acquisition costs and related expenses will be funded from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve.

STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

A public open house was held in September 2010. This open house provided details of the project to the public and allowed for feedback on the proposed 25th Street Extension.

In addition to an open house, various parking and building access concerns that were expressed by adjacent property owners have also been addressed and accommodated. The City has received confirmation from both adjacent property owners indicating that they acknowledge and agree with a proposal to close and sell certain portions of Wall Street, the lane currently connecting Wall Street and Pacific Avenue, and the lane running north/south between Wall Street and Pacific Avenue.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 49**

This new proposal is illustrated on Attachment 3 and includes the alternative configuration of Parcel H as set out in the Land Exchange Agreement with the SHR. The closing and selling of the above mentioned lanes and street would all be subject to a public hearing. This public hearing is scheduled to occur at the September 12, 2011 meeting of City Council.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Land Exchange: City of Saskatoon and Saskatoon Regional Health Authority (SHR)
2. Plan of Proposed Subdivision for the 25th Street Extension (Revision 5 – June 21, 2011)
3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision for the 25th Street Extension (Revision 7 – August 3, 2011)

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) Saskatoon Regional Waste Management Centre
Surface Water Management
Engineering Services - Contract Approval
(Files CK. 7500-1 and WT. 7970-62)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the revised proposal for providing engineering services for the development of a Surface Water Management Plan for the Regional Waste Management Centre from XCG Consultants Ltd., for a total upset fee of \$106,415.87 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) be accepted; and,
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Every spring and following significant rainfall events, the Saskatoon Regional Waste Management Centre (Landfill Facility) experiences flooding in service areas and operational challenges to accommodate waste filling areas that are too wet for equipment. Erosion causes existing surface

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 50**

water management infrastructure to quickly become clogged, with site resources being insufficient to keep up with the required cleaning and maintenance.

The need for improved surface water management is one of the issues addressed in the May 16, 2011 Landfill Optimization report received by the Administration and Finance Committee at its May 30, 2011 meeting. The report, originally to be presented by the Administration and Finance Committee to Council on July 13, 2011, has been deferred to Council's August 17, 2011 meeting. Capital funding identified in the Landfill Optimization report, provides funding for a Surface Water Management plan.

REPORT

A Terms of Reference was drafted outlining the requirements for the development of a Surface Water Management plan. Consulting engineering firms were invited to submit proposals regarding the provision of engineering services including the creation of a priority list of recommendations for drainage improvements, design, tendering, and construction management for the most urgently required infrastructure, and a plan outlining surface water management infrastructure requirements through all stages of the Landfill development as per the Integrated Landfill Management Plan. Responses were received from the following firms:

- AMEC Earth & Environment
- Klohn Crippen Berger Ltd.
- MDH Engineered Solutions Corp.
- XCG Consultants Ltd.

Following a rated criteria evaluation by Environmental Services Branch project management engineers, the proposal submitted by XCG Consultants Ltd. was rated as most favourable for the project.

OPTIONS

Administration could cancel the RFP and re-issue. However, the XCG proposal meets the requirements of the City and was deemed most favourable.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The upset fee for engineering services for the project, and the net cost to the City would be as follows:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 51**

Basic Upset Fee	\$80,591.00
Disbursements	<u>19,330.00</u>
Subtotal	\$99,921.00
P.S.T. (on 30% of design (\$22,280))	1,498.82
G.S.T. @ 5%	<u>4,996.05</u>
Total Upset Fee	\$106,415.87
G.S.T. Rebate @ 5%	<u>(4,996.05)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$101,419.82</u>

Sufficient funding has been identified in the Landfill Optimization report to cover the costs for the engineering services for the development of a Landfill Facility Surface Water Management Plan as well as the design, tender, and construction management of the high-priority infrastructure requirements.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Proper surface water management is an environmental requirement under the Landfill Permit to Operate issued by the Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. If storm water contacts waste, it becomes leachate, which can contaminate groundwater and adjacent water bodies (South Saskatchewan River) if not contained and handled appropriately. Surface water management improvements are required to properly direct storm water and to ensure leachate does not drain off of the Landfill Facility.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F2) Saskatoon Transit
Sole Source Purchase Over \$100,000 – Mid-Size Low-Floor Bus
(File No. CK. 1402-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Administration be granted approval to purchase two (2) mid-size low-floor buses from Crestline Coach Ltd., at an estimated total cost of \$137,436.36 each plus taxes; and,
 - 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services Branch be authorized to issue the Purchase Order to Crestline Coach Ltd.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

During its 2011 Capital Budget review process, City Council adopted Capital Project #0584 – Transit-Additional Buses including the purchase of two mid-size, low-floor buses. Smaller, less expensive buses are better suited to serve some of Transit’s neighbourhood collector routes.

REPORT

Transit has primarily been utilizing the four mid-size buses purchased in 2010 to support peak-heavy routes and routes with low ridership such as routes 40, 25 and 28. Transit is working towards identifying neighbourhoods that can be changed to a local route by summer of 2012. A local route would service a group of neighbourhoods with one bus then return to a designated transfer area or bus terminal to transfer passengers onto a DART bus. Montgomery (route 3) is a prime example, whereby, it is currently interlined with the route 4 on the east side of the city and runs through both the Confederation Terminal and the Downtown Terminal requiring up to ten buses to maintain a consistent daily service.

Crestline’s *Arboc Spirit of Mobility* mid-size bus is currently the only available rear wheel drive, low-floor bus with a full OEM (original equipment manufactured) suspension available with a diesel engine. The Duramax engine has proven to be durable and is the same unit as the newer Access Transit buses and the last four mid-sized Arboc buses purchased. As well, Transit has purchased shop equipment specifically for service of these engines. Transit’s Maintenance shop is not equipped or tooled to maintain gas powered vehicles. The Arboc does not have any stairs which makes it a true low-floor bus. Instead, a full-size, fold-out wheelchair ramp is used as required, which is expected to be very customer-friendly for Transit customers with mobility challenges. There are no other bus companies that build a product similar in mechanical design. These buses will be purchased with an ‘extreme cold weather package’ with extra heating and insulation, and have a seating capacity between 20 and 28 passengers with two wheelchair spots. Administration estimates the purchase price to be \$137,436.36 per bus, plus taxes. For comparison, a traditional bus costs approximately \$450,000.

The purchase of mid-size buses, combined with Transit’s replacement and refurbishment strategy, will enable Transit to provide a fit-for-purpose fleet in the long term.

OPTIONS

As an alternative, Transit could develop tender specifications or a Request for Proposal for this purchase. There are no other bus companies that currently build a mid-size bus that offers rear wheel drive, full OEM suspension, a standard low floor bus with no stairs, a full-size wheelchair ramp and a diesel engine. The Administration believes that until other bus companies begin building a product similar in mechanical design, the purchase of Crestline’s Arboc mid-size bus will accomplish the most favourable result for both Transit and its customers.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications with respect to this purchase.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F3) Post-Budget Adjustments
Saskatoon Light & Power
Capital Projects 736, 1282, 1305, 1308, and 1342
(Files CK. 1703-ED and WT. 1703-01)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that post-budget adjustments be approved for Saskatoon Light & Power Capital Projects as follows:
 - a) additional funding of \$200,000 for Project 1308-02;
 - b) additional funding of \$225,000 for Project 0736-01;
 - c) additional funding of \$528,000 for Project 1305-05;
 - d) additional funding of \$109,000 for Project 0736-03;
 - e) additional funding of \$130,000 for Project 1342-01;
 - f) additional funding of \$341,000 for Project 1282-01; and
 - 2) that the total adjustment of \$1,533,000 be funded as follows:
 - a) \$203,000 from the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve; and,
 - b) \$1,330,000 from the Electrical Distribution Replacement Reserve.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is to request post-budget approvals for six capital projects. Three of these projects relate to increased activity as a result of customer requests. The other three projects relate to increased work quantities necessary to replace aging infrastructure within the existing electrical distribution system.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 54**

In accordance with City Council Policy C03-001 (The Budget Process), City Council approval is required for all capital projects with significant changes in scope as well as for projects requiring additional funding or those with over-expenditures exceeding \$100,000.

A significant portion of Saskatoon Light & Power's (SL&P) capital spending is related to providing upgraded electrical services to our customers. This is typically the result of capital improvements made by our customers to their existing buildings, or new buildings being constructed with increased density and therefore an increased electrical load.

It is often difficult to predict when and where our customers will require our services throughout the year. Post-budget adjustments are therefore required periodically in order to continue to meet the customer's changing needs during the year.

Other programs undertaken by SL&P have resulted in additional work being required in order to maintain the integrity of the electrical distribution system and to deal with pressing infrastructure issues. Post-budget adjustments are being requested for projects that will exceed the existing allocated funding.

The funding source for these post-budget requests will come from a combination of the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve (EDER) and the Electrical Distribution Replacement Reserve (EDRR). These reserves are funded by the Utility through provisions in the Operating Budget. There are sufficient funds within these two reserves for these projects.

REPORT

The following summarizes the existing funding for each project as well as the amount of funding now being requested and the corresponding totals.

1. Capital Project 1308-02 – Electrical Feeders – Conversion of Intermediate Substation – 14th Street – 4.16/14.4 KV Conversion

This project is a result of increased redevelopment activity occurring within the Varsity View Neighbourhood. In order to meet the electrical distribution needs within this neighbourhood, an upgrade to the existing 4.16 kV primary distribution will be required. The current system does not have adequate capacity to meet the upcoming demand for power from new customer loads. The particular issue requiring an advance of funding from 2012 to 2011 is a result of a new multi-story residential complex on College Drive between Munroe Avenue and Clarence Avenue. This building replaces single-family homes in this area that had significantly lower electrical requirements.

The present Capital Budget and Plan shows this work starting in 2012. Occupation of these residential complexes is expected to start in the latter part of 2011. It is therefore proposed that new overhead primary conductors be installed in 2011 to handle the initial power demand utilizing the present 4.16 kV system. Conversion of the distribution system from 4.16 kV to 14.4 kV has been ongoing in this neighbourhood for a number of years.

Additional funding in the amount of \$200,000 is required for this project.

2. Capital Project 0736-01 – Electrical Distribution Services – Extension/Replacement – Electrical Residential/Industrial/Commercial/Institutional – 2009

This project provides new and/or upgraded electrical services to customers. The budgetary amount for this annual project is based on experience from prior years and information received from customers through the development process. However, changes in development phasing or increased activity in development can have a significant impact from year to year.

The work completed under this project is the result of requests from developers or the electrical customer in 2009. Some of the work contained within this project was undertaken in 2009 and some was not completed until the early part of 2010. The funding for this work includes cost recovery from the developer or customer as well as funding from SL&P's two reserves.

The actual demand for new and upgraded services was above the prior year's expectation. The total over expenditure that requires funding is \$225,000.

3. Capital Project 0736-03 – Electrical Distribution Services – Extension/Replacement – Network Services – 2008

This project provides service connections for customers in the downtown area to connect to the underground network distribution system. The network system is offered to customers within the area roughly bounded by the river, 25th Street and Idylwyld Drive.

The budget estimate for this annual project was based on historical electrical service requests. New services as well as upgrades of overhead services to underground connections were charged to this project. These requests were made in 2008 with work completed in 2008 and the early part of 2009. The funding for this work included cost recovery from the developer or customer as well as funding from SL&P's two reserves.

The actual demand for new and upgraded services to the underground network was above the prior year's expectation. The total over expenditure that requires funding is \$109,000.

4. Capital Project 1342-01 – Electrical Network Primary Protection – Electrical Network 14.4 kV Primary Protection

This ongoing project was originally initiated in 2002. The objective of the project was to replace the existing primary protection system for the underground network in the downtown area. The reason for replacement was that the equipment installed was no longer available as the original manufacturer was taken over by another company who did not continue that product. A significant number of the existing protective equipment components had failed as a result of flooding in the underground vaults and water leaking into controls and high voltage cabinets.

City Council, at its meeting held on September 18, 2006, approved additional funding for this project along with approval of a sole source contract for the replacement of network interrupters and controllers. The protection equipment was subsequently purchased and charged to this project. The system has been installed and is now operating at three vaults, with work partially completed at an additional three locations.

This project is now complete with an over expenditure of \$130,000 (which was a result of difficulty encountered with the installation process and a longer construction time). Completion of the equipment installation will be funded within other existing capital projects.

5. Capital Project 1282-01 – SL&P – Street Lights – Steel Pole Mitigation

This ongoing project was originally initiated in 2004. The purpose was to inspect all steel street light poles to determine their current condition and to undertake maintenance, repair, or replacement of the poles as necessary.

Current specifications now require replacement poles to be hot dip galvanized and no longer rely on paint to protect the steel from rusting. A serious form of structural damage on the existing poles is rusting at the weld between the pole shaft and base. The failure of that weld causes the pole to fall. Another form of structural damage on the poles is caused by collisions with vehicles.

The work under this project was completed in 2010. Pole inspections were scheduled over a number of years with the intent that pole replacement in the year of inspection would only be done where the condition of the pole was bad enough to warrant immediate action. The remaining poles were to be scheduled into the future year's maintenance or replacement program.

The total over expenditure that requires funding is \$341,000.

6. Capital Project 1305-05 – Electrical Feeder – Upgrade/Replacement

This project allows for the repair and upgrade of the electrical distribution system following annual inspections. In 2009, it was found that a significantly large number of wood distribution poles had deteriorated to the point where immediate replacement was necessary. The budget had been based on prior year's experience and was therefore not sufficient to complete all of the work necessary. The excessive number of poles was the result of a concentrated effort to complete pole inspections in 2009 that would normally have been completed in prior years. This work became a priority where poles fell or were discovered to be on the verge of falling.

SL&P has approximately 30,220 wooden poles. Poles purchased prior to approximately 1975 had a limited application of wood preservative with many having no preservative at all. This resulted in a shorter life expectation. Approximately 17,087 poles (57% of all wooden poles) were installed prior to 1975. Consequently, it was not unreasonable to find that a large number of poles were found in a very poor condition. The poles that were replaced were deemed to be

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 57**

in need of immediate replacement for public safety and to maintain a reasonable assurance of system reliability.

The total over expenditure that requires funding is \$528,000.

OPTIONS

There were no viable options in order to meet customer needs and to maintain a safe and reliable electrical distribution system.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Sufficient funds are available from the Electrical Distribution Extension Reserve and Electrical Distribution Replacement Reserve. The net draw from these reserves is \$1,533,000 with \$203,000 from the Extension Reserve and \$1,330,000 from the Replacement Reserve.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F4) Capital Project #2193 - WTP – High Lift Pump Station Upgrade
Contract No. 11-0425 WTP Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project – Pumping
Equipment Supply – Award of Tender
(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT. 7960-96-4-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the tender submitted by Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. for the Water Treatment Plant Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project - Pumping Equipment Supply, Contract No. 11-0425, at a total estimated cost of \$3,060,200.00 including GST and PST be accepted; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 58**

- 2) that the Purchasing Services Branch be instructed to send a Letter of Intent to the Supplier stating that, following award of the construction and installation contract, the General Contractor will issue a Purchase Order to the supplier (Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd.) for the selected equipment.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation of the speakers. See Page No. 113.

**F5) Capital Project #2193 - WTP – High Lift Pump Station Upgrade
Contract No. 11-0575 WTP Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project – Variable
Frequency Drive Supply – Award of Tender
(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT. 7960-96-4-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by KVA Dynamo Inc. for the Water Treatment Plant Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project – Variable Frequency Drive Supply, Contract No. 11-0575, at a total estimated cost of \$870,887.60 including GST and PST be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Purchasing Services Branch be instructed to send a Letter of Intent to the Supplier stating that, following award of the construction and installation contract, the General Contractor will issue a Purchase Order to the supplier (KVA Dynamo Inc.) for the selected equipment.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #2193 - WTP - High Lift Pump Station Upgrade provides funding for the design and construction of a new distribution pumping station at the Water Treatment Plant. The project has a total of \$5,100,000 of approved funding in the 2007, 2008, and 2010 Capital Budgets.

Capital Project #2195 - WTP - Enhanced Disinfection System provides funding for the design and construction of an ultraviolet disinfection system. The project has \$290,000 of approved funding in the 2006 Capital Budget and proposed funding of \$9,160,000 on the 2012 Capital Plan.

Capital Project #2198 - WTP - Reservoir Capacity Expansion provides funding for construction of additional capacity at the Avenue H and 42nd Street locations and reconfiguration of the distribution system to provide transferability between reservoirs. Sub Project #2, Avenue H Reservoir Expansion, has \$14,850,000 of approved funding in the 2009 and 2010 Capital Budgets.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 59**

CH2M HILL Canada Limited prepared a Long Term Capital Development and Expansion Plan (LTCDP) for the Water Treatment Plant (WTP) in 2009 and a major recommendation was the construction of a combined storage reservoir/chlorine and ultraviolet disinfection/high lift pumping facility located adjacent to the existing Avenue H Reservoir. At its meeting held on April 26, 2010, Council approved the award of engineering services for the combined facility to Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited for the design and construction engineering.

REPORT

The Avenue H Expansion Project is presently at the final stage of the detail design with construction planned to start in spring 2012.

The tendering process for this project is handled in two phases. The first one involves pre-selection of the major facility equipment, followed by the tendering for construction of the facility and equipment installation. The pre-selection of the equipment will secure timely supply of this equipment and allow completion of the detail design stage. Variable frequency drives are used to control the two large pumping units in the high lift pumping station.

This process includes tendering and issuing a Letter of Intent to the selected supplier which constitutes a bid approval. Additionally, a Purchase Order for 5% of the total bid value will be sent to the supplier for the submission of the equipment shop drawings needed to complete detail design. The selected equipment and its price will be identified in the contract tender documents for the construction of the proposed facility scheduled for October 2011. As a consequence to the award of the construction contract, the General Contractor will become responsible for the execution of the equipment supply and installation. This process has been successfully utilized for the New Intake Facility.

The consultant's opinion of probable cost prior to tendering was \$1,000,000.

Tenders were opened publicly on July 19, 2011. Two tenders were received and are listed below:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Tender Price</u>
Siemens Canada Ltd. (Edmonton, AB)	\$865,205.00
KVA Dynamo Inc. (Saskatoon, SK)	\$870,887.00

The tender documents required each tender to be accompanied by a certified cheque or a bank letter of credit in an amount equal to ten (10) percent of the Total Tender Price as bid security. The bank letter of credit provided by Siemens Canada Ltd. was for less than ten percent of their Total Tender Price. In addition, one of the required schedules in the bid documents was not completed. The bid from Siemens Canada Ltd. was considered non-compliant and rejected.

Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited, performed a technical bid evaluation of the single compliant bid. The equipment offered by KVA Dynamo Inc.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 60**

met all the efficiency requirements specified in the tender documents and award was recommended.

OPTIONS

Options include award to the lowest compliant bidder, as recommended in this report, or award to the non-compliant bidders and deal with a possible challenge to the award. Not awarding to any of the bidders and re-tendering is not an option as a compliant bid was received and the net cost to the City was below the consultant's estimate and the amount of the contract does not exceed the approved project budget.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The net cost to the City for the only compliant bid submitted by KVA Dynamo Inc. would be as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$791,716.00
P.S.T.	39,585.80
G.S.T.	<u>39,585.80</u>
Total Tender Price	<u>\$870,887.60</u>
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(39,585.80)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$831,301.80</u>

The approved funding in Capital Project #2193 - WTP - High Lift Pump Station Upgrade is sufficient for this purchase.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The pumping variable frequency drive specifications included minimum efficiency parameters and an analysis of long term operating costs (20 years) to ensure the most efficient energy consumption.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**F6) Capital Project #2195 - WTP – Enhanced Disinfection System
Contract No. 11-0383 WTP Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project –
UV Equipment Supply – Award of Tender
(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT 7960-96-4-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Trojan Technologies for the Water Treatment Plant Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project - UV Equipment Supply, Contract No. 11-0383, at a total estimated cost of \$995,940.00 including GST and PST be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Purchasing Services Branch be instructed to send a Letter of Intent to the Supplier stating that, following award of the construction and installation contract, the General Contractor will issue a Purchase Order to the supplier (Trojan Technologies) for the selected equipment.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #2193 - WTP - High Lift Pump Station Upgrade provides funding for the design and construction of a new distribution pumping station at the Water Treatment Plant. The project has a total of \$5,100,000 of approved funding in the 2007, 2008, and 2010 Capital Budgets.

Capital Project #2195 - WTP - Enhanced Disinfection System provides funding for the design and construction of an ultraviolet disinfection system. The project has \$290,000 of approved funding in the 2006 Capital Budget and proposed funding of \$9,160,000 on the 2012 Capital Plan.

Capital Project #2198 - WTP - Reservoir Capacity Expansion provides funding for construction of additional capacity at the Avenue H and 42nd Street locations and reconfiguration of the distribution system to provide transferability between reservoirs. Sub Project #2, Avenue H Reservoir Expansion, has \$14,850,000 of approved funding in the 2009 and 2010 Capital Budgets.

CH2M HILL Canada Limited prepared a Long Term Capital Development and Expansion Plan (LTCDP) for the Water Treatment Plant (WTP) in 2009 and a major recommendation was the construction of a combined storage reservoir/chlorine and ultraviolet disinfection/high lift pumping facility located adjacent to the existing Avenue H Reservoir. At its meeting held on April 26, 2010, Council approved the award of engineering services for the combined facility to Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited for the design and construction engineering.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 62**

REPORT

The Avenue H Expansion Project is presently at the final stage of the detail design with construction planned to start in spring 2012.

The tendering process for this project is handled in two phases. The first phase involves pre-selection of the major facility equipment through a competitive bidding process while the second phase is competitive bidding by general contractors for the construction of the facility and installation of the pre-selected equipment. The pre-selection of the equipment secures timely supply of this equipment and allows completion of the detail design stage.

This process includes tendering and issuing a Letter of Intent to the selected supplier which constitutes a bid approval. Additionally, a Purchase Order for 5% of the total bid value will be sent to the supplier for the submission of the equipment shop drawings needed to complete detailed design. The pre-selected equipment and its price will be identified in the contract tender documents for the construction of the facility which is scheduled to be tendered in October 2011. The award of the construction contract results in the General Contractor becoming responsible for the execution of the pre-selected equipment supply contract. This process has been successfully utilized for the New Intake Facility.

Enhanced disinfection in the form of UV reactors were specified as an element of the Avenue H Reservoir Expansion as UV is highly effective against Giardia and Cryptosporidium, requires a small footprint, is easy to operate, and is the industry's lowest cost option for Cryptosporidium inactivation. The consultant's opinion of probable cost prior to tendering was \$1,430,000.

Tenders were opened publicly on June 23, 2011. Three tenders were received and are listed below:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Tender Price</u>
Calgon Carbon Canada (Markham, ON)	\$824,198.06
Trojan Technologies (London, ON)	\$995,940.00
ITT Water and Wastewater (Pointe Claire, QC)	\$1,045,220.00

The bid from Calgon Carbon Canada listed several exceptions to the specifications. A significant exception was the inclusion of a Limitation of Liability clause which restricted Calgon Carbon Canada's total liability. The list of clarifications and exceptions also included several changes to tender specifications which were unacceptable to the City. A review of the bid by Purchasing Services determined the bid was non-compliant resulting in the bid being rejected. The City Solicitor's Office has also reviewed the Calgon Carbon Canada bid.

The tender documents stated that the selection would be based on completeness, technical quality, capital cost, and a lifecycle cost analysis based on twenty years of operation. The completeness and technical quality categories were a pass/fail system. Having passed the first two categories, the bid with the lowest overall price consisting of the combined capital cost and life cycle costs would be considered the most favourable bid. The project consultants, Associated Engineering (Sask.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 63**

Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited, performed a technical bid evaluation and determined the total overall cost for the two compliant bids as follows:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Overall Price</u>
Trojan Technologies (London, ON)	\$1,339,063.00
ITT Water and Wastewater (Pointe Claire, QC)	\$1,362,189.00

Based on a responsive bid, adherence to the technical specifications and lowest total overall cost, the bid from Trojan Technologies was recommended.

OPTIONS

Options include award to the lowest compliant bidder, as recommended in this report, or award to the non-compliant bidder who submitted the lowest total tender price and deal with a possible challenge to the award. Not awarding to any of the bidders and re-tendering is not an option as a compliant bid was received and the net cost to the City was below the consultant's estimate.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The net cost to the City for the bid submitted by Trojan Technologies would be as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$905,400.00
P.S.T.	45,270.00
G.S.T.	<u>45,270.00</u>
Total Tender Price	\$995,940.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(45,270.00)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$950,670.00</u>

The City will issue a purchase order for 5% of the total tender price for shop drawings after award at a net cost to the City of \$47,533.50. Once the general construction contract for the Avenue H Reservoir Expansion project has been awarded, the UV Equipment Supply contract will be assigned to the General Contractor and the General Contractor will be made responsible for the execution of the UV Equipment Supply contract.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The UV equipment tender used a twenty-year life cycle cost analysis to determine the lowest overall price for the equipment to ensure the resultant energy consumption is the smallest amount.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 64**

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 9-2011

Section A – OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

**A1) City Council Meeting Schedule – 2012
(File No. CK. 255-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the attached schedule for City Council and Executive Committee meetings in 2012.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a schedule of recommended meeting dates in 2012.

The highlights of this schedule are:

- No meetings the week of January 30 (SUMA)
- No meetings the week of February 20 (week of Family Day)
- No Executive meeting on February 13 in order to build in a break
- No meetings the week of June 4 (FCM)
- Only one Council meeting in July and August, held on Wednesday so that reports from Committees can be submitted
- No meetings held during the month of October (i.e. after nominations close on September 26)
- Inaugural meeting of City Council on October 29

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. 2012 City Council Meeting Schedule

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 65**

**A2) By-Election – Ward Three
Establishment of Date, Hours of Voting,
Polling Areas and Places, Advance/Special/Mobile Polls
(File No. CK. 265-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that a by-election to fill the vacancy in Ward Three be held on Wednesday, October 19, 2011;
- 2) that voting take place between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. on the day of the by-election;
- 3) that the following polling areas and polling places be established, as outlined on the attached map:

Poll 301	Fairhaven School 495 Forrester Road
Poll 302	St. Marguerite School 1235 McCormack Road
Poll 303	Father Vachon School 3722 Centennial Drive
Poll 304	Bishop Roborecki School 24 Pearson Place
- 4) that a Special Poll be established at the Parkridge Centre, 110 Gropper Crescent for the residents of the Parkridge Centre between the hours of 1:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. on Monday, October 17, 2011;
- 5) that an Advance Poll be held on Saturday, October 8 and October 15 at the Shaw Centre, 122 Bowlt Crescent, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.; and
- 6) that a Mobile Poll be established for electors, and their resident caregivers, who because of physical disability or limited mobility are unable to attend at an established polling place to vote.

ADOPTED.

In accordance with Section 6(1) of *The Local Government Election Act*, City Council is required to provide for the holding of a by-election where a vacancy occurs on Council. A vacancy exists in Ward Three with the passing of Councillor Maurice Neault.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011

PAGE 66

A minimum period of eight to ten weeks is desirable in order to do all of the things necessary to carry out a by-election, including advertising for candidates, hiring and training election workers, and printing ballots. It is therefore recommended that the by-election be held on Wednesday, October 19, 2011. The following are the pertinent dates:

Ad - Call for Nominations	Saturday, August 27 and Saturday, September 3
Nominations Accepted	Tuesday, September 6 to Wednesday September 14
Advance Polls	Saturday, October 8 and Saturday, October 15
Special Poll	Monday, October 17
By-election	Wednesday, October 19
New Councillor Sworn In	Monday, October 24

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Map of Ward Three

**A3) Ward Seven Municipal By-Election
Disclosure of Campaign Contributions and Expenses
(File No. CK. 255-5-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Bylaw No. 8491, The Campaign Disclosure and Spending Limits Bylaw, 2006, requires that all candidates in a by-election must file a Statement of Election Expenses/Contributions with the Returning Officer within 180 days following the day of the by-election. The Ward Seven by-election was held on February 9, 2011; accordingly the last day for filing the required Statements of Election Expenses and Contributions was Monday, August 8, 2011.

The Statement of Election Expenses/Contributions for candidates for Councillor consists of a Statutory Declaration (Schedule A) indicating the total campaign contributions and the total campaign expenses of the candidate, and a list (Schedule B) of the contributor names and amount for all contributions exceeding \$250.00.

Section 10 of the *Bylaw* provides that all documents filed with the Returning Officer are public documents and, at any time after the filing deadline, may be inspected at the office of the City Clerk during regular office hours. The *Bylaw* further states that the Returning Officer shall forward to Council and also post in a conspicuous place a report summarizing the campaign contributions and campaign expenses of each candidate, with a notation for any candidate who has

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 67**

exceeded the limit on campaign expenses and the names of any candidates who fail to file the required disclosure statements.

Attached is a summary of the disclosures filed to date. All candidates have complied with the Bylaw. Copies of the summary, as well as the complete disclosure forms have been posted on the City's website.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Ward 7 Municipal By-Election Disclosure Chart

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

- B1) Enquiry - Councillor D. Hill (July 14, 2008)
Use of Longboards in City Centre No Skateboard Zone
(File No. CK. 5200-4)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider proposed Bylaw No. 8956.

ADOPTED.

City Council, at its meeting held on June 27, 2011, instructed the City Solicitor to prepare an amendment to Bylaw No. 7200, The Traffic Bylaw, to:

- (a) permit skateboarding on exclusive bike lanes and multi-use trails within the Central Business District and other Business Improvement Districts; and
- (b) create an offence for anyone damaging City property or stunting while using a bicycle, skateboard, rollerblades or other methods of conveyance.

The attached Bylaw makes the required amendments to The Traffic Bylaw, respecting skateboarding, longboarding and rollerblading. In our opinion, an amendment to Bylaw No. 6884, The Bicycle Bylaw, would be required to create an offence for stunting or causing damage to City property while using a bicycle.

We have created an offence for causing damage to City property which applies throughout the City. We have suggested a penalty of \$50.00 for this offence. In addition to this penalty, we have added a provision to the Bylaw that allows the City to request a Court Order requiring that a person convicted of causing such damage reimburse the City for the cost of the repair.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8956, The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2).

REPORT NO. 10-2011 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. Lorje, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Loewen

1. **Enquiry – Councillor T. Paulsen (September 27, 2010)
Civic Centre Programs
(Files CK. 5500-1 and LS. 215-16)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following enquiry was made by Councillor T. Paulsen at the meeting of City Council held on September 27, 2010.

“I am receiving numerous complaints about programs in the civic centres being full, particularly children’s swimming lessons. Could the Administration please report on how many of the civic centre clients (for all programs) are residents of Saskatoon and how many are residents of other communities?”

In response to the enquiry, your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated February 23, 2011 with the Administration. The report is being forwarded to City Council for its information.

**2. 2011 Prepaid Service Rates (Direct and Offsite)
(Files CK. 4216-1 and IS. 4216-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2011 Prepaid Service Rates, as set out in the attachments to the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated June 24, 2011, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 24, 2011, with respect to the 2011 Prepaid Service Rates for direct and offsite levies.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports the proposed rates for 2011.

**3. Innovative Housing Incentives Application
628318 Saskatchewan Ltd. – Accessible, Affordable Rental Units
707/711 Avenue M South and 717 Avenue L South
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 951-54)**

RECOMMENDATION: that an additional payment of \$27,220 be approved from the Affordable Housing Reserve to meet the City of Saskatoon's commitment under the Innovative Housing Policy No. C09-002 based on actual expenditures for the projects at 707/711 Avenue M South and 717 Avenue L south (628318 Saskatchewan Ltd.).

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 24, 2011 regarding the above-noted project and supports an additional payment of \$27,200 from the Affordable Housing Reserve to cover the City's maximum contribution of 10 percent of the total capital costs (maximum \$100,000).

**4. Innovative Housing Incentives Application –
Cress Housing Corporation - 315 Avenue H South
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 951-93)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that funding of 10 percent of the total project cost for the construction of 27 affordable rental units by Cress Housing Corporation, located at 315 Avenue H South, estimated at \$266,759.50, be approved under the Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 70**

- 2) that a five-year tax abatement of the incremental taxes be applied to the subject property commencing the next taxation year following completion of construction; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 24, 2011 with respect to an application for funding assistance under the Innovative Housing Incentives Program towards the construction of a 27-unit apartment building at 315 Avenue H South in the Riversdale neighborhood.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

**5. The Lighthouse Supported Living Inc. – Innovative Housing Incentives – Affordable Rental Units/Shelter Spaces – 227 20th Street East
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 951-85)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the approved funding for the development at 227 20th Street East by The Lighthouse Supported Living Inc., be reduced by \$100,000 to \$1,085,000; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary agreement with the appropriate amendments, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 23, 2011, regarding a reduction in the number of affordable rental units in The Lighthouse Supported Living Inc. project at 227 20th Street East due to increased construction and labour costs.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and recommends support for this project at the reduced funding levels as outlined in the above recommendations.

6. Reserve and Rate Sufficiency Review
(Files CK. 4216-1 and LS. 4216-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the Parks and Recreation Levy component rate for 2011 on residential lots be increased, as follows:

Neighbourhood	\$206.05
District	\$ 85.75
Multi-District	<u>\$ 20.30</u>
Total	\$312.10;

2) that the Community Centre Levy for 2011 on residential lots remain, as follows:

Hampton Village	\$141.90
Stonebridge	\$ 91.75
Rosewood	\$119.80
Evergreen	\$146.20; and

3) that the proposed revision to City Council Policy No. C03-011 Parks and Recreation Levy be approved.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 6, 2011 regarding the 2011 Parks and Recreation Levy component and Community Centre Levy on residential lots and supports the recommendations outlined above.

7. Zoo Program/Paws-Inn Concession Agreement
(Files CK. 4205-8 and LS. 290-38)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate contract between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Zoo Society; and

2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 18, 2011, recommending approval of an Agreement with the Saskatoon Zoo Society

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 72**

regarding programming services, admission and gate services and operation of the Kinsmen Express at the Forestry Farm Park and Zoo.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration and submits the above recommendations for City Council's approval.

8. **Cosmopolitan Couples Club – Proposed Children's Safety Village
(Files CK. 5300-1, LS. 5700-2-1 and PL. 5400-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the location of the proposed Children's Safety Village at Pierre Radisson District Park be approved in principle.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 27, 2011 with the Administration and a representative of the Cosmopolitan Couples Club and supports a recommendation for approval, in principle, that Pierre District Park be the location of the proposed Children's Safety Village.

REPORT NO. 11-2011 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor P. Lorje, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Loewen

- 1. **Communications to Council****
From: Emily Boehr, dated June 14, 2011
and
Elmer Boehr, dated June 20, 2011
Subject: Parking Permits in the Kelsey Area
AND
Limited Residential Parking Program
(Files CK. 6120-4 and IS. 6120-1)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that Council consider Bylaw 8958, The Residential Parking Program Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 3); and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 73**

- 2) that amended Policy C07-014 – Residential Parking Permits be approved.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 29, 2011, with respect to the above matters, along with copies of letters referred to above and presentation from Emily Boehr dated July 12, 2011.

Your Committee has considered the above matter and supports the proposed amendments to the Residential Parking Program Bylaw and Residential Parking Permits Policy C07-014.

Attached, for Council's consideration is a copy of Bylaw No. 8958.

2. **Enquiry – Councillor P. Lorje (November 21, 2010)
Pedestrian/Vehicle Issues – 22nd Street Corridor
AND
Westmount Local Area Plan
AND
Unpredictable Pedestrian Crossings
(File No. CK. 6150-1)**
-

- RECOMMENDATIONS:**
- 1) that a post budget increase in the amount of \$120,000 be approved for Capital Project 0631 – Traffic Safety Improvements, for the installation of two pedestrian actuated signals along 22nd Street West, at the intersections of Avenue M and Avenue R;
 - 2) that the post budget increase in the amount of \$120,000 be funded from the 2012 allocation to the Traffic Safety Reserve;
 - 3) that a copy of the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 23, 2011 be forwarded to the Board of Police Commissioners and the Traffic Safety Committee for its information; and
 - 4) that the Administration report back within one year on the effectiveness of the additional pedestrian actuated signals and overall safety on 22nd Street.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 74**

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 23, 2011, with respect to the above matter. Also attached to the report is a letter dated July 12, 2011 from Ms. Tracy Ridalls and memo dated June 24, 2011 from the Traffic Safety Committee.

Also attached are copies of the following further communications:

- Letter dated August 8, 2011 from Mr. Ray Pilkey; and
- Letter dated July 21, 2011 from Mr. John Thomson.

Your Committee has reviewed the above report with the Administration, along with the above correspondence, and received a further presentation from Ms. Ridalls in support of the phased approach outlined in the submitted report.

The Administration has clarified that the proposed post budget increase for Capital Project 0631 – Traffic Safety Improvements for the installation of two pedestrian actuated signals along 22nd Street West, at the intersections of Avenue M and Avenue R, would advance the funding to proceed more quickly with plans for these improvements. These locations had already been identified in the 2012 budget for traffic safety improvements.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the recommendations of the Administration, as outlined above.

**3. Servicing Agreement
310644 Alberta Ltd. – Airport Industrial Development Area
Subdivision No. 6/11
(File No. CK. 4300-011-6)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Servicing Agreement (Attachment 1 to the July 27, 2011 report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department) with 310644 Alberta Ltd., for a portion of the Airport Industrial Area in the South one half Section 8, Township 37, Range 5, West of the 3rd Meridian, be approved; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated July 27, 2011, with respect to the above matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 75**

Your Committee has reviewed the above report with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

**4. Traffic Conditions: Avenue F between 21st and 22nd Streets
(File No. CK. 6320-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 29, 2011, providing an update on the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is forwarding the update to City Council for its information.

**5. Vacant Lot and Adaptive Reuse Incentive Program
1105 Lancaster Boulevard – Randall Renneberg
(Files CK. 4110-45 and PL. 4110-71-12)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that City Council approve a five-year tax abatement equivalent to 75 percent of the incremental taxes for the development of a one-unit dwelling at 1105 Lancaster Boulevard;
- 2) that the five-year tax abatement take effect in the next taxation year following completion of the project; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 28, 2011 with respect to an application received under the above program for the development of a single unit dwelling on an existing vacant site at 1105 Lancaster Boulevard.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration, including the effective area of the program. It was confirmed that it pertains to the established neighbourhoods, being those within Circle Drive, along with Montgomery and Sutherland. Once the program has been in place

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 76**

for one year, the Administration will be reporting back on its effectiveness and will include information with respect to existing vacant sites in Montgomery and other neighbourhoods.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendations.

**6. Vacant Lot and Adaptive Reuse Incentive Program
1210 Avenue M South – New Look Renovations
(Files CK. 4110-45 and PL. 4110-71-8)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve a five-year abatement equivalent to 75 percent of the incremental taxes for the redevelopment of 1210 Avenue M South;
 - 2) that the five-year tax abatement take effect in the next taxation year following completion of the project; and
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 29, 2011 with respect to an application received under the above program for the development of an existing vacant site at 1210 Avenue M South into a new single family dwelling.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is supporting the recommendations outlined in the submitted report, as outlined above.

**7. Habitat for Humanity Builds – 320 Avenue W South, 602 Avenue G South,
234 Coad Manor, 309 Camponi Place, 610 Avenue J North and 123 Avenue T South
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 951-86, 951-96, 951-97, 951-98, 951-101 and 951-102)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that funding of 10 percent of the total project costs for the development of a total of 13 affordable housing units at 320 Avenue W South, 602 Avenue G South, 234 Coad Manor, 309 Camponi Place, 610 Avenue J North, and 123 Avenue T South, by Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon Inc., estimated at \$160,681, be approved under the Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002;

- 2) that a five-year tax abatement on the incremental taxes be applied to the eligible properties, outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 29, 2011, commencing the next taxation year following completion of the project; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 29, 2011, with respect to an application from Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon Inc. for funding assistance under the Innovative Housing Incentives Program for the construction of 13 affordable homes.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is supporting the recommendations outlined in the above report.

**8. Sale of Pre-designated Land – 211 Slimmon Road
Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon – Innovative Housing Incentives
(Files CK. 4215-1, PL. 951-90 and LA. 4217-09-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into a six month Option to Purchase Agreement with Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon Inc. to sell Lot 10, Block 433, Ext 1, Plan 01-SA-29464 (211 Slimmon Road), for the purpose of constructing 12 affordable housing units according to the terms set out in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 27, 2011;
 - 2) that funding equal to 10 percent of the estimated project cost in the amount of \$181,500 be provided to Habitat for Humanity Saskatoon when the land is purchased in the form of a discounted purchase price under the Land Cost Reduction Program;
 - 3) that a five-year tax abatement of the incremental taxes be applied to the subject property commencing the next taxation year following completion of construction; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 78**

- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary documents to execute the Option to Purchase Agreement and Tax Abatement Agreements, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated July 27, 2011 with respect to the above proposed sale of pre-designated land.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

**9. Donation of Sculpture – “The Raven”
(Files CK. 4040-1, LS. 215-12-5 and LS. 1870-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the location for the sculpture “The Raven” be approved for the Heritage Rose Garden, Saskatoon Forestry Farm Park and Zoo.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager Community Services Department dated July 12, 2011, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the above matter with the Administration and is supporting the above recommendation.

REPORT NO. 9-2011 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen

**1. Tall Wind Turbine Project – June 2011 Public Information Meeting
Saskatoon Light & Power Capital Project #2306
Electrical Supply Options – Wind Turbine
(File No. CK. 2000-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 20, 2011 providing a summary of the public information meetings held to discuss the Tall Wind Turbine Project and to provide some initial results from the studies currently underway on-site. The report is being forwarded to City Council for its information.

Item B9 of the August 17 Communications to Council was brought forward.

“B9) Leslee Newman, dated July 14

Commenting on Tall Wind Turbine Project. (File No. CK. 2000-5)”

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation of the Administration and Finance Committee be adopted.

**2. 2010 Access Transit Annual Report
(File No. CK. 430-17)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Access Transit 2010 Annual Report be received as information; and
- 2) that a meeting be arranged between representatives of City Council and the Minister to discuss further funding for para-transit.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 80**

Your Committee considered the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated April 18, 2011, forwarding the 2010 Saskatoon Transit Services Annual Report at its meeting held on May 2, 2011. At that time, your Committee had intended that as part of its submission of the Annual Report to City Council for information, it also recommend that in order to narrow the gap in funding, the Provincial Government be requested to utilize one-year-old ridership as opposed to two-year data being used.

In the interim, Administration has reported that the Department of Municipal Affairs administers the Transit for People Living with Disabilities (TAPD) operating grant. Granting funding is formula based using the most current ridership data from each municipality. Due to the manner and timing in which the grant is administered, funding is received between one and two years following the operating year. For example, the TAPD grant pertaining to a particular calendar year (e.g. 2010) is calculated by using the number of revenue trips (including attendants) for each individual municipality. Grant payments are then distributed over a fiscal year where payments are received quarterly, with the first payment made in June of the year following (e.g. June 2011) and the last payment made in March of the second year (e.g. March 2012). The final payment is issued only after the application for the operating grant for the 2011 calendar year is received by the Department of Municipal Affairs (deadline is March 1st of each year).

Your Committee notes that Access Transit has contacted a representative of the Department of Municipal Affairs to discuss an alternate funding distribution strategy/structure. The Ministry has no intention of reviewing the current funding allocation strategy/structure anytime in the near future.

The 2010 Access Transit Annual Report highlights productivity levels for service in 2010, and provides comparative analysis of the service over previous years. Copies of the Annual Report have previously been circulated. A copy of the full report is available for viewing in the City Clerk's Office and on the City's website at www.saskatoon.ca as part of the Council agenda.

**3. 2010 Saskatoon Transit Services Annual Report
(File No. CK. 430-17)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 2, 2011 submitting the 2010 Annual Report for Saskatoon Transit. The report is being forwarded to City Council for its information, and can be found on the City's website at www.saskatoon.ca as part of the Council agenda.

4. **Avenue H Reservoir Expansion/Enhanced Disinfection System/High Lift Pump Station Upgrade – Update**
(File No. CK. 670-3, x 7920-1)
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed the attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 24, 2011 providing an update on the above-noted project. The report is forwarded to City Council for its information.

5. **Partnership Agreement between the Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission, The City of Regina, and The City of Saskatoon**
(File No. CK. 7305-1)
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The attached report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 27, 2011 regarding the above has been reviewed by your Committee and is being forwarded to City Council for its information.

REPORT NO. 10-2011 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen

1. **Amending and Renewal Agreement with Saskatchewan Association for Resource Recovery Eco-Centre – Used Oil, Oil Filter and Oil Container Recovery Facility at the Spadina Landfill**
(File No. CK. 7830-5)
-

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that City Council approve the Amending and Renewal Agreement with the Saskatchewan Association for Resource Recovery Corporation to October 31, 2012; and,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 82**

- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated July 28, 2011, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports approval of the above Amending and Renewal Agreement with the Saskatchewan Association for Resource Recovery Corporation.

**2. Enquiry – Councillor Dubois (November 8, 2010)
New Fare Box System – Saskatoon Transit
(File No. CK. 7300-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated July 13, 2011, providing an update on the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the above report with the Administration and is forwarding the report to Council as information.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be referred back to the Administration and Finance Committee for further review.

REPORT NO. 5-2011 OF THE NAMING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor Glen Penner
Councillor Tiffany Paulsen
Ms. Nancy Johnson
Ms. Laura Hartney
Ms. Paula Kotasek

1. Addition of Names to the Names Master List
File No.: PL 4001-5; CK 6310-1

RECOMMENDATION: that the roadways named “Evergreen Road,” “Evergreen Lane,” “Evergreen Way,” “Evergreen Bend,” and “Evergreen Link” be renamed “Johns Road,” “Wyant Lane,” “Rajput Way,” “Maningas Bend,” and “Dagleish Link.”

According to Naming of Civic Property and Development Areas Policy No. C09-008 all renaming requests must be reviewed by the Naming Advisory Committee (Committee) and approved by City Council.

a) Renaming Request:

- i) The Administration requests that “Evergreen Road,” “Evergreen Lane,” “Evergreen Way,” “Evergreen Bend,” and “Evergreen Link” be renamed “Johns Road,” “Wyant Lane,” “Rajput Way,” “Maningas Bend,” and “Dagleish Link.”

The Land Branch has submitted a request for renaming five roadways surrounding the village square in the Evergreen neighbourhood. Currently, the roadway names in this area all begin with “Evergreen.” When the Administration was attempting to assign civic addresses to the proposed lots, it became apparent that many addresses would be differentiated only by the roadway suffix (for example, “100 Evergreen Road” and “100 Evergreen Lane”). This would create confusion for property owners, emergency service providers, and mail service providers. The Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) Committee has also noted that this type of naming makes wayfinding difficult. As a result of the concerns expressed by the Administration, the Land Branch is proposing to rename the roadways.

To ensure the Land Branch's lot production continues to meet market demand, the lots affected by the renaming must be subdivided, registered with the Province and ready for sale by fall 2011.

The naming request was sent to the Mayor for selection of names to be applied to the roadways. The following names were selected for use in this area:

- a) Johns – Dr. Harold Johns – a major scientific figure who was instrumental in the development of high-energy radiation cancer therapy.
- b) Wyant – Dr. Gordon Wyant – head of the University Hospital in 1954. Second World War veteran.
- c) Rajput – Dr. Ali Rajput – a neurologist and researcher at the University of Saskatchewan specializing in disorders such as Parkinson's disease and the physical changes in the brain that accompany them.
- d) Maningas – Dr. Carlos Maningas - the Physician for Saskatoon's School for the Deaf from 1968 to 1979. From 1979 to 1994, he was an Associate Professor in the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Saskatchewan's College of Medicine. He became the Director of Ambulatory Pediatrics at the Royal University Hospital for three years. Dr. Maningas has been involved in the Filipino-Canadian Association of Saskatoon since it was created, over 30 years ago, and has held several executive positions. Dr. Maningas has received numerous awards such as the Cultural Diversity Award from the City of Saskatoon, Filipino-Canadian Seniors Service Award, and the Long Service Award from the Saskatchewan Health Region. Dr. Maningas was recently appointed by the Republic of the Philippines as Honorary Consul for the Province of Saskatchewan.
- e) Dalgleish – Dr. Harold Dalgleish – long-time Saskatoon physician. Dr. Dalgleish was elected president of the Canadian Medical Association in 1968 and was awarded their Medal of Service in 1979. Dr. Dalgleish taught at all three hospitals and was the Chief of Staff at City Hospital from 1964 to 1968. In 1967, he was the recipient of the Canada Medal, the University of Manitoba gave him an Honorary Doctorate of Law, he was named an honorary member of the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and granted an Award of Merit from the Saskatchewan Medical Association.

The proposed roadway suffixes have been circulated to the Infrastructure Services Department and to the Community Services Department staff involved in civic addressing, and no concerns have been expressed.

The Names Master List is kept in the City of Saskatoon Mayor's Office and contains all screened and approved name suggestions for naming municipally owned or controlled facilities, streets, suburban development areas, neighbourhoods, and parks. There are currently approximately 150 entries on the Names Master List. The Planning and Development Branch will notify the applicants of the outcome of City Council's decision.

Item B23 of the August 17 Communications to Council was brought forward.

“B23) Gordon Wyant, dated August 9

Commenting on proposal to name a street in Evergreen neighbourhood after his father. (File No. CK. 6310-1)”

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation of the Naming Advisory Committee be adopted.

REPORT NO. 12-2011 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Loewen
Councillor P. Lotje
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner

**1. Parking Meter Upgrade
(File No. CK. 6120-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the Administration be authorized to proceed with a Request for Proposals for the modification of parking meter equipment throughout the city.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 86**

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services dated June 29, 2011:

“REPORT

In 2001, the Infrastructure Services Department converted the entire inventory of single space parking meters from mechanical to electronic devices. This conversion was required due to the increasing frequency of broken meters resulting in a marked increase in annual maintenance costs and a decrease in parking meter revenue.

The average useful life of a single space electronic parking meter is approximately 10 years, which is when the cost to maintain the device exceeds its value. That 10-year life span has been reached and the City is faced with escalating repair costs. In addition, the meters are out of date, and some parts are no longer available. The most notable loss is the CityCard, which went out of production in 2006. The replacement card will not function in the current parking meters due to changes in technology.

The Infrastructure Services Department has reviewed the current parking meter infrastructure and has determined that there is an opportunity to take advantage of new technologies and methodologies that are now available in the parking industry, such as:

- Credit card acceptance at both single space and multi space parking meters;
- Maximizing available parking spaces with the use of multi space pay and display or pay by license plate parking meters; and
- System wide control and monitoring of the complete infrastructure using vendor supplied software.

One of the major advantages to upgrading the parking meters is the ability to reintroduce the CityCard system and to make use of the payment options available, including the ability to reload a CityCard at any multi space meter using cash or credit card.

The Administration is requesting permission to proceed with Request for Proposals (RFP) for the modification of parking meter equipment throughout the City. The RFP will provide qualified vendors in the parking industry an opportunity to offer a system that will maximize available parking spaces, reduce operational costs, be flexible in payment options, be user friendly and above all, be reliable.

The RFP will ask that the following two options be provided:

- A staged two to three year implementation strategy covering the high density metered parking locations which currently have nose-in or angle parking, with the final objective being a complete upgrade of the parking meter infrastructure;
- A recommended overall parking strategy for the City of Saskatoon; and
- Options for the replacement of parking meter and enforcement software and hardware, including vendor-owned equipment, which, if chosen, would mean no initial financial impact to the City.

The RFP will also include the following general criteria:

- Real time Payment Card Industry (PCI) compliant credit card verification on multi space meters;
- CityCard compatibility on both multi space and single space meters;
- Guaranteed solar power operation for a minimum of nine hours per day;
- Cold weather operation;
- Remote access from on street systems to City Hall, where applicable;
- Pay-by-cell phone system compatibility; and
- Parking enforcement software with integrated handheld photo taking capabilities.

OPTIONS

Several options were considered for addressing the current issues with respect to the aging parking meter equipment, however, it was determined that the parking industry itself would be able to provide the best solution for the City, through the RFP process.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Capital Project 1518 – Parking Meter Purchases has approved funding in 2011 in the amount of \$900,000. Once final costs have been determined, any additional funding required will be requested from the Parking Reserve.

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

The information contained in this report has been discussed with the Parking Committee, who have indicated their support.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.”

**2. Rемаi Art Gallery of Saskatchewan
Public Open House
(File No. CK. 4129-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following is a report of the City Manager dated July 4, 2011 providing information regarding the above:

“BACKGROUND

During its special meeting held on May 30, 2011, City Council approved the Rемаi Art Gallery of Saskatchewan schematic design, and authorized the Administration to proceed to the public input phase of the project. This report provides an overview on the public’s response to the public open house and in-person and online survey.

REPORT

The public was invited to view the concept drawings for the Rемаi Art Gallery of Saskatchewan at a public open house held from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 22, 2011, at TCU Place. A PowerPoint presentation at 5:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. by the design architects provided the public with an overview of the architecture of the new art gallery and the inspiration that was captured in the design as a result of the unique geography; quality of the natural light of the prairies; the South Saskatchewan River; and, the evolving identity of Saskatoon. Following each presentation, the public was provided with an opportunity in an open forum to ask questions of the architects, City and Mendel Administration, which were specific to the design and the project in general. Prior to and following the open forum, the public also had the opportunity to individually discuss the design with the architects as two stations of storyboards were set-up which provided drawings of the concept design, as well as organization of the functional program for the four-storey building.

The concept drawings and public input forms (Attachment 1) were also available from June 13 - 29 in the lobbies of City Hall and the Mendel Art Gallery. In addition, the concept drawings were available for viewing on the Mendel website, and people could comment through an online survey.

The public open house was attended by 92 people. Survey forms were completed at the open house, in both lobbies, and online for a total of 223 surveys submitted. While this is not statically valid, it does capture the thoughts and feelings of some members of the community. The Administration asked the public for their opinions, which included both positive and constructive feedback, as this information could be used by the architects to further refine their design during the next stage of design development.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 89**

A summary of the comments from the survey is as follows:

- Respondents were asked to highlight, from a list of 11 features of the new gallery, which ones were of interest to them. The top three selections were: Special Exhibitions; Collection Exhibitions; and, Bistro Café.
- The respondents were asked to rate, on a scale from “disagree strongly” to “agree strongly”, four statements about the Remail Art Gallery:
 - the building design takes full advantage of the river view
 - the building is welcoming
 - the design incorporates the features that interest me the most
 - the gallery will enhance the value of River Landing

The majority of respondents from the in-person surveys agreed strongly with all four statements. The online results mirrored the in-person surveys, except for a larger number of respondents indicating that they did not find the building welcoming.

- The respondents were asked which elements/aspects/features of the design they liked the most. The comments ranged in the following themes:
 - design (including: boxy/cubic design the architecture the colour, the mesh, etc.)
 - interior spaces (including: bright spaces, the glass, openness, etc.)
 - view
 - location (in River Landing, surrounding the Remail Arts Centre, close to the river, etc.)
 - gallery spaces
- The respondents were asked what elements/aspects/features of the design they liked the least. The comments ranged in the following themes:
 - design (including: boxy/cubic design, the architecture, the colour, the mesh, etc.)
 - interior spaces (including: bright spaces, the glass, etc.)
 - view
 - location (including: keep it at the Mendel, doesn't fit in with the surroundings, too close to the freeway, etc.)
 - cost
 - gallery spaces
- **Additional Comments:**
 - need room for visible and secure bicycle parking
 - want to have free parking

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 90**

- want to have free admission
- want to have lunchtime and weekend activities
- would like the café to serve local, unique food products
- concerned about cost of maintenance and staffing
- put in a rooftop patio for weddings or dining
- the Mendel is now too small for travelling exhibitions
- stay at current location and upgrade the Mendel
- preserve the Mendel legacy

A majority of participants at the open house were excited about the design, and a good dialogue occurred between participants and the architects during the open forum. The public asked the architects to consider: green energy and sustainable design; secure bike parking (both at street level and in the underground parkade); use of indigenous building materials; the ability to animate interior and exterior spaces along the south face; and, the importance of the community gallery for public programming. Additional comments included: the space should be used primarily for art and not public gatherings; and, ensuring the acoustics of the lecture theatre were adequate to meet a wide range of public program needs.

The Administration will forward both a summary of the comments and each written survey to the design architects for their review and consideration during the next stage of design development. The survey also provided the opportunity for people to list their contact information if they had specific questions or wanted a follow-up phone call. The Administration is currently contacting those individuals.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Remai Art Gallery of Saskatchewan Survey Form.”

**3. Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan
Adaptive Re-use of the Mendel Art Gallery Building
(File No. CK. 620-4, x 4205-9-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that at the time a new tenant and use for the Mendel Art Gallery building is determined, the heating, cooling and ventilation system for the building be replaced, to be funded from the Civic Building comprehensive Maintenance Reserve;
 - 2) that the City fully fund the annual operating costs for the conservatory/conservatory support, and proportionately fund its share of the annual operating costs for the public washrooms and lobby access;
 - 3) that the Mendel Art Gallery Building be considered a significant civic asset and remain publically accessible with a focus on program activities; and
 - 4) that the recoverable costs for the Mendel Art Gallery Building include building reserve costs.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speaker. See Page No. 116.

**4. Appointment to Municipal Planning Commission
(File No. CK. 175-16)**

- RECOMMENDATION:** that Ms. Janice Braden be appointed to the Municipal Planning Commission to the end of 2011, to replace Ms. Joy Crawford who has resigned.

ADOPTED.

**5. Service Review – Boards and Commissions
(File No. CK. 116-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:** that City Council instruct its Boards and Commissions to undertake a Service Review for their respective operations and to put forward their “budget decision items” for consideration at the August 16th Service Review meeting.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 92**

Your Committee has started a formal Service Review process in order to review business and service lines to see what opportunities there may be to achieve efficiencies and cost reductions; better balance user pay versus property tax subsidy pricing of services; review appropriateness of current service levels; and reconsider the City's role and priority in programs introduced within the last decade.

This Service Review includes all civic operations under the direction of the City Manager, and as such does not include the outside Boards and Commissions (eg. Mendel Art Gallery, Library, TCU Place, Credit Union Centre, Board of Police Commissioners). Your Committee is of the view that in order to achieve the desired results the review should be applied to all civic operations, and accordingly puts forward the above recommendation.

REPORT NO. 13-2011 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Loewen
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner

**1. Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan – Adaptive Re-use
of the Mendel Art Gallery Building
(File No. CK. 620-4)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City fund 40% of the annual operating costs, including utilities and the Civic Buildings and Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve contribution, for the proportional share of public use of the washrooms and lobby access of the existing Mendel Art Gallery building.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speaker. See Page No. 116.

2. **Saskatchewan Irrigation Projects Association Inc.**
Request for Support
(File No. CK. 277-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached for the information of Council is a report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated July 26, 2011, regarding the above matter.

3. **Land Acquisition – 3035 Preston Avenue**
(File No. CK. 4020-12)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the City purchase the west boundary of 3035 Preston Avenue South, for the purpose of road widening as part of the Circle Drive South River Crossing Project, for a purchase price of \$63,600.00;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary purchase agreements and that His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreements; and
- 3) that the cost of acquisition and related expenses be charged to the Property Realized Reserve, as an interim source of financing.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Manager dated August 8, 2011:

“BACKGROUND

At its meeting held May 28, 2007, City Council considered Clause 6, Report No. 9-2007 of the Executive Committee and adopted the following recommendation with respect to the Circle Drive, South River Crossing project:

- “3) that the Administration be authorized to negotiate with all land owners identified for the acquisition of the necessary rights-of-way for the construction of this project.”

REPORT

The subject property is situated at the west boundary of 3035 Preston Avenue South, Parcel F Plan 78-S-27733 Extension 1, Surface Parcel #131749128, encompassing an area of approximately 492.3m²; Schedule "A" is attached for reference.

The land area is required to accommodate development of the east side traffic corridor as part of the Circle Drive South River Crossing project. There will be an overpass constructed at the intersection of Preston Avenue and Circle Drive.

The City's property agent has negotiated a purchase agreement with the Circle Drive Alliance Church for the required land.

Significant terms and conditions of the Offer to Purchase agreement are as follows:

1. Purchase Price
Purchase price is \$63,600.00, with an initial deposit of \$10,000.00, with the balance of the Purchase Price to be paid on the Closing Date.
2. Conditions Precedent
 - a) Approval of Saskatoon City Council by August 19, 2011.
 - b) The City will be responsible for surveying the land, registration of the plan, and transfer of title.
 - c) Upon execution of the Agreement, the City shall have access to the land as required for the purpose of soil sampling; geotechnical testing or other similar work provided such activities do not unduly interfere with the business activities of the Seller.
3. Legal Costs and Disbursements
Each party shall be responsible for its own legal costs.
4. Possession Date
Immediately upon approval by City of Saskatoon City Council.
5. Closing Date
The earliest date acceptable to both parties, subsequent to the subdivision approval and registration of the subject Lands.

OPTIONS

There are no options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

It is recommended that the cost of acquisition and related expenses be charged to the Property Realized Reserve as an interim source of funding.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the Public Notice Policy No. C01-021, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Schedule A: Area Required for Circle Drive South Project.””

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

The regular order of business was suspended and Item 12a) of Motions was brought forward and considered as follows:

12a) Motions

Councillor Paulsen gave the following Notice of Motion on July 11, 2011:

“In accordance with Section 35(1)(b) of The Council and Committee Procedure Bylaw, this is to advise that at the meeting of City Council scheduled for Wednesday, August 17, 2011, I will move the following motion:

‘that City Council rescind the following motion which was passed by City Council at its meeting held on June 13, 2011: 'that the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components.’”

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 96**

Should the above motion be passed by City Council, I will then move the following motion:

‘that the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components or proposals on collections alone or proposals on processing alone.’”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT City Council rescind the following motion which was passed by City Council at its meeting held on June 13, 2011: 'that the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components.'

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Donauer, Dubois, Heidt, Paulsen,
and Penner* 6

NAYS: Councillors Clark, Hill, Loewen, and Lorje 4

CARRIED WITH THE NECESSARY SIX VOTES.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components or proposals on collections alone or proposals on processing alone.

*YEAS: His Worship the Mayor, Councillors Donauer, Dubois, Heidt, Paulsen,
and Penner* 6

NAYS: Councillors Clark, Hill, Loewen, and Lorje 4

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 14-2011 – CONTINUED

**F1) Recycling Request For Proposals
(Files: CK. 7830-5 and WT 7832-19)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Draft Recycling Request for Proposals (RFP) document attached to this report be finalized by Administration and then issued through the City of Saskatoon Purchasing Department in accordance with the identified time-lines; and,
 - 2) that the Evaluation Committee report back to City Council with a recommendation related to award of contract.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting of January 17, 2011, Council instructed Administration to develop a Request for Proposal for a comprehensive, city-wide, curbside collection service of recyclables from one-unit dwellings.

At the June 13, 2011 meeting of City Council, the following RFP Fundamentals were adopted:

- 1) That the Request for Proposals for a comprehensive, city-wide recycling program be developed based on the principals of flexibility and performance outcomes as described in the report (of May 9, 2011);
- 2) That the Request for Proposals be based on a city-wide concept;
- 3) That the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components;
- 4) That the Recycling RFP allow proposals that identify single-stream, multiple-stream, or modified versions of any method of recyclable material collections, and that no glass be collected; and
- 5) That the proposed RFP be brought forward to City Council for final approval prior to issuance.

REPORT

A Draft Recycling Request for Proposals (RFP) is included with this report as Attachment 1. An evaluation process, which aligns with the RFP Fundamentals outlined in May, is included to highlight the prioritization of factors considered important to the development of a successful single-family curbside recycling program for Saskatoon.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 98**

Administration has considered the importance of transparency and fairness through the Competitive Selection Process. Composition of the Evaluation Committee is proposed as follows:

- Jeff Jorgenson - General Manager, Utility Services Department
- Brenda Wallace - Manager, Environmental Services Branch
- Representative to Be Determined, Finance Branch, Corporate Services Department
- One Representative from the City's Internal Auditor, Garman, Weimer & Associates Ltd.
- One Representative from **exp** Services Inc., Consultant

The Evaluation Committee will consider whether a Proposal substantially satisfies the requirements of the RFP and demonstrates that the Proponent is capable of performing and will perform the obligations and responsibilities of an Agreement. A three-envelope system will form the basis of the evaluation process.

The first sealed envelope will contain the Mandatory Requirements: the Submission must be received at the Delivery Address no later than the Closing Time; and the Proponent must include an executed Consent of Surety from the Proponent's surety. This package will be reviewed prior to consideration of the Technical Submission.

The second sealed envelope will contain the Technical Proposal Requirements. These include the various performance-based objectives for which points are awarded (to a maximum of 70) based on the quality of the Submission. This package will be reviewed prior to consideration of the Financial Submission.

The third sealed envelope will contain the Financial Proposal Requirements. A maximum of 30 points may be awarded. For the purposes of comparing Submissions, the Evaluation Committee will use a net present value approach to the pricing provided for each year of the seven year term.

The Evaluation Committee anticipates selecting as Preferred Proponent the Proponent submitting the Proposal achieving the highest score based on a detailed evaluation. The following table summarizes the maximum points available through evaluation.

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Available Points
EFFICIENCY: Management and Track Record	10 points
EFFICIENCY: Quality Control	10 points
EFFICIENCY: Reporting	5 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Economic Viability	20 points
SUSTAINABILITY: Environmental Impact	2 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Ease of Participation	10 points
CONVENIENCE TO RESIDENTS: Implementation Plan	2 points
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Range of Materials	2 points
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Material Capture	3 points
DIVERSION OF MATERIALS: Material Recycling and Re-Use	3 points
Pricing For Provision of Unsorted Fibre For Delivery To Cosmopolitan Industries	3 points
Financial Submission (Package 3)	30 points
TOTAL	100 points

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications have been provided in previous reports.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Environmental impacts will be reported on in subsequent reports outlining program specifics derived from the highest scoring Proposal.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No.C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Draft Request for Proposals for a Single-Family Residential Curbside Recycling Program

Item A1 of the July 13 Communications to Council and Item A3 of the August 17 Communications to Council were brought forward.

“A1) Peter Gerrard, Executive Director, Cosmopolitan Industries Limited, dated July 5

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

A3) Sean Shaw, dated July 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to recycling – requests for proposals. (File No. CK. 7830-5)”

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Ken Gryschuk, dated July 12, 2011, requesting to speak to Council regarding the above matter; and*
- *Aaron Loraas, dated July 12, 2011, requesting to speak to Council regarding the above matter.*

His Worship the Mayor noted that Messrs Gerrard and Gryschuk had withdrawn their requests to speak.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 100**

His Worship the Mayor noted that due to the preceding resolution that the evaluation of proposals submitted under the Recycling RFP be based on complete proposals including both collections and processing components or proposals on collections alone or proposals on processing alone, that this matter will not be dealt with. The Administration will report in due course with a revised Recycling RFP.

**E5) Storm Water Utility Rate Structure
(File No. CK. 1905-2)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that implementation of the storm sewer rate structure, outlined in the Storm Sewer Audit which was approved by Council in 2001, proceed directly to Phase III, with an implementation date of January 1, 2012;
- 2) that a new Storm Water Utility system be created to charge property owners the storm water utility fee based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- 3) that a separate utility bill and billing system be created to charge the storm water utility fee to commercial and industrial properties and to property owners without a water meter based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;
- 4) that single detached homes pay a fixed nominal base rate of one Equivalent Runoff Unit for the storm water utility;
- 5) that all other properties pay a storm utility rate based on the estimated amount of impervious area on their property, but not less than a rate of two Equivalent Runoff Units for the storm water utility;
- 6) that Rate Strategy Option 1 – Re-Adjustment with Rising Cap and Rate Increases, be implemented for commercial and industrial properties;
- 7) that a recalculation procedure be implemented with the new utility structure to allow property owners to receive fee reductions for storm water improvements such as private storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other “soft” landscaping;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 101**

- 8) that the storm water utility be phased in over seven years, with full implementation by January 1, 2018; thereby generating approximately \$3.1 million in additional revenue for the utility;
- 9) that the storm water utility be revenue neutral in the first year of implementation (2012); and
- 10) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following report outlines recommendations for changes to the storm water utility structure, which currently applies a flat rate to water meter bills, to one which would charge property owners proportionately for the amount of storm water load their property imposes on the storm sewer system. With the current system, single detached homes have effectively been subsidizing large properties.

The report includes a number of rate options and the Administration's recommendations. The additional revenue the utility will generate through future rate increases will be directed towards asset preservation, adherence to future provincial environmental regulations, and projects designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding from severe storm events.

The report also outlines procedures to allow property owners with large impervious areas to request a recalculation of their property's Equivalent Runoff Unit (ERU) evaluation and to be credited for private property improvements, such as storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales or pervious landscaping that reduces the amount of runoff.

BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on December 17, 2007, during consideration of Clause 1, Report No. 3-2007 of the Budget Committee, considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated November 22, 2007, and approved the recommendation that the storm water utility levy rate be increased from \$3.40 per water meter to \$4.40 per water meter, effective January 1, 2008. Council also approved the recommendation that the Administration report further on the long-term funding requirement and rate structure of the storm water utility in 2008.

As explained in the report dated November 22, 2007, a new funding model is required to more equitably distribute the cost of service over the utility's customer base. The original plan, as outlined in the Storm Sewer Audit (which was approved by Council in 2001) was to implement the storm water utility charges in three phases. Phase I (implemented on January 1, 2002) charged a fixed levy on each water service which transferred storm sewer funding from the mill rate. Phase II was to charge a levy on parcels based on the area of each parcel. Phase III was to charge a levy on properties based on the amount of impervious (hard) surface area on the parcel.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 102**

At its meeting held on April 18, 2011, Council considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, forwarding recommended changes to the storm water utility rate structure and adopted a motion that the Administration report further with detailed recommendations for a new storm water utility rate structure, including rate options, phase-in periods, implementation costs and a communications plan.

REPORT

The Storm Water Utility currently funds the operation, engineering, maintenance and small-scale capital project costs required to manage the storm sewer infrastructure throughout the city. The storm sewer infrastructure consists of the ponds, pipes, culverts, ditches, outfalls, manholes and catch basins used to collect and convey rainwater and snowmelt from streets, sidewalks and lanes, as well as from private properties, to the South Saskatchewan River. Currently, the utility does not fund a large scale asset preservation program, or any large scale capital projects designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding from severe rain storms.

The storm water utility is currently collected at \$4.40 per month (\$52.80 annually) from each of the 64,398 water meters in the city, generating annual revenues of \$3.42 million, which is approximately distributed as follows:

- \$235,000 for costs of collection and administration charges, licenses and insurance;
- \$1,500,000 to the Storm Infrastructure Reserve for capital rehabilitation works; and
- \$1,685,000 to the storm system operating programs and engineering.

Asset Preservation Requirements

The current value of the storm water system is approximately \$437,000,000. The \$1,500,000 allocated towards capital rehabilitation per year equates to 0.3% of asset value, which implies a 333 year service life per element of the storm system. This funding level translates into a service level where the overall condition of the asset will decline, the cost of maintenance will increase, and the level of service to citizens will continue to decline.

Current funding levels do not allow for system-wide evaluation methods (i.e. a comprehensive storm sewer camera program) to establish the current condition of the majority of storm sewer assets. Once an evaluation program is in place to determine the current condition of the assets, a long-term funding strategy can be established to improve the service level. It is currently estimated that in the long term, a minimum funding level of 1.0% of the asset value, or approximately \$4.4 million annually is required to maintain the storm sewer system. Since the current utility provides \$1.5 million, there is a deficit of at least \$2.9 million in asset preservation.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 103**

Project Locations for Reducing the Risk of Surface Flooding

The Administration is recommending that an appropriate portion of additional revenue over the next five to seven years be directed to large infrastructure projects that are designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding during severe storm events. As previously outlined, the costs of maintenance, capital rehabilitation and capital improvement must be balanced. The capital improvement projects may include such upgrades as new ponds, surface diversion features, relief sewers or storm sewer lining.

A number of locations where property damage has occurred in the past during severe rain events have been identified for these projects, including but not limited to:

Confederation Park	Confederation Drive and Laurier Drive
Haultain	1 st Street and Dufferin Avenue South
Brevoort Park	Early Drive and Tucker Crescent
Westview	Selkirk Crescent and Byers Crescent
Central Business District	23rd Street East and 2nd Avenue North
Avalon	William Avenue and Cascade Street
Dundonald	Junor Avenue and Makaroff Road
Lakeview	Whiteshore Crescent
Adelaide	Ruth Street West and Munroe Avenue South

It is important to note that these projects differ from the major infrastructure projects, such as “super pipes”, that are funded from the Temporary Flood Protection Levy, which are projects designed to reduce the risk of basement flooding from sanitary sewer backups during severe rain events.

Storm Water Utility Funding Requirements

Table 1 below illustrates how the funds from the Storm Water Utility would be allocated. The first year (2012) would see a one-time \$200,000 implementation cost. For the first years of the utility increase the approximately \$3.1 million in extra funding would be directed towards capital projects designed to lower the risk of damage from surface flooding. Gradually, over seven to eight years, less money would be allocated to these projects and more would be allocated towards asset management rehabilitation projects.

Table 1: Proposed Allocation of Storm Water Utility Funding (\$,000)

Item	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Administration	\$ 235	\$ 235	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265	\$ 265
Implementation		\$ 200									
Operating	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685	\$1,685
Asset Management	\$1,500	\$1,300	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$3,005	\$4,560
Flood Reduction			\$ 660	\$1,170	\$1,630	\$2,040	\$2,520	\$3,060	\$3,060	\$1,555	
Total	\$3,420	\$3,420	\$4,110	\$4,620	\$5,080	\$5,490	\$5,970	\$6,510	\$6,510	\$6,510	\$6,510

Current Rate Structure

The \$4.40 per month rate system, which was implemented as a charge on water meters in 2002 (as part of Phase I), does not take into account the area of a property or the amount of “hard” surface on a property. “Hard” surfaces are areas such as concrete, asphalt and roofs, which do not allow rainwater to soak into the ground, creating runoff, as opposed to “soft” surfaces, such as lawns or gardens, which allow water to infiltrate. The more “hard” surface a property has, the more rainwater it will send into the storm water system, thereby creating the need for larger pipes, ponds and other infrastructure to move the rainwater to the river.

The current method of charging the storm water utility is imbalanced and ineffective for the following reasons:

- Only properties with a water meter pay the utility. For example, pay-for-use parking lots do not contribute to the utility.
- All properties that pay the utility pay the same amount. Therefore, a single house pays the same amount as a commercial property with a large parking area.
- The rate of increase in funding to the utility equals the rate of new water meters, not the rate of storm sewer infrastructure growth.
- Property owners have no incentive to reduce runoff.
- The current funding level is insufficient to fund any large scale flood reduction projects or any asset management strategies for the storm water system.

The proposed new structure outlined in this report seeks to eliminate these inequalities and generate the extra revenue necessary to fund large scale flood reduction projects and asset management strategies.

The proposed new storm water utility rate structure will charge the utility to every property at a rate proportional to the estimated amount of “hard” surface present on the property (this was referred to as “Phase III” in the storm water rate structure approved in 2001). It will also provide a process to request recalculation of a property’s storm water utility charge. Property owners may challenge the “hard” surface estimate and receive credit for property improvements designed to reduce or store runoff from rainfall events.

The Equivalent Runoff Unit Concept

Charging property owners for the amount of “hard” area on their property requires a new system, generally referred to as charging by Equivalent Runoff Unit (ERU). ERU’s are a standard method used by many municipalities for storm sewer billing. The approach is relatively straight forward, even though there are many variables and different applications of the method.

The first step involves determining the value of an ERU. One ERU is defined as the average amount of hard surface on a typical single detached residential house. In Saskatoon, the average single detached house has 265.3 square metres of hard surface, representing the base value for determining the number of ERUs for each property. For simplicity and uniform billing, all single

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 105**

detached houses are deemed to have exactly one ERU on their property. In Saskatoon, these single ERU properties represent approximately 91% of all properties.

Although single ERU properties represent a large proportion of the total number of properties, they represent only 60% of the impervious area on private property in Saskatoon. Approximately 6,000, or 9% of all properties in the city generate the remaining 40% of the runoff. It is these properties, which generate a disproportionate amount of the runoff to the storm sewer system, that will see a significant increase to the proportion of the storm sewer utility payment required.

The ERU concept creates a system whereby owners are billed fairly for the amount of storm water that their property generates. Single detached homeowners will not subsidize large commercial and industrial properties, and owners who do not have a water meter (i.e. parking lots) will be billed appropriately for the storm water loading that their property generates.

Rate Capping

Although the ERU system offers an equitable charging method for the storm water utility, it creates a very significant fee increase for those owners with extremely large amounts of hard surface on their property. A total of 91 properties in Saskatoon have more than 100 ERUs. These property owners could see an average increase of up to 300 times their current rate.

To soften this impact, a rate cap may be necessary in order to allow these property owners to adjust to the new system, as well as to provide time for them to implement changes to lower their impact on the system. If they choose not to implement changes, it will allow time for them to plan for the storm utility increase that will result from their inaction, if the cap is removed. A disadvantage of a rate cap is that individual homes will be subsidizing the larger properties; however, if the cap is phased out gradually, this inequity can be removed over time. A rising cap also has the effect of providing a “push”, as rate increases each year send a signal to property owners that future increases will continue through inaction.

Implementation

A lead time of at least six months is required to structure the storm water utility so that it can be collected and billed separately using the property’s zoning and gross area. It will also allow the Administration the time to conduct a communication and information strategy to help property owners understand and adjust to the changes.

Council approval is required to adopt ERUs as the basis for setting the storm water utility rates, which will allow the Administration to proceed with the development of a billing system for the revised storm water utility structure.

The 91 properties with the largest ERUs are of particular concern as they will have the largest rate increase. The Infrastructure Services Department will work with these property owners individually to ensure the maximum benefit to the utility through storm water reduction methods. In addition, the Infrastructure Services Department will provide education and opportunities for individual homeowners to reduce their impact on the storm water collection system.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 106**

Billing and Fee Collection

Two options exist for collecting the storm water utility from property owners: through the water meter bill or through a separate storm water utility bill sent directly to property owners.

The Cities Act states that utilities can never be part of the property tax system; therefore, the option of including the utility on an owner's property tax bill cannot be considered.

The advantage of charging the storm water utility on the water meter bill is convenience, as the customer simply pays the fee monthly with their other utility charges. The disadvantage is that, in the case of rental properties, the water bill may be paid by the renter, not the property owner. This is significant, because it is the property owner who can make changes to the site to reduce its impact on the storm water system.

The Administration recommends that both billing methods be used for the new storm water utility rate structure, with single and multi-residential properties continuing to pay the storm water utility from their water meter bill; and commercial and industrial properties, as well as properties without water meters receiving a separate annual bill.

Single detached homes would always pay the minimum rate of one ERU, while multi-residential properties would pay proportional to the impervious area on their property. Billing through the water meter ensures that condominiums and single ownership multi-residential properties pay the same rate. Overall, these property categories represent over 90% of the total properties in Saskatoon.

Billing commercial properties, industrial properties and properties without water meters annually ensures that the property owner is charged for the utility, potentially influencing them to make changes to lower their property's impact on the storm sewer system. The Administration is recommending that the bill be sent directly to these property owners on February 1 annually. If the bill is not paid within 60 days (by April 1), the amount will be placed in arrears and added directly to the property tax bill in May, without penalty, thereby providing some convenience by allowing them pay the bill with their property tax and through the TIPPS program. The Administration will also be investigating electronic payment options.

Recalculation Procedure

Any property owner paying more than the minimum charge will have the ability to request a recalculation with respect to the estimated amount of impervious area they generate. An ERU evaluation form would be filled in by the property owner, and an engineering technician would perform an investigation of the property to determine if the estimate of impervious area is accurate, and make adjustments as necessary. Credit would be given for improvements designed to store, divert, delay or improve the quality of storm water released into the system.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 107**

These improvements may include, but are not limited to, private storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other “soft” landscaping. Property owners will be credited for the equivalent amount of runoff that would be diverted during a storm event.

All single detached homes would still pay a minimum of one ERU, and all other properties would pay a minimum of two ERUs, regardless of the actual impervious area on the property. While single detached homes do not have a connection directly to the storm sewer, commercial and industrial properties generally have a direct pipe connection to the storm sewer for their roof drains or parking lots; hence the minimum charge of two ERUs rather than one.

Rate Structure

Single detached homes would pay exactly one ERU, regardless of size. All other properties would pay proportional to their estimated impervious “hard” surface, with a minimum of two ERUs charged. High impervious commercial properties tend to be entirely hard surfaced and, therefore, the property area multiplier is 0.9. Most other properties have a mix of “hard” and “soft” surfaces; therefore, a multiplier of 0.6 is used. These are generally accepted multipliers used in storm sewer engineering design.

As an example, a 1,500 square metre light industrial IL1 parcel would be estimated to have 900 square metres (0.6 area multiplier) of “hard” surface. Divided by 265.3 square metres per ERU, the property would pay at a rate of 3.4 ERUs. In other terms, the property generates the same amount of runoff as 3.4 average homes and, therefore, pays for this amount.

Multiple Ownership Residential Parcel (i.e Condominium) Rates

Multiple ownership residential parcels represent a unique situation in that the parcel may generate the same amount of runoff as a single ownership multi-residential property, but the multiple owners must still be charged for the correct proportion for the impervious areas associated with public property (i.e. streets, sidewalks, interchanges, etc.). Three possible options for charging the storm water utility exist:

- a) The parcel be charged at the same rate as a single ownership parcel and the individual owners be charged the appropriate fraction of the utility rate. This would effectively require that the utility be billed through the water meter as it would be very difficult to accurately assign the utility to individual condominiums. Further, this type of individual discrimination is not provided for calculating the rates for single detached homes. The amount of impervious property for each house varies significantly, yet a single rate charge of one ERU is applied.
- b) Charge all owners a flat rate of 0.7 ERUs to reflect that the multiple site parcel has less impact on the storm sewer system than a single detached house, although the owners must still be charged for the correct proportion of the impervious areas associated with public property.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 108**

- c) Charge all owners a flat rate of 1.0 ERU as a reflection of the minimum charge for any property in the city, regardless of circumstances.

From a total utility revenue standpoint the difference between the options is estimated at less than 1% of the total utility revenue, which is not significant.

OPTIONS

Billing

Determining how users will be billed for the utility helps contextualize some of the other parameters. The recommended billing strategy is shown below in Table 2. Groups may be shifted from one billing type or category to another.

Table 2: Recommended Storm Water Utility Billing Strategy

<u>LAND USE</u>	<u>ESTIMATED SITES</u>	<u>BILLING</u>	<u>RATE</u>
Single Residential	57,000	Water Meter	Exactly One ERU
Multi-Residential	910	Water Meter	By Impervious Area
Condominium Sites	270	Water Meter	By Impervious Area
Commercial	5,000	Separate Bill	By Impervious Area
Industrial	1,200	Separate Bill	By Impervious Area

Billing on the water meter provides convenience, but in the case of rental properties, charges the user or renter, while issuing a separate bill ensures that the property owner pays the utility.

A further possibility may exist if Council adopts moving waste collection to a utility. Any bill generated for the waste utility could also be utilized for the storm utility, as they are both property based assessments. Since the storm water utility is charged on the water bill as a matter of convenience, it could be transferred to a combined utility bill. Residential property users would receive the combined utility bill, while commercial and industrial property owners would only be charged for the storm water utility. As both utilities progress over time, these charges can be altered as necessary through this new single utility billing system.

Phase-in Period

A phase-in period of seven years is recommended to allow property owners to adjust to the new system. It is also recommended that the new utility rate structure begin on January 1, 2012, and that at the beginning of the utility's seventh year (January 1, 2018) the utility be fully implemented. Any length of time may be chosen as a phase-in period, or the phase-in period may be eliminated altogether.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 109**

Rate Capping

Rate capping reduces the impact of the new fee structure for the owners of large properties. The recommended capping strategy is shown in Table 3 below. Groups may be shifted from one category to another and minimum charges, starting caps and maximum caps may be altered.

Table 3: Recommended Storm Water Utility Rate Capping Strategy

LAND USE	ESTIMATED SITES	Minimum Charge	Starting cap	Maximum Cap
Single Residential	57,000	Exactly 1 ERU	NA	NA
Multi-Residential	910	2 ERUs	No cap	No cap
Condominium Sites	270	2 ERUs	No cap	No cap
Commercial	5,000	2 ERUs	10 ERUs	100 ERUs
Industrial	1,200	2 ERUs	10 ERUs	100 ERUs

It is recommended that multi-residential and condominium sites not be subjected to a cap for two reasons:

- 1) Not capping the sites provides a measure of equality with single residential property owners; and
- 2) Because the area and composition of these sites are not among the largest impervious properties in the city, only a low cap would impact them.

A "Revenue Neutral" or Re-Adjustment Period

A "Revenue Neutral" or readjustment period of one year is recommended to demonstrate the realignment of the utility from a flat fee to a user-pay strategy without adding the confusion caused by extra revenue generation.

The disadvantage of a "Revenue Neutral" period is that rate increases are necessary to bring the ERU rate back to the funding level required to generate an additional \$3.1 million in revenue.

Although manipulating the four variables listed above could produce many possible alternatives, three obvious options could be implemented:

- Option 1 – Re-Adjustment with Rising cap and Rate Increases
- Option 2 – Re-Adjustment with a Steady 100 ERU cap and Rate Increases
- Option 3 – No Re-Adjustment with a Rising cap and No Rate Increases

It would be appropriate to use any option that provides additional revenue of \$3.1 million to fund large scale asset preservation programs and large scale capital projects designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding from severe rain storms. The three options listed above are outlined in Attachment 1. The Administration is recommending that Rate Strategy Option 1 – Re-Adjustment with Rising Cap and Rate Increases be implemented. This option is revenue neutral in the first year of implementation. The additional revenue the utility will generate through future rate

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 110**

increases will be directed towards asset preservation, adherence to future provincial environmental regulations, and projects designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding from severe storm events.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The new proposed rate structure fundamentally changes the storm water utility levy collection system from a monthly flat fee water meter based payment, to a payment based on how much estimated “load” a property owner places on the storm sewer system through runoff generated from their property. To a large extent, property owners rather than water users will now pay the levy. The levy will no longer be a flat fee with the very large group of single detached homeowners subsidizing the relatively smaller group of properties with large impervious areas. By basing the levy on estimated impervious area, each property owner will pay proportionally for the runoff they contribute.

The proposed new rate structure requires the creation of a new billing system for properties without water meters and requires that these property owners receive a new utility bill separate from the property tax bill.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Any additional revenue generated by the proposed storm utility rate structure would be used to fund storm sewer projects. Administration costs such as communication and recalculation inspection costs are relatively minor. Implementation of a new billing system will have a one-time formation cost estimated at \$200,000 and a continuing operating cost estimated at \$30,000 annually. These costs will be paid by the storm water utility.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

A communications plan will be developed to smoothly transition all property owners that receive the new storm utility bill. The Infrastructure Services Department will also work with individual commercial and industrial properties that have large impervious areas to help with the transition to the new rate structure system.

Key messages would include a description of how the additional revenue generated through the storm water utility funding will be directed, in part, to projects designed to reduce the risk of surface flooding during severe storm events and would list the locations which have been identified for projects, where property damage has occurred in the past during severe rain events.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The proposed new rate structure would have a positive environmental impact to the city and the watershed as it would encourage the detention and treatment (through settling) of storm water before it is discharged into the river as many of the capital improvements funded by the additional revenue received will be for storage infrastructure (i.e. ponds) that capture and detain runoff. This will eliminate silt and debris that would otherwise flow into the river.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 111**

In addition, rate recalculations for private property owners for the construction of private storage ponds or tanks, or “green” storm water improvements such as bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other “soft” landscaping will encourage the detention of storm water.

Most new environmental regulations in jurisdictions outside of Saskatchewan emphasize detention and settling as the main aspects of improving storm water quality. Encouraging these features through the storm water rate structure will place the City of Saskatoon ahead of any future regulations.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Details of the Three Rate Options for the Storm Water Utility

The City Clerk distributed copies of a summary information sheet provided by the Water and Sewer Engineering Manager regarding the above matter.

Item A1 of the August 17 Communications to Council was brought forward.

“A1) Laurie Bourgeois, dated July 5 and 12

Requesting permission for Michel Thibaut to address City Council regarding flooding issues on 700 block of 1st Street East and submitting petition of approximately 20 names with respect to the above. (File No. CK. 7820-2)”

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT a representative of the 700 Block of 1st Street East be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Laurie Bourgeois spoke on behalf of the residents of the 700 block of 1st Street East. She provided a brief history of the flooding problems in the area and expressed the urgent need for a solution.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

- 1) *that implementation of the storm sewer rate structure, outlined in the Storm Sewer Audit which was approved by Council in 2001, proceed directly to Phase III, with an implementation date of January 1, 2012;*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 112**

- 2) *that a new Storm Water Utility system be created to charge property owners the storm water utility fee based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;*
- 3) *that a separate utility bill and billing system be created to charge the storm water utility fee to commercial and industrial properties and to property owners without a water meter based on the amount of impervious area on the property, subject to minimum rates;*
- 4) *that single detached homes pay a fixed nominal base rate of one Equivalent Runoff Unit for the storm water utility;*
- 5) *that all other properties pay a storm utility rate based on the estimated amount of impervious area on their property, but not less than a rate of two Equivalent Runoff Units for the storm water utility;*
- 6) *that Rate Strategy Option 3 – No Re-Adjustment with Rising Cap and No Rate Increases, be implemented for commercial and industrial properties;*
- 7) *that a recalculation procedure be implemented with the new utility structure to allow property owners to receive fee reductions for storm water improvements such as private storage ponds, storage tanks, bio-swales, green roofs, permeable paving, rain gardens or other “soft” landscaping;*
- 8) *that the storm water utility be phased in over seven years, with full implementation by January 1, 2018; thereby generating approximately \$3.1 million in additional revenue for the utility;*
- 9) *that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council; and*
- 10) *that a report be submitted providing an explanation of the billing system costs of \$200,000.*

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 15-2011 - CONTINUED

**F4) Capital Project #2193 - WTP – High Lift Pump Station Upgrade
Contract No. 11-0425 WTP Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project – Pumping
Equipment Supply – Award of Tender
(File No. CK. 7920-1 and WT 7960-96-4-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. for the Water Treatment Plant Avenue H Reservoir Expansion Project - Pumping Equipment Supply, Contract No. 11-0425, at a total estimated cost of \$3,060,200.00 including GST and PST be accepted; and
 - 2) that the Purchasing Services Branch be instructed to send a Letter of Intent to the Supplier stating that, following award of the construction and installation contract, the General Contractor will issue a Purchase Order to the supplier (Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd.) for the selected equipment.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #2193 - WTP - High Lift Pump Station Upgrade provides funding for the design and construction of a new distribution pumping station at the Water Treatment Plant. The project has a total of \$5,100,000 of approved funding in the 2007, 2008, and 2010 Capital Budgets.

Capital Project #2195 - WTP - Enhanced Disinfection System provides funding for the design and construction of an ultraviolet disinfection system. The project has \$290,000 of approved funding in the 2006 Capital Budget and proposed funding of \$9,160,000 on the 2012 Capital Plan.

Capital Project #2198 - WTP - Reservoir Capacity Expansion provides funding for construction of additional capacity at the Avenue H and 42nd Street locations and reconfiguration of the distribution system to provide transferability between reservoirs. Sub Project #2, Avenue H Reservoir Expansion, has \$14,850,000 of approved funding in the 2009 and 2010 Capital Budgets.

CH2M HILL Canada Limited prepared a Long Term Capital Development and Expansion Plan (LTCDP) for the Water Treatment Plant (WTP) in 2009 and a major recommendation was the construction of a combined storage reservoir/chlorine and ultraviolet disinfection/high lift pumping facility located adjacent to the existing Avenue H Reservoir. At its meeting held on April 26, 2010, Council approved the award of engineering services for the combined facility to Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited for the design and construction engineering.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 114**

REPORT

The Avenue H Expansion Project is presently at the final stage of the detail design with construction planned to start in spring 2012.

The tendering process for this project is handled in two phases. The first one involves pre-selection of the major facility equipment, followed by the tendering for construction of the facility and equipment installation. The pre-selection of the equipment will secure timely supply of this equipment and allow completion of the detail design stage.

This process includes tendering and issuing a Letter of Intent to the selected supplier which constitutes a bid approval. Additionally, a Purchase Order for 5% of the total bid value will be sent to the supplier for the submission of the equipment shop drawings needed to complete detail design. The selected equipment and its price will be identified in the contract tender documents for the construction of the proposed facility scheduled for October 2011. As a consequence to the award of the construction contract, the General Contractor will become responsible for the execution of the equipment supply and installation. This process has been successfully utilized for the New Intake Facility.

The consultant's opinion of probable cost prior to tendering was \$4,000,000.

Tenders were opened publicly on June 22, 2011. Three tenders were received and are listed below:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Tender Price</u>
ITT Water & Wastewater (Saskatoon, SK)	\$2,993,104.40
National Process Equipment (Saskatoon, SK)	\$3,004,663.20
Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. (Winnipeg, MB)	\$3,060,200.00

The tender documents required each tender to be accompanied by a certified cheque or a bank letter of credit in an amount equal to ten (10) percent of the Total Tender Price as bid security. Both ITT Water & Wastewater and National Process Equipment provided a bank letter of credit which was for less than ten percent of their Total Tender Price. Their bids were considered non-compliant and rejected.

ITT Water & Wastewater and National Process Equipment have been notified that each one's bid could not be accepted. As a result, Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. is considered the apparent low bidder.

Associated Engineering (Sask.) Ltd., teamed with CH2M HILL Canada Limited, performed a technical bid evaluation. The equipment offered by Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. met all the efficiency requirements specified in the tender documents and award was recommended.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 115**

OPTIONS

Options include award to the lowest compliant bidder, as recommended in this report, or award to either of the non-compliant bidders and deal with a possible challenge to the award. Not awarding to any of the bidders and re-tendering is not an option as a compliant bid was received and the net cost to the City was below the consultant's estimate and the amount of the contract does not exceed the approved project budget.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The net cost to the City for the only compliant bid submitted by Power and Mine Supply Co. Ltd. would be as follows:

Base Tender Amount	\$2,782,000.00
P.S.T.	139,100.00
G.S.T.	<u>139,100.00</u>
Total Tender Price	\$3,060,200.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(139,100.00)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$2,921,100.00</u>

The approved funding in Capital Project #2193 - WTP - High Lift Pump Station Upgrade is sufficient for this purchase.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The pumping equipment specifications included minimum efficiency parameters and an analysis of long term operating costs to ensure pumping from the new facility and the resultant energy consumption is the smallest amount.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Nabeegh Subhani, ITT Water and Wastewater, dated August 12, 2011, submitting comments and requesting permission to address Council together with Don Ball; and*
- *David Harvey, National Process Equipment Inc., dated August 16, 2011, defining their position on the above matter, and providing a copy of a letter dated June 27, 2011, that*

was sent to Purchasing Services. Mr. Harvey has advised that a representative from National Process will be in gallery to answer any questions of Council.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Nabeegh Subhani and Don Ball be heard.

CARRIED.

Messrs Nabeegh Subhani and Don Ball, ITT Water and Wastewater, requested that the Administration review the tenders again, indicating that ITT will submit a new Letter of Credit.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 12-2011 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE – CONTINUED

**3. Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan
Adaptive Re-use of the Mendel Art Gallery Building
(File No. CK. 620-4, x 4205-9-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that at the time a new tenant and use for the Mendel Art Gallery building is determined, the heating, cooling and ventilation system for the building be replaced, to be funded from the Civic Building comprehensive Maintenance Reserve;
 - 2) that the City fully fund the annual operating costs for the conservatory/conservatory support, and proportionately fund its share of the annual operating costs for the public washrooms and lobby access;
 - 3) that the Mendel Art Gallery Building be considered a significant civic asset and remain publically accessible with a focus on program activities; and
 - 4) that the recoverable costs for the Mendel Art Gallery Building include building reserve costs.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 117**

Your Committee has reviewed the following report of the General Manager, Community Services dated June 29, 2011 and puts forward the above recommendation for Council's consideration.

“BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on August 18, 2010, approved that the City maintain ownership of the Mendel Art Gallery and Civic Conservatory following the relocation of the Civic Gallery to the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan. The Conservatory would remain under the management and operation of the Parks Branch.

City Council, at its meeting held on November 22, 2010, approved a report that identified the process and timeline for facilitating the Adaptive Re-use of the Mendel Art Building Call for Ideas. The Call for Ideas was an invitation to organizations to submit proposals for use of space within the Mendel Art Galley Building.

The criteria for reviewing proposals for the Adaptive Re-use are as follows:

- 1) Integrated and complementary with the objectives of the Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan;
- 2) A good fit and a great caretaker; with the existing building. Respects and maintains the modernist heritage fabric in the inside and out;
- 3) Community: A hub for Saskatoon's citizens, a meeting place for all regardless of age or culture, interactive and welcoming;
- 4) Tourism: Activities for tourists and a place for special events;
- 5) Education: A place where people can learn about and appreciate Saskatoon's history, culture, art and environment;
- 6) Multi-disciplinary and flexible: With a mix of uses and spaces suited to multi-purpose and multi-disciplinary programming; and
- 7) A centre for excellence: Unique within the city.

REPORT

Role of the City as the Building Landlord (Life Cycle Cost Management of Assets)

Attachment 1 provides a base plan of the Mendel Art Gallery Building. The building contains approximately 30,000 square feet of space. It is estimated that 25 percent of the floor space at approximately 8000 square feet is non-program area that is the responsibility of the City. The area identified as non-program space includes the conservatory/conservatory support, public washrooms, lobby access to both the conservatory and public washrooms, and mechanical/electrical/transformer vault. This public space is considered essential for the on-going operation of the building providing washroom facilities for visitors to the conservatory, MVA trail users, Nordic skiers, winter walkers, and other users of this broader area. Your Administration is recommending that the City pay the annual operating costs (janitorial, plant operation, and utilities) for the non-program space as identified in this report. The remaining 75 percent of the overall building

space, or 22,000 square feet, is available to the primary tenant as program area.

The current cost for the civic conservatory is \$85,000, which is an on-going operating cost line item in the Parks budget. With the Re-Use of the building, adjoining areas will be required for operation of the conservatory including the entrance lobby, stairs, washrooms and service areas. A preliminary cost estimate (2011 costs - excludes reserve contributions) of \$50,000 for maintenance, building operation and utilities has been prepared for these city-run support areas. The tenant program area estimate of probable cost is \$240,000, modelled on an office building type. This is the cost that would be fully recovered through rental rates from potential tenants with the building at full capacity.

Part of the preparation and planning for the re-use of the Mendel Art Gallery building has involved a review of the building condition to better understand operational requirements and costs when assessing the viability of new tenant proposals. The existing gas-fired, steam boiler system for the Mendel Art Gallery Building has been identified by the Infrastructure Services, Facilities Branch, as reaching the end of its useful life within the next five years. Irrespective of future use of the building, the existing system will require replacement once the building is vacated by the Art Gallery in 2014. The HVAC system replacement is covered under the Civic Building Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve (CBCM) of which this facility has been contributing through its operating budget. Your Administration is proposing that replacement of the building's heating system be funded from the CBCM reserve. The replacement of the system would not occur until a new use is determined for the building and a detailed system design can be carried out. Further modifications to the cooling and ventilation system will also be evaluated within the design of the overall HVAC system upgrade to best align with the building's new uses.

Cost Recovery Rate Calculation

The recoverable costs that are associated with rental rates established for the building landlord function of facilities are; preventative maintenance costs, building reserve, utilities, general maintenance, property taxes, and equipment maintenance. Currently, the annual contribution to the CBCM reserve for the Mendel building is \$126,000. Your Administration is recommending that the City, as part of its building landlord function, continue to pay the annual contribution to the reserve.

The Mendel Building functions as an important community and heritage landmark and a popular destination for the community. It is both a notable work of modernist architecture and a well-loved civic centre. In recognition of its importance as a building, your Administration is recommending that the City continue to pay the annual contribution to the reserve to ensure stewardship of the facility. This philosophy of stewardship for our heritage buildings is similar to the approach taken to the Albert Community Centre.

OPTIONS

Include the building reserve contribution in the cost structure. Your Administration does not support this option as this would establish rents for this building that could limit non-profit operators for the building and impact their ability to deliver accessible public programs.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications will be reported following further discussion with the proponents

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Base Plans Mendel Art Gallery Building”

Item A2 of the August 17 Communications to Council was brought forward.

“A2) Anand Ramayya, dated July 12

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to the Mendel Art Gallery site. (File No. CK. 620-4)”

Moved by Councillor Pauslen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Anand Ramayya be heard.

CARRIED.

It was noted that Anand Ramayya was not present in the gallery.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

REPORT NO. 13-2011 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE – CONTINUED

**1. Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan – Adaptive Re-use
of the Mendel Art Gallery Building
(File No. CK. 620-4)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City fund 40% of the annual operating costs, including utilities and the Civic Buildings and Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve contribution, for the proportional share of public use of the washrooms and lobby access of the existing Mendel Art Gallery building.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated August 3, 2010:

“BACKGROUND

The Executive Committee, at its meeting held on July 11, 2011, resolved:

- “1) that at the time a new tenant and use for the Mendel Art Gallery Building is determined, the heating, cooling and ventilation system for the building be replaced, to be funded from the Civic Building comprehensive maintenance reserve;
- 2) that the City fully fund the annual operating costs for the conservatory/conservatory support, and proportionately fund its share of the annual operating costs for the public washroom and lobby access;
- 3) that the Mendel Gallery Building be considered a significant civic asset and remain publically accessible with a focus on program activities; and
- 4) that the recoverable costs for the Mendel Art Gallery Building include building reserve costs.”

REPORT

Your Administration has determined the annual operating costs for the lease of the building based on the direction provided by the Executive Committee at their meeting of July 11, 2011. The estimated cost that would be fully recovered through rental rates from potential

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 121**

tenants is \$17.65 per square foot (2011 dollars), totalling approximately \$480,000 per annum with the building at full capacity. This high-level estimate of probable cost is modelled on an office building type. The estimate includes the Civic Building Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve (CBCM), utilities, maintenance, materials, insurance, and taxes for a tenant area of 27,197 square feet. This estimate excludes the conservatory/conservatory support. For comparative purposes, your Administration referred to Colliers Q4 2010 Report which details the Saskatoon Downtown Office Market Class B lease net rates ranging from \$16-\$20/SF with an additional \$10/SF charged in occupancy costs. Downtown office space is limited, with a Central Business District overall vacancy rate of 6%.

The current Mendel building operates from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. seven days a week. The public space (washrooms and lobby area) currently serves not only the civic art gallery patrons, but also visitors to the conservatory, Meewasin Valley Authority trail users, skiers using Kinsmen Park and other users of the broader area. The Kinsmen Park and Area Master Plan contemplates the Mendel building continuing to serve as an integral component of the park and will continue to provide a warm-up area, meeting space, and a washroom facility for the users within the project area.

Using the current hours of operation of the Mendel building (12 hours per day @ 7 days per week=84 hours), compared with the hours of operation for the tenant (8 hours per day @ 6 days per week = 48 hours), your Administration is recommending a 40:60 ratio for assessing operating costs for the washrooms/lobby area between the City and the tenants. Forty percent (40%) of operating cost attributed to the City for community access to the washrooms and lobby by visitors to the conservatory, trail users, and the general public using Kinsmen Park and the broader area, with the remaining sixty percent (60%) of the cost paid by the tenant. The 40% cost to the City recognizes that the washrooms/lobby are available to the public outside of the tenant hours of operation and proportionately funds its share of the annual operating costs for use by visitors to the conservatory. The estimated cost to the City for its share of the washrooms/lobby is estimated at \$34,000 annually (2011 dollars). Applying this rationale, the modified rental rate for the tenant would be \$16.35/SF, or \$446,000 per annum.

Mendel Art Gallery Building Call for Ideas Update

The Administration has been in consultation with the collaborative group proponents made up of the Children's Discovery Museum, the PotashCorp Children's Festival of Saskatchewan, Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan, Wide Open Theatre Company, and the Saskatchewan Intercultural Association and a second group representing the Frederick Mendel Digital Arts Centre of Excellence regarding direction provided by the Executive Committee at their meeting of July 11, 2011. Two groups have withdrawn their proposed interest in use of the Mendel Art Gallery building. The Saskatchewan Intercultural Association Inc. and Shakespeare on the Saskatchewan, after thoughtful consideration by their respective boards, have identified some of the following factors that contributed to their decision:

- Competing program needs by the partner groups for shared space.
- Complexity of forming a partnership agreement and developing a governance model with multiple groups that have never worked together within a compressed timeframe.
- Potential costs for renovation compared to the fundraising capacity of each group individually and collectively.
- Increased rental rates with the inclusion of common space and other associated expenses being included in the cost recovery lease rate has elevated the affordability of the building.

Your Administration continues to work with each proponent team to help them prepare for the development of their Organizational Readiness Report which will assist the Administration to determine whether the Mendel re-use teams are ready to enter into the business plan stage of the process. The Organizational Readiness Report asks for high level information on each group's collective vision, operations, functional program fit in the building, management and operation structure, capital and operating revenues, and project growth at five and ten-year intervals. The purpose of the readiness report is to fully understand the ability of the proponent to manage and operate the building at full capacity in a self-sufficient manner. The readiness report is due August 31, 2011.

OPTIONS

The tenant(s) is responsible for all costs for community use of the washrooms/lobby area. Your Administration does not support this option as this would require tenants to provide public access to the building for hours outside of their public program.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications will be reported following further discussions with the proponents.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.”

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the recommendation of the Executive Committee be adopted.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – JULY 13

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) Jonathan Kiesman, dated June 9

Recommending a company for up-coming recycling contract. (File No. CK. 7830-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**2) James H. Gillis, President, Family and Friends of Cosmo & Elmwood Inc.,
dated June 20**

Submitting copy of letter sent to The StarPhoenix with respect to recycling. (File No. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) Richard Stevenson, dated June 26

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 124**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Janice Peace, dated June 16

Commenting on proposed wind turbine project. (File No. CK. 2000-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be referred to the Administration to join to the file.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the letter be referred to the Administration to join to the file.

CARRIED.

5) Joanne Sproule, Secretary to the Board of Police Commissioners, dated June 27

Suggesting the City provide funding for an additional school under the Restorative Action Program. (File No. CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the letter be referred to the Operating Budget process.

CARRIED.

6) Fred J. Sutter, dated June 27

Suggesting replacement of the Traffic Bridge be delayed until after the south bridge has been operational for one year. (File No. CK. 6050-8)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 125**

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee.

CARRIED.

7) Heather Arnold, Saskatoon Road Runners Association, dated June 30 (2 letters)

Requesting temporary street closures on the right hand lane along both Spadina and Whiteswan Drives and an exemption from the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on Sunday, August 14, 2011, from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. near the water treatment plant at 470 Whiteswan Drive, in conjunction with the annual River Run Classic road race. (File Nos. CK. 6295-1 and 185-9)

APPROVED ADMINISTRATIVELY. SEE PAGE NO. 130.

8) Justine Daum, Edwards Business Students' Society, dated June 30

Requesting an extension to the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw, at the Sundown Drive-In on September 12, 2011, from 5 p.m. to 1:30 a.m. the next morning in conjunction with a fundraiser being held. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension to the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw, at the Sundown Drive-In on September 12, 2011, from 5 p.m. to 1:30 a.m. the next morning in conjunction with a fundraiser being held be granted.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the request for an extension to the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw, at the Sundown Drive-In on September 12, 2011, from 5 p.m. to 1:30 a.m. the next morning in conjunction with a fundraiser being held be granted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 126**

9) Will Antonishyn, Taste of Saskatchewan, dated June 30

Requesting an extension to the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw at Kiwanis Park until 10:30 p.m. on July 19th to 23rd, 2011.

APPROVED ADMINISTRATIVELY. SEE PAGE NO. 130.

10) Denise Young, Program Director, Cosmopolitan Industries, dated June 30

Requesting a temporary closure of 34th Street between Ontario and Alberta Avenues on September 9, 2011, from 6 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for 40th Anniversary festivities. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for a temporary closure of 34th Street between Ontario and Alberta Avenues on September 9, 2011, from 6 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for 40th Anniversary festivities be granted subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the request for a temporary closure of 34th Street between Ontario and Alberta Avenues on September 9, 2011, from 6 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for 40th Anniversary festivities be granted subject to any administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

11) Ruth John, dated June 30

Commenting on street naming. (File No. CK. 6310-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

12) Len Boser, dated June 30

Commenting on accessibility issues on 8th Street and Circle Drive North. (File No. CK. 6220-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 127**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

13) Gillian Lyons, dated July 1

Commenting on noise from Canada Day celebrations. (File No. CK. 150-1 & 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT the issue of minors and alcohol be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

CARRIED.

14) Darryl Hickie, Minister of Municipal Affairs, dated June 20

Responding to City Council's request for amendments to *The Local Government Election Act*.
(File No. CK. 255-5-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

15) Robert MacGillivray, on behalf of Saskatoon Nutana Rotary Club, dated July 5

Requesting permission to run a boat on the RCAF Pond for Saskatoon Dragon Boat practice sessions. (File No. CK. 8355-1)

APPROVED ADMINISTRATIVELY. SEE PAGE NO. 130.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 128**

16) Thomas Bell, Manager, Winston's Pub, dated July 5

Requesting permission to temporarily close a portion of the alley between the Senator Hotel and the Glengarry Building from August 6, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. to August 7, 2011, at 2:00 a.m. for an event being held in conjunction with the Fringe Festival. (File No. CK. 6295-1)

APPROVED ADMINISTRATIVELY. SEE PAGE NO. 130.

C. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Jack Vicq, Chair, Meewasin Valley Authority, dated June 9

Commenting on funding for the Meewasin Valley Authority. (File No. CK. 1711-1) **(Referred to Administration for a report.)**

2) Phyllis Johnston and Lewis Heuchert, dated June 23

Commenting on parking at River Landing. (File Nos. CK. 6120-5 and 4129-15) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

3) Gerald Neil, dated June 24

Offering a donation of flood barriers. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.)**

4) Ryan Janzen, dated June 24

Commenting on the clover leaf ramp from Highway 16 to Circle Drive North. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

5) Krysten Ernst, dated June 27

Commenting on weeds in undeveloped lots in Willowgrove. (File No. CK. 4139-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

6) Leila Edmond, dated June 25

Commenting on water restrictions as they apply to spray parks and car washes. (File No. CK. 7900-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 129**

7) Rayann Ethier, dated June 29

Commenting on water restrictions. (File No. CK. 7900-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

8) Jack Begg, dated June 28

Commenting on utility bill estimates. (File No. CK. 1905-3) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

9) Cindy Friesen, dated July 1

Requesting signage in recently resurfaced lane. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

10) Rhonda Everson, dated July 3

Commenting on how the public is informed during present water restrictions.
(File No. CK. 7900-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

11) Nina Henry, dated July 1

Commenting on the use of trails and walkways in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 5200-4) **(Referred to Traffic Safety Board and Board of Police Commissioners for consideration.)**

12) Charlie Freeman, dated June 14

Commenting on green transportation. (File No. CK. 5300-5) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

13) Jim Buck, dated July 4

Commenting on parking restrictions on Kingsmere Boulevard. (File No. CK. 6120-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Cathy Sieben, President, Saskatoon Literacy Coalition, dated June 14

Requesting City Council proclaim September 8, 2011 as International Literacy Day.
(File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – AUGUST 17

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

The following Communications to Council from the July 13th agenda were approved administratively by the City Manager due to time constraints:

- B7) Heather Arnold
- B8) Will Antonishyn
- B15) Robert MacGillivray
- B16) Thomas Bell; and
- B17) Brian Swidrovich (**Copy attached** as this item was not included with the July 13th document.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 131**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

1) Rusty Chartier, dated July 5

Commenting on food charter. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Barry Rogers, dated July 6

Thanking the City of Saskatoon for dedication and support in the 2011 June is Recreation & Park Month initiative. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

3) Jo Custead, Past President, TCU Place Board of Directors, dated July 5

Submitting Annual Disclosure Report for Centennial Auditorium & Convention Centre Corporation. (File No. CK. 175-28)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 132**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

4) Tyler Holowaty, dated July 9

Requesting an increase in mosquito control. (File No. CK. 4200-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

5) Zia-ur Rehman, dated July 9

Commenting on residential lot allocation policy. (File No. CK. 4110-36)

RECOMMENDATION: that the direction of Council issue.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the letter be referred to the Land Bank Committee.

CARRIED.

6) Arnold Grambo, Past President, Hudson Bay Route Association, dated July 7

Thanking Council for membership and support. (File No. CK. 155-7)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 133**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

7) Gertrude Armbrust, undated

Commenting on volunteers in neighbourhoods. (File No. CK. 5500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

8) Sarah Marchildon, Broadway Business Improvement District Executive Director dated July 8

Requesting permission to be sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations for the Broadway Street Fair 2011 being held on September 10th, 2011. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request to be sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations for the Broadway Street Fair 2011 being held on September 10th, 2011, be approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the request to be sole agents for the allocation of vending and concession locations for the Broadway Street Fair 2011 being held on September 10th, 2011, be approved.

CARRIED.

9) Leslee Newman, dated July 14

Commenting on Tall Wind Turbine Project. (File No. CK. 2000-5)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 79.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 134**

10) Jean Huck, dated July 2

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

11) Ruby Lacourse, dated July 13

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

12) Kathy Mahar, dated July 16

Commenting on lowering residential speed limits. (File No. CK. 6320-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and joined with the file on the matter.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received and joined to the file.

CARRIED.

13) Jan Coleman, dated July 18

Suggesting that Joni Mitchell's name be put forward as possible names for the new bridge and connecting streets. (File No. CK. 6050-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be referred to the Naming Advisory Committee.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the letter be referred to the Naming Advisory Committee.

CARRIED.

14) Tammy Forrester, Director of Corporate Events, RSVP Event Design, Décor and Rentals, dated July 19

Requesting that 19th Street and Spadina Crescent, from the doors of the Radisson Hotel to the north gates of the Bessborough Hotel be temporarily closed from 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. on Thursday, September 14, 2011, to facilitate a “parade of people” in conjunction with the Canadian Society of Association Professionals National Conference. (File No. CK. 6295-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for a temporary street closure of 19th Street and Spadina Crescent, from the doors of the Radisson Hotel to the north gates of the Bessborough Hotel. from 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. on Thursday, September 14, 2011, to facilitate a “parade of people” in conjunction with the Canadian Society of Association Professionals National Conference be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the request for a temporary street closure of 19th Street and Spadina Crescent, from the doors of the Radisson Hotel to the north gates of the Bessborough Hotel. from 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. on Thursday, September 14, 2011, to facilitate a “parade of people” in conjunction with the Canadian Society of Association Professionals National Conference be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

15) Adeline and Allan Gagnon, dated July 27

Commenting on civic issues. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 136**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

16) Grace Ann Kuhn, dated July 27

Commenting on Saskatoon Transit strike vote. (File No. CK. 4720-20)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

17) Jayne Thurston, dated July 29

Commenting on change in transit route. (File No. CK. 4720-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

18) Karen Leibovici, Chair, Green Municipal Fund Council, dated July 28

Congratulating the City of Saskatoon on its successful funding application for Strategic Plan & Community Visioning Initiative. (File Nos. CK. 1860-1 & 116-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 137**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

19) Roxana Predoi, dated August 4

Requesting an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, September 11, 2011 in Meewasin Greenspace South for Ovarian Cancer Canada Walk of Hope. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, September 11, 2011 in Meewasin Greenspace South for Ovarian Cancer Canada Walk of Hope be approved.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the request for an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, September 11, 2011 in Meewasin Greenspace South for Ovarian Cancer Canada Walk of Hope be approved

CARRIED.

20) Frances Westlund, Community Services Department, dated August 8

Requesting an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Sunday, October 2, 2011, at Market Square on Sunday, October 2, 2011 for Culture Days 2011 events. (File No. CK. 185-9)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 138**

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Sunday, October 2, 2011, at Market Square on Sunday, October 2, 2011 for Culture Days 2011 events be approved.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the request for an extension to the time amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Sunday, October 2, 2011, at Market Square on Sunday, October 2, 2011 for Culture Days 2011 events be approved.

CARRIED.

21) Claude Vaugeois, dated August 9

Commenting on red-light cameras. (File No. CK. 5300-8)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

22) Lorie Langenfurth, A/CEO/Director of Fund Development, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Saskatchewan, dated August 9

Congratulating City Council on setting a positive healthy lifestyle example by walking in the Exhibition Parade. (File No. CK. 150-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 139**

23) Gordon Wyant, dated August 9

Commenting on proposal to name a street in Evergreen neighbourhood after his father. (File No. CK. 6310-1)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 83.

24) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 14

Providing Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board respecting the property located at 131 Snell Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

25) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated July 28

Providing Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board respecting the property located at 225 Avenue M South. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

The following letters were received with respect to the Core Service Review:

26) Cathy Holtslander, dated July 11

27) Jonathon Hodges, dated July 12

28) Tracey Carr, dated July 12

29) Dawn Surovy, dated July 15

- 30) Barbara Cape, President, SEIU-West, dated July 13
- 31) David Arnot, Chief Commissioner, Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission dated July 14

- 32) Jennifer Freeze, dated July 13
- 33) Kathryn Bertoncini, dated July 13
- 34) Peter Gallen, dated July 13
- 35) Emily Coates, dated July 13
- 36) Kara Quennell, dated July 13
- 37) Gayle Cluett, dated July 13
- 38) David Arnot, Chief Commissioner, Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission dated July 14

- 39) Sara McPhee-Knowles, dated July 14
- 40) Mary-Gae Gerwing, dated July 12
- 41) Terry Nelson, dated July 16
- 42) Darryl Heskin, dated July 17
- 43) Shelby Trautman, dated July 17
- 44) Scott Hitchings, President, USSU, undated
- 45) Colin Saganski, dated July 18
- 46) Blair Pisiso, dated July 18
- 47) Donna Goodridge, dated July 18
- 48) Gary Bonneau, dated July 18
- 49) Glen Baxter, dated July 21
- 50) Daniel Fontaine, dated July 27
- 51) Holly Morin, dated July 29

52) Aline Hartman, dated July 31

53) Reid Nystuen, dated August 3

54) Derek Tiessen, dated August 6

55) Lori Prostebby, dated August 9

(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer re fluoride.)

56) Stephanie Grzybowski, dated August 9

57) Peggy Sarjeant, dated August 9

58) Tracey Mitchell, dated August 9

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered during the service review process.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the information be received and considered during the service review process.

CARRIED.

C. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Scott Corley, dated July 7

Commenting on bus schedule changes. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

2) Al Barker, dated July 5

Commenting on tickets for unlicensed pets. (File No. CK. 15201) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action and response to the writer.)**

3) Dustin Halvorson, dated July 6

Commenting on parks in the Stonebridge Neighbourhood. (File No. CK. 4205-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 142**

4) Brian Sutherland, dated July 7

Commenting on the state of the road on 33rd Street West. (File No. CK. 4060-9) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

5) River Finnegan, dated July 8

Commenting on housing in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 750-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

6) Allan Regehr, dated July 11

Commenting on deterioration of city streets and traffic. (File No. CK. 6000-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

7) Todd Yauck, dated July 11

Commenting on tall grass and weeds in need of cutting. (File No. CK. 4139-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

8) Derek Tiessen, dated July 11

Commenting on wind turbines. (File No. CK. 2000-5) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

9) Brendan Davidowich, dated July 11

Commenting on visitor parking in permit-required areas. (File No. CK. 6120-4) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

10) Joseph Fogarty, dated July 12

Commenting on street flooding on Galloway Road. (File No. CK. 7820-3) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 143**

11) Tamara Bowman, dated July 12

Submitting copy of letter to The Partnership regarding disturbances on 2nd Avenue North. (File No. CK. 5000-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

12) Alana Rabby, dated July 15

Commenting on issues on Berini Drive. (File No. CK. 6320-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

13) Harold Orr, dated July 15

Commenting on recycling asphalt. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

14) Jan Norris, dated July 18

Commenting on transportation in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 7300-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

15) Calvin Cox, dated July 20

Commenting on "Commercial Hauler" permit fee. (File No. CK. 1905-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

16) Dorothy Travagline, dated July 20

Commenting on tree problems. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)** Also attached is a response from the Administration on the matter.

17) Andre Memaury, dated July 22

Commenting on fluoride in the water. (File No. CK. 7920-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 144**

18) Annie Kong, dated July 22

Commenting on flowers at Woodlawn Cemetery. (File No. CK. 4080-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

19) Wally Romanuck, dated July 26

Commenting on toll bridges. (File No. CK. 6050-9) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

20) Eric Walker, dated July 28

Commenting on the title of His Worship, the Mayor. (File No. CK. 150-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)** Also attached is a copy of the response from the Administration.

21) Dale Ross, dated July 28

Commenting on bicycle safety. (File No. CK. 5300-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration and response to the writer.)**

22) Cristy Labbie, dated August 9

Commenting on recent incident at paddling pool. (File No. CK. 5400-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

23) Maureen Smith-Windsor, dated August 6

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

24) Edward McAller, dated August 5

Commenting on the intersection of McPherson Avenue and 10th Street. (File No. CK. 6320-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 145**

25) John Pitchford, dated August 9

Commenting on traffic control on 33rd Street by Henry Kelsey Park. (File No. CK. 6320-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

26) John Thomson, dated July 21

Commenting on proposed 22nd Street barrier. (File No. CK. 5200-1) **(Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.)**

27) Ray Pilkey, dated August 8

Commenting on proposed 22nd Street barrier. (File No. CK. 5200-1) **(Referred to Planning and Operations Committee for further handling.)**

28) Edith Hicks, dated August 8

Requesting washrooms at Nutana Kiwanis Park be kept open longer in the fall. (File No. CK. 610-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

29) Trevor Forrest, dated August 8

Commenting on construction in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 6000-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

30) Stephanie Ethier, dated August 9

Commenting on the Sutherland dog park. (File No. CK. 152-2) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Iris Almeida-Cote, President and CEO, Canada World Youth, dated June 15

Requesting City Council proclaim the week of October 17 to 23, 2011 as Canada World Youth Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Tammy Reihl, Muscular Dystrophy Canada, dated July 18

Requesting City Council proclaim September 2011 as Muscular Dystrophy Awareness Month. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Arla Gustafson, RUH Foundation, dated July 20

Requesting City Council proclaim Tuesday, November 5, 2011 as National Philanthropy Day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

4) Vanessa Charles, Past Chair, Saskatoon Anti Poverty Coalition, dated July 22

Requesting City Council proclaim October 16 to 23, 2011 as Poverty Awareness Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

5) Mark Anderson, on behalf of Right To Know Committee, dated July 22

Requesting City Council proclaim September 26 to 30, 2011 as Right To Know Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

6) Randy Fleming, A/Executive Director, SES/SEN WRD'11 Planning Committee dated July 20

Requesting City Council proclaim September 25, 2011 as World Rivers Day. (File No. CK. 205-5)

7) Merry Beazely, Huskie Centennial Planning Committee Member, dated August 5

Requesting City Council proclaim the week of September 5, 2011 as Huskie Week and requesting to permission to hold a flag raising. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 147**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the flag raising request be approved subject to any administrative conditions;
 - 2) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section D; and
 - 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

- 1) that the flag raising request be approved subject to any administrative conditions;*
- 2) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section D; and*
- 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

**Councillor R. Donauer
Compensation – Tree Removal
(File No. CK. 4139-4)**

I have received a number of concerns regarding the compensation formula for tree removal within our trees on city property policy.

Would the Administration please review the formula with the intent on bringing forward options that would provide for compensation more in line with citizen and business expectations.

**Councillor P. Lorje
Proposed Special Events Bylaw
(File No. CK. 205-1 and 1720-1)**

Will the Administration please report, preferably in time for the budget debate this year, on the feasibility, implications and possible levies for a Special Events Bylaw.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8948

(Councillor Heidt had declared a conflict of interest on this matter and therefore is not able to vote.)

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8948, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 14)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8948 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8948.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8948 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 149**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8948 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT Bylaw No. 8948 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8954

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8954, being "The Street Closing Bylaw, 2011 (No. 7)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8954 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 150**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8954.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8954 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8954 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Bylaw No. 8954 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 151**

Bylaw 8956

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8956, being “The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 2)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8956 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8956.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8956 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 152**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8956 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Bylaw No. 8956 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8958

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8958, being “The Residential Parking Program Amendment Bylaw, 2011 (No. 3)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Bylaw No. 8958 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8958.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 153**

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8958 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8958 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hill,

THAT Bylaw No. 8958 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – CONTINUED

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Laurie Bourgeois, dated July 5 and 12

Requesting permission for Michel Thibault to address City Council regarding flooding issues on 700 block of 1st Street East and submitting petition of approximately 20 names with respect to the above. (File No. CK. 7820-2)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 100.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 154**

2) Anand Ramayya, dated July 12

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to the Mendel Art Gallery site. (File No. CK. 620-4)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO . 116.

3) Sean Shaw, dated July 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to recycling – requests for proposals. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 95.

4) Mary-Gae Gerwing, dated August 5

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to transit. (File No. CK. 7300-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Mary-Gae Gerwing be heard.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Mary-Gae Gerwing be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Mary-Gae Gerwing spoke in support of the transit service and asked that it improve its service and not cut the hours of operation.

Moved by Councillor Hill, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011
PAGE 155**

The meeting adjourned at 10:37 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk