



Council Chamber
City Hall, Saskatoon, SK
Tuesday, May 20, 2014
at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Davies, Donauer, Iwanchuk, Jeffries, Loewen,
Lorje, Olauson, and Paulsen;
City Manager Totland;
City Solicitor Warwick;
CFO & General Manager, Asset and Financial
Management Bilanski;
General Manager, Community Services Grauer;
A/General Manager, Corporate Performance Wallace;
General Manager, Transportation and Utilities Jorgenson;
City Clerk Sproule; and
Deputy City Clerk Bryant

Moved by Councillor Olauson, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the minutes of regular meeting of City Council held on May 5, 2014, be approved.

CARRIED.

HEARINGS

- 3a) Discretionary Use Application - Private School
819 29th Street West – B2 District (Basement)
Applicant: Dance Ink Ltd.
(File No. CK. 4355-014-005)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted Discretionary Use Application.

The City Planner has advised that notification posters have been placed on site and letters have been sent to all adjacent landowners within 75 metres of the site.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 16, 2014, recommending that the application submitted by Dance Ink Ltd., requesting permission to use the property located at 819 29th Street West for the purpose of a private school, be approved, subject to the following conditions:
 - a) the applicant obtaining a Building Permit for the conversion of the basement to public occupancy; and
 - a) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application; and
- Letter dated May 9, 2014 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of letters from the following individuals:

- *Betty Hills, dated May 14, 2014, submitting comments and requesting to speak; and*
- *Don Peters, undated, submitting comments.*

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Darryl Dawson, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the discretionary use application and expressed the Department's support.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 3**

Ms. Janice Braden, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the discretionary use application. She indicated that the Commission supported the good neighbour parking policy adopted by Dance Ink, and encouraged the Administration to look at a similar policy for other applicants.

Ms. Ashley Berrns, owner of Dance Ink, provided a brief history of the school's activities and described the need for more space. She addressed parking concerns indicating that Dance Ink has adopted a good neighbour parking policy that they enforce and asked for Council's support of the discretionary use application.

Ms. Betty Hills, neighbour to Dance Ink, spoke in support of the application for expansion and indicated that she is providing three designated parking spaces to Dance Ink. She expressed concern about parking from businesses in the building who are 'grandfathered' in and do not have to comply with current parking requirements. She requested that the loading zone restriction in front of the building be removed to free up a parking space if the application is approved. She provided Council with a copy of her presentation.

Mr. Don Peters, area resident, expressed concern with increased traffic and problems caused by students/parents parking in the area. He feels the parking policy is not being adhered to. He also expressed safety concerns with children crossing the street.

Mr. Henri De La Garde, area resident and customer of Dance Ink, spoke in support of the expansion indicating that he is always informed by the organization to be conscientious of parking.

Ms. Ashley Berrns and Mr. Matt Berrns responded to parking concerns and provided Council with a copy of Dance Ink's good neighbour parking policy.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Loewen, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 4**

Moved by Councillor Davies, Seconded by Councillor Olauson,

THAT the application submitted by Dance Ink Ltd., requesting permission to use the property located at 819 29th Street West for the purpose of a private school, be approved, subject to the following conditions:

- a) the applicant obtaining a Building Permit for the conversion of the basement to public occupancy; and*
- b) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application.*

CARRIED.

**3b) Proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan
Applicant: Dundee Developments
(File No. CK. 4110-46)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The purpose of this hearing is to consider the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 24, 2014 (A copy of 'Attachment 1' referenced therein, the Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan, can be viewed on the City of Saskatoon's website at www.saskatoon.ca by clicking "R" for Reports to Council);
- Letter dated May 9, 2014 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation of the Administration;
- Letter dated May 15, 2014 from the Secretary of the Planning and Operations Committee advising that the Committee supports the above-noted recommendation of the Administration; and
- Notices that appeared in the local press on May 3 and 4, 2014 and May 10 and 11, 2014."

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from John Krowina, dated May 20, 2014, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 5**

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Darryl Dawson, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan and expressed the Department's support. He provided a PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Janice Braden, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

Mr. Don Armstrong, Vice President, Dundee Developments, advised that as of May 12, 2014, Dundee Developments has changed its name to Dream Developments. Mr. Armstrong outlined the key initiatives of the Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan and asked for Council's support. He responded to questions of Council.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Davies, Seconded by Councillor Olauson,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT that the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan be approved subject to the following:

- 1) that the Developer revise the Concept Plan to provide for lanes for all residential lots fronting on collector streets where no lay-by exists in front, to the satisfaction of the Transportation and Utilities Department; and*
- 2) that prior to the approval of any subdivision for lands that include a ten metre right-of-way, the Developer provide a ten metre right-of-way cross section to the satisfaction of the Transportation and Utilities Department.*

CARRIED.

**3c) Proposed Official Community Plan Amendment – Land Use Policy Map
Brighton Neighbourhood
Proposed Bylaw No. 9185
(File No. CK. 4351-014-005 x 4110-46)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 9185.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 9185;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 16, 2014 recommending that the proposed amendment to the Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 – Land Use Map, to redesignate the properties identified in the attached Location Plan – Official Community Plan – Land Use Map amendment, be approved;
- Letter dated May 14, 2014 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation of the Administration; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press on May 3 and 4, 2014.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from John Krowina, dated May 20, 2014, submitting comments regarding the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Darryl Dawson, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Ms. Janice Braden, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 7**

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Loewen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT City Council consider Bylaw No. 9185.

CARRIED.

- 3d) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment - Rezoning of Properties
from DREC1 , DAG1, DAG2, DCR3, and DM3 Districts to an FUD District
Brighton Neighbourhood
Proposed Bylaw No. 9186
(File No. CK. 4351-014-005 x 4110-46)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 9186.

Attached is a copy of the following material:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 9186;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 16, 2014 recommending that the proposed amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770, to rezone the properties identified in the attached Location Plan – Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 amendment, be approved;
- Letter dated May 14, 2014 from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation of the Administration; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 8**

- Notice that appeared in the local press on May 3 and 4, 2014.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from John Krowina, dated May 20, 2014, submitting comments regarding the proposed Brighton Neighbourhood Concept Plan.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Darryl Dawson, Development Review Section Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Ms. Janice Braden, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Olauson, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Loewen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT City Council consider Bylaw No. 9186.

CARRIED.

MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE

**4a) Lighthouse Victory Church Lease Agreement
(File No. CK. 4225-1)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

"The following is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 23, 2014:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the lease agreement for the shared parking lot between the City of Saskatoon and Victory Church of Saskatoon Inc., be approved; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The City of Saskatoon (City) and Victory Church of Saskatoon Inc. (Church) recognize the need to formalize a long-standing agreement between the parties for the shared use of the parking lot at 2802 Rusholme Road and Sifton Park.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The intent of the Lease Agreement between the City and the Church is to recognize the key terms of the agreement regarding sharing of the parking lot, and formalize these terms in writing.

STRATEGIC GOAL

This report supports the City's Strategic Goal of Quality of Life as this lease agreement for the shared use of the parking lot at the Lighthouse Victory Church (LVC) ensures that the recreation facility (sportsfield) will meet community needs and that the parking lot is accessible for the needs of LVC.

BACKGROUND

Since 1984, various building owners and the City have shared a parking lot at 2802 Rusholme Road. This parking lot is adjacent to Sifton Park. The Church has occupied the building on this site since 2008, and there has never been a formal agreement for the shared use of the parking lot.

REPORT

Key Terms of the Agreement

Key terms of the agreement between the City and the Church are noted on the following page. See Attachment 1 for a copy of the full agreement.

1. The Church is requesting to lease a portion of Municipal Reserve Land in Sifton Park, immediately adjacent to LVC for the purpose of providing parking for the Church. The land identified is already an existing parking lot (see Schedule A located at the end of Attachment 1).
2. In lieu of rent for the land, the Church shall be responsible to maintain the land, including the parking lot, in a clean, sanitary, and safe condition.
3. The Church shall pay all electrical and water rates and other utility charges assessed against the land during the term of agreement.
4. The term of the agreement is for twenty years, commencing January 1, 2014 and ending December 31, 2033. The Church has the option to extend the term for a period of another twenty years.
5. The parking lot is open and available for all participants using Sifton Park.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

City Council may choose to not approve the agreement. In this case, further direction would be required.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There is no financial impact.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

In the summer of 2013, the parking lot at 2802 Rusholme Road was resurfaced. This project was cost-shared by the City and the Church. In the fall of 2013, the Administration began discussing conditions of a lease agreement with the Church. Both parties agree to the terms and conditions as outlined in the agreement.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

Subject to City Council approval, the Administration will arrange to have the agreement signed and executed.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3, Subsection j), of Public Notice Policy No. C01-021. The following notice was given:

- 1) advertised in The StarPhoenix on the weekend of May 3, 2014;
- 2) posted on the City Hall Notice Board on May 7, 2014;
- 3) posted on the City of Saskatoon website on May 7, 2014; and
- 4) posted a notice on the land to be leased on May 7, 2014, and mailing a notice to the affected party by May 7, 2014.

ATTACHMENT

1. Lease Agreement Between City of Saskatoon and Victory Church of Saskatoon Inc.'

Also attached is a copy of the following material:

- Letter dated May 15, 2014 from the Secretary of the Planning and Operations Committee advising that the Committee supports the above-noted recommendation of the Administration; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press on May 3 and 4, 2014."

General Manager, Community Services Grauer presented the Department's report.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 12**

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Davies, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

- 1) that the lease agreement for the shared parking lot between the City of Saskatoon and Victory Church of Saskatoon Inc., be approved; and*
- 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Loewen, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Paulsen as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“REPORT NO. 2-2014 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Composition of Commission

Ms. Janice Braden, Chair
Mr. Karl Martens, Vice-Chair
Councillor Charlie Clark
Ms. Colleen Christensen
Mr. Al Douma
Mr. John McAuliffe
Ms. Sydney Smith
Mr. Andy Yuen
Mr. Stan Laba
Mr. Shaun Betker
Ms. Kathy Weber
Mr. James Yachyshen
Mr. Jeff Jackson

1. Proposed Evergreen Concept Plan Amendment
(File No. CK. 4110-41)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that advertising with respect to the proposals to amend the Evergreen Neighbourhood Concept Plan, Official Community Plan Bylaw 8769, and Zoning Bylaw 8770, as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated April 11, 2014, be approved;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed amendments;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws to amend Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 and Zoning Bylaw No. 8770;
 - 4) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Administration’s recommendation that the proposed amendment to the Evergreen Neighbourhood Concept Plan, be approved, subject to the removal of the 1 metre buffer strip along McOrmond Drive north of Fedoruk Drive, should the functional plan for McOrmond Drive determine that the 1 metre buffer strip is not required;

- 5) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Administration's recommendation that the proposed amendment to Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 - Land Use Policy Map to redesignate the properties identified in the Location Plan – Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 Amendment from "Residential" to "District Village Commercial," attached to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 11, 2014, be approved; and
- 6) that at the time of the public hearing, City Council consider the Administration's recommendation that the proposed amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 to rezone the properties identified in the Location Plan – Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 Amendment from R1A - One-Unit Residential District to RMTN1 - Medium-Density Townhouse Residential District; B1B – Neighbourhood Commercial - Mixed-Use District; B4A – Special Suburban Centre and Arterial Commercial District; M3 - General Institutional Service District; RM3 - Medium-Density Multi-Unit District; and AG - Agricultural District to RM3 - Medium-Density Multi-Unit District, attached to the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated April 11, 2014, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated April 11, 2014, with respect to the proposed Evergreen Concept Plan Amendment.

Your Commission has reviewed this report with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 9-2014

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

- A1) Land Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between April 3, 2014 and May 7, 2014
(For Information Only)
(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4131-3-9-1, PL. 4132, PL. 4355-D, PL. 4115, PL. 4350
and PL. 4300)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Concept Plan Amendment

- Address/Location: Kensington Boulevard/Nightingale Road
Applicant: Saskatoon Land
Legal Description: Lots 1 - 9, Block 226 and Lots 1 - 10, Block 225
Purpose of Amendment: Single Family District to Street Townhouse
Neighbourhood: Kensington
Date Received: March 26, 2014

Condominium

- Application No. 6/14: 114 - 111th Street West (5 New Units)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Angeline, Chad, Shawn, and Trevor Wehage
Legal Description: Lots 18, 19, and 20, Block 4, Plan No. I5611
Current Zoning: RM4
Neighbourhood: Sutherland
Date Received: April 8, 2014
- Application No. 7/14: 3144 Laurier Drive (75 New Units)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Confederation Park Family Housing
Legal Description: Condominium Plan No. 91S543618
Current Zoning: M3
Neighbourhood: Confederation Suburban Centre
Date Received: April 8, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 16**

Discretionary Use

- Application No. D8/14: 706 Evergreen Boulevard
Applicant: Sunlight Developments Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 10, Block 633, Plan No. 102088953
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Use: Type II Care Home
Neighbourhood: Evergreen
Date Received: April 28, 2014
- Application No. D9/14: 702 Evergreen Boulevard
Applicant: Sunlight Developments Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 11, Block 633, Plan No. 102088953
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Use: Type II Care Home
Neighbourhood: Evergreen
Date Received: April 28, 2014
- Application No. D10/14: 310 Piggot Crescent
Applicant: Pillar Properties
Legal Description: Lot 10, Block 224, Plan No. 102064777
Current Zoning: IH2
Proposed Use: Manufacturing of Chemicals
Neighbourhood: Marquis Industrial
Date Received: May 2, 2014

Official Community Plan

- Amendment No. OCP 17/14: 410 5th Avenue North
Applicant: Saskatoon Land
Legal Description: Lots 29 and 30, Block 181, Plan No. 99SA24455
Current Land Use Designation: High-Density Residential
Proposed Land Use Designation: Special Area Commercial
Neighbourhood: City Park
Date Received: April 3, 2014

Official Community Plan

- Amendment No. OCP 20/14: 318 and 320 Avenue F South
Applicant: Ryan Meili
Legal Description: Lots 28 – 31, Block 21, Plan No. 5618
Current Land Use Designation: Low-Density Residential
Proposed Land Use Designation: Mixed Use
Neighbourhood: Riversdale
Date Received: April 15, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 17**

Rezoning

- Application No. Z8/14: Brighton
Applicant: Dundee Developments
Legal Description: Part of Sections 29, 30, 31, and 32
Twp. 36, Range 4, W3M
Current Zoning: DREC1, DAG1, DAG2, DCR3, and DM3
Proposed Zoning: FUD
Neighbourhood: Brighton
Date Received: April 23, 2014
- Application No. Z18/14: 410 – 5th Avenue North
Applicant: Saskatoon Land
Legal Description: Lots 29 and 30, Block 181, Plan No. 99SA24455
Current Zoning: M3
Proposed Zoning: B5
Neighbourhood: City Park
Date Received: April 3, 2014
- Application No. Z19/14: 103 – 175 Tweed Lane
Applicant: C of S Planning and Development for Randy Pichler
Legal Description: Lots 1 – 19, Block 5, Plan No. 102109711
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Zoning: R1B
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: April 23, 2014
- Application No. Z21/14: 318 and 320 Avenue F South
Applicant: Ryan Meili
Legal Description: Lots 28 – 31, Block 21, Plan No. 5618
Current Zoning: R2
Proposed Zoning: MX1
Neighbourhood: Riversdale
Date Received: April 15, 2014
- Application No. Z23/14: Rosewood Boulevard West/Phelps Way
Applicant: Boychuk Investments Ltd.
Legal Description: Part of Parcel AA, Plan No. 101875394 and
Part of Parcel F, Plan No. 94S17318
Current Zoning: R1A
Proposed Zoning: B1B
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: March 20, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 18**

Subdivision

- Application No. 20/14: 3415 Fairlight Crescent/3330 Fairlight Drive
Applicant: Webb Surveys for 101090588 Saskatchewan Ltd.
c/o Confederation Inn
Legal Description: Lot 1, Block 580 and Part Closure Lane LS,
Plan No. 65S06904; Consolidated with Lot A,
Block 580, Plan No. 76S07218
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Fairhaven
Date Received: April 3, 2014
- Application No. 21/14: 2310 Millar Avenue
Applicant: Webster Surveys for
2310 Millar Avenue Properties
Legal Description: Lot 4, Block 381, Plan No. 59S01097
Current Zoning: IL1
Neighbourhood: North Industrial
Date Received: April 3, 2014

Subdivision

- Application No. 23/14: 215 Rajput Way
Applicant: Larson Surveys for Montana Homes
Legal Description: Parcel T, Plan No. 102135024
Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Evergreen
Date Received: April 3, 2014
- Application No. 24/14: 833 Cynthia Street
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Global Exteriors
Legal Description: Closure of Lane L1, Plan No. 69S07233,
Consolidated with Lot 1, Block 792,
Plan No. 75S10686
Current Zoning: IL1
Neighbourhood: Airport Business Area
Date Received: April 4, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 19**

- Application No. 25/14: East of Zimmerman Road
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Casablanca Holdings
c/o Arbutus Properties
Legal Description: Part Road Allowance between SW 1/4 16 and
SE 1/4 17, and Parts of Parcel C, Plan Nos.
101317508 and DT2262
Current Zoning: FUD
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: April 4, 2014

- Application No. 26/14: Jeanneau Way
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Boychuk Investments
Legal Description: Parcel BB, Plan No.101875394
Current Zoning: FUD/R1A
Neighbourhood: Rosewood
Date Received: April 8, 2014

Subdivision

- Application No. 27/14: Centennial Drive/Childers
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dream Asset Management
Legal Description: Lot 13, Block 208, Plan No. 102149445 and
Lot 21, Block 209, Plan No. 102150807
Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Kensington
Date Received: April 11, 2014
- Application No. 28/14: Eidem Road/Brodsky Avenue/Arthur Rose Avenue
71st Street and 72nd Street
Applicant: Meridian Surveys for City of Saskatoon and
Superior General Partner Inc.
Legal Description: Part of Plan No. 63S01093
Current Zoning: IH2
Neighbourhood: Marquis Industrial
Date Received: April 15, 2014
- Application No. 29/14: 108 – 105th Street West
Applicant: Larson Surveys for Vic Lam and Mei Yang
Legal Description: Lots 11 and 12, Block 6, Plan No. G122 and
Lot 33, Block 6, Plan No. 101338927
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Sutherland
Date Received: April 15, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 20**

- Application No. 30/14: 1328 Avenue D North
Applicant: Webb Surveys for 101221120 Sask. Ltd.
Legal Description: Lots 27 and 28, Block 14, Plan No. 101541114
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Mayfair
Date Received: April 17, 2014

- Application No. 31/14: 200 Hedley Street
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Patrick and Nora Conway
c/o Realistic Homes
Legal Description: Lot 16, Block 7, Plan G171
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Forest Grove
Date Received: April 17, 2014

Subdivision

- Application No. 32/14: Evergreen Boulevard/Manek Road
Applicant: Digital Mapping Systems for City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Parcel HH, Plan No. 102107135
Current Zoning: R1A
Neighbourhood: Evergreen
Date Received: April 23, 2014
- Application No. 33/14: 25 - 25th Street
Applicant: Digital Mapping Systems for City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Part Parcel XX and Parcel H, Plan No. 102111253
Current Zoning: RA1
Neighbourhood: Central Industrial
Date Received: April 28, 2014
- Application No. 34/14: Kolynchuk Crescent
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Dream Asset Management Corp.
Legal Description: Parcel A, Block 203, and Parcel A, Block 208, all in
Plan No. 102147285
Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: April 28, 2014

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 21**

- Application No. 35/14: Betts Avenue/Hart Road
Applicant: Neil MacKay (MacPherson Leslie & Tyerman) for
Saskatoon West Shopping Centre Ltd.
Legal Description: Part of Parcel A, Plan No. 101879174
Current Zoning: DCD6
Neighbourhood: Blairmore Suburban Centre
Date Received: May 1, 2014

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Concept Plan Amendment for Kensington
2. Plan of Proposed Condominium Plan No. 6/14
3. Plan of Proposed Condominium Plan No. 7/14
4. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D8/14
5. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D9/14
6. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D10/14
7. Plan of Proposed Official Community Plan Amendment OCP 17/14
8. Plan of Proposed Official Community Plan Amendment OCP 20/14
9. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z8/14
10. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z18/14
11. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z19/14
12. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z21/14
13. Plan of Proposed Rezoning No. Z23/14
14. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 20/14
15. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 21/14
16. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 23/14
17. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 24/14
18. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 25/14
19. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 26/14
20. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 27/14
21. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 28/14
22. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 29/14
23. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 30/14
24. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 31/14
25. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 32/14
26. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 33/14
27. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 34/14
28. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 35/14

**A2) Award of Contract for Recreation and Parks Master Plan
(Files CK. 5500-1 and RS. 5500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that RC Strategies be awarded the contract for the development of the Recreation and Parks Master Plan for a total of \$141,512, net of GST; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary agreement and His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The Community Services Department is requesting that City Council approve awarding the contract for the development of the Recreation and Parks Master Plan to RC Strategies.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. The Request for Proposal (RFP) for the development of a Recreation and Parks Master Plan (Master Plan) was released on April 8, 2014, and closed on May 1, 2014.
2. Four proposals were received, and all proposals included the required components and deliverables identified within the RFP. Also, all bids were within the identified upset limit of \$150,000 for the completion of the Master Plan.
3. All proposals were reviewed and evaluated based on the established criteria and dollar cost averaging method outlined in the RFP. Based on this review, the Administration is recommending award of the contract to RC Strategies.

STRATEGIC GOAL

The provision of recreation, sport, culture, parks, facilities, and programs is a core service for the residents of Saskatoon and is strongly connected to the outcomes of a number of the City of Saskatoon's (City) Strategic Goals; the strongest connection is to the Strategic Goal of Quality of Life.

BACKGROUND

The last Public Recreation Policy Plan was completed in 1996, and much has changed since that time. The community has experienced substantial growth; social, economic,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 23**

and demographic trends have shifted; recreation infrastructure is aging; and leisure participation patterns and expectations have changed significantly. These, and other factors, have led to the need to develop a comprehensive Master Plan for the City.

During its December 3, 2013 meeting, City Council resolved:

‘that the Administration proceed with the development of a Recreation Master Plan for the City of Saskatoon, as outlined in the November 19, 2013, report of the General Manager, Community Services Department.’

During its March 31, 2014 meeting, City Council received an informational report with overview of the terms of reference for the RFP being issued for the Master Plan, including the intended scope, key deliverables, and timelines for the project.

REPORT

RFP

The RFP for the Master Plan was released on April 8, 2014, and closed on May 1, 2014, and was coordinated through the City’s Purchasing Services Section.

Proposal Compliance

In total, four proposals were received through Purchasing Services, and all four were in compliance with the base proposal requirements.

Evaluation of Proposals

All proposals submitted to the RFP were evaluated based on the following criteria:

- a) project understanding and quality of proposal – 25 percent;
- b) project management – 25 percent;
- c) community engagement – 15 percent
- d) timeline, schedule and methodology – 15 percent;
- e) knowledge of the community – 10 percent; and
- f) cost of the study/services provided – 10 percent.

Since RC Strategies’ bid is within budget, and ranked highest using the evaluation criteria noted above, the Administration is recommending the contract be awarded to RC Strategies.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

There are no options to the recommendation.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 24**

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

As per Purchase of Goods, Services and Work Policy No. C02-030, City Council is required to award contracts exceeding \$100,000.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The total project cost to the City for the proposal submitted by RC Strategies is as follows:

Total Project Upset Value (net of GST)	\$141,512
--	-----------

The total Capital budget approved for the Master Plan is \$200,000, of which \$150,000 was set aside to hire a qualified consultant to develop the Master Plan.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The Master Plan will be a collaborative undertaking by the various divisions within the City that will need to be involved and/or consulted during the development of the plan, including: Community Development, Recreation and Sport, Parks, Facilities, Planning and Development, and Transportation.

In developing the Master Plan, the work will reflect on and incorporate information and input already gathered from citizens, such as Community Vision, Corporate Strategic Plan, Municipal Culture Plan, Leisure Needs Assessment studies, Civic Satisfaction surveys, and the Future Sport and Recreation Facility Development Model.

There will also be formal community engagement with community-based organizations and the general public in the development of the Master Plan.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

A communication plan for the Master Plan is being developed through the Marketing Section of the Community Services Department that will highlight significant milestones, where and how the community can get involved, and provide input and timelines for the project.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

Upon awarding the contract, work on the Master Plan will begin in May/June 2014 with completion of the draft Master Plan in spring 2015.

More specifically, the Administration has the following stages and timelines for development of the Master Plan:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 25**

- a) award of contract - May 2014;
- b) start-up meetings - May 2014;
- c) plan development - June to December 2014;
- d) draft Master Plan - February 2015; and
- e) presentation of plan to City Council - May 2015.

The Administration will report back to City Council at regular intervals throughout the development of the Master Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**A3) Discussions with the YMCA for a New City Centre Recreation Facility
(Files CK. 610-1 and RS. 610-25)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Administration be authorized to continue discussions related to a partnership with the YMCA to develop a City Centre Recreation Facility and a draft Agreement in Principle;
 - 2) that the discussions with the YMCA also consider the potential inclusion of other project partners for a City Centre Recreation Facility; and
 - 3) that the Administration report further to the appropriate Standing Committee of City Council on the progress toward developing an Agreement in Principle.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 26**

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speakers. See Page No. 84.

**A4) Neighbourhood Infill Development Strategy – Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment to Amend the Development Standards for Primary Dwellings in Established Neighbourhoods – Approval of Advertising
(Files CK. 4350-63 and PL. 4350-Z26/14)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the advertising in respect to the proposed text amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770, be approved;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws to amend Zoning Bylaw No. 8770; and
 - 4) that at the time of the public hearing, consideration be given to the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendations related to the proposal outlined in this report.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to request advertising approval for an amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770. The proposed amendment will provide development standards for infill development for primary dwellings in the established neighbourhoods.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Administration is recommending amendments to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 that will implement development standards with the goal of balancing the demand for contemporary housing with the existing built form in established neighbourhoods, as identified in the Neighbourhood Level Infill Development Strategy.

STRATEGIC GOAL

This report supports the City of Saskatoon's (City) Strategic Goal of Sustainable Growth by ensuring that infill development is compatible with the existing built form. Developing

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 27**

design guidelines to promote infill development in existing neighbourhoods is specifically identified as a four-year strategy.

BACKGROUND

The Neighbourhood Level Infill Development Strategy (Strategy) was endorsed by City Council on December 16, 2013. The Strategy outlined best practices, design guidelines, and regulations that, upon implementation, will provide flexibility and increased opportunity for small-scale residential infill development. The Strategy addresses development standards, parking requirements, site servicing, and infill lot grading to accommodate infill development and minimize impact on neighbouring property owners. New forms of development were identified in the Strategy, including garden and garage suites and four-unit dwellings on corner lots.

A report was considered by the Planning and Operations Committee on March 25, 2014, which included an overall implementation plan for the Strategy. The implementation plan included developing regulations and design guidelines for primary dwellings in established neighbourhoods.

REPORT

Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 Amendment

The Strategy recommended that existing development standards, in particular those that regulate building height and massing, be amended to ensure that new infill development does not detract from the character of an existing neighbourhood. In this regard, the Administration is proposing amendments to the development standards for one- and two-unit dwellings, and semi-detached dwellings in the R1 - Large Lot One-Unit Residential District, R1A – One-Unit Residential District, and R2 One- and Two-Unit Residential District in the established neighbourhoods.

As identified in the Strategy, established neighbourhoods may be further divided into two categories based on their development pattern and characteristics. Category 1 neighbourhoods include City Park, Caswell Hill, Westmount, Riversdale, Pleasant Hill, King George, Nutana, Varsity View, Buena Vista, North Park, Haultain, and Exhibition. These neighbourhoods are generally characterized by a grid design with narrow residential streets and large mature trees and vegetation. Much of the original development in this area occurred prior to 1945. Category 2 neighbourhoods are the remainder of the established neighbourhoods. A map showing Category 1 and Category 2 neighbourhoods is contained in Attachment 1.

The proposed amendments are outlined in Attachment 2 and include changes to maximum building height and massing, building wall length, site width, and front yard encroachment for porches. Other regulations for primary dwellings, such as site depth,

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 28**

building setbacks from property lines, and site coverage are not proposed to be changed. The following is an explanation of the proposed changes:

- a. Building Height – The current maximum building height is 8.5 metres and is measured from grade level to the highest point of the roof for flat roofs, and to mean height level between the eaves and the ridge for a peaked roof. It is proposed that the use of a “building envelope” be implemented to regulate side wall height and mass. Attachment 3 illustrates how the amended regulation will be applied.
- b. Building Wall Length - There are currently no restrictions for the length of a wall of a one- and two-unit dwelling or semi-detached dwelling. This may result in a side wall from infill development extending further into the rear yard, beyond the wall of an adjacent dwelling.

The proposed standard of a maximum wall length will provide regulations to ensure wall lengths are generally consistent with adjacent dwellings and mitigate some of the effects that adjacent property owners experience in regard to shading and privacy. The proposed standards are as follows:

- i) 14 metres for sites 35 metres or less in depth;
 - ii) 16 metres for lots 35.1 to 45 metres in depth; and
 - iii) 20 metres for lots with depths greater than 45 metres.
- c. Site Width – The current development standard for minimum site width for one-unit dwellings is 15 metres in the R1 District, 12 metres in the R1A District, and 7.5 meters in the R2 District. The site width for the construction of new one-unit dwellings in established neighbourhoods shall be at least 70 percent of the average site width for one-unit dwelling sites fronting on the subject block face and the opposite block face, but in no case shall the site width be less than the minimum site width required in the applicable zoning district. The intent of this provision is to ensure that lots have consistent widths along a block face; however, this has inadvertently resulted in the development of an abundance of semi-detached dwellings.

In Montgomery Place, the minimum site width is 18.25 metres. This minimum site width is not proposed to be changed and will not be impacted by the proposed amendments.

It is proposed to remove this provision in Category 1 neighbourhoods and provide a site width as stated in the zoning district. For Category 2 neighbourhoods, the Administration is recommending that the 70 percent rule be changed to 60 percent of the average lot width. The provision to change from 70 percent to 60 percent will increase the number of lots available for one-unit dwellings and maintain the character of blocks with wider lots.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 29**

- d. Front Porch Encroachment into Front Yard – The current provision does not allow a front porch to extend into the required front yard as it is considered part of the structure. The proposed amendments will allow for front porches to encroach, provided that they do not exceed 75 percent of the width of the facade and cannot encroach more than 3 metres.

Approval Process and Implementation

One- and two-unit dwellings, and semi-detached dwellings will continue to be permitted uses, and the approval process will not change.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

City Council has the option of not approving the advertising for the proposed bylaws. Further direction from City Council would be requested.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Amendments to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 are outlined in this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications at this time.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

A Community Advisory Committee (Committee), comprised of civic staff, land developers, and interested members, was assembled to provide direction and oversee the Strategy. The Committee provided input into the development standards outlined in the report.

Between January and April 2014, meetings have been held with stakeholders, and correspondence has been received. Changes have been incorporated into this report based on this feedback.

A public information meeting was held on May 7, 2014, at City Park Collegiate to present the proposed regulations. The Municipal Planning Commission will be provided with an update of this meeting when they consider this application.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

If this application is approved for advertising by City Council, a notice will be placed in The StarPhoenix once a week for two consecutive weeks.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

The Administration will provide City Council with a report after the regulations have been in effect for approximately two years.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Once this application has been considered by the Municipal Planning Commission, it will be advertised in accordance with Public Notice Policy No. C01-021, and a date for a public hearing will be set. A notice will be placed in The StarPhoenix two weeks prior to the public hearing.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Category 1 and Category 2 Neighbourhoods
2. Proposed Changes To The Existing Development Standards
3. Building Height and Massing - Explanatory Diagrams

**A5) Neighbourhood Infill Development Strategy – Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment
R2 Zoning District – Approval to Advertise – Three and Four Dwellings per Corner Site
(Files CK. 4350-63 and PL. 4350-Z25/14)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the advertising, in respect to the proposed text amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770, be approved;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770;

- 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws to amend Zoning Bylaw No. 8770; and
- 4) that at the time of the public hearing, consideration be given to the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendations related to the proposal outlined in this report.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to consider an amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 (Zoning Bylaw), which will allow for three and four-unit dwellings on corner sites, as a discretionary use in the R2 – One and Two-Unit Residential Zoning District.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

The Administration is recommending amendments to the Zoning Bylaw to allow for the development of three and four-unit dwellings in the R2 Zoning District on corner sites in the established neighbourhoods as identified in the Neighbourhood Level Infill Development Strategy (Strategy).

STRATEGIC GOAL

This report supports the City of Saskatoon's (City) long-term Strategic Goal of Sustainable Growth, by allowing for an additional form of infill development. Increasing infill development is specifically identified as a ten-year strategy for achieving this goal.

BACKGROUND

At its December 16, 2013 meeting, City Council endorsed the Strategy. The Strategy outlined best practices, design guidelines, and regulations that upon implementation will provide flexibility and increased opportunity for small scale residential infill development. The Strategy addresses development standards, parking requirements, site servicing, and infill lot grading to accommodate infill development and minimize impact on neighbouring property owners. New forms of development were identified in the Strategy, including garden and garage suites, and four-unit dwellings on corner lots.

A report was considered by the Planning and Operations Committee on March 25, 2014, which included an overall implementation plan for the Strategy. The plan included proceeding with amendments to allow for up to four-unit dwellings on corner sites.

REPORT

Zoning Bylaw Amendment

The Administration is proposing that the Zoning Bylaw be amended to provide for three and four-unit dwelling units on corner sites in the R2 Zoning District as a discretionary use. A corner site is defined in the Zoning Bylaw as a site at the intersection or junction of two (2) or more streets and where a side site line may be separated from the street by a buffer strip. The development standards to be applied are included in Attachment 1.

The proposed amendment to provide for three and four-unit dwellings on corner sites would only apply to established neighbourhoods as indicated on the map in Appendix B of the Zoning Bylaw (refer to Attachment 2). All sites must have a rear lane and be located on arterial or collector streets as defined by the Transportation and Utilities Department. Consideration may be given to other corner sites based on adjacent land uses, street widths, and any relevant Local Area Plan (LAP) policies.

An example of a site plan and elevations for a four-unit dwelling is included in Attachment 3.

To recognize infill opportunities in the R2 Zoning District, including three and four-unit dwellings on corner sites, the Administration is also recommending that the purpose of the R2 Zoning District be amended to identify that certain small scale infill development will be accommodated in this District.

Approval Process and Implementation

The Administration recommends that three and four-unit dwellings on corner sites in the R2 Zoning District be considered a discretionary use. It is recommended that the discretionary use approval be delegated to the Administration and an application fee of \$1,500 be applied, as this will be considered a “complex” application. An inspection will be conducted to ensure that all existing uses are legally permitted. The Administration may approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application. Applicants may have City Council review the decision of the Administration if the application is denied. Applications referred to City Council will first be reviewed by the Municipal Planning Commission, who would then provide a recommendation. Neighbouring property owners would be notified prior to the public hearing. City Council’s decision on the application is final and there will be no further appeals.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

City Council has the option of not approving the advertising for the proposed bylaws. Further direction from City Council would be requested.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Amendments to the Zoning Bylaw are outlined in this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

A Community Advisory Committee (Committee) comprised of civic staff, land developers, and interested members was assembled to provide direction and to oversee the Strategy. The Committee provided input into the development standards for four-unit dwellings on corner sites and will continue to convene through implementation of the strategy.

A public information meeting was held on May 7, 2014, at City Park Collegiate to present the proposed regulations for four-unit dwellings on corner sites. The development standards for primary dwellings were also presented at this meeting. The feedback received at this meeting will be presented to the Municipal Planning Commission when they review this proposal.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

If this application is approved for advertising by City Council, a notice will be placed in The StarPhoenix once a week for two consecutive weeks.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

The Administration will provide City Council with a report after the regulations have been in effect for approximately two years.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Once this application has been considered by the Municipal Planning Commission, it will be advertised in accordance with Public Notice Policy No. C01-021, and a date for a public hearing will be set. A notice will be placed in The StarPhoenix two weeks prior to the public hearing.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft Zoning Bylaw Provisions in the R2 Zoning District, Section 8.4.3, Zoning Bylaw No. 8770
2. Established Neighbourhoods - Appendix B of the Zoning Bylaw
3. Four-Unit Dwelling Example

**A6) Proposed Aspen Ridge Concept Plan, Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 Amendment, and Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 Amendment
– Approval of Advertising
(Files CK. 4131-32, PL. 4131-41, PL. 4115-OCP9/14, PL. 4350-Z10/14)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the advertising in respect to the proposals for the Aspen Ridge Concept Plan, an amendment to Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 – Land Use and Phasing Map, and an amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770, be approved;
 - 2) that the General Manager, Community Services Department, be requested to prepare the required notices for advertising the proposed Aspen Ridge Concept Plan, the amendment to Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 – Land Use and Phasing Map, and the amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required bylaws to amend Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 – Land Use and Phasing Map, and Zoning Bylaw No. 8770; and
 - 4) that at the time of the public hearing, consideration be given to the Municipal Planning Commission's recommendations related to the proposal outlined in this report.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to request approval to advertise for public hearings for the proposed Aspen Ridge Concept Plan (Concept Plan), an amendment to Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769 (OCP) – Land Use and Phasing Map, and an amendment to Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 (Zoning Bylaw).

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. The proposed Concept Plan will provide for a proposed residential neighbourhood consisting of 258.49 ha (638.71 acres) and is the next neighbourhood to be developed in the University Heights sector. Upon completion, the neighbourhood will be home to approximately 12,609 residents.
2. The Administration is requesting approval to advertise for the proposed Concept Plan, an amendment to the OCP – Land Use and Phasing Map, and an amendment to the Zoning Bylaw to implement the Concept Plan.

STRATEGIC GOAL

Under the City Of Saskatoon's (City) Strategic Goal of Sustainable Growth, this report supports the creation of complete communities in new neighbourhoods that feature employment opportunities, main streets, suburban centres, and greater connectivity both internally and externally and will ensure that new commercial areas include parks, paths, and routes that encourage walking, cycling, and transit use.

BACKGROUND

At its October 7, 2013 City Council meeting, approval was given to amend the University Heights Sector Plan. Aspen Ridge is the next neighbourhood to be developed in the University Heights sector.

REPORT

Concept Plan

The proposed Concept Plan will provide for a residential neighbourhood, consisting of 258.49 ha (638.71 acres) and is the next neighbourhood to be developed in the University Heights sector. A copy of the proposed Concept Plan, presented at the public open house on March 18, 2014, is included in Attachment 1. The neighbourhood has been designed to be walkable with amenities, transit, and recreational spaces close to homes. It will include a variety of housing, such as one-unit dwellings, townhouses, multiple-unit dwellings, and mixed-use development combining residential with retail and office space. Elements of the neighbourhood will include:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 36**

- a) a complete streets design concept for McOrmond Drive;
- b) a village square;
- c) a multi-use greenway abutting the Northeast Swale;
- d) a linear park system; and
- e) pre-designated sites for attainable housing.

Upon completion, the neighbourhood will be home to approximately 12,609 residents. The Quick Facts Sheet regarding the proposed neighbourhood has been included in Attachment 2.

Growing Forward! Shaping Saskatoon

A report was presented to the Growing Forward! Shaping Saskatoon Steering Committee. Due to the proximity of Aspen Ridge to the Evergreen neighbourhood, the proposed Concept Plan was presented to the Growing Forward! Shaping Saskatoon Steering Committee, together with the proposed Evergreen Concept Plan amendment for the District Village. The report identifies how the proposed Concept Plan meets the principles of the Growing Forward! Shaping Saskatoon initiative. The report is included in Attachment 3.

Some of the key initiatives incorporated into the design of the neighbourhood include:

- a) higher density land uses along transit corridors;
- b) all collector roadways built to support transit;
- c) a District Village to provide employment opportunities within walking distance for residents of both the Aspen Ridge and Evergreen neighbourhoods;
- d) a variety of residential land uses from one-unit to multi-unit residential in order to promote affordability; and
- e) a greenway along those portions of the neighbourhood that abut the Northeast swale.

Approval to Advertise

The Administration anticipates bringing forward two reports with recommendations for the proposed Concept Plan and the associated amendments to the OCP – Land Use and Phasing Map and the Zoning Bylaw for the public hearing scheduled on June 23, 2014, as follows:

- a. The Concept Plan report will be presented to the Municipal Planning Commission and the Planning and Operations Committee prior to bringing the report forward to the public hearing.
- b. The OCP – Land Use and Phasing Map and the Zoning Bylaw amendment report will be presented to the Municipal Planning Commission prior to bringing the report forward to the public hearing.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 37**

In order to accommodate the Administration's ability to bring forward the proposals to a public hearing on June 23, 2014, this report is to request approval to advertise, as required by the City's Public Notice Policy No. C01-02.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

The request to advertise could be denied. Should the request be denied, the Administration would request further direction.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial implications will be attached to the Concept Plan report.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Comments from Other Divisions and Agencies

The proposal was circulated to the appropriate divisions or agencies as part of the review process. Comments from other divisions and agencies will be attached to the Concept Plan report.

Public Engagement

A public open house was held on March 18, 2014, for residents and property owners in and around the neighbourhood. A total of 899 public notices were distributed to all property owners and the surrounding property owners. The Willowgrove/University Heights and the Silverspring Community Associations were also notified. The Ward Councillor was in attendance and 53 people attended the public open house. The Community Engagement Project Summary has been included in Attachment 4.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

Public hearing notices will be advertised two weeks prior to City Council's meeting date. No further consultation is planned beyond the stakeholder involvement noted above.

PUBLIC NOTICE

The application will be advertised in accordance with Public Notice Policy No. C01-021, and a date for a public hearing will be set. The Planning and Development Division will notify the Community Consultant and the Ward Councillor of the public hearing date. A notice will be placed in The StarPhoenix two weeks prior to the public hearing.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Aspen Ridge – Proposed Land Use Concept Plan
2. Aspen Ridge Neighbourhood Quick Facts
3. Growing Forward! Shaping Saskatoon Report
4. Community Engagement Summary

**A7) Friends of the Bowl Foundation – Title Sponsorship Rights
Gordon Howe Bowl
(Files CK. 4205-7-2 and RS. 291–5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Yausie and Weenk Family be approved as the title sponsor for the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park;
 - 2) that the new name of Saskatoon Minor Football Field for the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park be approved; and
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate donation and naming rights agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report requests City Council's approval for the Yausie and Weenk Family to be the title sponsor for the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park and that the new name for the Bowl be known as "Saskatoon Minor Football Field" at Gordon Howe Park.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. The Friends of the Bowl Foundation (Foundation) has secured the Yausie and Weenk Family as a title sponsor for the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park.
2. The Yausie and Weenk Family requested that the new name for the Bowl be "Saskatoon Minor Football Field" at Gordon Howe Park.
3. The City of Saskatoon (City), the Foundation, and the Yausie and Weenk Family will enter into a donation and naming rights agreement for a 30-year term which represents two life-cycles of the artificial turf. The Yausie and Weenk Family is contributing \$1.5 million toward capital upgrades to the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park.

STRATEGIC GOALS

Under the City's Strategic Goal of Asset and Financial Sustainability, this report supports the long-term strategy to increase revenue sources and reduce the reliance on residential property taxes. This report also supports the long-term strategy, within the Strategic Goal of Quality of Life, to ensure existing and future leisure centres and other recreational facilities are accessible physically and financially and meet the community needs.

BACKGROUND

At its September 9, 2013 meeting, City Council granted permission to the Foundation to solicit donor recognition for naming rights and asset naming opportunities, as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated August 27, 2013, subject to City Council having final approval of an agreement for the naming rights for the Bowl.

At its May 5, 2014 meeting, City Council approved the amended site plan and the lease of park land to Saskatoon Football Inc. for a storage facility to meet their programming needs at the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park.

REPORT

Title Sponsor and Donation

The Foundation, through its fundraising efforts, has secured a title sponsor for the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park. Through the leadership and generosity of the Yausie and Weenk Family in support of the campaign to revitalize the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park, they are donating \$2.0 million. The Family has predetermined that \$1.5 million be allocated to capital upgrades to the Gordon Howe Bowl and that \$500,000 be allocated to the Saskatoon Football Inc. storage facility.

Naming of the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park

The Yausie and Weenk Family, with the assistance of a local advertising agency, developed a new name for the Bowl. The Family wanted a name that represented the importance of minor football and to help underscore the first home of our community's athletes as they develop and begin their path to becoming leaders on and off the football field.

The name being proposed is "Saskatoon Minor Football Field" at Gordon Howe Park. To the Yausie and Weenk Family, minor football is where youth and young adults learn the sport of football and the life skills and spirit the sport delivers: respect, humility, dedication, teamwork and perseverance. The "Saskatoon Minor Football Field" will truly be the home of football in Saskatoon.

The Yausie and Weenk Family has requested that the name "Saskatoon Minor Football Field" (SMF Field) be featured on a donor recognition feature at Gordon Howe Park.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 40**

The acronym "SMF Field" will be proudly displayed on the decorative trellis of the new scoreboard.

Donation and Naming Rights Agreement

The City, the Foundation, and the Yausie and Weenk Family will enter into an agreement for a 30-year term or two life-cycles of the artificial turf replacement, whichever comes later. The term will commence once Phase 1 construction (i.e. artificial turf, score clock, field lighting, and sound system) is complete. The Family has agreed that \$1 million be provided for Phase 1, with payment made on or before September 30, 2014, and \$500,000 be provided toward the construction of Phase 2 (i.e., service building, landscaping, and entry plaza). The Family will have a first right of refusal to renew the term, subject to the parties agreeing on any new terms. The key terms of the Agreement (see Attachment 1) between the City, the Foundation, and the Yausie and Weenk Family will be outlined in a Sponsorship Agreement.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Sponsorship Policy No. C09-028 facilitates opportunities for entering into sponsorship agreements and naming rights agreements for City-owned assets for the purpose of enhanced financial sustainability.

The policy indicates that City Council provide concept approval for all sponsorship arrangements that are of a sensitive nature or with the total value in excess of \$100,000, and all naming rights arrangements and sale of naming rights before sponsors are approached or agreements made. The policy states that there be a fixed term of up to a maximum of ten years. City Council has the authority to depart from policy as outlined in this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The total cost to complete Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the project is \$10.05 million. The \$1.5 million sponsorship donation by the Yausie and Weenk Family represents a 14.9 percent contribution toward the total project cost.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

The Foundation supports the Yausie and Weenk Family as the title sponsor and the naming of the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park as "SMF" Field.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

The Foundation, in partnership with the City's Recreation & Sport Division, will conduct a media briefing to announce the title sponsorship and naming of the Bowl at Gordon Howe Park.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are not environmental implications

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Donation and Naming Rights Key Terms

Section B – ASSET AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

**B1) Saskatoon Airport Authority
Request for Property Tax Exemption (2014 – 2018)
(Files CK. 1965-1 and AF. 1965-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Saskatoon Airport Authority be granted property tax exemption for the runways, taxiways and aprons, based on the terms outlined in this report, for 5 years (2014 to 2018 inclusive); and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor's Office be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement, in consultation with the Saskatoon Airport Authority, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The Saskatoon Airport Authority (SAA) has been exempt from paying property taxes on the runways, taxiways, and aprons (runways) as long as the SAA has been the

assessable owner of the local airport and the runways have been assessed. The exemption has been by means of the City of Saskatoon (City) granting the exemption under the authority of *The Cities Act*. The most recent five-year exemption, for the years 2009 to 2013 inclusive, has expired. The SAA has requested that the City continue the exemption. The maximum length of exemption that the City can consider is five years.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. An exemption has been in place as long as both the SAA has been the assessable owner and the runways have been assessed.
2. The Administration recommends the continued exemption for the runways for the SAA for five years (2014 to 2018 inclusive).
3. The exemption is unique to the SAA.

STRATEGIC GOALS

The recommended exemption directly supports the strategic goals of Asset and Financial Sustainability by creating a predictable property taxation scenario for all parties impacted by the recommendation.

The indirect benefits of this predictable taxation environment allows the SAA to operate in a manner that fits both its present needs and future needs of supplying adequate servicing levels to meet the increasing air-traffic needs of an expanding population. This meets the Strategic Goals of Continuous Improvement, Quality of Life, Sustainable Growth, and Economic Diversity and Prosperity.

BACKGROUND

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada (Her Majesty the Queen) is the owner of the land upon which the Airport is located.

Her Majesty the Queen and the SAA entered into a ground lease dated January 1, 1999, under which the SAA became responsible for the management, development and operation of the Airport.

Under the terms of the ground lease, the SAA is responsible for the payment of all municipal property taxes for which the City, prior to 1999, would have received a grant-in-lieu of real property tax from Her Majesty the Queen.

When the Airport was operated by Her Majesty the Queen, the Minister of Public Works paid the City a grant-in-lieu of property tax in respect of the Airport. However, aircraft runways were not included as federal property for which grants were paid.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 43**

In the ground lease negotiations between Her Majesty the Queen and the SAA, the parties did not contemplate that the aircraft runways would be taxable after the management and operation of the Airport was transferred to the SAA.

REPORT

Property Tax Exemption

The runways, shown in Attachment 1, have been given an exemption from property tax by the City. The land that these improvements are located on has not been exempted from taxation.

The exemption has been in place through past renewals, as long as the SAA has been the assessable owner and the runways have been assessed.

A separate partial property tax abatement has been in place to address the Air Terminal and the associated lesser structures and land. This other partial abatement is based on a formula that uses prior year passenger volumes to determine the taxes to be paid, resulting in a predictable taxation scenario with a resulting variable abatement.

The other partial abatement ensures that the SAA and taxing authorities have predictable property taxes.

This exemption for the runways provides for an exemption from property taxes on portions of the assessment that the former operator was not required to consider as a federally operated facility.

The past agreements have exempted all assessed value associated with the runways as improvements, but not the land they are located on. The exemption applied to only the assessment value related to earthwork, concrete, paving, etc. The agreement also contemplated future development of same and ensured that the exemption would apply to new, similar improvements or upgrades.

There is no fixed assessment amount that is exempted from taxation, as the assessment amount can vary due to quadrennial reassessments and any future expansion needed to support the service for a growing population.

Request for Exemption

The SAA is requesting a continuation of the tax exemption from the City for the airside runways at the Airport (Attachment 2). Under Section 263 of *The Cities Act*, the City has the authority to grant an exemption.

The exemption has been in place through past renewals, as long as the SAA has been the assessable owner for the facility (operator) and the runways have been assessed.

If approved, the assessment value exempted for 2014 will be approximately \$41 million.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

The following are options to the recommendation:

- Re-initiate ad valorem property taxation (property taxes dependent on assessment values);
- Determine a partial exemption in agreement with the SAA;
- Determine a different length of term; or
- Any combination of the above.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommendation is a continuation of the existing exemption. This exemption is unique in Saskatoon and does not apply to any other property. As it is not policy-based, there should be no implications beyond the targeted property. This ongoing exemption over the past decade has not been applied beyond the subject property.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

If the exemption is approved, there will be no changes.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Public involvement is not required. Stakeholder involvement is limited to the SAA which is seeking a continuation of the exemption for the runways.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

Upon City Council's decision regarding the continuation of the current exemption, the SAA will receive notification.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

If the recommendation is approved, follow-up will be required. At that time, all taxation options will be reconsidered.

At that same time, both the partial abatement for the terminal and the exemption for the runways can be considered simultaneously.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPLICATIONS

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CEPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Schedule "A" (map of airport)
2. Letter from SAA - April 17, 2014

B2) Acquisition of Land for 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor
(Files CK. 4020-1, AF. 4020-1, LA. 4020-014-006 and LA. 0375-2)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Real Estate Manager be authorized to acquire a portion of Parcel G Plan, No. CR3112, Ext 0 (95 – 33rd Street East, see Attachment 1), comprising of approximately 520 square metres from Horizon Milling ULC for \$1.00;
 - 2) that the City Solicitor's Office administer the required documentation to complete this transaction; and
 - 3) that the Capital Project 1137, Bicycle Facilities (33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor) be used as the funding source for the land acquisition and costs associated with site alterations, legal costs, administrative costs, and disbursements.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the purchase of a portion of Parcel G, Plan No. CR3112, Ext 0 (95 – 33rd Street East) for the extension of the 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor to the west of 3rd Avenue.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. Acquisition price for the land is \$1.00.
2. The construction of this section of the 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor will provide an additional 180 meters of safe pedestrian and cyclist travel along 33rd Street.

STRATEGIC GOAL

The 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor supports the City of Saskatoon's (City) Strategic Goal of Moving Around by providing a critical pedestrian and cyclist link between SIAST Kelsey Campus and Spadina Crescent.

BACKGROUND

The 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor Master Plan was approved in principle at the June 27, 2011, City Council meeting.

REPORT

The proposed alignment of the 33rd Street Corridor requires the acquisition of a strip of land from a number of properties along the south side of 33rd Street, including approximately 180 linear meters from Horizon Milling ULU (Horizon).

The City's Real Estate Services section has negotiated an agreement with the property owner, Horizon. Details of the agreement include:

- purchase price is \$1.00;
- conditions precedent: City Council approval by May 20, 2014;
- the City is responsible for the relocation of fences, electrical panels, parking stall plug-ins, and gates that are impacted by the proposed path;
- the City will ensure the surface condition of the site is left in a satisfactory condition;
- the City will supply and install four light standards and two parking bollards to enhance parking safety/functionality on the Horizon site; and
- possession of the land upon City Council approval.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

There are no other options.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no identified policy implications at this time.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Sufficient funds for this land acquisition and site alterations exist within Capital Project 1137, Bicycle Facilities (33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor). Site alterations are estimated at \$47,900. Electrical relocations and site lighting account for \$43,400 of these estimated costs.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Discussions regarding the acquisition of this section of the corridor have involved the City's Transportation, Real Estate Services, and Development Review Sections, as well as the City Solicitor's Office. In addition, Horizon and Crosby Hanna & Associates have had significant involvement.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

There is no communication plan required at this time.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION:

Two more land acquisition reports for this section of the 33rd Street Multi-Use Corridor will be brought forward for City Council's approval once negotiations are finalized. This section of the corridor is targeted to be operational by fall of 2014.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The extension of this corridor will promote alternative modes of transportation, and ideally reduce vehicle trips in the area.

PRIVACY IMPLICATIONS

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is in progress.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Lands Subject to the Agreement

**B3) Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority Inc. (SREDA)
Annual Reporting
(Files CK. 1870-10 and AF. 3500-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received;
 - 2) that a bonus payment totalling \$92,625 be approved based on the achievement of the agreed-upon annual performance targets; and
 - 3) that the 2014 performance targets be approved.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report provides information to City Council on the 2013 activities of the Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority Inc. (SREDA), including the results of the 2013 performance measures and 2014 performance targets. This is consistent with the reporting requirements outlined in the Funding Agreement between the City of Saskatoon (City) and SREDA.

STRATEGIC GOALS

As identified in the Funding Agreement, the services performed by SREDA are required to be consistent with both the City's Strategic Plan, in particular, the Strategic Goal of Economic Diversity and Prosperity, as well as SREDA's Strategic Goals.

BACKGROUND

On October 7, 2013, City Council approved a revised Funding Agreement with SREDA and the performance measures and targets developed by SREDA. The agreement requires that an annual report containing audited financial statements and information on SREDA's activities be provided to City Council no later than May 31 each calendar year.

In addition, SREDA shall report on its achievement of the agreed-upon performance measures. If the City and SREDA agree that SREDA has met the performance measures, a bonus payment shall be provided.

The agreement also requires that SREDA's strategic and business plans and budget for 2014 be presented to City Council no later than December 15, 2013.

REPORT

The SREDA 2013 Annual Report (Attachment 1) includes a summary of activities and their audited financial statements. Attachment 2 is the annual reporting of statistics related to SREDA Administered Incentives for the years 2004 to 2013.

Attachment 3 is a copy of the 2013 SREDA Key Performance Indicators Scorecard which outlines the approved performance measures, targets, results and ratings. The ratings are calculated by pro-rating the weighting based on actual results. The total for 2013 is 74.1%.

The Funding Agreement provides for a bonus payment of up to \$125,000 annually, based on the successful achievement of the agreed-upon annual performance measure targets. Accordingly, the bonus payment to SREDA for 2013 totals \$92,625. The funding source is from industrial property sale proceeds that reside within the Property Realized Reserve.

Neither SREDA's current Strategic Plan (2012 – 2015) or 2014 business plan and 2014 budget were previously provided to City Council; they are attached (Attachments 4 and 5).

SREDA's 2014 Performance Targets (Attachment 6) are currently in draft form only. While the SREDA Executive has approved them, approval by the Board is still outstanding. Future reporting on both the targets and business plan and budget will be in line with the timelines specified in the agreement.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

No options are available related to the bonus payment to SREDA as this is outlined within the funding agreement. However, City Council can ask for additional information relating to the 2014 targets.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommendations are consistent with the Funding Agreement between the City and SREDA.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding for the bonus payment exists within the Property Realized Reserve.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

No communication plan is required.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

A fall update will be provided to City Council including SREDA's updated strategic and business plans, together with their 2015 budget and performance targets.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. SREDA Annual Report 2013
2. Statistics Report - SREDA Administered Incentives
3. 2013 SREDA Key Performance Indicators Scorecard
4. SREDA Strategic Plan 2012 – 2015
5. SREDA 2014 Operational Plan
6. SREDA 2014 Draft Performance Targets

CFO and General Manager, Asset and Financial Management Bilanski informed Council that since this report was released, SREDA's 2014 Performance Targets were approved by the SREDA Board.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendations of the Administration be adopted.

**B4) Shaw Centre Supplemental Ventilation
(File Nos.: CK. 606-2 and AF. 600-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Carmont Construction Ltd. for the Shaw Centre Competition Pool Heating Ventilation and Cooling (HVAC) Installation at a total cost of \$560,953.50 (PST and GST included) be approved;
 - 2) that the Shaw Centre Competition Pool Supplemental Ventilation Project #1135 be increased in the amount of \$242,000.00, funded by the Civic Buildings Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve, as outlined in this report; and

- 3) that the City Manager and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents as prepared by the City Solicitor under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

To obtain approval for additional funding in order to proceed with the timely installation of supplemental ventilation equipment for the Shaw Centre Competition Pool.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. The initial design solution resulted in tenders that did not meet resource and completion requirements.
2. The design was revised, incorporating reduced scope and splitting the project into two smaller project parcels.
3. The first smaller parcel was tendered and awarded, and the second parcel tender resulted in a bid that put our total aggregate project cost over budget.

STRATEGIC GOAL

The recommendations in this report support the Strategic Goal of Quality of Life by providing a full use recreational facility that promotes active living and building community and life-long skills for patrons. Enhancement to the ventilation system provides opportunities for activities in a winter city. It also supports the goal of Asset and Financial Sustainability by ensuring civic buildings are well-maintained and meeting the needs of citizens.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on January 20, 2014, when dealing with Clause 2, Report No. 1-2014, City Council, resolved, in part:

- '1) that a capital project in the amount of \$650,000 for the installation of a new supplementary ventilation system at the Shaw Centre be approved.'

REPORT

Consultants, Morrison Hershfield Engineers, were engaged in January 2014 to expedite a design solution based upon their Shaw Centre Ventilation Review report (October 2013) and their Shaw Centre Pool HVAC Upgrade Feasibility Study (December 2013).

This design progressed to tender in March 2014, for which bids were received that exceeded three times the cost of the budgeted allotment, with none of the bidders being

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 52**

able to perform the work within the time prescribed. The reasons bids were in excess of the estimate was the very short time allowed to perform the work, and the scope of work including significant marshalling, set-up and decampment involved to perform the work at significant elevation over a body of water.

This tender was then cancelled, and Morrison Hershfield Engineers and the City project team, with assistance from Jim Boire (designated technical representative for Swimming Canada), proceeded to modify the design and scope of the project by:

1. Relocating the new supplementary main air handling equipment to facilitate faster and easier installation;
2. Eliminating non-essential equipment; and
3. Splitting the project work into two parcels:
 - i. supply and installation of circulation fans;
 - ii. supply and installation of main air handling equipment.

A tender closed for the first parcel on April 29, 2014, for the supply and installation of circulation fans and was awarded at a price of \$277,351.00 (GST excluded).

A tender also closed for the second parcel on May 15, 2014, for the supply and installation of the main air handling equipment with an acceptable, and recommended, low bid of \$534,858.13 (GST excluded).

The combined cost of these tenders (\$277,351.00 plus \$534,858.13) for the project exceed the original project budget (\$650,000.00) by \$162,209.13, and does not include any contingency for unforeseen circumstances and conditions or project costs. Thus, we are recommending an additional \$80,000.00 (10%) for a total recommended increase to the project budget of \$242,000.00.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

There are no practical options to the recommendations. The fresh air and ventilation rates are adequate to support day-to-day programming where peak usage periods do not exceed 300 patrons. If City Council chooses not to approve the allocation of additional required funds, the result could be that the Shaw Centre would not be able to host major competitive swimming events, including the Senior Nationals swim meet scheduled for July 17, 2014.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The requested approval for the procurement of the supply and installation of the supplemental ventilation system is in accordance with Policy No. A02-027 – Corporate Purchasing Procedure.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 53**

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

At its January 20, 2014, meeting, City Council approved \$650,000 from the Civic Buildings Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve to accommodate this work. The Community Services Department will contribute additional funds to this reserve to offset this unplanned expenditure. The contribution is the result of utility savings resulting from the installation of a combined heat and power (CHP) unit at the Shaw Centre, totalling \$72,000 annually, for five years ending in 2020. This work is still progressing as a separate project.

The Supplemental Ventilation Project scope has now been reduced to lower the overall project costs. To accomplish this, the project was redesigned, thereby changing equipment location facilitating faster installation, eliminating ductwork and all non-essential equipment.

There are sufficient funds within the Civic Buildings Comprehensive Maintenance Reserve to cover the shortfall of \$242,209.13. Community Services will contribute its annual utility savings for an additional seven (7) years to offset the additional cost.

Revised Project Cost and Funding

Project Scope Component		Cost
Ceiling Fan Installation		\$277,351.00
HVAC Equipment & Installation (previously awarded)		\$534,858.13
Contingency & Project Costs (approximately 10.0%)		\$80,000.00
Total Cost		\$892,209.13
Total Approved Funding		\$650,000.00
Amount Exceeding Approved Funding		\$242,209.13
Financing	Estimated Utility Savings	
CHP Utility Savings	\$72,000/yr, 12.4 yr payback (Jan. 2020 – Dec. 2032)	\$892,209.13

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Morrison Hershfield and the City's project team have met on several occasions throughout the project with stakeholders and user group representatives. Additionally, Jim Boire (Swimming Canada) has been an integral part of the project team, by providing insight and feedback regarding the scope and how it will meet industry guidelines with regard to ventilation and circulation of supplied air.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

There will be service disruptions to the Shaw Centre's normal operations, which may affect overall public programming and aquatic user group programming. Recreation & Sport staff have been involved in project planning and are aware of the timeline and likely disruption. The Shaw Centre will operate its regularly scheduled programs as much as possible, and any service disruptions will be coordinated with programming staff. Recreation & Sport staff will contact the affected user groups and work with them to minimize any program disruption during construction. Communications of any public programming disruption will be handled in the usual manner with notification to specific program groups and/or through Public Service Announcements.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

The timeline for completion of the project is now critically short. While every effort is being made to optimize the schedule and make the best use of available time and resources, any further delays could have serious consequences to the probability of project completion prior to the July 17, 2014, swim meet.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Environmental implications have not been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPLICATIONS

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section C – CORPORATE PERFORMANCE

**C1) Composting Program Bulk Sales
(Files CK. 1720-1, x 7830-5 and CP. 7832-6)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that bulk sale prices for compost be established for 2014 as follows:

- \$65 per cubic meter for loads smaller than 5 cubic meters
 - \$20 per cubic meter for loads greater than 5 cubic meters
 - \$15 per cubic meter for purchases greater than 25 cubic meters;
- 2) that a bulk sale price of \$26 per cubic meter be established for mulch; and
 - 3) that the Administration be authorized to provide mulch in bulk quantities at no charge from the McOrmond Drive Compost Depot prior to the decommissioning of the site.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to establish rates for the sale of compost and mulch in bulk quantities.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. A significant volume of mature compost (12,300 cubic meters) and mulch (16,000 cubic meters) will be available this season. The Administration has proposed a set of bulk sale prices to facilitate the sale of this material to citizens.
2. Two sales channels are proposed to ensure that sales can proceed safely, and to facilitate the decommissioning of the McOrmond Drive Compost Depot (McOrmond Depot) later this year. Bulk sales to residents will occur at Compost Sale Saturdays at the Highway 7 Depot. Sales of larger quantities of compost will occur at the McOrmond Depot.
3. The Administration proposes to sell mulch to residents at a bulk sale price of \$26 per cubic meter. Commercial haulers interested in larger quantities may remove mulch from the McOrmond Depot at no charge.

STRATEGIC GOALS

The initiatives described in this report support the Strategic Goal of Environmental Leadership by facilitating city-wide composting and recycling, and helping to eliminate the need for a new landfill. Composting also contributes to the long-term strategy to reduce the City of Saskatoon's (City) greenhouse gas emissions.

BACKGROUND

Finished compost material was made available for sale to the general public for the first time in 2013. City Council approved rates for compost and mulch at \$5 per 20-litre bag or pail.

REPORT

Increasing Quantities of Mature Compost and Mulch

The total quantities of leaves, grass, and other yard waste materials dropped off at the two compost depots has averaged approximately 20,000 tonnes in the last few years. Processing this material into mature compost has typically taken two seasons. In 2014, specialized composting equipment (a windrow turner) will be used to speed up the composting process.

This year, 15,000 cubic meters of mature compost will be ready for sale from the McOrmond Depot, and another 3,600 cubic meters of compost will be available from the compost depot at the junction of Highway 7 and 11th Street West (Highway 7 Depot).

Of the total 18,600 cubic meters, the Administration estimates that approximately 6,300 cubic meters of material may be used in city parks and community gardens this season, leaving 12,300 cubic meters of mature compost available for sale to the community.

16,000 cubic meters of mulch is also available; 14,000 cubic meters at the McOrmond Depot, and 2,000 cubic meters at the Highway 7 Depot.

“Compost Sale Saturdays” at Highway 7 Depot

There is a greater volume of mature compost available than can reasonably be used for civic purposes. Therefore, the Administration proposes that bulk sale prices for compost be established for 2014.

“Compost Sale Saturdays” will occur in May and September. In addition to providing bagged material to residents at a price of \$5 each, bulk sales will allow residents interested in larger volumes of compost to have their pickup trucks or small trailers safely loaded by on-site equipment without the cumbersome step of bagging. Compost will be provided in volumes of ½ yard, 1 yard, 1.5 yard, and 2 yard quantities.

Bulk Sales in Larger Quantities from McOrmond Depot

Due to the popularity of the composting program, it is not uncommon for 200 vehicles to visit each depot per day to drop off yard waste material.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 57**

To ensure appropriate safety measures are in place, bulk sales will be managed between the two depots with sales to residents occurring at “Compost Sale Saturdays” events at the Highway 7 Depot. Commercial haulers purchasing material in larger quantities will be directed to the McOrmond Depot throughout the season. Haulers will be required to use commercial dump trailers or dump trucks greater than 5 cubic meters in size, as they will be loaded by front end loader.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

City Council may choose to set different rates for the sale of compost and mulch in bulk quantities.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In 2013, the cost to produce mature compost was \$75 per cubic meter, and the cost to produce mulch was \$5 per cubic meter. The proposed prices for bulk sales consider the cost to produce the material and current market prices. Based on current market prices, the proposed bulk sales prices do not fully recover the cost of production.

“Compost Sales Saturdays” – Bulk Sales to Residents at Highway 7 Depot

The Administration proposes a price of \$65 per cubic meter (\$50 per yard) be established for unbagged compost. This price is similar to retail prices for topsoil mixes and manure available in Saskatoon today.

The mulch produced by the compost depots is an unrefined and mixed species product. The Administration suggests that a bulk sale price of \$26 per cubic meter be established for mulch. While there is no direct comparable product available in the marketplace, this price is within the range of other similar landscape materials.

The additional cost to provide bulk sales of compost and mulch during “Compost Sale Saturdays” is \$3,150. During the five sale events planned this year, 3,600 cubic meters of compost and 2,000 cubic meters of mulch will be available for sale to the general public. The revenue expected from bulk sales to the public is \$10,000.

Bulk Sales in Larger Quantities from McOrmond Depot

The McOrmond Depot must be decommissioned later this year. Of the 15,000 cubic meters of compost available at the depot, the Administration hopes to sell 8,700 cubic meters of mature compost, and utilize an additional 6,300 cubic meters for civic purposes.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 58**

For businesses interested in larger quantities of material, a price of \$20 per cubic meter is proposed for loads greater than 5 cubic meters, and a price of \$15 per cubic meter for purchases greater than 25 cubic meters. These prices are similar to a wholesale price and provide sufficient room for landscape businesses to transport, stockpile, market, and retail the mature compost at rates similar to other topsoil mixes or manure. Anticipated program revenue from the sale of compost is \$300,000.

As reported in April, the McOrmond Depot will close permanently later this year and must be decommissioned. The Administration recommends that mulch at the McOrmond Depot be provided at no charge to businesses seeking larger quantities. This ensures the material is removed prior to decommissioning. Mulch that cannot be removed from the McOrmond Depot prior to decommissioning must be transported off-site. The expected cost of removal is \$6 per cubic meter (\$84,000). By providing the mulch for free, it is anticipated that these costs will not be incurred.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Commercial businesses that sell compost and mulch at retail rates have been consulted.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

“Compost Sale Saturdays” will be promoted to the public through print and radio ads, the City’s website, social media, and promoted at festivals and events. Additionally, all subscribers to the Green Cart Program will be provided with a voucher for a free bag of both compost and mulch.

Commercial customers will be contacted directly by phone, mail, or email. The information will also be posted on the City’s website, and a City Page ad will be placed in *The StarPhoenix*.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

The Administration will evaluate the bulk sale program throughout the 2014 season and report results as part of the 2014 Integrated Waste Management Annual Report.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

As a policy options report, no environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPLICATIONS

There are no anticipated privacy implications arising from this initiative.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

CPTED Review is not required at this time.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No.C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**C2) 2013 Contract Negotiations (2013 – 2016)
The Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local No. 47
(Files CK. 4720-3 and HR. 4722-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposed changes set out in the Revision to the Collective Agreement with respect to the 2013 – 2016 Collective Agreement with The Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local No. 47 be approved; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the revised contract under the Corporate Seal.

STRATEGIC GOAL

This report supports the goal of Asset and Financial Sustainability through open, accountable and transparent decisions on allocation of resources.

REPORT

The bargaining team of the City of Saskatoon and The Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local No. 47 reached a Memorandum of Agreement for a term of four (4) years from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016. The Union has ratified the terms of the Memorandum of Agreement.

Attachment 1 is the Revision to the Collective Agreement and identifies the wage adjustments recommended and other Collective Agreement changes.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. The Canadian Union of Public Employees Local No. 47 Revision to the Collective Agreement – May 13, 2014.

Councillor Iwanchuk excused herself from discussion and voting on this matter due to a potential conflict of interest and left the Council Chamber.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

Councillor Iwanchuk re-entered the Council Chamber.

Section D – TRANSPORTATION & UTILITIES

**D1) 2014 Roadway Condition Assessment Program
Award of Engineering Services
(Files CK. 6315-1 and IS. 6050-104-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by Tetra Tech EBA Inc. for engineering services for the completion of the 2014 Roadway Condition Assessment Program, at a total estimated cost, on a lump sum basis, to an upset limit of \$525,973 (including P.S.T. and G.S.T.), be accepted; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report is to obtain City Council's approval to award an engineering services agreement for roadway condition assessment for the entire paved road network throughout the City of Saskatoon, to Tetra Tech EBA Inc.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. A more thorough and repeatable industry standard approach to data collection of multiple surface distresses, ride quality and structural adequacy for the assessment of the roadway network is needed to systematically manage and improve the City's road network in the most cost effective way.

2. This study will assess 100% of the paved road network. This includes all paved local roads, collector roads, arterial roads, expressways, boundary roads and back lanes.
3. The condition data will serve as a baseline of the current road network condition. It will be utilized to enhance the decision making and asset preservation management systems.
4. The Administration is recommending that the engineering services agreement for the 2014 Roadway Condition Assessment Program be awarded to Tetra Tech EBA Inc.

STRATEGIC GOALS

The recommendations in this report support the City of Saskatoon Strategic Goals of Asset and Financial Sustainability, and Moving Around as the project is a key component in the Administration's efforts to develop and improve the City's road network and the Building Better Roads plan.

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon has a roadway network consisting of a paved area equivalent to 4,005 lane kilometres. Currently, and in the past, roadways were rated using a manual method for the local and collector roadways on a four-year cycle. Through these manual methods, roadways were inspected under three criteria: durability, ride quality and surface integrity. Due to safety, arterial and expressway roadways were rated using a dashboard visual survey.

REPORT

The City requires a more thorough and repeatable industry standard approach to data collection of multiple surface distresses, ride quality and structural adequacy for the assessment of the roadway network. This study will assess 100% of the paved roadway network. This includes all paved local roads, collector roads, arterial roads, expressways, and boundary roads and back lanes.

The condition data will serve as a baseline of the entire road network condition. It will be utilized to enhance the decision making and asset preservation management systems. This data will be collected through automated and semi-automated means and will be used to produce an updated and more comprehensive report card of the entire paved roadway network throughout the City.

A Request for Proposal for engineering services for the 2014 Roadway Condition Assessment Program closed on April 10, 2014. Seven proposals were received. Following a comprehensive review, the proposal from Tetra Tech EBA Inc. was determined to be the highest scoring proposal, at a total estimated cost, on a lump sum basis, to an upset limit of \$525,973 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.).

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

No other options were considered.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimated net cost to the City for the engineering services as submitted by Tetra Tech EBA Inc. is as follows:

Base Fees	\$500,927
G.S.T.	<u>25,046</u>
Sub-Total	\$525,973
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(25,046)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$500,927</u>

There is sufficient funding available within the following capital projects to complete this work:

- Capital Project #1531 - Local Roadway Preservation;
- Capital Project #0835 - Collector Roadway Preservation;
- Capital Project #0836 - Arterial Roadway Preservation; and,
- Capital Project #1890 - Expressway Roadway Preservation.

Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Capital	Operating	Non-Mill Rate	External Funding
X		\$525,973			

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Public and/or stakeholder involvement is not required.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

As part of the 2014 increased investment in roads, the City of Saskatoon has the resources to carry-out an industry standard method of testing to assess the condition of the entire road network. The City will utilize this assessment study as a baseline to improve on. The data from the study will be used to strategically determine which roadways will receive treatment, what kind of treatment, and when best to treat the road. Residents will receive information on this study through multiple communication activities that may include the City's website (Saskatoon.ca), news media, and social

media. The Building Better Roads microsite will also be used to educate and update residents on projects and road restrictions.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

A follow-up report is not required.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The activities relating to the roadway condition assessment program are associated with consumption of resources (fuel use) and greenhouse gas emissions. The overall impact on greenhouse gas emissions has not been quantified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D2) Award of Contracts
2014 Pothole Patching Assistance
(Files CK. 6315-1 and PW. 6315-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by ASL Paving Ltd. for the 2014 Pothole Patching Assistance Contract, at a total estimated cost of \$358,344.00, including G.S.T. and P.S.T., be accepted;
 - 2) that the proposal submitted by Precision Asphalt for 2014 Pothole Patching Assistance Contract, at a total estimated cost of \$393,120.00, including G.S.T. and P.ST., be accepted; and

- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report is to obtain City Council approval for the award of contracts for 2014 Pothole Patching Assistance to augment the City's current pothole patching forces for road maintenance work. The work was procured through Request for Proposal (RFP), and as such requires Council approval.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. Contracted services will be utilized to provide pothole patching assistance on the City's freeway, collector, arterial, and residential roads.
2. Request for Proposals (RFPs) were issued to private contractors for 2014 Pothole Patching Assistance.
3. After a comprehensive review, the proposals from ASL Paving Ltd. and Precision Asphalt were deemed to be highest scoring proposals. Two companies are being recommended in order to meet the required availability to complete the work.

STRATEGIC GOALS

Award of the 2014 Pothole Patching Assistance contracts support the Strategic Goals of Continuous Improvement as it will provide for a coordinated approach to customer service with quick and accurate responses, and Moving Around as it will ensure that the flow of people and goods in and around the city is optimized and that the service levels for the upkeep of our roads, streets, lanes, sidewalks and bridges are maintained.

BACKGROUND

Once snow melts on streets and hot mix asphalt is available, a spring pothole blitz is initiated to patch driving lanes on the City's freeway, collector, and arterial road over a two to three week period. Potholes on residential and other streets will be addressed during the summer program, unless they are determined to be severe enough to require action sooner.

REPORT

Request for Proposals

The RFPs issued for Pothole Patching Assistance closed on March 13, 2014. Four proposals were received. The following two proposals are being recommended as they were determined to be the highest scoring and have crews available to meet the City's requirements.

The proposal from ASL Paving Ltd. includes:

- \$316.00 per hour worked per patching crew. The patching crew will be furnished with one foreman, three labourers, one single axle truck for transportation of Hot Mix Asphalt and one signage truck.
- Assurance to supply four crews until May 2, one crew from May 2 to end of June working eight hours per day and two crews from May 2 to end of June working 16 hours per each week.

The proposal from Precision Asphalt includes:

- Rate for patching crew in the amount of \$325.00 per hour worked per each patching crew. The patching crew will be furnished with one foreman, two labourers, one single axle truck for transportation of hot mix asphalt and one signage truck.
- Assurance to supply two crews until end of June working eight hours per day.

Proposal Review

A comprehensive review of the proposals was conducted, based on the following criteria:

Overall cost	35%
Production value	30%
Program methodology	20%
Contractor experience	10%
Proposal presentation	5%

After review, the proposal from ASL Paving Ltd., at a total estimated cost of \$358,344.00, and the proposal from Precision Asphalt, at total estimated cost of \$393,120.00 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) were determined to be the highest scoring proposals.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

There are no options recommended.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 66**

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by ASL Paving Ltd. is as follows:

Base Quotation (P.S.T. Included)	\$341,280.00
G.S.T.	<u>17,064.00</u>
Total Contract Price	\$358,344.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(17,064.00)</u>
Net cost to the City	\$341,280.00

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Precision Asphalt is as follows:

Base Quotation (P.S.T. Included)	\$374,400.00
G.S.T.	<u>18,720.00</u>
Total Contract Price	\$393,120.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(18,720.00)</u>
Net cost to the City	\$374,400.00

The net cost to the City for the proposal submitted by Precision Asphalt and ASL Paving Ltd. will be as follows:

ASL Paving Ltd.	\$341,280.00
Precision Asphalt	374,400.00
G.S.T.	<u>35,784.00</u>
Total Contract Price	\$751,464.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(35,784.00)</u>
Net cost to the City	\$715,680.00

Sufficient funding has been requested within the 2013-2014 Operating Budget to award these contracts with a reasonable amount of risk, depending on the total number of potholes encountered and hours worked to patch them.

Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Capital	Operating	Non-Mill Rate	External Funding
X			\$715,680.00		

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Public and/or stakeholder involvement is not required.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

Project information and traffic restriction will be communicated to residents through multiple channels including the news, social media and the City's website.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

The recommendations will have negative greenhouse gas emissions implications due to increased consumption of diesel fuel and the utilization of heavy equipment manufactured using detrimental greenhouse gas producing materials and methods. The overall impact on greenhouse gas emissions has not been quantified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D3) Capital Project #2407 – IS North Commuter Bridge
North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement Project
Award of Advisory Services
(Files CK. 6050-10, x 6050-8 and IS. 6050-104-044)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by KPMG LLP for Financial and Business Advisory Services for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project, at a total estimated cost of \$567,235 (plus G.S.T.), be accepted;
 - 2) that the proposal submitted by Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP for Legal Advisory Services for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project, at a total estimated cost of \$712,000 (plus G.S.T.), be accepted;

- 3) that the proposal submitted by JD Campbell & Associates for Fairness Advisory Services for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project, at a total estimated cost of \$73,365 (plus G.S.T.), be accepted;
- 4) that a contingency allowance of \$300,000 be provided;
- 5) that an adjustment of \$1,652,600 to the 2014 Capital Budget be approved for Capital Project #2407 – North Commuter Parkway;
- 6) that the \$1,652,600 adjustment be funded from the Property Realized Reserve from the amount set aside for Capital Project #787 - Traffic Bridge; and
- 7) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the above-noted Advisory Services Contracts, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report is to obtain City Council's approval to award the agreements for various advisory services required as part of the Public Private Partnership (P3) procurement for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. The Request for Proposals to retain key advisors (Financial and Business, Legal, and Fairness) to support the procurement process and project delivery were issued on March 25, 2014.
2. The Administration is recommending that: the Financial and Business Advisor Services Agreement be awarded to KPMG LLP; the Legal Advisor Services Agreement be awarded to Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP; and the Fairness Advisor Services Agreement be awarded to JD Campbell & Associates.

STRATEGIC GOALS

The construction of the North Commuter Parkway supports the City of Saskatoon Strategic Goal of Moving Around as it will optimize the flow of people and goods in and around the city.

BACKGROUND

City Council, at its meeting held on May 21, 2013, during consideration of Clause 2, Report No. 10-2013 of the Executive Committee, regarding the North Commuter Parkway project, adopted the following recommendations:

- '1) that the Administration proceed with the North Commuter Parkway project based on the bridge and arterial roadway configuration recommendations of the Functional Planning Study;
- 2) that the Traffic Bridge Replacement project be combined with the North Commuter Parkway project; and
- 3) that the Administration continue to pursue available funding for this project from the Federal and Provincial Governments.'

At its meeting on June 10, 2013, City Council approved the award of Technical Advisory Services for the North Commuter Parkway to CIMA+ at a total estimated cost, on a time and materials basis, to an upset limit of \$4,016,143.95 (including P.S.T. and G.S.T.).

At its meeting on June 17, 2013, the Executive Committee received an informational report from the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, advising that a screening application had been submitted to PPP Canada for funding of 25 per cent of the direct construction cost of this project.

At its meeting on August 14, 2013, City Council received an informational report from the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, advising that the Administration had proceeded with procurement of a P3 Business Case Advisor and that the target completion date would be delayed if PPP Canada and Provincial funding was not confirmed and the Design-Build-Finance-Maintain (DBFM) Request for Proposal process was not initiated prior to April/May 2014. The report also advised that the construction of the project must begin by early 2015 to meet the original target deadline. This would require that the DBFM Request for Qualification be issued by January/February 2014.

At its meeting on September 23, 2013, City Council approved the award for the North Commuter Parkway Project P3 Business Case to KPMG LLP for a total estimated cost of \$69,500 (plus G.S.T.).

At its meeting on December 16, 2013, City Council received an informational report from the General Manager, Transportation & Utilities Department, providing a project status update.

At its meeting on March 31, 2014, City Council approved that the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project use a P3 delivery model, subject to the

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 70**

City's approval of a funding application to PPP Canada, and that upon receiving funding approval from PPP Canada, and before the procurement process commences, the Administration report further on the final funding plan.

REPORT

Role of the Advisors

Financial and Business, Legal, and Fairness advisory services are required for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement project to assist in the development of the P3 procurement documents, including the Request for Qualifications, Request for Proposals, and Project Agreement. These advisors, in conjunction with the Technical Advisors for the project, will also provide expert advice throughout the procurement process.

Request for Proposals Response and Evaluation

The Request for Proposals (RFP) for these three advisors was issued on March 25, 2014 and closed on April 24, 2014. The RFPs were promoted through the City's website, a listing on the Merx website (online listing of all government public tenders), a listing on the SaskTenders website, an email sent to a list of interested and prospective firms compiled by Administration, and an advertisement in the Saskatoon *StarPhoenix*.

Five proposals were received for the Fairness Advisor RFP. After a comprehensive evaluation, in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the RFP, the proposal from JD Campbell & Associates was determined to be the preferred proposal, at a total estimated cost, on a time and materials basis, of \$73,365 (plus G.S.T.).

Three proposals were received for the Financial and Business Advisor RFP. After a comprehensive evaluation, in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the RFP, the proposal from KPMG LLP was determined to be the preferred proposal, at a total estimated cost, on a time and materials basis, of \$567,235 (plus G.S.T.).

Twelve proposals were received for the Legal Advisor RFP. After a comprehensive evaluation, in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the RFP, the proposal from Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP was determined to be the preferred proposal, at a total estimated cost, on a time and materials basis, of \$712,000 (plus G.S.T.).

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

No other options were considered.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimated net cost to the City for the Advisors is as follows, based on an assumption of hourly rates proposed by the proponents:

Fairness Advisor (JD Campbell & Associates):	
Estimated Base Fees (with P.S.T.)	\$55,125
G.S.T.	2,625
Estimated Reimbursable Expenses	<u>18,240</u>
Sub-Total	\$75,990
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(2,625)</u>
Estimated Net Cost to the City	<u>\$73,365</u>
Financial and Business Advisor (KPMG LLP):	
Estimated Base Fees (with P.S.T.)	\$547,235
G.S.T.	27,362
Estimated Reimbursable Expenses	<u>20,000</u>
Sub-Total	\$594,597
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(27,362)</u>
Estimated Net Cost to the City	<u>\$567,235</u>
Legal Advisor (Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP):	
Estimated Base Fees	\$672,000
G.S.T.	33,600
Estimated Reimbursable Expenses	<u>40,000</u>
Sub-Total	\$745,600
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(33,600)</u>
Estimated Net Cost to the City	<u>\$712,000</u>

In addition, an overall contingency allowance of \$300,000 for these three advisors is recommended.

These advisors will be providing their services on an hourly basis, and the fees as proposed, are based on estimated hours for the project. Thus, a contingency would cover potential changes in the fees, based on the actual hours spent on the project.

In 2012, \$10,000,000 was set aside in the Property Realized Reserve as a funding source for work relating to Capital Project #787 - Traffic Bridge.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 72**

- \$925,000 of this funding has been expended on the Traffic Bridge to accomplish the Span 1 Demolition, Pier Load Testing, and temporary accommodation of Saskatoon Light and Power's 15kV transmission line.
- In 2013, \$7,100,000 of this funding was allocated to Capital Project #2407 – North Commuter Parkway for land assembly.
- In May 2014, \$87,290.35 of this funding was allocated to Stantec Consulting Ltd. to assist in adapting the technical specifications for the Traffic Bridge as appropriate for the P3 delivery model.

This leaves \$1,887,709.65 currently allocated from the Property Realized Reserve as a source of funding until the final funding plan for the project is confirmed.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Stakeholder involvement will be required at various stages of the project. Community events will be planned in order to engage and educate the public. The Administration will coordinate with applicable stakeholders as necessary.

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

A communications agency has been retained through the Technical Advisor for the project, and a phased-in communications plan will be developed for the life of the project. Webpages for the North Commuter Parkway and Traffic Bridge Replacement have been updated, and will continue to be updated as new information is made available. Regular project updates will be provided to City Council by the Project Manager, and more broadly to the general public, through the media.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

The Administration is currently operating on a realistic target completion date for the North Commuter Parkway project of October 2018. Every opportunity to deliver this project in 2017 will be pursued.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D4) Sole Source
Emergency Water & Sewer Repairs
(Files CK. 7820-1 and PW. 8020-1)**

RECOMMENDATION

- 1) that City Council approve payment for sole sourced emergency water and sewer repair services, and
- 2) that Purchasing Services issue the appropriate purchase orders.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to obtain the approval of City Council for payment on sole sourced emergency water and sewer repair services that have occurred this year to date.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. This winter, the Water and Sewer Maintenance Group contacted over 20 different contractors to assist with repairing water main breaks, and awarded work based on their availability.
2. Five different contractors assisted, for a total estimated cost of \$606,503.05.

STRATEGIC GOALS

The emergency contractor repair services support the Strategic Goal of Continuous Improvement by ensuring that the City of Saskatoon's level of service in response to excess water main breaks remains high.

BACKGROUND

To maintain a high level of service, the City of Saskatoon must restore essential services within a timely manner. The 2014 winter season was unusually cold and thus caused exceptionally high numbers of water and sewer interruptions, mostly in the form of water main breaks. For example, approximately 234 water interruptions (127 water main breaks) occurred during the months of February and March 2014, compared to 143 water interruptions (61 water main breaks) in 2013. The breaks often occurred

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 74**

together, resulting in peak periods which overwhelmed the City's capacity to keep up with repairs.

The Water and Sewer Maintenance Group has their own crews and typically fixes most interruptions themselves. Most years, very little work is contracted out. During the 2014 winter season, interruptions were being reported faster than the Water and Sewer Maintenance crews could respond. As the backlog of jobs grew, the group needed to source contractor services to perform additional repairs. Since the primary goal was to restore essential services as soon as possible, sole sourcing was the only option.

REPORT

Contractor Search

More than 20 different contractors from the City of Saskatoon's list of licensed water and sewer contractors were contacted on a daily/weekly basis to determine their future availability. This information was tracked on a spreadsheet that was updated frequently. Each job was sole sourced independently as interruptions were reported by referring to this spreadsheet and contacting those who were available. Since interruptions typically occurred in batches, most, if not all contractors were unavailable on such short notice. The number of repair jobs in the queue continued to accumulate; therefore, any contractor that became available was given work. The Water and Sewer Maintenance Group did not favor any particular contractor in their delegation of work.

Contractor Awards

Although over 20 contractors were contacted, only five were ever available to perform repairs. The five contractors and their totals are summarized in Table 1. The total spent on contractors was \$606,503.05. The average cost for each job was \$10,456.95.

Contractor	Total No. of Jobs	Cost
Acadia	2	\$ 34,166.80
Brunner's	21	305,346.75
Garnett	7	109,836.47
Hamm	1	20,973.95
SCS	27	136,179.08
Total	58	\$606,503.05

Table 1 - Total of ALL contractor work.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

Two other options were available other than sole sourcing contractors:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 75**

1. The Water and Sewer Maintenance Crews repair every interruption - This would have led to wait times of up to 2 weeks.
2. Prepare a tender – This would have led to wait times of up to 3-6 weeks.

Both of these options would have resulted in unacceptable wait times for the restoration of essential services.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommendation is in accordance with the Corporate Purchasing Procedure (Administrative Policy A02-027). Although each job was an independent sole source, the total amount of all of the jobs is over \$75,000 and thus requires the approval of City Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

2014 year-to-date, the Water and Sewer Maintenance Group sole sourced \$606,503.05 for contractor repair work. The net cost to the City of Saskatoon is shown below:

Cost	\$577,621.95
GST (5%)	<u>28,881.10</u>
Subtotal	\$606,503.05
GST Rebate	<u>(28,881.10)</u>
Net Cost to the City	<u>\$577,621.95</u>

The budget for these services is included in the Water Services Utility Service Line of the Utilities Business Line in the 2014 approved budget. It is estimated that the Water Utilities Services Line will be over expended in 2014 due to the increase in water disruptions and water main breaks in early 2014; however, it is anticipated that there will be sufficient funding in the Water Utility Stabilization Reserve to cover this over expenditure in 2014.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Public and/or stakeholder involvement is not required.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

A communications plan is not required.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no environmental implications.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review is not required.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2014

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) Revenue - Tax Searches and Certificates
(File No. CK. 1720-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 9189.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide City Council with Bylaw No. 9189 which implements City Council's decision to increase the fees charged for online and in-person Tax Certificate requests, and for in-person tax or assessment searches.

REPORT

City Council, at a Special Meeting of Council held on December 6 and 7, 2011, considered a report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department dated November 17, 2011 requesting approval to increase the fees charged for online and in-person Tax Certificate requests, and for in-person tax or assessment searches to better reflect the costs of providing these services. City Council resolved that the fees charged for Tax Certificate requests and tax or assessment searches be increased as outlined in the General Manager's report and that the City Solicitor prepare the necessary bylaw amendment.

In accordance with City Council's instructions, we are pleased to submit Bylaw No. 9189, *The Assessment and Tax Certificates and Searches Amendment Bylaw, 2014* for Council's consideration.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 9189, *The Assessment and Tax Certificates and Searches Amendment Bylaw, 2014.*

 - B2) Designation of Temporary Off Leash Recreation Area and Amendment to the Animal Control Bylaw, 1999, Bylaw No. 7860 (File No. CK. 4205-1)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 9175.

ADOPTED.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide City Council with Bylaw No. 9175, which implements City Council's decision to amend *The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999* to establish a new temporary off-leash recreation area within the Caswell Hill neighbourhood on a two-year basis and to attend to a housekeeping item.

REPORT

City Council, at its meeting held on December 16, 2013, considered a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated November 28, 2013, requesting approval to amend Bylaw No. 7860, *The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999* (the "Bylaw") to establish a one-acre section of land located in the Caswell Hill neighbourhood between Avenue F and Avenue G, and 31st and 32nd Street as a new temporary off-leash recreation area ("OLRA") on a two-year basis.

Also, a housekeeping amendment is required to Schedule No. 2 of the Bylaw, specifically, to remove all reference to acreage.

In accordance with City Council's instructions, we are pleased to submit Bylaw No. 9175, *The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2014*, for Council's consideration.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 9175, *The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2014.*

**B3) Proposed Amendment to *The Noise Bylaw, 2003*
(Files CK. 375-2 and x185-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and that City Council consider the proposed amendments to The Noise Bylaw, 2003.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speakers. See Page No. 90.

REPORT NO. 8-2014 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Loewen, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor T. Davies
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor P. Lorje

**1. Innovative Housing Incentives Applications
New Rental Construction Land Cost Rebate Program
Innovative Residential Inc. – 402 Manek Road
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 952-6-22)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that funding of \$381,372 for the construction of 80 purpose-built rental units to be built at 402 Manek Road by Innovative Residential Inc., be approved;
- 2) that a five-year tax abatement of the incremental taxes be applied to the subject properties, commencing the next taxation year, following the completion of construction; and
- 3) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary tax abatement and incentive agreements with Innovative Residential Inc., and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute these agreements under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 79**

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 24, 2014, regarding an application from Innovative Residential Inc., for the New Rental Construction Land Cost Rebate Program to create 80 purpose-built rental units in the Evergreen neighbourhood.

Your Committee considered the matter and supports the above recommendations.

**2. Award of Contract for Kinsmen Park Carousel Animal Paint Rejuvenation
Sharon Deason Objects Conservator
(Files CK. 4205-9-3 and RS. 4206-KI-12)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Sharon Deason Objects Conservator be awarded a contract for the paint rejuvenation for the carousel animals at Kinsmen Park for a total of \$105,420, net of GST; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 25, 2014, requesting approval to award the contract for paint rejuvenation of the carousel animals at Kinsmen Park.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter and supports the above recommendations.

**3. Proposed Policy Changes
Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 950-27)**

- RECOMMENDATION:** that Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002 be amended as indicated in the April 24, 2014 report of the General Manager, Community Services Department.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 24, 2014, requesting approval for proposed amendments to Innovative Housing Incentives Policy No. C09-002.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter and supports the above recommendation.

4. **Capital Project #1615 – IS Water Distribution
Water Main Lining Project Delivery
Contract No. 14-0033 – Water Main Lining West
Contract No. 14-0034 – Water Main Lining East
(Files CK. 7820-5 and IS. 7820-62)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Transportation and Utilities Department dated April 24, 2014, regarding changes made to the 2014 Water Main Lining contracts that will result in better customer service during construction.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter and is submitting it to City Council for information.

REPORT NO. 8-2014 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor T. Paulsen, Chair
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor A. Iwanchuk
Councillor Z. Jeffries
Councillor E. Olauson

1. **Bicycle Program Update – Feasibility of Protected Bike Lanes
(Files CK. 6000-5, TU. 0375-2, and PL. 6330-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the use of unidirectional bike lanes on 24th Street (from Spadina Crescent to Idylwyld Drive) and 4th Avenue (from 19th Street to 24th Street) as a demonstration project be endorsed, including providing information to adjacent property owners/businesses and ongoing consultation throughout the duration of project, to ensure appropriate integration with existing land uses; and
 - 2) that if the demonstration project is not initiated by July 15, 2014, it be deferred to spring 2015.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 81**

IT WAS RESOLVED: that the matter be considered with the presentation from the speakers. See Page No. 94.

**2. Enquiry – Former Councillor G. Wyant (September 2, 2008)
Free Parking Downtown for Motor Scooters
(File CK. 6120-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received; and
 - 2) that the matter of maximizing parking opportunities and revenues for motorcycles/scooters be referred to the Administration to include with its discussion on parking strategies.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Transportation and Utilities Department, dated April 22, 2014, in response to an enquiry from former Councillor Wyant requesting a report on introducing amendments to Bylaw 7200, *The Traffic Bylaw*, allowing motor scooters to park free downtown.

Your Committee reviewed this matter and expressed an interest in investigating maximizing parking opportunities and revenues by having more than one motorcycle/scooter per stall. It was noted that the three Business Improvement Districts are not in favour of providing free parking for scooters.

Following review of this matter, your Committee puts forward the above recommendations.

**3. Building Better Roads – 2014 Roadway Preservation and
Rehabilitation Construction Project Delivery
(Files CK. 6315-1 x6220-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the information be received; and
 - 2) that the Administration report to the Administration and Finance Committee at its next meeting to be held May 26, 2014, providing a general overview of the communications plan for residential, commercial, and general projects.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 82**

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Transportation and Utilities Department, dated May 5, 2014, providing an overview of the delivery of the 2014 roadway preservation and rehabilitation construction projects.

Your Committee expressed interest in seeing the proposed three-year plan. The Administration noted that all of the information will be available on the new microsite for up-to-date road repair, maintenance and construction programs, approximately in August with further reporting required regarding financing and funding of proposed projects.

Your Committee was informed that communications plans are specific to each location, separated into residential, commercial, and group projects. The Communications Team develops a strategy for each location, with door notices being most effective for residential work, service alerts for all projects, and PSAs for larger projects. Your Committee requested a general overview of the communications plan for residential, commercial, and general projects.

Following review of this matter, your Committee submits the above recommendation.

REPORT NO. 4-2014 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor A. Iwanchuk, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor Z. Jeffries
Councillor M. Loewen

**1. Audit Report – Saskatoon Light and Power – Payroll System Audit
(File No. CK. 1600-9)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

In accordance with the process developed for release of summary reports on in-camera audits once they have been reviewed by the Audit and Executive Committees, attached is a one-page summary of the Audit Report – Saskatoon Light and Power – Payroll System Audit.

The summary report has been placed on the City's website www.saskatoon.ca under "A" for Audit Reports.

2. **Audit Report – Landfill Revenue Collection System**
(File No. CK. 1600-11)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

In accordance with the process developed for release of summary reports on in-camera audits once they have been reviewed by the Audit and Executive Committees, attached is a one-page summary of the Audit Report – Landfill Revenue Collection System.

The summary report has been placed on the City's website www.saskatoon.ca under "A" for Audit Reports.

REPORT NO. 8-2014 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor T. Davies
Councillor R. Donauer
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor A. Iwanchuk
Councillor Z. Jeffries
Councillor M. Loewen
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor E. Olauson
Councillor T. Paulsen

1. **North Downtown Master Plan – Interim Report #2**
(File No. CK. 4130-13)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the North Downtown Master Plan include the concept of a Bridge Park over the CP rail line with a combination of a park and traffic bridge;
- 2) that the North Downtown Master Plan be completed and the concept plan be submitted to City Council for approval; and

- 3) that the Administration report further on the Area Revitalization Program as an option to finance the North Downtown Project.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has reviewed and submits the attached report of the General Manager, Corporate Performance Department dated May 5, 2014, providing a status of the North Downtown Master Plan project as a draft master plan nearing completion.

**2. Election to FCM National Board of Directors
(File No. CK. 155-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Councillor Paulsen be authorized to put her name forward to stand for election to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) National Board of Directors.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee submits the above recommendation authorizing Councillor Paulsen to put her name forward to stand for election to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) Board of Directors.

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 9-2014 - CONTINUED

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A3) Discussions with the YMCA for a New City Centre Recreation Facility
(Files CK. 610-1 and RS. 610-25)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the Administration be authorized to continue discussions related to a partnership with the YMCA to develop a City Centre Recreation Facility and a draft Agreement in Principle;

- 2) that the discussions with the YMCA also consider the potential inclusion of other project partners for a City Centre Recreation Facility; and
- 3) that the Administration report further to the appropriate Standing Committee of City Council on the progress toward developing an Agreement in Principle.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report is to provide an overview of recent discussions with the YMCA regarding the possibility of a future partnership with the City of Saskatoon (City) to develop a City Centre Recreation Facility (CCRF) and the key principles for the partnership to move forward.

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

1. In early 2013, City Council identified a four-year priority to build a leisure centre located within the core neighbourhoods. In response, the Administration has been investigating potential options for a new facility within the city centre area.
2. In late 2013, the YMCA approached the City to begin preliminary discussions related to the potential for partnership opportunities between the City and the YMCA to develop a new CCRF.
3. With both organizations identifying a new CCRF in their business plans, the YMCA and the Administration have begun initial discussions about a potential partnership for a CCRF. Through these discussions, a number of key principles/agreements of a partnership have been identified as foundational in order to move forward.
4. If approved to proceed with partnership discussions, an Agreement in Principle (AIP) will be drafted, outlining a joint understanding of the project and common commitment to the delivery of recreation services in the city centre.

STRATEGIC GOALS

Under the Strategic Goal of Quality of Life, the establishment of a CCRF is a four-year priority. Should the City decide to proceed in partnership with the YMCA in the design and construction of a new recreation facility in the city centre, this would also support the Strategic Goal of Asset and Financial Sustainability. The four-year priority of investigating funding strategies and pricing solutions for services and infrastructure, and the long-term strategy of reducing reliance on residential property tax by funding new programs and services through possible partnerships, would also be addressed.

BACKGROUND

City Centre Recreational Facility – a Four-Year Priority

In early 2013, during its Strategic Planning session, City Council identified the need for a CCRF within the four-year priorities for the City of Saskatoon 2014 to 2024 Business Plan.

In 2013, the City was also made aware that the YMCA Board and Strategic Planning Committee were considering the long-term viable future of the current YMCA building. Related to this, in Fall 2013, YMCA Strategic Planning Committee approached the City to begin preliminary discussions related to the potential for partnership opportunities between the City and the YMCA to develop a new CCRF.

The Administration saw this as providing the opportunity for a potential future partnership between the City and the YMCA to develop a new CCRF. The YMCA Strategic Planning Committee confirmed in their strategic plan that they have identified a potential new YMCA facility within the next five to seven years, also to be located somewhere within the city centre area.

REPORT

In late 2013, the Administration began discussions with the YMCA Executive Director about consideration for potential collaboration and/or partnership on a new CCRF. This report provides an update on recent discussions related to the possibility of a future partnership.

Exploring the Potential Partnership

To begin discussions about a potential partnership, it was necessary to have a more comprehensive understanding of:

- a) each other's organization;
- b) the top priorities of a potential partnership;
- c) expectations of a partnership; and
- d) the non-negotiable partnering conditions.

To facilitate this information sharing, each organization completed a "Partnership Workbook", which included information about each other's organization and the potential partnership.

Exploring Partnership Models and Key Principles/Agreements to Move Forward

The Administration and the YMCA have researched a number of partnership models with Municipalities and YMCAs across Canada. There are several good examples, the two most recent being in Brandon, Manitoba; and Coquitlam, British Columbia. Related to a formal AIP document, the Administration and the YMCA have also been discussing the general terms and framework for information to include for a conceptual partnership in the development of a CCRF.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 87**

For the Administration to now proceed with more formal discussions about a potential partnership with the YMCA on a CCRF, the following key principles/agreements/approvals must be addressed:

- a) endorsement by City Council and the YMCA Board to continue with discussions to deliver recreational services;
- b) interest from both parties to find a location that works for both;
- c) agreement that both parties will commit funding to the facility;
- d) agreement that this will be a long-term commitment;
- e) agreement that public engagement and public accountability are key to the success; and
- f) agreement that programs and services provided in the CCRF reflect the following fundamental principles and values:
 - i) sustainability – socially, economically, fiscally, organizationally, operationally, and environmentally;
 - ii) inclusivity – accessible by all local citizens;
 - iii) belonging – where everyone feels welcome, valued, and has a sense of belonging;
 - iv) volunteerism – will be valued and incorporated into service delivery;
 - v) partnership – is valued and strengths of each party emphasized; and
 - vi) responsiveness – citizen focused and responsive to local needs.

Agreement in Principle

Subject to City Council and the YMCA Board's approval to proceed, and the conclusion of satisfactory discussions, a draft AIP will be prepared, and a detailed report presented to the Planning and Operations Committee with recommended actions.

The AIP will outline a joint understanding of the project and common commitment to the delivery of recreation services in the city centre. The AIP will cover the major points and terms (such as location of the facility, the business case for such a facility, the access to the facility, who pays for what, etc.) and by signing the AIP, indicates that both parties have reached some level of consensus and that it is the agreed intention to move forward on the project.

Significant items for inclusion in an AIP between the City and the YMCA to develop a CCRF include:

- a) the business case (need) for a CCRF;
- b) stated purpose and goals of a new CCRF;
- c) stated principles and values to be incorporated and prevalent in a new CCRF;
- d) services to be provided that complement and add value to already existing City programs, services, and facilities;
- e) modes of access to the CCRF;
- f) timelines;
- g) funding commitments; and

- h) summary of partnership roles and consideration for other partners to be part of the CCRF.

Following this AIP and confirmation of a number of the final details (i.e. Business Case, Capital Budget, and site selection), this AIP would be replaced with a formal Partnership Agreement, or a Master Agreement, which will have legal effect and which both parties will sign. This Master Agreement would be the subject of a further report and more in-depth discussions.

OPTIONS TO THE RECOMMENDATION

An option for consideration is for City Council to direct the Administration to cease discussions with the YMCA on possible collaboration on a CCRF. This option is not recommended because City Council has identified, as a four-year priority, the building of a new leisure centre within the core neighbourhoods. Further, the City currently has no identified source of funding for a new leisure centre, so partnership is a way of leveraging any potential funding and mitigating the capital and operating requirements for a new leisure centre.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding contributions for a partnered CCRF is undetermined at this time. Before proceeding further in this regard, contribution levels will have to be defined and presented in a detailed report to the Planning and Operations Committee for consideration and approval.

PUBLIC AND/OR STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

To date, the Administration has held a number of meetings with the Executive Director at the YMCA. Also, there has been one meeting with His Worship and City Administration, the YMCA Executive Director, and the YMCA Strategic Planning Committee.

The City and the YMCA both agree that public and stakeholder involvement would be an integral part of the design and construction of a new recreation facility. Should City Council provide direction for Administration to proceed with this project in partnership with the YMCA, a detailed public engagement strategy would be developed in the next stages of the project and brought forward in due course.

COMMUNICATION PLAN

Development of a communication plan would be prepared in alignment with milestones projected in the project plan and with the community engagement strategy.

DUE DATE FOR FOLLOW-UP AND/OR PROJECT COMPLETION

A report regarding the draft AIP document will be brought forward to the Planning and Operations Committee for consideration as soon as draft terms are agreed to, anticipated to be in early Fall 2014. Following approval of the AIP, then 12 to 18 months would be required to negotiate a formal partnership agreement.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

No environmental and/or greenhouse gas implications have been identified at this time.

PRIVACY IMPACT

There are no privacy implications.

SAFETY/CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN (CPTED)

A CPTED review would be part of the planning of this project ,and the process would be developed further in the next stages of the project.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Director of Community Development Lacroix presented the Department's report.

Items A2) and A3) of Communications to Council were brought forward:

"A2) Felix Thomas, Tribal Chief, Saskatoon Tribal Council, dated May 5

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to core leisure centres.
(File No. CK. 610-1)

A3) Dean Dodge, YMCA, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to core leisure centres.
(File No. CK. 610-1)"

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 90**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Felix Thomas and Dean Dodge be heard.

CARRIED.

Chief Felix Thomas, Saskatoon Tribal Council, spoke in support of a new civic centre and partnerships.

Mr. Dean Dodge, CEO, and Mr. Brian Daily, Board Chair, YMCA, provided a brief history of the YMCA and its services and programs and asked for Council's support.

Moved by Councillor Donauer, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

CARRIED.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 5-2014 – CONTINUED

**B3) Proposed Amendment to *The Noise Bylaw, 2003*
(Files CK. 375-2 and x185-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and that City Council consider the proposed amendments to *The Noise Bylaw, 2003*.

TOPIC AND PURPOSE

This report offers proposed amendments to *The Noise Bylaw, 2003* in accordance with the earlier direction of City Council to “prepare an amendment to Bylaw No. 8244, *The Noise Bylaw, 2003*, which would create the offence of operating any vehicle in such a manner as to disturb the public, and which would include a specific provision setting decibel limits for motorcycle noise.”

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

This report provides proposed amendments for consideration, in accordance with the earlier recommendation, and offers the outline of a communication and enforcement program. The proposed amendments seek to regulate all vehicles, not just motorcycles, and would serve as an alternative enforcement mechanism for the regulation of vehicle noise.

STRATEGIC GOAL

This initiative would align with the strategic goal of contributing to the Quality of Life for our community.

BACKGROUND

As noted in previous reports, vehicle noise of all types are frequently the source of concerns and complaints from the general public. These concerns will typically increase during the summer months when people are more likely to be outside. There is not one type of vehicle that is more likely to be the source of the concerns or complaints. The vehicles involved might be cars, large trucks or motorcycles. As well, it is often the manner of driving that is an issue rather than whether the vehicle has a suitable exhaust system.

The Saskatoon Police Service is the only enforcement group that can handle such complaints and the members rely on the provisions of *The Traffic Safety Act* to ticket violators for making excessive noise.

The proposed amendments to *The Noise Bylaw, 2003*, add additional enforcement tools: a general provision that would apply to all vehicles and a provision that would establish decibel level limits for motorcycles.

REPORT

The general proposed amendment would apply to all vehicles and could be used by any member of the Saskatoon Police Service who receives or investigates a loud vehicle complaint. It is similar to the other general provisions respecting noise violations, in that, one needs evidence of excessive noise, and the member investigating the matter takes into account the location of the event, the type of noise, its duration and the other factors that are set out.

As with the other general provisions, what is unreasonably loud or excessively noisy is a subjective test. If such a charge were to proceed to Court it would be a question of fact for the trial judge to decide based on the evidence heard that the charge has been made out.

The specific sections for decibel limits is objective and applies to motorcycles. Some jurisdictions, notably in the Pacific Northwest of the United States, impose decibel limits for all vehicles; however, only in the case of motorcycles is there an accepted roadside decibel testing procedure. It may be that in the near future there is a standardized roadside testing procedure for all vehicles, and if this happens the matter could be revisited.

It is our understanding, based on discussions with motorcycle industry representatives that any stock motorcycle from any manufacturer will pass the test limits that are set in the

proposed Bylaw. It is only in the case of motorcycles that have been modified or not maintained that one is likely to encounter an issue. It is also important to note that motorcycle owners will not be targeted or subjected to random tests to confirm compliance. The enforcement program addresses some of these concerns.

Like any regulation of noise, these proposed amendments seek to strike a suitable balance between those who enjoy robust vehicles and the general public that, in our relatively short outdoor season, like to be outside.

Communications Plan

In consultation with the Communications Division and the Saskatoon Police Service it is recognized that additional communication is required about the proposal amendment, particularly with respect to motorcycles. Therefore, if the proposed amendment is passed, the changes would be communicated to the public through the following means:

- public announcements and web notices;
- arrange meetings with Saskatoon motorcycle sales representatives and invite national representative of motorcycle industry manufacturers to advise as to the changes;
- conduct an amnesty testing program for motorcycles that would include information on the provisions, this would afford motorcycle riders to have their motorcycle tested without fear of receiving a notice of violation or demand for modification; and
- examine areas of the City where the prevalence of parking areas and outdoor or outside seating areas are in close proximity and install the appropriate signage to encourage drivers to leave the area quietly.

Enforcement Protocol

The general provision for all vehicles, as it relies on information collected by a member of the Saskatoon Police Service, will be enforced upon passage of the amendment.

With respect to the decibel limit section, if adopted, the Saskatoon Police Service would contact the Edmonton Police Service and arrange for suitable introductory training on the equipment necessary for the motorcycle testing procedures.

The motorcycle measures require the acquisition of the necessary equipment, training of staff and deployment in the field; therefore, for 2014 this is likely to have limited enforcement. The Saskatoon Police Service has advised that when the system is deployed there would not be random stops of all motorcycles. Rather, it is the expectation that the motorcycle testing area would be established by members of the Traffic Section that had the requisite training on the equipment and that the motorcycles that would be put through the test would have been those identified by a member of the Saskatoon Police

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 93**

Service as being unusually loud. The testing would be done to confirm compliance or non-compliance with the provisions of the Bylaw.

As well, when the system has been established and stops have been made, it is the expectation of the Saskatoon Police Service that enforcement of the motorcycle provisions would begin with warnings rather than notices of violation. In conclusion, the goal of the program, similar to most other Bylaw measures, is to achieve Bylaw compliance.

Options to the Recommendation

City Council could decline to pass the bylaw and ask the Saskatoon Police Service to continue to employ the current enforcement measures.

ATTACHMENT

1. Bylaw No. 9190, *The Noise Amendment Bylaw, 2014.*

The City Solicitor presented her report.

The City Clerk distributed copies of letters from the following individuals:

- *Matthew Argent, dated May 15, 2014, submitting comments regarding the above matter; and*
- *Rhonda Cwynar, President, Riders Against Government Exploitation (R.A.G.E.), submitting various emails dated May 17, 2014, from R.A.G.E. containing approximately 40 'signatures' opposed to the proposed changes to the Noise Bylaw.*

Items B8) and A9) of Communications to Council were brought forward:

"B8) Bob Gawdun, dated May 14

Commenting on Noise Bylaw. (File No. CK. 375-2)

A9) Joanne Sproule, City Clerk, dated May 14

Submitting list of speakers regarding the Noise Bylaw. (File No. CK. 375-2)"

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 94**

Mr. Mark Wongstedt expressed concerns with the proposed Noise Bylaw amendment suggesting that an implementation cost analysis be done prior to making any revisions. He cited statistics regarding cost of enforcement in Edmonton.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that Mr. Russ Deptuch was not present in the gallery.

Police Chief Weighill was present in the gallery and responded to questions of Council.

Moved by Councillor Davies, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT the information be received and that City Council consider the proposed amendments to The Noise Bylaw, 2003.

IN AMENDMENT

Moved by Councillor Davies, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Section 5.1c be removed from The Noise Bylaw, 2003 (affecting Sections 2.b, 5.2, 5.3, 4a, 4b, 4c).

**THE AMENDMENT WAS PUT AND LOST.
THE MAIN MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.**

**REPORT NO. 8-2014 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE -
CONTINUED**

**1. Bicycle Program Update – Feasibility of Protected Bike Lanes
(Files CK. 6000-5, TU. 0375-2, and PL. 6330-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the use of unidirectional bike lanes on 24th Street (from Spadina Crescent to Idylwyld Drive) and 4th Avenue (from 19th Street to 24th Street) as a demonstration project be endorsed, including providing information to adjacent property owners/businesses and ongoing consultation throughout the duration of project, to ensure appropriate integration with existing land uses; and
 - 2) that if the demonstration project is not initiated by July 15, 2014, it be deferred to spring 2015.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 23, 2014, in response to a resolution from City Council regarding the installation of separated bike lanes in the downtown.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 95**

Your Committee was informed the provision of protected bike lanes will affect the amount of street parking by reducing the number of spaces on 4th Avenue and 24th Street. It was emphasized that the revenue impact on the reduced parking spaces noted in the report is worst-case scenario, not taking into account any excess parking capacity on other streets or any increase in Downtown patronage during the pilot project.

The goal is to implement the pilot project summer of 2014 however there may be delays with roadway resurfacing projects on 4th Avenue and 24th Street. Your Committee supports deferring the project until spring of 2015 if implementation is too late in the 2014 summer season.

Following review of this matter, your Committee supports an 18-month protected bike lane demonstration project and puts forward the above recommendations.

The City Clerk distributed copies of letters from the following individuals, requesting to speak:

- *Sean Shaw, Better Bike Lanes and Saskatoon Cycles, dated May 14 (PowerPoint presentation);*
- *Stephen Simon, dated May 15;*
- *Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director, The Chamber, dated May 15;*
- *Reagan Wildeman, Pedal Wenches Cycling Co-ordinator, dated May 15;*
- *Keith Moen, Executive Director, NSBA, dated May 16;*
- *Julie Kryzanowski, Population and Public Health, Saskatoon Health Region, dated May 16;*

The City Clerk also distributed copies of letters from the following individuals, submitting comments on the above matter:

- *Todd Butler, dated May 15;*
- *Elenko Phill, dated May 15;*
- *Ron Spizziri, dated May 15;*
- *Amanda Soulodre, dated May 15;*
- *Bertha Daniels, dated May 15;*
- *Sharon Eckmire, dated May 15;*
- *Triant Steuart, dated May 15;*
- *Daymond Volk, dated May 15;*
- *Don McCannell, dated May 15;*
- *Lloyd Eckmire, dated May 15;*
- *Travis Wassermann, dated May 15;*
- *Chetan Thakore, dated May 15;*
- *William Nickel, dated May 15;*
- *Marian Hoffos, dated May 15;*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 96**

- *Michael Gavelis, dated May 15;*
- *Merlin Chouinard, dated May 15;*
- *Glen Bailey, dated May 15;*
- *Colin Chovin, dated May 15;*
- *Ken Achs, President and CEO, Mid-West Group of Companies, dated May 15;*
- *Steeg Holmes, dated May 16;*
- *Reg Biblow, dated May 16;*
- *Daniel Mannion, dated May 16;*
- *Darrell Nordstrom, dated May 16;*
- *Jim Kerr, dated May 15;*
- *Douglas Jamieson, dated May 15;*
- *Adrian Boyko, dated May 15;*
- *Greg Trew, dated May 15;*
- *Earl Eidem, dated May 15;*
- *Bill and Shirley Ryan, dated May 19;*
- *Shaunt Parthev, dated May 19;*
- *Dudley Daniels, dated May 19;*
- *Marcel de la Gorgendiere, dated May 19;*
- *Rich Chapman, dated May 16;*
- *Vivian Mahoney, dated May 20;*
- *Rilla Johnson, dated May 19;*
- *Lorne Sheppard, dated May 19;*
- *Bill Redekop, dated May 20;*
- *Sheryl Maxwell, dated May 20;*
- *Justin Holmes, dated May 20;*
- *Darren Deminchuk, dated May 20;*
- *Frank Lukowich, dated May 20;*
- *Darcy Fischer, dated May 20;*
- *Charles Caldwell, dated May 20; and*
- *Karen Toews, dated May 20.*

Items A4) through A8) of Communications to Council were brought forward:

“A4) Murray Bryck, Shear Excellence, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

A5) Ron LeFrancois, President, Edronn Drycleaning Corporation, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 97**

A6) Drew Byers, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

A7) Dave Denny, The Partnership, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

A8) Darren Toews, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)"

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Terry Swan, Shear Excellence, spoke on behalf of Mr. Murry Bryck. She expressed concerns with regards to loss of parking in the downtown having a negative effect on businesses.

Mr. Ron LeFrancois, President, Edronn Drycleaning Corporation, expressed concern with regards to the loss of economic activity per parking spot that is lost downtown.

Mr. Drew Byers, Butler Byers Insurance, expressed safety concerns with the design and indicated he did not support the pilot project.

Mr. Dave Denny, Board Chair, The Partnership, expressed concern with respect to potential loss of occupancy in the downtown due to lack of parking. He indicated that although the Board is in favour of bike lanes, the Board does not support loss of parking.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that Mr. Darren Toews was not present in the gallery.

Dr. Sean Shaw, Better Bike Lanes and Saskatoon Cycles, expressed support for the proposed pilot project. He provided a PowerPoint presentation addressing results from an online petition in support of bike lanes, pictures of similar bike lanes in other cities, economic benefits of bike lanes, and safety concerns.

Mr. Stephen Simon expressed concern with regard to loss of parking spaces in the downtown.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 98**

Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director, The Chamber, spoke against the loss of parking spaces in the downtown.

Ms. Reagan Wildeman, Pedal Wenches, provided a brief description of the organization's activities, and spoke in support of the 18-month pilot project.

Mr. Keith Moen, Executive Director, North Saskatoon Business Association, indicated that further inclusive consultation should occur and alternate parking sources be looked at prior to eliminating others.

Ms. Julie Kryzanowski, Population and Public Health, Saskatoon Health Region, spoke in favour of the proposed pilot project expressing the health benefits of active transportation.

Moved by Councillor Jeffries, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

- 1) that an open house be held, by September 30, 2014, where the detailed design of the pilot project can be taken out to stakeholders;*
- 2) that the Administration report back with options (short-term and long-term) for mitigating on-street parking loss resulting from the pilot project, including:
 - expanding angle parking along 23rd Street between 3rd Avenue and Spadina Crescent*
 - incentives for the construction of parking structures; and*
 - the development of an application which identifies available parking capacity downtown in real time; and**
- 3) that the Administration provide further information regarding the traffic flows along 4th Avenue and the anticipated performance of changing from two lanes in either direction, to one lane in either direction with a turning lane.*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Olauson,

- 4) that a parking study be conducted at the same time.*

CARRIED.

Due to the hour of the meeting, the regular Order of Business was suspended to consider time-sensitive matters.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Clinton Ekdahl, Founder of “Day of the Honey Bee” dated April 29

Requesting City Council proclaim May 29, 2014 as Day of the Honey Bee, and requesting permission to address City Council regarding the matter. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that Clinton Ekdahl be heard; and
 2) that City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Move by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT City Council approve the proclamation as set out above; and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamation, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

CARRIED.

2) Felix Thomas, Tribal Chief, Saskatoon Tribal Council, dated May 5

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to core leisure centres. (File No. CK. 610-1)

3) Dean Dodge, YMCA, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to core leisure centres. (File No. CK. 610-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Felix Thomas and Dean Dodge be heard during consideration of Clause A3 of Administrative Report No. 9-2014.

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 84.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 100**

4) Murray Bryck, Shear Excellence, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

5) Ron LeFrancois, President, Edronn Drycleaning Corporation, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

6) Drew Byers, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

7) Dave Denny, The Partnership, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

8) Darren Toews, dated May 13

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to proposed protected bicycle lanes. (File No. CK. 6000-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that Murray Bryck, Ron LeFrancois, Drew Byers, Dave Denny and Darren Toews be heard during consideration of Clause 1 of Report No. 8-2014 of the Administration and Finance Committee.

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 94.

9) Joanne Sproule, City Clerk, dated May 14

Submitting list of speakers regarding the Noise Bylaw. (File No. CK. 375-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that Neil Nemeth, Mark Wongstedt, Cynthia Starchuk, Kevin Jones and Russ Deptuch be heard during consideration of Clause B3 of Legislative Report No. 5-2014 and Clause B8 of Communications to Council.

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 90.

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

1) Catherine Harrison, Site Operations Coordinator, PotashCorp Children's Festival, dated April 30

Requesting an extension to the time amplified noise can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 1st, 2014 for PotashCorp Children's Festival of Saskatchewan, Festival Family Day. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension to the time amplified noise can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 1st, 2014 for PotashCorp Children's Festival of Saskatchewan, Festival Family Day be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the request for an extension to the time amplified noise can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, June 1st, 2014 for PotashCorp Children's Festival of Saskatchewan, Festival Family Day be granted.

CARRIED.

8) Bob Gawdun, dated May 14

Commenting on Noise Bylaw. (File No. CK. 375-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be considered with Clause B3 of Legislative Report No. 5-2014.

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 90.

9) Mandy Pravda, dated May 14, 2014

Requesting an extension to the time where amplified sound can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, beginning at 12:00 Noon on Sunday June 1, 2014, for Saskatoon Fashion and Design Festival 3rd Annual Fashion Show at River Landing Amphitheater. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for an extension to the time amplified noise can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, beginning at 12:00 Noon on Sunday June 1, 2014, for Saskatoon Fashion and Design Festival 3rd Annual Fashion Show at River Landing Amphitheater be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

THAT the request for an extension to the time amplified noise can be heard, under the Noise Bylaw, beginning at 12:00 Noon on Sunday June 1, 2014, for Saskatoon Fashion and Design Festival 3rd Annual Fashion Show at River Landing Amphitheater be granted.

CARRIED.

D. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Mar Complido, dated May 13

Requesting City Council proclaim June 12, 2014 as Filipino Canadian Day and requesting a flag raising. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Natalie Gierman, Heart and Stroke Foundation, dated May 13

Requesting City Council proclaim the first Saturday in June, 2014, as National Health and Fitness Day and requesting a copy of the proclamation be sent to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities. (File No. CK. 205-5)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the flag raising be approved, subject to any administrative conditions;
- 2) that City Council approve the proclamations as set out in Section D;
- 3) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council; and
- 4) a copy of the National Health and Fitness Day proclamation be sent to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Davies,

- 1) *that the flag raising be approved, subject to any administrative conditions;*
- 2) *that City Council approve the proclamations as set out in Section D;*
- 3) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council; and*
- 4) *a copy of the National Health and Fitness Day proclamation be sent to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities.*

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 9175

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9175, being "The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2014" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Bylaw No. 9175 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9175.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 104**

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9175 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9175 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9175 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 9185

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9185, being "The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2014 (No. 3)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 105**

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Bylaw No. 9185 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9185.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.
Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9185 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9185 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 106**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9185 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 9186

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9186, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2014 (No. 9)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Bylaw No. 9186 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9186.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.
Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9186 was considered clause by clause and approved.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 107**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,
THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9186 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,
THAT Bylaw No. 9186 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 9189

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,
THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9189, being "The Assessment and Tax Certificates and Searches Amendment Bylaw, 2014" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,
THAT Bylaw No. 9189 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 108**

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9189.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.
Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9189 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9189 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9189 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 109**

Bylaw 9190

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 9190, being "The Noise Amendment Bylaw, 2014" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Iwanchuk,

THAT Bylaw No. 9190 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 9190.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Paulsen in the Chair.
Committee arose.

Councillor Paulsen, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 9190 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Jeffries,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MAY 20, 2014
PAGE 110**

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Donauer,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 9190 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Loewen,

THAT Bylaw No. 9190 now be read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor noted that Items B2) – B7), B10), B11), and C1) – C11) of Communications to Council will be considered under Unfinished Business at the next regular meeting of City Council on June 9, 2014.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk