| Source    | Content  |
|-----------|--|
| Attendee  | This is a pathetic attempt to address community concerns. Dream has failed again.  |
| Moderator | Good evening.  Thank you for attending this evening's meeting.  We will begin shortly but please use the invitation link from the Engage Page if you are not already using this link.  https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup- join/19%3ameeting_OGY1MDc0MmQtMmE0Zi00YTUxLWI3ZjItYzA4MTEwYmU3ZGJh%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid% 22%3a%22f4118c16-85fe-440e-8bf2-573cc8c6b420%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22cbaea8e6-ed1a-4daa-9410- 9ddf3a1019dc%22%2c%22IsBroadcastMeeting%22%3atrue%7d&btype=a&role=a%C2%A0 |
| Attendee  | A very large number of people can't sign in. Just thought you should know. I'm getting calls, texts and emails.  |
| Attendee  | It took me several tries, but I finally made it.(A very large number of people can't sign in. Just thought you should know. I'm getting calls, texts and emails.)  |
| Attendee  | I should add that I am one of the presidents out here, and most of the people contacting me are also presidents who can't get in.(A very large number of people can't sign in. Just thought you should know. I'm getting calls, texts and emails.)   |
| Attendee  | I'm starting to here now that more people are getting in.(A very large number of people can't sign in. Just thought you should know. I'm getting calls, texts and emails.)   |
| Attendee  | Hear now. Duh.(A very large number of people can't sign in. Just thought you should know. I'm getting calls, texts and emails.)  |
| Attendee  | The changes detailed in the June 4 version of the proposed amendments are cosmetic, at best. Obviously, none of the major concerns submitted by existing property owners at the Willows have been addressed in the proposal. Considering:the substantial negative impacts on existing residents inherent in the proposal and that most aspects of that proposal are prohibited by the existing OCP and DCD4,   |
| Moderator | Engage Page Link: https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_OGY1MDc0MmQtMmE0Zi00YTUxLWI3ZjItYzA4MTEwYmU3ZGJh%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22f4118c16-85fe-440e-8bf2-573cc8c6b420%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22cbaea8e6-ed1a-4daa-9410-9ddf3a1019dc%22%2c%22IsBroadcastMeeting%22%3atrue%7d&btype=a&role=a   |
| Attendee  | The land within The Willows Golf & Country Club was marketed as a 100% residential neighbourhood to hundreds of property owners, does Dream see this plan amendment a breach of contract and a case for legal action?  |
| Attendee  | What is happening?   |
| Attendee  | I have been on hold for over 15 mins.  |

| Attendee | Since this is the second time with 'technical' issues for online meeting and est July 11 opening of the province, can we not postpone this meeting and have it 'LIVE' in September? |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | In the "summary of public consultations" report, it states that 128 individuals provided comments. Can you please clarify if  |
| Attendee | this includes all the residents that were represented by the associations that presented to the planning department. It   |
|          | seems that almost the entire Willows community was heard from when you include those presentations on behalf of the   |
|          | association groups. Shouldn't this number be closer to 600-700 residents heard from?  |
|          | association groups. Shouldn't this number be closer to odd 700 residents heard from:  |
| Attendee | Dream is not serious, NONE of the residents requests were considered, the MINOR changes are a poor joke!  |
| Attendee | You mention residents concerns are: hotel, transportation, mixed use, golf course, process, views, residential. I think this  |
|          | list misses the single greatest concern: One of the biggest concerns I have heard is how this change to the development plan  |
|          | will adversely effect property values. Many of the above concerns are directly related to residents concerns in the drop in   |
|          | their property values. Please ensure that is highlighted and, ideally addressed,  |
| Attendee | Does city planning support Dreams new revisions?  |
| Attendee | I'm in visa the web, but no audio   |
| Attendee | Im in now on the web, but have no audio   |
| Attendee | why can't planning push back and inform Dream that their applications does not confrim with the 2020 Offical Community  |
|          | plan that was just approved last year   |
| Attendee | REVISED The changes detailed in the June 4 version of the proposed amendments are cosmetic, at best. Obviously, none  |
|          | of the major concerns submitted by existing property owners at the Willows have been addressed in the proposal.   |
|          | Considering: i) the substantial negative impacts on existing residents inherent in the proposal; ii) the failure of Dream to  |
|          | address any of these issues in the revised proposal; iii) that most aspects of that proposal are prohibited by the existing OCP   |
|          | and DCD4 - This application must be DENIED.   |
| Attendee | This is not public consultation. This meeting should not happen until in person is posssible  |
| Attendee | Can hear now. Thanks.   |
| Attendee | Tell people that I had to log out and log back in after sitting on hold from 65pm5 to 718   |
| Attendee | Residents deserve an in person meeting to discuss this issue. These technical issues are evidence of the respect residents  |
|          | deserve to address this Dream Amendment and conduct a real dialogue.  |
| Attendee | You need a microscope to identify any decrease in the size of the "Hotel Parcel".   |
| Attendee | where did the sound go???   |
| Attendee | The mixed-use parcel was not 'adjusted' but simply more detail was added.   |
| Attendee | Anastasia, you are clearly reading. Would it be possible to get your full written presentation posted to the website please?  |
|          | <u>_</u>  |

| Moderator | If you are having trouble accessing the meeting, please view the meeting on the web, at the link provided above, instead of  |
|-----------|--|
| <u> </u>  | using the app.   |
| Attendee  | Please address the issue of free hold title as opposed to bare land condominiums   |
| Attendee  | Fonts are too small to read the Dream slides.  |
| Moderator | If you are waiting to get into the meeting, you may need to log out and log back in. Try viewing the meeting on the web instead of using the app.  |
| Attendee  | will our questions appear for all to see. My question doesnt seem to appear anywhere except under the "My questions" section. I dont see anyone elses questions  |
| Moderator | Hello, questions and comments will be published once the presentation has concluded. (will our questions appear for all to see. My question doesn't seem to appear anywhere except under the "My questions" section. I don't see anyone elses questions)   |
| Attendee  | I cannot see any questions being asked in the Q&A except my own. Are there really no other comments or questions?  |
| Moderator | Hello, questions and comments will be published once the presentation has concluded. (I cannot see any questions being asked in the Q&A except my own. Are there really no other comments or questions?)   |
| Attendee  | Dream has missed the boat on the concerns raised by residents. Approximately 350 residential units have been sold in Phase 1 based on the existing OCP and DCD4 neighbourhood concept. Dream's proposed amendment abandons any responsibility to uphold the 'promises' made to existing residents about future Phase 2 development. This is a betrayal that cannot be mitigated by hollow promises and minor changes. Now we must demand that the City protect property owner's interests by denying this application. |
| Attendee  | We have not seen the golf study as of yet. Are we going to be able to see the study.   |
| Attendee  | Traffic: the existing OCP and DCD4 would result in minimal additional traffic on Cartwright from the Phase 2 development. The proposed amendments will produce a dramatic increase in traffic on Cartwright from the start of construction to completion and beyond. By that time Dream will be long gone.   |
| Attendee  | Where is swimming pool and pickle ball court going on the design that you submitted since there is no evidence of it.  |
| Attendee  | How many guest rooms will there be in the proposed hotel spa   |
| Attendee  | Are we going to have an open public meeting before planning authority meeting  |
| Attendee  | The land within The Willows Golf & Country Club was marketed as a 100% residential neighbourhood to hundreds of property owners, does Dream see this plan amendment a breach of contract and a case for legal action?  |
| Attendee  | Did Doug Carrick do the golf study as well?  |
| Attendee  | Brad's presentation is unreadable in large parts. Can it please be posted to the website?  |
|           |  |

| Attendee | Brad - Stick with the proposed amendments. All of this promotional material on how great the changes and new amenities       |
|----------|--|
|          | will be are simply hollow promises that have nothing to do with the proposed amendment to concept plan. None of this is      |
|          | regulated by the City and could change 'without notice', as they say. Of course all of these 'great' changes and amenities   |
|          | could equally be incorporated within the existing OCP and DCD4.  |
| Attendee | Did Dream hear one thing that the residents raised. Which ones?????  |
| Attendee | This is a pathetic attempt to address community concerns. Dream has failed again.  |
| Attendee | The changes detailed in the June 4 version of the proposed amendments are cosmetic, at best. Obviously, none of the major    |
|          | concerns submitted by existing property owners at the Willows have been addressed in the proposal. Considering:the           |
|          | substantial negative impacts on existing residents inherent in the proposal and that most aspects of that proposal are       |
|          | prohibited by the existing OCP and DCD4,   |
| Attendee | The land within The Willows Golf & Country Club was marketed as a 100% residential neighbourhood to hundreds of              |
|          | property owners, does Dream see this plan amendment a breach of contract and a case for legal action?                        |
| Attendee | Since this is the second time with 'technical' issues for online meeting and est July 11 opening of the province, can we not |
|          | postpone this meeting and have it 'LIVE' in September?   |
| Attendee | How many storeys is the spa hotel proposed to be   |
| Attendee | In the "summary of public consultations" report, it states that 128 individuals provided comments. Can you please clarify if |
|          | this includes all the residents that were represented by the associations that presented to the planning department. It      |
|          | seems that almost the entire Willows community was heard from when you include those presentations on behalf of the          |
|          | association groups. Shouldn't this number be closer to 600-700 residents heard from?   |
| Attendee | Dream is not serious, NONE of the residents requests were considered, the MINOR changes are a poor joke!                     |
| Attendee | You mention residents concerns are: hotel, transportation, mixed use, golf course, process, views, residential. I think this |
|          | list misses the single greatest concern: One of the biggest concerns I have heard is how this change to the development plan |
|          | will adversely effect property values. Many of the above concerns are directly related to residents concerns in the drop in  |
|          | their property values. Please ensure that is highlighted and, ideally addressed,   |
| Attendee | Does city planning support Dreams new revisions?   |
| Attendee | Why not retain the architectural standards, condominium controls and large lot sizes for the single unit dwellings, in order |
|          | to retain the character of the neighbourhood. It is impossible to guarantee retention of the neighbourhood's existing        |
|          | character without these controls in place.   |
| Attendee | So the SPA hotel is a phase 1 commercial zoning issue?   |
| Attendee | why can't planning push back and inform Dream that their applications does not confrim with the 2020 Offical Community       |
|          | plan that was just approved last year  |

| Attendee | REVISED The changes detailed in the June 4 version of the proposed amendments are cosmetic, at best. Obviously, none            |
|----------|---|
|          | of the major concerns submitted by existing property owners at the Willows have been addressed in the proposal.                 |
|          | Considering: i) the substantial negative impacts on existing residents inherent in the proposal; ii) the failure of Dream to    |
|          | address any of these issues in the revised proposal; iii) that most aspects of that proposal are prohibited by the existing OCP |
|          | and DCD4 - This application must be DENIED.   |
| Attendee | This is not public consultation. This meeting should not happen until in person is posssible                                    |
| Attendee | Residents deserve an in person meeting to discuss this issue. These technical issues are evidence of the respect residents      |
|          | deserve to address this Dream Amendment and conduct a real dialogue.  |
| Attendee | You need a microscope to identify any decrease in the size of the "Hotel Parcel".   |
| Attendee | The mixed-use parcel was not 'adjusted' but simply more detail was added.   |
| Attendee | Anastasia, you are clearly reading. Would it be possible to get your full written presentation posted to the website please?    |
| Attendee | Please address the issue of free hold title as opposed to bare land condominiums  |
| Attendee | Any update of the Fee simple lot issue?   |
| Attendee | Fonts are too small to read the Dream slides.   |
| Attendee | Dream has missed the boat on the concerns raised by residents. Approximately 350 residential units have been sold in Phase      |
|          | 1 based on the existing OCP and DCD4 neighbourhood concept. Dream's proposed amendment abandons any responsibility              |
|          | to uphold the 'promises' made to existing residents about future Phase 2 development. This is a betrayal that cannot be         |
|          | mitigated by hollow promises and minor changes. Now we must demand that the City protect property owner's interests by          |
|          | denying this application.   |
| Attendee | We have not seen the golf study as of yet. Are we going to be able to see the study.  |
| Attendee | Traffic: the existing OCP and DCD4 would result in minimal additional traffic on Cartwright from the Phase 2 development.       |
|          | The proposed amendments will produce a dramatic increase in traffic on Cartwright from the start of construction to             |
|          | completion and beyond. By that time Dream will be long gone.  |
| Attendee | Where is swimming pool and pickle ball court going on the design that you submitted since there is no evidence of it.           |
| Attendee | How many guest rooms will there be in the proposed hotel spa  |
| Attendee | Are we going to have an open public meeting before planning authority meeting   |
| Attendee | The land within The Willows Golf & Country Club was marketed as a 100% residential neighbourhood to hundreds of                 |
|          | property owners, does Dream see this plan amendment a breach of contract and a case for legal action?                           |
| Attendee | Did Doug Carrick do the golf study as well?   |
| Attendee | Brad's presentation is unreadable in large parts. Can it please be posted to the website?                                       |
|          |   |

| Attendee | Brad - Stick with the proposed amendments. All of this promotional material on how great the changes and new amenities   |
|----------|--|
|          | will be are simply hollow promises that have nothing to do with the proposed amendment to concept plan. None of this is  |
|          | regulated by the City and could change 'without notice', as they say. Of course all of these 'great' changes and amenities   |
|          | could equally be incorporated within the existing OCP and DCD4.  |
| Attendee | Did Dream hear one thing that the residents raised. Which ones?????  |
| Attendee | How many storeys is the spa hotel proposed to be   |
| Attendee | Why not retain the architectural standards, condominium controls and large lot sizes for the single unit dwellings, in order   |
|          | to retain the character of the neighbourhood. It is impossible to guarantee retention of the neighbourhood's existing  |
|          | character without these controls in place.   |
| Attendee | So the SPA hotel is a phase 1 commercial zoning issue?   |
| Attendee | Any update of the Fee simple lot issue?  |
| Attendee | Comment Only. I just want to show support for the Nordic spa. Great amenity for the whole city.  |
| Attendee | what is Dream's rationale for moving to fee simple with respect to the new properties  |
| Attendee | What is the plan for the triangular piece if land on the North end of the Willows near Lorne Ave & Cartwright?   |
| Attendee | Comment Only. I just want to show support for the Nordic spa. Great amenity for the whole city.  |
| Attendee | what is Dream's rationale for moving to fee simple with respect to the new properties  |
| Attendee | What is the plan for the triangular piece if land on the North end of the Willows near Lorne Ave & Cartwright?   |
| Attendee | There was no mention of this tonight or in the mailed out material. Are you still planning to proceed with Phase 2 lots with fee simple status and not as bare land condo? |
| Attendee | When can we see an actual site plan for the hotel?   |
| Attendee | Many of us that are very close to this hotel would like to know how Brad or other officials would feel if a hotel was planted  |
|          | approx 60 feet from their home. This hotel, while perhaps a nice idea in appropriate area, is an idiotic idea for this location  |
|          | and when 7 months of winter contribute to its failure or simply wound up, what happens to the building.  |
| Attendee | There was no mention of this tonight or in the mailed out material. Are you still planning to proceed with Phase 2 lots with   |
|          | fee simple status and not as bare land condo?  |
| Attendee | When can we see an actual site plan for the hotel?   |

| Attendee | the city traffic plan - is this not putting the cart before the horse. It does not take into account the amount of new residents that are planned for the area. Even though the golfer numbers may decrease this still does not offset the issue of traffic. The proposed exit/entry for the new area will not help the traffic generated by the trains. As well, the area for the condos 401, 404, 405 and 408 do not provide for the road being widened - how is it proposed to have the sidewalks and parking at the side of the road as I believe the category of road the city says this is? |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | Many of us that are very close to this hotel would like to know how Brad or other officials would feel if a hotel was planted approx 60 feet from their home. This hotel, while perhaps a nice idea in appropriate area, is an idiotic idea for this location and when 7 months of winter contribute to its failure or simply wound up, what happens to the building.   |
| Attendee | the city traffic plan - is this not putting the cart before the horse. It does not take into account the amount of new residents that are planned for the area. Even though the golfer numbers may decrease this still does not offset the issue of traffic. The proposed exit/entry for the new area will not help the traffic generated by the trains. As well, the area for the condos 401, 404, 405 and 408 do not provide for the road being widened - how is it proposed to have the sidewalks and parking at the side of the road as I believe the category of road the city says this is? |
| Attendee | Currently the red barn location is not used in the winter, so tree canopy is sufficient buffer in summer when trees are in full bloom. If the spa is going to be utilized four seasons and be located within the tree canopy, the tree canopy will not be a sufficient buffer between the spa when they lose their leaves in winter. What additional buffers will be used to create the buffer in winter?   |
| Attendee | The Mayor had an in person meeting with Riversdale business association a day or so ago at the Roxy theatre so what is holding us up  |
| Attendee | Did the City of Saskatoon not have a live meeting on June 22/2021 with the Riversdale Business Community with the Mayor and the Councillor for the ward present?  |
| Attendee | The Mayor had an in person meeting with Riversdale business association a day or so ago at the Roxy theatre so what is holding us up  |
| Attendee | Did the City of Saskatoon not have a live meeting on June 22/2021 with the Riversdale Business Community with the Mayor and the Councillor for the ward present?  |
| Attendee | I saw several of the submissions by residents and condo associations and pretty much all of them mentioned the sheer size of Dream's new housing expansion as a concern. This was not mentioned in the City's summary of concerns from residents. I'm wondering why it isn't.   |
| Attendee | Will there be special pricing or spa passes for the residents of the willows? I can see residents using this regularly.   |

| Attendee | This looks stone bridge 2. Hotel and all the commercial is available across this street. Why change us from residential to commercial |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | I saw several of the submissions by residents and condo associations and pretty much all of them mentioned the sheer size             |
|          | of Dream's new housing expansion as a concern. This was not mentioned in the City's summary of concerns from residents.               |
|          | I'm wondering why it isn't.   |
| Attendee | Will there be special pricing or spa passes for the residents of the willows? I can see residents using this regularly.               |
| Attendee | This looks stone bridge 2. Hotel and all the commercial is available across this street. Why change us from residential to            |
|          | commercial  |
| Attendee | Could Brad elaborate on the architectural controls that were discussed? Will they be specified in the amendment document              |
|          | and be a must or are they subject to future adjustments and relaxed when future phases slow down?                                     |
| Attendee | So was there a study or not   |
| Attendee | So was there a study or not   |
| Attendee | The developer, the city and the residents had a tacit agreement, a contract if you will, based on the original concept plan.          |
|          | That of a golf course and a quiet residential community. How is this proposed amendment not an abrogation of that original            |
|          | agreement?  |
| Attendee | Given the very large expansion in golf the last two years, is the GGA business plan now completely out of date? Willows sold          |
|          | out of memberships this year, as did other local golf courses.  |
| Attendee | The developer, the city and the residents had a tacit agreement, a contract if you will, based on the original concept plan.          |
|          | That of a golf course and a quiet residential community. How is this proposed amendment not an abrogation of that original            |
|          | agreement?  |
| Attendee | Where will they be located the pool and pile ball.  |
| Attendee | Where will they be located the pool and pile ball.  |
| Attendee | How many current willows residents hold golf course membership and why would they have any control over Dreams                        |
|          | decision to change course layout?   |
| Attendee | I mean pickle ball  |
| Attendee | How many current willows residents hold golf course membership and why would they have any control over Dreams                        |
|          | decision to change course layout?   |
| Attendee | I mean pickle ball  |
| Attendee | Multiple 50 foot lots, really? These lots can't possibly support the size of house that was displayed in the presentation.            |
| Attendee | where will thedelivery/garbage/servicing area of the hotel be located? If the hotel is even approved!!                                |
| Attendee | where will thedelivery/garbage/servicing area of the hotel be located? If the hotel is even approved!!                                |

| Attendee | The Pool and pickle ball locations. Just say where it will be.  |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | I am in support of the concept plan. Upgrading the existing amenities (which could use some investment) and adding new          |
|          | ones will benefit the community as a whole.   |
| Attendee | Would you consider locating the proposed commercial development to the triangular piece of land rather than in the heart        |
|          | of the Willows where it seriously affects the original intent and plan for the Willows?   |
| Attendee | Brad: What is the rational for proposing a hotel/spa site within the boundaries of Phase 1 and near existing residential        |
|          | properties? Does this not betray the promises made by Dundee (Dream) when these properties were sold?                           |
|          |   |
| Attendee | Would you consider locating the proposed commercial development to the triangular piece of land rather than in the heart        |
|          | of the Willows where it seriously affects the original intent and plan for the Willows?   |
| Attendee | Brad: What is the rational for proposing a hotel/spa site within the boundaries of Phase 1 and near existing residential        |
|          | properties? Does this not betray the promises made by Dundee (Dream) when these properties were sold?                           |
|          |   |
| Attendee | this is pathetic. questions are pre positioned.   |
| Attendee | Will property tax assessments reflect the different lot types within the Willows meaning existing bareland condo                |
|          | homeowners are maintaining areas that will be otherwise covered by property taxes for future residents in future phases?        |
| Attendee | this is pathetic. questions are pre positioned.   |
| Attendee | in person meeting is needed   |
| Attendee | I live in a condo but feel bullied by aggressive condo members opposing this development I think these changes are great        |
|          | and will add value and future wellness vision to Saskatoon. How can I reach out to someone personally so I can express my       |
|          | positive views  |
| Attendee | What is the approximate fraction (e.g., in %) of proposed amendments that are not recommended by City Planning and              |
|          | Development?  |
| Attendee | I live in a condo but feel bullied by aggressive condo members opposing this development I think these changes are great        |
|          | and will add value and future wellness vision to Saskatoon. How can I reach out to someone personally so I can express my       |
|          | positive views  |
| Attendee | What is the approximate fraction (e.g., in %) of proposed amendments that are not recommended by City Planning and              |
|          | Development?  |
| Attendee | if the city is already prepared to opt for the developer's plan re fee simple do the residents have any hope that there will be |
|          | more to considering resident's concerns than the financial considerations?  |
| Attendee | As a nearby resident I fully back Dreams proposal and amendments to the Willows. This will complete the sense of                |
|          | community and wholeness that a diverse culture will bring.  |
| Attendee | You can now have in-person, indoor meetings with up to 150 people. Darrell seems not to be aware of this.                       |

| Attendee | Assuming that there are examples of proposed amendments that are not recommended by City Planning and Development,            |
|----------|---|
|          | what are the most common reasons for a negative review?   |
| Attendee | You can now have in-person, indoor meetings with up to 150 people. Darrell seems not to be aware of this.                     |
| Attendee | Brad makes a comment that Cartwright St is a collector street, but yet as defined by City of Saskatoon a collector street has |
|          | parking and sidewalks on both sides of the street. Does this mean Cartwright St will be widened to accommodate the actual     |
|          | specifications and dream will cover the costs of the improvement.   |
| Attendee | Alderman David Kirton was at the live unperson meeting with RiversdaleWhat is going on here.?                                 |
| Attendee | Sorry unperson meeting  |
| Attendee | City - I have investigated and asked other members of the city planning etc to provide me with any, ANY other established     |
|          | communities and or zones where a new commercial zone had been allowed in the city at any other time. You will be setting      |
|          | a new president by allowing this.   |
| Attendee | In person   |
| Attendee | In person   |
| Attendee | City - can you consider a height limit of 2 stores for any building that has commercial / mixed use?                          |
| Attendee | City - Lorne Ave has an issue it has no access to Circle Drive East so all that traffic needs to go through Cartwright        |
| Attendee | City - New traffic study based on traffic measure done during fall of 2020. This was Covid so why would you even consider     |
|          | those numbers   |
| Attendee | Brad makes a comment that Cartwright St is a collector street, but yet as defined by City of Saskatoon a collector street has |
|          | parking and sidewalks on both sides of the street. Does this mean Cartwright St will be widened to accommodate the actual     |
|          | specifications and dream will cover the costs of the improvement.   |
| Attendee | City - Lorne Ave has an issue it has no access to Circle Drive East so all that traffic needs to go through Cartwright        |
| Attendee | this is NOT community feedback this is wrong  |
| Attendee | I am hearing a lot of potential ideas that Dream is considering if the proposed amendments to the amendments are              |
|          | accepted to help assuage residents' concerns. What assurances do we have from Dream that these potential ideas will           |
|          | actually happen if the city accepts the proposed amendments?  |
| Attendee | this is NOT community feedback this is wrong  |
| Attendee | I am hearing a lot of potential ideas that Dream is considering if the proposed amendments to the amendments are              |
|          | accepted to help assuage residents' concerns. What assurances do we have from Dream that these potential ideas will           |
|          | actually happen if the city accepts the proposed amendments?  |
| Attendee | The traffic report recommends "A new collector road with direct access from Lorne Avenue will allow patronsaccess to          |
|          | hotel without access to Cartwright Street" and without it the commercial development not be approved. Where is this in        |
|          | the new proposal?   |

| Attendee | How have the Neighbourhood nodes worked out is the past? I think of Avalon and Eastview which were an early version of this concept, but now appear to be underutilized. The new versions in Willowgrove and Evergreen appear to be incomplete |
|----------|--|
|          | even though the rest of the neighbourhoods have been filled. Also these last two areas are accessed by 4 lane divided  |
|          | access roads and the commercial spaces appear to be surrounded by lower end row housing which buffers the surrounding  |
|          | single family home from the impact of commercial development such as restaurants and bars This does not appear to be   |
|          | the case in this proposal.   |
| Attendee | Taxes will be less for same value homes that are fee simple rather than condo homes. Highly unfair and discriminatory.   |
| Attendee | In the proposal the only new access is Access Road A and roadways B and C, both of which enter onto Cartwright before  |
|          | reaching the hotel. Also these roads pass through the new residential, so I take it that Dreams Proposal is to parade the  |
|          | estimated annual 47,000 spa and hotel guest past their prime residential lots?   |
| Attendee | The traffic report recommends "A new collector road with direct access from Lorne Avenue will allow patronsaccess to   |
|          | hotel without access to Cartwright Street" and without it the commercial development not be approved. Where is this in   |
|          | the new proposal?  |
| Attendee | How have the Neighbourhood nodes worked out is the past? I think of Avalon and Eastview which were an early version of   |
|          | this concept, but now appear to be underutilized. The new versions in Willowgrove and Evergreen appear to be incomplete  |
|          | even though the rest of the neighbourhoods have been filled. Also these last two areas are accessed by 4 lane divided  |
|          | access roads and the commercial spaces appear to be surrounded by lower end row housing which buffers the surrounding  |
|          | single family home from the impact of commercial development such as restaurants and bars This does not appear to be   |
|          | the case in this proposal.   |
| Attendee | Taxes will be less for same value homes that are fee simple rather than condo homes. Highly unfair and discriminatory.   |
| Attendee | Brad: what will the total length of the proposed walking trail be if this concept plan is approved? Will it be all season and who maintains it?  |
| Attendee | In the comparables listed in the Dream proposal, none of them have the major traffic artery passing within 3 m of existing   |
|          | residential buildings. In the majority of the comparables the major access is by a four lane divided access road with  |
|          | residential off on separate cresents. How is Dream planning to deal with the 7 direct access driveways entering onto   |
|          | Cartwright Street in the 400 block?  |
| Attendee | In the proposal the only new access is Access Road A and roadways B and C, both of which enter onto Cartwright before  |
|          | reaching the hotel. Also these roads pass through the new residential, so I take it that Dreams Proposal is to parade the  |
|          | estimated annual 47,000 spa and hotel guest past their prime residential lots?   |
|          |  |

| Attendee | Brad: what will the total length of the proposed walking trail be if this concept plan is approved? Will it be all season and who maintains it?   |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | In the comparables listed in the Dream proposal, none of them have the major traffic artery passing within 3 m of existing residential buildings. In the majority of the comparables the major access is by a four lane divided access road with residential off on separate cresents. How is Dream planning to deal with the 7 direct access driveways entering onto Cartwright Street in the 400 block? |
| Attendee | So the other businesses will subsidize the golf course???   |
| Attendee | Will you change the name from golf and residential community to golf and commercial community   |
| Attendee | So the other businesses will subsidize the golf course???   |
| Attendee | Could someone elaborate on how the feasibility and market studies impact the city's assessment of the proposed amendments?  |
| Attendee | The golf course is not sustainable; however, you are planning to offer all kinds of amenities that are not going to provide you with any income. How can we possibly believe that you are being honest when it feels as if you are merely "selling" this to us. I cannot believe that you are suddenly coming up with these ideas that will never come to fruition.                                       |
| Attendee | Of couse the lack of success of the golf course is clearly due to POOR managemnt, Why does Dream insist on managing a golf course given their clear incompetence?   |
| Attendee | I cannot believe the questions that are being asked this format is a joke. Dream has the city in there back pocket. quit wasting our time.  |
| Attendee | Of couse the lack of success of the golf course is clearly due to POOR managemnt, Why does Dream insist on managing a golf course given their clear incompetence?   |
| Attendee | So we could organize a live meeting and have you attend????   |
| Attendee | The easternmost housing is the area that will most disrupt views, along with the hotel. Could Dream not consider turning that area, which is Bridges holes 1-3, into a 3 hole practice course instead of housing?   |
| Attendee | Would Brad entertain holding a live meeting and a tour of new sites?  |
| Attendee | The easternmost housing is the area that will most disrupt views, along with the hotel. Could Dream not consider turning that area, which is Bridges holes 1-3, into a 3 hole practice course instead of housing?   |
| Attendee | Would Brad entertain holding a live meeting and a tour of new sites?  |
| Attendee | the video feed has crashed. will all the questions asked during this event be able to be seen on the CofS website with the answers?   |
| Attendee | the video feed has crashed. will all the questions asked during this event be able to be seen on the CofS website with the answers?   |

| Attendee | Anastasia: tax assessments are based on supposed market value; this is applied to fee simple and bareland condos. The         |
|----------|---|
|          | difference is the pool of property sales used to estimate the market value of similar properties. However, assuming the       |
|          | market value is actually captured, there is no difference. With respect to the proposed amendments, a two-tier situation      |
|          | would result where condo owners pay high taxes but receive less services. Moreover they must pay (condo) fees (e.g. for       |
|          | road maintenance) to get services that a fee-simple lot owners has included with their property tax.                          |
| Attendee | Will there be options for more wellness at the clubhouse? Home owners association option like other cities? I moved from      |
|          | a great community association in Southern Ontario and would love to see more communty involvement                             |
| Attendee | I meant more in regards to fitness(gym) options (Bob (Unverified) asked "Will there be options for more wellness at the       |
|          | clubhouse? Home owners association option like other cities? I moved from a great community association in Southern           |
|          | Ontario and would love to see more communty involvement")   |
| Attendee | Will there be options for more wellness at the clubhouse? Home owners association option like other cities? I moved from      |
|          | a great community association in Southern Ontario and would love to see more communty involvement                             |
| Attendee | Is city planning going to model the taxation levels phase 1 vs 2 also considering the Stata condo fees that phase 1 must pay  |
|          | to take care of their streets   |
| Attendee | Brad, how can you say that this is nothing like Stonebridge, every example you use is already represented in Stonebridge.     |
|          | several times over. NONE of these services are needed or requested by the majority in Willows.                                |
| Attendee | Brad, you must be able to speak to the promises that were made in 2003. How can you say you cant speak to them. You are       |
|          | in front of us representing company made them. You have been asked many times through this consultations process this         |
|          | exact question. If you can speak to it, get someone here that can.  |
| Attendee | Is the residential noise bylaw for the red barn continue to be enforced?  |
| Attendee | as long as trees are green they may help obscure the hotel but what happens in winter? how many more evergreens and           |
|          | where/  |
| Attendee | as long as trees are green they may help obscure the hotel but what happens in winter? how many more evergreens and           |
|          | where/  |
| Attendee | The slide on new clubhouse amenities shows the pool where the current putting green is located. In addition, the chipping     |
|          | green is located where there will be a future road and/or housing. How is the practice facility going to be improved exactly? |
|          | Where will the chipping and putting greens move?  |
| Attendee | who hred and paid the consulyant  |

| Attendee | the city's traffic committee in its last meeting is already considering traffic calming devices and they said they are not considering the current amendment plan how it then be said that traffic will not be a problem ? |
|----------|--|
| Attendee | who hred and paid the consulyant   |
| Attendee | the city's traffic committee in its last meeting is already considering traffic calming devices and they said they are not considering the current amendment plan how it then be said that traffic will not be a problem ? |
| Attendee | who hired the consultant and who paid them   |
| Attendee | The original proposal had ALL development on the west side on the clubhouse. Why not keep it there?  |
| Attendee | if this amendment plan to increase property values why are some current owners now having trouble selling because of the proposed commercial development?  |
| Attendee | What will Dream do when the city denies this latest proposal?  |
| Attendee | So is Brad saying the putting green and chipping area will continue???? And where  |
| Attendee | NO SOUND   |
| Attendee | O SOUND  |
| Attendee | RED barn is a Major commerical rezoning change for Willows phase 1 correct   |
| Attendee | How many units were going to be sold in Phase 2 (2003). How many under the new plan? What is the total increase in revenue to Dream  |
| Attendee | O SOUND  |
| Attendee | RED barn is a Major commerical rezoning change for Willows phase 1 correct   |
| Attendee | How many units were going to be sold in Phase 2 (2003). How many under the new plan? What is the total increase in revenue to Dream  |
| Attendee | This is an extremely concerning situation and we have not had the time to have an open forum. Is it possible to have an in person meeting on or after July 11th in order to get feedback?                                  |
| Attendee | I can hear you   |
| Attendee | I can still hear fine.   |
| Attendee | I have sound   |
| Attendee | I can hear you   |
| Attendee | I can still hear fine.   |
| Attendee | I have sound   |
| Attendee | Yes we can still hear you.   |

| Attendee | I can hear you  |
|----------|---|
| Attendee | NO SOUND  |
| Attendee | sound still on  |
| Attendee | Yes we can still hear you.  |
| Attendee | NO SOUND  |
| Attendee | we can hear you   |
| Attendee | sound still on  |
| Attendee | I can hear the audio Leanne   |
| Attendee | Yes - I can still hear you.   |
| Attendee | still hear you  |
| Attendee | I can hear you clearly. Please continue.  |
| Attendee | Brad I don't understand how you can say the hotel and other commercial is in the heart of the Willows. It is all located at the very north end. If you are so determined that the hotel is such a needed asset why don't you build it in the new development where future residents have a choice if they want to live near a hotel and commercial entities?  |
| Attendee | Is there potential to be able to have public consultation with the owners of the triangular land as to what their intention is for that parcel like Brad is doing on behalf of Dream?   |
| Attendee | Is Dream based in Toronto and will that be where the profits go?  |
| Attendee | one last statement; this whole process has been flawed since December. I am so disappointed with our city officials and with Dream. Our residents are not respectfully being recognized or acknowledged in spite of very active, articulate and insightful communication on our part.   |
| Attendee | If this is approved, what are you looking at for a timeline for the full development of this new proposal? From building to landscaping it and everything in-between.   |
| Attendee | Isn't the evolution of the plan that Brad describes to include houses west of the clubhouse not just an "evolution", but actually Dream's effort to sell and build as much housing and commercial space as possible to make profit at the expense of breaking its original promises to home and condo owners? If it wasn't for the Municipalities Act's ability to let the City approve Dream's revised plan, this would be considered a breach of contract in any court in the land. |
| Attendee | Brad are you getting the message that your proposed commercial development is destroying the original intent of the Willows and is making many existing residents uncomfortable about their investment in the Willows community?  |
| Attendee | Will it also be considered part of Westhills?   |
| Attendee | this format is pathetic and not inclusive of all  |
|          |   |

| Attendee | What will the height of the hotel be with the proposed changes to the hotel? Will it still disrupt views to the west of those in |
|----------|--|
|          | the condo buildings?   |
| Attendee | What will the height of the hotel be with the proposed changes to the hotel? Will it still disrupt views to the west of those in |
|          | the condo buildings?   |
| Attendee | this meeting was a joke. public in person meeting should be mandatory  |