Comprehensive Zoning Bylaw Review

ISSUE

A Comprehensive Review of the Zoning Bylaw Project (Project) is being undertaken in order to align the Bylaw with identified strategic priorities, current trends, changes to provincial legislation and minor amendments.

BACKGROUND

The Zoning Bylaw was last reviewed between 2007 and 2009, prior to the redesign of the Official Community Plan, the approval of the City of Saskatoon's (City) Plan for Growth and the development of other relevant City strategies and plans. The Project is needed to bring the Zoning Bylaw into alignment with these strategies and plans, to reflect community values, to ensure industry needs are being met and to provide guidance and support to City Administration in the development of new and amended regulations to support City growth.

CURRENT STATUS

The information gathering phase for the Project is nearing completion. This phase has involved both broad and targeted engagement with external stakeholders, including the general public, and the Administration. A number of proposed Zoning Bylaw amendments have been identified including business friendly and economic development initiatives, environmental initiatives, other current trends in planning and legislative requirements. Identified amendments will also align the Bylaw with other strategic City initiatives including the Plan for Growth, the Culture Plan, the Saskatoon North Partnership for Growth Plan and the redesigned Official Community Plan.

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS

Engagement to Date

A variety of targeted stakeholder and public engagements have been conducted for the Project. The intent of this stage of engagement was to gather input on topics that should be considered to be included as the Project proceeds. A summary of engagement results can be found in Appendix 1.

The Administration conducted in-person engagements, such as targeted stakeholder workshops and conversations as well as a public open house, to inform the development of the Project Plan. The Project team was available to answer questions and record feedback at each event. In addition to the in-person workshops and conversations with stakeholders, stakeholders were able to provide input using an online questionnaire. An Engage webpage was also set up for the Project.

Communications and Engagement Plan

Further engagement on identified topics will be required as the Project progresses and amendments to the Bylaw are proposed. This is a key element of ensuring the Project responds both to community interests, as well as to legislated requirements.

Comprehensive Zoning Bylaw Review

The Administration has developed a comprehensive communications and engagement plan for the Project. The plan identifies numerous opportunities to communicate progress and engage with the public and stakeholders through the Project website, Engage website, social media and other public communication channels.

In addition, Administration will rely on expertise of Technical Advisory Committees (experts on the topics being considered) to develop and refine proposed regulations.

Project Plan

Through the engagement process, Administration has identified a number of amendments.

A number of Project themes have been identified, as follows:

- 1. Review of Commercial and Mixed-Use Zoning Districts;
- 2. Regulations to accommodate new forms of development;
- 3. Environmental initiatives, including green roofs and alternatives for hard surfacing;
- 4. Review of parking requirements throughout all zoning districts;
- 5. A review of residential infill regulations;
- 6. Clarifying amendments to respond to issues identified by the development industry, design professionals and Civic Administration;
- 7. A range of minor amendments to address housekeeping items; and,
- 8. Revisions required to implement recommendations from the new Official Community Plan and other civic plans and studies, as well as aligning with legislative requirements.

The Project will also include the development of a digital indexed bylaw.

A more detailed list of the identified initiatives to be included in the Project and the proposed approach is provided in Appendix 2. The Project is anticipated to be completed in 2022.

Addressing these identified issues and initiatives will assist in streamlining development applications by ensuring that the regulations are appropriate and in place for the desired types of facilities in the community.

The Project will not consider specific zoning requests, these are better handled through the development review process. The Project also will not include a review of the organization and basic structure of the Zoning Bylaw.

Comprehensive Zoning Bylaw Review

Other significant initiatives that may lead to changes in the Zoning Bylaw, such as the Corridor Planning Program and the Brownfield Renewal Strategy, are not included in the Project scope. However, the Administration will coordinate timing and scope to ensure alignment between other initiatives and this Project.

IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications

The 2019 budget approved \$250,000 from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures. In order to complete the Project in the three-year time horizon, additional capital funding from the Reserve for Capital Expenditures would be required in both 2020 and 2021. A funding request for the Project has been included for consideration at the 2020 – 2021 Preliminary Operating Budget deliberations.

During the course of the Project, if there are significant proposed additions or changes to the Project scope that arise, either from City Council or as a result of the work, before proceeding, Administration will report back on implications to the Project schedule and budget.

NEXT STEPS

Based on stakeholder input and analysis of work required for themes and items within each theme, Administration has developed a prioritized work plan for the Project. Proposed Zoning Bylaw amendments will be managed through a series of amendment packages staged out over the course of the Project. Each topic will involve an appropriate level of engagement for the topic being considered with stakeholders and the community. In the final year, the work plan calls for the Bylaw to be repealed and replaced.

APPENDICES

- 1. What We Heard Report
- 2. Project Overview

REPORT APPROVAL

Written by: Christine Gutmann, Senior Project Planner Reviewed by: Darryl Dawson, Development Review Manager

Lesley Anderson, Director of Planning and Development

Approved by: Lynne Lacroix, General Manager, Community Services Department

SP/2019/PL/MPC - Comprehensive Review of Zoning Bylaw/ac





Append 1- What We Heard Report.docx

What We Heard Report – Information Gathering October 22, 2019



Contents

| С | ontent | s | | 2 |
|----|-------------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Li | st of T | ables | S | 3 |
| Li | st of F | igure | s | 3 |
| 1 | Bac | ckgro | und | 4 |
| | 1.1 | Stra | itegic Goals | 4 |
| | 1.2 | City | Project Team | 4 |
| | 1.3 | Spo | kesperson(s) | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Sun | nmary of Engagement Strategy | 5 |
| 2 | Pre | limin | ary Stakeholder Meetings | 7 |
| | 2.1 | Met | hods | 7 |
| | 2.1. | .1 | Workshops | 7 |
| | 2.1. | .2 | One-on-One Meetings | 7 |
| | 2.1. | .3 | Online Submissions | 7 |
| | 2.2 | Mar | keting Techniques | 8 |
| | 2.3 | Ana | lysis | 8 |
| | 2.4 | Wha | at We Heard | 8 |
| | 2.4. Ass | | Developers and Builders (organized by the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' ion) | 8 |
| | 2.4. | .2 | Planners, Architects and Designers | 9 |
| | 2.4. | .3 | Saskatoon Region Association of Realtors | 9 |
| | 2.4. | .4 | Combined Business Group | 9 |
| | 2.4. | .5 | City Administration | 9 |
| | 2.4. | .6 | Municipal Planning Commission | 0 |
| 3 | Pub | olic F | eedback1 | 1 |
| | 3.1 | Met | hods1 | 1 |
| | 3.1. | .1 | Public Engagement Event | 1 |
| | 3.1. | .2 | Online Feedback1 | 1 |
| | 3.2 | Inte | nded Audience1 | 1 |
| | 3.3 | Mar | keting Techniques1 | 2 |
| | 3.4 | Ana | lysis 1 | 3 |
| | 3.5 | Wha | at We Heard1 | 3 |
| 4 | Fva | duatio | on 1 | 5 |



Append 1 - What We Heard Report.docx What We Heard Report – Information Gathering

| 5 Er | ngagement Summary | 16 | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| 5.1 | Stakeholders Engaged | 16 | | | |
| 5.2 | Project Themes | 17 | | | |
| 5.3 | Data limitations | 17 | | | |
| 5.4 | Consideration of results | 18 | | | |
| 6 Ne | ext Steps | 19 | | | |
| | of Tables | | | | |
| | 1: Engagement Strategy | | | | |
| | 2: Engagement Evaluation | | | | |
| | 3: Engagement Summary | | | | |
| Table 4 | 4: Data Limitations | 17 | | | |
| | of Figures | | | | |
| Figure | 1: Open House | 11 | | | |
| Figure | 2: Signing In | 12 | | | |
| Figure | 3: Facebook Event | | | | |
| Figure | gure 4: Facebook Promotion12 | | | | |
| Figure | gure 5: Materials Sent To Chamber Members13 | | | | |

THE REAL PROPERTY.



1 Background

The Zoning Bylaw last underwent a review between 2007 and 2009. Industry trends and changes have left gaps where the Zoning Bylaw does not address desired uses effectively. This Project will undertake a comprehensive review of the Zoning Bylaw to ensure that the bylaw meets the current and desired trends in commercial, residential and community development for Saskatoon.

The Zoning Bylaw was last reviewed prior to the redesign of the Official Community Plan (OCP), the approval of the City's Plan for Growth and the development of other relevant City strategies and plans. This review is needed to bring the Zoning Bylaw into alignment with these strategies and plans; to reflect community values; to ensure that industry needs are being met; and, to provide guidance and support to City Administration in the development of new and amended regulations to support City growth.

The following report outlines our findings from the first phase of public engagement – the information gathering phase. The information gathering phase of the Project was intended to provide stakeholders and the public an opportunity to provide input on what topics should be considered during the Project. Decisions regarding specific amendments, as well as any required research and engagement, will be brought forward in future stages of the Project.

1.1 Strategic Goals

The Zoning Bylaw addresses the Strategic Goals of Sustainable Development and Economic Diversity and Prosperity through the following:

Sustainable Development

- Our Plan for Growth is sustainable, through a balanced approach to land use, transportation choices and efficient servicing. Actions to achieve this goal including implementing strategies to encourage downtown and infill development, including development process initiatives and financial incentives.
- Economic growth and development is supported by streamlined business practices and development approvals. Actions to achieve this goal including engaging the development and construction industries to support process improvements and economic development initiatives.

Economic Diversity and Prosperity

• Our economy is strong. Actions to achieve this goal include adopting incentives/regulations to encourage Downtown and infill development and streamlining the City's development process.

HINTINGE BEEFE

1.2 City Project Team

Lesley Anderson, Director of Planning and Development Darryl Dawson, Planning and Development Christine Gutmann, Planning and Development Paula Kotasek-Toth, Planning and Development Chantel Riou, Planning and Development Sue Echlin, Strategy and Transformation Mandy Fehr, Strategy and Transformation Project Sponsor
Project Owner
Project Manager
Project Team Lead
Project Team
Communications Support
Engagement Support

1.3 Spokesperson(s)

Lesley Anderson, Director of Planning and Development and Project Sponsor



1.4 Summary of Engagement Strategy

The purpose of public engagement for the Zoning Bylaw Review Project is to obtain stakeholder and public feedback on the needed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw to ensure the Zoning Bylaw is current and meets industry needs. The Engagement Strategy includes two phases of engagement:

- an information gathering phase; and,
- a developing and evaluating regulations phase.

To date, only the information gathering phase has been completed. The following report outlines what we heard in that first phase and how it will inform the Project moving forward.

A summary of engagement goals, objectives and selected activities in relation to each stakeholder group are provided in the table below.

Table 1: Engagement Strategy

| Phase | Stakeholder | Level of Participation | Objective | Engagement Goal | Potential Engagement Activity/Component |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Information Gathering | Administration | Consult | to ensure | Collect input to identify issues relevant to the Zoning Bylaw. | Online submission of |
| | Industry | | | | One-on-One Meetings |
| | Advocacy Groups / Council Committees / Public | Inform / Consult | Provide an understanding of the Project. Ensure concerns and priorities are considered. | Inform the public about the Project and opportunities to contribute. | Engage webpage Online submission of comments Open House |

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I



| | Administration Technical Advisory Committee | Involve | Work with stakeholders to ensure proposed amendments meet community needs. | Gather advice from a Technical Advisory Committee / Administration to help develop options for new or amended regulations. | Group or One-on-One Meetings |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Developing and Evaluating Regulations | Industry | Consult / Involve | Work with stakeholders to ensure concerns and priorities are considered. | Collect input to identify issues relevant to the regulations being developed. | Online submission of |
| | Public / Advocacy Groups | Inform / Consult | Provide an understanding of the regulations being developed and provide opportunity for input. | Collect input to identify issues relevant to the regulations being developed. | TDD |



2 Preliminary Stakeholder Meetings

Preliminary engagement in the form of workshops, One-on-One meetings, and online engagement provided stakeholders from administration and industry opportunities to contribute to the list of items to be considered in the review of the Zoning Bylaw.

The stakeholder groups invited to participate in this phase were:

- Developers and Builders (organized by the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association);
- Planners, Architects and Designers;
- Saskatoon Region Association of Realtors;
- Combined Business Group (organized by the Greater Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce);
 and,
- City Administration.

Participants were asked to consider the following questions during these discussion:

- what parts of the Bylaw are effective;
- are there regulations to need to be considered, reviewed, kept, added or potentially removed;
- are there resources the City should consider as part of the review process; and,
- are there any other amendments the City should consider?

2.1 Methods

2.1.1 Workshops

Workshops provided stakeholders an opportunity to participate in a facilitated roundtable discussion about what should be considered in the review of the Zoning Bylaw. Stakeholders had opportunities to interact with the Project Team, provide feedback, and ask questions. Workshop questions were forwarded to participants in advance of the workshop to ensure participants could review the questions prior to the facilitated discussion. The workshop also provided participants with information about the Project. Workshop invitees were also included on the mailing list for a Project newsletter to stay informed about the Project. Newsletter subscribers are able to unsubscribe if they wish.

2.1.2 One-on-One Meetings

One-on-one and small group meetings created opportunities to meaningfully engage with specific stakeholders. These meetings were typically used in situations where attendees were unable to attend a workshop. The focused conversations technique helped frame these meetings by offering different ways to think about the topic through a series of exploratory questions. Similar questions to those asked during the workshops were presented during the One-on-One meetings.

Small group meetings and/or one-on-one discussions provided the opportunity to discuss specific issues and develop a deeper understanding of the issues. This approach also enabled the Project Team to inform participants about the Project in a more detailed way.

2.1.3 Online Submissions

In addition to the workshops, an option for an online submission was provided to invitees should they be unable to attend a workshop and/or wish to provide additional comments.



2.2 Marketing Techniques

Identified stakeholders were invited to workshops as well as one-on-one and stakeholder meetings via email invitation. If invited stakeholders were not able to attend a workshop or one-on-one meeting, an online submission option was provided.

In addition to workshops organized by the City of Saskatoon Administration, a workshop was also organized and promoted by the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association and a lunch and learn was organized and promoted by the Saskatoon Region Association of Realtors.

Information about the Project was also shared by the North Saskatoon Business Association and by the Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority.

2.3 Analysis

Information provided by participants during this phase was considered as part of the development of the Project Plan. Topics appropriate for the Zoning Bylaw Review Project have been incorporated into the Project Plan. Some items discussed during the workshops will be incorporated into related projects and/or may be considered as part of a separate capital project if deemed appropriate.

Comments provided during this phase of the Project will be considered during the development and evaluation of proposed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw. Further engagement will be undertaken during the development and evaluation of the proposed amendments. Further engagement will be tailored to the topic being evaluated.

Priorities were also provided by the Saskatoon & Region Home Builder's Association. These priorities are being considered as the Project schedule is being developed.

2.4 What We Heard

Below is a generalized overview of the feedback received from the various stakeholder groups during the information gathering phase of the Project.

2.4.1 Developers and Builders (organized by the Saskatoon & Region Home Builders' Association)

- Review of residential infill regulations
- Review setbacks in various districts
- Review of environmental initiatives such as net zero buildings
- Alignment with National Building Code
- Review of Minor Variance regulations
- Review of parking regulations
- Review regulations pertaining to garbage, recycling and compost
- Review of landscaping regulations
- Review of building height regulations
- Consideration of lot size including providing for smaller lots to provide for affordability
- Provide for less restrictive regulations
- Comments regarding Zoning aspects related to Corridors, Building Permit / Development Permit processes, review of the B6 – Downtown Commercial Zoning District regulations have been referred to the appropriate groups within the Community Services Department.



2.4.2 Planners, Architects and Designers

- Review definitions for clarity and add new definitions where deemed appropriate
- Clarifications to various regulations including grade calculations, amenity space, accessory buildings
- Alignment with National Building Code
- Review residential infill regulations
- Consideration of regulations regarding microbreweries
- Review site coverage regulations for covered decks
- Consideration of regulations regarding drive thrus
- · Review regulations regarding width of overhead lift doors
- Review of architectural features such as dormers
- Review setbacks in various districts
- Review of landscaping regulations
- Consideration of lot size including providing for smaller lots to provide for affordability
- Review for repetition in the bylaw to improve clarity
- Review of secondary suite regulations
- Review of parking regulations
- Review of building height regulations
- Comments regarding Building Permit / Development Permit processes, signs, temporary uses, and review of DCD1 – Direct Control District 1 regulations have been referred to the appropriate groups within the Community Services Department.

2.4.3 Saskatoon Region Association of Realtors

- Review of secondary suite regulations
- Review uses for older buildings to allow for office or other uses
- Review of regulations for residential care homes

2.4.4 Combined Business Group

- Parking considerations including size of parking spaces
- Review of residential infill regulations
- Review of secondary suites regulations
- Maintain character of neighbourhoods when creating new districts
- Consistency with accessibility standards
- Consideration of garbage, recycling and composting on sites
- Consideration for microbreweries
- Comments regarding uses in rear lanes, Building Permit / Development Permit processes, Temporary / Short Term Accommodations, boulevard maintenance, locking of garbage bins, Commercial Enterprises in parks, location of safe injection sites, distance from fire hydrant location requirements, and cannabis regulations have been referred to the appropriate groups in the City's Administration.

THURSDAY THE REAL PROPERTY.

2.4.5 City Administration

- Review definitions for clarity and add new definitions where deemed appropriate
- Consideration of accessory uses in parks
- Review of accessory building regulations



- Review for consistency with various City Policies and Plans
- Review of residential infill regulations
- Review setbacks in various districts
- Review of parking regulations
- Review of environmental initiatives
- Consideration of garbage, recycling and composting on sites
- Consideration of impermeable paving surfaces
- Review provisions regarding site plan control
- Consideration of lot size in particular for small commercial lots
- Review of affordable housing provisions
- Review of lighting regulations
- Review of discretionary uses
- Review of landscaping regulations
- Alignment with National Building Code
- Review for repetition in the bylaw to improve clarity
- Provide diagrams in the Zoning Bylaw
- Comments regarding items related to the Green Strategy, transit, temporary uses, signage, City Centre relevant topics, stormwater management, Building Permit / Development Permit process, home based businesses have been referred to the appropriate groups in the City's Administration.

2.4.6 Municipal Planning Commission

- Review of landscaping regulations
- Review of discretionary uses
- Review of Residential Care Homes
- Comments regarding how information is conveyed will be taken into consideration during the next phases of the Project.

HILLIE LEE E E E E



3 Public Feedback

3.1 Methods

3.1.1 Public Engagement Event

A public open house was held at the Frances Morrison Central Library on October 2, 2019 from 3:00 pm to 7:00 pm. The public was invited to view the list of topics that have been identified to be included in the Project and provide feedback. The Open House was also an opportunity to discuss the Project with the Project Team as well as other community members.



Figure 1: Open House

Information about related projects were also present at the open house including the Development Fee Review, the Official Community Plan Redesign, the Corridor Planning Program, and the Development Permit / Building Permit Process Review.

The event was attended by 46 participants. Participants included developers and builders, planners, architects and designers, business associations, businesses, and members of the public.

3.1.2 Online Feedback

In addition to the open house, an Engage webpage was set up for the Project (Saskatoon.ca/engage/zoning-bylaw-review). Comments could be submitted on the Engage webpage. More detailed information than what was presented at the open house was provided on the Engage webpage. The purpose of providing more detailed information on the Engage webpage was to provide those individuals with more detailed knowledge of Zoning a better understanding of the content being included in the Project.

3.2 Intended Audience

The Public, Advocacy Groups, City Council, City Advisory Committees, Boards and Commissions, and Stakeholders targeted during information gathering stage were invited to attend the open house and view the project information via the Engage webpage. The event was attended by 46 people (in addition to members of the project teams). Participants were asked to sign an attendance sheet at

WHITE SERVE SERVE





the start of the event. Four people did not sign in, 10 identified with local neighborhoods, 31 identified as participating in their professional capacities (8 of these were employees of the City who were not working at the event), and one City Councilor attended.

Opportunities to provide online feedback are still open, so there may be additional participants for this initial phase of engagement.

Figure 2: Signing In

3.3 Marketing Techniques

The open house was advertised using social media, advertisements through City partners such as the Saskatoon Region Economic Development Authority and the Greater Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce. Invitations were also sent to individuals who receive the Project newsletter. In addition, follow up emails were sent to all stakeholder workshop participants with information about the open house.

The Facebook event page for the open house reached 1.3 thousand people on Facebook. The event was additionally promoted using Facebook and twitter. Facebook reminders reached 1,985 people.



Figure 3: Facebook Event



Figure 4: Facebook Promotion



703 people received an email invitation from the City to the open house, and 713 people received a reminder email about the event. An e-blast was also sent to all members of the Great Saskatoon Chamber of Commerce.



Figure 5: Materials Sent To Chamber Members

3.4 Analysis

Information provided by participants during this phase was considered as part of the development of the Project Plan. Topics appropriate for the Zoning Bylaw Review Project have been incorporated into the Project Plan. Some items will be incorporated into related projects and/or may be considered as part of a separate capital project if deemed appropriate.

Comments provided during this phase of the Project will be considered during the development and evaluation of proposed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw. Further engagement will be undertaken during the development and evaluation of the proposed amendments, and will be tailored to the topic being evaluated.

3.5 What We Heard

Below is a generalized overview of the feedback received at the open house. Some participants showed their agreement with comments that others made by placing a sticker by the comment. This agreement is indicated in brackets.

- Making the Zoning Bylaw more accessible including a digital version of the Bylaw (5)
- Review of parking regulations (13)
- Consider a performance based / outcome based approach
- Review regulations pertaining to secondary suites including permitting secondary suites in townhouses, duplexes and semi-detached units (2)
- Review setbacks in residential districts
- Review of landscaping requirements
- Promotion of industry best practices and current trends including alignment with National Building Code (2)

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

Review of residential infill regulations



- Consider new uses in the FUD district
- Comments regarding items related to the Downtown Arena, outer ring road options will be referred to the appropriate groups in the City's Administration.

HILLIAN ELECTRICAL STREET

The Engage webpage was open for commenting at the time of the report deadline. All additional comments provided after the report deadline will be considered by Administration. Social media is also being monitored for any ongoing feedback.



4 Evaluation

Table 2: Engagement Evaluation

| Item Being Evaluation | Considerations in the Evaluation | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Was the public engagement approach relevant and realistic for the stage of the decision-making process? | Engagement activities during this phase of the Project were focused on information gathering including providing information about the Project. Open house activities (for a public audience) also provided information about "What is Zoning." |
| | Were we able to get the degree of attention needed from the stakeholders? | Facilitated table discussions at the workshops provided the opportunity for stakeholders to provide input directly to the Project Team. The workshop format also allowed stakeholders to discuss Project relevant topics with other interested stakeholders. |
| | Did we involve the individuals and groups who had a stake in the process? | The Project Team held workshops with industry representatives and Administration who work with the Zoning Bylaw regularly. |
| | Did the engagement process meet stakeholder needs? Did we use effective techniques? | Stakeholders were provided various methods to provide information including stakeholder workshops, on-line submissions and an open house. |
| Results of the public engagement process | Were our decisions influenced by the stakeholder input? | Topics to be considered as part of the Project were inclusive of the feedback received during this phase of the Project. Where a topic is better considered through a different project, the feedback is being shared with the relevant Civic staff. |
| | Did we involve the stakeholders at the appropriate level? | Workshops, one-on-one meeting and on-line submissions provided stakeholders various methods to provide feedback. The feedback received was incorporated into the development of topics being considered during the Project. |
| | Did the engagement process justify the resources spent? | The information gathering phase of the Project provided the Project Team the ability to gain an understanding of industry relevant feedback on what should be considered during the Zoning Bylaw Review. |

HINTER BEEFER



5 Engagement Summary

The following table outlines event names and dates designed to seek feedback to inform each engagement goal.

Table 3: Engagement Summary

| Engagement Goal | Engagement Activity/Component | Date(s) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Collect input to identify | Workshop with Planning and Development | March 26, 2019 |
| issues relevant to the | Division Representatives | |
| Zoning Bylaw. | Workshop with City Administration | April 30, 2019 |
| | Workshop with Developers (organized by | May 1, 2019 |
| | Saskatoon and Region Home Builder's | |
| | Association) | |
| | Workshop with Planners, Developers and | May 28, 2019 |
| | Designers | |
| | Workshop with Commercial Realtors | June 11, 2019 |
| | (organized by Saskatoon Region | |
| | Association of Realtors) | |
| | Presentation to the Municipal Planning | June 25, 2019 |
| | Commission | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Inform the public about the Project and opportunities | Public Engagement Open House Event | October 2, 2019 |
| to contribute. | Online Engagement | October 2, 2019 to |
| to contribute. | | October 16, 2019 |

5.1 Stakeholders Engaged

Stakeholders engaged at this stage are:

- Internal
 - Planning and Development Division
 - Community Standards Division
 - Sustainability Division
 - Building Standards Division
 - Transportation Division
 - o Construction and Design Division
 - Water and Waste Stream Division
- External
 - Developers / Builders (Workshop in partnership with Saskatoon and Region Home Builders' Association, online)
 - Architects / Designers / Planners (Workshop, online)
 - Real Estate Professionals (Workshop in partnership with Saskatoon Region Association of Realtors, online)

- Business Organizations (Workshop in partnership with Combined Business Group, online)
- Municipal Planning Commission (presentation at meeting, online)
- Construction Industry (Open House, Engage webpage)



- Advocacy Groups (Open House, Engage webpage)
- City Advisory Committees. Boards and Commissions (Open House, Engage webpage)
- Public (Open House, Engage webpage)

5.2 Project Themes

- Review of Commercial and Mixed-Use Zoning Districts;
- Regulations to accommodate new forms of development;
- Environmental initiatives, including green roofs and alternatives for hard surfacing;
- Review of parking requirements throughout all zoning districts;
- A review of residential infill regulations;
- Clarifying amendments to respond to issues identified by the development industry, design professionals and Civic Administration;
- A range of minor amendments to address housekeeping items; and,
- Revisions required to implement recommendations from the new Official Community Plan and other civic plans and studies.

In addition to the themes above, stakeholders provided specific recommendations which have been captured as part of the Project Plan.

5.3 Data limitations

The information gathering phase of the Project was intended to gain an understanding of the topics to be considered as part of the Zoning Bylaw Review Project. Effort was made through this phase of the Project to engage with key stakeholders about what considerations should be taken into account during the development of the Project Plan. Invited stakeholders were provided multiple opportunities to provide feedback including participation in a workshop, 1:1 meetings and through on-line submissions. Similarly, the public was offered both an in-person open house and the Engage webpage.

Data limitations are outlined in Table 4: Data Limitations.

Table 4: Data Limitations

| Feedback may not be specific enough for the needs of the Project. | Further engagement will be undertaken in the next phase of the Project to ensure that feedback includes the level of detail required to develop proposed amendments. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Individuals may not have a detailed understanding of the Zoning Bylaw, which could impact their abilities to fully participate at this stage of engagement. | The open house and the Engage webpage content provided basic information about Zoning for participants. Administration was available to answer questions during the open house. |
| | At this early stage, more active participants likely had a better understanding of Zoning. Further engagement will be undertaken in the next phase of the Project to engage more broadly with diverse stakeholders on specific amendments. It is likely that |



| | more focused engagement activities on specific amendments will be more accessible to members of the public who may be impacted by changes to the Zoning Bylaw. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Reporting does not capture all comments. | The Engage webpage was still open for commenting at the time of the report deadline. All additional comments provided after the report deadline will be considered by Administration. |
| Comments do not pertain to the Zoning Bylaw Review Project. | Comments provided that pertain to other related project will be shared with the appropriate Administrative groups. |

5.4 Consideration of results

Information provided by participants during this phase was considered as part of the development of the Project Plan. Topics appropriate for the Zoning Bylaw Review Project have been incorporated into the Project Plan. Some items discussed during the workshops will be incorporated into related projects and/or may be considered as part of a separate capital project if deemed appropriate.

Comments provided during this phase of the Project will also be considered during the development and evaluation of proposed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw. Further engagement will be undertaken during the development and evaluation of the proposed amendments and will be tailored to the topic being evaluated.

HILLIAN BELLEVILLE



6 Next Steps

Engagement will be undertaken throughout the Project as the Project Team is developing and evaluating proposed amendments to the Bylaw. Stakeholders and the public will be kept up to date on engagement opportunities through the online newsletter or through the Project website. Communications for topic specific engagement activities will also be developed.

Technical Advisory Committees containing Administration and industry professionals will be formed to provide advice to the Project Team on current and proposed regulations under review through the Zoning Bylaw Review Project. Committees will be made up of experts in the topic being evaluated. Specific areas where advice is being sought will be determined during next phase of the Project.

HILLIAN ENERGY ENERGY



Project Overview

ZONING BYLAW REVIEW

Official Community Plan
Zoning Bylaw Review








Why are we reviewing the Zoning Bylaw?

The Zoning Bylaw was last reviewed in 2009. This comprehensive review is to ensure that the Bylaw meets current and desired trends in commercial, residential and community developments. The review will align the Zoning Bylaw with strategic priorities including consistency with the updated Official Community Plan. The project will make the Zoning Bylaw more accessible by adding visuals and creating an online, searchable version.



General updates to the Zoning Bylaw

The Bylaw review will provide updates consistent with the Planning and Development Act, the Official Community Plan renewal and other relevant City policies and practices including:

- Minor Variances and Site Plan Control
- Consistency with practices and policies of Building Standards

The Review will also correct spelling errors, typos, grammatical errors, provide clarification where needed, and review the Bylaw for repetition.





Improving Saskatoon's Zoning Bylaw

The Zoning Bylaw Review will look at and update regulations in the Zoning Bylaw related to:

The Review will look at:

- zoning districts to ensure clarity and that they meet best practices
- updating and clarifying definitions including adding new definitions where warranted
- ensuring consistency with accessibility standards
- options and considerations for affordable housing
- · regulations pertaining to garbage, recycling and composting facilities
- updating and providing clarity to the General Administration section including considering delegated approval in Direct Control Districts to the Development Officer
- clarification regarding expansion on Agricultural Research Stations
- clarification of the interpretation of the terms "shopping centre" and "industrial complex"
- consideration of new uses including microbreweries and hostels
- consideration of school site zoning regulations

Updates and Clarity for the General Provisions Section

- Review regulations for Residential Care Homes, Family Child Care Homes to ensure consistency with Provincial Regulations
- Review regulations for secondary suites
- Establishment of sale and information centres in new neighbourhoods
- Review of live/work regulations
- Review and clarify amenity space requirements
- Review and clarify for accessory buildings
- Review and clarify regulations related to attached/covered patios, pools and decks
- Review and clarification of building height regulations
- Review and clarify permitted obstructions into required site yards
- Clarification of fencing requirements as it pertains to retaining wall height
- Clarification regarding structural attachment attached to a principal building
- Clarify how corner cut offs are managed on irregular shaped lots and landscaping requirements
- Location of backup generators and screening requirements
- Clarification of regulations as they relate to grade



Updates to Various Zoning Districts

Direct Control Districts

Clarification of what homeowners can do in DCD1 and DCD2

Industrial

- Review outdoor storage regulations for IL3 where outdoor storage is prohibited
- Review office uses in IL2 and IL3.

Institutional

- Review Personal Services Trades in M1 and M2
- Clarification of retail regulations in M1
- Clarification that 2 unit dwellings are permitted in conjunction with and attached to any other permitted in M2, M3 and M4

Residential

Detailed review of RMTN for dwellings groups and street townhouse developments

Specialized

- Inclusion of Civic Operating Centre and Recovery Park as a permitted use in AG Commercial
- Review of B4MX
- Develop a Regional Retail District to replace existing DCDs
- Review regulations relating to Drive Thrus
- Clarification that 2 unit dwellings are permitted in conjunction with and attached to any other permitted use in specific commercial districts

Current Discretionary Uses including:

- Review residential uses in MX1 and B5C (currently discretionary)
- Review discretionary nature of vocational schools and trade schools in IH
- Review discretionary nature of Child Care Centres and Preschools in M3 District
- Review setbacks in residential and commercial districts to ensure consistency

Other updates and reviews may occur as the project moves forward and other items of importance are identified.





The Zoning Bylaw Review will undertake an in-depth review of specific topics that were identified through stakeholder consultations.

Review of Parking regulations

Review of landscaping requirements including:

- Consider changes/additions to definitions for landscaping
- Landscaping requirements as it relates to utility easements
- Review soft and hard landscaping requirements
- Review requirements for the location of trees and other plantings

Review of low density residential infill regulations including:

- Maximum side wall area
- Review Established Neighbourhood Map (Category 1 and 2 Neighbourhoods)
- Site width (60% rule for Category 2 neighbourhoods)
- Front porch encroachments into required front yard
- Reduced front yard setbacks where historic setbacks are less than 6 metres.

Regulations for new forms of development

- Research options for wide narrow lots

Review of environmental initiatives. This would include, but is not limited to:

- Consideration of options for green roofs
- Alternatives for hard surfacing
- Research and consider new regulations as it relates to lighting

Promotion of industry best practices and current trends including:

- Provisions for net zero buildings





Projects Related to the Zoning Bylaw Review

As part of the update to the Zoning Bylaw, a number of related projects are also being undertaken in Planning and Development and with other City Divisions:

